NASA Technical Memorandum 101626

Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study

An Analysis of Profit Managers' and Nonprofit Managers' Responses

Thomas E. Pinelli, Myron Glassman, Rebecca O. Barclay, and Walter E. Oliu

OCTOBER 1989

(NASA-IM-101820) TOCHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS N90-15843
IN ALPUNAUTIUS: KASULTS OF AN EXPLORATIONY
STUDY. AN AMALYSIS OF POURIT MANAGERS! AND
NONPROVIT MANAGERS! KESPUNICS (NASA) 71 p
UNCLUS
NONPROVIT MANAGERS! KESPUNICS (NASA) 71 p



NASA Technical Memorandum 101626

Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study

An Analysis of Profit Managers' and Nonprofit Managers' Responses

Thomas E. Pinelli Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia

Myron Glassman
Old Dominion University
Norfolk, Virginia

Rebecca O. Barclay
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York

Walter E. Oliu
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665

Recommended Citation: Pinelli, Thomas E.; Myron Glassman; Rebecca O. Barclay, and Walter E. Oliu. Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study-An Analysis of Profit Managers' and Nonprofit Managers' Responses. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101626. October 1989. 71 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)

CONTENTS

LI	ST	OF	-	CAB	LΕ	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
IN	TR	ODU	C:	CIO	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	-	ARC EXP															•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	-	GRO NON																					•	•	•	•	•	•	4
	As	sum	pt	io	ns	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
		ENT NON																					•	•	•	•	•	•	8
		rve chn	_		_								Imp •				ce ·	of •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
		rve chn	_		_																			•	•	•	•		14
		rve urs																							•	•	•	•	25
		rve for																							•	•	•	•	37
		rve mpu																					•			•	•		39
VA	LI	DIT	Y	OF	Т	HE	AS	SSI	JME	T:	101	18	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	48
	Te Si	sum chn gni th	io f:	cal lca	I nt	nfo to	orn o E	nat Pro	ic ofi	on Lt	Ef ar	fe nd	ect No	i. np	el orc	y ofi	Is Lt	s E Ma	Equ ina	aal age	lly ers	?		•	•	•	•	•	48
	In Di	sum for ffe th	ma re	ati ent	on F	a: or	nd Pi	Te	ech fit	nn:	Lca and	al i N	Ir Vor	ıfo ıpı	orn	nat Eit	:ic	on Iar	Pi	oc ge i	duo cs	cts	3 <i>P</i>	Are	9	•	•	•	48

Assumption 3: The Content For an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications Should Be Viewed Differently By Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community	50
Assumption 4: The Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line Databases Differs For Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community	51
Assumption 5: The Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology Differs For Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community	
CONCLUDING REMARKS	52
APPENDIX: SURVEY INSTRUMENT	57
BIBLIOGRAPHY	62

LIST OF TABLES

_		
בידי	h	\circ
1 a	. ע	ᆫ

1	Importance of Technical Communications 11
2	Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others
3	Time Spent Working With Technical Information Received From Others 12
4	Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others
5	Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Working With Technical Communications Received From Others
6	Production of Technical Information Products
7	Use of Technical Information Products 16
8	Sources of Help Used To Write/Prepare Technical Communications
9	How Artwork is Produced
10	Types of Technical Information Produced 20
11	Types of Technical Information Used 21
12	Sources of Technical Information Used to Solve Technical Problems
13	Courses Taken in Technical Communications/Writing
14	Helpfulness of Technical Communications/Writing Coursework

15	Principles Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	28
16	Mechanics Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	30
17	On-the-Job Communications Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	31
18	Types of Technical Reports Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	33
19	Use of Library or Technical Information Center	37
20	Use of Electronic Databases	38
21	How Electronic Databases Are Searched	38
22	Use of Computer Technology For Preparing Written Technical Communications	40
23	Effect of Computer Technology on Increasing Ability to Communicate Technical Information	41
24	Use of Software to Prepare Written Technical Communications	41
25	Use of an Integrated Graphics, Text, and Modeling Engineering Workstation For Preparing Written Technical Communications	42
26	Use of Electronic or Desk-Top Publishing Systems For Preparing Written Technical Communications	43
27	Use, Non-Use, and Potential Use of Information Technologies to Communicate Technical Information	44

TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN AERONAUTICS: RESULTS OF AN EXPLORATORY STUDY

AN ANALYSIS OF PROFIT MANAGERS' AND NONPROFIT MANAGERS' RESPONSES

INTRODUCTION

This exploratory study investigated the technical communications practices of aeronautical engineers and scientists. The study, which utilized survey research in the form of a self-administered mail questionnaire, had a twofold purpose: (1) to gather baseline data regarding several aspects of technical communications in aeronautics and (2) to develop and validate questions that could be used in a future study concerning the role of the U.S. government technical report in aeronautics.

The study had five specific objectives: first, to solicit the opinions of aeronautical engineers and scientists regarding the importance of technical communications to their profession; second, to determine the use and production of technical communications by aeronautical engineers and scientists; third, to seek their views about the appropriate content of an undergraduate course in technical communications; fourth, to determine aeronautical engineers' and scientists' use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line databases; and fifth, to determine the use and importance of computer and information

technology to them. The study, which spanned the period from July 1988 to November 1988, was conducted in conjunction with Old Dominion University under Contract NAS1-18584, Task 28, to help ensure the objectivity and confidentiality of the data and to obtain research skills not readily available to the project.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE EXPLORATORY STUDY

Data were collected by means of the self-administered mail questionnaire shown in the Appendix. The questionnaire was developed within the project team; circulated to selected technical communicators for review and comment; and pretested at the NASA Ames Research Center, the NASA Langley Research Center, and the McDonnell Douglas Corporation in St. Louis.

Members of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA) comprised the study population. The sample frame consisted of approximately 25 000 AIAA members in the United States with either academic, government, or industry affiliations. Simple random sampling was used to select 2,000 individuals from the sample frame to participate in the exploratory study. Six hundred and six (606) usable questionnaires (30.3 percent response rate) were received by the established cutoff date.

The questionnaire used contained 35 questions: 25 concerned technical communications in aeronautics, 8 concerned demographic information about the survey respondents, and 2 were open-ended to allow survey respondents to comment on the topics in the questionnaire and to offer suggestions for improving technical communications in aeronautics.

The data were analyzed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences-X (SPSS-X) designed for use with a personal computer. Cross tabulations were prepared to explore the relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the respondent's organizational affiliation. Affiliations included "academic" (both academic and not-for-profit organizations), government (NASA and non-NASA), and industry. The Chi-Square and one-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) at the 0.05 level of statistical significance were used as the nonparametric and parametric tests for relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the organizational affiliations of the respondents. The results of the exploratory study are presented in NASA Technical Memorandum 101534, Parts 1 and 2 (Pinelli, et al., February 1989). An analysis of the responses of managers and nonmanagers to the data collected in

the exploratory study is presented in NASA Technical Memorandum 101625 (Pinelli, et al., August 1989).

BACKGROUND FOR THE ANALYSIS OF PROFIT MANAGERS' AND NONPROFIT MANAGERS' RESPONSES

This report represents an analysis of responses from managers in profit and nonprofit organizations to the data collected in the exploratory study (hereafter referred to as profit and nonprofit managers). These responses were analyzed to test the primary assumption that profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community have different technical communications practices.

The basis for this assumption is that profit and nonprofit organizations have different communication goals. Consequently, these two groups of managers would develop different information use and production strategies that would, in turn, manifest themselves as distinctive technical communications practices.

There is, however, little empirical evidence to support the presumption that profit and nonprofit managers, in general, and profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community, in particular, have different technical communications practices.

Murray (1975) suggests the convergence of public and private organizations and a corresponding need to view management

strategies in all types of organizations "as a generic process."

Rainey, Backoff, and Levine (1976), on the other hand, state

that it is "premature to discount the significance of public and

private differences" and the implications that these differences

hold for the management of public and private organizations.

The literature clearly establishes that accepted management standards differ in the public and private sectors. (See, for example Lindblom, 1977.) Ring and Perry (1985) recognize basic distinctions between the public and private sectors and suggest that these distinctions "are critical to understanding differences in strategic management processes." Rainey, Backoff, and Levine (1975) reviewed the attributes of public vis-a-vis private organizations in terms of environmental factors, organization-environment transactions, and internal structures and processes and found "indications of a number of important differences between public and private organizations, which cannot be ignored in considerations of management research, training, and practice."

Few studies have compared the communications habits and practices of managers in science intensive organizations.

Rather these studies have concentrated on nonmanagement

engineers and scientists in profit R&D organizations. (See, for example Allen, 1977.) Bozeman, Roering, and Slusher (1978), in their investigation of social structure and the flow of STI in public (nonprofit) agencies, speculate that while their are similarities in the information-gathering habits and practices of public (nonprofit) and profit managers and engineers and scientists, there are also incongruities which stem from the reasons the two groups seek and use information. For example, Bozeman and Blankenship (1979) found that like engineers in profit organizations, public (nonprofit) managers prefer informal, contacts with colleagues when seeking information. On the other hand, they point out that the information acquisition patterns are divergent in that the objectives for acquiring the information are typically quite different (Bozeman, Roering, and Slusher, 1978).

