

NASA Contractor Report 181959

ICASE Report No. 89-79

ICASE

THE ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF DILATATIONAL TERMS IN COMPRESSIBLE TURBULENCE

**S. Sarkar
G. Erlebacher
M. Y. Hussaini
H. O. Kreiss**

Contract No. NAS1-18605
December 1989

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, Virginia 23665-5225

Operated by the Universities Space Research Association



National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Langley Research Center
Hampton, Virginia 23665-5225

(NASA-CR-181959) THE ANALYSIS AND MODELLING
OF DILATATIONAL TERMS IN COMPRESSIBLE
TURBULENCE Final Report (ICASE) 34 p

N90-14513

CSCL 200

Unclas

G3/34 0253029



THE ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF DILATATIONAL TERMS IN COMPRESSIBLE TURBULENCE¹

S. Sarkar, G. Erlebacher, M.Y. Hussaini

Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering

NASA Langley Research Center

and H. O. Kreiss

Department of Mathematics

UCLA

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the dilatational terms that need to be modeled in compressible turbulence include not only the pressure-dilatation term but also another term - the compressible dissipation. The nature of these dilatational terms in homogeneous turbulence is explored by asymptotic analysis of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. A non-dimensional parameter which characterizes some compressible effects in moderate Mach number, homogeneous turbulence is identified. Direct numerical simulations (DNS) of isotropic, compressible turbulence are performed, and their results are found to be in agreement with the theoretical analysis. A model for the compressible dissipation is proposed; the model is based on the asymptotic analysis and the direct numerical simulations. This model is calibrated with reference to the DNS results regarding the influence of compressibility on the decay rate of isotropic turbulence. An application of the proposed model to the compressible mixing layer has shown that the model is able to predict the dramatically reduced growth rate of the compressible mixing layer.

¹This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under NASA Contract No. NAS1-18605 while the authors were in residence at the Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering (ICASE), NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.



1 Introduction

When the Mach number of a turbulent flow increases, the fluctuations in the thermodynamic variables - density, temperature and pressure - become progressively more important. Also, the velocity field can no longer be assumed to be solenoidal when the flow Mach number is significant. Turbulence modeling for compressible flow, therefore, has to account for the additional correlations involving both the fluctuating thermodynamic quantities and the fluctuating dilatation. In low-speed flows too, significant fluctuations in density and dilatation can occur in various situations, such as, the mixing of fluids with different densities, turbulent combustion, and turbulent boundary layers with strongly heated walls. This paper is concerned with only high-speed flows. The role of thermodynamic and dilatational fluctuations in low-speed flows is probably different from that in high-speed flows; for example, a supersonic shear layer at Mach 3 shows significant reduction in growth rate relative to the incompressible shear layer, however, a low-speed, variable-density shear layer having the same density difference as the Mach 3 shear layer exhibits a relatively mild change in growth rate with respect to its constant-density counterpart.

Among the various additional correlations introduced into the problem due to compressibility, only the class of correlations involving the fluctuating dilatation is considered here. The need for modeling the pressure-dilatation is generally accepted; we show, however, that there is another dilatational correlation - the compressible dissipation - which also merits attention.

According to Morkovin's hypothesis (Morkovin 1964, Bradshaw 1977), direct compressible effects on the turbulence may be ignored when the ratio of the root-mean-square (r.m.s.) density fluctuations to the mean density is small. Consequently (Bradshaw 1977), variable mean density extensions of incompressible turbulence models are expected to give good results in turbulent boundary layers with the free-stream Mach number $M < 5$, and in compressible jets with $M < 1.5$. Apart from the intensity of the density fluctuations, there is another, related, indicator of the intrinsic compressibility of high-speed turbulence, the turbulent Mach number $M_t = q/\bar{c}$, where q^2 is twice the turbulent kinetic energy, and \bar{c} is the

local mean speed of sound. Using asymptotic theory and direct numerical simulations, we show that the compressible dissipation is naturally related to the turbulent Mach number.

The presence of shock waves is an important feature that distinguishes the high-speed flows from the low-speed ones. It is known that the interaction of a shock wave with a turbulent boundary layer leads to significant increase in turbulence intensity and shear stress across the shock (Sekundoz 1974; Mateer, Brosh and Viegas 1976; Delery 1981). Some of the basic mechanisms underlying the shock wave/ turbulence interaction have been investigated through the numerical solutions (Zang, Hussaini and Bushnell 1976) of Euler equations. Such compressibility effects may preclude successful extension of incompressible turbulence models to include compressibility solely through the variability of the mean density.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the dilatational terms that need to be modeled in the Reynolds stress transport equation are formally obtained. In Section 3 the dilatational terms are analyzed by an asymptotic theory; the main result of this section is the identification of a non-dimensional parameter F which is approximately equal to unity for low Mach number, compressible, homogeneous turbulence. In Section 4 results of three-dimensional direct numerical simulations (DNS) of moderate Mach number, isotropic turbulence are presented and shown to be in good agreement with the theoretical findings of Section 3. In Section 5 a model for the compressible dissipation, which is based on the asymptotic analysis and the DNS, is proposed; the model is calibrated with reference to the DNS results on the decay rate of compressible, isotropic turbulence; and an application by Sarkar and Lakshmanan² of the new model to the compressible shear layer is briefly considered. Conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2 Dilatational terms in the turbulence transport equations

In this section, we identify the correlations involving the fluctuating dilatation that need to be modeled in the Reynolds stress transport equations. It is shown that in addition to the

²ICASE report in preparation

well known pressure-dilatation, an additional term, the *compressible dissipation*, needs to be modeled.

