Georgia Tech

THE GEORGE W. WOODRUFF SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

> Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0405

ME 4182 MECHANICAL DESIGN ENGINEERING

NASA / UNIVERSITY ADVANCED DESIGN PROGRAM

SKITTER / IMPLEMENT MECHANICAL INTERFACE

JUNE 1988

Will Cash Alan Cone Frank Garolera David German Dave Lindabury Cleve Luckado Craig Murphey Bryan Rowell Brad Wilkinson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ABSTRACT		•	•	•	•	•	2
2. OVERVIEW OF SKITTER		•	•	•	•	•	3
3. PROBLEM STATEMENT			•	•		•	4
A. BACKGROUND					•	•	4
B. GEOPHYSICAL CONST	RAINT	S	•	•	•	•	4
C. MECHANICAL CONSTR	AINTS			•	•	•	6
4. DESCRIPTION .					•	•	6
5. ANALYSIS						•	8
A. INTRODUCTION		•			•	•	8
B. MATERIALS / FABRIC	ATION					•	8
C. ALTERNATIVES MAT	ERIALS	5					9
D. FEET		•		•			10
E. ROTATING CAMS	•	•					11
F. CAMSHAFTS .	•			•		•	11
G. ROLLERS .			•			•	11
H. LOCKING PIN .	•	•				•	12
I. HOUSING PLATES	•	•	•	•			12
J. ACTUATOR .	•	•				•	13
K. SPRINGS.			•	•		•	13
L. FAILURE.		•	•	•	•		13
M. POWER REQUIREMEN	TS						13
6. OPERATION .						•	14
7. CONCLUSIONS AND REC	сомм	ENDAT	TIONS		•		15
8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	•			•	•	•	16
9. REFERENCES			•				17
10. FIGURES	•		•	•	•	•	19
11. APPENDICES							20

SKITTER / IMPLEMENT MECHANICAL INTERFACE







1. ABSTRACT

The objective of this project was to design a mechanical interface for SKITTER. This mechanical latching interface will allow SKITTER to use a series of implements such as drills, cranes, etc, and perform different tasks on the moon. The design emphasizes versatility and detachability. That is, the interface design is the same for all implements, and connection and detachment is simple. After consideration of many alternatives, a system of three identical latches at each of the three interface points was chosen. The latching mechanism satisfies the design constraints because it facilitates connection and detachment. Also, the moving parts are protected from the dusty environment by housing plates.

2. OVERVIEW OF SKITTER

S.K.I.T.T.E.R. (Spacial Kinematic Inertial Translatory Tripod Extremity Robot) is a three-legged transport vehicle designed to perform under the unique environment of the moon. In order to achieve the simplest mechanical system possible, design engineers considered the most simple statically stable device, the tripod. Three legs, arranged at 120 degree intervals, and a central platform, make up the structure. A femur link and tibia, terminating as foot, comprise each leg.

Electromechanical actuators serve as the hip and knee joints. The hip joint alters the angular position of the femur relative to the platform. The knee joint changes tibia position relative to the femur.

Operation involves a closed-loop velocity feedback system and a master/slave relationship between controlling devices. Each slave, a dedicated microprocessor, calculates link velocity based on input from its respective position sensor. Results are compared to the prescribed velocity for that particular position while the error signal, conditioned by the gain, governs actuator motion.

The master, a remote human operator and/or on-board computer, coordinates slave action to achieve a variety of platform positions. Actuating a single leg, for example, forces the platform to lean from its equilibrium position - maneuver for zeroing in on targets. The same procedure, applied to the other legs, lowers the platform close to, or with enough iterations, directly onto, an implement.

To traverse distances, move radially, or rotate about a single point, the mobile platform makes use of the moon's low gravitational force. Each leg pushes off from the surface, changes position, and falls back into contact with the surface at a new point.

The three-legged platform offers several advantages over the lunar vehicle concepts, according to its designers, Jim Brazell, Brice MacLaren, and Gary McMurray of The Georgia Institute of Technology. SKITTER which can be used as a transportation or carrying cargo device is very versatile. 1

> 1. David J. Bak, "Three legs make mobile platform ", Design News, February 15, 1988, page 136.

3. PROBLEM STATEMENT

A. BACKGROUND AND PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

SKITTER will use a series of implements to perform different tasks on the moon. Some of these implements are cranes, robotic arms, augers, drills, etc. Interchangeability is the prime consideration in the use of these implements by SKITTER. Therefore, the implements are detachable, and the interface designed must be the same for all implements.

B. GEOPHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS

Due to the moon's geophysical characteristics (dusty environment, drastic temperature range, lack of atmosphere, and a gravitational force which is 1/6 that of the earth), a mechanical interface that will perform under theses conditions is an imperative need.

i. Gravity

Although the diameter of the moon is about one-quarter that of the earth, the moon weighs only about one-eightieth as much as the earth. The force of gravity at the moon's surface is only one-sixth that of the earth. Therefore, an implement weighing approximately 350 pounds on the earth weighs only about 60 pounds on the moon. This fact most be considered when designing a mechanical interface.

ii. Atmosphere

The moon has no atmosphere because it's gravity is too weak to hold an atmosphere like the earth's. If relatively light gases like oxygen, nitrogen, and water vapor were ever present on the moon, their molecules must have escaped into space long ago. The gravity of the moon is strong enough to hold back heavier atoms like argon and radon, but there are not enough of these elements present to make any tangible atmosphere. Due to this lack of atmosphere, liquids evaporate or the moon. This creates a constraint during the design process when lubricants are a concern. Also, since there is no humidity, the moon's soil is dry and very dusty.

iii. Radiation

The lack of atmosphere on the moon means that, unlike the earth, the surface of the moon has no protection from continuous bombardment by tiny meteorites and from scorching by lethal X-rays, gamma rays, and cosmic rays that originate from the sun and the rest of the universe. This fact is an important consideration during the materials selection of the design process. Most metals are not very susceptible to ultra-violet radiation. However, polymers are sensitive and should be shielded.

iv. Temperature

As the moon moves around the earth, it turns so slowly that it always keeps the same side facing toward the earth. The moon thus rotates once on its axis in the same time that it makes one trip around the earth. To keep one face turned always to the earth, the moon must turn its back on the sun during half its orbit.

As a result of these motions, the 29 and 1/2 - day month is divided on the moon into a lunar "day" and a lunar "night," each about two weeks long. Because the moon has no insulating atmosphere, the "daytime" temperature in direct sunlight is approximately 134° C. (270° F.), well above the boiling point of water. During the lunar "night," the temperature drops suddenly (200° C per minute) to about -170° C. (-270° F.), much colder than the freezing point of carbon dioxide ("dry ice").

