

TWR-19105

Ţ

# EVALUATION OF COPPER SLAG BLAST MEDIA FOR RAILCAR MAINTENANCE

ĩ

.

FINAL REPORT

JUNE 1989

Prepared for:

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, ALABAMA 35812

 Contract No.
 NAS8-30490

 DR. No.
 TYPE 5-3

 WBS.No.
 HQ 301

# MORTON THIOKOL, INC.

# Aerospace Group

# **Space Operations**

P.O. Box 707, Brigham City, Utah 84302-0707 (801) 863-3511

FORM TC 4677 (REV 1-88)

(NASA-CR-183744) EVALUATION OF COPPER SLAG N90-13681 BLAST MEDIA FOR RAILCAR MAINTENANCE Final Report (Morton Thiokol) 11 p CSCL 138 Unclas

G3/31 0231738

DOC NO. TITLE

-

VOL

TWR-19105 PER-1726

REV

EVALUATION OF COPPER SLAG BLAST MEDIA FOR RAILCAR MAINTENANCE

FINAL REPORT

JUNE 1989

Prepared by:

ulay sort <u>/Veil W Sagers / Mach</u> N. W. Sagers / M. H. Finlayson Process Free VeilV Sagers

**Process Engineering** 

Approved by:

1-23-89 C. S. Blackhurst

Clearfield Manufacturing Eng.

L. E. Seidner

Supervisor, Inert Processing

R. Braithwaite Certification Planning

1. Ban

G. W. LeBaron Project Engineering

B. M. Potter

Program Management

D. Larsen System Safety

I. N. Black, Supervisor Test Planning and Reporting

MORTON THIOKOL, INC.

Neal Black

Wasatch Operations

EOF Box 524, Brigham City, Utah 84302 (801) 863-3511

Η. McIntosh Materials and Processes

chard

R. S. Adams Reliability

2 Jupdeck - 6-26-89

ECS SS 2759

| Aerospac                  | e Group                  |                    |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Space Operations CONTENTS |                          |                    |  |  |  |  |
|                           |                          | Page               |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0                       | INTRODUCTION             |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0                       | CONCLUSIONS              |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0                       | RECOMMENDATIONS          |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0                       | SUMMARY                  |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0                       | OBJECTIVES               |                    |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0                       | DISCUSSION               |                    |  |  |  |  |
|                           |                          | TABLE              |  |  |  |  |
| I.                        | Erosion Rate Calculation | ns - Copper Slag 7 |  |  |  |  |
|                           |                          | FIGURE             |  |  |  |  |

# 

Reference: Work Request No. 189040 from Clearfield Manufacturing Engineering

### Process Engineering Technical Report Categories:

Cleaning Grit Blasting

| DOC | TWR - 1 | 9105 | 1   |   |       |  |
|-----|---------|------|-----|---|-------|--|
| NO. |         |      | VOL |   |       |  |
| SEC |         | PAGE |     | i |       |  |
|     |         |      |     |   | Titur |  |

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Blackhawk copper slag blast medium has been tested and found to be superior to zirconium silicate for refurbishment of railcars at H-7. Process Engineering was requested to investigate an alternative to zirconium silicate for railcar refurbishment due to the cost and decreasing availability of zirconium silicate. A change to the Blackhawk copper slag would represent a 91-percent cost savings over the currently-used zirconium silicate.

Copper slag is produced from the slag that has accumulated over the years at Kennecott Copper near Salt Lake City. The vendor estimated that, at current production rates, there is a 20 to 30 year supply of the material.

The slag product has been found to remove paint from railcars three to five times faster than zirconium silicate, produces very little dust, and provides a bonding surface for paint adhesion that is comparable to that of zirconium silicate. The copper slag also has the advantage of being environmentally acceptable to the State of Utah for outdoor unconfined blasting. To minimize erosion, a 2-ft or greater standoff distance should be maintained while blasting. Copper slag will embed itself into A-36 steel while blasting.