The compressible Navier-Stokes equations, along with an equation of state, govern the behavior of the density ρ , the velocity u_i , the temperature T and the pressure p in a high-speed, compressible flow. When the compressible flow is turbulent, an averaged form of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations is usually considered, wherein the instantaneous variables are decomposed into a mean and a fluctuating part, and the governing equations are averaged in order to yield equations for the mean variables. Usually Favre averages (density-weighted averages) are used for the velocity and temperature, while conventional Reynolds averages are used for the pressure and density; primarily, because such a combination leads to a simpler representation of the temporal derivatives and the convective terms in the averaged equations. We employ the above-mentioned approach too, and decompose the field variables as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \tilde{u}_i + u'_i & \rho &= \bar{\rho} + \rho'' \\ T &= \tilde{T} + T' & p &= \bar{p} + p'' \end{aligned}$$

The overbar denotes the conventional Reynolds average and the superscript '' denotes fluctuations with respect to the Reynolds average, while the overtilde denotes the Favre average and the superscript ' denotes fluctuations with respect to the Favre average. The Favre average $\tilde{\phi}$ of a field variable ϕ is a density-weighted Reynolds average;

$$\tilde{\phi} = \overline{\rho\phi}/\bar{\rho}$$

We consider a second-order turbulence closure where in addition to the mean equations, transport equations are included for the Favre-averaged Reynolds stress $\widetilde{u'_i u'_j}$ and the turbulence dissipation rate ϵ . The exact transport equation for $\widetilde{u'_i u'_j}$ is,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i(\bar{\rho}\widetilde{u'_i u'_j}) + (\bar{\rho}\widetilde{u'_k u'_i u'_j})_{,k} &= P_{ij} - T_{ijk,k} + \Pi_{ij} - \bar{\rho}\epsilon_{ij} + \frac{2}{3}\overline{p''u''_{k,k}}\delta_{ij} \\ &\quad - \overline{u'_i p'_{,j}} - \overline{u'_j p'_{,i}} + \overline{u'_i \sigma'_{jk,k}} + \overline{u'_j \sigma'_{ik,k}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ij} &= -\bar{\rho}(u'_i \widetilde{u'_k} \widetilde{u_{j,k}} + u'_j \widetilde{u'_k} \widetilde{u_{i,k}}) \\
T_{ijk} &= \bar{\rho} u'_i \widetilde{u'_j u'_k} + (\overline{p'' u'_i} \delta_{jk} + \overline{p'' u'_j} \delta_{ik}) - (\overline{u'_i \sigma''_{jk}} + \overline{u'_j \sigma''_{ik}}) \\
\Pi_{ij} &= \overline{p'' u''_{i,j}} + \overline{p'' u''_{j,i}} - \frac{2}{3} \overline{p'' u''_{k,k}} \delta_{ij} \\
\bar{\rho} \epsilon_{ij} &= \overline{\sigma''_{ik} u''_{j,k}} + \overline{\sigma''_{jk} u''_{i,k}}
\end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (1), P_{ij} denotes the production, T_{ijk} denotes the diffusive transport, Π_{ij} denotes the deviatoric part of the pressure-strain correlation, and ϵ_{ij} denotes the turbulent dissipation rate tensor. The term ϵ_{ij} is commonly believed to be isotropic at high turbulence Reynolds number; thus, for high Reynolds number turbulence, ϵ_{ij} is modeled as,

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \frac{2}{3} \epsilon \delta_{ij}$$

where the turbulent dissipation rate ϵ is defined by the expression,

$$\bar{\rho} \epsilon = \overline{\sigma''_{ij} u''_{i,j}}$$

We note that the conventional Reynolds average of the Favre fluctuation u'_i is non-zero; in fact, $\overline{u'_i}$ is related to the turbulent mass flux $\overline{\rho'' u''_i}$ by the expression

$$\overline{u'_i} = -\frac{\overline{\rho'' u''_i}}{\bar{\rho}}$$

At first glance, it appears that the only term in Eq. (1) which contains the fluctuating dilatation $d'' = u''_{k,k}$ is the pressure-dilatation $\overline{p'' d''}$. However, we show below that in a compressible flow there is another term containing d'' , which has its origin in the turbulent dissipation rate ϵ . The viscous stress σ_{ij} in a compressible flow is given by

$$\sigma_{ij} = \mu(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) - \frac{2}{3} \mu d \delta_{ij}$$

where we have assumed that the bulk viscosity is zero. Assuming constant viscosity, the following expression for the turbulent dissipation rate is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\rho} \epsilon &= \overline{\sigma''_{ij} u''_{i,j}} \\
&= \mu(2 \overline{s''_{kl} s''_{kl}} - \frac{2}{3} \overline{d''^2})
\end{aligned}$$

where the fluctuating strain rate $s''_{kl} = (u''_{k,l} + u''_{l,k})/2$. Even if the viscosity is not assumed constant, standard order of magnitude estimates lead to the following expression for the turbulent dissipation rate, which is asymptotically exact for high turbulence Reynolds number:

$$\bar{\rho}\epsilon = \bar{\mu}(2\overline{s''_{kl}s''_{kl}} - \frac{2}{3}\overline{d''^2}) \quad (2)$$

Let us denote the fluctuating vorticity tensor by $w''_{kl} = (u''_{k,l} - u''_{l,k})/2$ and the fluctuating vorticity by ω''_i . On substituting the relationship

$$\overline{s''_{kl}s''_{kl}} = \overline{w''_{kl}w''_{kl}} + \overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}}$$

into Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\bar{\rho}\epsilon = \bar{\mu}(2\overline{w''_{kl}w''_{kl}} + 2\overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}} - \frac{2}{3}\overline{d''^2}) \quad (3)$$

The scalar $\overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}}$ satisfies the following equation (which may be verified by inspection):

$$\overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}} = (\overline{u''_k u''_l})_{,kl} - 2(\overline{u''_{k,k} u''_l})_{,l} + \overline{u''_{k,k} u''_{l,l}} \quad (4)$$

For homogeneous turbulence, Eq. (4) becomes the following rather simple expression for $\overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{u''_{k,l}u''_{l,k}} &= \overline{u''_{k,k}u''_{l,l}} \\ &= \overline{d''^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For inhomogeneous turbulence, using standard order of magnitude estimates, Eq. (5) may be shown to be asymptotically correct for high turbulence Reynolds number. On substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho}\epsilon &= \bar{\mu}(2\overline{w''_{kl}w''_{kl}} + \frac{4}{3}\overline{d''^2}) \\ &= \bar{\mu}(\overline{\omega''_i \omega''_i} + \frac{4}{3}\overline{d''^2}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus, we have shown that for compressible turbulence the dissipation rate may be decomposed into two parts - the solenoidal dissipation ϵ_s , and the *compressible dissipation* ϵ_c ; that is,

$$\bar{\rho}\epsilon = \bar{\rho}\epsilon_s + \bar{\rho}\epsilon_c \quad (7)$$

where

$$\overline{\rho\epsilon_s} = \overline{\mu\omega_i''\omega_i''} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\overline{\rho\epsilon_c} = \frac{4}{3}\overline{\mu d''^2} \quad (9)$$

Equation (7) is asymptotically exact for turbulence with high Reynolds number (which is of practical interest) and is exact for constant viscosity, homogeneous turbulence (which corresponds to the direct simulations discussed later).