C. MECHANICAL CONSTRAINTS

In addition to the geophysical constraints, there are mechanical constraints introduced by the geometrical shape of the connecting region on SKITTER, the locomotion of SKITTER, and the desirable weight of the implements. The shape of the connecting region on SKITTER is that of an equilateral triangle with a circular hole in its center. The sides of the triangle are 7 feet in length and the inscribed circle is approximately 4 feet in diameter.

SKITTER's locomotion resembles a modified 'crutch walk' characteristic of a three legged transport vehicle.

4. DESCRIPTION

The mechanical latching interface unit for the SKITTER transport vehicle consists of three rotating cam locks located on the SKITTER section (Figure 1), and three latch rods located on the implement section (Figure 2). The latching unit offers several advantages such as quick connection and quick detachment if any mechanical failure The dimensions of the latching unit are limited by the occurs. geometrical constrains mentioned in the problem statement. The geophysical selection of materials is also limited by the However, for consistency on the strength characteristics of the moon. to weight ratio of SKITTER and the mechanical interface the same material should be used on both. An appropriate choice would be an aluminum alloy such as 6061-T6 or any other aluminum of the 6000 series. Each lock on the unit located on SKITTER comprises of two rotating cams, a locking pin loaded with a spring, high tolerance dry bearings, guides, and an actuator.



5. ANALYSIS

A. INTRODUCTION

This section of the report includes a short, more detailed description of each of the components of the mechanical latching interface unit. It also shows the design techniques for the components. After the initial conceptualization, the design is determined primarily by operation and force requirements. A final tabulation of weights appears in the Appendix. Also, for actual calculations see the Appendix.

B. MATERIALS / FABRICATION

Desired properties:

- Low coefficient of thermal expansion.
- Resistance to intense U.V. radiation.
- Little variation of properties within a temperature range from -270° F to 270° F.
- High strength to weight ratio.
- Resistance to sliding wear (Actuator and latch mechanism).
- Resistance to cold welding.
- Resistance to brittle fracture at low temperatures.
- Ease of machineability during manufacturing of implements.

Due to the geophysical constraints inherent to the lunar surface, it is necessary to select a material which displays good strength characteristics and resistance to corrosion and environmental attach. Additionally, the material should not be adversely affected by the extremes in temperature which are encountered on the lunar surface. Also, since launch costs are considerable, a high strength to weight ratio is important.

It was found that an Aluminum alloy (6061-T6) has many of the physical properties that are tolerable to the lunar environment. This aluminum alloy(6061-T6) consists of the following components: 1% Mg, 0.6% Si, 0.25% Cu,).20% Cr, the metal is also solution heat treated and artificially aged. The density of the alloy is 0.098 lb/in3

and has a tensile strength of 45 ksi and an ultimate yield strength of 39 ksi. Thus, through the alloying process, aluminum can obtain strengths twice that of mild steel. This alloy has one of the highest strength to weight ratio and can be compared to superalloy steels and titanium. Al 6061-T6 also has a low coefficient of thermal expansion (B=0.005 in/in) for the range -250 F to 210 F. and thus will retain its shape over a wide range of temperatures. Aluminum alloys also exhibit excellent cryogenic properties and actually become tougher at lower temperatures, whereas most steels become brittle at cryogenic temperatures. Aluminum 6061 can be worked using a variety of methods such as machining, extrusion, casting etc. It may also be welded.

Although aluminum is a highly chemically active metal, it possesses an excellent resistance to corrosion. This resistance is due to a natural forming film that bonds to the surface of the material. This film is transparent and thus does not detract from the reflectivity of the aluminum. This reflectivity can be useful in maintaining a constant temperature gradient across the interface and latches.

Material properties for Aluminum 6061-T6 are given in section C of the Appendix.

C. ALTERNATIVE MATERIALS

Aluminum 6061-T6 is in widespread use for lunar applications due to its combination of high strength at elevated temperatures, low weight, and resistance to deterioration by the moon's atmosphere. Presently, other materials are being developed which may yield better overall properties. Aluminum - lithium is a promising alloy that has been gaining much attention. Similar in density to the 6061 alloy, it has increased strength and is stable up to 700° F. Parts may be forged from this alloy using power metallurgy, a relatively new technology which uses pressure to form parts in a heated mold.

Aluminum has also been ALLOYED with iron, and vanadium to yield higher strengths. For example, aluminum 2090-T8 has a lower density than many other alloys, has a higher elastic modulus, and is highly resistant to corrosion and fatigue. Aluminum 8090 which is a copper, lithium, and magnesium alloy, also displays many promising applications for noon use. Aluminum lithium alloys in the T6 configuration may be plastically formed into shapes, using a process similar to vacuum forming. A new class of alloys, alumicides, has been gaining much recent attention due to its high strength and good high temperature properties. Beryllium alloys typically have a density of two-thirds that of aluminum alloys. Their elastic modulus is high, close to four times that of aluminum but, they are prone to surface cracks, corrosion, brittleness, and are toxic to handle when processing. They also typically have low impact strength. Magnesium alloys are light, but have lower strengths than aluminum. They also have problems with elasticity and machining. Beryllium copper alloys show display some desirable qualities. Titanium alloys are very tolerant of high temperatures and have an elastic modulus of 1.5 times that of aluminum. These alloys, with many useful characteristics, are difficult to work with, brittle, and very expensive.

D. FEET

The foot is the name given to the rod which is mounted on the implement to be grasped (Figure 3). SKITTER uses these rods (three of them) to hold on to the implement. The foot consists of a 1" diameter rod which is 4.5" long. The last 0.25" of each end is milled to a hexagonal cross section with a hole to accept a $1/4 \times 20$ bolt at each end. The rod is designed for a 1,000 lb static load (applied at the center causing bending) with a 3.4 factor of safety for dynamic considerations.

The rod is attached to the implement with 1/4" triangular plate mount, surrounded by a conical shell (Figure 3). The side plates have a hexagon shaped hole to accept the rod ends. Two A574 1/4 x 20 socket head cap screws 1 1/4" long are used to hold the rod onto the plate along with lock washers. Overall, the plates are high enough to keep to rod at a sufficient distance from implement to allow room for latching.

The end plates are also triangular and 1/4" thick, one for each end, to give strength in a direction parallel to the rod's axis. The plates are all welded to each other with 3/16" fillets all around. The feet are mounted to the implement in a radial configuration (Figure 4). This is to prevent motion in all horizontal directions, aside from deflection, while the implement is being used. Such configuration also allows attachment even when the feet are slightly out of line due to thermal expansion or contraction of SKITTER or the implement. Such changes in position are of the order of 1 cm.