#### 2.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The copper slag blast medium removed paint from a railcar three to five times faster than did zirconium silicate.
- 2. Zirconium silicate costs approximately \$ 0.17 per pound in large quantities where Blackhawk copper slag is currently available in large quantities for \$ 0.015 per pound.
- 3. Based on an estimated annual ZrSiO<sub>4</sub> consumption for railcar maintenance of 90k pounds at \$ 0.17 per pound, the annual savings realized by changing to copper slag at \$ 0.015 per pound would be \$1,350 or a 91.2 percent savings over zirconium silicate.
- 4. The above cost savings do not include labor savings from the reduced processing time due to the increased paint-removal rate of copper slag.
- 5. Testing showed that Blackhawk copper slag (20 40 mesh) left a surface with approximately the same average roughness as did 100 to 200 mesh ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>.

| DOC<br>NO. | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|------------|-----------|-----|
| SEC        | PAGE      | 1   |

REVISION \_\_\_

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

- 6. The cost savings of a reusable 3,000-1b bag over the 100-1b bags would pay back 150 percent of the cost of the reusable bag on the first purchase. The reusable bag has an estimated life of 10 refills.
- 7. Blackhawk 20 40 mesh copper slag is approved for outdoor unconfined blasting under Section 4.10.3.a(4) of the Utah Air Conservation Regulation.
- 8. When used as a blast media, copper slag will embed itself into a steel surface.
- 9. The erosion of A-36 steel caused when blasting with copper slag increases quickly for standoff distances closer than two feet.
- When blasting with copper slag, the erosion experienced at a 45 degree blast angle is slightly more than that experienced at a 90-degree blast angle.

#### 3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that:

- 1. Blackhawk copper slag be used for railcar refurbishment.
- 2. Copper slag be purchased in 3,000-lb reusable bags with a dispensing port at the bottom corner of the bag.
- 3. Copper slag should be considered for use on non-critical tooling items.
- 4. An investigation should be made to determine if copper slag is a suitable replacement for zirconium silicate in general.
- 5. When blasting with copper slag, standoff distance should be held to two or more feet whenever possible.

#### 4.0 SUMMARY

REVIS

FORM

RSRM Manufacturing Engineering requested that Process Engineering investigate a less expensive blast medium for blasting railcars. A vendor search was conducted and it was found that slag blast media are the least expensive available. In the area, Blackhawk copper slag is the most economical due to local production and the resultant short shipping distances.

| ION                    | DOC<br>NO. | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
|                        | SEC        | PAGE      | 2   |
| TC 7994-310 (REV 2-88) |            |           | _   |

Aerospace Group

#### Space Operations

Copper slag is a mixture of calcium ferrisilicate and iron orthosilicate and is a byproduct of refining copper from its ore. Copper slag blast medium is produced from the slag that has accumulated over the years at Kennecott Copper on the west side of the Salt Lake Valley. The vendor estimated that, at current production rates, there is a 20 to 30 year supply of the material.

Blackhawk 20 - 40 mesh copper slag is approved for outdoor unconfined blasting under Section 4.10.3.a(4) of the Utah Air Conservation Regulation. The State of Utah requires that media used for outdoor unconfined blasting pass a maximum of one percent through a 70-mesh sieve before blasting. A determination of the acceptability of other types and brands of media must be made before outdoor blasting can be performed.

A local distributor of the slag provided a 100-pound sample, and arrangements were made to test the copper slag medium on a railiar at H-7. Blackhawk copper slag blast medium was tested and found to be superior to zirconium silicate for refurbishment of railcars at Clearfield, H-7. The slag product was found to remove paint from railcars three to five times faster than ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>, produces very little dust as compared to ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>, and provides a bonding surface for paint adhesion that is comparable to that of ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>.

Based on estimates from Clearfield Manufacturing Engineering, approximately 7,500 lb of zirconium silicate per month or 90k lb per year are used to refurbish railcars. Based on an estimated price of 0.17 per lb, the annual cost of  $2rSiO_4$  is 15,300. Blackhawk copper slag is available in 3,000-lb bags that can be lifted with a forklift for filling blast tanks at 0.015 per lb. If the annual usage of slag were the same as for  $2rSiO_4$ , the annual cost would be 1,350, or only 8.8 percent of the cost of  $2rSiO_4$ . Since the slag removes paint and rust faster than  $2rSiO_4$ , additional savings may be realized from reduced material consumption and labor costs.