Although certain studies have compared the responses of managers and nonmanagers in specific disciplines (see, for example Pinelli et al., August 1989), few attempts have been made to discover if managers in science-intensive public (nonprofit) organizations have different technical communications habits and practices than their counterparts in private (profit) organizations.

The assumption of difference is stated as a research question, "Do profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community have different technical communications practices?," rather than as a research hypothesis for the following reasons:

- 1. The study is exploratory in nature and, as such, has certain limitations.
- 2. The low response rate of 30.3 percent, which is fairly typical for mail surveys, prohibits generalizing the findings to the "nonrespondents" and the population being studied.
- 3. The available related research and literature regarding the technical communications practices of profit and nonprofit managers do not provide a sufficient research foundation.

<u>Assumptions</u>

Five secondary assumptions were made regarding the five study objectives. These assumptions, which are given below, were tested and were used to answer the research question.

- 1. The importance of communicating technical information effectively is equally significant to profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. A significant difference in the reported responses of profit and nonprofit managers regarding "importance" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 2. The use and production of technical information and technical information products are different for profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. A significant difference in the reported responses of profit and nonprofit managers regarding "use and production" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.

- 3. The content for an undergraduate course in technical communications should be viewed differently by profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. A significant difference in the reported responses of profit and nonprofit managers regarding "content" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 4. The use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line (electronic) databases differs for profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. A significant difference in the reported responses of profit and nonprofit managers regarding "usage" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 5. The use and importance of computer and information technology differ for profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. A significant difference in the reported responses of profit and nonprofit managers regarding "use and importance" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF PROFIT MANAGERS' AND NONPROFIT MANAGERS' RESPONSES

The data in this report are presented for each survey objective and discussed in terms of responses from managers in profit and nonprofit organizations. Background data collected as part of the survey revealed that approximately 24 percent of the 606 respondents held management positions. Of those 145 respondents, approximately 64 percent (94) held administrative/managerial positions in the profit sector and 36 percent (51) held administrative/management positions in the nonprofit sector of the U.S. aerospace community.

The Chi-Square and t-test for a difference between two independent means were used as the nonparametric and parametric tests for relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the responses of profit and nonprofit managers. Attempts were made to establish the extent to which the characteristics of the population may reasonably be inferred from the attributes of the sample. Such inference is then subject to various conventions regarding statistical significance. The appropriate application of such conventions to the primary effort (n=606) is called "Estimate of Parameters." The population parameter, in this case a population proportion (\mathbf{P}) , is estimated from a sample proportion Such estimates are dependent in part upon sample size, the overall response rate, and the number of responses to each question.

Given the general range of sample sizes and the nature of the sampling distribution of proportions, it can be stated that at the 95 percent confidence level, the true population proportion (\mathbf{P}) for profit managers lies within $^+10.1$ percent of the sample proportion (\mathbf{p}) and the true population proportion (\mathbf{P}) for nonprofit managers lies within $^+13.6$ percent of the sample proportion (\mathbf{p}).

Although a confidence and tolerance level can be established, readers are cautioned that while a random sample of AIAA members were sent questionnaires, no assurances of randomness can be made regarding the questionnaires that were returned. Because the overall response rate was less than 50 percent, which is traditionally considered to be "representative," the figures given above should be used with caution when making generalizations about the population.

Survey Objective 1: The Importance of Technical Communications

To determine the importance of technical communications in aeronautics, survey respondents were asked to indicate the importance of communicating technical information effectively, the number of hours spent each week communicating technical information to others, the number of hours spent each week working with technical communications received from others, and how professional advancement has affected the amount of time they spend communicating technical information to others and working with technical communications from others.

One hundred percent of the profit managers and 98 percent of the nonprofit managers surveyed (Table 1) indicate that the ability to communicate technical information effectively is

important. Two percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that this ability is not at all important.

Table 1. Importance of Technical Communications

	Profit Managers			Nonprofit Managers		
How Important	No.	%	No.	%		
Very Somewhat Not at all Total	86 7 0 	92.5 7.5 0.0 — 100.0	43 7 1 	84.3 13.7 2.0 100.0		

Profit managers spend an average of 13.5 hours per week communicating technical information to others (Table 2), and nonprofit managers spend an average of 13.9 hours per week.

Based on a 40-hour work week, profit and nonprofit managers spend approximately 34 and 35 percent, respectively, of their work week communicating technical information to others.

Table 2. Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others

	Profit M	Nonprofit Managers		
Time Spent Per Week, Hour	No.	%	No.	%
5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 20 21 or more	13 33 37 8	14.3 36.2 40.7 8.8	9 16 21 5	18.0 30.0 42.0 10.0
Total	91	100.0	50	100.0
Mean	13	.5	13	.9

Both groups spend an average of 13 hours a week working with technical communications received from others (Table 3), which is approximately 33 percent of their 40-hour work week.

Table 3. Time Spent Working With Technical Information Received From Others

	Profit M	Nonprofit Managers		
Time Spent Per Week, Hour	No.	%	No.	%
5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 20 21 or more	8 42 36 5 	8.7 46.2 39.6 5.5 —————————————————————————————————	6 23 18 3 50	12.0 46.0 36.0 6.0 100.0
Mean	13	.0	13	3.0

Considering both the time spent working on the preparation of technical information and the time spent working with technical information received from others, technical communications takes up approximately 67 percent of a 40-hour work week for both groups.

Approximately 59 percent of the managers from both types of organizations indicate that as they advanced professionally, the amount of time they spent communicating technical information to others increased (Table 4). Approximately 9 percent of the profit managers and 14 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that as they advanced professionally, the amount of

time they spent communicating technical information to others stayed the same.

Table 4. Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others

	Profit M	Nonprofit Managers		
Time Spent Communicating	No.	%	No.	%
Increased Stayed the same Decreased	54 8 30	58.7 8.7 32.6	30 7 14	58.8 13.7 27.5
Total	92	100.0	51	100.0

Approximately 33 percent of the profit managers and 28 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that the amount of time they spent communicating technical information to others decreased as they advanced professionally.

Approximately 65 percent of the profit managers and 59 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that as they advanced professionally, the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others increased (Table 5).

Table 5. Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Using Technical Information Received From Others

	Profit Manag		Nonprofit Managers		
Time Spent Using	No.	%	No.	%	
Increased Stayed the same Decreased	59 13 19	64.8 14.3 20.9	30 12 9	58.9 23.5 17.6	
Total	91	100.0	51	100.0	

Approximately 14 percent of the profit managers and 24 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others stayed the same as they advanced professionally. Approximately 21 percent of the profit managers and 18 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others decreased as they advanced professionally.

Survey Objective 2: The Use and Production of Technical Communications

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the amount and type of technical information products they produced and used as well as the sources of help they sought in producing technical information and in solving technical problems.

Memos, letters, and audio visual (A/V) materials are the technical information products most frequently produced by both profit and nonprofit managers (Table 6). On the average, profit managers produced 54.8 memos, 31.9 letters, and 9.6 A/V materials in a 6-month period. On the average, nonprofit managers produced 38.6 memos, 28.1 letters, and 9.7 A/V materials.

Table 6. Production of Technical Information Products

	6-month	n average
Products	Profit Managers	Nonprofit Managers
Letters	*31.9	28.1
Memos	*54.8	38.6
Technical reports-Government	2.0	2.4
Technical reports-Other	*2.4	0.8
Proposals	*2.7	0.9
Technical manuals	*0.4	0.2
Computer program		
documentation	0.7	0.3
Journal articles	*0.2	0.5
Conference/Meeting papers	*1.6	1.3
Trade/Promotional literature	*0.3	0.1
Press releases	0.3	0.4
Drawings/Specifications	3.2	0.2
Speeches Audio/Visual materials	4.1	2.8
Audio/ visual materials	9.6	9.7

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

Based on average production, a list of the five technical information products most frequently produced by profit and nonprofit managers follows:

Most Frequently Produced By Profit Managers	Most Frequently Produced By NonProfit Managers
Memos	Memos
Letters	Letters
A/V materials	A/V materials
Speeches	Speeches
Proposals	Government technical reports

The number of technical information products produced by both profit and nonprofit managers were compared using a t-test to determine significant differences (Table 6). Of the 14 comparisons, 10 were significantly different. Profit managers prepared more letters, memos, other technical reports,

proposals, technical manuals, conference/meeting papers, trade/promotional literature, drawings/specifications, and speeches. Nonprofit managers prepared more journal articles.

Memos, letters, and trade/promotional literature are the technical information products most frequently used by profit managers; memos, letters, and A/V materials are the technical information products most frequently used by nonprofit managers (Table 7).