E. ROTATING CAMS

The shape and size of the cams are determined by forces as well as operational considerations. The bottom of the cams is shaped to cause them to open when they are pushed down on the rod. The tops are shaped to cause them to close when the rod is seated. The inner circular shape is slightly larger than the rod diameter of the foot. The outer shape and thickness of the cams are determined by the forces that the cams must support. (Figure 5).

F. CAMSHAFTS

The main shafts are rigidly connected to the cams by fillet welds. The shafts are sized at 3/4" diameter \pm 0.005" to take the 1,500 in-lb of torque using a shear yield strength as one half of the tensile yield strength. Each shaft will hold 2,900 lbs of concentrated load in bending between the housing plates. The total length of the shafts is 3 1/4" to extend through the entire latch (Figure 6).

G. ROLLERS

The rollers are dry, straight roller bearings and are sealed. They have a 1/4" I.D., 5/4" O.D., and are 1/2" wide. They are mounted on the roller shafts. They are forced against the locking pin while the latch is closed in order to keep the cams from opening. Their function is to prevent excessive sliding friction during latching and unlatching (Figures 6 and 7).

i. Roller Mounts

The rollers are to be positioned with their centers of rotation offset 3/4" from that of the camshafts. To accomplish this, two

mounts per roller are attached to the camshafts (Figure 6). They are designed to hold 500 lbs of bending force each, applied at the roller axis. They are welded to the cam shafts all around with 3/16" fillet. They are sized to give 1/8" clearance between the camshafts and the bottom of the rollers. The top of the mounts are tapered to allow the roller surfaces to extend beyond them and contact the locking pin.

ii. Roller Shafts

The roller shafts extend between the roller mounts and are rigidly connected to them(Figure 8). They are sized at $1/4" \pm 0.005"$ diameter with a 500 lb capacity in distributed bending load.

H. LOCKING PIN

The locking pin is a straight piece of metal which moves vertically between the rollers to prevent them from moving. It measures $1 \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{7}{8} 1 \frac{1}{2}$ long (Figure 9). The bottom end is rounded to allow easy movement between the rollers. The front and back sides are slotted to restrict the motion vertically. The slots are $\frac{1}{4}$ wide and $\frac{1}{8}$ deep \pm 0.005". The load on the pin is purely compressive. Two holes are drilled through the top of the pin to allow the connection of springs. These holes are $\frac{1}{4}$ in diameter and 1.3" deep.

I. HOUSING PLATES

i. Front and Back.

The front and back of the housing plates are designed to act as guides for the foot, as well as, protection for the latch components (Figure 10).

ii. Top and Strengthening Wedges.

The top is used to mount latch to SKITTER, and it has a hole in the midcle for the actuator. Wedges add strength to the housing structure.

J. ACTUATOR

The actuator is an electric solenoid producing linear motion in both directions over a length of 1" with a force of 40 lb. The position of the actuator is above the locking pin. It is totally enclosed within the SKITTER frame (See section D of the Appendix for information on the actuator).

K. SPRINGS

One set of springs is used to hold the locking pin in place. This set comprises of two compression springs with a spring constant of 5 lb/in. They have a free length of 2.6" an a compressed length of 1.25". They have an outside diameter of 0.2" and fit inside two 1/4" holes in the locking pin. Sleeves which are slightly greater in diameter but able to fit through the holes are used to prevent the springs from buckling.

L. FAILURE

Failure of the actuator on a latch will not allow the implement to be released. Therefore some sort of back-up system should be implemented. An alternative would be to install an explosive charge that will be detonated to "blow" the locking pin on the failed latch. Either damage 10 the SKITTER or implement side will allow disengagement. Failure of one or two of the latches to close will render the SKITTER side of the interface inoperable. The interface will not support the loading and damage will occur.

M. POWER REQUIREMENTS

The mechanical interface for SKITTER has been designed assuming a 24 volts D.C. power supply. This assumption is based on the possibility of using the available solar radiation. Also, new technology in electrochemical fuel cells may be perfected in the near future. Electrical power is easily obtained, and is not affected by extreme temperature variations or lack of atmosphere.

6. OPERATION

The mechanical interface is designed to allow SKITTER to grasp, hold, release, or drop its implements under a variety of conditions. The most common scenario is with the implement sitting in its storage rack in a horizontal position. When ready to use the implement, SKITTER walks to the rack, centers itself over the implement, and them lowers onto it. Because of the presence of built-in guides, SKITTER can miss the rods by ± 1 " in the transverse direction, and $\pm 1 \frac{1}{2}$ " in the longitudinal direction with respect to each rod. Once the rods are guided into place, their own motion serves to first open the cams, and then close the cams. This action is possible due to the shape of the cams. When the rods are fully engaged, the locking pin is pushed into the space between the rollers to keep the cams closed.

SKITTER could also grasp the implement even if it were not in a horizontal rack. The latches work in any orientation. Their latching capabilities are only limited by SKITTER's range of motion.

In the case of releasing an implement, it can either be taken back to the rack and set down, or dropped at the working site for whatever reason. The unlatching process is the same for both cases. The locking pin is pulled out from between the rollers by the actuator. The weight of the implement or the movement of SKITTER then causes the cams to open due to the force of the rods on them.

While the latch is not in use, the normal position is locked with the pin engaged since it is spring loaded. Therefore, the pin must be disengaged before latching proceeds.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This design project meets all requirements set forth in the original problem statement. Implements can be easily interchanged since they all have the same passive configuration. The mechanical components involved are strong enough for the assumed forces during SKITTER's operation on the moon. No liquid lubricants or pneumatic actuators are used, so the high vacuum conditions of the moon do not create problems. Also, the materials involved will withstand the radiation and temperature extremes found on the moon.

However, some constraints are only met to a degree. The two most important are dirt tolerance and weight. Dirt tolerance was considered and addressed by first minimizing holes and corners to avoid dirt settlement, and second, by enclosing the latching mechanism in a sealed housing. To get a practical idea of the performance of the design in a dusty environment, a prototype interface should be tested in a simulated lunar environment.

Weight was minimized by keeping the latch as compact as possible and by using a high strength to weight ratio aluminum alloy. Further optimization of the configuration and sizing is also recommended.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The production of this project was made possible with the assistance of the following:

Prof. James W. Brazell - School of Mechanical Engineering Georgia Tech.

Brice MacLaren - Graduate Student, Georgia Tech.

Gary McMurray - Graduate Student, Georgia Tech.

- Harry Vaughan Shop Assistant, School of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Tech.
- Virgil McConnell Shop Assistant, School of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Tech.
- Butch Cabe Shop Assistant, School of Mechanical Engineering, Georgia Tech.

9. REFERENCES

Askeland, Donald R., <u>The Science of Engineering Materials</u>, Wadsworth, Belmont California, 1984.