The quantities ϵ_c and ϵ_s are respectively called the compressible dissipation and the solenoidal dissipation because the asymptotic analysis of the next section shows that for low Mach number compressible turbulence ϵ_c varies on a fast compressibility time scale relative to ϵ_s . Only ϵ_c is directly affected by changes of compressibility indicators such as the turbulent Mach number while the fluctuating vorticity field and thereby ϵ_s is relatively unaffected by such changes in moderate Mach number turbulence. It should be noted that the direct numerical simulations also show that moderate compressibility affects ϵ_c and not ϵ_s .

Zeman (1989) has also independently used a similar decomposition of the dissipation rate into a solenoidal and a compressible part. Zeman considers the presence of eddy shocklets which are assumed to augment only the compressible dissipation, bypassing the solenoidal energy cascade. We, on the other hand, identify the compressible and solenoidal parts of the turbulent dissipation by asymptotic analysis of compressible turbulence and validate the decomposition with direct numerical simulations.

For polyatomic gases, the bulk viscosity may be comparable in magnitude to the shear viscosity μ and lead to an extra dissipation which has a functional form similar to that of ϵ_c . The additional turbulent dissipation due to the non-negligible bulk viscosity can be easily modeled in the same way that the compressible dissipation ϵ_c is modeled in Section 5.

3 Low Mach number asymptotics

The dimensional variables which are denoted by superscript $*$ are non-dimensionalized as follows:

$$l = \frac{l^*}{l_r^*}, \quad u = \frac{u^*}{u_r^*}, \quad t = \frac{t^* u_r^*}{l_r^*}$$

$$\rho = \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_r^*}, \quad p = \frac{p^*}{p_r^*}, \quad T = \frac{T^*}{T_r^*}$$

where l_r^* and u_r^* denote a characteristic turbulence integral length scale and a turbulence velocity scale, respectively; ρ_r^* , p_r^* , and $T_r^* = p_r^*/R\rho_r^*$ denote reference values for the density, thermodynamic pressure, and static temperature, respectively; and R denotes the gas constant. Reference values for the kinematic viscosity and the thermal diffusivity are respectively denoted by ν_r^* and α_r^* . After using the above non-dimensionalization, the compressible Navier-Stokes equations take the form,

$$\partial_t \rho + u_i \rho_{,i} = -\rho u_{i,i} \quad (10)$$

$$\rho \partial_t u_i + \rho u_j u_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{\gamma M_r^2} p_{,i} + \frac{1}{Re_r} \sigma_{ij,j} \quad (11)$$

$$\rho \partial_t T + \rho u_j T_{,j} = -(\gamma - 1) p u_{i,i} - \frac{\gamma}{Pr_r Re_r} q_{i,i} + \gamma(\gamma - 1) \frac{M_r^2}{Re_r} \sigma_{ij} u_{i,j} \quad (12)$$

The variables σ_{ij} and q_i denote the viscous stress tensor and the heat flux, respectively. The non-dimensional parameters appearing in Eqs. (10)-(12) are the Mach number $M_r = u_r^*/(\sqrt{\gamma p_r^*/\rho_r^*})$, the Reynolds number $Re_r = u_r^* l_r^*/\nu_r^*$ and the Prandtl number $Pr_r = \nu_r^*/\alpha_r^*$.

We now consider homogeneous, compressible turbulence and adopt the approach of Erlebacher et al.², in which the velocity is split into an incompressible, solenoidal velocity u_i^I and a compressible velocity u_i^C ; and the pressure change with respect to the reference pressure is correspondingly split into an incompressible pressure p^I and a compressible pressure p^C , as follows:

$$u_i = u_i^I + u_i^C$$

$$p = 1 + p^I + p^C \quad (13)$$

²ICASE report in preparation

The variables p^I and u_i^I satisfy the incompressible problem, i.e.,

$$\partial_t u_i^I + u_j^I u_{i,j}^I = -p_{,i}^I + \frac{1}{Re_r} u_{i,jj}^I \quad (14)$$

$$u_{i,i}^I = 0 \quad (15)$$

The compressible problem, which is the set of equations for u_i^C and p^C , has been derived and discussed by Erlebacher et al. (1989) for the isentropic case. The isentropic, compressible problem can be investigated by an asymptotic analysis, wherein the Mach number M_r is considered to be a small parameter, and the pressure is expanded in a power series with respect to M_r . The leading order term in the asymptotic series for the compressible pressure p^C is written as,

$$p^C = \delta P \quad (16)$$

where $\delta = O(M_r^n)$ and $P = O(1)$.

We now consider the compressible problem on a sufficiently small time scale t_C , which allows us to make the acoustic truncation of the governing equations and thus neglect the convective and viscous terms. (The definition of t_C will be made precise later.) The problem for the compressible fluctuations simplifies to the following set of equations:

$$\partial_t P'' + \frac{\gamma}{\delta} (u_{i,i}^C)'' = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\partial_t (u_i^C)'' + \frac{\delta}{\gamma M_r^2} P_{,i}'' = 0 \quad (18)$$

The subscript $_0$ is used to denote the initial value $\phi(x_i, 0)$ of a variable $\phi(x_i, t)$; for example,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_i^C)''(x_i, 0) &= (u_i^C)''_0(x_i) \\ P''(x_i, 0) &= (P'')_0(x_i) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The initial compressible velocity field $(u_i^C)''_0$ satisfies the conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (u_i^C)''_0 &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot (u_i^C)''_0 &= (d'')_0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the initial value for the compressible velocity field is chosen to be irrotational and dilatational, while the initial value for the incompressible velocity field is chosen to be rotational and solenoidal; any arbitrary choice of the initial velocity field is amenable to such a Helmholtz decomposition which is unique for homogeneous flows.