Table 7. Use of Technical Information Products

	1-month average			
Products	Profit Managers	Nonprofit Managers		
Letters Memos Technical reports-Government Technical reports-Other Proposals Technical manuals Computer program documentation Journal articles Conference/Meeting papers Trade/Promotional literature Drawings/Specifications Audio/Visual materials	*36.1 *45.7 *3.4 *4.8 *2.1 1.0 *2.8 *5.9 *4.3 *8.7 *5.7 6.5	21.3 25.9 6.0 5.1 3.4 1.2 1.2 5.4 3.6 4.6 2.6 7.4		

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

On the average, profit managers used 45.7 memos, 36.1 letters, 8.7 trade/promotional literature in a 1-month period. Nonprofit managers used 25.9 memos, 21.3 letters, and 7.4 A/V materials in a 1-month period. Based on average use, a list of the five technical information products most frequently used follows:

Most Frequently Used By Profit Managers

Memos
Letters
Trade/Promotional
literature
A/V materials
Journal articles

Most Frequently Used By Nonprofit Managers

Memos
Letters
A/V materials
Government technical
reports
Journal articles

The number of technical information products used by both profit and nonprofit managers was compared by using a t-test to determine significant differences (Table 7). Of the 12 comparisons, 10 were significantly different. Profit managers used more letters, memos, computer program documentation, journal articles, and conference/meeting papers, trade/ promotional literature, and drawings/specifications. Nonprofit managers used more government technical reports, other technical reports, and proposals.

Profit managers and nonprofit managers seek the help of both people and other information sources to prepare technical information products (Table 8). Combining the "always" and "usually" responses indicates that profit managers most frequently sought the help of secretaries, followed by other colleagues and a thesaurus/dictionary. Nonprofit managers most frequently sought the help of secretaries, followed by a thesaurus/dictionary, and other colleagues.

Table 8. Sources of Help Used To Write/Prepare Technical Communications

	Number	Percent of Respondents				
Sources of Help	of	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never	
	Respondents		Profit I	Managers		
Other colleagues Secretaries Technical writers or editors A thesaurus/dictionary A style manual A grammar hotline	92 *93 88 90 88 86	6.5 40.9 0.0 7.8 1.1 0.0	45.7 24.7 5.7 23.3 3.4 0.0	47.8 25.8 48.9 55.6 28.4 3.5	0.0 8.6 45.5 13.3 67.0 96.5	
		1	Nonprofit	Managers		
Other colleagues Secretaries Technical writers or editors A thesaurus/dictionary A style manual A grammar hotline	51 51 46 *50 48 48	9.8 17.6 0.0 24.0 0.0 0.0	31.4 37.3 4.3 22.0 6.3 2.1	58.8 31.4 43.5 48.0 33.3 0.0	0.0 13.7 52.2 6.0 60.4 97.9	

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

Sources of help used to prepare/write technical communications were compared using a t-test to determine significant differences. Profit managers were more likely to use a secretary as a source of help to write/prepare technical communications, whereas nonprofit managers were more likely to use a thesaurus/dictionary.

From the available data, it is difficult to determine why colleagues and a thesaurus/dictionary were used second and third by profit managers and third and second by nonprofit managers as sources of help when producing technical information since memos and letters are the products most frequently produced by both groups. It is also difficult to determine if technical writers and editors are so infrequently used because of unavailability or some other reason.

Profit managers and nonprofit managers prepare artwork for their visual aids in various ways (Table 9). Approximately

Table 9. How Artwork Is Produced

	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
Production Method	No.	%	No.	%
Do own artwork without computer Do own artwork with computer Graphics department does artwork Sometimes do it and sometimes graphics department does it Secretary does it Artwork is prepared elsewhere Total	8 16 23 25 18 3 —93	8.6 17.2 24.7 26.9 19.4 3.2	18 14 10 1 3 50	8.0 36.0 28.0 20.0 2.0 6.0 100.0

27 percent of the profit managers use a combination of selfpreparation and a graphics department, whereas approximately 17 percent prepare their own artwork with a computer.

Approximately 36 percent of the nonprofit managers, on the other hand, do their own artwork with a computer followed by those who use a combination of self-preparation and a graphics department (20.0 percent). Nonprofit managers were more likely than profit managers to prepare their own artwork with a computer and were less likely than profit managers to use a combination of self-preparation and a graphics department. Profit managers, on the other hand, were more likely than nonprofit managers to have a secretary prepare their artwork.

Profit managers and nonprofit managers produce various types of technical information in the performance of their duties (Table 10).

Table 10. Types of Technical Information Produced [n = 93 for profit managers; n = 51 for nonprofit managers]

	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
Types of Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
Scientific and technical information Experimental techniques Codes of standards and practices Design procedures and methods Computer programs Government rules and regulations In-house technical data Product and performance characteristics Economic information Technical specifications Patents	80 28 23 48 36 5 82 61 49 61 22	86.0 30.1 24.7 *51.6 38.7 *5.4 88.2 *65.6 52.7 *65.6 *23.7	46 19 11 15 19 20 42 22 22 21	90.2 37.3 21.6 30.0 37.3 40.0 82.4 43.1 43.1 41.2 7.8

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

A list of the five most frequently produced types of technical information follows:

Most Frequently Produced By Profit Managers

In-house technical data
Scientific and technical
information
*Technical specifications
*Product and performance
characteristics
Economic information
Design procedures and
methods

Most Frequently Produced By Nonprofit Managers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data
*Economic information
*Product and performance characteristics
Technical specifications
Government rules and regulations

^{*}indicates a tie for third position

The types of technical information produced were compared using a t-test to determine statistical significance. Of the 11 comparisons, 5 were significantly different. Profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to produce design procedures and methods, product and performance characteristics, technical specifications, and patents. Nonprofit managers, on the other hand, were more likely than profit managers to produce government rules and regulations.

Both profit managers and nonprofit managers use various types of technical information in the performance of their duties (Table 11).

Table 11. Types of Technical Information Used [n = 93 for profit managers; n = 51 for nonprofit managers]

	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Manager	
Types of Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
Scientific and technical information Experimental techniques Codes of standards and practices Design procedures and methods Computer programs Government rules and regulations In-house technical data Product and performance characteristics Economic information Technical specifications Patents	89 46 50 59 66 75 89 54 77 20	95.7 49.5 53.8 *63.4 71.0 80.6 95.7 74.2 58.1 82.8 *21.5	50 27 19 19 34 42 47 34 23 35 4	98.0 52.9 37.3 37.3 66.7 82.4 92.2 66.7 45.1 68.6 7.8

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

A list of the five most frequently used kinds of technical information follows:

Most Frequently Used By Profit Managers

*Scientific and technical
information
*In-house technical data
Technical specifications
Government rules and
regulations
Product and performance
characteristics
Computer programs

Most Frequently Used By Nonprofit Managers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data Government rules and regulations
Technical specifications
*Product and performance characteristics
*Computer programs

The types of technical information used by profit and nonprofit managers were compared using a t-test to determine significant differences. Of the 11 comparisons, 2 were significantly different. Profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to use design procedures and methods and patents.

As shown in Table 12, profit managers and nonprofit managers use a variety of information sources when solving technical problems.

^{*}indicates a tie for 1st and 5th place, respectively

Table 12. Sources of Technical Information Used to Solve Technical Problems

	Number	Pe	ercent of F	Respondents	
Sources of Technical Information	of	Always	Usually		
	Respondents	Profit Managers		Managers	•
Personal knowledge	93	28.0	53.8	18.3	0.0
Informal discussions with colleagues	92	12.0	64.1	23.9	0.0
Discussions with supervisors	90	3.3	25.6	60.0	11.1
Discussions with experts in organization	93	19.4	57.0	23.7	0.0
Discussions with experts	00				
outside of organization Technical reports-Government	92 92	5.4 1.1	21.7 15.2	68.5 71.7	4.3 12.0
Technical reports-Other	93	1.1	18.3	76.3	4.3
Professional journals/conference					
meeting papers	92	1.1	19.6	60.9	18.5
Textbooks Handbooks and standards	93 90	0.0 2.2	14.0 13.3	72.0 71.1	14.0 13.3
Technical information sources,			10.0	, , , ,	10.0
such as on-line data bases, indexing and abstracting					
guides, CD-ROM, and				:	
current awareness tools Librarians/technical	91	0.0	6.6	41.8	51.6
information specialists	90	0.0	5.6	66.7	27.8
		, N	Nonprofit N	Managers	
Personal knowledge	*49	51.0	38.8	10.2	0.0
Informal discussions with colleagues	51	25.5	51.0	23.5	0.0
Discussions with supervisors	51	11.8	31.4	47.1	9.8
Discussions with experts in organization	51	25.5	41.2	31.4	2.0
Discussions with experts	_				
outside of organization Technical reports-Government	51 51	2.0 5.9	31.4 29.4	62.7 64.7	3.9 0.0
Technical reports-Other	51	5.9	31.4	60.8	2.1
Professional journals/conference					
meeting papers	51	11.8	29.4	47.1	11.8
Textbooks Handbooks and standards	51 50	3.9 4.0	35.3 16.0	49.0 62.0	11.8 18.0
Technical information sources,	30	7.0	10.0	02.0	10.0
such as on-line data bases, indexing and abstracting					
guides, CD-ROM, and					
current awareness tools Librarians/technical	48	0.0	6.3	47.9	45.8
information specialists	, 5 1	0.0	17.6	62.7	19.6

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

The "always" and "usually" responses, which appear as percentages in Table 12, were combined to form the following list of information sources used by profit and nonprofit managers to solve technical problems, given in decreasing order of frequency:

SOURCES USED BY PROFIT MANAGERS TO SOLVE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS

		ercent of
	Sources	<u>Cases</u>
1.	Personal knowledge	81.8
	Discussions with experts within the	76.4
	organization	
	Informal discussions with colleagues	76.1
	Discussions with supervisors	28.9
5.	Discussions with experts outside	27.1
	the organization	
	Journal and conference/meeting papers	20.7
	Technical reports - others	19.4
	Technical reports - government	16.3
	Handbooks and standards	15.5
	Textbooks	14.0
11.	Technical information sources such as on-line databases	6.6
12.	Librarians/technical information speciali	sts 5.6

SOURCES USED BY NONPROFIT MANAGERS TO SOLVE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS

	_	cent of <u>Cases</u>
		cases
1.	Personal knowledge	89.8
	Informal discussion with colleagues	76.5
3.	Discussions with experts within the organization	66.7
4.	Discussions with supervisors	43.2
5.	Journals and conference/meeting papers	41.2
	Textbooks	39.2
7.	Technical reports - other	37.3
8.	Technical reports - government	35.3
	Discussions with experts outside of your organization	33.4
	Handbooks and standards	20.0
	Librarians/technical information specialist	s 17.6
12.	Technical information sources such as on-line databases	6.3

The profit and nonprofit managers in this study display a preference for personalized, informal information sources.