ASM Handbook Committee, <u>Metals Handbook</u>, 9th ed. v.2, American Society for Metals, 1979.

Baldwin, Ralph Belknap, <u>A fundamental survey of the moon</u>, McGraw- Hill, New York, 1965.

Baumeister, Avallone, <u>Marks Standard Handbook for</u> <u>Mechanical Engineers</u>, 8th ed. McGraw-Hill, 1978. Dieter, George E., <u>Engineering Design</u>, McGraw-Hill, 1983.

Gamow, George, <u>The Moon</u>, Rev. ed. Abelard-Schuman, New York, 1971.

Irving, Robert R., "Metallic materials making a comeback," Metalworking News. Feb. 1, 1988, v15 no. 668, pp 17-18.

Irving, Robert R., "Composites, Aluminium are leading space station material candidates," <u>Metalworking News.</u> Dec 7, 1987, v14 no. 4, pp 205-213.

Irving, Robert R., "Nickel Alloys getting more R & d attention," <u>Metalworking News.</u> Jan 4, 1988. v15 no. 664, pp 24-26.

Proceedings of the 1965 IAU-NASA Symposium. <u>The nature</u> of the lunar surface, edited by Wilmot N. Hess, Donald H. Menzel. Johns Hopkins Press, 1966. Shigley, Joseph Edward, <u>Mechanical Engineering Design</u>, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, 1983.

10. FIGURES

- 1. Mechanical Interface (section on SKITTER).
- 2. Mechanical Interface (section on implement).
- 3. Foot on the implement section.
- 4. Mounting configuration of the feet.
- 5. Rotating Cams (latch).
- 6. Camshafts (latch).
- 7. Latch.

- 8. Roller Shafts (latch).
- 9. Locking Pin (latch).
- 10. Housing Plates (latch).

11. APPENDIX

- A1. Progress Reports.
- A2. Figures.
- B1. Design Matrix.
- B2. Set of drawings / sketches for alternative designs.
- C1. Sy vs. T plot for Al 6061-T6.
- C2. Aluminum 6061-T6 properties.
- D. Actuator Information.
- E1. Weights Table.
- E2. Calculations.

APPENDIX A1. PROGRESS REPORTS

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell FROM: Design Group #7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design) SUBJECT: Progress report for week of April 11, 1988.

Each group member submitted one idea each for the interface and crane hook. In addition the following was accomplished:

Will Cash - Helped develop problem statement.

Alan Cone - Initialized search for materials.

Frank Garolera - Helped define design constraints.

David German - Aided in search for existing designs.

Dave Lindabury - Started considering practical use of ideas.

Cleve Luckado - Helped in consideration of ideas.

Craig Murphey - Helped develop problem statement.

Bryan Rowell - Aided in defining constraints.

Brad Wilkinson · Helped in search for materials.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell FROM: Design Group #7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design) SUBJECT: Progress report for week of April 18, 1988.

A general design for both the interface and crane hook were decided upon. In addition the following was accomplished:

Will Cash - Developed mechanical drawing of proposed interface.

Alan Cone - Continued search for library materials.

- Frank Garolera Considered alternate interface designs, and developed interface locking mechanism.
- David German Considered alternate hook designs.

Dave Lindabury - Developed CAD drawing of interface.

<u>Cleve Luckado</u> - {lelped develop formal problem definition and used CAD.

Craig Murphey - Assisted in CAD use.

- Bryan Rowell Helped develop formal problem definition.
- <u>Brad Wilkinson</u> Aided in search for background information on interface.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell FROM: Design Group #7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design) SUBJECT: Progress report for week of April 25, 1988.

The group is currently in the information gathering mode of the design process. Hook-up motions were defined for the interface and it was decided that a latch or sliding hook must be used on all three points of attachement. In addition the following was accomplished:

Will Cash - Developed interface locking mechanism.

- <u>Alan Cone</u> Met with library personell and disscussed data base search.
- Frank Garolera Further design consideration on crane hook.
- David German Helped in data base search.
- Dave Lindabury Continued CAD work.
- <u>Cleve Luckado</u> Aided in crane hook development and CAD work.
- Craig Murphey Helped with CAD.
- Bryan Rowell Continued with search for materials.
- Brad Wilkinson Considered possible interface locking mechanisms.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell FROM: Design Group #7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design) SUBJECT: Progress report for week of May 2, 1988.

The format for the mid-term presentation was decided upon and color slides will be used of Apollo CAD drawings. Latching mechanisms were discussed. A final idea was decided for each the interface and crane hook in order to start analysis.

- <u>Will Cash</u> Created design matrix for interface designs and latch drawings for presentation.
- <u>Alan Cone</u> Directing library search and researching alternative designs.
- <u>Frank Garolera</u> Researching lunar effects on designs, physical model group member, helped with computer optimization.
- <u>David German</u> Coordinating data base search and physical model group member.
- <u>Dave Lindabury</u> Continuing Apollo CAD work and developing slides for presentation.
- <u>Cleve Luckado</u> Standardizing report formats, creating mechanical drawings, and physical model group member.
- <u>Craig Murphey</u> Personal computer work, Apollo modeling, and slide development.
- Bryan Rowell Researching all old design reports for interesting information and load parameter of previous groups.
- <u>Brad Wilkinson</u> Servo-actuator research, developed mechanical drawings of interface, and physical model group member.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell

FROM: Design Group # 7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design).

SUBJECT: Progress report for the week of May 16, 1988.

- <u>Will Cash</u> Continued on the improvement of the latch design, and worked on the sizing of latch components for strength and minimum deflections.
- <u>Alan Cone</u> Searched and organized tools that will be required for the development of the physical models.
- <u>Frank Garolera</u> Participated in the search for suitable building materials for the mechanical interface, and edited the weekly progress report.
- <u>David German</u> Participated in the continuing design of the interface mechanism and the development of the physical models.
- <u>Dave Lindabury</u> Continued with the processing of the finite element analysis for the new crane hook design.
- <u>Cleve Luckado</u> Located materials for the fabrication of a physical model for the crane hook and initiated fabrication.
- <u>Craig Murphey</u> Assisted Cleve Luckado in identifying and locating possible materials for the fabrication of a physical model for the crane hook.
- <u>Bryan Rowell</u> Assisted in the search of possible materials for the construction os the mechanical interface for SKITTER.
- <u>Brad Wilkinson</u> Assisted in the continuing design of the latching mechanism and the search of possible alternatives for a physical model.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell

FROM: Design Group # 7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design).

SUBJECT: Progress report for the week of May 23, 1988.