After the testing with the slag was completed, the H-7 operators were allowed to use the rest of the slag to finish their work on the railcar. It was observed that while the operator uses  $2rSiO_4$ , he must crouch to get the blast nozzle close to the part being blasted for effective removal of paint and rust. But while the operator was using the slag, he was able to stand nearly erect and still get effective paint removal with the increased nozzle-to-surface distance. Therefore, with the slag, the operator could maintain a much more comfortable work position.

| DOC<br>NO | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|-----------|-----------|-----|
| SEC       | PAGE      | 3   |

REVISION \_\_\_\_\_

#### Aerospace Group

#### Space Operations

5.0 **OBJECTIVES** 

The objectives of this investigation were to:

- 1. Find an alternate low-cost blast media.
- 2. Compare the effectiveness of the alternate blast medium in removing paint from a railcar as compared to ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>.
- 3. Determine if the surface finish and bonding characteristics of the alternate blast medium are similar to those of  $ZrSiO_4$ .
- 4. Determine how erosive copper slag would be if used to refurbish railcars.

#### 6.0 DISCUSSION

Currently, the most popular blast medium in the area for industrial applications is copper slag. Slags of many types are used for blasting, but due to the availability of copper slag locally and the short transportation distances, copper slag is the most economical. Information from vendors states that copper slag is harder than ZrSiO<sub>4</sub>, and is suitable for blasting outdoors or in a blast house where the medium is recycled. Free silica is a health hazard associated with many types of blast media, but the copper slag is reported to have less than one percent free silica.

The copper slag is available in 100-lb bags, 3,000-lb bags, or in bulk. A comparison of the cost of bags was made to determine the most cost effective way to purchase the media. Bulk purchase of the medium was not considered due to lack of storage facilities.

The 3,000-lb bags are made to be lifted into position with a forklift and the blast medium dispensed through a small reclosable opening in the bottom of the bag. The purchase of reusable bag for \$25.00 each would be necessary, but would allow the grit to be procured for \$45.00 per 3,000 lb. The reusable 3,000-lb bags can be used about 10 times before they are replaced. If the same 3,000 lb of grit were purchased in paper bags, the cost would be \$82.50. The cost savings of the reusable 3,000-lb bag over the 100-lb bags would pay back 150 percent of the cost of the bag on the first purchase.

The Blackhawk medium grade (20 - 40 mesh) has been assigned Stock Number 57-020175. To save time and transportation costs, it should be possible to order the slag from the vendor in Ogden and to have it sent directly to H-7 where it will be used.

| DOC<br>NO. | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|------------|-----------|-----|
| SEC        | PAGE      | 4   |

REVISION \_\_\_\_

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

A paint-removal test was conducted at H-7 on a railcar typical of those refurbished there. The test was carried out by holding the blast nozzle of the Empire blaster used on railcars 12 inches away from and at 90 degrees to the car bed. The blast nozzle was moved at approximately one foot per second between two marks one foot apart. The  $ZrSiO_4$  required three to five passes to remove the paint to a white-metal condition where the copper slag removed the paint on the first pass. The width of the bands of paint removed in each case were approximately the same.

Some areas of railcar were blasted with  $ZrSiO_4$  and some with copper slag after which surface measurements were made with a Surtronic 10 surface analyzer. The average roughness of the ZrSiO\_4-blasted area was 242 microinches with a coefficient of variation of 10.6 percent. The average roughness of the slag-blasted area was 249 microinches with a coefficient of variation of 18.5 percent. It was concluded that the surface finishes were equivalent.

An ASTM-D3359-83 paint-adhesion test was conducted in which the painted surfaces were scored, adhesive tape applied and quickly removed. Surfaces prepared with both types of blast media showed that only a small amount of paint was removed. It was concluded that the bond surfaces for paint adhesion were both adequate and comparable.