Let us denote the vorticity $\nabla \times (u_i^C)''$ associated with the compressible velocity by $(\omega_i^C)''$, and the dilatation $\nabla \cdot (u_i^C)''$ associated with the compressible velocity by d'' . On taking the curl of Eq. (18), and making use of the initial condition $(\omega_i^C)''_0 = 0$, it follows that $(\omega_i^C)''(x_i, t) = 0$. Thus the compressible velocity remains irrotational under the acoustic truncation of the governing equations.

After some manipulation, Eqs. (17) and (18) yield,

$$\partial_{tt}P'' - \frac{1}{M_r^2}P''_{,ii} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_{tt}d'' - \frac{1}{M_r^2}d''_{,ii} = 0 \quad (21)$$

The above wave equations for the pressure and the dilatation are coupled through the initial conditions; the initial conditions for Eq. (20) are

$$\begin{aligned} P''(x_i, 0) &= (P'')_0 \\ \partial_t P''(x_i, 0) &= -\frac{\gamma}{\delta}(d'')_0 \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

while those for Eq. (21) are,

$$\begin{aligned} d''(x_i, 0) &= (d'')_0 \\ \partial_t d''(x_i, 0) &= -\frac{\delta}{\gamma M_r^2}(P''_{,ii})_0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The explicit appearance of M_r in Eqs. (20) and (21) is removed by rescaling time through the transformation,

$$\tau = \frac{t}{M_r} \quad (24)$$

After using Eq. (24) to rescale time, the equations for P'' and d'' take the form,

$$\partial_{\tau\tau} P'' - P''_{,ii} = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$\partial_{\tau\tau} d'' - d''_{,ii} = 0 \quad (26)$$

The initial conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} P''(x_i, 0) &= (P'')_0 \\ \partial_{\tau} P''(x_i, 0) &= -\frac{1}{M_r^*} (d'')_0 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d''(x_i, 0) &= (d'')_0 \\ \partial_{\tau} d''(x_i, 0) &= -M_r^* (P'')_0 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the quantity M_r^* is defined by the expression,

$$M_r^* = \frac{\delta}{\gamma M_r} \quad (29)$$

We define the turbulent Mach number M_t as,

$$M_t = q/\bar{c} \quad (30)$$

where

$$q^2 = \widetilde{u'_i u'_i}$$

and \bar{c} is the mean speed of sound. We can rewrite Eq. (29) in terms of the turbulent Mach number M_t as follows,

$$M_r^* = \frac{\delta q}{\gamma M_t \bar{c}} \quad (31)$$

where $c_r = c_r^*/u_r^* = 1/M_r$ is the non-dimensional, reference speed of sound.

The system of Eqs. (25) and (26) for the pressure P'' and the divergence d'' are now solved using Fourier transforms. The Fourier transforms $\hat{P}(k_i)$ and $\hat{d}(k_i)$ are defined below,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(k_i, \tau) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{ik_i x_i} P''(x_i, \tau) dx_i \\ \hat{d}(k_i, \tau) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{ik_i x_i} d''(x_i, \tau) dx_i \end{aligned}$$

where k_i denotes the wavenumber vector. In Fourier transform space, Eqs. (25) and (26) take the form,

$$\partial_{\tau\tau}\hat{P} + k^2\hat{P} = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\partial_{\tau\tau}\hat{d} + k^2\hat{d} = 0 \quad (33)$$

while the initial conditions become

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(k_i, 0) &= \hat{P}_0 \\ \partial_\tau\hat{P}(k_i, 0) &= -\frac{\hat{d}_0}{M_r^*} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}(k_i, 0) &= \hat{d}_0 \\ \partial_\tau\hat{d}(k_i, 0) &= M_r^*k^2\hat{P}_0 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

It is a simple matter to obtain the solution of Eq. (32) and Eq. (33) which satisfies the initial conditions Eq. (34) and Eq. (35). The solution is

$$\hat{P}(k_i, \tau) = \hat{P}_0 \cos k\tau - \frac{\hat{d}_0}{kM_r^*} \sin k\tau \quad (36)$$

$$\hat{d}(k_i, \tau) = \hat{d}_0 \cos k\tau + \hat{P}_0 k M_r^* \sin k\tau \quad (37)$$

Eqs. (36) and (37) represent solutions (in Fourier space) for the compressible pressure fluctuation P'' and the fluctuating dilatation d'' ; these solutions were obtained by analysis of the acoustic truncation of the compressible problem. Recalling that the transformed time coordinate τ is related to the time t through Eq. (24), it is clear that the evolution of the compressible pressure and the dilatation from their initial values occurs in a non-dimensional time $t = O(M_r)$. Thus for small M_r , the compressible part of the problem is associated with a fast time scale.

It is now possible to obtain solutions for the pressure variance $\overline{P''^2}(\tau)$, the pressure dilatation $\overline{P''d''}(\tau)$, and the dilatational variance $\overline{d''^2}(\tau)$. By definition, the pressure variance is related to the Fourier transform of the pressure as follows:

$$\overline{P''^2}(\tau) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{V} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{P}(k_i, \tau)|^2 dk_i \quad (38)$$

where V is the (sufficiently large) averaging volume, and $|\hat{P}(k_i, \tau)|$ denotes the modulus of the complex variable $\hat{P}(k_i, \tau)$. Substituting Eq. (36) for $\hat{P}(k_i, \tau)$ into Eq. (38) leads to the following expression for the pressure variance,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{P''^2}(\tau) &= \int_0^\infty E_{P,0}(k) \cos^2 k\tau \, dk + \frac{1}{M_r^{*2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{d,0}(k)}{k^2} \sin^2 k\tau \, dk \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M_r^*} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{Pd,0}(k)}{k} \sin 2k\tau \, dk \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where $E_{\phi,0}(k)$ denotes the initial value of the three-dimensional spectrum $E_\phi(k)$ of the variable ϕ .