- <u>Will Cash</u> Further work on actual sizing of latch components. Drawing for latch component. Compiled a portion of the rough draft. Research possible bearings for the latch.
- <u>Alan Cone</u> Assisted on the design of the new interface. Worked on rough draft and interface model.
- Frank Garolera Obtained data on materials for the design of interface and crane hook. Compiled and edited portions of the rough draft.
- <u>David German</u> Worked on the rough draft for the crane hook, and came up with alternative design for crane hook.
- <u>Dave Lindabury</u> Continued the process of finite element modeling for the crane hook. Established node-element model of hook on Apollo which will be transferred to F.E.A. solution and optimization.
- <u>Cleve Luckado</u> Assisted in the completion of crane hook model, and started the fabrication of interface model. Assisted in preparing the rough draft.
- <u>Craig Murphey</u> Obtained materials for crane hook model and for interface model. Finished crane hook model. Researched on actuators for actual designs.
- <u>Bryan Rowell</u> Researched material constraints for the construction of interface model. Also found several materials that meet design requirements. Worked on sections of rough draft.
- <u>Brad Wilkinson</u> Worked on the crane hook model and the design of the new interface/latching mechanism design. Assisted in the organization of rough draft.

TO: Mr. J. W. Brazell FROM: Design Group #7. (SKITTER/Implement Mechanical Interface and Crane Hook Design) SUBJECT: Progress report for week of May 30, 1988

- <u>Will Cash</u> Wrote text on latch components analysis and specifications, also assisted in production of latch model.
- Alan Cone Wrote and edited parts of crane hook report and assisted in production of interface model.
- Frank Garolera Edited parts of interface report and produced MacDraw figures to accompany component descriptions.
- David German Wrote and edited parts of crane hook report and edited all prior progress reports.
- <u>Dave Lindabury</u> Ran two FEA's on different crane hook designs, analyzed data for alternate solutions, and prepared slides for presentation.
- <u>Cleve Luckado</u> Wrote parts of both reports, produced CAD drawings for interface, and assisted in production of interface model.
- <u>Craig Murphey</u> Wrote parts of crane hook report and assisted in completing both models.
- <u>Bryan Rowell</u> Worked on materials, abstract, conclusions, and failure of interface report and prepaired for presentation.
- Brad Wilkinson Assisted in analysis of latch mechanism.

APPENDIX A2 FIGURES.

.



IMPLEMENT INTERFACE

FIGURE # 4











FIGURE 9 - LOCKING PIN



FIGURE 10. HOUSING PLATES





APPENDIX B1 DESIGN MATRIX.

- DESIGN MATRIX	7. MIN POWER REQ	B. SELF WULLING 9. USE FORCES EFF.	10. EASE OF ENTRY & EXIT	11. UTER IN VACUUT 12. SECURE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 SCORE	1 1 1 1 1 1 120MAX	<u>8 8 4 6 5 9 9 8 81</u>				8 5 5 7 8 7 9 8 81	8 7 5 7 6 7 9 8 82	3 9 9 6 3 4 9 4 75	8 6 5 6 9 8 9 8 83	8 5 5 7 5 6 9 8 77	8 9 2 9 2 9 6 77	7 4 5 8 4 5 9 4 74	3 9 5 4 6 9 1 6 6/ A 6 6 A 3 1 4 9 7!	7 6 4 5 9 5 7 70
P 88				Z	4		~	4	4 r	- 100	2	7	2	~	2	4	8	0	4
T INTI BPRIN CHARA		ת 		RICTI	2		6 7	6 7 -	<u>р</u> Л	7 7	5 7	6 7	9	5	5 7	m o	4	8 7 7	21
UP 7		HT	ERANT	ING F	-		4	2		נש כ	2	n	7	n	ß	~	2	-0 O	0 4
SKITTER/IMP ME 4182 GRO DESIRABLE L	1. MIN SPAC	2. FEW MUVI 3. LOW WEIG	4. DIRT TOL	5. TEMP EXT 6. MIN SLID	CHAR>	WEIGHT>	CAM	SCREW	PIN AMD	RE HITCH	5TH WHEEL	TRAILER H	VELCRO	TENSION	CAR DOOR	MAGNETIC	CABINET	SUCTION	FRICTION

APPENDIX B2 SET OF DRAWINGS / SKETCHES FOR ALTERNATIVE DESIGNS.





12/17



12/h

4/21



Retter And the second s

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY









· .

Loca - Connect of Ising - Railcon surrich Y



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

• •

/



The lock of Corrections Similar to relear corrections active on shitter

.....

Implement Hing-1 - . Soort C.K.Tr.

OF FOUR QUALITY

I. INTERFACE FOR SKITTER:

The interface for skitter consists of a fixed, circular part on the inside () and two moving semicircular parts on the outside(2). The two semicircular parts are activated by hydrolic or preumatic cylinders.

II. CONNECTING IMPLEMENT FOR CRANE

The connecting implement will easily couple and accurately engage. The contern of approaching the male inting to the female fitting is only in one direction. The energy necessary to complete the opproach is supply try gravitational force.

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

I. INTERFACE FOR SKITTER















ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY ı

THE THREE HIRTHE CHILL ATTACH AT MULTIPLE PRIME AND RIDE RIDE. LASY ALIGNMENT & STACE RINGS LOCK IN NO POTATIONAL OR VERTITIE MOTION IS POSSIBLE.







1 MILLEL GROUP 7

SKITTER NTERFACE

DETAIL A DETAIL I, ACTIVE HITCH A TRAINT 2. MASSIVE HEINTS DETAIL A

OPEN



CLOSED F LECKED



ORIGINAL PAGE 13 OF POOR QUALITY

FROUP # 7 1 = a sade

SKITLER MANEDIERS NEXT 10 THE V PLEINFULT IT THEN PERFORMS A SUDILIS MOTION TO COUPLE THE PINE WITH THEIR HOUSTIGE ONE HOUSTING THEN FOTATES 180° TO SAYS THE FULL THE ATE.