Finally, a test was conducted to determine how erosive copper slag would be if it was used on railcars. Twenty A-36 structural steel coupons were divided into four sets of five coupons each. The sets were blasted using differing parameters, as follows:

Set No. 1 - 1-ft standoff, 90 degree blast angle, 15 seconds Set No. 2 - 2-ft standoff, 90 degree blast angle, 15 seconds Set No. 3 - 2-ft standoff, 45 degree blast angle, 15 seconds Set No. 4 - 3-ft standoff, 90 degree blast angle, 15 seconds

The coupons were weighed before and after blasting to the nearest 1/1000 of a gram on the Metler 160 scale in A-4. The erosion was calculated (Table I) and graphed (Figure 1). Results show that erosion increased dramatically for standoff distances less than two feet. It was also discovered that erosion was slightly greater for a 45-degree blast angle than for a 90-degree blast angle. It should be noted that the test coupons had small specks of copper slag embedded in the steel surface after blasting.

| ooc | TWR-19105 | ł   |   |
|-----|-----------|-----|---|
| NO. |           | VOL |   |
| SEC | PAGE      |     | 5 |

FORM TC 7994-310 (REV 2-88)

REVISION \_

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

The blasting was done with a portable Empire blaster at H-7 (regularly used to refurbish railcars). Great care was taken to empty the blaster of all zirconium silicate before testing began to make sure that only pure copper slag was used for testing.

| REVISION                    | DOC<br>NO. | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| FORM TC 7994-310 (REV 2-88) | SEC        | PAGE      | 6   |

Ξ

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

.

| 310 (REV 2-88) |          | Set Description                       | Coupon              | Preblast Wt<br>(grams) | Postblast Wt<br>(grams) | <u> AVt-grans</u> | Coupon<br><u>Area (in<sup>2</sup>)</u> | Erosion<br>(mils/15sec) | Avg Erosion<br>(mils/15sec) |
|----------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                |          | l-ft Standoff                         | 26                  | 126.8302               | 124.0366                | 2.7926            | 4.016                                  | 5.4                     |                             |
|                |          | 90 Degree                             | 27                  | 126.8748               | 123,8089                | 3.0659            | 4.002                                  | 6.0                     |                             |
|                |          | Blast Angle                           | 28                  | 126.9736               | 124.5577                | 2.4159            | 4.022                                  | 4.7                     | 4.9                         |
|                |          | (Set 1)                               | 29                  | 126.8218               | 124.8163                | 2.0055            | 4.020                                  | 3.9                     |                             |
|                |          |                                       | 30                  | 126.6898               | 124.3327                | 2.3571            | 3.994                                  | 4.6                     |                             |
|                |          |                                       |                     |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |
|                |          | 2-ft Standoff                         | 36                  | 126.6657               | 125.9575                | .7082             | 3.996                                  | 1.4                     |                             |
|                |          | 90 Degree                             | 37                  | 126.9340               | 126.2485                | .6855             | 4.006                                  | 1.3                     |                             |
|                |          | Blast Angle                           | 38                  | 126.6451               | 125.6370                | 1.0810            | 3.998                                  | 2.0                     | 1.5                         |
|                |          | (Set 2)                               | 39                  | 126.9112               | 126.2322                | .6790             | 4.000                                  | 1.3                     |                             |
|                |          |                                       | 40                  | 126.8868               | 126.1862                | .7006             | 4.024                                  | 1.4                     |                             |
|                |          |                                       |                     |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |
|                |          | 3-ft Standoff                         | 41                  | 126.0281               | 126.4001                | .3720             | 3.998                                  | ۲.                      |                             |
| SE             | DC<br>NC | 90 Degree                             | 42                  | 126.7884               | 126.2953                | .4931             | 4.000                                  | 1.0                     |                             |
|                |          | Blast Angle                           | 43                  | 127.0474               | 126.4754                | .5720             | 4.010                                  | 1.1                     | 6.                          |
|                | T        | (Set 3)                               | 44                  | 126.9295               | 126.4855                | 0777.             | 4.012                                  | 6.                      |                             |
|                | WR·      |                                       | 45                  | 126.8786               | 126.3430                | .5356             | 4.012                                  | 1.0                     |                             |
|                | -19      |                                       |                     |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |
|                | 10       | 2-ft Standoff                         | 97                  | 127.0035               | 126.0114                | .9921             | 3.998                                  | 1.9                     |                             |
|                | 5        | 45 Degree                             | 47                  | 126.8415               | 125.7658                | 1.0757            | 4.010                                  | 2.1                     |                             |
| Τ              |          | Blast Angle                           | 48                  | 127.0320               | 126.1758                | .8562             | 4.000                                  | 1.7                     | 2.1                         |
| PAG            |          | (Set 4)                               | 49                  | 126.7667               | 125.9806                | .7861             | 3.994                                  | 1.5                     |                             |
| E              |          |                                       | 50                  | 126.8169               | 125.2371                | 1.5798            | 4.002                                  | 3.1                     |                             |
| 7              |          |                                       |                     |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |
|                | )L       | * Density - 0.284 lb/in. <sup>3</sup> | 1b/in. <sup>3</sup> |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |
|                |          |                                       |                     |                        |                         |                   |  |                         |                             |

7


.

.


TABLE I. Erosion Rate Calculations - Copper Slag

REVISION \_

•



SEC



FORM TC 7994-310 (REV 2-88)

8

PAGE

Aerospace Group

Space Operations

.

.

### DISTRIBUTION

|            |     | Adams             | 851       |
|------------|-----|-------------------|-----------|
|            |     | agon              | 427       |
|            | G.  |                   | L10       |
|            |     | Bauer             | 312       |
| <b>S</b> . | J.  | Bennett           | 280       |
| Β.         | L.  | Betenson          | 411       |
| C.         | S.  | Blackhurst        | 427       |
| J.         | R.  | Braithwaite       | L34       |
| G.         | Z.  | Brinkerhoff       | L22       |
| J.         | L.  | Busenbark         | 427       |
| G.         | A.  | Caporale          | E14       |
|            |     | Christensen       | 203       |
|            |     | Davis             | E00       |
| L.         | G.  | Dodd              | KSC       |
|            |     | Finlayson         | 203       |
|            |     | leniewski         | L10       |
| G.         | H.  | Grunig            | U00       |
| Μ.         | Μ.  | Hash              | 203       |
|            | Ρ.  | Jensen            | G02       |
| W.         | В.  | Jensen<br>Johnson | 411       |
| Τ.         | Α.  | Klick             | Louisiana |
| Ρ.         | Ε.  | Lakomski          | E16       |
| R.         | D.  | Larsen            | 851       |
| G.         | W.  | LeBaron           | L10       |
|            |     | Lund              | 200       |
| J.         | Ρ.  | Martin            | 506C      |
| Α.         | J.  | McDonald          | GOOA      |
| Н.         | Η.  | McIntosh          | L40       |
|            |     | Owen              | Longhorn  |
| Ρ.         | C.  | Petty             | L10       |
| Т.         | F.  | Pinkerman         | MSFC      |
| Α.         |     | Porter            | 411       |
| Β.         | Μ.  | Potter            | E06       |
| D.         | L.  | Rice              | 203       |
| N.         | W.  | Sagers            | 203       |
| L.         | Ε.  | Seidner           | 203       |
| G.         | The | ompson            | 240       |
| J.         |     | Wells             | G00       |
| Ρ.         | Κ.  | Westwood          | 312       |
| D.         | V.  | Wright            | 312       |
|            |     | ss Engineering    | 203       |
| Fi]        |     | - •               |           |
| Teo        | chn | lcal Library      | K21       |
|            |     | -                 |           |

| REVISION                    | DOC<br>NO. | TWR-19105 | VOL |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
|                             | SEC        | PAGE      |     |
| FORM TC 7994-310 (REV 2-88) |            | I         | 9   |

.

<u>M/S</u>

.