We now introduce the concept of *acoustic equilibrium value*. Let ϕ be a variable which evolves on the acoustic time scale t_C . We denote the acoustic equilibrium value of a variable by subscript A . Then the acoustic equilibrium value ϕ_A of the variable ϕ is the asymptotically stationary value that ϕ attains after many acoustic time intervals. Of course, the acoustic equilibrium is a meaningful quantity only if the acoustic truncation of the equations apply for a sufficiently long time, in other words, only if the acoustic time scale t_C is sufficiently smaller than the other time scales in the problem. The other relevant time scales in the problem of homogeneous turbulence are: the turbulent time scale $t_T = k/\epsilon$, where k is the turbulent kinetic energy and ϵ is the turbulent dissipation rate; and the time scale associated with the mean velocity gradient $t_M = (\tilde{u}_{i,j}\tilde{u}_{i,j})^{-1/2}$. Since $t_C/t_T = O(M_t)$, and in usual shear-driven turbulent flows $t_M/t_T = O(1)$, the acoustic equilibrium is formally realizable when $M_t \ll 1$. It should be noted that the acoustic equilibrium value corresponds to a quasi-equilibrium phase during the evolution of the variable; the variable remains stationary over the time interval $t_C \ll t \ll t_T$.

Mathematically, the acoustic equilibrium value of $\overline{P''^2}$ is obtained by evaluating Eq. (39) in the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. The Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, which states that $\int_a^b f(k)e^{ikt} \, dk \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ provided $\int_a^b |f(k)| \, dk$ exists, is used to help evaluate this limit, and the following expression for the acoustic equilibrium $(\overline{P''^2})_A$ of the pressure variance is obtained:

$$(\overline{P''^2})_A = \frac{1}{2} [(\overline{P''^2})_0 + \frac{1}{M_r^{*2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{d,0}(k)}{k^2} \, dk] \quad (40)$$

Let us denote the compressible portion of q^2 (which is twice the turbulent kinetic energy) by q_C^2 ; by definition, we have the relation,

$$q_C^2 = \overline{(u_i^C)''(u_i^C)''}$$

The three-dimensional spectrum $E_d(k)$ of the dilatation and the three-dimensional spectrum $E_{q_C^2}(k)$ of the compressible portion of q^2 are related by

$$E_d(k) = k^2 E_{q_C^2}(k) \quad (41)$$

Using this relation, Eq. (40) yields

$$(\overline{P''^2})_A = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{P''^2})_0 [1 + F_0] \quad (42)$$

where F_0 denotes the initial value of the non-dimensional parameter F which is defined as,

$$F = \frac{q_C^2}{M_r^{*2} \overline{P''^2}} \quad (43)$$

An expression for the acoustic equilibrium of the compressible turbulent kinetic energy $(q_C^2)_A$ is now obtained. After rescaling time by

$$\tau = \frac{t}{M_r}$$

Eqs. (17) and (18) become

$$\partial_\tau P'' + \frac{M_r \gamma}{\delta} (u_{i,i}^C)'' = 0 \quad (44)$$

$$\partial_\tau (u_i^C)'' + \frac{\delta}{M_r \gamma} P''_{,i} = 0 \quad (45)$$

Multiplying Eq.(44) by $(2\delta^2 P'')/(\gamma^2 M_r^2)$, multiplying Eq.(45) by $2(u_i^C)''$, and adding the two resulting equations gives

$$M_r^{*2} \partial_\tau P''^2 + \partial_\tau (u_i^C)''^2 + 2M_r^* [P''(u_i^C)'']_{,i} = 0 \quad (46)$$

where $M_r^* = \delta/(\gamma M_r)$. Averaging Eq. (46) gives the following result for homogeneous turbulence,

$$\partial_\tau [q_C^2 + M_r^{*2} \overline{P''^2}] = 0 \quad (47)$$

Thus, the quantity in square brackets in Eq. (47), which physically represents the full non-dimensional turbulent energy, does not change on the acoustic time scale, and consequently we have

$$(q_C^2)_A + M_r^{*2}(\overline{P''^2})_A = (q_C^2)_0 + M_r^{*2}(\overline{P''^2})_0 \quad (48)$$

After substituting Eq. (42) into Eq. (48), we obtain

$$(q_C^2)_A = \frac{1}{2}(q_C^2)_0 \left[1 + \frac{1}{F_0} \right] \quad (49)$$

where F is defined by Eq. (43).

On dividing Eq. (49) by Eq. (42), we obtain the interesting result that the acoustic equilibrium of the non-dimensional parameter F is unity;

$$F_A = 1 \quad (50)$$

The physical significance of F is better understood by reverting to dimensional quantities (denoted by superscript *). After some manipulation, F may be written as

$$F = \frac{\rho_r^* q_c^{*2}}{(p_c^{*'})^2 / \gamma p_r^*} \quad (51)$$

The numerator of Eq. (51) is twice the kinetic energy of the compressible turbulence, and the denominator is twice the potential energy of the compressible turbulence. Thus, the result $F_A = 1$ implies that at acoustic equilibrium there is an equipartition between the kinetic and potential components of the compressible energy. Since Eq. (50) is a consequence of processes occurring on the acoustic time scale t_C , as long as the other time scales of the problem (such as k/ϵ) are larger than t_C , we have $F \simeq 1$ for later time. Therefore low Mach number, homogeneous, compressible turbulence has an equilibrium structure characterized by

$$F \simeq 1 \quad (52)$$

We will now derive an alternative expression for F in terms of the turbulent Mach number M_t , which will be useful later. On substituting the expression for M_t^* from Eq. (31) into

Eq. (43); recognizing that \bar{c} and \bar{p} are approximately constant on the acoustic time scale, and are respectively equal to their initial, references value c_r and p_r ; we obtain

$$F = \frac{\gamma^2 M_t^2 \chi}{p_c^2} \quad (53)$$

Here χ denotes the ratio of compressible kinetic energy to the total turbulent kinetic energy, that is,

$$\chi = \frac{q_C^2}{q^2}$$

and p_c is the non-dimensional ratio of the r.m.s pressure fluctuations to the mean pressure,

$$p_c = \frac{\sqrt{p^{C''^2}}}{\bar{p}} \quad (54)$$

An expression is now sought for the equilibrium value of the pressure-dilatation $\overline{P''d''}$. Starting with Eqs. (36) and (37), the pressure-dilatation can be related to the initial conditions of the turbulence through,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{P''d''} &= \int_0^\infty E_{Pd,0}(k) \cos 2k\tau \, dk - \frac{1}{2M_r^*} \int_0^\infty \frac{E_{d,0}(k)}{k} \sin 2k\tau \, dk \\ &+ \frac{M_r^*}{2} \int_0^\infty k E_{P,0}(k) \sin 2k\tau \, dk \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Again using the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem to evaluate the right hand side of Eq. (55) in the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, gives $(\overline{P''d''})_A = 0$.

The acoustic equilibrium value of the dilatational variance $\overline{d''^2}$ is obtained in a similar manner. The expression for $\overline{d''^2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d''^2}(\tau) &= \int_0^\infty E_{d,0}(k) \cos^2 k\tau \, dk + M_r^{*2} \int_0^\infty k^2 E_{P,0}(k) \sin^2 k\tau \, dk \\ &+ M_r^* \int_0^\infty k E_{Pd,0}(k) \sin 2k\tau \, dk \end{aligned}$$

while the expression for $(\overline{d''^2})_A$ is

$$(\overline{d''^2})_A = \frac{(\overline{d''^2})_0}{2} + \frac{M_r^{*2}}{2} \int_0^\infty k^2 E_{P,0}(k) \, dk \quad (56)$$

Thus, of the two dilatational correlations $\overline{P''d''}$ and $\overline{d''^2}$, the acoustic equilibrium of $\overline{P''d''}$ is zero, while the acoustic equilibrium of the positive definite quantity $\overline{d''^2}$ is non-zero.

To summarize, in this section we have identified certain variables of compressible, homogeneous turbulence which evolve from arbitrary initial conditions on a fast time scale t_C , where $t_C = O(M_t k/\epsilon)$. The time evolution of these variables has a quasi-equilibrium phase in which the variable has a stationary value which we call the acoustic equilibrium value. We have also shown that these variables can be combined into a non-dimensional parameter F which, after starting from an arbitrary initial value, maintains a value of approximately unity; thus

$$F = \frac{\gamma^2 M_t^2 \chi}{p_c^2} \simeq 1 \quad (57)$$

where $M_t = q/\bar{c}$ is the turbulent Mach number, χ is the ratio of compressible turbulent kinetic energy to the full turbulent kinetic energy, γ is the ratio of specific heats, and $p_c = \sqrt{p^{C''^2}}/\bar{p}$ is the ratio of the r.m.s. compressible pressure to the mean pressure.

4 Direct numerical simulation of compressible, isotropic turbulence

Three-dimensional direct numerical simulations (DNS) of compressible, isotropic turbulence were performed on a 64^3 grid for a variety of initial conditions. Details of the algorithm and numerics are provided in Erlebacher et al. (1987). The simulations correspond to a nominal turbulence Reynolds number Re_λ (based on the Taylor microscale) of 15.

The behavior of the compressible dissipation and the pressure-dilatation for a case with initial turbulent Mach number $M_{t,0} = 0.5$ is illustrated in Figs. 1-2. The compressible dissipation reaches its acoustic equilibrium value after a time boundary layer of $O(kM_t/\epsilon)$, and then decays with a small superimposed acoustic modulation. The pressure-dilatation, which can be of either sign, shows a significant acoustic modulation, and tends to be more positive than negative. The direct simulations indicate that in the case of decaying isotropic

turbulence, the pressure-dilatation, when averaged over the acoustic oscillations, is positive and smaller than the compressible dissipation.

The asymptotic analysis of the previous section predicted that the nondimensional parameter $F = (\gamma^2 M_t^2 \chi) / p_c^2$ should be approximately equal to 1. The DNS show that, after starting from a variety of initial values, F indeed reaches a value of unity. Fig. 3a shows the early-time behavior of F for three representative cases; F attains its acoustic equilibrium value of unity in a non-dimensional time of $O(M_t)$. Fig. 3b, which depicts the late-time behavior of F , shows that F exhibits relatively small excursions from its acoustic equilibrium value of unity. Even though the individual quantities such as M_t^2 decrease by about a factor of 3 in the time interval $0.4 < (\epsilon_s)_0 t / k_0 < 2.0$, the quantity F deviates from its theoretically predicted value of unity by less than 10%. If F is averaged over a few of its oscillations, the deviation of this averaged value from unity would be much smaller than 10%.

Fig. 4 shows the DNS results on the decay of the turbulence kinetic energy k for three values of the initial turbulent Mach number $M_{t,0}$. The initial value of the nondimensional r.m.s pressure fluctuation was chosen as $M_{t,0}^2$ and in order to eliminate the initial time boundary layer F was set equal to unity. It is clear that an increase in the compressibility level tends to increase the decay rate of k . Evidently, compressibility leads to an additional source of dissipation for the turbulent kinetic energy.

5 Modeling of the dilatational terms

We will now develop models for the two dilatational terms - the pressure-dilatation, and the compressible dissipation - that appear in the Reynolds stress transport equations. The theoretical analysis indicated that low Mach number homogeneous turbulence is characterized by the relation $F \simeq 1$, and the DNS showed that $F \simeq 1$ for turbulent Mach numbers at least up to $M_t = 0.5$ (which, in free shear flows, corresponds to the mean Mach number M being as large as 10). We will now make use of the result $F \simeq 1$ for developing a simple algebraic model of the compressible dissipation ϵ_c . The model essentially relates the

turbulent Mach number, which is perhaps the most important quantity characterizing the intrinsic compressibility of high-speed turbulence, to the compressible dissipation.

The compressible fraction of the dissipation rate $\chi_\epsilon = \epsilon_c/\epsilon$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\chi_\epsilon = \frac{\chi}{\chi + \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_s}\right)^2(1-\chi)} \quad (58)$$

where the compressible Taylor microscale λ_c , the solenoidal Taylor microscale λ_s , and the compressible fraction of turbulent kinetic energy χ , are defined as follows,

$$\lambda_c = (q_C^2/\overline{d''^2})^{1/2} \quad (59)$$

$$\lambda_s = (q_S^2/\overline{\omega_i''\omega_i''})^{1/2} \quad (60)$$

$$\chi = q_C^2/q^2 \quad (61)$$

Using Eq. (58) we obtain the following expression for f_ϵ , the ratio of compressible dissipation to the solenoidal dissipation:

$$f_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_c}{\epsilon_s} = \frac{4\lambda_s^2\chi}{3\lambda_c^2(1-\chi)} \quad (62)$$

We assume that for compressible turbulence, $\lambda_c/\lambda_s = O(1)$, and from Eq. (62) obtain the asymptotic representation for small χ :

$$f_\epsilon = \beta_1\chi + O(\chi^2) \quad (63)$$

where $\beta_1 = O(1)$. On using Eq. (57), and recognizing that $p_c = O(M_t^2)$, we obtain the following expression from Eq. (63):

$$\epsilon_c = \epsilon_s[\alpha_1 M_t^2 + O(M_t^4)] \quad (64)$$

where $\alpha_1 = O(1)$. We now propose the following algebraic model for ϵ_c , which is motivated by Eq. (64):

$$\epsilon_c = \alpha_1 M_t^2 \epsilon_s \quad (65)$$

where α_1 is a constant of $O(1)$, whose numerical value remains to be evaluated. We note that a natural extension of the model Eq. (65) for large M_t is to add a term proportional to M_t^4

in Eq. (65). For now, we will limit ourselves to the simpler model Eq. (65). The solenoidal dissipation rate ϵ_s is calculated using the standard form of the incompressible dissipation rate transport equation.

We also need a model for the pressure-dilatation $\overline{p''d''}$. The asymptotic analysis predicts that the acoustic equilibrium of the compressible pressure-dilatation $\overline{P''d''}$ is zero, while that of the compressible dissipation ϵ_c is non-zero. The DNS indicate that in the case of isotropic turbulence, except for the initial time boundary layer, the average of the pressure-dilatation $\overline{p''d''}$ over its oscillations is smaller than the compressible dissipation ϵ_c . Therefore, for the purpose of turbulence modeling, we absorb the effect of $\overline{p''d''}$ in the model of ϵ_c . It should be noted that $\overline{p''d''}$ may be non-negligible if eddy shocklets are present; a model of the pressure-dilatation which is applicable to the eddy shocklet regime has been formulated by Lumley (1989).

The model constant α_1 is evaluated by considering the compressible, iso-decay problem. After introducing the models for the compressible dissipation and the pressure-dilatation, and using the standard dissipation transport equation, the governing equations become

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0 \quad (66)$$

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{2}{C_v}\epsilon_s(1 + \alpha_1 M_t^2) \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dk}{dt} = -\epsilon_s(1 + \alpha_1 M_t^2) \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{d(\epsilon_s)}{dt} = -C_{\epsilon 2}\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{k} \quad (69)$$

Since $M_t^2 = q^2/(\bar{c})^2$, Eqs. (67) and (68) can be combined into the following equation for M_t^2 :

$$\frac{d(M_t^2)}{dt} = -\frac{\epsilon_s^2}{k}M_t^2(1 + \alpha_1 M_t^2)[1 + 0.5\gamma(\gamma - 1)M_t^2] \quad (70)$$

The term, $-\epsilon_c + \overline{p''d''}$, which is the extra compressible term on the right-hand-side of the exact turbulent kinetic energy equation, is replaced by the model, $-\alpha_1 M_t^2 \epsilon_s$, in Eq. (68).

Eqs. (68), (69) and (70) were integrated with a fourth-order, Runge-Kutta scheme using various values for both the model constant α_1 and the initial Mach number $M_{t,0}$. The results

of these computations were then compared with the DNS. The model coefficient C_{ϵ_2} was chosen to be 1.83 so as to reproduce the experimentally observed decay rate in physical experiments on high Reynolds number incompressible turbulence. Since the Reynolds number of the simulations is somewhat low, the turbulence decays faster in the simulations relative to the high Reynolds number experiments. Therefore, when comparing model results with the DNS, rather than looking for agreement between the actual value of the decay rate obtained with the model and that obtained with the DNS, we look for agreement regarding the effect of compressibility on the turbulence decay rate. After comparing Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 it is clear that, as far as the influence of the turbulent Mach number on the decay rate of the turbulent kinetic energy is concerned, the choice of $\alpha_1 = 1$ gives good qualitative agreement between the the results of the model and the DNS. Thus, the model for the compressible dissipation becomes

$$\epsilon_c = \alpha_1 M_t^2 \epsilon_s, \quad (71)$$

where the model constant $\alpha_1 = 1$.

It should be noted that the model (71) for the compressible dissipation has been applied by Sarkar and Lakshmanan³ to the compressible shear layer, within the framework of a Favre-averaged Reynolds stress closure. Details regarding the other modeling assumptions in the closure and the numerical implementation of the second-order closure; and results for various configurations of the compressible shear layer are provided by Sarkar and Lakshmanan. Fig. 6 is a schematic of the particular configuration of the shear layer, a few of whose results are given here. A high-speed stream with velocity U_1 mixes with another stream with lower velocity U_2 . The free-stream values of the pressure, density and temperature are equal in the two streams. The normalized spreading rate C_δ defined by

$$C_\delta = \frac{d\delta}{dx} \left(\frac{U_1 + U_2}{U_1 - U_2} \right)$$

³ICASE report in preparation

is the primary variable of interest. The shear layer thickness $\delta(x)$ is defined to be the distance between the two points of the mean velocity profile where the mean velocity is respectively $U_2 + 0.1(U_1 - U_2)$ and $U_2 + 0.9(U_1 - U_2)$.

Fig. 7 shows model predictions and experimental data on the influence of mean compressibility on the spreading rate of the mixing layer. The mean compressibility of the compressible shear layer is characterized by the relative Mach number $M_R = 2(U_1 - U_2)/(c_1 + c_2)$, where c_1 and c_2 denote the free-stream speed of sound in the two incident streams. It should be noted that, for the shear layer of Fig. 6, the relative Mach number M_R is twice the convective Mach number M_c as defined in Papamoschou and Roshko (1988). In Fig. 7, we plot the non-dimensional spreading rate $C_\delta/(C_\delta)_0$, where C_δ is the spreading rate of the mixing layer and $(C_\delta)_0$ is the spreading rate of the incompressible mixing layer. Though there is a systematic difference between the data of Papamoschou and Roshko (1988) and the data of the Langley curve (see Kline et al. 1981), which is a consensus representation of various experimental investigations, it is clear that first, the spreading rate decreases significantly when the relative Mach number increases; and second, after the initial decrease, the spreading rate is relatively insensitive to further increases in the relative Mach number. The prediction of the second-order closure, with the model for the compressible dissipation included, is in agreement with both the aforementioned trends exhibited by the experimental data. However, excluding the model of the compressible dissipation from the second-order closure leads to only a mild decrease of spreading rate with increasing Mach number.

6 Conclusions

Asymptotic analysis of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations has led to the identification of a non-dimensional parameter F which characterizes some compressible effects in high-speed turbulence. The variable F evolves from arbitrary initial values on a non-dimensional time scale of $O(M_t)$, attains an equilibrium value of unity, and remains approximately equal to unity for later time. The result $F \simeq 1$, which is formally valid only for turbulent Mach

number $M_t \ll 1$, has been shown to hold in the direct numerical simulations (DNS) of isotropic turbulence where M_t was varied between 0.01 and 0.5.

It was established that there is another dilatational correlation - the compressible dissipation - which needs to be modeled in addition to the well-known pressure-dilatation. Both the theoretical analysis and the direct simulations suggest that the compressible dissipation is larger than the pressure-dilatation in low Mach number, homogeneous turbulence. A simple algebraic model, which is based on asymptotic analysis and DNS, has been proposed for the compressible dissipation. The model, which has been applied to the calculation of a high-speed shear layer, was able to capture the dramatically reduced growth rate of the high-speed shear layer.

The present turbulence closure, where dilatational effects are included through a simple model having an algebraic dependence on the turbulent Mach number, will be extended in the future to include transport equations for the thermodynamic turbulent statistics such as the density variance. The consequence of higher order extensions of the asymptotic theory to compressible turbulence modeling will also be explored.

References

- P. Bradshaw, in *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, **9**, edited by M. Van Dyke, J. V. Wehausen and J. L. Lumley (Annual Reviews Inc., Paolo Alto, 1977), p. 33.
- J. M. Delery, *AIAA Paper 81-1245* (1981).
- G. Erlebacher, M. Y. Hussaini, C. G. Speziale and, T. A. Zang, *ICASE Report 87-20* (1987).
- 1980-1981, AFOSR - HTTM - Stanford Conference, Vol. 1*, edited by S. J. Kline, B. J. Cantwell and G. M. Lilley (Stanford University, California, 1982), p. 368.
- J. L. Lumley, private communication (1989).
- G. G. Mateer, A. Brosh, and J. R. Viegas, *AIAA Paper 76-161* (1976).
- M. V. Morkovin, in *The Mechanics of Turbulence*, edited by A. Favre (Gordon & Breach, New York, 1964), p. 367.
- D. Papamoschou and A. Roshko, *J. Fluid Mech.*, **197**, 453 (1988).
- A. N. Sekundoz, *Akademiia Nauk SSSR, Izvestiia, Mekhanika Zhidkosti i Gaza*, March-April, No. 2, 8 (1974).
- T. A. Zang, M. Y. Hussaini, and D. M. Bushnell, *AIAA Jour.*, **22**, 13 (1976).
- O. Zeman, *Phys. Fluids A*, in press (1989).




Figure 1. Time evolution of the compressible dissipation in a DNS case.




Figure 2. Time evolution of the pressure-dilatation for the DNS case of Fig. 1.




Figure 3a. Early-time history of F for various DNS cases.




Figure 3b. Late-time history of F for various DNS cases.




Figure 4. DNS results on the decay of isotropic turbulence for various initial conditions.

Iso-decay using Compressible model ($\alpha_1 = 1.0$)




Figure 5. Computations of the decay of isotropic turbulence with the model for compressible dissipation ($\alpha_1 = 1$).




Figure 6. Schematic of the compressible shear layer.





Figure 7. Application of the model for compressible dissipation to the compressible shear layer; from Sarkar and Lakshmanan (1989).





Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. NASA CR-181959 ICASE Report No. 89-79		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle THE ANALYSIS AND MODELING OF DILATIONAL TERMS IN COMPRESSIBLE TURBULENCE				5. Report Date December 1989	
				6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) S. Sarkar, G. Erlebacher, M. Y. Hussaini, and H. O. Kreiss				8. Performing Organization Report No. 89-79	
				10. Work Unit No. 505-90-21-01	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Institute for Computer Applications in Science and Engineering Mail Stop 132C, NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665-5225				11. Contract or Grant No. NAS1-18605	
				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Contractor Report	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665-5225				14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Langley Technical Monitor: Richard W. Barnwell Final Report Submitted to Journal of Fluid Mechanics					
16. Abstract It is shown that the dilatational terms that need to be modeled in compressible turbulence include not only the pressure-dilatation term but also another term - the compressible dissipation. The nature of these dilatational terms in homogeneous turbulence is explored by asymptotic analysis of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. A non-dimensional parameter which characterizes some compressible effects in moderate Mach number, homogeneous turbulence is identified. Direct numerical simulations (DNS) of isotropic, compressible turbulence are performed, and their results are found to be in agreement with the theoretical analysis. A model for the compressible dissipation is proposed; the model is based on the asymptotic analysis and the direct numerical simulations. This model is calibrated with reference to the DNS results regarding the influence of compressibility on the decay rate of isotropic turbulence. An application of the proposed model to the compressible mixing layer has shown that the model is able to predict the dramatically reduced growth rate of the compressible mixing layer.					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Compressible turbulence, turbulence modeling, turbulence simulation			18. Distribution Statement 34 - Fluid Mechanics and Heat Transfer Unclassified - Unlimited		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of pages 33	22. Price A03