ROTARY





APPENDIX C1 Sy vs. T FOR AL 6061-T6



APPENDIX C2 AL 6061-T6 PROPERTIES

Aluminum Mill Products/45

		d common wrought gluminum giloys
Table 1	Product forms and nominal compositions	

Ā			9:	Cu	Com Mn	position, Mg	⁷⁰ Cr	Zn	Others
umber	Product(a)	Al	51						
050D	т	99.50 min							
060 S	, P, ET, DT	99.60 min		0.12					
100 S	, P, F, E, ES, ET, C, D°, FG	99.00 min		0.12					
145 S	, P, F	99.45 min							
199 F	•	99.99 min							
350 S	, P, E, ES, ET, C	99.50 min		5.5					0.4Bi; 0.4Pb
011 E	C, ES, ET, C, DT	93.7		4.4	0.8	0.5			
014 9	5, P, E, ES, ET, C, DT, ⊮G	93.5	0.8	41.4	0.0	15			• • •
024 S	5, P, E, ES, ET, C, DT	93.5		41.4 0.4	0.0	0.45			
036 8	5	96.7		2.0	0.20	1.5			
048 5	8, P	94.8		0.0 4 4	0.4	1.5			
124 I	2	93.5		4.4	0.0	1.5			2.0Ni
218 I	7G	92.5		4.0	0.9	1.0			0.06Ti; 0.10V; 0.18Zr
219 8	5, P, E, ES, ET, C, FG	93 .0	• • •	0.0	0.0				0.18Zn, 0.15Ti; 0.10V
319 (93 .0		6 .0	0.3	16			1.1Fe; 1.0Ni; 0.07Ti
618	FG	93.7	0.18	2.3	1.0	1.0			••••
003 9	5, P, F, E, ES, ET, C, DT, FG	98.6		0.12	1.2	10			• • •
004	S. P. ET, DT	97.8	•••	• •	1.2	1.0			
105	S	99 .0			0.00	1.0			0.9Ni
032	FG	85 .0	12.2	0.9		1.0			
043	C	94.8	5.2	• • •					
045	S P C	99.2		• • •	• • •	0.8	•••		
000	S P C DT	98 .6		• · •		1.4	0.05		
050	SPFCDT	97.2		• •	• • •	2.5	0.25		
056	5, 1, 1, 0, <i>D</i> 1	95 .0	• • •	• • •	0.12	5.0	0.12		
000	CDFFSFTFG	94.7		• • •	0.7	4.4	0.15		
003	S, F, E, ES, ET, TG	95.4		• • •	0.4	4.0	0.15	•••	
154	S, F, E, ES, EI, DI S D F FS FT C DT	96.2			• • •	3.5	0.25		
104	а, г, в, ва, вт, о, вх	95.2			0.35	4.5		•••	
0104	2 Q	97.5			• • •	2.5			
202	3 6 B	96.2		• • •	• • •	3.5	0.25	•••	0.10TE
204	5, r	94.6			0.12	5.0	0.12	• • •	0.1311
330	C D F FS FT	96.3			0.8	2.7	0.12		
454	ODE ESET DT FL	93.9			0.8	5.1	0.12	• • •	
)450	S, P, E, ES, E1, D1, 10	98 7		· • •	0.3	1.0		• • •	
5457	3 	97.2		• • •		2.5	0.25	•••	
5652	5, r	99.2				0.8		• • •	
5657	S D DC DT	98.7	0.8			0.5	•••		
5005	E, ES, EI	97.7	0.8	0.35	0.5	0.6	• • • •	• • •	• • •
5009	.5	97.3	1.0	0.35	0.5	0.8	• • •	• • •	
5010		97.9	0.6	0.28	• • •	1.0	0.2	•••	
6061	S, P, E, ES, E1, C, D1, FG	08.9	0.4			0.7	ų •••		• • •
6063		95.7	1.4	1.0	0.8	1.1			
6066	E, ES, ET, DI, FG	96.8	1.4	0.28	0.7	0.8			
6070	E, ES, ET	08.0	0.5			0.6		•••	
6101	. E, ES, ET	90.9 02 0	0.9			0.6	0.25	• • •	
6151	. FG	90.4 09 5	0.5			0.8			
6201	. C	90.0 09.4	0.1		0.1	0.5	0.1	• • •	0.1Zr
6205	E, ES, ET	30.4 02 0	0.0	0.28	•••	1.0	0 .0 9		0.6Bi; 0.6Pb
6262	. E, ES, ET, C, DT	90.0 07 9	1.0		0.6	0.6			• • •
6351	. E, ES	91.0	1.0			0.7		• • •	
6463	. E, ES	98.9	U.9		0.45	1.4	0.13	4.5	0.04Ti; 0.14Zr
7005	.E, ES	93.3	•••	15	5,15	2.5	0.15	7.6	
7049	. P, E, ES, FG	88.2	• • •	0.5		2.3		6.2	0.12 Zr
7050	. P, E, ES, FG	89.0	• • •	4.3				1.0	
7072	. S, F	99.0	•••	1 6		25	0.23	5.6	•••
7075	. S, P, E, ES, ET, C, D'[, FG	90.0		1.0		2.J 9.K	0.23	5.6	
7175	S, P, FG	90.0	•••	1.0	•••	4.J 97	0.26	6.8	
7178	. S, P, E, ES, C	88.1		2.0		4.(0.20	5.7	
		00.2	1.5			2.3	0.44	0.1	

54/Aluminum

Table 4 (continued)

						The	rmal
		Elec	trical	Elec	trical ivity(b)	conauc	Btu/
Alloy	Temper	Volume	Weight	nΩm	ohms(d)	w/miK	ft∙h•*F
6005	T5	49	162	35	21	167	97
6009	0	54	184	32	19	205	118
`	T4	44	150	39	24	172	99
	Τ6	47	160	37	22	180	104
6010	0	53	175	33	20	202	117
	T4	39	129	44	27	151	87
	Τ6	44	146	39	24	180	104
6061	0	47	155	37	22	180	104
	T4	40	132	43	26	154	89
	Τ6	43	142	40	24	167	97
6063	0		191	30	18	218	126
	T1	50	165	35	21	1 9 3	112
	Τ5	55	181	32	19	209	121
	Τ6	53	175	33	20	201	116
6066	0	40	132	43	26	147	85
	Τ6	37	122	47	28	147	85
6070	Τ6	44	145	39	24	172	99
6101	Т6		188	30	18	218	138
0101	Т8		178	32	19	218	138
6151	0	54	178	32	19	205	118
0101	Т4	42	138	41	25	163	94
	T%	45	148	38	23	175	101
6901	T81	54	179	32	19	205	118
6205	T01	45	149	37	22	172	99
0200	T5	49	162	35	21	188	109
6262	TQ TQ	40 44	145	39	24	172	99
6351	Τ6	46	152	38	23	176	102
6463	ጥነ	50	165	34	21	192	111
0400	T5	55	181	31	19	209	121
	TG	53	175	33	20	201	116
7005	0	д з	138	40	24	166	96
1005	TE2	18	199	45	27	148	86
	TC	95	113	49	30	137	79
	TC2	98	199	45	27	148	86
7040	100		120	40	97	154	89
7049	0	18	148	37	22	180	104
1030	U	40	197	43	26	157	91
	110 T76	40	125	40	26	154	89
7079	0	40	107		17	227	131
7072	The		105	29 59	21	130	75
1015	10		198	43	26	155	90
	1/3		192	45	20	150	87
7175	1/0		147	30	21	177	102
1115	U		115	48	20	149	82
	100 1779	οι,, Δι	105	42	20	155	90
7475	1/3	40	140	30 40	20	177	102
(41)	U Te	01، عد	115	30 49	20	149	89
	10		100	-10 R N	25	155	<u>0</u> 2
	1/301	40	120	410	20	162	0.0 Q.A
	176	42	134	41 (77 PT) ())	20 Den ale 1		74 تە 11 11
(a) % IA temper	NUB AT ZU "U (68 "F). (D) AT 8.	20 °C (98 °F)	. (c) AL 20 U	((/ P).(d)			

trol of metal flow places a few limitations on the design features of the cross section of an extruded shape that affect production rate, dimensional and surface quality, and costs. Extrusions are classified by shape complexity from an extrusion-production viewpoint into solid, hollow and semihollow shapes. Each hollow shape—a shape with any part of its cross section completely enclosing a void—is further classified by increasing complexity as follows:

- Class 1—A hollow shape with a round void 25 mm (1 in.) or more in diameter and with its weight equally distributed on opposite sides of two or more equally spaced axes
- Class 2—Any hollow shape other than Class 1, not exceeding a 125mm-diam (5-in.-diam) circle and having a single void of not less than 9.5 mm (0.375 in.) diam or 70 mm² (0.110 in.²) area
- Class 3—Any hollow shape other than Class 1 or 2

A semihollow shape is a shape with any part of its cross section partly enclosing a void having the following ratios for the area of the void to the square of the width of the gap leading to the void:

Gap	width	
mm	in.	Ratio
0.9 to 1.5	0.035 to 0.061	Over 2
1.6 to 3.1	0.062 to 0.124	Over 3
3.2 to 6.3	0.125 to 0.249	Over 4
6.4 to 12.6	0.250 to 0.499	Over 5
12.7 and	0.500 and	
greater	greater	Over 6

Alloy Extrudability. Aluminum alloys differ in inherent extrudability. Alloy selection is important, because it establishes the minimum thickness for a shape and has a basic effect on extrusion cost. In general, the higher the alloy content and the strength of an alloy, the more difficult it is to extrude and the lower its extrusion rate.

The relative extrudabilities, as measured by extrusion rate, for several of the more important commercial extrusion alloys are given below.

Alloy	Extrudability, % of rate for 6063
1350	160
1060	135
1100	135
3003	
6063	100
6061	60
2011	
5086	25
2014	
5083	
2024	
7075	
7178	

Actual extrusion rate depends on pressure, temperature and other require-

Aluminum Mill Products/61

Table 5 (continued)

ŝ

		Tensile strength MPa kai		Yield strength MPa kai		Elongs- tion(s), % (b) (c)		Hard-	Shear strength MPa ksi		Fatigue strength(e) MPa ksi	
Alloy	Тетрег	MPa	ks1	MPa	K81		(0)		150		125	18
5254	H32	. 270	39	205	30	15		79	165	24	130	19
	H34	. 290	42	230	33	13		79	190	26	140	20
	H36	. 310	45	250	36	12		10	105	20	145	21
	H38	. 330	48	270	39	10	• • •	80	199	20	115	17
	H112	. 240	35	115	17	25	• • •	63			115	11
5454	0	. 250	36	115	17	22	•••	62	160	23	• • •	
0101	H32	. 275	40	205	30	10		73	165	24		
	H34	. 305	44	240	35	10		81	180	26		
	H36	. 340	49	275	40	8	• • •	• • •	• • •	•••	• • •	• • •
	H38	. 370	54	310	45	8			• • •	• • •	•••	• • •
	H111	260	38	180	26	14		70	160	23		• • •
	H112	250	36	125	18	18		62	160	23	• • •	• • •
	H911	260	38	180	26	18		70	160	23	• • •	• • •
EAEC	0	310	45	160	23		24			· · ·	• • •	• • •
0400	U	395	47	230	33		18				• • •	
	<u>Π111</u>	210	45	165	24		22				• • •	
	H112	250	51	255	27		16	90	205	30	•••	
	H321, H116	. 300	10	400	7	99		32	83	12		
5457	0	. 130	19	40	, 09	19		48	110	16		
	H25	. 180	26	100	23	12		55	125	18		
	H28, H38	. 205	30	185	27	0	20	47	125	18	110	16
5652	0	. 195	28	90	13	25	30	41 CO	140	20	115	17
	H32	. 230	33	195	28	12	18	00	140	20	195	18
	H34	. 260	38	215	31	10	14	00	140	02	190	19
	H36	. 275	40	240	35	8	10	73	100	20	140	20
	H38	. 29 0	42	255	34	7	8	77	165	24	140	20
5657	H25	. 160	23	140	20	12	• • •	40	97	14		
-	H28, H38	. 195	28	165	24	7	• • •	50	105	15		• • •
6005	T1	. 170	25	105	15	16	• • •		• • •		97	14
	Τ5	. 260	38	240	35	8	10	95	205	30	97	14
6009	Τ4	. 235	34	130	19	24	• • •	70(p)	150	22	115	17
0000	T6	. 345	50	325	47	12			• • •		• • •	
6010	Т4	255	37	170	25	24		76(p)		• • •	115	17
6061	0	125	18	55	8	25	30	30	83	12	62	9
0001	TA T451	240	35	145	21	22	25	65	165	24	97	14
	TE TE51	310	45	275	40	12	17.	95	205	30	97	14
11 1 4 0001	10, 1001	115	17	48	7	25			76	11	• • •	• •
Alciad 6061	0		22	190	19	22			150	22		• •
	14, 1401	230	49	255	37	12			185	27		••
	16, 1651	290	10	40	7			25	69	10	55	8
6063	0	90	10	40	12	20		42	97	14	62	9
	T1	150	22	90	19	20						
	T4	170	20	90	13	19		60	115	17	69	10
	T5	185	27	140	21	12		73	150	22	69	10
	T6	240	35	215	31	12		82	150	22		
	T83	255	37	240	35	9		70	195	18		
	T831	205	30	185	27	10		70	195	27		
	T832	29 0	42	270	39	12		90	100	14		
6066 .	0	150	22	83	12		18	43	900	00		
	T4, T451	360	52	205	30		18	90	200	29	110	16
	T6, T651	395	57	360	52		12	120	230	04 14	£9	10
6070	0	145	21	69	10	20	• • •	35	97	14	02	12
	T4	315	46	170	25	20	• • •	90	205	30	90	10
	Τ6	380	55	350	51	10	• • •	120	235	34	97	14
6101	H111	97	14	76	11	• • •	• • •	•••		• • •	• • •	
6151	Τ6	220	32	195	28	15(q)		71	140	20	• • •	
6201	Τ 6	330	48	300	43	17		90		• • •	• • •	• •
0201	TR1	330	48	310	45	6 (f)	• • •		•••		• • •	• •
6905	TOT		28	140	20	19		65		• • •		•
0200	11	200	J0 /E	240 200	42	11		95	205	30	105	15
0000	10	100	40 ED	200	55		10	120	240	35	90	13
6262	19	400	90	000	(contin	uad)						
					(contin	ueu)						

APPENDIX D ACTUATOR INFORMATION

_

_

0393112 AIRCRAFT CONTROLS DIV.

ATC 00153 D M-0/-08-03 MLD 1000-20 Miniature DC Linear Actuator

June 1 1m

576

This small electromechanical actuator was designed for use on a Military Helicopter to operate the Tail Wheel locking mechanism. The actuator meets all applicable specifications of the U.S. Army and U.S. Navy.