Both groups identified an informal search for information using personal contacts as their primary method, followed by the use of formal information sources. Only after they have completed an informal search followed by the use of formal information sources do they turn to librarians and technical information specialists for assistance.

Of particular significance, however, is the use of experts outside the organization by the two groups. Profit managers turn to experts outside the organization more frequently than do nonprofit managers. Nonprofit managers use textbooks more frequently than do profit managers. Statistically, however, nonprofit managers were more likely than profit managers to use personal knowledge to solve technical problems.

<u>Survey Objective 3: Content for an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications</u>

To obtain the views of profit and nonprofit managers on the content for an undergraduate course in technical communications, survey respondents were asked if they had taken any course(s) in technical communications/writing. In addition, they were asked to indicate the degree to which the course(s) helped them

communicate technical information and to give their opinions regarding topics (e.g., principles and mechanics), on-the-job communications, and types of technical reports they would recommend be included in an undergraduate technical communications course.

Approximately 29 percent of the profit managers and 22 percent of the nonprofit managers had taken at least one course in technical communications/writing as undergraduates (Table 13).

Table 13. Courses Taken in Technical Communications/Writing

Technical Communications/Writing Coursework Taken	Profit N	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
	No.	%	No.	%	
Undergraduate After graduation Both undergraduate and after graduation No	27 17 26 23	29.0 18.3 28.0 24.7	11 12 12 16	21.6 23.5 23.5 31.4	
Total	93	100.0	51	100.0	

Approximately 18 percent of the profit managers and 24 percent of the nonprofit managers had taken such a course after graduation and approximately 28 percent of the profit managers and 24 percent of the nonprofit managers had done so both as undergraduates and postgraduates. Approximately 25 percent of the profit managers and 31 percent of the nonprofit managers indicated they had taken no such course.

Approximately 96 percent of the profit managers and 100 percent of the nonprofit managers who had taken any course(s) in technical communications/writing indicated that the course(s) had helped them to communicate technical information (Table 14).

Table 14. Helpfulness of Technical Communications/Writing Coursework

How Helpful	Profit Ma	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
	No.	%	No.	%	
A lot A little Did not help Total	31 36 3	44.3 51.4 4.3 100.0	13 22 0 35	37.1 62.9 0.0 	

Approximately 44 percent of the profit managers indicated that the course(s) helped them "a lot" and 51 percent indicated that the course(s) helped them "a little." Approximately 37 percent of the nonprofit managers indicated that the course(s) helped them "a lot" and 63 percent indicated that the course(s) helped them "a little." Only 4.3 percent of the profit managers and 0.0 percent of the nonprofit managers indicated that their course(s) had not helped them.

The percentages of "yes" responses to the list of principles to be included in an undergraduate technical communications course range from a high of 96.8 and 98.0 percent (organizing information) respectively for profit and nonprofit

profit managers and 56.0 percent (using information technology) for nonprofit managers. (See Table 15.)

Table 15. Principles Recomended for Inclusion in Undergradate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 93 for profit managers; n = 50 for nonprofit managers]

	Profit M	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
Principles	No.	%	No.	%	
Defining the communication's purpose Assessing readers' needs Organizing information Developing paragraphs (introductions, transitions, and conclusions) Writing sentences (active vs. passive voice, parallel ideas, shifts in person or tense) Using standard English grammar Notetaking and quoting Editing and revising Choosing words (avoiding wordiness, jargon, slang, sexist terms)	84 79 90 81 71 72 45 63 76	90.3 86.8 96.8 87.1 76.3 77.4 48.4 67.7	46 37 49 45 44 41 29 43	92.0 75.5 98.0 90.0 88.0 82.0 59.2 *86.0	
Using information technology (video conferencing, electronic data bases, etc.)	59	63.4	28	56.0	

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

Seven of the 10 topics (principles) received "yes" responses of greater than 75 percent from profit managers, and 8 of the 10 topics received "yes" responses of greater than 75 percent from nonprofit managers. These topics are listed on page 29.

Profit Managers Percentage Response	Nonprofit Managers Percentage Response
96.8	98.0
90.3	92.0
87.1	90.0
86.8	75.5
81.7	83.7
76.3	88.0
77.4	82.0
*	86.0
	Managers Percentage Response 96.8 90.3 87.1 86.8 81.7 76.3

^{*}Only 67.7 percent of the profit managers recommended the inclusion of this principle.

Statistically, however, nonprofit managers were more likely than profit managers to recommend the inclusion of editing and revising in an undergraduate technical communications course.

The percentage of "yes" responses of the list of mechanics to be included in an undergraduate technical communications course ranges from highs of 76.4 percent (punctuation and spelling) for profit managers and 86 percent (punctuation) for nonprofit managers to a low of approximately 47 percent (abbreviations) for profit managers and 46 percent (acronyms) for nonprofit managers (Table 16).

Table 16. Mechanics Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 89 for profit managers; n = 50 for nonprofit managers]

Mechanics	Profit Ma	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
	No.	%	No.	%	
Abbreviations Acronyms Capitalization Numbers Punctuation References Spelling Symbols	41 45 59 43 68 65 68 49	46.6 50.6 66.3 50.0 76.4 73.0 *76.4 55.1	26 23 32 24 43 41 30 23	52.0 46.0 65.3 48.0 86.0 82.0 60.0 46.9	

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

Six of the eight topics (mechanics) received "yes" responses of greater than 50 percent from profit managers and five of the eight topics received responses of greater than 50 percent from nonprofit managers. A list of these topics follows:

Topic	Profit Managers Percentage Response	Nonprofit Managers Percentage Response
Punctuation	76.4	86.0
References	73.0	82.0
Spelling	76.4	60.0
Capitalization	66.3	65.3
Symbols	55.1	*
Abbreviations	**	52.0
Acronyms	50.6	*

^{*}Only 46.9 percent and 46.0 percent of nonprofit managers recommended the inclusion of symbols and acronyms, respectively.

^{**}Only 46.6 percent of profit managers recommended the inclusion of abbreviations.

Statistically, however, profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to recommend the inclusion of spelling in an undergraduate technical communications course.

The percentage of "yes" responses to the list of topics (on-the-job communications) to be included in a undergraduate technical communications course range from highs of approximately 97 percent (oral presentations) and 98 percent (oral presentations) for profit managers and nonprofit managers respectively to lows of approximately 25 percent (newsletter articles) and 26 percent (newsletter articles) for profit managers and nonprofit managers respectively (Table 17).

Table 17. On-the-Job Communications Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 93 for profit managers; n = 51 for nonprofit managers]

	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
On-the-Job Communications	No.	%	No.	%
Abstracts Letters Memos Instructions Journal articles Literature reviews Manuals Newsletter articles Oral presentations Specifications Use of information sources	52 67 77 49 30 28 39 23 90 52 73	56.5 72.0 82.8 53.3 32.3 30.4 41.9 24.7 96.8 55.9 78.5	35 43 43 31 27 21 25 13 50 20 39	68.6 84.3 84.3 60.8 *52.9 41.2 49.0 25.5 98.0 40.0 78.0

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

Seven of the 11 topics (on-the-job communications) received "yes" responses from more than 50 percent of the survey respondents. These topics are listed below:

Profit Managers Percentage Response	Nonprofit Managers Percentage Response
96.8	98.0
82.8	84.3
78.5	78.0
72.0	84.3
56.5	68.6
53.3	60.8
55.9	*
**	52.9
	Managers Percentage Response 96.8 82.8 78.5 72.0 56.5 53.3 55.9

^{*}Only 40.0 percent of nonprofit managers recommended the inclusion of specifications.

Statistically, however, nonprofit managers were more likely than profit managers to recommend the inclusion of journal articles in an undergraduate technical communications course.

Respondents were asked to consider specific types of technical reports for inclusion in an undergraduate technical communications course (Table 18). Progress reports and test reports were the first and second choices of profit managers (83.7 percent and 80.2 percent). Test reports and progress reports were the first and second choices of nonprofit

^{**}Only 32.3 percent of profit managers recommended the inclusion of journal articles.

managers (80.4 percent and 78.7 percent). As shown in Table 18, all types of technical reports received "yes" responses from more than 50 percent of both profit and nonprofit managers.

Table 18. Types of Technical Reports Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 86 for profit managers; n = 47 for nonprofit managers]

Types of Technical Reports	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
	No.	%	No.	%
Feasibility Investigative Laboratory Progress Test Trip Trouble	58 57 63 72 69 53 50	68.2 67.1 74.1 83.7 80.2 61.6 58.8	28 30 32 37 37 27 25	59.6 63.8 68.1 78.7 80.4 57.4 54.3

In an attempt to validate the findings regarding topics for an undergraduate technical communications course, the top five recommended on-the-job communications were compared with the top five (on-the-verage) technical communications products "produced" and "used" by profit and nonprofit managers.

Most Frequently
Produced by
Profit Managers

Memos
Letters
A/V materials
Speeches
Drawings/
specifications

Most Frequently Used by Profit Managers

Memos
Letters
Trade/Promotional
literature
A/V materials
Journal
articles

Most Frequently Recommended By Profit Managers

Oral
presentations
Memos
Use of
information
sources
Letters
Technical
reports

The list of topics most frequently recommended by profit managers compares quite favorably with the technical communications products "produced" and "used" by profit managers. Memos and letters are included in all three lists. Oral presentations, which rank first on the list of recommended topics, would include the use of A/V materials and the oral delivery (i.e., speeches) of the content, which rank third and fourth respectively on the list of products "produced."

Considered as a group, technical reports would make the recommended topics list. Technical reports rank "seventh" in terms of products "produced" and "fifth" in terms of products "recommended."

The inclusion and relative importance (i.e., third) of "use of information sources" on the list of recommended topics is of particular interest. As can be concluded from Table 12, profit

and nonprofit managers tend to search for information themselves. Therefore, would improving their ability to use information sources better prepare managers to conduct their own searches for the information needed to solve technical problems?

Most	Frequ	lently
Produ	iced I	Зy
Nonp	rofit	Managers

Used By
Nonprofit Managers

Memos
Letters

Most Frequently Recommended By Nonprofit Managers

Memos
Letters
A/V materials
Speeches
Government
technical reports

Memos
Letters
A/V materials
Government
technical reports
Journal articles

Most Frequently

Oral presentations

*Memos

*Letters
Use of
Information sources
Abstracts
Technical reports

The list of topics most frequently recommended by nonprofit managers compares quite favorably with the technical communications products "produced" and "used" by nonprofit managers. Memos and letters are included on all three lists. Oral presentations, which rank first on the list of recommended topics, would include the use of A/V materials and the oral delivery (i.e., speeches) of the content. A/V materials rank third on the list of products "produced" and "used" by nonprofit managers. Considered as a group, technical reports would make the list of recommended on-the-job topics. Technical reports ranked fifth on the list of recommended topics, fifth on the

^{*}indicates a tie for second place

list of products "produced," and fourth on the list of products "used" by nonprofit managers.

The inclusion of "use of information sources," which ranked third on the list of on-the-job communications most frequently recommended by nonprofit managers, supports the conclusion stated earlier that nonprofit managers tend to search for information themselves when solving technical problems.

Consequently, would improving their ability to use information sources better prepare nonprofit managers to conduct their own searches for information when solving technical problems?

Overall, the lists of products produced, used, and recommended by profit and nonprofit managers compare favorably. Letter, memos, and oral presentation and their components (i.e., A/V materials and speeches) are common to both groups. However, the technical report appears consistently on the nonprofit managers' "top five" lists of products produced, used, and recommended for inclusion, whereas it does not appear on the profit managers' "top five" lists.

Survey Objective 4: Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line Databases

To determine the use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line databases, survey respondents were asked three questions. They were asked to indicate how often they used a library or technical information center, their use of on-line databases, and how they search the databases.

Approximately 88 percent of the profit managers and 98 percent of the nonprofit managers use a library or technical information center (Table 19). The frequency rates vary among

	Profit M	Profit Managers		Managers
Frequency of Use	No.	%	No.	%
Daily Two to six times a week Once a week Two to three times a month Once a month Less than once a month Do not use	1 8 8 17 12 36 11	1.1 8.6 8.6 18.3 12.9 38.7 11.8	0 1 9 7 10 23 1	0.0 2.0 17.6 13.7 19.6 45.1 2.0
Total	93	100.0	51	100.0

Table 19. Use of Library or Technical Information Center

profit and nonprofit managers, however, with approximately
18 percent of the profit managers using a library or technical
information center one or more times a week and approximately
20 percent of the nonprofit managers using a library or
technical information center one or more times a week.
Approximately 31 percent of the profit managers and
approximately 33 percent of the nonprofit managers use a library

or technical information center one or more times a month. Approximately 39 percent of the profit managers and approximately 45 percent of the nonprofit managers use a library or technical information center less than once a month.

Fewer than one-quarter (24.7 percent) of the profit managers and fewer than one-half (43.1 percent) of the nonprofit managers use on-line (electronic) databases (Table 20).

Profit Managers Nonprofit Managers No. No. 23 70 24.7 43.1 22

93

29

51

56.9

100.0

75.3

100.0

Table 20. Use of Electronic Databases

Of those respondents who use databases, none of the profit managers and none of the nonprofit managers do all of their own searches (Table 21).

Table 21. How Electronic Databases Are Searched

	Profit Ma	anagers	Nonprofit Managers	
How Searched	No.	%	No.	%
Do all searches yourself Do most searches yourself Do half by yourself and half through an intermediary (e.g. librarian) Do most searches through an intermediary (e.g. librarian) Do all searches through an intermediary	0 2 2 9 9	0.0 9.1 9.1 40.9 40.9	0 2 3 8 8	0.0 9.5 14.3 38.1 38.1
Total	22	100.0	21	100.0

Use

Yes

No

Total

Fewer than 10 percent of the profit and nonprofit managers do most of their own database searches. Approximately 9 percent of the profit managers and 14 percent of the nonprofit managers do one-half of their searches and have the other one-half done by an intermediary. Approximately 82 percent of the profit managers use an intermediary to do most or all of their electronic database searches, and about 67 percent of the nonprofit managers use an intermediary to do most or all of their searches.

<u>Survey Objective 5: Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology</u>

To determine the use and importance of computer and information technology, survey respondents were asked about their use of computer technology, whether computer technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information, and what types of computer and information technology they used.

Approximately 88 percent of the profit managers and 92 percent of the nonprofit managers use computer technology for preparing technical communications (Table 22). Profit managers were fairly evenly divided in terms of their degree of use:

Table 22. Use of Computer Technology for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Profit M	Nonprofit Managers		
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%
Always Usually Sometimes Never	22 34 25 12	23.7 36.6 26.8 12.9	21 9 13 8	41.2 17.6 25.5 15.7
Total	93	100.0	51	100.0

approximately 24 percent "always" use, approximately 37 percent "usually" use, and approximately 27 percent "sometimes" use computer technology for preparing technical communications.

Approximately 41 percent of the nonprofit managers "always" use, approximately 18 percent "usually" use, and approximately 26 percent "sometimes" use computer technology.

Approximately 91 percent of the profit managers and 88 percent of the nonprofit managers who use computer technology indicate that this technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information (Table 23). Approximately 49 percent of the profit managers and approximately 68 percent of the nonprofit managers indicate that computer technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information " a lot."

Table 23. Effect of Computer Technology on Increasing Ability To Communicate Technical Information

Increasing Ability To Communicate	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
A lot A little Not at all	40 34 7	49.4 42.0 8.6	29 9 5	67.5 20.9 11.6
Total	81	100.0	43	100.0

Profit and nonprofit managers use a variety of software for preparing written technical communications (Table 24).

Table 24. Use of Software For Preparing Written Technical Communications [n = 80 for profit managers; n = 42 for nonprofit managers]

Type of Software	Profit Managers		Nonprofit Managers	
	No.	%	No.	%
Word processing Outliners and prompters Grammar and style checkers Spelling checkers Thesaurus Business graphics Scientific graphics	72 8 13 45 27 34 46	90.0 10.0 16.3 56.3 33.7 42.5 57.5	41 3 3 28 14 23 22	95.3 7.1 7.1 65.1 33.3 54.8 52.4

The percentage of "yes" responses range from a high of 90.0 percent (word processing) for profit managers and 95.3 percent for nonprofit managers to a low of 10 percent (outliners and prompters) for profit managers and 7.1 percent (outliners and prompters; grammar and style checkers) for nonprofit managers. A list of the five most frequently used

types of software for preparing written technical communications follows:

Most Frequently Used By Profit Managers

Most Frequently Used By Nonprofit Managers

Word processing Scientific graphics Spelling checkers Business graphics Thesaurus Word processing Spelling checkers Business graphics Scientific graphics Thesaurus

Both profit and nonprofit managers make considerable use of word processing software for preparing written technical communications. There was little variation among the two groups of managers in terms of the types of software used and percentage who use them.

Slightly less than two thirds of the profit managers (62.5 percent) and slightly more than half (54.8 percent) of the nonprofit managers never use an integrated graphics, text, and modeling engineering workstation for preparing written technical communications (Table 25). Of those who do use such a work-

Table 25. Use of Integrated Graphics, Text, and Modeling Workstation for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Profit I	Nonprofit Managers		
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%
Always Usually Sometimes Never	2 8 20 50	2.5 10.0 25.0 62.5	6 5 8 23	14.3 11.9 19.0 54.8
Total	80	100.0	42	100.0

station, approximately 13 percent of the profit managers and approximately 26 percent of the nonprofit managers "always" or "usually" use it, and 25 percent of the profit managers and 19 percent of the nonprofit managers "sometimes" use it for preparing written technical communications.

Approximately 56 percent of the profit managers and 46 percent of the nonprofit managers use electronic or desk-top publishing systems for preparing written technical communications (Table 26). Of those who do use such systems,

Table 26. Use of Electronic or Desk-Top Publishing Systems for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Profit Managers Nonprofit Mana			
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%
Always Usually Sometimes Never Total	6 20 19 35 80	7.4 25.0 23.8 43.8 100.0	3 7 10 23 43	7.0 16.3 23.2 53.5 100.0

approximately 32 percent of the profit managers "always" or "usually" use them, and approximately 24 percent "sometimes" use them. Approximately 23 percent of the nonprofit managers "always" or "usually" use electronic or desk-top publishing systems, and approximately 23 percent "sometimes" use them.

Profit and nonprofit managers use a variety of information technologies to communicate technical information (Table 27).

The percentages of "I already use it" responses range from a high of 94.4 percent (FAX or TELEX) for profit managers and 82.4 percent (FAX or TELEX) for nonprofit managers to a low of 5.8 percent and 8.7 percent (laser disk/video disk/CD-ROM) for profit and nonprofit managers, respectively.

Table 27. Use, Nonuse, and Potential Use of Information Technologies to Communicate Technical Information

	Γ	Pro	lit Manage	rs
Information Technologies		I already use it	I don't use it, but may in the future	I don't use it, and doubt if I will
	No.	%	%	%
Audiotapes and cassettes Motion picture film Videotape Desk-top/electronic publishing Floppy disks Computer cassette/cartridge tapes Electronic mail Electronic bulletin boards FAX or TELEX Electronic databases Video conferencing Teleconferencing Micrographics and microforms Laser disk/video disk/CD-ROM Electronic networks	87 86 91 89 90 85 91 86 90 85 88 89 84 85 87	33.3 19.8 60.4 44.9 67.8 27.0 *50.5 *16.3 94.4 55.3 19.3 68.5 17.9 5.8 29.9	25.3 18.6 34.1 44.9 25.6 36.5 44.0 60.4 3.3 34.1 61.4 24.7 50.0 67.1 56.3	41.4 61.6 5.5 10.2 6.7 36.5 5.5 23.3 10.6 19.3 6.8 32.1 27.1 13.8
			fit Manage	
	No.	%	%	%
Audiotapes and cassettes Motion picture film Videotape Desk-top/electronic publishing Floppy disks Computer cassette/cartridge tapes Electronic mail Electronic bulletin boards FAX or TELEX Electronic databases Video conferencing Teleconferencing Micrographics and microforms Laser disk/video disk/CD-ROM Electronic networks	47 47 50 49 47 46 50 48 51 49 46 46 46 48	19.1 25.5 48.0 42.9 70.2 10.9 74.0 58.3 82.4 52.1 22.5 65.3 19.6 8.7 43.8	29.8 23.4 40.0 44.9 21.3 52.1 20.0 31.3 13.7 41.6 57.1 26.5 30.4 58.7 41.7	51.1 51.1 12.0 12.2 8.5 37.0 6.0 10.4 3.9 6.3 20.4 8.2 50.0 32.6 14.5

^{*} Differences between profit managers and nonprofit managers are significant at p < 0.05.

A list of the information technologies most frequently used by profit managers and nonprofit managers for communicating technical information follows:

Most Frequently Used By Profit Managers

FAX or TELEX
Teleconferencing
Floppy disks
Video tape
Electronic databases

Most Frequently Used By Nonprofit Managers

FAX or TELEX
Electronic mail
Floppy Disks
Teleconferencing
Electronic bulletin
boards

Both profit and nonprofit managers make considerable use of FAX or TELEX, floppy disks, and teleconferencing. There are, however, some interesting differences between the two groups. Approximately 60 percent of the profit managers use video tape compared to 48 percent of the nonprofit managers. Approximately 58 percent of the nonprofit managers use electronic bulletin boards compared to approximately 16 percent of the profit managers. Similarly, 74 percent of the nonprofit managers use electronic mail compared to approximately 51 percent of the profit managers.

A further look at Table 27 reveals several information technologies for which a considerable number of "I don't use it, and doubt if I will" responses were recorded. The percentages of these responses ranges from a high of 61.6 percent (motion

picture film) for profit managers and 51.1 percent (motion picture film and audiotapes/cassettes) for nonprofit managers to a low of 2.3 percent (FAX or TELEX) for profit managers and 3.9 percent (FAX or TELEX) for nonprofit managers. A list of the five information technologies receiving the highest percentage of "don't use it, and doubt if I will" responses follows:

Least Frequently Used By Profit Managers

Motion picture film
Audiotapes and cassettes
Computer cassette/
cartridge tapes
Micrographics and
microforms
Laser disc/video disc/
CD-ROM

Least Frequently Used By Nonprofit Managers

Motion picture film Audiotapes and cassettes Micrographics and microforms Computer cassettes/ cartridge tapes Laser disc/video disc/ CD-ROM

Table 27 also indicates several information technologies for which a considerable percentage of "I don't use it, but may in the future" responses were recorded. The percentages of these responses range from a high of 67.1 percent (laser/disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for profit managers and 58.7 percent (laser/disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for nonprofit managers to a low of 3.3 percent (FAX or TELEX) for profit managers and 13.7 percent (FAX or TELEX) for nonprofit managers.

A list of the five information technologies receiving the highest percentage of "I don't use it, but may in the future" responses follows:

Most Likely to be Used By Profit Managers

Laser disc/video disc/CD-ROM
Video conferencing
Electronic bulletin
boards
Electronic networks
Micrographics and
microforms

Most Likely to be Used By Nonprofit Managers

Laser disc/video disc/ CD-ROM Video conferencing Computer cassettes/ cartridge tapes Desk-top/electronic publishing Electronic networks

Considering the 15 information technologies in the list, profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to say that they already use electronic mail and may use electronic bulletin boards in the future.

VALIDITY OF THE ASSUMPTIONS

The following conclusions are presented concerning the validity of the five study assumptions.

Assumption 1: The Importance of Communicating Technical Information Effectively Is Equally Significant to Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community.

The responses of profit managers and nonprofit managers to the five questions associated with this assumption were very similar. The importance of communicating technical information effectively is significant to aerospace profit managers and nonprofit managers alike. There is very little difference in the average amount of time the two groups spend communicating technical information to others and working with technical communications received from others. Therefore, based on the overall responses to questions dealing with this assumption, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 1.

Assumption 2: The Use and Production of Technical Information and Technical Information Products Are Different For Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community.

The responses of profit managers and nonprofit managers to the seven questions associated with this assumption were different. Significant differences were found for 10 of the 14

types of technical information products produced. Significant differences were found for 10 of the 12 types of technical information products used. The magnitudes of difference were greatest for the numbers of memos, letters, and drawings/specifications produced and used. Significant differences existed among the sources of help used by profit managers and nonprofit managers to write/prepare technical communications.

Significant differences also existed in the types of technical information products produced and used by profit and nonprofit managers in the performance of their duties and in the sources of technical information used to solve technical problems. Profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to produce design procedures and methods, product and performance characteristics, technical specifications, and patents, whereas nonprofit managers were more likely than profit managers to produce government rules and regulations. Profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to use design procedures and methods and patents.

When solving a technical problem, nonprofit managers were more likely than managers to use personal knowledge. Profit managers turned to experts outside the organization more frequently than did nonprofit managers. Therefore, the

conclusion of **DIFFERENCE** in technical communications practices is reached for **ASSUMPTION 2**.

Assumption 3: The Content For an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications Should Be Viewed Differently By Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community.

The responses of profit mangers and nonprofit managers to the six questions associated with this assumption were very similar. There is little difference in the percentage of profit (24.7 percent) and nonprofit managers (31.4 percent) who had taken technical communications coursework and in the percentages of profit managers (4.3 percent) and nonprofit managers (0.0 percent) who indicated that such coursework had not helped them to better communicate technical information. Further, there were few differences in the types of principles, mechanics, onthe-job communications, and types of technical reports to be included in an under- graduate technical communications curriculum for aeronautical engineers and scientists.

Therefore, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 3.

Assumption 4: The Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line Databases Differs For Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community.

The responses of profit managers and nonprofit managers to the three questions associated with this assumption were similar. Approximately 12 percent of the profit managers and 2 percent of the nonprofit managers did not use a library or technical information center. Approximately 75 percent of the profit managers and approximately 57 percent of the nonprofit managers did not use (electronic) databases. Neither group did any of their own searches. Approximately 9 percent of the profit managers and 10 percent of the nonprofit managers did most of their own searches. Therefore, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 4.

Assumption 5: The Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology Differs for Profit and Nonprofit Managers in the Aerospace Community.

The responses of profit managers and nonprofit managers to the six questions associated with this assumption were similar. Approximately 13 percent of the profit managers and approximately 16 percent of the nonprofit managers did not use computer technology for preparing technical communications. Approximately 9 percent of the profit managers and approximately

13 percent of the nonprofit managers indicated that the use of computer technology had not increased their ability to communicate technical information. Profit managers were more likely than nonprofit managers to "already use" electronic mail and use electronic bulletin boards "in the future." Therefore, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 5.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Profit managers and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community display different technical communications practices for only one of the five assumptions tested. Therefore, in response to the study's research question, it is concluded that aerospace profit managers and nonprofit managers DO NOT have significantly different technical communications practices.

Although the results of this study provide empirical evidence regarding the technical communications practices of profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community, data supporting the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE are neither conclusive nor compelling. The limitations of this exploratory study and the study's research design prohibit reaching that conclusion. A more rigorous research design is needed before such claims can be made. However, it is hard to resist

attributing differences in the use and production of technical information and technical information products (Assumption 2) to fundamental differences between profit and nonprofit aerospace organizations.

There are several speculative explanations for both the similarities and the differences in the findings regarding the technical communications practices of profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community. One possible reason for the similarities is that both the profit and nonprofit managers in this study have risen through the ranks and have retained many of the technical communications practices formed while they were nonmanagers. In other words, the technical communications practices "working" engineers and scientists develop transcend profit and nonprofit affiliation. Another possible explanation is that many of the managers included in this study are actually working supervisors and, consequently, utilize common technical communications practices.

The differences may be variously explained. One explanation can be attributed to fundamental differences in profit and nonprofit organizations. For example, it seems logical that nonprofit managers would produce more government rules and regulations than profit managers. Could other factors

or variables (e.g., duties associated with the position) account for the difference in technical communications use and production?

Accessibility or availability of support help may also explain certain technical communications practices among aerospace profit and nonprofit managers. Profit managers seek the help of a secretary to prepare written technical communications more frequently than do nonprofit managers. Likewise, nonprofit managers are more likely than profit managers to use a colleague to help prepare written technical communications. Could accessibility or availability explain why neither profit nor nonprofit managers make extensive use of technical writers and editors? Could familiarity, experience, ease of use, expense, or some combination of these account for this finding?

Profit managers make greater use of experts outside of the organization to solve technical problems. One possible explanation is that profit managers have greater access to outside experts. Another is that the use of outside experts to solve problems is a fairly common practice in the profit sector. On the other hand, the conventional wisdom holds, at least at the federal level, that public organizations make considerable

use of outside consultants. Could recent changes in federal procurement regulations have changed this? Both groups, however, display a preference for personalized, informal information sources when solving technical problems. This similarity may be more attributable to social/professional enculturation than to any other possible factor or variable.

Both profit and nonprofit managers prefer personalized, informal information sources to libraries, technical information centers, and on-line databases. Could this similarity also be attributable to social/professional enculturation? Profit and nonprofit managers make considerable use of computer technology for preparing written technical communications. Could the finding that profit and nonprofit managers use certain information technology be dependent upon access to the technology?

Although the results of this study add to a rather limited empirical knowledge base, more research regarding the technical communications practices of profit and nonprofit managers in the aerospace community is clearly needed. The data reported here offer limited but useful insight into the technical communications practices of aerospace profit and nonprofit managers. Technical communications educators may find the

results useful in curriculum planning, technical information managers may find the results useful when planning and providing for information policy and services, and researchers may find the results useful for planning a more indepth investigation of the topic.

SURVEY INSTRUMENT

TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN AERONAUTICS

1. Ir	n your work, how important is it for	YOU to communicate tech	nical information effectively?		Col.
	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not at all Importa	int	5
2. H	low many hours do YOU spend each	week communicating tech	nical information TO others?	Hours	67
3. H	low many hours do YOU spend each	week working with technic	cal communications FROM others?	Hours	89
	s you have advanced professionally OOTHERS changed?	, how has the amount of tim	ne YOU spend communicating tech	nical information	
_	Increased	Stayed the Same	${\stackrel{_{3}}{\operatorname{Decreased}}}$		10
5. A	s you have advanced professionally eceived <i>FROM OTHERS</i> changed?	, how has the amount of tim	ne YOU spend working with technic	cal communications	
_	Increased	Stayed the Same	Decreased		11
6. A	approximately how many times in th	ne past six months did you v	write/prepare:		
	etters	times in the	Journal articles		12- 53
M	lemos	past 6 months	Conference/Meeting papers		• 1•)
Т	echnical reports-Government		Trade/Promotional literatur	e	
Т	echnical reports-Other		Press releases		
P	roposals		Drawings/Specifications		
Т	echnical manuals		Speeches		
C	Computer program documentation		Audio/Visual materials		
7. H	low many times in the past <i>one mon</i>	th did you use materials wr	ritten/prepared by other people?		
			Journal articles		54-
N	1emos	# read/used in past 1 month	Conference/Meeting papers		89
Т	echnical reports-Government		Trade/Promotional literatur	e	
	echnical reports-Other		Drawings/Specifications		
	roposals		Audio/Visual materials		
Т	echnical Manuals				
C	Computer program documentation				
8. W	When you write/prepare technical co	ommunications, do you rece	ive help from:		
		Always	Usually Sometimes	Never	90- 95
	Other colleagues				
	Secretaries				
	Technical writers or edi	tors			
	A thesaurus/dictionary				
	A style manual	·			
	A grammar hotline				

9	. wnic. prepa	n of the f tred? (Ch	ollowing statements <i>BEST</i> represents how leck Only One)	the artwork for	r <i>YOUR</i> v	ısual a	ids (charts, graphs) is	
	1	I do my	own artwork without a computer					ne.
		-	own artwork with a computer					96
			phics department does my artwork					
		-	nes I do it and sometimes the graphics depa	rtment does it				
			ary does it	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
			vork is prepared elsewhere					
			orn to propared clock there					
10.	Have	you ever	taken a course(s) in technical communicat	O				
		Yes, as a Undergr	n Yes, after _ aduate	Yes, both		4	No (Skip to Q. 12)	97
11.	How v	well did t	his course help YOU communicate technicate	al information?	?			
	Ϊ	A Lot	A Little	Did not He	elp			98
12.	In you	ır opinio e for aero	n, which of the following topics should be in mautical engineers and scientists?	ncluded in an u	ndergra	duate t	echnical communications	
	Yes	No	Principles		Yes	No	Mechanics	
			Defining the communication's purpose				Abbreviations	99.
			Assessing readers' needs				Acronyms	116
			Organizing information				Capitalization	
			Developing paragraphs (introductions, transitions, and conclusions)				Numbers	
			Writing sentences (active vs. passive voi	20			Punctuation	
			parallel ideas, shifts in person or tense	e)			References	
		•	Using standard English grammar				Spelling	
			Notetaking and quoting		1		Symbols	
			Editing and revising					
			Choosing words (avoiding wordiness, ja sexist terms)	rgon, slang,				
	1	2	Using information technology (video cor electronic data bases, etc.)	nferencing,				
13.	Which comm	n of the fo	ollowing on-the-job communications should ons course for aeronautical engineers and	l be included in	an unde i	rgradu	ate technical	
	Yes	No	to a se for deromatical engineers and	a scientisus.	Yes	Ma	Domanto.	
	100	110	Abstracts		168	No	Reports: Feasibility	
			Letters				Investigative	117- 134
			Memos				Laboratory	
			Instructions				Progress	
			Journal articles				Test	
			Literature reviews				Trip	
			Manuals				Trouble	
			Newsletter articles		1	2	Trouble	
			Oral presentations					
			Specifications					
			Use of information sources					
	1	2						
14.			mputer technology to prepare technical cor	mmunications?	•			
		Always	Usually	Sometimes	;		Never (Skip to Q. 19)	135
	,		2	3		4	•	
15.	Has co	omputer (technology increased YOUR ability to com-	municate techn	nical infor	mation	?	
		A Lot	A Little	Not at All				136
			2	.1				

16.	Do YOU	J use a	ny of the following softw	are for prepari	ng written techni	cal communi	cations?		
	Yes	No				Yes	No		
			Word processing			and the second	Thesaurus		137- 143
			•	nwa.			Business g	raphics	14.3
			Outliners and prompte					_	
			Grammar and style ch	eckers		1	Scientific g	graphics	
			Spelling checkers						
17.	Do YOU		n integrated graphics, te	xt, and modelir	ng engineering wo	orkstation for	preparing written	n technical	
	Al		Usua	lly	Sometime	·s	Never		144
	ı		2		3		•		
18.	Do YOU	J use el	ectronic or desk-top publ		s for preparing wr	itten technic	al communication	s?	
	Al	lways	Usua	lly	$=\frac{1}{3}$ Sometime	es	Never		145
19.	How do	YOU v	riew your use of the follow	ving informatio	on technologies in	communicat	ing technical info	rmation?	
				I already	I don't use it, but may	I don't use and doub t			
	Informa	ation T	echnologies	use it	in the future	Iwill			
	Audio ta	apes ar	nd cassettes						146- 160
	Motion	picture	film						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Video ta	ape							
	Desk-to	p/elect	cronic publishing						
	Floppy								
	-		sette/cartridge tapes						
	Electro								
			letin boards						
	FAX or								
	Electron								
	Video c Telecon								
			s and microforms						
		-	leo disc/CD-ROM						
	Electro				2				
				1	2	.3			
20	. When fa	aced w	ith solving a technical p	roblem, do you i	get technical info		:		
					Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never	
	Persona								161- 172
	Inform	al discı	ussions with colleagues					 =	
			ith supervisors					***	
			ith experts <i>in</i> your organ				and the second		
			ith experts outside of you	ur organization			,		
		_	orts Government						
			orts-Other	ing nanore					
	Protess Textboo	_	ournals/conference meet	ing papers			-		
			nd standards						
			ormation sources, such a	s on-line data					
			ing and abstracting guid						
			nd current awareness too		-	. = =			
			chnical information spec					· · · ·	

2	l. What t	ypes of	technical information do you USE in performing your present duties?	
	Yes	No	, (v) p	
			Scientific and technical information	
			Experimental techniques	17: 18
			Codes of standards and practices	
			Design procedures and methods	
			Computer programs	
			Government rules and regulations	
			In-house technical data	
			Product and performance characteristics	
			Economic information	
			Technical specifications	
			Patents	
	1	2	1 dvento	
22	. What ty	ypes of	technical information do you PRODUCE (or expect to produce) in performing your present duties?	
	Yes	No	performing your present duties:	
	163	140	Scientific and technical information	
				184- 194
			Experimental techniques	1.71
		-	Codes of standards and practices	
			Design procedures and methods	
			Computer programs	
		-	Government rules and regulations	
			In-house technical data	
			Product and performance characteristics Economic information	
			Technical specifications	
			Patents	
	1	2	ratents	
an	11 (,		
2.3	. now ou	en do ye	ou use the library or a technical information center? (Circle Choice)	
	1 — Dai		4 — Two to three times a month	195
			times a week 5 — Once a month	
	3 — One	e a wee	5 Dess than once a month	
			7 — Do not use	
24.	Do you i	ıse elec	tronic data bases to find bibliographic citations and abstracts? $1 - \text{Yes} = 2 - \text{No}$ (Skip to Q. 26)	1 96
			- v	150
25.	Do you (
			ches yourself 4 — Do most searches through an intermediary (e.g. librarian)	197
	2 — Do <i>i</i>	nost sea	arches yourself 5 — Do all searches through an intermediary	131
	3 - Do I	half by	vourself and half through an	
	ın	termed	iary (e.g. librarian)	
тн	HS DATA	WILL	RE USED TO DETERMINE WHETHER REODI E WHAT DIRECTION BY ONCE ON THE	
ĎΕ	FFEREN	TTEC	BE USED TO DETERMINE WHETHER PEOPLE WITH DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS HAVE HNICAL COMMUNICATION PRACTICES.	
	Whatis			
		3 3 -	2 Temate	198
27.	What is	your lev	vel of education?	
	1 - No d		3 — Masters 5 — Other	
	2 — Bac		4 — Doctorate	199
28.	How ma	ny year	s of professional work experience do you have? Years	900
				200- 201
29	Type of	rganie	ation where you work? (Circle Only One Number)	
- 0.	1 — Acad			
	2 — Indu		4 — Government (Non-NASA)	202
	3 — Not-		5 — NASA	
	1106-	-ar bron		VER)

30.	What are your present professional duties? (Circle Only	One Number)	
	01 — Research	06 - Manufacturing/Production	203 204
	02 — Administration/Mgt. (for profit)	07 — Private Consultant	
	03 — Administration/Mgt. (not-for-profit sector)	08 — Service/Maintenance	
	04 - Design/Development	09 — Marketing/Sales	
	05 — Teaching/Academic	10 — Other	·
31.	What is your AIAA interest group? (Circle Only One Nu	amber)	
	1 — Aerospace Science	5 — Aerospace and Information Systems	209
	$2-{\bf AircraftSystems}$	6-Administration/Management	
	3- Structures, Design, and Test	7 — Other	
	4 — Propulsion and Energy		
32.	Is American English your first (native) language?	- Yes $2-$ No	200
33.	Are you an Engineer or a Scientist? $1-$ Engineer	2 — Scientist	20
34 .	Are there comments you would like to add about topics of	covered in this questionnaire?	
			·
۰.	ND - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- i	
35.	What can be done to improve technical communication	s in aeronautics:	
		-	

Dr. M. Glassman Dept. of Marketing Old Dominion University Norfolk, VA 23529-0218 Mail to:

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Allen, Thomas J. Manging the Flow of Technology: Technology
 Transfer and the Dissemination of Technological Information
 Within the R&D Organization. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1977.
- Bozeman, Barry and L. Vaughan Blankenship. "Science Information and Government Decision Making: The Case of the National Science Foundation." <u>Public Administration Review</u> 39:1 (January/February 1979): 53-57.
- Bozeman, Barry and Elliot Cole. "Scientific and Technical Information in Public Management: The Role of 'Gatekeeping' and Channel Preference." <u>Administration and Society</u> 13:4 (February 1982): 479-493.
- Bozeman, Barry and Dong Kim. "Governing the 'Republic of Science': An Analysis of National Science Foundation Officials' Attitudes About Managed Science." The Journal of the Northwestern Political Science Association 14:2 (Winter 1981): 183-204.
- Bozeman, Barry; Kenneth Roering; and E. Allen Slusher. "Social Structure and the Flow of Scientific Information in Public Agencies: An Ideal Design." Research Policy 7:4 (October 1978): 384-405.
- Lindblom, Charles E. <u>Politics and Markets</u>. New York: Basic Books, 1977.
- Pinelli, Thomas E; Myron Glassman; Walter E. Oliu; and Rebecca O. Barclay. <u>Technical Communications in Aeronautics:</u>
 Results of an Exploratory Study. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101534, Part 1, February 1989. 106 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E; Myron Glassman; Walter E. Oliu; and Rebecca O. Barclay. <u>Technical Communications in Aeronautics:</u>
 Results of an Exploratory Study. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101534, Part 2, February 1989. 84 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)

- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Myron Glassman; Rebecca O. Barclay; and Walter E. Oliu. <u>Technical Communications in Aeronautics:</u>

 <u>Results of an Exploratory Study -- An Analysis of Managers' and Nonmanagers' Responses</u>. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101625.

 August 1989. 58 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Myron Glassman; and Virginia M. Cordle.

 <u>Survey of Reader Preferences Concerning the Format of NASA</u>

 <u>Technical Reports</u>. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-84502. August 1982. 84 p.

 (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Virginia M. Cordle; Myron Glassman; and Raymond F. Vondran. "Report Format Preferences of Technical Managers and Nonmanagers." <u>Technical Communication</u> 31:2 (Second Quarter 1984): 4-8.
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Virginia M. Cordle; Myron Glassman; and Raymond F. Vondran, Jr. "Report-reading Patterns of Technical Managers and Nonmanagers." <u>Technical Communication</u> 31:3 (Third Quarter 1984): 20-24.
- Rainey, Hal G.; Robert W. Backoff; and Charles H. Levine.
 "Comparing Public and Private Organization." <u>Public</u>
 <u>Administration Review</u> 36:1 (March/April 1976): 233-244.
- Ring, Peter Smith and James L. Perry. "Strategic Management in Public Organizations: Implications of Distinctive Contexts and Constraints." <u>Academy of Management Review</u> 10:2 (April 1985): 276-284.

Paties as the Adversariation	Report Docume	entation Page	•		
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession	in No.	3. Recipient's Catalo	og No.	
NASA TM-101626					
4. Title and Subtitle	1		5. Report Date		
Technical Communications i an Exploratory Study Ar	n Aeronautics:	Results of	October 198	39	
Managers' and Nonprofit Ma	=		6. Performing Organ	ization Code	
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organ	ization Report No.	
Thomas E. Pinelli, Myron G	lassman,				
Rebecca O. Barclay, and Wa			10. Work Unit No. 505-90		
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre	ss				
NASA Langley Research Cent Hampton, VA 23665-5225	er		11. Contract or Grant	No.	
			13. Type of Report ar	nd Period Covered	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Memorandum		
National Aeronautics and S Washington, DC 20546-0001	pace Administrat	ion	14. Sponsoring Agend	cy Code	
, asmington, 50 20040-0001					
Thomas E. Pinelli: NASA La Myron Glassman: Old Domin Rebecca O. Barclay: Renssa Walter E. Oliu: U.S. Nucle 16 Abset Data collected from communications practice analyzed to test the pragers in the aerospace practices. Five assump and nonprofit managers different technical com assumptions tested. It profit managers in the technical communication	an exploratory s s of aerospace e imary assumption community have d tions were estab in the aerospace munications prac was, therefore, aerospace commun	Norfolk, VA Institute, Toommission, Wash tudy concerned ngineers and s that profit a ifferent techn lished for the community wer tices for one concluded tha	roy, NY nington, DC with the tech cientists were nd nonprofit n ical communica analysis. Pr e found to hav of the five t profit and r	e nan- ations rofit /e	
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statem			
Technical communications					
Managers and nonmanagers		Unclassifie	ed - Unlimited		
Aeronautical engineers and Communications practices	scientists	Subject Category 82			
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of th	is page)	21. No. of pages	22. Price	
Unclassified	Unclassified		71	A04	

-			
ļ			
ł			

· ·				-
u.				

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Code NTT-4

Washington, D.C. 20546-0001

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300 SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID

NASA Permit No. G-27



POSTMASTER:

If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return