Electromagnetic interference is suppressed by an integral EMI Filter and switch transients are attenuated by additional circuitry. The unit contains stroke limit and indicator switches. To obtain a precise electrical stroke the actuator motor is dynamically braked at the limits While the nominal load rating of this actuator is 30 pounds, the unit is capable of operating against loads up to 180 pounds without affecting life or reliability. A wide range of speed/load variations are available for applications requiring light weight, high reliability and small envelope. Various options in wiring and motor selection are available, as well as variations of fixed end and rod end fittings.





and the second state of th

10

- must diated Controls

States and a state

Avionic Products Company

e de la composition de

0393112 AIRCRAFT CONTROLS DIV.

¥

÷.,

470 0005	• DK-01-08-	23
----------	-------------	----

•	SPECIFICATIONS
ТҮРЕ	Electromechanical Linear
RATED LOAD	30 lbs. (14 Kg)
MAXIMUM OPERATING LOAD	180 lbs. (82 Kg)
MAXIMUM STATIC LOAD	200 lbs. (91 Kg)
ULTIMATE STATIC LOAD	300 lbs. (136 Kg)
ELECTRICAL STROKE	.44 inch (11,2 mm)
RAM STOPS	Electrical limit switches & non-jamming positive stops
RAM ANTI-ROTATION	Equipped with anti-rotation device.
LIFE AT RATED LOAD	5,000 cycles (minimum)
DUTY CYCLE	Intermittent
OPERATING VOLTAGE	28 volts DC (range 18-30 volts DC)
MOTOR TYPE	Permanent magnet
BRAKE TYPE	Dynamic Braking at electrical stops
TEMPERATURE RANGE	-65°F to +160°F (-54°C to +71°C)
LUBRICATION	Lubricated for life
ENCLOSURE	Explosion proof
WEIGHT	.51 lb (0,23 Kg)
QUALIFICATION	MIL-A-8064, MIL-ST[)-461, MIL-STD-462, MIL-A-85046(AS)

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Avionic Products Company Ford Road, Denville, NJ 07834 Div. of Consolidated Controls Corporation

APPENDIX E1 WEIGHTS TABLE.

TABLE E1

SKITTER/IMPLEMENT INTERFACE ME 4182 SPRING '88 LATCH COMPONENT WEIGHTS TABLE

LATCH COMPONENT WEIG				
PART	QUAN	VOL (in^3)	WEIGHT (lbm/ea)	TOTAL (lbm)
Cams Camshafts Roller Mounts Roller Shafts Rollers Locking Pin Actuator Springs Spring Sleeves Front Housing Pl. Middle Housing Pl. Back Housing Pl. Side Housing Pl. Braces Spanners Foot Rod Lateral Foot Brace Farallel Foot Brace	2 2 4 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.398\\ 1.546\\ 0.105\\ 0.054\\ 0.196\\ 1.208\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\ -\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1370\\ 0.1515\\ 0.0103\\ 0.0053\\ 0.0144\\ 0.1183\\ 2.0000\\ 0.0500\\ 0.0250\\ 0.2941\\ 0.2984\\ 0.1243\\ 0.0663\\ 0.0482\\ 0.0108\\ 0.0108\\ 0.3464\\ 0.8008\\ 0.1005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2740\\ 0.3030\\ 0.0412\\ 0.0106\\ 0.0288\\ 0.1183\\ 2.0000\\ 0.1000\\ 0.1000\\ 0.500\\ 0.2941\\ 0.2984\\ 0.1243\\ 0.1243\\ 0.1243\\ 0.1243\\ 0.1243\\ 0.0216\\ 0.0482\\ 0.0216\\ 0.0216\\ 0.0216\\ 0.3464\\ 1.6016\\ 0.2010\\ \end{array}$
Total per Interface Total for all Inter:	faces	, <u></u>		$6.0157 \\ 18.0471$

APPENDIX E2 CALCULATIONS.

The forces assumed in this section are somewhat arbitrary, since exact numbers are not as yet determined with regard to the skitter project. A static weight of 500 lb is used for implement weight and 1000 lb total is used to include inertial forces and snak.

A Yield Strength of 35×10³ psi based throughout the analysis since all parts are made of AI 6061-T6 unless otherwise noted. This value is typical for the expected moon temperatures. See Figure E1. Also Gnox= 5-y= 1/2.5y

Use d = .75 which gives max stress of 24144 p>1 and F.S = 1.45 \rightarrow used d=1, T = 10186, FS = 3.4

$$\frac{FCUT - Supports}{1000} = \frac{1000}{A} = \frac{10000}{A} = \frac{1000}{A} = \frac$$



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

$$\frac{1000}{1.15} = \frac{1}{1.5} = \frac{1}{1.00} = \frac{1000}{15} = \frac$$

Use d=.75 then max stress = 12072, FS = 1.45



(force is 500 because moment arm is i" and there are two mounts per side)

ROLLER SHAFT

500 $I = \frac{.55}{.55} = 1.500$ the roller exerts a distributed load on the shaft. $T = \frac{M_{c}}{I2} = \left(\frac{-1}{12} \cdot 18 \cdot 18 \cdot (.55)^{2}\right) \frac{d}{2} \cdot \frac{G4}{\pi d^{4}} = .237$ $I = \frac{M_{c}}{.12} = \left(\frac{-1}{.12} \cdot 18 \cdot 18 \cdot (.55)^{2}\right) \frac{d}{2} \cdot \frac{G4}{\pi d^{4}} = .237$ $M_{max} = \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{12}$

USE d= 25, Jmax = 29876, FS = 1.17

HURIZONTAL LOADS

Low horizontal loading is assumed because skitter has a tenaincy to slide across the lunar surface . A skitter/dust cuefficient of friction of .5 was used in analysis

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY