

# **STB-White, Final Report**

Student Authors: D. Molnar, R. Ammon, T. Gallagher, P. Gouhin, S. Hermann, J. Roos, C. Saurer, and H. White

Supervisor: Dr. G. M. Gregorek Assistant: R. L. Reuss Department of Aeronautical & Astronautical Engineering

Universities Space Research Association Houston, Texas 77058

Subcontract Dated November 17, 1989 Final Report RF Project 767919/722941

May 1990



1. 1

Ŧ÷

2...

-

<u>.</u>

-

\_\_\_\_

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY Advanced Aeronautics design program



SPONSORED BY NASA/USRA



### STB - White

.

### Design Team

Final Report

---

n <u>—</u> Na cont

----

~

.....

1

Aero 694, 515H, 416

May 30, 1990

Dan Molnar	- Group Leader - Model Design
Rob Ammon	- Propulsion - Turbofanramjets - Landing Gear
Todd Gallagher	- Subsonic Aerodynamics - Supersonic Aerodynamics
Pat Gouhin	- Propulsion - SCRAMjets - Inlets
Steve Hermann	- Weight Analysis - Stability and Control - Economic Analysis
John Bryan Roos	<ul> <li>Aircraft Design and Development</li> <li>Waverider Design</li> <li>Environmental Aspects</li> </ul>
Craig Saurer	- Materials - Glide Analysis
Heather White	- Waverider Design - Inlets

### ABSTRACT

The final design of a hypersonic, SCRAMjet research aircraft, which is to be dropped from a carrier plane, is considered. Topics such as propulsion systems, aerodynamics, component weight analysis, and aircraft design with waverider analyses are stressed with smaller emphasis placed on aircraft systems such as cockpit design and landing gear configurations. Propulsion systems include analysis of the turbofanramjet for acceleration to low hypersonic speed (Mach 6.0) and analysis of the SCRAMjets themselves to carry the aircraft to Mach 10.0. Both analyses include the use of liquid hydrogen as fuel. Inlet design for both propulsion systems is analyzed as well. Aerodynamic properties are found using empirical and theoretical formulas for lift and drag on delta-wing aircraft. The aircraft design involves the integration of all preliminary studies into a modified waverider configuration.

\_\_\_

i

# <u>Table Of Contents</u>

-

~

ACKNOWLEDGMENTSiv         LIST OF FIGURESviii         LIST OF TABLESviii         LIST OF SYMBOLSviii         1.0 Introductioniv         2.0 Aircraft Design and Development	ABSTRACTi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
LIST OF TABLES.	LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF SYMBOLS	LIST OF TABLESvii
1.0       Introduction	LIST OF SYMBOLSviii
1.0       Introduction	
2.0 Aircraft Design and Development.       2         2.1 Overview of Design and Development.       2         2.2 Mission Profile.       2         2.3 Preliminary Design.       4         2.4 Design Revision 1.0.       4         2.5 Design Revision 2.0.       5         2.6 Final Design Configuration.       6         2.7 Waverider.       9         2.8 Materials.       12         2.9 Subsonic Wind Tunnel Model Construction.       14         3.0 Propulsion System.       26         3.1 Overview of Propulsion System.       26         3.2 Turbofanramjets.       26         3.2.2 Flight Profile.       31         3.3 SCRAMjets.       31         3.3.3 I Approach to Analysis.       31         3.3.3 I Approach to Analysis.       32         3.3.3 I Approach of Results.       35         4.0 Inlet Design.       42         4.1 Overview of Inlet Design.       42         4.2 Turbofanramjet Inlet.       44         4.3 SCRAMjet Inlet.       44	1.0 Introduction
2.1 Overview of Design and Development.       2         2.2 Mission Profile.       2         2.3 Preliminary Design.       4         2.4 Design Revision 1.0.       4         2.5 Design Revision 2.0.       5         2.6 Final Design Configuration.       6         2.7 Waverider.       9         2.8 Materials.       12         2.9 Subsonic Wind Tunnel Model Construction.       14         3.0 Propulsion System.       26         3.1 Overview of Propulsion System.       26         3.2 Turbofanramjets.       26         3.2.2 Flight Profile.       29         3.3 SCRAMjets.       31         3.3.1 Approach to Analysis.       31         3.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis.       32         3.3.3 Iteration Procedure.       33         3.4.4 Discussion of Results.       35         4.0 Inlet Design.       42         4.1 Overview of Inlet Design.       42         4.2 Turbofanramjet Inlet.       44         4.3 SCRAMjet Inlet.       46	2.0 Aircraft Design and Development
2.3 Preliminary Design	2.1 Overview of Design and Development
2.5 Design Revision 2.0.52.6 Final Design Configuration.62.7 Waverider.92.8 Materials.122.9 Subsonic Wind Tunnel Model Construction.143.0 Propulsion System.263.1 Overview of Propulsion System.263.2 Turbofanramjets.263.2.1 Engine Analysis.263.2.2 Flight Profile.293.3 SCRAMjets.313.3.1 Approach to Analysis.313.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis.323.3.3 Iteration Procedure.333.4.4 Discussion of Results.354.0 Inlet Design.424.1 Overview of Inlet Design.424.2 Turbofanramjet Inlet.444.3 SCRAMjet Inlet.44	2.3 Preliminary Design
2.6 Final Design Configuration Function92.7 Waverider	2.5 Design Revision 2.0
2.8 Materials	2.6 Final Design comfiguracion (Contrational) 9 2.7 Waverider
3.0 Propulsion System.       26         3.1 Overview of Propulsion System.       26         3.2 Turbofanramjets.       26         3.2.1 Engine Analysis.       26         3.2.2 Flight Profile.       29         3.3 SCRAMjets.       31         3.3.1 Approach to Analysis.       31         3.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis.       32         3.3.3 Iteration Procedure.       33         3.4.4 Discussion of Results.       35         4.0 Inlet Design.       42         4.1 Overview of Inlet Design.       42         4.2 Turbofanramjet Inlet.       44         4.3 SCRAMjet Inlet.       46	2.8 Materials14 2.9 Subsonic Wind Tunnel Model Construction14
<ul> <li>3.1 Overview of Propulsion System</li></ul>	3.0 Propulsion System
<ul> <li>3.2 Turbofanramjets</li></ul>	3 1 Overview of Propulsion System
<ul> <li>3.2.1 Engine Analysis</li></ul>	3.2  Turbofanramjets
<ul> <li>3.2.2 Flight Profile</li></ul>	3.2.1 Engine Analysis
<ul> <li>3.3 SCRAMjets</li></ul>	3.2.2 Flight Profile
<ul> <li>3.3.1 Approach to Analysis</li></ul>	3.3 SCRAMjets
<ul> <li>3.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis</li></ul>	3.3.1 Approach to Analysis
<ul> <li>3.3.3 Iteration Procedure</li></ul>	3.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis
<ul> <li>3.4.4 Discussion of Results</li></ul>	3.3.3 Iteration Procedure
<ul> <li>4.0 Inlet Design</li></ul>	3.4.4 Discussion of Results
4.1 Overview of Inlet Design	4.0 Inlet Design
4.1 Overview of Infect Decision and the second seco	4.1 Overview of Inlet Design
4.2 SCRAMjet Inlet	4.1 Overview of infections and the second seco
	4.3 SCRAMjet Inlet

# Table of Contents - cont.

\*\*\*

----

-

----

\_

. ~

 $\sim$ 

\_\_\_\_

-

`**\_**--

5.0 Aerodynamics
5.1 Subsonic/Supersonic/Hypersonic Aerodynamics51 5.2 Glide Analysis
6.0 Stability and Control Analysis
<ul> <li>6.1 Weight Analysis</li></ul>
7.0 Aircraft Systems
7.1 Cockpit
8.0 Environmental Aspects
9.0 Economic Analysis91
CONCLUSIONS
REFERENCES
APPENDICES
<ul> <li>A: SCRAMjet Information</li></ul>

Compilation of this report was done by John Bryan Roos using WordPerfect 5.1 and Symphony.

.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For their help with the development of the *STB-White*, the design team would like to thank Dr. G. Gregorek and Robyn Reuss of The Ohio State University. The propulsion group would also like to thank Dr. Hartzell at General Electric for his extensive help in providing much needed data.

### LIST OF FIGURES

٠

2-1: Weight Percentage Distribution17
2-2: Flight Path
2-3: Preliminary Design19
2-4: Design Revision 1.020
2-5: Design Revision 2.0
2-6: Final Design Configuration22
2-7: Internal Arrangement23
2-8: Waverider Comparison24
2-9: Mach 10 Waverider Optimization25
3-1: Mach Number vs Time (Last Quarter)
3-2: Altitude vs Mach Number (Last Quarter)
3-3: Thrust Required & Available vs Mach Number (Last Quarter)38
3-4: Mach Number vs. Time
3-5: Altitude vs. Mach Number40
3-6: Thrust Required & Availible vs. Mach Number
4-1: Turbofanramjet Inlet Configuration
5-1: CL vs. Mach Number
5-2: CL vs. Alpha
5-3: CD vs. Mach - Varying Angle of Attack
5-4: CD vs. Mach - Varying Altitude
5-5: L/D vs. Alpha - Mach 0.660
5-6: L/D vs. Alpha - Mach 4.061
5-7: L/D vs. Alpha - Mach 6.062
5-8: L/D vs. Mach

-----

### LIST OF FIGURES - CONT.

	5-9: CD vs. CL - Mach 0.664
	5-10: CD vs. CL - Mach 2.065
	5-11: CD vs. CL - Mach 4.066
	5-12: CD vs. CL - Mach 6.067
	5-13: CD vs. CL - Mach 10.068
	6-1: Weight Percentage Distribution
	6-2: Structural Percentage Distribution
	6-3: Systems Percentage Distribution
•	6-4: CMAC vs. Angle of Attack80
	6-5: Roll Rate vs. Velocity81
	6-6: Deflection Required vs. Angle of Attack
	6-7: Maneuver Radius vs. Velocity83
	7-1: Landing Gear - Front Nosewheel
	7-2: Landing Gear - Rear Sleds88
	8-1: Aircraft Overpressures90
	9-1: AircraftCost93
	9-2: Total Development Cost94

. مىرە

.

-

\_\_\_\_

•••

- -

----

-

. .

# LIST OF TABLES

2-1:	Specifications for the STB-White8
3-1:	Turbofanramjet Size Reduction28
3-2:	Turbofanramjet Acceleration Data
6-1:	Static Stability Parameters
7-1:	Landing Gear Design Factors86
7-2:	Landing Gear Parameters86
9-1:	Aircraft Development Costs92

----

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

ac	- aerodynamic center
AR	- aspect ratio
alpha	- angle of attack
b	- span
с	- cord
c.g.	- center of gravity
C <sub>Do</sub>	<ul> <li>dimensionless coefficient of profile drag</li> </ul>
сľ	- dimensionless coefficient of lift
CLa	- slope of lift vs. angle of attack curve
CLB	- lateral stability derivative
C <sub>LP</sub>	- damping in roll coefficient
CNA	- slope of moment coefficient vs. angle of attack curve
C <sub>NCG</sub>	- dimensionless moment coeff. at the center of gravity
CNB	- directional stability derivative
ECP	- elevon control power
L/D	– lift over drag ratio
LH <sub>2</sub>	- liquid hydrogen
М	- Mach number
psf	- pounds per square foot
ନ	- dynamic pressure
S	- reference area
sfc	- specific fuel consumption
Т	- thrust

viii

-----

----

### LIST OF SYMBOLS - CONT.

v	- velocity
W	- weight
w	- landing weight
W <sub>to</sub>	- takeoff weight - drop weight
ß	- side slip angle

----

\_

100

.

i x

•

### 1.0 Introduction

For many years the concept of a supersonic combustion ramjet, or SCRAMjet, has been studied. SCRAMjets utilize high speed air flows to compress, burn with fuel, and expand air without the aid of moving parts such as compressors and turbines. The difficulty in designing and running these engines has prevented a SCRAMjet Recent research has propelled aircraft from being built. intensified, and the possibility of a working SCRAMjet is near. Work on the design of an aircraft to carry the SCRAMjet at the hypersonic speeds necessary for these engines to run is also intensifying. The purpose of this report is to present the final design work of a manned, SCRAMjet test aircraft, the STB-White (SCRAMjet Test Bed White Group). All aspects that have been analyzed for the last two quarters are included. Each section studied is included with its own discussion and conclusions. The main sections included are aircraft design, propulsion systems, aerodynamics and aircraft systems. The report also includes helpful figures such as 3-view drawings and pie charts at the end of each section to allow for simple study of data.

. 1

### 2.0 Aircraft Design and Development

# 2.1 Overview of Aircraft Design and Development

The STB-White was conceived as a SCRAMjet test bed. Its sole purpose is to carry a prototype SCRAMjet to a high speed, high altitude test run. This was kept in mind in all phases of design. All attempts were made to keep the aircraft small, light, and compact. The design of the aircraft completely centered around carrying the engines and the fuel to power them. Taking a look at Figure 2-1, it can be seen that the engines and the fuel take up 60% of the total weight of the aircraft. The waverider configuration was seen solely as the most efficient way to get to the test run speed and altitude. The testing of a waverider configuration was seen only as a added bonus to the overall design.

### 2.2 <u>Mission Profile</u>

- - -

The mission requirements almost completely define the aircraft's capabilities. The aircraft must be capable of:

dropped from a French designed carrier plane at Mach 0.8
climbing and accelerating under its own power to Mach 6.0
and an altitude of approximately 80,000 feet
carry a prototype SCRAMjet engine that will start a Mach 6.0
that will be able to climb and accelerate to Mach 10.0 and an
altitude 100,000 feet, and maintain steady level flight for

two minutes at Mach 10.

- decelerate and land at NASA Kennedy Space Center, Florida.\* \* This requirement was added by the *STB-White* design team to ease the problem of supersonic flight over land. The runway at the Kennedy Space Center is near the ocean. This will allow the flight to be completely subsonic over land.

The aircraft meets these requirements.

The current mission profile will be performed in five phases, transport, drop, acceleration, test run, and landing. Phase 1, transport, calls for the French carrier plane to transport the aircraft from Kennedy Space Center, Florida to Maine. In Maine, the aircraft will be fully fueled with LH2. Phase 2, drop, will have the French carrier plane flying to the drop zone approximately miles east and 100 miles north of Maine. Phase 3, 250 acceleration, will include the acceleration up to Mach 6.0 using the turbofanramjets, and the acceleration to Mach 10.0 using the SCRAMjets. Looking at Figure 2-2, it can be seen that the SCRAMjet ignition is just south of Maine. In the case of the SCRAMjets not igniting the aircraft will be able to make an emergency landing in Phase 4, test run, will be steady level flight for two Maine. minutes at Mach 10.0 and an altitude of 100,000 feet. Phase 5, glide, has the aircraft slowing after the test run. It will approach the coast of Florida at around Mach 1.0, and continue an unpowered glide until it lands at Kennedy Space Center.

### 2.3 Preliminary Design

The preliminary design, shown in Figure 2-3, was conceived before the preliminary winter quarter presentation in mid-January. It was conceived based purely from concept. Few real calculations had yet been done, no SCRAMjet data was available at the time, little was known about waveriders, and the design was almost completely scrapped in favor of the next design.

### 2.4 Design Revision 1.0

Design Revision 1.0, shown in Figure 2-4, was a complete rework of the preliminary design presented at the interim winter quarter presentation. This was the first design to show any merit or promise.

The main features of the aircraft is the over-under configuration of the propulsion system, and the waverider configuration. The turbofanramjets had been roughly sized, but little exact SCRAMjet data was available. Engine-out stability was the driving factor for the over-under configuration. Preliminary waverider analysis decided the basic shape of the aircraft. Optimization for Mach 6.0 was decided upon because the high Mach number (8.5 - 10.0) data was not trusted, and the configuration remained relatively constant from Mach 6.0 - 8.0.

The position and type of the landing gear to be used was decided upon. The front gear would be located forward of the pilot and would be a steerable nose wheel. The rear gear, consisting of a pair of sleds, would be located behind the aircraft cg and outside of the SCRAMjets.

A large problem arose from this design - internal space. There was not near the internal space needed to hold the LH<sub>2</sub> fuel.

### 2.5 Design Revision 2.0

 $\mathbf{r} \odot$ 

÷ 7

-

-

-

Design Revision 2.0, shown in Figure 2-5, was presented at the final winter quarter presentation. This design solved the liquid hydrogen fuel volume problem.

A complete rework of the waverider analysis had to be done. The length was extend 20 feet from the previous design to bring the total length to 80 feet. The span was also extended 22 feet for a total span of 56 feet. This allowed enough space for the  $LH_2$  needed for the mission. The second analysis of the waverider design produced more realistic data then the first, and it was decided to optimize the aircraft for Mach 10.0. In this set of runs, the waverider configuration remained relatively constant after Mach 8.0.

The optimized waveriders from MAXWARP had somewhat pointed noses. It was felt that a pointed nose would be far to difficult to cool, and it was decided to stay with a blunt nose. Attempts were made to keep all changes in the planform smooth to aid in cooling.

This design also shows the final configuration of the over-under propulsion system. It was decided that two downsized turbofanramjets and five full sized SCRAMjets could provide the necessary propulsion.

### 2.6 Final Design Configuration

1

This design was formulated in the first few weeks of Spring Quarter. Over Spring break some flaws were found in Design Revision 2.0. It was almost impossible to fit the turbofanramjets and the SCRAMjets in the over-under configuration that was desired. Another problem was location of the cockpit. Variable geometry was needed for the turbofanramjets, and it would not allow any room for the cockpit. It was also decided to lengthen the aircraft to 85 feet. This length was the maximum allowed by the French carrier plane. The current width was 56 feet, and the maximum allowed by the French carrier plane was 50 feet. The width was narrowed to 48 feet for this reason.

The waverider analysis was redone for the new length. The new geometry allowed enough room for the over-under engine

configuration, the fuel, and the cockpit. The final design was set, and final versions of all aspects of aircraft development began. The inlet study was begun, the model was designed and built, and stability and control were done. A 3-D model was created on I-DEAS V4.1 (Figure 2-6), which aided in the internal arrangement of component systems (Figure 2-7).

1.1

н т н т

N

Table 2-1 shows some of the more important specifications for the aircraft.

# Specifications for the STB-White

# Table 2-1

	Length
	Height
	Span
	Planform Area
	Wing Sweep
	Aspect Ratio
-	Wing loading
	At Drop25.2 lbs/sqft
	At Landing18.6 lbs/sqft
	Gross Drop Weight
	Landing Weight
	Cruise Altitude100,000 feet
	Cruise Mach Number10
	Range1400 miles
	Landing Velocity
	Landing Distance
	Propulsion
	Turbofanramjet
	SCRAMjet Electric

When approaching hypersonic speeds, it is appropriate to have some kind of configuration which will yield as little drag as possible and, hence, more thrust available. For this reason, a partial waverider configuration was chosen for our design. Our analysis was done using the MAXWARP (Maryland AXisymmetric WAveRider Program) program which was setup on the Harris 800 at the Ohio State Aero/Astro Research Laboratory.

In particular, the design was based on flow fields over power-law bodies. The following information was needed in an input file for power-law bodies.

POWER = In which, a power of the power law equation of 0.66 was used to obtain minimum drag characteristics. The power law equation is

$$r = r_{hase} * (z/1)^{power}$$

where rbase is the radius at z = 1, z is the axial distance from the nose of the power law body, 1 is the length of the body, power is the exponent of the power-law equation, and r is the local radius of the power-law body.

- YBL = A value of 0.2 was the final number used for the base height/length ratio.
- ZPBODY = The length of the power law body was 18.29 meters (60 feet).
- ZSL

4 1 m

t i

....

223

ua: Lai

E

= A value of 0.05 was used as the non-dimensional length of the nosetip to the length of the body ratio.

Through the iteration process, the length and base height were changed several times in order to assure that the volume was large enough to house fuel, engines, and aircraft systems.

To account for different altitudes, the freestream conditions were changed to the appropriate values for various altitudes. The dynamic pressure and temperature were changed for several altitudes between 60,000 and 100,000 feet. Also, the specific heat ratio was changed between 1.36 and 1.4 to account for some disassociation at hypersonic speeds. It was found that changing this value had little affect on the design aspect of the configuration. Another factor in the freestream conditions was the Mach number. Changing this value did have a great affect on the design. For lower Mach numbers, the design was very rough, and the edges were very sharp. As the Mach number increased, the design became smoother, as shown in Figure 2-8. Also, the base height became thicker at lower speeds. This may be due to the increased size in the Mach cone on

the body. Our final configuration was taken from the Mach 10.0 case from the waverider, and is shown in Figure 2-9.

Aircraft analysis parameters were specified in the input file consisting of the aircraft length of 60 feet. Analysis was performed on a viscous body with turbulent air flow. The wall temperature was specified at 3000 degrees Rankine.

The aircraft constraints were specified for volume and box size. A minimum volume was set at 5000 cubic feet, and the maximum was set at 7500 cubic feet. Box size is defined as the half span to length ratio. The minimum box size was set at 0.1, and the maximum box size was set at 0.4. These constraints were set to account for the amount of space that was needed for the aircraft fuel and systems. The slenderness ratio was set for a few runs, but the program kicked out these constraints. Therefore, for the final configuration, the slenderness ratio was not used.

After coordinates were obtained from the H800 Super-mini Computer, these files were downloaded to a PC and read into an AutoCad V10.0. Once in AutoCad V10.0, the configuration was rotated and sized to obtain each view.

MAXWARP was used to integrate several variables in order to obtain a design which minimized the drag count. The output conditions calculated from this program were only ideal values and could not

theoretically be used as final calculations. This was because the final configuration did not consist solely of the waverider configuration. Powerplants, boat tail, vertical stabilizer, and other details were added to the final configuration. So, the waverider was only used as a basis on which to design the hypersonic vehicle.

### 2.8 <u>Materials</u>

11

E in

 In order to chose materials, the thermal distribution is essential. The program CDHEAT (Conceptual Design aerodynamic HEATing analysis) finds this distribution. It uses algebraic equations based on empirical data and has a rather simplistic approach. However, for known body shapes and flight conditions it gives accurate results. Heat transfer coefficient ratios for the various body shapes are found and then used in an iterative process to find the heat transfer rates using the following equation:

 $q = h_o \frac{h}{h_o} (T_{aw} - T_w)$   $h_o - reference \ coef$   $h - actual \ coef$   $T_{aw} - adibatic \ wall \ temp$ 

Unfortunately, the code was not completed in time for this report but temperatures at the nose and along the leading edges were approximated. The nose temperature was under 3000 F and the leading edges were roughly 2400 F. This is beneficial since the aircraft probably will not need active cooling. This lack of an active cooling system will also save weight and space. Furthermore, since this vehicle experiences extreme temperatures for only a short time, the life of the surfaces at these temperatures need not be long.

A carbon/carbon composite capable of withstanding temperatures over 3000 F for extended periods will sufficiently protect the nose. Its strength at high temperatures far surpasses any metal, and it can be used along the fuselage. At the leading edge, a refractory alloy of Columbium is effective. It is commercially available with reasonable strength at room temperature. It is also easy to fabricate and weld allowing easy attachment to our desired leading edge shape. Columbium oxidizes rapidly at temperatures over 1200 F. To prevent this, a coating of slurry silicide is fused onto the surface in a vacuum furnace. For the remaining "cool" spots, a titanium alloy can be used.

- --

-----

Use of evacuated insulation for *STB-White's* cryogenic fuel tanks was initially considered, but it was found that this type of tank often develops leaks in the insulation causing severe boil-off. Therefore, a tank with internal insulation is superior. The system

consists of a heat shield surrounding a purge gap. The purge gap further surrounds the insulated tank on the inside. The only problem in this design is that  $LH_2$  permeates the insulation raising the thermal conductivity and causing boil-off. To counter this effect, a vapor barrier is installed inside the insulation.

# 2.9 <u>Subsonic Wind Tunnel Model Construction</u>

----5

----

-

-

-

At the beginning of Spring Quarter, Dr. Gregorek gave specifications for the subsonic wind tunnel model. A model two feet long made of wood (balsa or pine) that would mount on a 3/8 inch stinger was requested. With these requirements in mind, a model was devised and constructed.

The subsonic wind tunnel model was to be constructed of balsa wood. A 1/4" x 1/4" square spar was constructed. This spar would hold several rib cross-sections. Each rib was cut and placed in a direction perpendicular to the aircraft flight path - with the spar in the flight path direction. Next, 1/8" thick balsa sheets would be conformed to the ribs to make the skin of the aircraft.

The front half of the aircraft was constructed first (i.e. the waverider portion). The underbody was simply formed by attaching the flat balsa sheets to the rib lower edges. The top of the aircraft was more difficult. The balsa sheet had to be bent to conform to the gently curving ribs that defined the aircraft upper

surface. To do this, water was added to the balsa sheets to make them more flexible. This flexible balsa wood was then wrapped over the rib contours and glued to complete the upper surface.

The back half (i.e. the boat-tail) was completed in the same fashion as the front half. The only complication was adding the stinger cavity that must pass through the rear of the model for wind tunnel mounting. This cavity was created by cutting holes in the rib cross-sections and then lining them with sheet metal. Also, it is favorable to affix the stinger at the model center of gravity. This allows for simpler reduction of moment, lift, and drag data obtained from the wind tunnel tests. A hole was drilled through the model upper surface and through the sheet metal-lined cavity to allow a mounting point to the stinger. Next, the balsa sheets were conformed to the rib surfaces as before. Finally, the vertical control surfaces were attached to the model.

Next, an attempt was made to make the model smooth. A micro foam filler was used to fill the balsa grain. The filler was sanded to smoothness with difficulties incurred at wood glue joints. The wood glue sanded more slowly than the balsa wood. The team logo was added to the model at this time by cutting it from a 'sticker'. The letters were glued to the model surface leaving the removable layer attached to the letters. The model was then coated with a clear coat enamel in an attempt to give the paint a less absorbent surface to adhere. Black enamel paint was added in several coats

and sanded and repainted to achieve a smooth surface. When painting was complete, the removable paper layer in the logo was removed leaving the logo embedded in the paint.

# Weight Percentage Distribution



CRIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 2-2







Ţ

5 1.....

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



۔ ۔

-----

....

57B-White

ORIGINAL FAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Design Recision 1.0

Figure 2-6

\_

Ŀ

-

. سيبية

-

~









Figure 2-8
Mach 10 Wave rider Optimization

Figure 2-9



# 3.0 Propulsion Systems

# 3.1 Overview of Propulsion Systems

The propulsion system of the aircraft is broken down into two separate sections - turbofanramjets and SCRAMjets. The turbofanramjets operate from the drop at Mach 0.8 and an altitude of 40,000 feet to Mach 6.0 and an altitude of 80,000 feet. At this speed and altitude the SCRAMjets will ignite and continue accelerating and climbing up to Mach 10 at 100,000 feet. The aircraft will hold this speed and altitude for two minutes and then descend to earth. This flight profile is the most efficient integration of the two propulsion systems.

### 3.2 <u>Turbofanramjets</u>

# 3.2.1 Engine Analysis

The engines that will be used to accelerate and climb the aircraft from Mach 0.8 at 40,000 feet to Mach 6.0 at 80,000 feet are Mach 6.0  $LH_2$ -Fueled Augmented Turbofanramjet engines. These turbofanramjets will be produced by General Electric Aircraft Engines in Cincinnati, Ohio. The engines are scheduled to operate initially between the years 2005 and 2010. Dimensions, weights, and engine data for the Mach 6.0 engine were given to each of the

four design groups by General Electric. Two different Mach 6.0 engines will be available, an acoustically unconstrained and an acoustically constrained one. A decision was made to use the acoustically unconstrained engine, because it weighed less and was smaller while producing the same thrust. Also, since our flight would take place away from land and people, the excess noise was not a factor in the design process.

----

-----

1.27

----

~\_\_\_

Last quarter, the flight profile (see Figure 3-1 and Figure 3-2) was determined and the thrust required and thrust available vs. Mach number plot (see Figure 3-3) was created. A decision was made to use two turbofanramjets for this portion of the mission. However, by using two full size engines, more thrust was available than was needed for this flight profile. Therefore, these engines could be sized down to cut down on weight and also give the aircraft more volume to store the liquid hydrogen fuel. General Electric included engine scaling relationships with the engine data. Upon examining the Thrust Required and Thrust Available vs. Mach number plot for two full size engines, it was determined that these engines could be down-sized by 20 percent with respect to thrust. The engine scaling relationships indicate that the thrust and fuel flow scaled one to one with the airflow. According to these formulas, the full size engine of 6100 lbs. scaled down to 4880 lbs. (20%). Also, additional relationships for diameters and lengths were given and used. The results are as follows for a 20% reduction in engine size with respect to the thrust:

	Full Size	20% Reduction
Maximum Diameter (in.): Length (in.): C.G. Location (in.): Weight - each (lbs):	83 265 119 6100	74 237 106 4880
The net thrusts give	ven by G.E. c.	an be reduced 20%.

Table 3-1: Turbofanramjet Size Reduction

-

.....

τ\_ .

.....

\_,

- -

-----

Once the engines were scaled a configuration for the aircraft was made. The drag values that were finalized for our configuration were much higher than the preliminary ones of last quarter. These higher drag numbers gave the group two options. The first, an extra engine could be added to the aircraft to offset the enormous amount of drag in the transonic region. However, by adding an extra engine the entire aircraft would have to be sized up. This option was discarded because the French carrier aircraft design had given each group a size limit. If the aircraft was enlarged, the French limit would not be adhered to. Also, by changing the configuration of the aircraft, the drag analysis would have to be repeated and these drag coefficients would probably increase. Because of the uncertainty of the outcome in adding a third turbofanramjet engine, a second option was carried out.

This second option was to alter the existing flight profile for the turbofanramjet portion of the mission. Since the drag values increased to almost double the available thrust of the two 80%

turbofanramjet engines in the transonic range, the aircraft had to descend until the available thrust was appreciably greater than the drag. Once this was the case, the aircraft could ascend. Since the drag in the transonic region was so large, the aircraft had to descend to an altitude of 15,500 feet to overcome the drag and then ascend to 80,000 feet where the SCRAMjets were ignited. The updated flight profile and the Thrust Available and Thrust Required (drag) vs. Mach number are shown in Figures 3-4 and 3-5 and Figure 3-6, respectively.

# 3.2.2 Flight Profile

 $\sim$ 

The flight profile was analyzed by a more precise method than used in the past. The thrust available and drag values were known for the aircraft at different altitudes and at different mach numbers. The profile was altered by using only one parameter. This parameter, the angle of ascent or descent, was varied until positive acceleration was possible and when the fuel amount was as low as possible. The entire flight profile analysis was determined by summing the forces along and perpendicular to the flight path and setting these values equal to the mass times the acceleration The analysis was determined using a varying dynamic (F=ma). pressure (Q) until the aircraft ascended above 40,000 feet where the dynamic pressure was set to a constant of 1500 psf. A Q of 1500 psf was determined to be the best for the profile. This Q was where the SCRAMjets performed well. Also this constant Q analysis

determined the velocity and thus Mach number at each station of the climb. The fuel estimate for this profile was calculated by knowing the thrust available (used), the specific fuel consumption (sfc) used by the engine, and the duration at which the engine used this fuel flow rate. Even though the previous engine data could be reduced when the engines were sized down, it was unfortunate that the sfc could not also be reduced. If it could have been, the lowered sfc would have translated into a lower amount of fuel needed for the mission. The fuel needed to accelerate from Mach 0.8 at 40,000 feet to Mach 6.0 at 80,000 feet was 6460 lbs of liquid hydrogen. This amount of fuel translates into a storage volume of 1452 cubic feet at a density of 4.43 lbs per cubic foot. Specifications of the flight profile are included in Table 3-2.

<u>و....</u>

\_

Table 3-2: Turbofanramjet Acceleration Data		
MAXIMUM ACCELERATION:	1.35	G
TIME (PORTION OF TOTAL MISSION):	6.10	MINUTES
TOTAL FUEL WEIGHT:	6,460	LBS
AIRCRAFT T.O. WEIGHT:	53,000	LBS
DISTANCE TRAVELED (PORTION):	158	MILES

#### 3.3 SCRAMjets

-

# 3.3.1 Approach To Analysis

Above Mach 6, the only hope for an air-breathing engine that exists today is the operation of the SCRAMjet engine. At the present time, this idea is still in its preliminary stages. Due to this fact, the data that is available on these engines is sparse and hard to acquire. The mission requirements of this design project call for the acceleration of a hypersonic vehicle to ten times the speed of sound at an altitude of 100,000 feet. Once at this speed and altitude, the vehicle must maintain steady level flight for two minutes. The airplane is to weigh approximately 50,000 lbs. and have an internal volume of 3,000 cubic feet allowed for fuel. With this brief summary of objectives, the possibilities will be analyzed, and the steps taken will be discussed.

General Electric kindly provided the advanced design class with SCRAMjet data that was developed from recent tests. Without this data the following efforts could not have been performed and the whole mission would have been impossible. This data consisted of net thrusts that were developed by a liquid hydrogen burning engine. Since these thrusts were net thrusts, the ram drag was already accounted for and is not considered in this analysis. G.E. provided this information for dynamic pressures ranging from 500

psf to 2,000 psf in 500 psf increments. This covered altitudes from 65,000 feet to 126,000 feet. Combustor exit fuel to air equivalence ratios of 0.8, 1.8, and 2.8 were used in determining the specific impulse for different thrusts. The data covered Mach numbers of 5.0, 7.5, and 10.0. This fit into the regime of flight very well. Combustor exit static pressures and static temperatures were also given. The only regret was that more points in this range were not available for analysis.

This data, along with information from different members of the group, allowed for calculations to be made. The aerodynamicist developed initial values for drag coefficients that were used to calculate the acceleration of the aircraft. Weights were estimated so the required thrusts could be calculated. From the waverider analysis, initial estimates were made on lift to drag ratios at different Mach numbers. The design procedure was a cyclical process that involved many iterations and refinements.

# 3.3.2 Strategic Requirement Analysis

From the G.E. data, the most thrust was developed for a dynamic pressure of 2,000 psf. This was the data that was used for the first round of calculations. However, it was soon realized that at this dynamic pressure with a velocity of Mach 10.0, the altitude was not as high as what was needed. From simple calculations, it was found that the dynamic pressure that would correspond to Mach

10.0 at 100,000 feet on a standard atmospheric day was 1,615 psf. The closest available data that could be used was at 1,500 psf. Since the turbofanramjet engines are operable to Mach 6.0, this point was decided on to ignite the supersonic combustion ramjet engines. Back solving the dynamic pressure equation showed at Mach 6.0 the aircraft should be flying at approximately 80,000 feet. This meant the vehicle would accelerate from Mach 6.0 to Mach 10.0 while climbing from 80,000 feet to 100,000 feet. The data from G.E. was modified so an estimate could be made for values of Mach 6.0 at 80,000 feet and Mach 9.0 at 97,000 feet. Information was now available at Mach numbers of 6.0, 7.5, 9.0, and 10.0.

### 3.3.3 Iteration Procedures

\_\_\_\_

Only four points were available in this region, so four divisions were made for calculations. The information from Mach 6.0 would be used from Mach 6.0-6.75. The information from Mach 7.5 would be used from 6.75-8.25. Mach 8.25-9.25 would use data from Mach 9.0, and finally Mach 9.25-10.0 would use the Mach 10.0 data. The initial weight of the airplane, at SCRAMjet ignition, was estimated at 50,000 lbs. All of the data was put into a spreadsheet and examined for the cases of using 1-8 modules (engines). It was quickly narrowed down to examine the realistic choices of 3 to 6 engines. To see this data and the process that was involved refer to Appendix A. Data was analyzed concerning the following items:

Acceleration

( # Eng x Net thrust -  $C_{D} \times Q \times S$  ) / mass Time of operation

(  $V_{final} - V_{initial}$  ) / Acceleration Fuel Weight

( # Eng x Net thrust /  $I_{gp}$  x 3600 x Time(in hours) )

By examining these three major factors, it was seen that the smaller the thrust, the smaller the acceleration. The smaller the acceleration, the longer the operational time. The longer the operational time, the more fuel that was used. There are exceptions depending on equivalence ratio and specific impulse, but this was the general trend. The two minute steady level flight was not considered in these calculations. This was because at cruise, the objective was only to offset the drag. This was possible to do with only two engines. However, by shutting down three of the SCRAMjets, the drag would greatly increase as no flow was directed through the engines. It was quickly realized that running all five engines at a low fuel to air mixture equivalence ratio would solve the problem. No data was supplied from G.E. for equivalence ratios below 0.8. Approximate data was generated for lower values to get a rough estimation that 600 lbs of fuel would be burned to offset the drag if all five engines were operating.

# 3.3.4 Discussion of Results

It was realized that operating with less than five engines would consume an enormous amount of fuel and operating above five engines would not save enough fuel to offset the 1200 pounds that another SCRAMjet module would weigh. The next problem that was faced was an overabundance of lift. This made it impossible to fly at the requested flight profile. In order to overcome this problem, the angle of attack was changed until an achievable flight profile was reached. This occurred at an angle of attack of -4 degrees. Running through the spreadsheet with the corresponding coefficients of drag for this angle of attack yielded the final results. It was decided to use an extra 500 lbs. of fuel over the optimized value. This was done to increase acceleration and shorten range and flight time. By upping the fuel to air mixture equivalence ratio from 0.8 to 1.8 when the SCRAMjets are accelerating from Mach 6.0 to Mach 8.25, the range was shortened by 175 miles and the total flight time was decreased by 2.13 minutes. To accelerate from Mach 8.25 to Mach 10.0 the optimized value was still used which occurred at a ratio of 1.8. The total range over which the SCRAMjets operated was 584 miles. The vehicle took 5.82 minutes to travel this distance and used 7428 lbs. of LH, in the process. All of these numbers take into account the two minute cruise.

Figure 3-1



Mach Number





Figure 3-2

# ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

(Jeel) ebujijiA

60000

- 000001

120000

80000

37

40000-

20000 -

Ò

Mach Number



# Thrust Req. & Avail. vs. Mach No.



Mach Number

Mach Number vs. Time

Figure 3-4



----

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Altitude vs. Mach Number

Figure 3-5



Altitude vs Mach Number

Ę.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Thruat Required, Thruat Available (Iba) (Thousands)

41



Thrust Required, Thrust Avail. vs Mach

Thrust negalred & Available vs. Mach Number

Figure .-.

### 4.0 Inlet Design

# 4.1 Overview of Inlet Design

Inlets are a series of ramps which direct the air flow into an engine. Axisymmetric inlets usually consist of flow over a cone in which ramps are formed from the basic cone shape, whereas, twodimensional inlets consist of flow over wedges. Generally, twodimensional inlets are considerably easier to analyze. The purpose of an inlet is to deliver the flow to the engine such that the flow is perpendicular to the engine compressor face. Therefore, the ramps are devised to turn the air flow to meet this condition. However, this is not the only function of the ramp system. The shocks that are caused by the ramps are needed to slow the flow down before entering the engine. Unfortunately, mass flow rates cannot be matched at all times during flight without having an extravagant inlet system which consists of many moving parts. The number of moving parts is directly related to the structural weight, so it is impossible to have this "flexible wave" which can be transformed into any shape. On the other hand, if the inlet capture area is not matched up with the needs of the engine, many problems could occur. If not enough flow is delivered to the engine, then the engine will not operate efficiently; if too much flow is delivered to the engine, the air flow will build up and spill out causing spillage drag. There are some ways to correct for the two air flow problems by adding air ducts and vents.

Besides all the described problems, boundary layer build up must also be considered when designing an inlet. Generally, the boundary layer is bled off with a splitter plate which is placed a prescribed length parallel to the body. This allows a new growth of boundary layer for a smaller distance on the splitter plate. The losses due to the newly formed boundary layer are not as great as the boundary layer formed from the length of the aircraft. Also, boundary layer suction can be performed using a porous plate in which the change in pressure will "suck" the boundary layer off the surface.

The second

The main objective of the test vehicle was to test a SCRAMjet engine for two minutes at Mach 10.0 at an altitude of 100,000 feet. After being dropped from a French designed carrier aircraft, a turbofanramjet engine will be used to propel the aircraft from 40,000 feet at Mach 0.8 to 80,000 feet at Mach 6.0. Since the two 80 percent full size turbofanramjet engines are placed inside the vehicle, the flow needs to be turned into the engine, whereas, the five full size SCRAMjet engines are located on the lower surface of the *STB-White*. From the design of the plane, all the engines are sharing one inlet surface on the lower side of the plane. Using a series of doors and ramps, the complicated system will have the turbofanramjet engine closed off during the SCRAMjet test. Since the STB will be flying at a negative angle of attack of -4 degrees during the entire time the SCRAMjets will be operating, they will be angled 4 degrees to the top surface of the plane. This will

allow for higher pressure recovery for the SCRAMjet inlet. For both the turbofanramjet and the SCRAMjet inlet, a complicated program was written in order to obtain conditions at the entrance of each engine. Static pressure and temperature, combustion Mach number, total temperature and pressure, capture areas, and mass flow rates were calculated from freestream density, pressure, temperature, and Mach number which were input along with the desired ramp angles. Following this analysis of each inlet, an appropriate result was obtained for both engine inlets. (see Appendix B for turbofanramjet inlet Fortran code and Appendix C for SCRAMjet inlet Fortran code).

Because of the basic wedge shape of the waverider design, a twodimensional inlet using linear compression ramps and variable geometry will be used for both the turbofanramjet and the SCRAMjet inlets. Linear ramps are used for their ease in analysis.

# 4.2 Turbofanramjet Inlet

Ē

1

Three constraints were placed on the turbofanramjet inlet design based on conditions at the compressor face. First, the airflow needed to be slowed down to subsonic speeds before entering the compressor. Second, the capture area at the compressor face had to be a 3 feet radius for each engine, and third, the flow conditions had to match the engine data provided by General Electric for various speeds and altitudes.

Two design Mach numbers were chosen for the design of the turbofanramjet. First, Mach 2.0 was analyzed using the computer code. Then Mach 4.0 was analyzed in the same manner. The program used oblique shock relations and normal shock relations obtained from Ref. 2 to analyze a series of ramps and angles. First, the freestream Mach number, pressure, density, and temperature were ministrance for the various ramp angles were chosen until a satisfactory result was obtained. The number of ramps was set at three due to geometry constraints on the lower surface.

With the flow conditions known for the engine, guesses were made for ramp angles for both design Mach numbers. For the Mach 2.0 design condition, by using an initial cone angle of 3.4 degrees (8 degree wedge flying at -4.6 degree angle of attack), an initial ramp angle of 5 degrees, and cowl angles of 7 degrees each, the speed was slowed down to Mach 0.838 with a pressure recovery of 0.981. For the Mach 4 condition, the initial cone angle was set to 5 degrees, the initial ramp angle was 14 degrees, and the cowl angles were 16 and 18 degrees. This slowed the air flow down to Mach 0.766 with a total pressure recovery of 0.972 (see Appendix B). As one can tell, the construction of the lower surface of the STB is going to be quite complicated with variable geometry compression ramps. Following the calculation of the compression angles, the distances from the nose of the aircraft were calculated where the ramps needed to be placed in order to impinge on the cowl lip to form the compression inlet. In order to turn the flow up

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

into the turbofanramjet, the flow needed to be subsonic prior to the turn. Therefore, a normal shock formed on the cowl lip (see Figure 4-1). This caused an external compression that was not desired, but was considered to be less of a problem than the expansion that would have otherwise resulted. This expansion would have caused the flow velocity to increase, and the normal shock at the compressor face would have caused entropy gradients that were extremely high. Since the mass flow rate did not match up for the engine, a number of vents were placed on the engine to route the excess air around the entrance of the compressor.

In conclusion, the turbofanramjet inlet did not exactly match the engine needs as far as mass flow rate conditions. It must be noted that the General Electric engine data was unclear as to the definition of the engine mass flow rate. As to which is more costly to the design regarding weight penalties and spillage drag, the answer is beyond the scope of this report. Not enough knowledge is known pertaining to the weight of variable geometry inlets. Therefore, this design entails many losses due to weight penalties.

# 4.3 <u>SCRAMjet Inlet</u>

Like the turbofanramjet inlet, the SCRAMjet inlet has certain constraints which need to be met. First, the air flow to the engine needs to be slowed down to between Mach 3 and Mach 5.

Second, the capture areas need to be matched to the full-size SCRAMjet, and third, the mass flow rate of the air needs to be equal to that of the required air mass flow rate of the engine.

Again, a linear ramp system with variable geometry is used for the SCRAMjet. With the aid of the computer code, the pressure recovery, mass flow rate of the air flow, and the speed of the air flow to the engine were calculated using freestream conditions and ramp angles. The oblique shock angles were calculated using supersonic oblique shock relations. These were the simplest and best approximations available to calculate the shock angles. The angles were iterated using the theta-beta-Mach number relation (see Ref. 2).

The SCRAMjet inlet was designed to handle many speeds in order to insure fewer losses due to spillage drag. Since the primary function of this aircraft was to test the SCRAMjets, the inlets were designed to eliminate as many air flow problems as possible. The design Mach numbers were chosen as Mach 6.0, Mach 7.0, Mach 8.0, Mach 9.0, and Mach 10.0. A three ramp system was chosen for the SCRAMjet. The turbofanramjet inlet will be completely closed off by connecting the cowl and the inlet surface. This will give a virtually smooth surface to work with for the SCRAMjet. The shock which occurs off the nose of the STB-White will completely miss the bottom edge of the SCRAMjet at all times. Therefore, the ramp system begins with one ramp on the lower surface of the

aircraft. The other two ramps are located on the cowl of the SCRAMjet. Three ramps were chosen on account of the variable geometry system limitations.

1.1

For the Mach 6.0 design case, the cone angle was set at 4 degrees (8 degree wedge flying at -4 degree angle of attack), and the first ramp angle was 6.5 degrees. The two cowl angles were 7 and 3.5 degrees. This gave a total pressure recovery of 0.885 and a speed The pressure inside the of Mach 3.8 entering the SCRAMjet. combustion chamber was 703.0 psf, and the mass flow rate was matched at 142.0 pounds per second. Therefore, the intake capture area was matched to the SCRAMjet inlet area at 8 inches high and 30 inches wide. For the Mach 7.0 case, the cone angle was 4 degrees with the first ramp angle at 5 degrees. The cowl angles were 5 and 4 degrees respectively. This resulted in a total pressure recovery The pressure inside the of 0.90 and a speed of Mach 4.5. combustion chamber was 560 psf, and the mass flow rate was matched at 135 lb/s. For the Mach 8.0 case, the first cone angle was 4 degrees, and the first ramp angle was 5 degrees. The cowl angles were calculated to be 6 and 3 degrees respectively. For the Mach 9.0 case, the first cone angle was 4 degrees with a first ramp angle of 4 degrees. The cowl angles were both 4 degrees. For the Mach 10.0 case, the first cone angle was 4 degrees with a ramp angle of 3.5 degrees. The cowl angles were 3.5 and 2 degrees, respectively. See Appendix D for the computer code output that is referred to above.

In conclusion, the turbofanramjet engine took much larger losses in spillage drag than the SCRAMjet. The SCRAMjet flow was matched for every design Mach number. This was done in order to concentrate on the abilities of the SCRAMjet without complications in air flow.

. .

Ħ

\_\_\_\_





ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Figure 4-1

# ð... Ē ŦΨ Ē...; - - -E.

### 5.0 <u>Aerodynamics</u>

# 5.1 <u>Subsonic/Supersonic/Hypersonic Aerodynamics</u>

Working independently from the engine groups and hoping to cover any future changes in flight profile the approach to the aerodynamic problem was formulated for a range of possible Mach numbers and angles of attack at varying altitudes. Dimensionless aerodynamic parameters were found through shock expansion theory, DATCOM methods, and Nicolai's approximations. Comparison of the different methods showed that the DATCOM 2-D wedge approximations resulted in the highest C<sub>La</sub>'s. The comparison also showed that Nicolai's and DATCOM's delta-wing approximations corresponded to one another almost exactly. The delta-wing  $C_{La}$ 's were significantly lower than those from the 2-D wedge, however, it was believed that they represented the actual flow field the best. Therefore, the delta-wing approximation was used to calculate the supersonic and hypersonic aerodynamic characteristics of the STB-White. Subsonic characteristics were approximated assuming a linear lift curve slope.

Our goal was accomplished by using a spreadsheet, which calculated the  $C_L$ 's,  $C_D$ 's, and L/D's for every Mach number at each altitude and angle of attack. Subsonic and Supersonic  $C_L$ 's were calculated from equations B.1 and B.2 respectively. Subsonic  $C_{La}$ 's were calculated using the aspect ratio, Mach number, and sweep angle. The

supersonic and hypersonic  $C_{La}$ 's came from a delta-wing lift curve The C<sub>La</sub>'s were then graph provided by Nicolai and DATCOM. incorporated with angles of attack ranging from -4 to 6 degrees, to calculate a variety of  $C_L$ 's at each Mach number and altitude. From the density and Mach number at each altitude, a velocity and Reynolds number were calculated. Using equations B.3 and B.4 subsonic, and super/hypersonic  $C_{00}$ 's were calculated respectively. Subsonic  $C_{D0}$ 's were based exclusively on friction drag, having Reynolds number as their only parameter. Supersonic and hypersonic  $C_{D0}$ 's were composed of friction drag and wave drag, having Mach number, thickness to chord ratio, Reynolds number, and the B factor as their parameters. With  $C_{D_0}$ 's and  $C_L$ 's for each Mach number, angle of attack, and altitude, the total  $C_{D}$  was calculated using equation B.5. The total  $C_{D}$ 's were then multiplied by an efficiency factor of 1.1 to account for cowl drag along with any other miscellaneous drag items. The aerodynamic calculations done here considered the entire upper surface of the STB-White to be a lifting surface. The expansion lift from the exhaust ramp was neglected. Inquiries were made into the effects of centripetal lift, however, they were small enough to be considered negligible. Aerodynamic graphs can be seen on the accompanying pages. To see data, refer to Appendix F.

Subsonic C<sub>L</sub>

$$C_{1} = \frac{2AR(\alpha - \alpha_{ol})}{2\sqrt{4 + AR^{2}(1 - M^{2})(1 + \frac{\tan^{2}(\frac{\delta t}{C})}{(1 - M^{2})}}} + (\alpha - \alpha_{ol})^{2}$$
B.1

Supersonic C<sub>L</sub>

$$C_{l} - C_{la} \left( \alpha - \alpha_{ol} \right)$$
 B.2

Subsonic  $C_{D0}$ 

$$C_{do} = \frac{0.074}{Re^{2}} [1.082] \frac{S_{wet}}{S_{ref}} + \frac{280}{S_{ref}} \delta C_{do}$$
B.3

Supersonic and Hypersonic  $\rm C_{\rm Do}$ 

$$C_{do} = \frac{0.074}{Re^{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{(1+0.144M^{2})^{0.65}} \right] + B \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M^{2}-1}} \right] \left( \frac{t}{c} \right)^{2} \qquad B.4$$

Total  $C_{D}$ 

=

-----

$$C_d = K \left( C_{do} + \frac{C_l^2}{\pi e A R} \right)$$
 B.5

# 5.2 Glide Analysis

An unpowered glide and land was designated after the two minute SCRAMjet test to cut down on fuel volume. The glide trajectory was calculated with a spreadsheet using aerodynamic data.

The spread sheet contained the altitudes from 100,000 feet to 0 feet in 1000 feet increments and their corresponding densities. The analysis began with a dynamic pressure, Q, of 1500 psf at 100,000 feet and was gradually lowered to 60 psf at 0 ft. From the dynamic pressures and the density, a velocity could be found. A free body diagram gave the equations to solve for lift and acceleration. The angle of descent was arbitrarily chosen.

 $L = W \cos \theta$ 

 $ma = W \sin \Theta - D$ 

 $C1 = W \cos \Theta / q S$ 



Next, the dimensionless lift and drag were obtained from our aerodynamicist. The CL and CD values gave the angle of attack using the equation:  $CL = CLo (a - a_{id})$ . Then, acceleration, or in our case, deceleration, was found using the dynamic equation F = ma. Now, using the equations of motion:

$$Vf^{2} - Vi^{2} = 2as$$

 $s = Vi t + .5 a t^{2}$ 

where s = distance travelled

Vf = final velocity

Vi = initial velocity

The distance travelled and the expended time were calculated. This distance was along the path travelled, so the x-y components could be found using the angle of descent.

 $Sx = s \cos \theta$ 



Sy = s sin 9

Now, this distance in the y-direction should equal 1000 feet. When it did not equal 1000 feet, the angle of descent was varied until it did. These calculations were made for all cases.

Figure 5-1



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR' QUALITY

сг

# CL vs. Alph:

Figure 5-2



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

57

----

OD vs. Mark - Varying Angle of Attack

Figure 5-3

- -

-



58

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



Figure 5-4

-<u>.</u>

L/D vs ALPHA Mach= .6 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



60

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Figure 5-5
L/D vs ALPHA Mach= 4 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



L/D vs. Alpha - Mach 4.0

Figure 5-6

L/D vs ALPHA Mach= 6 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



62

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Figure 5-7



L/1 vs. Mach

Figure 5-8

÷

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY





CD vs. CI - Mach 0.6

Figure 5-9



CD vs. CL - Mach 2.0

ii Li 100 100 

CD vs CL Mach= 4 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



66

Figure 5-11

CD vs CL Mach= 6 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



67

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Figure 5-12

CD vs CL Mach= 10 at 40K, 60K, 80K, and 100K ft



68

6.0 Stability and Control Analysis

## 6.1 Weight Analysis

.....

A preliminary estimate of the take off weight of the aircraft was made using historical trends for past experimental and high speed aircraft. This method was outlined in Reference 9. This preliminary value for the take-off weight was then used in subsequent configuration calculations. After a configuration was determined, it was possible to determine a more accurate take-off weight for the aircraft using a component breakdown. A new configuration was then calculated using the value for the take off weight determined by the component breakdown method. This process was repeated several times to produce an accurate result. The final value of the take off weight was 53,000 lbs.

The basic design of the aircraft was determined by the waverider program plots. Given the basic outline of the aircraft, it was then necessary to configure the aircraft for optimum stability and fuel volume. A preliminary design proved to be inadequate for meeting the fuel requirement, so the entire design was enlarged. The final configuration consisted of a front mounted nose wheel, followed by the cockpit, with the twin turbofanramjets placed side by side in the rear. Conformal fuel tanks were placed in the wing area of the aircraft. In addition to meeting our fuel volume requirements, the final configuration also included several mission

considerations. The turbofanramjets were mounted side by side to increase engine out stability and to allow one inlet to be used for both turbofanramjet engines. An inlet system length of eleven feet was accounted for in the configuration. The cockpit dimensions included adequate space for the data gathering computers and the cockpit cooling systems. In addition, a coverable window was included for use during landing. The nose wheel was mounted with a forward hinge to permit the wheel to be in proximity with the cockpit cooling system. The placement of the fuel tanks allowed for a small center of gravity (c.g.) travel. This was because the fuel tanks had a c.g. which was very close to the c.g. of the structure.

. . .

Once the final configuration was determined, it was possible to perform a component breakdown to determine the weight of the The basic areas of weight on the aircraft were; aircraft. The fuel weight was structure, engines, fuel, and systems. determined by the amount of fuel required for the mission (13960 lbs), and the basic weights for the engines were determined to be 10000 lbs for the ramjets, and 6000 lbs for the SCRAMjets. Estimates for the weight of the remainder of the components were made using the weight estimation methods outlined Reference 9. These estimates were based on parameters involving the geometry, aerodynamics and estimated take-off weight of the aircraft. The weight values for the inlet, vertical tails, computer systems and cooling systems were estimates made from historical trends,

combined with information determined in our studies of these components. Pie graphs of the weight breakdowns for total weight, structural weight and systems weight are shown in Figures 6-1 through 6-3.

Once the component weights were determined, it was possible to determine the c.g. of the empty plane by performing a moment balance on the plane. This involved adding the product of the mass and c.g. distance of each component, and then dividing this sum by the total mass of the aircraft. The empty c.g. of the aircraft was determined to be 53.2 feet from the nose of the aircraft. The c.g. of the full plane was determined by also adding in the fuel mass-c.g. product into the c.g. determination. The c.g. of the full aircraft was found to be 51.4 feet from the nose of the aircraft. Thus, the total distance traveled by the c.g. was only 1.8 feet.

The component breakdown of the weight of the aircraft, and the c.g. determination of the aircraft are presented in Appendix H.

## 6.2 Stability and Control

## 6.2.1 Overview of Stability and Control

In determining the stability of the aircraft, the main concern was for static stability at low speeds, particularly landing speeds. The main reason for this concern was due to the fact that the aerodynamic center was at it's most forward position at low speeds, which produced the smallest static margin, and therefore the least stability. Also, the stability of the aircraft would be critical during landing to ensure a safe return for the aircraft and the checked, but stability Dynamic stability was not pilot. augmentation systems will be used to correct dynamic instabilities. The static stability of the aircraft was determined using the methods presented in Reference The various stability 9. derivatives were determined using References 9, 10, and the USAF DATCOM volumes on aircraft stability. Since the aircraft had no horizontal tail, elevons were chosen to provide both longitudinal and lateral control. For directional control a pair of vertical stabilizers were mounted in the rear of the aircraft. For this configuration, it was necessary to use the stability equations for a tailless aircraft. The aircraft was checked for stability in all three planes of motion: longitudinal, lateral and directional. The stability of each of these planes will be discussed separately. The aircraft was found to be stable in all three planes, and some performance criteria were determined. A listing of the important

static stability parameters is shown in Table 6-1, and a complete listing of the stability and control calculations is provided in Appendix I.

## 6.2.2 Longitudinal Stability

The primary factor in determining longitudinal static stability was the distance between the aerodynamic center and the center of gravity, or the static margin. For a tailless aircraft, the aerodynamic center and the center of gravity are the same point, and for longitudinal stability it is essential that the center of gravity, or c.g., be in front of the aerodynamic center. However, if the static margin were to become too large, the aircraft would be too stable, and thus hard to control. To prevent this it was necessary to ensure that the static margin remain relatively constant throughout the flight. This will be accomplished by fuel staging to match the flight profile of the aircraft.

The positive longitudinal stability criterion is that the slope of  $C_{M}$  vs. angle of attack, or  $C_{MA}$ , be negative. This insures that the aircraft will tend to correct its pitch attitude when disturbed from the equilibrium position. The value of  $C_{MA}$  determined for the aircraft was -0.105, thus meeting this condition. Also, the value of  $C_{MCG}$  at zero angle of attack should be positive to ensure longitudinal stability. The value of  $C_{MCG}$  at zero angle of attack

was determined to be 0.03, and this condition was met also. A plot of  $C_{MCG}$  vs. angle of attack is shown in Figure 6-4.

## 6.2.3 Lateral Stability

The primary requirement for lateral stability was that the lateral stability derivative, or  $C_{L\beta}$ , be negative. This requirement not only insures positive lateral static stability, but also keeps the spiral mode from becoming divergent. The value of  $C_{L\beta}$  determined for the aircraft was -0.726, thus meeting this condition. For calculation of the steady state roll the primary criterion was the damping in roll coefficient, or  $C_{LP}$ . A value of -0.105 was determined for  $C_{LP}$ , thus producing a roll rate of 87 deg/sec upon landing. This high roll rate was due to the low aspect ratio of the aircraft, and also because the elevons were sized for longitudinal stability, which provided a great deal more lateral control that would otherwise be present. A plot of roll rate vs velocity is shown in Figure 6-5.

## 6.2.4 <u>Directional Stability</u>

The primary requirement for directional (weathercock) stability was that the directional stability derivative, or  $C_{NE}$ , be positive. This requirement insures that the moments generated will rotate the aircraft to reduce the sideslip angle, or B.  $C_{NE}$  was determined to be 0.102, thus this condition was met, also.

For sizing the aircraft rudders, it was necessary to check for adequate control under two conditions: maintain ß of zero for one engine out, and maintain ß of zero for landing in a crosswind. From this, a maximum value of  $\tau$  was determined, and the rudder area could be calculated. The total rudder area was about 125 square feet, or 0.28 of the total vertical stabilizer area.

## 6.2.5 Trim Requirements

-----

The trim requirements were determined from the elevon control power, or ECP. The required elevator deflections for flight at various angles of attack could be determined by use of the ECP. A plot of the required elevator deflection vs angle of attack is shown in Figure 6-6.

The turn radius required for various maneuvers was also calculated using force balance equations in combination with the control parameters. The maneuvers were the turn, pull-up and pull-down. The maneuvers were calculated for an artificial gravity of 3 g's. Plots of the radii vs velocity are shown in Figure 6-7.

Table 6-1

STATIC STABILITY PARAMETERS		NEEDS TO BE +/-
LONGTTUDINAL STABILITY		FOR STABILITY
Static Margin (Fully Fueled):	0.139	+
Static Margin (Fully Fullou);	0.094	+
CMA (Slope of CM vs Alpha):	- 0.105	-
CM at Zero Angle of Attack:	0.030	+
LATERAL STABILITY	0.000	1
Rolling Moment Coefficient:	0.069	+
Lateral Stability Derivative:	- 0.720	
DIRECTIONAL STABILITY	0 100	L
Directional Stability Derivative:	0.102	Ŧ

## 6.3 <u>Subsonic Wind Tunnel Testing</u>

Subsonic wind tunnel testing was to be completed using the subsonic wind tunnel model. When mounting was attempted, certain problems were found. First, the model was too long and the stinger was not long enough to reach the model center of gravity. Next, the model has a large flat plate lifting surface. This would be a problem when the model was placed at high angle attack possibly destroying the delicate strain gages under high loads. With these problems, the model needed to be torn apart and a different mounting technique used. Since there was little time left in the project, these modifications could not be made and the subsonic wind tunnel testing could not be completed.



## Weight Percentage Distribution



Weight Percentage Distribution

Figure 6-1

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

# Structural Percentage Distribution



Figure 6-2

## Systems Percentage Distribution



79

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

Figure 6-3

Figure 6-4



.

----

\_

-----



## Roll Rate vs. Velocity

Figure 6-5



¥

\_\_\_\_

-----

-----+

> ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY



## DEFL REQ VS ANGLE OF ATTACK POSITIVE IS UPWARD DEFLECTION



Deflection Required vs. Angle of Attach

Figure 6-6

l. ( ----= -= \_\_\_\_



Figure  $\ell = 7$ 

## 7.0 Aircraft Systems

## 7.1 Cockpit

A pilot was needed for the aircraft for a number of reasons. Some of these reasons were; performance of landing maneuvers, and handling any problems that might occur during the high speed ionization communications blackout. The cockpit was designed to accommodate both the pilot and the various computers needed to record the experimental flight data. The cockpit was sized to an average length, width and height of 11', 5', and 5' respectively. Included in this space were provisions for cockpit instrumentation, an oxygen system, an air conditioning system, 200 lbs. of on-board flight computers, emergency equipment, and an ejection seat. A glass window will be included for use at velocities up to Mach three. For higher speeds a metal covering plate will protect the observation window. The pilot will be wearing a pressure suit, so ejection may be accomplished for speeds up to Mach two (at high altitude). Weights of the various cockpit furnishings are shown in Appendix H.

## 7.2 Landing Gear

Due to an inadequate allotment of time in the previous quarter, one of the areas that needed to be addressed this quarter was landing gear. Reference 10 was used for this analysis. This analysis

encompassed many aircraft variables such as landing speed, center of gravity location, and length of aircraft. Possible locations for the landing gear were taken from the drawing of the aircraft which indicated where there was adequate room to store the gear. The location for the nose gear was set at 17 feet from the nose of the aircraft. The location of the main gear (skids) was set at 65 feet from the nose. A decision to use two tires for the nose gear was made to aid in the controllability of the aircraft upon Skids were used for the rear because there was not landing. adequate space in the aircraft to house conventional wheeled gear. Drawings of the nose gear and the main gear during operation are Reference 10 used an shown in Figures 7-1 & 7-2 respectively. energy method to determine the sizing of the shock absorber. The total amount of energy that needed to be absorbed at touch-down was determined. The energy absorbed by each set of gear was set to the total value that needed to be absorbed. The reason for this was because one set will touch down before the other one does.

There were many variables in determining the size of our shock absorbers. Table 7-1 shows some of the values that were used in the analysis.

## Table 7-1: Landing Gear Design Factors

Vertical landing speed	=	10 ft/s	sec	
Landing load factor	=	8		
Absorbing medium efficiency	=	0.85	(liquid	springs)

After these values in Table 7-1 were decided upon, the analysis could be completed. Values of the sizes of the shock absorbers are shown in Table 7-2. The length of the struts shown in the drawings is arbitrary as long as the shock absorber stroke is met, and there is no danger of the aircraft contacting the landing surface upon full compression of the shock absorber.

Table 7-2: Landing Gear Parameters

## <u>Nose gear (2 tires)</u>

Required shock absorber Required shock absorber Energy absorbed	length (stroke) diameter	= .992 feet = .311 feet = 66770 BTU
<u>Main gear (skids)</u>		
Required shock absorber Required shock absorber Energy absorbed	length (stroke) diameter	= .737 feet = .354 feet = 66770 BTU

Figure 7-1





Landing Gear - Rear Sleds

Figure 7-2

## 8.0 Environmental Aspects

The major reason that supersonic or hypersonic aircraft cannot fly over land is because of a sonic boom which creates overpressures. This sonic boom is due to the sudden rise and fall of pressure waves. The maximum increase in pressure is called the overpressure, and is measured in terms of psf. An overpressure of less then 1.0 psf is considered relatively safe.

There are many ways that the overpressure of an aircraft can be calculated. A method by Morris was chosen. The rise in pressure is due to either volume or lifting effects, whichever is greater. It was determined that the rise in pressure due to lift would be greater, and the following equation was used:

$$dP_{L} = 0.363 K_{R} \sqrt{\frac{P_{a}}{P_{g}}} K_{L} \frac{(M^{2} - 1)^{0.375}}{M} \sqrt{W} I_{W}^{-0.25} P_{a}^{-0.5} h^{-0.75} Pg$$

A plot of the overpressure related to the time in flight can be seen in Figure 8-1.

Because a sonic boom can break glass, frighten animals, and harm the human eardrum, it was decided that the flight path of *STB-White* must take place over water. Even though the overpressures created are almost always less then 1.0 psf, it was decided to keep the flight far from land for safety purposes.

Figure 8-1



Overpressures

## 9.0 <u>Economic Analysis</u>

An economic analysis was performed using the methods outlined in The calculations were done using 1974 dollars, and Reference 9. then converted using a consumer price index value determined from Fundamentals of Macroeconomics by L. Fleisher. Three basic parameters were used for estimating the aircraft cost: the AMPR weight, the maximum speed in knots and the quantity of aircraft produced. The aircraft had an AMPR weight of 20100 lbs, and a maximum speed of 5935 knots. Calculations were performed to determine the cost of producing either one or two aircraft, and The cost of producing an these are compared in Table 9-1. additional proto-type is about 1.15 billion dollars in addition to the base cost of 5.45 billion dollars. From this information, it would appear that a second aircraft would indeed be produced to aid in the SCRAMjet testing.

A pie chart of the aircraft cost breakdown is shown in Figure 9-1. From this figure it can be seen that the majority of the cost for the aircraft is in tooling costs. A pie chart of the entire development cost breakdown is shown in Figure 9-2. In this figure it is apparent that the main expenditure is for the engineering required to develop the aircraft. Once the engineering and tooling has been completed for one aircraft, it is relatively easy to produce other aircraft at a much reduced cost. This is the reason for only an approximate increase of 20% for an additional aircraft.

This cost estimation may be somewhat optimistic considering that many new technologies will need to be developed to complete the aircraft. A listing of economic analysis is shown in Appendix J.

Table 9-1: Aircraft Development Costs

TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST TO PRODUCE ONE AIRCRAFTAIRFRAME ENGINEERING\$2,631,030,667DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT\$1,958,839,157AIRCRAFT\$805,571,066ENCINES & AVIONICS\$17,202,500

MANUFACTURING LABOR MATERIAL & EQUIPMENT TOOLING QUALITY CONTROL FLIGHT TEST OPERATIONS TEST FACILITIES	\$126,512,434 \$16,298,046 \$629,111,470 \$16,446,616	<b>\$</b> 55,340,978 <b>\$</b> 0	
	TOTAL COST =	\$5,450,781,868	

TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST TO PRODUCE TWO AIRCRAFT

AIRFRAME ENGINEERING		\$2,986,856,785 \$2,489,746,643
ATRCRAFT		\$996,027,024
ENGINES & AVIONICS	\$17,202,500	
MANUFACTURING LABOR	\$181,916,851	
MATERIAL \$ EQUIPMENT	\$28,219,628	
TOOLING	\$745,038,854	
QUALITY CONTROL	\$23,649,191	
FLIGHT TEST OPERATIONS	· · ·	\$134,482,648
TEST FACILITIES	i.	<b>\$</b> O
	TOTAL COST =	\$6,607,113,101

Aircraft Cost \$8.05 Million



Figure 9-1



## Total Development Cost \$5.45 Billion



## CONCLUSIONS

With design work complete, the configuration of the STB-White has been finalized. Implementing propulsion, waverider studies with aerodynamics, cockpit, and landing systems design, the aircraft The aircraft utilizes two General configuration is complete. Electric turbofanramjet engines and five full-size SCRAMjet combustion cells, also from General Electric, occupying a Mach 10.0 modified waverider configuration. The turbofanramjets use 6,460 pounds of liquid hydrogen to carry the aircraft from Mach 0.8 at an altitude of 40,000 feet to Mach 6.0 at 80,000 feet. The five SCRAMjets are then activated accelerating the aircraft from Mach 6.0 to Mach 10.0 at 100,000 feet. The SCRAMjets hold the aircraft at this altitude and Mach number for two minutes during which important data is collected and after which all engines are shut down for a glide descent and landing. The total fuel expenditure for the SCRAMjet phase is 7428 pounds of liquid hydrogen. Since the modified Mach 10.0 waverider has roughly 6,500 cubic feet internal volume, the 13,828 total pounds of fuel at 3,121 cubic feet of fuel volume can be contained in an airframe/fuel tank integrated structure. Problems involving the pressurization of the fuel and building the airframe from materials resistant to liquid hydrogen corrosion are solved. The aircraft is configured with a diamond-shape planform with a length of 85 feet, maximum width of 48 feet, and height (including vertical tails) of 14 feet. A

planform area of 2,100 square feet gives the aircraft an aspect ratio of roughly 1.1. The cockpit will include avionics, pilot ejection systems, a pilot pressure suit, and a cockpit cooling system. The landing system will include a front nose wheel for directional control upon landing and rear sleds. Furthermore, the landing gear configurations will be as simple and compact as possible to conserve space and reduce weight. With these design aspects complete, the *STB-White* design is complete.
#### <u>References</u>

- Anderson, John D., Jr., <u>Introduction To Flight</u>, Second Edition. New York: Mcgraw Hill Book Co., 1985.
- 2. Anderson, John D., Jr., <u>Modern Compressible Flow</u>, First Edition. New York: McGraw Hill Book Co., 1982.
- 3. Anderson, John D., Jr., <u>Hypersonic And High Temperature Gas</u> <u>Dynamics</u>, First Edition. New York: McGraw Hill Book Co., 1989.
- 4. Corda, Stephen, <u>Viscous Optimized Hypersonic Waveriders</u> <u>Designed From Flows Over Cones and Minimum Drag Bodies</u>. Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland: 1988.
- 5. Corda, Stephen, <u>User Information for Maryland Axissymetric</u> <u>Waverider Program</u>. Dept of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland: 1087.
- 6. Henry, John R., <u>Design Considerations for the Airframe-</u> <u>Integrated SCRAMjet</u>. NASA TM X-2895, June 1972.
- 7. Ikawa, H., <u>AIAA-89-2682 Rapid Methodology for Design and</u> <u>Performance Prediction of Integrated SCRAMjet/Hypersonic</u> <u>Vehicle</u>. Northrop Corporation, Aircraft Division: 1989.
- 8. Kuethe, Arnold M. and Chuen-Yen Chow, <u>Foundations of</u> <u>Aerodynamics</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1986.
- 9. Nicolai, Leland M., <u>Fundamentals of Aircraft Design</u>, Revised Edition. San Jose: METS, Inc., 1984.
- 10. Roskam, Jan, <u>Airplane Flight Dynamics and Automatic Flight</u> <u>Controls, Part I</u>, Roskam Aviation and Engineering Corporation, Ottawa, 1979.
- 11. Roskam, Jan, <u>Airplane Design Part IV Layout Design of Landing</u> <u>Gear and Systems</u>, Roskam Aviation and Engineering Corporation, Ottawa, 1986.

12. Stone, J. E. and Koch, L. C., NASA 3130, July 1979

## Appendix A

## SCRAMjet Information

÷.

<u>م</u>ب

-

÷.....

.

#### Appendix A:

#### SCRAMjet Information

\_\_\_\_

-\_\_\_

The following material does not represent the present conditions of It has been included to show how the process of the aircraft. selection was reached and should not be taken as an accurate depiction of fuel weights, accelerations, drags or any thing else. It was not revised because the employment of five engines had been finalized and only the corresponding data was revised throughout the quarter. A graph of total fuel versus number of SCRAMjets can be seen in Figure A-1. This figure should put the importance of the iteration procedure in perspective. The following page contains a section of the spreadsheet which is discussed in the preceding sections. This page contains all of the information for the cases of 3 to 6 engines. It is broken up in to the four divisions previously mentioned. Under the column titled "MACH #", the first line in each division represents the range over which the data is used. Halfway down the column in each division is the Mach number that was used to calculate data in that division. The accuracy of this spread sheet could be greatly increased if data was available allowing 40 or 50 divisions as opposed to the 4 divisions the author has developed. The acceleration is dependent upon the mass of the airplane. The amount of fuel used in the previous section by five engines is subtracted from the total mass of the airplane. This allows for a constantly changing mass. The mass of the fuel from five engines was subtracted because that appeared to be the number of engines that would be used.

3/6/90	PJG	SCRAMJET	INFORMAT	ION			
<b># ENG</b>	NET F	ISP	TIME	WEIGHTf	ALT(ft)	MACH	# ACC
5	8500	3000	0.023904	1219.112	80000	6-6.75	8.436466
6	8500	3000	0.014498	887.2916	80000		13.90974
3	8500	3000	-0.08034	-2458.47	80000		-2.51008
. 4	8500	3000	0.068057	2776.737	80000		2.963189
5	11500	1800	0.011144	1281.648	80000		18.09519
6	11500	1800	0.007908	1091.363	80000		25,50021
З	11500	1800	0.061387	4235.731	80000	6	3.285146
4	11500	1800	0.018864	1735.550	80000	-	10.69016
5	12500	1250	0.009461	1703.044	80000		21.31476
6	12500	1250	0.006867	1483.464	80000		29.36370
· 3	12500	1250	0.038656	4174.899	80000		5.216891
4	12500	1250	0.015201	2189.082	80000		13.26582
5	6600	2497	0.065029	3093.899	88875	6.75-8.	256.300590
6	6600	2497	0.038641	2206.160	88875		10.60306
3	6600	2497	-0.17780	-5075.60	88875		-2 30436
4	6600	2497	0.205054	7804.718	88875		1 998113
5	9337	1570	0.026916	2881 417	88875		15 22171
6	9337	1570	0.019228	2470 016	88875	75	21 30841
3	9337	1570	0 134409	8633 005	88875	/.5	3 048308
4	9337	1570	0 044851	3841 056	88875		0 135010
5	10236	1106	0.022571	3760 223	88875		18 15105
6	10236	1106	0 016504	3299 394	88875		24 82471
3	10236	1106	0.010004	8520 465	88875		A 806457
r 4	10236	1106	0.035692	4756 803	88875		11 17020
5	5300	2150	0.055838	2477 693	97000	8 25-0	254 074620
6	5300	2150	0.032531	1732 166	97000	0.23-9.	2J4.9/4020
3	5300	2150	-0.12896	-3433 58	97000		-2 15382
4	5300	2150	0.196950	6001 270	97000		1 /10306
5	8000	1350	0.019766	2108 374	97000		1/ 05330
6	8000	1350	0.019700	1829 623	97000		10 43336
Ť	8000	1350	0.014230	5308 020	97000	0	19.40020
4	8000	1350	0.004044	2732 037	97000	9	0.290002
5	8500	080	0.017654	2756 187	97000		15 73453
6	8500	980	0.017004	2426 060	97000		21 45074
3 -	8500	980	0.064567	6048 268	97000		4 302125
4	8500	980	0.027726	3463 039	97000		10 01833
5	4673	1850	0.050613	2301 251	101340	9 25-10	A 116151
6	4673	1850	0 028438	1551 642	101340	9.20 10	7 325620
3	4673	1850	-0 09046	-2468 02	101340		-2 30280
4	4673	1850	0 229777	8357 850	101340		0 006673
5	7086	1247	0 016797	1718 125	101340		12 40255
6	7086	1247	0 012063	1480 717	101340	10	17 26021
3	7086	1247	0 078055	4790 301	101340	10	2 660035
4	7086	1247	0.027645	2262 178	101340		7 535703
.5	8025	00A	0 013331	2120 854	101340		15 60714
6	8025	908	0.009855	1881 442	101340		21 12991
3	8025	900	0 045252	4310 470	101340		21.13001 A 603799
4	8025	900	0 020595	2621 166	101340		10 11544
-		200			101040		10.11040

WEIGHT FOR 2 MINUTE CRUISE 595.6027

----

.

NUMBER OF ENGINES VS. FUEL WEIGHT FOR SCRAMJET OPERATION



## Appendix B:

\_\_\_\_\_

-

----

. . ••••

-

-

·---- ·.

## Turbofanramjet Inlet Code

•

	ماد ماذ باد باد	اد ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد ماد	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	C****	*********	ͲΪΙΡΡΩΓΑΝΙ
	_ + + + +	program	
	C++++	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ICAL & ASTRONAUTICAL FUGINFERING
·	c	AERONAULI	RECONT OFSTON *
	c		ADTED 1990 /
	c	SPRING W	*
<u> </u>	C	AUTHODE	
	С	AUTHORS	doonin, r. & mills, n. *
	c		+- ATD IN THE DESIGN OF AN INLET FOR A TURBOFANRAMJET *
_	C	PURPOSE:	ENGINE ON A HYDERSONIC TEST BED VEHICLE. THIS
	0		CODE ALLOWS FOR THE ADDITION OF SEVERAL RAMPS. ON
	C		THE INDERSIDE OF THE VEHICLE. to INDUCE SHOCKS
•	0		to INCREASE PRESSURE RATIOS AND REDUCE FLOW
	C		VELOCITY *
	C		VEB00111:
	c	DDESENTL	V. THE PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO CONSIDER THREE RAMPS ON *
	ĉ	FREDENTD	THE INDER SIDE OF THE VEHICLE. FROM THIS POINT THE
	C C		FLOW CURVES INTO THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER AT SUBSONIC *
	ĉ		SDEEDS
-			*
		ΤΝΟΠΤ	AS DEFINED IN THE program(set up for five ramps)
	C C	INFUL.	INTERACTIVE VARIABLES FOR FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER
	C C		AND RAMP ANGLES ARE INPUT FROM KEYBOARD.
	0		
	C		DATA IS WRITTEN to TE.DAT
	C C	001101.	ATA IS WATTER OF IT CONT
	c****	*******	***************************************
	0	implici	t real $*8$ (a-h, l-z)
		integer	i.i.k
		open(1,	file='TF.DAT',STATUS='NEW')
	30	format(	5X, 'VALUES FROM PROGRAM TURBOFAN FOR')
-	40	format(	3X, 'FREESTREAM MACH # = ', F6.3)
	50	format(	3x, 'beta1=', f6.3, 3x, 'M2=', f5.3, 3x, 'Pt2/Pt1=', f6.4
		F. 3X, 'P2/	P1 = ', F6.4, 3X, 'RO2/RO1 = ', F7.4)
	60	format(	3x, 'beta2=', f6.3, 3x, 'M3=', f5.3, 3x, 'Pt3/Pt2=', f6.4
-		F, 3X, 'P3/	P2=', F6.4, 3X, 'RO3/RO2=', F7.4)
	70	format(	3x, 'beta3=', f6.3, 3x, 'M4=', f5.3, 3x, 'Pt4/Pt3=', f6.4
		F, 3X, 'P4/	P3=', F6.4, 3X, 'RO4/RO3=', F7.4)
	80	format(	3x,'beta4=',f6.3,3x,'M5=',f5.3,3x,'Pt5/Pt4=',f6.4
-		F,3X,'P5/	P4=',F6.4,3X,'RO5/RO4=',F7.4)
	90	format(	3x,'M6=',f5.3,3x,'Pt6/Pt5=',f6.4
		F,3X,'P6/	P5=',F8.4,3X,'RO6/RO5=',F7.4)
	100	format(	3x, 'beta6=', f6.3, 3x, 'M7=', f5.3, 3x, 'Pt7/Pt6=', f6.4
		F,3X,'P7/	P6=', F6.4, 3X, 'R07/R06=', F7.4)
	C105	format	(17X, 'M8=', F5.3, 17X, 'P8/P7='F6.4, 'R08/R07=', F7.4)
	110	format(	5X, 'THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS ', F6.4)
	120	format(	5X, 'THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS ', F8.4)
	130	format(	5X, 'THE DENSITY RATIO IS ', F8.4)
	140	format(	5X, 'THE ITERATED BETA2 IS ', F6.3)
_	150	format(	5x, 'THE MASS FLOW RATE IS ', F10.4)
	160	format(	5x, THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS ', F10.4)

.

•

```
OTHERWISE KNOWN AS PI.
C
       pi = 3.14159265358979323846264338327950288
      THE FREESTREAM FLOW IS DEFINED
С
       WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER!!"
       READ(5, *)M1
                  ENTER AMBIENT TEMPERATURE!!"
       WRITE(*,*)"
       READ(5, *)T1
       WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FREESTREAM DENSITY !!!!"
       READ(5, *)RO1
       RO1=RO1*32.2
       WRITE(*,*)" ENTER AMBIENT PRESSURE!!!!!!!!
       READ(5, *)P1
       AREA=3059./144.0
       write(1, 30)
       write(1, 40)M1
     THETA1 IS THE HALF-WEDGE NOSE ANGLE OF THE VEHICLE
С
       WRITE(*,*)" ENTER WEDGE ANGLE OF VEHICLE!!!!"
       READ(5,*)THETA1
       theta1 = THETA1*pi/180.
     BETA IS NEVER EXPECTED to EXCEED 70 DEG. AND THUS IT IS
С
      ITERATED DOWN FROM THIS INITIAL guess
C
       beta1 = 70.*pi/180.
     LEFT AND RIGHT REFER to THE L.H.S & R.H.S. OF THE THETA-
С
     BETA-MACH NUMBER EQUATION
C
       left1 = dtan(theta1)
       rt1 = 1.0
       beta1=beta1*dabs(left1/rt1)**0.1
   5
       rta=((m1**2*dsin(beta1)**2)-1)/(m1**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta1))+2)
       rtb=2/dtan(beta1)
       rt1=rta*rtb
     if THE TWO SIDES ARE NOT EQUAL WITHIN THIS RANGE then THE
С
      ITERATION PROCESS MUST continue AND A NEW BETA IS CHOSEN
С
       if (dabs(left1-rt1).gt.1d-6) goto 5
     THE NORMAL FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER IS CALCULATED
С
       mn1=m1*dsin(beta1)
     THE NORMAL MACH NUMBER AFTER THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
С
       mn2=dsqrt((1+.2*mn1**2.)/(1.4*mn1**2.-.2))
     THE PRESSURE RATIO ACCROSS THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
С
       pt2pt1=(mn1/mn2)*((2+(0.4*mn2**2))/(2+(.4*mn1**2)))**3.00
       p2p1=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn1**2.0-1.0)
       FOR A CALORICALLY PERFECT GAS.
С
       ro2ro1=2.4*mn1**2./(0.4*mn1**2.+2.)
       T2T1 = P2P1 / RO2RO1
       TTA=((1+1.4*mn1**2.)/(1+1.4*mn2**2.))**2*(mn2/mn1)**2
        TTB=(1.+.2*mn2**2)/(1.+.2*mn1**2)
        TT2TT1=TTA*TTB
     THE MACH NUMBER BEHIND THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
 С
        m2 = mn2/dsin(betal-thetal)
        betal = betal*180./pi
        write(1,50) betal, m2, pt2pt1, P2P1, R02R01
 VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FIRST RAMP IS ANALYZED
 С
```

```
104
```

```
WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FIRST RAMP ANGLE!!!!"
      READ(5, *)THETA2
      theta2 = THETA2*pi/180.
      beta2 = 70*pi/180.
      left2 = dtan(theta2)
      rt2 = 1.0
      beta2=beta2*dabs(left2/rt2)**0.1
  6
      rta=((m2**2*dsin(beta2)**2)-1)/(m2**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta2))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta2)
      rt2=rta*rtb
      if (dabs(left2-rt2).gt.1d-5) goto 6
      mn2=m2*dsin(beta2)
      mn3=dsqrt((1+.2*mn2**2.)/(1.4*mn2**2.-.2))
      pt3pt2=(mn2/mn3)*((2+(0.4*mn3**2))/(2+(.4*mn2**2)))**3.00
      p3p2=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn2**2.-1.0)
      ro3ro2=2.4*mn2**2./(0.4*mn2**2.+2.)
      T3T2 = P3P2 / RO3RO2
      TTA=((1+1.4*mn2**2.)/(1+1.4*mn3**2.))**2*(mn3/mn2)**2
      TTB=(1.+.2*mn3**2)/(1.+.2*mn2**2)
      TT3TT2=TTA*TTB
      m3 = mn3/dsin(beta2-theta2)
      beta2 = beta2*180./pi
      write(1,60) beta2,m3,pt3pt2,P3P2,RO3RO2
С
   VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE SECOND RAMP IS ANALYZED
      WRITE(*,*)" ENTER SECOND RAMP ANGLE !!!!"
      READ(5, *)THETA3
      theta3 = THETA3*pi/180.
      beta3 = 70.*pi/180.
      left3 = dtan(theta3)
      rt3 = 1.0
  7
      beta3=beta3*dabs(left3/rt3)**0.1
      rta=((m3**2*dsin(beta3)**2)-1)/(m3**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta3))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta3)
      rt3=rta*rtb
      if (dabs(left3-rt3).gt.1d-4) goto 7
      mn3=m3*dsin(beta3)
      mn4=dsqrt((1+.2*mn3**2.)/(1.4*mn3**2.-.2))
      pt4pt3 = (mn3/mn4)*((2+(0.4*mn4**2))/(2+(.4*mn3**2)))**3.00
      p4p3=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn3**2.0-1.0)
      ro4ro3=2.4*mn3**2./(0.4*mn3**2.+2.)
      T4T3=P4P3/R04R03
      TTA=((1+1.4*MN3**2.)/(1+1.4*MN4**2.))**2*(MN4/MN3)**2
      TTB = (1. + .2 * MN4 * * 2) / (1. + .2 * MN3 * * 2)
      TT4TT3=TTA*TTB
      m4 = mn4/dsin(beta3-theta3)
      beta3 = beta3*180./pi
      write(1,70) beta3,m4,pt4pt3,P4P3,R04R03
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE THIRD RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
      WRITE(*,*)" ENTER THIRD RAMP ANGLE!!!!"
      READ(5, *)THETA4
```

```
theta4 = THETA4*pi/180.
      theta4 = 3.0*pi/180.
С
      beta4 = 70.*pi/180.
      left4 = dtan(theta4)
      rt4 = 1.0
      beta4=beta4*dabs(left4/rt4)**0.1
   8
      rta=((m4**2*dsin(beta4)**2)-1)/(m4**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta4))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta4)
       rt4=rta*rtb
          WRITE(*,*)LEFT4,RT4
       if (dabs(left4-rt4).gt.1d-4) goto 8
       mn4=m4*dsin(beta4)
       mn5=dsqrt((1+.2*mn4**2.)/(1.4*mn4**2.-.2))
       pt5pt4=(mn4/mn5)*((2+(0.4*mn5**2))/(2+(.4*mn4**2)))**3.00
       p5p4=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn4**2.0-1.0)
       ro5ro4=2.4*mn4**2./(0.4*mn4**2.+2.)
       T5T4 = P5P4 / RO5RO4
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN4**2.)/(1+1.4*MN5**2.))**2*(MN5/MN4)**2
       TTB=(1.+.2*MN5**2)/(1.+.2*MN4**2)
       TT5TT4 = TTA * TTB
       m5 = mn5/dsin(beta4-theta4)
       beta4 = beta4*180./pi
       write(1,80) beta4,m5,pt5pt4,P5P4,R05R04
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FOURTH RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
       theta5 = 3.0*pi/180.
С
       beta5 = 70.*pi/180.
С
       left5 = dtan(theta5)
С
С
       rt5 = 1.0
       beta5=beta5*dabs(left5/rt5)**0.2
С
   9
       rta=((m5**2*dsin(beta5)**2)-1)/(m5**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta5))+2)
С
       rtb=2/dtan(beta5)
C
       rt5=rta*rtb
С
       if (dabs(left5-rt5).gt.1d-4) goto 9
С
       mn5=m5*dsin(beta5)
С
       mn6=dsqrt((1+.2*mn5**2.)/(1.4*mn5**2.-.2))
С
       pt6pt5=(mn5/mn6)*((2+(0.4*mn6**2))/(2+(.4*mn5**2)))**3.00
С
       p6p5=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn5**2.0-1.0)
С
       ro6ro5=2.4*mn5**2./(0.4*mn5**2.+2.)
С
       T6T5=P6P5/R06R05
С
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN5**2.)/(1+1.4*MN6**2.))**2*(MN6/MN5)**2
С
       TTB=(1.+.2*MN6**2)/(1.+.2*MN5**2)
С
       TT6TT5=TTA*TTB
С
       m6 = mn6/dsin(beta5-theta5)
С
       beta5 = beta5*180./pi
 С
       write(1,90) beta5,m6,pt6pt5,P6P5,R06R05
 С
 VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FIFTH RAMP IS ANALYZED
 С
       theta6 = 3.0*pi/180.
 С
       beta6 = 70.*pi/180.
 С
        left6 = dtan(theta6)
 С
        rt6 = 1.0
 С
```

```
10
       beta6=beta6*dabs(left6/rt6)**0.2
С
       rta=((m6**2*dsin(beta6)**2)-1)/(m6**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta6))+2)
С
С
       rtb=2/dtan(beta6)
С
       rt6=rta*rtb
       if (dabs(left6-rt6).gt.1d-4) goto 10
С
       mn6=m6*dsin(beta6)
С
       mn7=dsqrt((1+.2*mn6**2.)/(1.4*mn6**2.-.2))
С
       pt7pt6=(mn6/mn7)*((2+(0.4*mn7**2))/(2+(.4*mn6**2)))**3.00
С
       p7p6=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn6**2.0-1.0)
С
С
       ro7ro6=2.4*mn6**2./(0.4*mn6**2.+2.)
С
       T7T6 = P7P6 / RO7RO6
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN6**2.)/(1+1.4*MN7**2.))**2*(MN7/MN6)**2
С
       TTB = (1. + .2 * MN7 * * 2) / (1. + .2 * MN6 * * 2)
С
С
       TT7TT6=TTA*TTB
С
       m7 = mn7/dsin(beta6-theta6)
       beta6 = beta6*180./pi
С
       write(1,100) beta6,m7,pt7pt6,P7P6,R07R06
С
NORMAL SHOCK OCCURS AND VALUES ARE CALCULATED FOR COMBUSTION
С
       m6=dsqrt((1+.2*m5**2.)/(1.4*m5**2.-.2))
       pt6pt5=(m5/m6)*((2+(0.4*m6**2))/(2+(.4*m5**2)))**3.00
       p6p5=1.0+2.8/2.4*(m5**2.0-1.0)
       ro6ro5=2.4*m5**2./(0.4*m5**2.+2.)
       T6T5=P6P5/R06R05
       TTA=((1+1.4*M5**2.)/(1+1.4*M6**2.))**2*(M6/M5)**2
       TTB = (1.+.2*M6**2)/(1.+.2*M5**2)
       TT6TT5=TTA*TTB
       write(1,90) m6,pt6pt5,P6P5,R06R05
      THE FOLLOWING PART OF THE PROGRAM WAS WRITTEN FOR THE
С
      EXPANSION WAVE THAT WOULD BE GENERATED AS THE FLOW IS
С
С
      TURNED BACK INTO THE SCRAMJET COMBUSTION CHAMBER.
      HOWEVER, A COWL WAS ADDED AT THE BOTTOM OF THE SCRAMJET
С
      MODULE TO GET AWAY FROM THIS EXPANSION. THEREFORE, AT THIS
С
      POINT IN TIME THE EXPANSION WAVE IS NOT VALID.
С
THE CONDITIONS FOR THE EXPANSION WAVE ARE CALCULATED
С
С
        USING THE PRANDTL-MEYER function.
        PART=DSQRT(0.4/2.4*(M7**2.-1.0))
С
        PART1=DATAN(PART)
С
С
        NU1 = SQRT(6.0)
С
        NU2 = DATAN (DSQRT (M7 * * 2. -1.))
С
        NU7=PART1*NU1-NU2
С
        THETA7=21.*PI/180.
        NU8=THETA7+NU7
С
                NU8=76.92
C
        GNU8 = NU8 - 5.0
С
        M8 = 3.0
С
C12
        M8 = M8 * (NU8/GNU8) * * .3
        NU3=SQRT(6.0)*DATAN(DSQRT(0.4/2.4*(M8**2.-1.0)))
С
        NU4 = DATAN(DSQRT(M8 * * 2. -1.))
С
        GNU8=(NU3-NU4)*180./PI
С
                write(*,*)GNU8,NU8,M8
С
```

if (DABS(GNU8-NU8).gt.1D-5) goto 12 С NOTE THAT THE TOTAL PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES do NOT С CHANGE THROUGH A PRANDTL-MEYER EXPANSION WAVE. С T8T7=1/((1+.4/2.0\*M8\*\*2.)/(1+.4/2.\*M7\*\*2.)) С P8P7=1/((((1+.4/2.\*M8\*\*2.)/(1+.4/2.\*M7\*\*2.))\*\*3.5) С С R08R07=P8P7/T8T7 write(1,105) M8,P8P7,R08R07 С THE FOLLOWING VALUES ARE SET TO ONE SO THOSE RAMPS COULD С BE "C"ED OUT AND THE TOTAL RATIOS ARE STILL CALCULATED С FOR EACH ADDITIONAL RAMP AT THE END OF THE PROGRAM. С THAT IS ANALYZED, THE CORRESPONDING NUMBERS MUST BE DELETED С SO THAT THE VALUES ARE NOT RESET TO ONE. С P8P7=1.0 RO8R07 = 1.0T8T7=1.0 PT7PT6 =1.0 P7P6=1.0 TT7TT6=1.0RO7RO6 = 1.0T7T6 = 1.0PT6PT5=1.0 С P6P5=1.0 С TT6TT5=1.0 С RO6R05=1.0 С T6T5 = 1.0С С PT5PT4=1.0 С P5P4=1.0С TT5TT4 = 1.0С RO5RO4 = 1.0С T5T4 = 1.0PTRATIO=PT2PT1\*PT3PT2\*PT4PT3\*PT5PT4\*PT6PT5\*PT7PT6 PRATIO=1./(P2P1\*P3P2\*P4P3\*P5P4\*P6P5\*P7P6\*P8P7) TTRATIO=TT2TT1\*TT3TT2\*TT4TT3\*TT5TT4\*TT6TT5\*TT7TT6 RORATIO=RO2RO1\*RO3RO2\*RO4RO3\*RO5RO4\*RO6RO5\*RO7RO6\*RO8RO7 TRATIO=T2T1\*T3T2\*T4T3\*T5T4\*T6T5\*T7T6\*T8T7 RO5=RO1\*RORATIO T5=T1\*TRATIOTHE SPEED OF SOUND IS CALCULATED С A = DSQRT(1.4\*1716.\*T5)THE VELOCITY RIGHT BEFORE THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS CALCULATED С V = M5 \* AMASS FLOW RATE ENTERING THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER. С MDOT=RO5\*V\*AREA PRESSURE=P1/PRATIO write(1,110)PTRATIO write(1,120)PRATIO write(1,130)RORATIO write(1,150)MDOT WRITE(1,160)PRESSURE POSITIONING THE SHOCK WAVE SO THAT IT IMPINGES ON THE LIP OF С THE SCRAMJET. THIS IS AN ITERATIVE PROCESS. С

	C		
	č		THIS IS THE CALCULATION OF THE DOWNWARD ANGLE OF THE
	č		HORIZONTAL to EACH SHOCK WAVE.
	Č		TH1 = THETA1
	С		TH2=TH1+THETA2
	č		TH3=TH2+THETA3
_	č		TH4 = TH3 + THETA4
	č		TH5 = TH4 + THETA5
	č		TH6 = TH5 + THETA6
	č		BT1=BFTA1
	U		BT = BTRT BT = TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
	C		B12=B12+117100, BT3=TH2+BFTA3
	c		$BT 4 - TH 3 + BET \Delta 4$
	c		$BT = TH A + BET \Delta 5$
	č		BIS-TH- BETAG
	c		USING SIMULAR TRIANGLES. THE RAMPS CAN BE PLACE SO THAT
	č		THE OBLIQUE SHOCKS HIT AT THE LIP OF THE ENGINE.
	Č.		THE OBBIGOD SHOONS HIT HE THE DEGINAL TRIANGLE THAT
	č	•	DEFINES THE AREA BEFORE THE FIRST RAMP BEGINS.
	C		$L_1 = 47.0$
			$H_{1=8,287}$
	C		DEFINITION OF THE DIMENSIONS OF THE FIRST RAMP.
	U		$L_{2}=50, 2-L_{1}$
			$H_{2} = 10.6 - H_{1}$
			$H^{2} = 1000 \text{ M}^{2}$
			AH2L2=1.0
	1	1	BT2 = BT2 * DABS(H2L2/AH2L2) * * 0.3
	-	-	H2L2 = H2/L2
			AH2L2=DTAN(BT2)
-			if (DABS(H2L2-AH2L2).gt.1D-6) goto 11
			EBETA2=BT2-TH1
			EBETA2=EBETA2*180./PI
-			WRITE(1,140)EBETA2
			stop
			end

#### Appendix C:

## SCRAMjet Inlet Program

				_
·	c****	********	***************************************	ĸ
	Č	<b>Drodram</b>	TNLET	
	ىلەر بىلەر بىلەر .	hiofram	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ĸ
	C++++	• • • • • • • • • • • • •		k
	с	AERONAUTI	CAL & ASTRONAUTICAL ENGINEERING	
	с	416 HYPE	ERSONIC DESIGN	
	с	SPRING QU	JARTER 1990	ĸ
	C		*	ĸ
	č	AUTHORS	COUNTN, P. & WHITE, H.	k
	-	Aumono.	300	ĸ
	C		A AND IN THE DECISION OF AN INTER FOR A SCRAMIET	k
	С	PURPOSE:	to AID IN THE DESIGN OF AN INLEI FOR A SCRAMPET	
	С		ENGINE ON A HYPERSONIC TEST BED VEHICLE. THIS	r 1.
	с		CODE ALLOWS FOR THE ADDITION OF SEVERAL RAMPS, ON	F.
	С		THE UNDERSIDE OF THE VEHICLE, to INDUCE SHOCKS	ĸ
	c		to INCREASE PRESSURE RATIOS AND REDUCE FLOW	ĸ
	õ		VELOCITY	k
			VELOCITI.	
	C		THE PROPERTY IS PROTOVED TO CONSTREE ONE DAND ON THE S	¥
	С	PRESENTLY	Y: THE PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO CONSIDER ONE RAMP ON THE	г ц
	С		UNDER SIDE OF THE VEHICLE. THE SECOND RAMP TAKES IN-	
	С		TO ACCOUNT THE COWL ANGLE. THE THIRD RAMP TAKES INTO '	ĸ
	С		ACCOUNT THE SECOND ANGLE ON THE COWL. FROM THIS POINT:	*
	č		THE FLOW GOES DIRECTLY INTO THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER	≮
			THE FLOW COED EXPLANATION WITH FIGURES SEE THE FINAL	*
	C		FOR A CLEARER EXPLANATION WITH FIGURES SEE THE FINE	*
	С		REPORT FOR THE WHITE GROUP (ARE 416 SPRING 1990)	т. "
-	с			<b>.</b>
	с	INPUT:	AS DEFINED IN THE program(set up for five ramps) <sup>3</sup>	*
	С		INTERACTIVE VARIABLES FOR FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER	*
	č		AND RAMP ANGLES ARE INPUT FROM KEYBOARD.	*
	C			*
	С			÷
	С	OUTPUT:	DATA IS WRITTEN to INLET.DAT	Ţ
-	с			Ŧ
	c****	*******	***************************************	*
		implici	t real *8 (a-h.l-z)	
		integer	i i b	
		Inceger		
~		open(1,	THE INLETIDAT STATUS - NEW /	
	30	format(	5X, VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLEI FOR )	
	40	format(	3X, FREESTREAM MACH # = (,F6.3)	
	50	format(	3x,'beta1=',f6.3,3x,'M2=',f5.3,3x,'Pt2/Pt1=',f6.4	
		F.3X.'P2/	P1=',F6.4,3X,'RO2/RO1=',F7.4)	
	60	format(	3x, 'beta2=', f6, 3, 3x, 'M3=', f5, 3, 3x, 'Pt3/Pt2=', f6,4	
	00		$p_2 - i = 6 - 4 - 3 \times i = 0.3 / B + 0.2 = i = 7 - 4$	
	7.0	1, JA, 13/	1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =	
-	70	format(	3x, $beta3 = , 10.3, 3x, m4 = , 15.3, 3x, FL4/FL3 = , 10.4$	
		F,3X,'P4/	P3=', F6.4, 3X, 'RO4/RO3=', F7.4)	
	80	format(	3x,'beta4=',f6.3,3x,'M5=',f5.3,3x,'Pt5/Pt4=',f6.4	
		F, 3X, 'P5/	P4=',F6.4,3X,'RO5/RO4=',F7.4)	
—	90	formati	3x, 'beta5=', f6.3, 3x, 'M6=', f5.3, 3x, 'Pt6/Pt5=', f6.4	
		F 3Y 'DA/	P5=', F6, 4, 3X, 'R06/R05=', F7, 4)	
	100	1 JOAJ 10/	2. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	100	iormat(	$3\lambda_1 = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0$	
		F,3X,'P7/	Pb=', Fb. 4, 3X, 'KU//KUb=', F(. 4)	
	C105	format	(17X, 'M8=', F5.3, 17X, 'P8/P7='F6.4, 'R08/R0/=', F7.4)	
	110	format(	5X, 'THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS ', F6.4)	
	120	format.(	5X, 'THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS ', F8.4)	
-	130	format(	5X.'THE DENSITY RATIO IS '.F8.4)	
	100	I OI MOUL		

• •

\_\_\_\_

```
format(5X,'THE ITERATED BETA2 IS ', F6.3)
140
        format(5x,'THE MASS FLOW RATE IS ', F8.4)
150
        format(5x,'THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS ', F10.4)
160
       OTHERWISE KNOWN AS PI.
С
       pi = 3.14159265358979323846264338327950288
      THE FREESTREAM FLOW IS DEFINED
С
        WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER!!"
       READ(5, *)M1
       WRITE(*,*)" ENTER AMBIENT TEMPERATURE!!"
        READ(5, *)T1
        WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FREESTREAM DENSITY!!!!"
        READ(5, *)RO1
        RO1=RO1*32.2
        WRITE(*,*)" ENTER AMBIENT PRESSURE!!!!!!!!
        READ(5, *)P1
        AREA=8.0*30.0/144.0
        write(1, 30)
        write(1, 40)M1
      THETA1 IS THE HALF-WEDGE NOSE ANGLE OF THE VEHICLE
С
        WRITE(*,*)" ENTER WEDGE ANGLE OF VEHICLE!!!!"
        READ(5, *)THETA1
        theta1 = THETA1*pi/180.
      BETA IS NEVER EXPECTED to EXCEED 70 DEG. AND THUS IT IS
С
       ITERATED DOWN FROM THIS INITIAL guess
С
        beta1 = 70.*pi/180.
      LEFT AND RIGHT REFER to THE L.H.S & R.H.S. OF THE THETA-
С
      BETA-MACH NUMBER EQUATION
С
        left1 = dtan(theta1)
        rt1 = 1.0
        beta1=beta1*dabs(left1/rt1)**0.3
    5
        rta=((m1**2*dsin(beta1)**2)-1)/(m1**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta1))+2)
        rtb=2/dtan(beta1)
        rt1=rta*rtb
      if THE TWO SIDES ARE NOT EQUAL WITHIN THIS RANGE then THE
С
       ITERATION PROCESS MUST continue AND A NEW BETA IS CHOSEN
 С
        if (dabs(left1-rt1).gt.1d-6) goto 5
      THE NORMAL FREESTREAM MACH NUMBER IS CALCULATED
С
        mn1=m1*dsin(beta1)
      THE NORMAL MACH NUMBER AFTER THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
 С
        mn2=dsqrt((1+.2*mn1**2.)/(1.4*mn1**2.-.2))
      THE PRESSURE RATIO ACCROSS THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
С
        pt2pt1=(mn1/mn2)*((2+(0.4*mn2**2))/(2+(.4*mn1**2)))**3.00
        p2p1=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn1**2.0-1.0)
        FOR A CALORICALLY PERFECT GAS.
С
        ro2ro1=2.4*mn1**2./(0.4*mn1**2.+2.)
        T2T1 = P2P1/RO2RO1
        TTA=((1+1.4*mn1**2.)/(1+1.4*mn2**2.))**2*(mn2/mn1)**2
        TTB=(1,+,2*mn2**2)/(1,+,2*mn1**2)
        TT2TT1 = TTA * TTB
      THE MACH NUMBER BEHIND THE SHOCK IS CALCULATED
 С
        m2 = mn2/dsin(betal-thetal)
        betal = betal*180./pi
```

```
write(1,50) beta1,m2,pt2pt1,P2P1,RO2RO1
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FIRST RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
      WRITE(*,*)" ENTER FIRST RAMP ANGLE!!!!"
      READ(5,*)THETA2
      theta2 = THETA2*pi/180.
      beta2 = 70*pi/180.
      left2 = dtan(theta2)
      rt2 = 1.0
      beta2=beta2*dabs(left2/rt2)**0.3
  6
      rta=((m2**2*dsin(beta2)**2)-1)/(m2**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta2))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta2)
      rt2=rta*rtb
      if (dabs(left2-rt2).gt.1d-5) goto 6
      mn2=m2*dsin(beta2)
      mn3=dsqrt((1+.2*mn2**2.)/(1.4*mn2**2.-.2))
      pt3pt2=(mn2/mn3)*((2+(0.4*mn3**2))/(2+(.4*mn2**2)))**3.00
      p3p2=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn2**2.-1.0)
      ro3ro2=2.4*mn2**2./(0.4*mn2**2.+2.)
      T3T2=P3P2/R03R02
      TTA=((1+1.4*mn2**2.)/(1+1.4*mn3**2.))**2*(mn3/mn2)**2
      TTB=(1.+.2*mn3**2)/(1.+.2*mn2**2)
      TT3TT2=TTA*TTB
      m3 = mn3/dsin(beta2-theta2)
      beta2 = beta2*180./pi
      write(1,60) beta2,m3,pt3pt2,P3P2,RO3RO2
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE SECOND RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
      WRITE(*,*)" ENTER COWL ANGLE!!!!"
      READ(5,*)THETA3
      theta3 = THETA3*pi/180.
      beta3 = 70.*pi/180.
      left3 = dtan(theta3)
      rt3 = 1.0
      beta3=beta3*dabs(left3/rt3)**0.3
   7
      rta=((m3**2*dsin(beta3)**2)-1)/(m3**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta3))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta3)
      rt3=rta*rtb
      if (dabs(left3-rt3).gt.1d-4) goto 7
      mn3=m3*dsin(beta3)
      mn4=dsqrt((1+.2*mn3**2.)/(1.4*mn3**2.-.2))
      pt4pt3=(mn3/mn4)*((2+(0.4*mn4**2))/(2+(.4*mn3**2)))**3.00
      p4p3=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn3**2.0-1.0)
       ro4ro3=2.4*mn3**2./(0.4*mn3**2.+2.)
      T4T3 = P4P3/R04R03
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN3**2.)/(1+1.4*MN4**2.))**2*(MN4/MN3)**2
      TTB=(1.+.2*MN4**2)/(1.+.2*MN3**2)
      TT4TT3=TTA*TTB
      m4 = mn4/dsin(beta3-theta3)
      beta3 = beta3*180./pi
       write(1,70) beta3,m4,pt4pt3,P4P3,R04R03
```

```
113
```

```
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE THIRD RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
      WRITE(*,*)" ENTER SECOND COWL ANGLE !!!!"
      READ(5, *)THETA4
      theta4 = THETA4*pi/180.
     theta4 = 3.0*pi/180.
С
      beta4 = 70.*pi/180.
      left4 = dtan(theta4)
      rt4 = 1.0
      beta4=beta4*dabs(left4/rt4)**0.1
  8
      rta=((m4**2*dsin(beta4)**2)-1)/(m4**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta4))+2)
      rtb=2/dtan(beta4)
      rt4=rta*rtb
          WRITE(*,*)LEFT4,RT4
      if (dabs(left4-rt4).gt.1d-4) goto 8
      mn4=m4*dsin(beta4)
      mn5=dsqrt((1+.2*mn4**2.)/(1.4*mn4**2.-.2))
      pt5pt4=(mn4/mn5)*((2+(0.4*mn5**2))/(2+(.4*mn4**2)))**3.00
      p5p4=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn4**2.0-1.0)
       ro5ro4=2.4*mn4**2./(0.4*mn4**2.+2.)
      T5T4 = P5P4 / RO5RO4
      TTA=((1+1.4*MN4**2.)/(1+1.4*MN5**2.))**2*(MN5/MN4)**2
       TTB=(1.+.2*MN5**2)/(1.+.2*MN4**2)
       TT5TT4 = TTA * TTB
       m5 = mn5/dsin(beta4-theta4)
       beta4 = beta4*180./pi
       write(1,80) beta4,m5,pt5pt4,P5P4,RO5RO4
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FOURTH RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
       theta5 = 3.0*pi/180.
С
       beta5 = 70.*pi/180.
С
       left5 = dtan(theta5)
С
С
       rt5 = 1.0
       beta5=beta5*dabs(left5/rt5)**0.2
С
   9
       rta=((m5**2*dsin(beta5)**2)-1)/(m5**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta5))+2)
С
       rtb=2/dtan(beta5)
С
       rt5=rta*rtb
С
       if (dabs(left5-rt5).gt.1d-4) goto 9
С
С
       mn5=m5*dsin(beta5)
       mn6=dsqrt((1+.2*mn5**2.)/(1.4*mn5**2.-.2))
С
       pt6pt5=(mn5/mn6)*((2+(0.4*mn6**2))/(2+(.4*mn5**2)))**3.00
С
       p6p5=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn5**2.0-1.0)
С
       ro6ro5=2.4*mn5**2./(0.4*mn5**2.+2.)
С
       T6T5 = P6P5 / RO6RO5
С
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN5**2.)/(1+1.4*MN6**2.))**2*(MN6/MN5)**2
С
       TTB=(1.+.2*MN6**2)/(1.+.2*MN5**2)
С
       TT6TT5=TTA*TTB
С
       m6 = mn6/dsin(beta5-theta5)
С
       beta5 = beta5*180./pi
С
       write(1,90) beta5,m6,pt6pt5,P6P5,R06R05
С
VALUES ARE DEFINED AND THE FIFTH RAMP IS ANALYZED
С
       theta6 = 3.0*pi/180.
С
```

```
beta6 = 70.*pi/180.
С
       left6 = dtan(theta6)
С
       rt6 = 1.0
С
       beta6=beta6*dabs(left6/rt6)**0.2
С
   10
       rta=((m6**2*dsin(beta6)**2)-1)/(m6**2*(1.4+dcos(2*beta6))+2)
С
С
       rtb=2/dtan(beta6)
       rt6=rta*rtb
С
       if (dabs(left6-rt6).gt.1d-4) goto 10
С
       mn6=m6*dsin(beta6)
С
       mn7=dsqrt((1+.2*mn6**2.)/(1.4*mn6**2.-.2))
С
       pt7pt6=(mn6/mn7)*((2+(0.4*mn7**2))/(2+(.4*mn6**2)))**3.00
С
       p7p6=1.0+2.8/2.4*(mn6**2.0-1.0)
С
       ro7ro6=2.4*mn6**2./(0.4*mn6**2.+2.)
С
С
       T7T6 = P7P6/R07R06
       TTA=((1+1.4*MN6**2.)/(1+1.4*MN7**2.))**2*(MN7/MN6)**2
С
       TTB=(1.+.2*MN7**2)/(1.+.2*MN6**2)
С
С
       TT7TT6=TTA*TTB
С
       m7 = mn7/dsin(beta6-theta6)
С
       beta6 = beta6*180./pi
       write(1,100) beta6,m7,pt7pt6,P7P6,R07R06
С
THE FOLLOWING PART OF THE PROGRAM WAS WRITTEN FOR THE
С
      EXPANSION WAVE THAT WOULD BE GENERATED AS THE FLOW IS
С
      TURNED BACK INTO THE SCRAMJET COMBUSTION CHAMBER.
С
      HOWEVER, A COWL WAS ADDED AT THE BOTTOM OF THE SCRAMJET
С
      MODULE TO GET AWAY FROM THIS EXPANSION. THEREFORE, AT THIS
С
      POINT IN TIME THE EXPANSION WAVE IS NOT VALID.
С
THE CONDITIONS FOR THE EXPANSION WAVE ARE CALCULATED
С
        USING THE PRANDTL-MEYER function.
С
        PART=DSQRT(0.4/2.4*(M7**2.-1.0))
С
С
        PART1=DATAN(PART)
С
        NU1 = SQRT(6.0)
        NU2 = DATAN(DSQRT(M7 * 2. -1.))
С
С
        NU7=PART1*NU1-NU2
С
        THETA7=21.*PI/180.
С
        NU8=THETA7+NU7
С
                NU8=76.92
С
        GNU8=NU8-5.0
С
        M8 = 3.0
        M8=M8*(NU8/GNU8)**.3
C12
        NU3=SQRT(6.0)*DATAN(DSQRT(0.4/2.4*(M8**2.-1.0)))
С
        NU4 = DATAN (DSQRT (M8 * * 2. -1.))
C
С
        GNU8=(NU3-NU4)*180./PI
С
                write(*,*)GNU8,NU8,M8
С
        if (DABS(GNU8-NU8).gt.1D-5) goto 12
        NOTE THAT THE TOTAL PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES do NOT
С
        CHANGE THROUGH A PRANDTL-MEYER EXPANSION WAVE.
С
        T8T7=1/((1+.4/2.0*M8**2.)/(1+.4/2.*M7**2.))
С
        P8P7=1/((((1+.4/2.*M8**2.)/(1+.4/2.*M7**2.))**3.5)
С
С
        RO8RO7 = P8P7 / T8T7
        write(1,105) M8, P8P7, R08R07
С
```

-

	<u>a</u>	THE FOLLOWING WALLES ADE SET TO ONE SO THOSE RAMPS COULD
	C	THE FOLLOWING VALUES ARE SET TO ONE SO THOSE MAND COOLD
	С	BE "C"ED OUT AND THE TOTAL RATIOS ARE STILL CALCULATED
	å	AT THE END OF THE DROCDAM FOR FACH ADDITIONAL RAMP
	C	AT THE END OF THE PROGRAM. FOR EACH ADDITIONAL RAM
	С	THAT IS ANALYZED, THE CORRESPONDING NUMBERS MUST BE DELETED
	õ	CO THAT THE VALUES ARE NOT RESET TO ONE
	C	SO THAT THE VALUES ARE NOT RESET TO ONLY
		P8P7=1.0
-		PO8P07-1 0
•		
		T8T7 = 1.0
		PT7PT6 = 1.0
		$D^2 D^2 = 1$ 0
		TT7TT6=1.0
		R07R06=1.0
-		
-		
		PT6PT5=1.0
		P6P5=1.0
		116115=1.0
		R06R05=1.0
÷.		T6T5=1.0
	_	
	С	PT5PT4 = 1.0
	С	P5P4=1.0
~	Ċ	TT = TT = 1
	C	
	С	R05R04=1.0
	С	T5T4=1.0
_	-	
		PRATIO=1./(P2P1*P3P2*P4P3*P5P4*P6P5*P7P6*P8P7)
		TTRATIO=TT2TT1*TT3TT2*TT4TT3*TT5TT4*TT6TT5*TT7TT6
-		
		TRATIO=T2T1*T3T2*T4T3*T5T4*T6T5*T7T6*T8T7
		RO5=RO1*RORATIO
н н. Н		
		15=11+1RA110
	С	THE SPEED OF SOUND IS CALCULATED
		A=DSQRT(1,4*1716,*T5)
	<u> </u>	THE VELOCITY PICUT PEODE THE COMPUSTION CHAMBER IS CALCULATED
-	C	THE VELOCITI RIGHT BEFORE THE COMBOSTION CHAMBER TO CHECOENTED
		V=M5*A
	С	MASS FLOW RATE ENTERING THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER.
	-	
<u>~</u>		PKESSUKE=P1/PKAIIO
		write(1,110)PTRATIO
		write (1, 120) PRATIO
		Write(1,130)RORATIO
		write(1,150)MDOT
		WRITE(1, 160) PRESSURE
	~ + + + + +	
	( + + + + +	
	С	POSITIONING THE SHOCK WAVE SO THAT IT IMPINGES ON THE LIP OF
	C	THE SCRAMJET. THIS IS AN ITERATIVE PROCESS.
	C C	
	L	
	C	THIS IS THE CALCULATION OF THE DOWNWARD ANGLE OF THE
	C	HORIZONTAL to EACH SHOCK WAVE.
	~	
	C	TH2=TH1+THETA2
	C	TH3=TH2+THETA3
	Č.	
	L	104 - 105T 1061A4

-

---

С		TH5=TH4+THETA5
С		TH6=TH5+THETA6
С		BT1=BETA1
		BT2=TH1+BETA2
		BT2=BT2*PI/180.
С		BT3=TH2+BETA3
С		BT4=TH3+BETA4
С		BT5=TH4+BETA5
С		BT6=TH5+BETA6
С		USING SIMULAR TRIANGLES, THE RAMPS CAN BE PLACE SO THAT
С		THE OBLIQUE SHOCKS HIT AT THE LIP OF THE ENGINE.
С		THESE ARE THE LENGTHS OF THE ORIGINAL TRIANGLE THAT
С		DEFINES THE AREA BEFORE THE FIRST RAMP BEGINS.
		L1 = 47.0
		H1=8.287
С		DEFINITION OF THE DIMENSIONS OF THE FIRST RAMP.
		L2=50.2-L1
		H2 = 10.6 - H1
		H2L2=1.0
		AH2L2=1.0
	11	BT2=BT2*DABS(H2L2/AH2L2)**0.3
		H2L2 = H2/L2
		AH2L2=DTAN(BT2)
		if (DABS(H2L2-AH2L2).gt.1D-6) goto 11
		EBETA2 = BT2 - TH1
		EBETA2=EBETA2*180./PI
		WRITE(1,140)EBETA2
		stop
		end

~

.

Appendix D: Inlet Code Output

\_\_\_\_

-

-

Ļ

-

\_\_\_\_

~

•

.

• •

. میں

. ب

----

-----

. .

•

VALUES FROM PROGRAM TURBOFAN FOR FREESTREAM MACH # = 2.000 beta1=32.856 M2=1.878 Pt2/Pt1=0.9993 beta2=36.686 M3=1.704 P2/P1=1.2069 Pt3/Pt2=0.9981 beta3=43.197 R02/R01= 1.1436 P3/P2=1.3022 M4=1.463 Pt4/Pt3=0.9956 Pt5/Pt4=0.9957 beta4=52.804 RO3/RO2= 1.2065 RO4/RO3= 1.2013 M5=1.206 P4/P3=1.4205 5=0.838 Pt6/Pt5=0.9922 P6/P5= 1.5 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.9811 M6=0.838 P5/P4=1.4174 P6/P5= 1.5301 R05/R04= 1.2814 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.9011 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.2065 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 3.0685 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 4337.9894 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS R06/R05= 1.3520 4913.0079

VALUES FROM PROGRAM TURBOFAN FOR FREESTREAM MACH # = 4.000 beta1=18.021 M2=3.638 Pt2/Pt1=0.9887 beta2=27.522 M3=2.761 P2/P1=1.6199 Pt3/Pt2=0.8635 R02/R01= 1.4068 beta3=35.286 P3/P2=3.1307 RO3/RO2= 2.1668 RO4/RO3= 2.0229 RO5/RO4= 1.9149 M4=2.029 Pt4/Pt3=0.8972 Pt5/Pt4=0.9197 beta4=48.996 P4/P3=2.8003 P5/P4=2.5678 M5=1.341 M6=0.766 Pt6/Pt5=0.9717 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.6844 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.03 THE DENSITY RATIC IS 18.7330 P6/P5= 1.9303 R06/R05= 1.5865 0.0142 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 5726.8474

VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLET FOR FREESTREAM MACH # = 6.000 betal=12.372 M2=5.449 Pt2/Pt1=0.9818 P2/P1=1.7614 beta2=15.349 M3=4.696 Pt3/Pt2=0.9470 P3/P2=2.2608 beta3=17.452 M4=4.058 Pt4/Pt3=0.9561 P4/P3=2.1478 beta4=16.676 M5=3.795 Pt5/Pt4=0.9957 P5/P4=1.4155 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.8851 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.0826 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 5.7335 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 142.6178 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS 703.6571	R02/R01= 1.4905 R03/R02= 1.7631 R04/R03= 1.7043 R05/R04= 1.2801
VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLET FOR FREESTREAM MACH $\# = 7.000$ beta1=11.018 M2=6.281 Pt2/Pt1=0.9723 P2/P1=1.9215 beta2=12.739 M3=5.541 Pt3/Pt2=0.9619 P3/P2=2.0712 beta3=13.947 M4=4.942 Pt4/Pt3=0.9727 P4/P3=1.9141 beta4=14.443 M5=4.538 Pt5/Pt4=0.9893 P5/P4=1.6057 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.8999 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.0818 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 5.8035 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 135.2251 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS 560.5341	R02/R01= 1.5816 R03/R02= 1.6636 R04/R03= 1.5775 R05/R04= 1.3982
VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLET FOR FREESTREAM MACH = $= 8.000$ beta1=10.019 M2=7.087 Pt2/Pt1=0.9602 P2/P1=2.0935 beta2=11.728 M3=6.173 Pt3/Pt2=0.9475 P3/P2=2.2543 beta3=13.723 M4=5.313 Pt4/Pt3=0.9406 P4/P3=2.3355 beta4=12.875 M5=4.970 Pt5/Pt4=0.9942 P5/P4=1.4687 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.8509 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.0618 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 6.9769 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 127.6593 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS 511.9135	RO2/RO1= 1.6755 RO3/RO2= 1.7598 RO4/RO3= 1.8011 RO5/RO4= 1.3137
VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLET FOR FREESTREAM MACH $# = 9.000$ beta1= 9.255 M2=7.868 Pt2/Pt1=0.9456 P2/P1=2.2776 beta2=10.136 M3=6.981 Pt3/Pt2=0.9619 P3/P2=2.0701 beta3=11.036 M4=6.271 Pt4/Pt3=0.9726 P4/P3=1.9168 beta4=11.963 M5=5.674 Pt5/Pt4=0.9794 P5/P4=1.8047 THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.8664 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.0613 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 7.0507 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 120.9392 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS 430.4089	R02/R01= 1.7717 R03/R02= 1.6630 R04/R03= 1.5790 R05/R04= 1.5155
VALUES FROM PROGRAM INLET FOR FREESTREAM MACH # = 10.000 beta1= 8.653 M2=8.623 Pt2/Pt1=0.9283 P2/P1=2.4742 beta2= 9.119 M3=7.704 Pt3/Pt2=0.9661 P3/P2=2.0119 beta3=11.536 M4=6.535 Pt4/Pt3=0.9164 P4/P3=2.6023 beta4=10.118 M5=6.206 Pt5/Pt4=0.9968 P5/P4=1.3711	RO2/RO1= 1.8698 RO3/RO2= 1.6315 RO4/RO3= 1.9313 RO5/RO4= 1.2517

.

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

۰. م

.

THE TOTAL PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.8193 THE STATIC PRESSURE RECOVERY IS 0.0563 THE DENSITY RATIO IS 7.3747 THE MASS FLOW RATE IS 114.5754 THE PRESSURE IN THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER IS 383.7050

•

• . • •

.

.

#### Appendix E:

# SCRAMjet Inlet Representative Configurations

-----

. . . . . . . . . . . .

-----

: 57 : 2

. .

· · ·

: \_\_\_\_

121

.







# Appendix F: Aerodynamic Data

. \_.

\_\_\_\_

. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

-

-

\_ .

## alpha=4

	4 O K	50K	60K	70K	80K ·	<b>9</b> 0K	100K
MACH	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD
0.2	0.039	0.040	0.041	0.041	0.042	0.043	0.044
0.4	0.038	0.039	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.042	0.043
0.6	0.038	0.038	0.039	0.039	0.040	0.041	0.042
0.8	0.065	0.066	0.066	0.067	0.067	0.068	0.069
1.0	0.126	0.127	0.127	0.128	0.128	0.129	0.130
1.2	0.381	0.381	0.382	0.382	0.383	0.383	0.384
1.4	0.338	0.339	0.339	0.340	0.340	0.341	0.341
1.6	0.171	0.171	0.172	0.172	0.173	0.173	0.174
1.8	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.131	0.132	0.132	0.133
2.0	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.092	0.093	0.093	0.094
2.2	0.074	0.074	0.074	0.075	0.075	0.075	0.076
2.4	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.056	0.056	0.056	0.057
2.6	0.049	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.051	0.051
2.8	0.035	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.037	0.037
3.0	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033
3.2	0.030	0.030	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.032
3.4	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.028	0.028
3.6	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025
3.8	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.024	0.024
4.0	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.022
4.2	0.019	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021
4.4	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
4.6	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
4.8	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
5.0	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
5.2	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015
5.4	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015
5.6	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.014
5.8	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
6.0	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
6.4	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
7.0	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
7.4	0.009	<b>0.</b> 009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010
8.0	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009
8.4	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
9.0	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
9.4	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
10.0	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006

.

	406	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	1008
MACH			L/D	L/D		L/D	L/D
0.2	6,096	6,000	5,899	5,791	5.677	5,555	5,429
0.4	6.230	6.143	6.050	5,951	5.846	5.733	5.616
0.4	6 322	6 239	6 150	6 055	5.954	5.845	5.733
0.0	3 584	3 558	3 530	3 500	3 468	3 432	3.394
1 0	1 056	1 052	1 048	1 044	1 039	1.033	1.028
1 2	2 267	2 265	2 262	2 260	2 257	2 254	2.251
1.2	2 4 6 0	2.200	2.202	2 4 5 2	2 4 4 8	2 4 4 5	2.441
1 6	3 304	3 298	3 292	3 284	3 977	3 268	3.258
1.8	3.730	3.722	3.713	3.703	3.692	3.680	3.668
2.0	4.291	4.278	4.264	4.249	4.233	4.214	4.195
2.2	4.677	4.661	4.644	4.625	4,604	4.581	4.557
2.4	5.152	5.130	5.106	5.079	5.051	5.019	4.986
2.6	5.408	5.384	5.358	5.329	5.298	5.263	5.227
2.8	5.785	5.751	5.714	5.674	5.631	5.583	5.533
3.0	6.006	5,968	5,928	5.884	5.837	5.785	5.730
3.2	6.227	6.189	6.149	6.104	6.056	6.003	5.948
3.4	6.425	6.384	6.339	6.291	6.238	6.181	6.120
3.6	6.587	6.543	6.494	6.442	6.385	6.322	6.257
3.8	6.789	6.743	6.694	6.640	6.582	6.518	6.451
4.0	6.957	6.909	6.857	6.801	6.740	6.673	6.603
4.2	7.109	7.059	7.005	6.946	6.882	6.813	6.740
4.4	7.260	7.208	7.152	7.091	7.025	6.953	6.877
4.6	7.433	7.380	7.323	7.261	7.194	7.120	7.043
4.8	7.582	7.527	7.469	7.405	7.336	7.261	7.182
5.0	7.720	7.665	7.604	7.539	7.469	7.391	7.310
5.2	7.822	7.764	7.702	7.634	7.562	7.482	7.398
5.4	7.963	7.905	7.841	7.773	7.699	7.617	7.532
5.6	8.065	8.005	7.940	7.870	7.794	7.711	7.624
5.8	8.189	8.128	8.062	7.991	7.914	7.829	7.741
6.0	8.263	8.200	8.133	8.060	7.982	7.896	7.805
6.4	8.458	8.393	8.324	8.249	8,168	8.080	7.987
7.0	8.850	8.784	8.713	8.636	8.553	8.462	8.366
7.4	9.025	8.958	8.885	8.807	8.722	8.629	8.532
8.0	9.180	9.111	9.036	8.956	8.868	8.773	8.673
8.4	9.436	9.366	9.291	9.209	9.121	9.024	8.923
9.0	9.550	9.479	9.402	9.319	9.229	9.130	9.027
9.4	9.765	9.693	9.616	9.532	9.441	9.342	9.238
10.0	9.877	9.805	9.726	9.642	9.550	9.450	9.344

alpha=2

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K ·	90K	100K
MACH	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD
0.2	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.028	0.029	0.030	0.031
0.4	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.029
0.6	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.027	0.027	0.028
0.8	0.040	0.041	0.041	0.042	0.043	0.043	0.044
1.0	0.084	0.084	0.085	0.085	0.086	0.087	0.087
1.2	0.256	0.257	0.257	0.257	0.258	0.258	0.259
1.4	0.222	0.223	0.223	0.224	0.224	0.225	0.225
1.6	0.118	0.118	0.118	0.119	0.119	0.120	0.120
1.8	0.091	0.091	0.091	0.092	0.092	0.093	0.093
2.0	0.066	0.066	0.066	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.068
2.2	0.054	0.054	0.054	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.056
2.4	0.041	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.043	0.043	0.043
2.6	0.037	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.039	0.039
2.8	0.028	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.030	0.030
3.0	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027
3.2	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.026
3.4	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.023	0.023
3.6	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021
3.8	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.020
4.0	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019
4.2	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
4.4	0.015	0.015	0.016	-0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016
4.6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016
4.8	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015
5.0	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.014
5.2	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
5.4	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
5.6	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
5.8	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
6.0	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011
0.4	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
7.0	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
0 0	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
0.0	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008
0.4	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
3.U Q 1	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.007
J.4 10 0	0.000		0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
10.0	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.006	0.006

.\_\_\_\_

Α	R	Ξ	1	•	1	

\_\_\_\_

\_

\*\*\*

\_\_\_\_

-

:\_\_\_\_\_

 $\sim$ 

. \_\_\_\_

-

ت\_

-----

---

-

\_\_\_\_

**~**~

-

## alpha=2

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	100K
MACH	L/D						
0.2	7.111	6.944	6.768	6.585	6.395	6.194	5.993
0.4	7.347	7.190	7.026	6.853	6.673	6.482	6.289
0.6	7.494	7.343	7.184	7.016	6.841	6.655	6.466
0.8	4.432	4.381	4.326	4.267	4.204	4.135	4.065
1.0	1.208	1.201	1.194	1.187	1.178	1.169	1.160
1.2	2.623	2.619	2.615	2.611	2.606	2.601	2.595
1.4	2.910	2.906	2.901	2.896	2.890	2.884	2.877
1.6	3.739	3.729	3.719	3.707	3.694	3.680	3.664
1.8	4.169	4.156	4.141	4.125	4.108	4.089	4.069
2.0	4.648	4.629	4.608	4.585	4.561	4.533	4.505
2.2	4.987	4.964	4.938	4.911	4.881	4.848	4.813
2.4	5.299	5.269	5.236	5.201	5.162	5.120	5.075
2.6	5.547	5.514	5.479	5.440	5.399	5.353	5.304
2.8	5.608	5.567	5.523	5.475	5.423	5.366	5.307
3.0	5.763	5.719	5.671	5.620	5.565	5.504	5.440
3.2	6.027	5.982	5.933	5.880	5.823	5.760	5.695
3.4	6.137	6.089	6.037	5.981	5.920	5.854	5.784
3.6	6.197	6.146	6.091	6.032	5.968	5.898	5.824
3.8	6.400	6.348	6.291	6.230	6.165	6.092	6.017
4.0	6.520	6.466	6.407	6.344	6.276	6.202	6.124
4.2	6.618	6.562	6.502	6.437	6.367	6.290	6.210
4.4	6.725	6.667	6.606	6.539	6.467	6.389	6.307
4.6	6.885	6.826	6.763	6.696	6.622	6.542	6.459
4.8	6.999	6.940	6.875	6.806	6.732	6.650	6.565
5.0	7.100	7.039	6.974	6.904	6.828	6.745	6.658
5.2	7.141	7.079	7.013	6.941	6.864	6.779	6.691
5.4	7.257	7.195	7.127	7.055	6.977	6.891	6.801
5.6	7.311	7.248	7.180	7.106	7.027	6.940	6.850
5.8	7.405	7.341	7.272	7.198	7.118	7.030	6.938
6.0	7.427	7.362	7.293	7.217	7.137	7.048	6.956
6.4	7.552	7.486	7.416	7.339	7.257	7.167	7.073
7.0	7.893	7.826	7.754	7.675	7.591	7.499	7.403
7.4	8.007	7.939	7.866	7.787	7.702	7.609	7.512
8.0	8.054	7.985	7.912	7.832	7.747	7.653	7.555
8.4	8.284	8.215	8.141	8.060	7.974	7.879	7.780
9.0	8.303	8.234	8.160	8.079	7.993	7.898	7.799
9.4	8.488	8.419	8.344	8.263	8.175	8.080	7.980
10.0	8.523	8.454	8.379	8.298	8.211	8.116	8.016

	0/0-1/12		alpha=0				
MACH 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 3.0 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 4.2 4.4 4.6 5.0 5.4 5.8	40K CD 0.016 0.015 0.023 0.044 0.162 0.135 0.077 C.061 0.046 0.039 0.031 0.028 0.023 0.021 0.020 0.018 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.011 0.010	50K CD 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.023 0.045 0.163 0.136 0.047 0.039 0.032 0.029 0.023 0.029 0.023 0.029 0.023 0.021 0.020 0.018 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.023 0.047 0.039 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.021 0.020 0.023 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.015 0.015 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.015 0.017 0.016 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010	60K CD 0.018 0.017 0.016 0.024 0.045 0.163 0.136 0.078 0.062 0.047 0.039 0.032 0.024 0.021 0.020 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.039 0.022 0.024 0.047 0.039 0.022 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.024 0.047 0.039 0.022 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.017 0.016 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.012 0.012 0.011 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.010 0.012 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.010 0.011 0.010 0.011 0.010	70K CD 0.018 0.017 0.024 0.046 0.164 0.137 0.079 0.062 0.047 0.040 0.032 0.029 0.024 0.022 0.029 0.024 0.022 0.029 0.024 0.022 0.029 0.024 0.022 0.029 0.024 0.017 0.040 0.040 0.017 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.022 0.029 0.021 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.024 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.022 0.029 0.021 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.024 0.040 0.040 0.022 0.029 0.021 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.024 0.040 0.040 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.024 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.024 0.022 0.020 0.021 0.021 0.022 0.020 0.017 0.017 0.024 0.022 0.021 0.021 0.021 0.022 0.021 0.021 0.017 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.014 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.011 0.012 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.010	80K CD 0.019 0.018 0.017 0.025 0.046 0.164 0.137 0.079 0.062 0.048 0.040 0.033 0.030 0.024 0.022 0.021 0.019 0.017 0.016 0.015 0.014 0.013 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.011 0.010	90K CD 0.020 0.019 0.018 0.026 0.047 0.165 0.138 0.079 0.063 0.048 0.040 0.033 0.040 0.033 0.040 0.033 0.040 0.022 0.021 0.019 0.018 0.017 0.016 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.012 0.011 0.011 0.010	100K CD 0.021 0.020 0.019 0.027 0.048 0.165 0.138 0.080 0.063 0.048 0.041 0.033 0.048 0.041 0.033 0.025 0.022 0.021 0.019 0.018 0.017 0.016 0.013 0.012 0.012 0.011
5.0 5.2 5.4 5.6	0.011 0.010 0.010 0.010	$\begin{array}{c} 0.011 \\ 0.011 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$	0.012 0.011 0.010 0.010	0.012 0.011 0.011 0.010	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ 0.011 \\ 0.011 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.012 \\ 0.011 \\ 0.011 \\ 0.010 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$	0.012 0.012 0.011 0.011
6.0 6.4 7.0 7.4	0.009 0.008 0.008 0.007	0.009 0.008 0.008 0.007	0.009 0.009 0.008 0.007	0.009 0.009 0.008 0.007	0.009 0.009 0.008 0.007	0.010 0.009 0.008 0.008	0.010 0.009 0.008 0.008
8.0 8.4 9.0 9.4 10.0	0.006 0.006 0.005 0.005 0.005	0.007 0.006 0.006 0.005 0.005	0.007 0.006 0.006 0.005 0.005	0.007 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.005	0.007 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.005	0.007 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.005	0.007 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.005

t/c=1/12

alpha=0

<del>.</del> . .

\_

## alpha=0

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	100K
MACH	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D
0.2	8,006	$\frac{-7}{7}, \frac{-7}{7}$	7.410	7.105	6.798	6.483	6.177
0.4	8.426	8.139	7.846	7.547	7.244	6.931	6.626
0.6	8.675	8.393	8.104	7.808	7.506	7.194	6.889
0.8	5.557	5.444	5.326	5,201	5.071	4.932	4.792
1.0	1.617	1.600	1.583	1.564	1.543	1.521	1.498
1.2	2.954	2.947	2,940	2,932	2.924	2.915	2.904
1.4	3.414	3.406	3.397	3.387	3.376	3.363	3.351
1.6	4.055	4.038	4.021	4.001	3.981	3.957	3.933
1.8	4.429	4.408	4.385	4.361	4.334	4.304	4.272
2.0	4.706	4.679	4.649	4.617	4.582	4.544	4.503
2.2	4.935	4.903	4.868	4.831	4.790	4.746	4.699
2.4	4.992	4.955	4.915	4.871	4.824	4.772	4.718
2.6	5.207	5.167	5.123	5.076	5.026	4.970	4.912
2.8	4.914	4.870	4.823	4.772	4.717	4.657	4.594
3.0	4.991	4.945	4.896	4.842	4.785	4.722	4.656
3.2	5.272	5.224	5.171	5.115	5.055	4.989	4.920
3.4	5.289	5.239	5.185	5.127	5.065	4.997	4.926
3.6	5.251	5.200	5.145	5.086	5.023	4.953	4.881
3.8	5.436	5.383	5.326	5.265	5.200	5.128	5.053
4.0	5.503	5.449	5.391	5.329	5.262	5.189	5.113
4.2	5.546	5.491	5.432	5.369	5.301	5.226	5.149
4.4	5.606	5.551	5.491	5.426	5.358	5.282	5.204
4.6	5.739	5.682	5.621	5.556	5.486	5.409	5.329
4.8	5.814	5.757	5.695	5.629	5.558	5.480	5.399
5.0	5.875	5.817	5.755	5.688	5.616	5.537	5.456
5.2	5.865	5.807	5.744	5.677	5.605	5.526	5.444
5.4	5.950	5.891	5.828	5.760	5.687	5.608	5.525
5.6	5.963	5.904	5.841	5.773	5.700	5.620	5.537
5.8	6.025	5.965	5.902	5.833	5.760	5.679	5.596
6.0	6.006	5.947	5.883	5.815	5.742	5.662	5.578
6.4	6.068	6.009	5.945	5.877	5.803	5.722	5.639
7.0	<b>6.3</b> 35	6.275	6.210	6.139	6.064	5.982	5.896
7.4	6.394	6.334	6.269	6.199	6.123	6.041	5.955
8.0	6.363	6.304	6.239	6.170	6.096	6.015	5,930
8.4	<b>6.5</b> 50	6.490	6.425	6.355	6.279	6.197	6.111
9.0	6.506	6.447	6.383	6.314	6.240	6.159	6.075
9.4	6.650	6.590	6.526	6.456	6.382	6.300	6.215
10.0	6.633	6.574	6.511	6.442	6.369	6.289	6.205

alpha=-2

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	100K
MACH	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD
0.2	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015
0.4	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.014
0.6	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.013
0.8	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.015
1.0	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.022	0.023	0.023
1.2	0.100	0.100	0.101	0.101	0.102	0.102	0.103
1.4	0.077	0.078	0.078	0.079	0.079	0.080	0.080
1.6	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.052	0.052	0.053	0.053
1.8	0.041	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.043	0.043	0.043
2.0	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.035	0.035	0.036
2.2	0.029	0.029	0.029	.0.030	0.030	0.030	0.031
2.4	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.027
2.6	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.024	0.024	0.024
2.8	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.021
3.0	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.020
3.2	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018
3.4	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.017
3.6	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016
3.8	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015
4.0	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.014
4.2	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
4.4	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
4.6	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012
4.8	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
5.0	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011
5.2	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
5.4	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
5.6	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010
5.8	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
6.0	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
6.4	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
7.0	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.008
/.4	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
8.0	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
8.4	0,005	0.006	0.006		0.006	0.006	0.006
9.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005		0.000	
9.4	0.005	0.005	0.005		0.005	0.005	0.005
10.0	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
-\_\_\_

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	100K
MACH	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D	L/D
0.2	7.793	7.343	6.905	6.480	6.069	5.666	5.291
0.4	8.457	7.994	7.540	7.096	6.666	6.240	5.842
0.6	8.846	8.376	7.913	7.460	7.018	6.580	6.169
0.8	6.623	6.366	6.105	5.842	5.578	5.308	5.048
1.0	2.221	2.171	2.119	2.065	2.008	1.948	1.888
1.2	2.879	2.869	2.858	2.845	2.832	2.817	2.802
1.4	3.582	3.567	3.550	3.532	3.512	3.490	3.467
1.6	3.716	3.694	3.669	3.642	3.614	3.582	3.548
1.8	3.936	3.909	3.879	3.847	3.812	3.774	3.734
2.0	3.913	3.882	3.848	3.811	3.772	3.728	3.683
2.2	3.985	3.950	3.913	3.872	3.829	3.782	3.732
2.4	3.804	3.768	3.729	3.688	3.643	3.594	3.543
2.6	3.952	3.914	3.872	3.827	3.779	3.727	3.672
2.8	3.474	3.437	3.398	3.356	3.311	3.261	3.210
3.0	3.489	3.452	3.412	3.368	3.322	3.272	3.219
3.2	3.720	3.680	3.637	3.591	3.541	3.487	3.432
3.4	3.680	3.640	3.596	3.550	3.500	3.446	3.390
3.6	3.598	3.558	3.515	3.469	3.420	3.366	3.311
3.8	3.732	3.691	3.646	3.598	3.548	3.492	3.435
4.0	3.757	3.715	3.670	3.622	3.571	3.514	3.457
4.2	3.762	3.720	3.675	3.627	3.575	3.519	3.461
4.4	3.785	3.743	3.698	3.650	3.598	3.541	3.483
4.6	3.875	3.832	3.786	3.736	3.683	3.626	3.566
4.8	3.914	3.871	3.824	3.775	3.721	3.663	3.603
5.0	3.942	3.898	3.851	3.801	3.748	3.690	3.629
5.4	3.910	3.007	3.820	3.771	3.718	3.000	3.601
56	3.900	3.917	2 062	2 012	3.750	3.709	3.049
5 8	3 984	3 941	3 901	3 9 4 5	3.733	3.702	3.042
6 0	3 952	3 909	3 863	3 914	3 762	3 705	3 645
6.4	3 972	3 929	3 884	3 835	3 783	3 726	3 667
7 0	1 1 1 3	4 100	1 053	4 004	3 950	3 892	3 830
7.4	4.164	4.122	4.076	4.026	3.973	3.916	3.856
8.0	4.109	4.067	4.023	3.975	3,924	3.868	3.809
8.4	4.232	4.190	4,145	4,096	4,044	3,987	3,928
9.0	4.173	4.133	4.089	4.042	3.992	3.936	3.879
9.4	4.265	4.224	4.180	4.133	4,082	4,026	3,969
10.0	4.232	4.192	4.149	4.103	4.054	3.999	3,943

133

AR=1.1

\_

\_\_\_\_

----

\_\_\_\_

—

----

-

alpha=-4

	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K	1008
MACH	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD	CD
0.2	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.012
0.4	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.010	0.011
0.6	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.010
0.8	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.010
1.0	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.011
1.2	0.069	0.069	0.070	0.070	0.070	0.071	0 072
1.4	0.048	0.049	0.049	0.050	0.050	0.051	0.051
1.6	0.037	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.039	0.039	0.040
1.8	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.034
2.0	0.027	0.027	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.029	0.029
2.2	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.026
2.4	0.021	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.023	0.023
2.6	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.021
2.8	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
3.0	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.018
3.2	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.017	0.017
3.4	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.016
3.6	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015
3.8	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.014
4.0	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
4.2	0.011	0.012	0.012	· 0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013
4.4	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012
4.6	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
4.8	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011
5.0	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
5.2	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010
5.4	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010
5.6	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
5.8	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009
6.0	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009
6.4	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
7.0	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
7.4	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.007
8.0	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
8.4	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
9.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
9.4	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
10.0	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005

134

AR=1.1

---

·\_\_\_\_

-

V

\_\_\_\_

-----

-10----

9	1	n	h	<u>a</u>	=	_	4	
a	÷.	Ρ	11	a	-	_	4	

масн	40K	50K	60K	70K	80K	90K L/D	100K L/D
0.2	4,332	3,984	3,660	3,360	3.083	2.821	2,586
0.4	4.876	4.491	4.132	3.799	3.489	3.196	2.933
0.6	5.205	4.799	4.419	4.065	3.737	3.425	3.146
0.8	4,966	4.609	4.271	3.952	3.653	3.367	3.106
1.0	2.483	2.346	2,211	2.080	1.952	1.827	1.709
1.2	1.395	1.388	1.380	1.372	1.363	1.352	1.342
1.4	1.909	1.896	1.881	1.866	1.850	1.832	1.813
1.6	1.683	1.669	1.654	1.638	1.620	1.601	1.581
1.8	1.728	1.712	1.695	1.676	1.657	1.635	1.613
2.0	1.616	1.600	1.583	1.564	1.544	1.523	1.500
2.2	1.606	1.589	1.571	1.551	1.531	1.508	1.484
2.4	1.466	1.450	1.433	1.414	1.395	1.373	1.351
2.6	1.519	1.502	1.483	1.464	1.443	1.420	1.396
2.8	1.271	1.256	1.241	1.224	1.206	1.186	1.166
3.0	1.268	1.253	1.237	1.220	1.202	1.182	1.162
3.2	1.360	1.344	1.326	1.308	1.288	1.267	1.245
3.4	1.333	1.317	1.300	1.282	1.263	1.242	1.220
3.6	1.291	1.275	1.259	1.241	1.222	1.202	1.181
3.8	1.341	1.325	1.307	1.289	1.270	1.248	1.220
4.0	1.345	1.329	1.312	1.293	1.274	1.202	1 2 2 7
4.2	1.342	1.326	1.308	- 1.290	1.270	1.249	1 222
4.4	1.346	1.330	1.313	1.290	1,270	1.204	1.232
4.0	1 200	1.302	1.344	1.320	1 217	1 204	1.201
4.0	1.309	1 290	1.300	1 244	1 224	1 309	1 272
5.0	1 200	1.360	1 347	1 3 2 9	1 308	1 287	1 265
5 1	1.300	1 380	1 363	1 3.1.1	1 325	1 303	1.281
56	1 390	1 374	1 357	1 338	1.319	1.297	1.275
5.8	1.399	1.383	1.366	1.348	1.328	1.307	1.285
6.0	1.384	1.368	1.352	1.334	1.314	1.293	1.272
6.4	1.387	1.371	1.355	1.337	1.318	1.297	1.276
7.0	1.446	1.430	1.413	1.395	1.376	1.355	1.333
7.4	1.450	1.434	1.418	1.400	1.381	1.360	1.338
8.0	1.424	1.409	1.393	1.376	1.357	1.337	1.316
8.4	1.467	1.452	1.436	1.418	1.399	1.379	1.358
9.0	1.441	1.426	1.411	1.394	1.376	1.356	1.336
9.4	1.472	1.458	1.442	1.425	1.407	1.387	1.367
10.0	1.457	1.443	1.427	1.411	1.394	1.374	1.354

Appendix G: Flight Profile & Glide Analysis

\_\_\_\_\_

136

. =: .

: t=:

: ==-\_\_\_=

-

••

# AAE:416 - FLIGHT PROFILE FOR GLIDE PORTION

-

SURFACE A

EMPTY WEIGHT EMPTY MASS		43000 1335.40	L8S SLUGS DANGE		ANGLE OF	CI
RANGE	Q	DENSITY	VELOCITY	mach	DEGREES	CALCED
	1500	3 2110E-05	9665.257	9,985	0.0000	0.013650
300000	1000	2 2704E-05	9114.614	9,416	0.1300	0.014625
99000	1400	7 5770ELAS	889A.234	9.191	0.3300	0.014625
~8000 07000	1400	3.00774 ON 3.7(45E-05	8682,180	8,970	0,3500	0.014625
97000	1400	3.7140C 00 7 0007E-05	8472.425	8.753	0.3800	0.014625
5°80000	1400	A 0970E-05	8266.963	8.541	0,4300	0.014625
95000	1400	4.0970E 00 4.3041E-05	7772.227	8.030	0,1800	0.015750
94000	1000	A SECTION	7557.213	7.808	0,4100	0.015750
93000	1700	4.00200-00 A 75705-05	7394.099	7.641	0.6500	0.015749
92000	1300	A ODARELOS	7213.772	7.453	0.7200	0.015749
91000	4700	- 4.770000-00 - E. OEXIELAS	7035.238	7.268	0,7000	0.015749
90000	1000	S SPARE-OS	A860.378	7,088	0.6000	0.015750
89000 89000	1000	- 5. 510AE-05	6426.805	6.640	0.3000	0.017063
88000	1200	L 1132E-05	A2A5.724	6.473	0.7500	0.017062
· 87000	1200	- 0.11020-00 - 4 1328E-05	6108.092	6.310	0.8000	0.017061
85000	1200	- 0.4020L - VO - 4 7704E-05	5953.769	6.151	1.0000	0.017060
90000 D4000	1200	7 1277E-05	5802.710	5,995	1.0000	0.017060
84000	1000	7.500776-00 7.50536-05	5454.856	5,842	t.0000	0.017060
80000	1. 20202 1. 12 CMCM	7.000000 00 7.8931E-05	5514.191	5.697	1.3000	0.017059
8,2000	1200	910701C 00 8 9787E-05	5155.018	5,326	0.5000	0.018614
61000	1 1 1 1 1 1	8 A831E-05	5033.544	5,200	1.6000	0.018607
80000	1100	9 1073E-05	4914.920	5.078	1,6000	0.018607
79000	1100	00 40070F-05 30-4707F-0	4799.073	4,958	1,8000	0.018605
78000	1100	1 00195-04	4585.966	4,841	1.8000	0.018605
77000	1100	1 05098-04	4575.416	4,727	2.0000	0.018603
78000	1300	1.1072E-04	4467.670	4.616	2,0000	0.018603
70000	1100	1 15A1E-04	4342.281	4.507	2.4000	0.018598
74000	1000	1 21258-04	4051.217	4,196	0,9000	0,020473
7.30000	1000	1 2719E-04	1 3965,413	4.097	2.5000	0.020456
72000	1000	1.33416-04	3871.370	4,000	3.0000	0.020448
73000	1000	1.39938-04	3780.589	3.906	3000C	0.020448
70000 49000	1000	1.46788-07	3691.318	3.814	3.0000	0.020448
<u>0</u> 70000 40000	1000	1.53956~04	3604.335	<b>3.</b> 224	3.6000	0,020435
- <u>68000</u> 47000	1000	1.6148E-04	1 350.9,294	3.636	3.6000	0.020435
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.000	1.65126 0.	1 1472. 143	7, PP66	5.8000	x 0,020431
	1000		17799, 119	三、日本志	4,000	0.010478
(314 M20) # 2013(10)	1000	1.86.00	1	7,749,7	4, 2000	いりょうないみっし
	taso	1 - 44, d (d) - 44	1. 111-11-20,281		a. 2000	
	1.1.1.1		1	•	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	e la servera de la servera
	1 + 11 + 1 +			•	t	,
					•••	e se en
• • • • •	· · ·			•	• • • •	• • • • •
*		111.41			• j • • •	
			• . i *		- · · · ·	
1	An an a		, •	• • .		••••
τ	ريد دولا		an to and particulation			e en esta de la
5. <b>A</b> COO	ട്ടാറ	7.00555	4 .441.14		5. <b>1</b> • • <u>.</u> • • • • •	a an an Stata Sa
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	9.10	5.1527E+0	4 .188.477	469	\$ <u></u> {O,OOO	<ul> <li>0.022405</li> </ul>
<b>5000</b> 0	900	3.3072E-0	4 2002-900	2.410	0.000	0.01022405

137

51000	900	3,46928-04	2277.831	2.353	10.0000	0,022405
50000	900	3.6391E-04	2224.022	2.298	10,0000	0.022405
49000	800	3.8175E-04	2047.248	2.115	5.0000	0.025497
48000	800	4.0045E-04	1998.875	2.045	14.0000	0.024834
47000	800	4.2080E-04	1949,943	2.015	15.0000	0.024723
46000	800	4.4067E-04	1905.474	1.969	15.0000	0.024723
45000	800	4.6227E-04	1860.424	1.922	20,0000	0.024051
44000	800	4.8493E-04	1816.437	1.877	20.0000	0.024051
43000	800	5.0871E-04	1773.474	1.832	20.0000	0.024051
42000	800	5.3365E-04	1731.536	1.789	20,0000	0.024051
41000	795	5.5982E-04	1685.288	1.741	20,0000	0.024202
40000	790	5.8727E-04	1640,248	1.695	20.0000	0.024356
39000	785	6.1610E-04	1596.335	1.649	20.0000	0.024511
38000	780	6.4630E-04	1553.621	1.605	20.0000	0.024668
37000	775	6.7800E-04	1511.997	1.562	20.0000	0.024827
34000	770	7.1030E-04	1472.446	1.521	20.0000	0.024988
35000	765	7.38206-04	1439.655	1,487	20,0000	0.025152
32000	760	7.6700E-04	1407.745	1.454	20,0000	0.025317
33000	799	7.9660E-04	1376.791	1.422	20.0000	0,025485
32000	750	8 2700E-04	1346.768	1.391	20.0000	0.025655
31000	7.00	8 58406-04	1317.492	1.361	20.0000	0.025827
30000	740	8 9070E-04	1289.036	1.332	20.0000	0.026001
29000	Lake.	9 2390E-04	1261.380	1.303	20,0000	0.026178
28000	700	9.5800E-04	1234.507	1.275	20,0000	0.026357
22000	7.05	9 93305-04	1208.335	1.248	20,0000	0.026539
26000	720	1 0290E-03	1182.949	1,222	15,0000	0.027470
28000	715	1 04405-03	1159 215	1 197	14.0000	0.027787
20000	710	1 10405-03	1132 121	1.172	13.0000	0.028100
24000	710	1 14405-03	1110 188	1.147	12,0000	0.028409
	700	1 18406-03	1097 397	1.123	11.0000	0.028714
21000	2.QE)	1.1040E-03	1045 220	1.100	10.0000	0.029014
20000	690 490	1 2670E-03	1043.641	1.078	9.0000	0.029310
19000	670 640	1 X110E-03	938.1062	1.021	8.0000	0.031682
18000	u no	1 37505-03	933.1927	0.964	8,0000	0.034367
17000	សុខភូមិ	4010E-03	890.1074	0.920	3.0000	0.036843
14000	500	1 4480E-03	847,4857	0.876	3,0000	0.039323
15000	485	1.4960E-03	805.2302	0.832	3,0000	0,042161
14000	450	1.54A0E~03	742.9858	0.788	3.0000	0.045440
13000	지말	1.56906-03	727.3234	0.754	3,0000	0.049272
12000	380	1.6480E-03	679,0913	0,002	3.0000	0.053810
11000	IN 4 55	1.70108-03	636.9016	0.658	3.0000	0.059269
10000	340	1.7560E-03	594.2012	0.614	3.0000	0,060964
2000	213°-,	1.81108-03	561,0198	0.580	3,0000	0.021247
8000	(16,13) (16,13)	1.85905 03	1407,4890		ti nen er	11,112,112,124
tersa.	en - 1 eu	1. P. Collins	451 . 533	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ي يو د الم	appendent "
المتلح ورجر			дт Т. <u>1</u> -			
	1.1.1	in the second		-	:	
, ~	t .•.			- ,		
Ici	11			•••	, t.	
- :., .		in the second		• • • • •		A
	P 4	L. C. A. E. A. S		,	المراجع المراجع	

----

\_

 $\sim$ 

\_

•----

~

سرت

-----

......

-

\_\_\_\_

— .

•

----

-----

-

-

----

==

REA = 2100 FT^2

	ANGLE OF						
Cla-super	ATTACK	Cd			DELTA		DELTA
x-subs	(DEGREES)	MATCHED	DRAG	DECEL	DIST(FT.)	DIST(FT)	XDIST
0.380	-2.926	0.0049	15417	-11.545	0.000	a ware man war in the data pase cour case data	оно с С С
0.380	-2.779	0.0052	15303	-11.386	4.54E+05		45A1C
0.380	-2.780	0.0053	15682	-11.556	1,70E+05	424254	17013
0.380	-2.780	0.0055	16073	-11.839	1.59E+05	783163	15.000
0.380	-2.780	0.0056	16475	-12.123	1.48E+05	931634	1.4.940
0.380	-2.780	0.0057	16888	-12.405	138627	1070193	13940
0.410	-2.784	0.0061	16730	-12.427	3.19E+05	1389440	71002
0.410	-2.784	0.0063	17211	-12.658	1.30E+05	1519441	12010
0.410	-2.784	0.0064	17589	-12.806	9.41E+04	1413202	0/15 0/162
0.410	-2.784	0.0066	18037	-13,102	1.020+05	1715203	10147
0.410	-2.784	0,0068	18498	-13.459	9 455404	10/10/000	10104
0.410	-2,784	0.0069	18973	-13.871	8 746404	1007071	79,00
0.420	-2.657	0.0074	18754	-13 875	2 086104	1057475 9168668	00100
0.420	-2.657	0.0076	19230	-17 005	77 173 1 ET 2010 A	2007-000-00-0 	20780
0,420	-2.457	0.0078	19738	-14 331		2370100	7308
0.420	-2.657	0.0080	20253		4 370±04	22402.02 07000/0	6804
0.420	-2.657	0.0082	20783	-15 001	S DOBLOA	2307780	63/4 E010
0.420	-2.657	0.0085	21330		S SARLAA	- 2000 701 002 	0718 E405
0,460	-2,860	0.0087	21877	-15 ARO	5.00C+04 5.00C+04	2424110 2772707	1049°C) 10649°C)
0.460	-2.666	0.0093	21520	-15 834	1 01ELAS	20407-44359-44 CONCONTRA 20	COLZ A CARA
0,460	-2.667	0.0095	22042	-15 407	7 OVELAA	- 2007 いうまえ - つんて A のくな	37.100
0,460	-2.667	0.0058	225777	-16 007	3.776±04 3.200±04	200340000 102747000	చారు. నారంగా
0.460	2.667	0.0100	23125		24000×04 7 4982×04	-2071020 mmoverna	
0,460	-2.667	0.0103	23484		3 010±04	26750300341 1977/07/07/27/27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.470	-2.717	0.0105	24261		3 008404	27.30490	052000 11000-110
0,470	-2.717	0.0108	24850	17 JQE	0 0000004	-27009991 	0001
0,470	-2.717	0.0110	25454	17 718	2.2000A	2750004	27.6541
0.470	-2.489	0.0119	24944		2 • 0000 • 009 2 • 0000 • 009	2011 2020 2020 2001 10 10 10 2020	2024) 7.0024)
0.470	-2.491	0.0122	<ul> <li>Contraction 42</li> </ul>	-17 770	0.70ETON 0.17EA04	-2072-246 001-2550	67776- 01771
0,470	-2.492	0.0125	28176	17 + 7 - 247 17 721 ±	2002/2010/9 12:0801-000	2714052	V166
0.520	-2.732	0.0128	27213		alla Chinella sha El montre contre	2704472	2043
0.520	-21,732	0.0134	22471	10.04		2733479	1896
$\rightarrow$ .500	-2.733	0.0134	1259 L d R	-10.000		2771138 DOMESTIC	1763
0, M260	-(1.733	0.6132	18834 18834	- 19 576 - 19 576		1275723723 1975-017772	1062
ر <b>د</b> _ ا_ −	- 2.234	0.0141	1998.4 A	- 1 7 2 1 7 39 - 1 72 - 729 372		NUU.N.Y.C.W	1
· · , · · ·	-	0.0144	• • •		1 4 46 4 E 17 6 49 1 1 27 5 E 2 17 7 7 9	- 54 7 6 7 7 1 <del>7</del> 7 - 1 777 6 607 7	1441
, <b>, , , ,</b> ,			• • • • •		<ul> <li>In policie de la construction</li> <li>In construction</li> </ul>	n na se	15456
ويوار الرور							
۰,							i + 3
	_ * ·				a trut a crel		i ferri in
			,			1	• • •
r. r.					•		
• • •	·. ·		÷ 1	•	· ·	- · · ·	
· • • • • •	<b>.</b> .	•			· · ·		
				· · ·	• .	· • •	•
						•• ••	• •
1	. 1	to, in Dirit	7630 - 554		and the second	A CAR AN	
9,580	- [] - 7 - 1	0.02	ing an		ang ang mang mang si Ng sing ang sing sing sing sing sing sing sing si	·····································	- <sup>1</sup> المراجعة (1994) - مستقد المراجعة (1994)
0.620		0.0212	da na 1000a	ing the Control of Male at the So	in the month of the second s	CERTERS (1997) Million States	1983년 11 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -
a ser ser ser for	+ · * · 1 **	sene total kult		્ય સામય છે.	나라 비슷한 비슷 수 있었다.	을 분야한 같아.	5-378

139

o (DO		0.0218	41158	-25.229	5.048+03	3163257	4960
0.620		0.0210	47700	-26.068	4.65E+03	3167903	4576
0.820		0.0223	41250	-28.234	1.34E+04	3181274	13320
0.620	~~ <b>2.0</b> 20	0.0253	47444	-24.144	4.05E+03	3185327	3933
0.620	-2.070 - 7.00	0.0204	43934	-24.566	3932.825	3189260	3799
0.820	- <u>2</u> ,700 	$O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}O_{\bullet}$	45190	-25.506	3360,915	3192621	3246
0.630	-2.600	0.0207	4.5175 AA554	-23.848	3556 991	3196178	3342
0.650	-2.00U	0.0277	4000H	-24 920	3245.106	3199423	3049
0.650	-2.880	0.0200 A AODE	40/01	-24 048	2940-626	3202383	2782
0.650	-2.863	0.0270	47471 51070	-27.234	2498.462	3205082	2536
0.650	-2.86C	0.0304	U1070 E0454	-27.200 	2780.292	3207862	2613
0.650	-2.851	0.0310	0.2000 10/1770	20.510	27031272	3210386	2371
0.670	-2. 40Z	0.0528	04007 67477	-71 079	2020, 107	3212676	2152
0.670	-2.889	O. ().541	06143	70 470	2270:240	3014747	1946
0.670	-2.875	0,0300	08079		1077 000	3016621	1761
0.670	-2.862	0.0370	6017Z		1070#3777 1757 370	TO19274	1554
0.670	-2,848	0.0386	62.577	-30.877	1000.020	30102/4 30105590	1207
0.670	-2.834	0.0401	64342	-3/.16%	1204.007	1992) 31 (1995) 7 1773 1973 1777 17	1102
0.7	-2.912	0,0416	66453	-38.749	11/2:40/	32207-31 7654767	1001
0.7	-2.899	0.0433	68722	-40,448	3065.448	ವಾನವನ∕7⁄ ಶ್ರಾಣಾಣಾ7∕1	1001 000
0.7	-2.835	0.0452	71172	-42.284	966.940 556.500	- SZZZ/94 2007/44	007
0.7	-2.871	0.0472	73852	-44.290	880.522	0220044	©∴Z ©//≞
0.7	-2.856	Q.0449	\$7811	-41.264	898.769	5224045 TOMETRE 4	04U 720
0.7	-2,842	0.0471	72763	-43.475	811.202	3220304	102
0.72	-2.887	0.0496	76053	-45.938	730.036	3226084	000
0.72	-2.873	0,0524	79766	-48.719	656.149	3226741	017
0.72	-2.799	0,0555	83985	-54.557	555.907	3227296	357 470
0.72	-2.773	0.0592	88887	-58.772	493.039	3227789	478
0.72	-2.749	0.0635	94669	-63.648	433.882	3228223	423
0.72	-2.724	0,0237	35105	-19.553	1370.755	3229594	1341
0.76	-2.820	0.0192	28215	-14.984	1671.245	3231265	1641
0.76	-2.797	0.0161	23426	-11.951	1997.338	3233263	1957
0.83	-2.961	0.0051	8806	-1.557	14611	3247873	14431
0.83	~2.798	0,0064	8617	-1.971	28617	3276490	26338
0.83	-2.612	റ, നെക്ള	8450	-1.846	28569	3305059	28291
0.83	-2.441	0,0050	5834	-2.684	14636	3319695	14616
0.93	-2.270	0.00%3	5597	-2.506	14774	3334469	14754
0.9	~2.301	0.0053	5370	-2,336	14950	3349419	14929
0.9	-2.092	$O = O O \overline{O} \overline{O} \overline{O}$	5452	-2.173	15244	3364663	15223
0.9	-1.848	0.0057	4954	-2.025	13125	3377789	13107
0.9	-1.559	(0.0060)	4761	-1.880	18028	3395827	18014
0.9	-1.711	0.0053	45/96	1.757	15804	3411631	15782
0.9	0.785	O, COUS	44.50	-1.595	15884	3427515	15862
0.9	-0.41	10 <b>_</b> ( 11 1 <sup>12 m</sup>	다 가운다.	1,1,4,4	11560	7439505	11974
0 Q	1,0000	0	17.70	1. S. A. T.	11690	734511755	11674
1 1	1 <b>1 1</b>	a di sa sa di di			5 - 14 Office	7.47.0 191	1 1 - 1
: 1				1	e – Eistada	14 - 1964 A	1 1
1 1			i		1.1.4		1,7 - 1
1 - 1	• • • • • •		· •	• •	1 - * - i	· ; : . ·	
1 - 1		1	· _	• . •	1.2.1	149 14	
1 - ·		• •			. <b>'</b>	the second second	····.
i . i . <u>.</u>				··	' .	1	
1	• • • • • •			<u> </u>		en en en antal a compañía de la comp	; .
· • • •		•					

~

XDIST	YDIST	DELTA TIME	TIME	
			ad Jama ayari di i maya yare dini saka sate w	 0/004 43
0.00	)	-	o ozaz04	00700.10
86.00	o 1030.3	9.834704	9.854704	
118.23	5 980.0	6.147228	15.98193	
148.33	3 970.7	6.013320	21.99820	
176.43	3 984.2	5.882483	27.87773	
202.69	9 1040.4	5.755518	33.8332U 10 FEV 74	
263.15	5 1003.0	8,923089	42,00604	
287.8	1 931.7	5.828732	48.38007	
305.6	3 1067.1	5.016247	50.40102 FO 17407	
324.8	8 1277.4	5.2/5605	28.87070	
342.7	7 1154.6	5.100777	-03.02//V -/0-0/004	
359.3	6 917.2	- 5.921234 	- 00- 04070 - 76 75/5/	
398.6	8 1087.0	7.903578	-70.70505 -01 55210	
412.5	2 956.8	4.799060	04 00430 04 00430	
425.4	1 900.1	4.070247 	00.24404	
437.4	8 1112.2	4.077100	- 70.04100 ok 70010	
448.6	9 1033.0 . oro o	) 4.407000 . a 700401	- 70.02730 - 00 76986	
459.1	0 909.2	( 4.380/471 /// 370744	107 0497	
468.6	0 1138.7	4.207011 / 7755540	110 4847	
491.5	2 1006.V		110.0047	
499.0	2 1107-3	алориан Полориан	118 4801	
505.0	9 1027.4 7 1007.0	5 3:049040 1 マーフムQム1日	122.2497	
012.0	3 100May 4 1007 /	/ 3,/07013   マームファムムΔ	125.5273	
518.0	a 1007	- 3,877004 > 3 A01703	129.5250	
029.2 EDA E	C9 LO4O⊪∡ ∵/ O2⊂5 /	1 3.601700 1 3 516A32	133.0397	
CD 2017 - 07 10012 / 1 - 75	ies 77∡.+~ ∋t 1100 €	) 3.010001 ) 3.449621	136.4893	
004.0 677 7		2 5 754073	142.2454	
्राख्याः (E	0 1070# )% QA% C	7 3.287403	145.5328	I
പുവർം ത തിലുള്ള സു	20 1070.8	3 3.231479	148.7643	;
	u 993.7	7 3.150359	151.9146	i i
	.m. 904.0	2 3.074675	154.5853	1
5002.10 545 E	1045.7	7 3.021715	158.0110	ł
558.7	571.8	9 2,948013	5 160.9590	)
571.4	957.0	5 2.882625	163.8412	
573.0	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	⇒ <b>2.81858</b> 8	1 166.6601	•
1976.4	1044.	4 2.772856	169.4111	
r, 181 -	985.	1 2. 263145	117. P.M.	•
1,500	5	to Park Markon	1 4. 11	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L	< 11 • • • • •	
·, i	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2.	્ર દાગ ગુજરાતિ છે.	;	
•	: •4 <b>7</b> .	A A Ele. 1		5
• • •	8-4.	i i de la testa	1+11, 11	
•	1	1	A THE LESS	
٠,	54 - A.M. 1941	°r i e ₂r a Erskrietar	1 1	
5	ere ti tata a	8		•
с, сул., <b>.</b>	75 I H12.	長いない よう対応する	1 1985. 2004	1
590.	90 1027.	0 2.21160	3 197.989	
597.0	92 948.	3 2.15073	1 200.140.	3

<u>نې</u>

-

-

سو4

598.85	874.7 2.090388 202.2307
599.72	806.8 2.031844 204.2626
602.24	1165.3 3.538553 207.8011
602-99	980.6 2.001751 209.8029
403.71	1017.9 1.995945 211.7988
604.32	869.9 1.867338 213.6662
604-96	1216.6 1.943734 215.6099
- LOS 53	1109.9 1.878909 217.4888
404 04	1012.6 1.816267 219.3051
	922 9 1.754864 221.0599
	OSA 9 1.804113 222.8641
	047 1 1 742195 224.6063
	703 3 1 482393 224.2886
607.87 (	765.3 1.002010 120100
508.25	700.4 1.021017 229.4742
608.55	640.7 1.000/07 1.771/
608°88	-360.0 1.400070 2007000000
609.12	407.0 1.020011 202.871 204 0 1 007745 973 5744
609.33	
60 <b>9.</b> 52	364.4 1.23/142 234.0110
- 609.69	330.7 1.191884 208.0000
607.84	301.2 1,149772 207.100V
510.00	307.4 1.174417 200.0279 207.4 1.174417 200.0279
510.15	2/7.4 1.127747 207.4004
610.28	249,7 1.081037 2497,007. 004 4 1 074977 241 5736
610,40	224.4 1.000000 241.0700 (47.0.0.044300 080 5379
610,00	140.7 0.704002 212.007
610.59	
610,67	
630.92	$740 \circ 1 744193 947.6330$
• (51.1.4.4.) 7.4.4.7.5	744 G 1 904514 749.5555
011.00	0005 4 5 044283 254.8238
001499059 7405-774	7007 7 7 505969 262.3298
	7972 4 7 712251 270.0420
620°00 707 07	744 0 5 $444421$ 275,7084
రామ7 • అంచ గాగం: 477	773 2 5.831865 281.5403
্যার <b>এ</b> জা একার <b>এ</b> জা	787 4 6.015197 287.5555
	797.8 6.235618 293.7911
1000.04 138 80	ABA.9 5.935303 299.7264
540 D3	944.1 7.162704 306.8891
645.22	827.1 6.930794 313.8199
548.22	851.3 7.183938 JC1.0039
6561.45	627.5 6.443388 317.4472
653.70	お生生:8 るい周期4000 154.0014
.5.4.72	528.6 6.580156 740.5816
A. Store and A	519 1 A. 524A57 347 1563
	estimation and the second second second
	Deal of the second second
2.5 St. 2.5 H	14.1 4.6 Ell - 1.1 - 1.7 - 1.7
	all a deal that the second
en sel e	
Carl Carl	a grand and a star free star and a star free sta



## Appendix H:

## Weight and C.G. Analysis

. ......

**Name** 

U

-

143

	CHEFINED W	EIGHT ESTIMATE -	COMPONENT	BREAKDOWN	1
	STT Ora	53180.0 LB			
		39000.0 LB			
	F1451 -	13960.0 LB			
	₽3EOT⊷	220.0 LB			
	to management to the	WIN65		70.0	DEGREES
	C I A E MARK	) _ از ا ط ط	TD-	0.03	DEGNEED
	e egi shame Fallan	4 - 1 A - 55	TC=	0.08	
~		2100.0	1 (5		
	UTHO NT=		3321.9	LE	
		VERTICAL TAI	1.5		
	AND INT AND	S WT=	3300.C	1.8	
		85.0	KIML=	1.25	
		10.0	Q=	1500.0	
	tri stati a care	NT=	6138.7	LR	
—					
		LANDING GEAR			
	olivis (pie		1751.8	LB	
-		1777 (F. 1777) (F. 11777) (F. 11777)			
	r Heren mar d		10000.0	18	
	00000000000000	(AG1C WT=	6000.0	L.B	
	LID CONT	:01 379:			
	un al-	:	2000.0	L B	
	このでに 長 線法		700.0	L.E	
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	f'''54.			
		to the term	2497 O	1 50	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AID SUPPORT WITH	536.9	LB	
	and and	DRATH SYS WIS	70.9	I B	
	u can	网络 岛学岛 刺子曲	251.3	I.F	
	t ti strati Broch A	HIROLS:			
				7.542110318	R STRANTT - RAMIETA
		r Adeide		Statistic (1913-191	
	· ,	61 320 1 <b>11</b> -	1 (3), 1	1. F	
_		11 t <u>;</u>			
			: 1 :	; i	• 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
_		. !	• •	••	
			с т		
	-	al Antonio Referencia	• •	ĩ	
		to tati kaonina tati di tati	to - For a taken to	THE ALL	
		1			
	517 / F	111 UI	,47.7	1 I.	
				144	

· · ·

	INSTRUMENTS			
~	TTENT INGT WT-	16.7	L_E	· · ·
	FURTHE THAT WT=	35.8	LB	
	HIGGELLANDOUS INST WT=	8.0	L B	
-	ON BOARD COMPLITERS WIS	200.0	LB	
	and the second of the second second second			
	TOTAL INSTRUMENT WT=	260.5	LB	
	FURNISHINGS			
	COULTER SEAT WI=	171.2	i.Ei	
	FORPOTARY FOULFMENT WT=	73.7	L E	
-	治学学动作用 电学研究开始 制工学	16.9	LB	
		114.1	i B	
	TOTAL STRUCTURES AND CONTRACTOR	242.9	18	(FOR LOW SPEED USE ONLY)
	A SPECIAL CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR OF A			
-	THE TABLE AND A THE PARTY OF A STREET AND A	A18.7	1 Fi	
	Electronic and a substance of the substa			
		MG		
		900	) F4	
	1. B. S. C. S. M. S. M. S. S. S. M. S M. S. M. S. M	700	1 50	
	Fig. 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (	150		
		200	1	
	《音》(F-1) - 1997年1月1日)(1月19日)(1月19日)		L.D	
	INTELTIO C/C RT-	1050	FI	
	1 (1 ) (4) (1 ) (1 ) (1 ) (1 ) (1 ) (2 ) (2 ) (4) (4)			-
		39066.4	1 B	
	A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT A CONTRACT A CONTRACT AND A CONTRACT	57004L4	i E	
	A service of the serv	ALCONTROL AND AND AND A	<b>6</b>	
		IR IS AV	AILA	ABLE FOR STRUCTURAL USE
	tont of the state of a line ender			
	90000011、360006月116601166011660116	ASSUMING	COMP	POSITE MATERIALS
	a na shi ya 1997 ya 19			

\_

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

.

				(FROM N	IGSE)		
		3321.9	L.E	50.1	FT	166424.9	FT-LB
	1516	1300.0	LB	73.5	FT	95550.0	FT-LB
	THOTLADE	6138.7	LB	41.2	FT	252915.8	FT-LB
	CROWN CEAR	525.5	LB	17.0	FT	8934.3	FT-LB
	REAR DEAR	1226.3	L.E	65.3	FT	80075.6	FT-LB
	Pate FUS	10000.0	L.B	55.0	FT	55000.0	FT-LB
	CHORAGE TEN	6000.0	L.B	57.0	FT	342000.0	FT-LB
~	HAFT SVS	2000.0	E B	37.5	ĒΤ	225000.0	FT-LB
	H977LE SV8	700.0	L E	71.0	FT	49700.0	FT-LB
	INTE SAB	3341.6	LB	46.2	FT	154380.2	FT-LB
—	THOTHE CONTROLS	108.1	L.G	48.9	FT	5285.1	FT-LB
	CTAFTING SYS	507.9	L B	47.1	FT	28630.5	FT-LB
	COMPTON C	747.3	LB	68.3	FT	51038.0	FT-LB
	L LOTEL MENTS	250.5	LB	22.1	FT	5757.3	FT-LB
	HOTE CHAR THE SYS	900.C	LB	3.1	FT	2790.0	FT-LB
	HENC COOLINE SYS	200.0	LB	31.5	FT	22050.0	FT-LB
	CTAR CODE THE SYS	150.0	L.E	51.1	FT	7665.0	FT-LB
	THET COOLING SYS	200.0	L.B	47.1	FT	9420.0	FT-LB
	TT 1722 COLT 14G45	618.7	LB	26.0	FT	16087.1	FT-LE
		220.0	I.B	26.0	FT	5720.0	FT-LB
		39066.4	L.F			2079424	FT-LB
_		XCG	(NO FUEL)=	53.2	ΕT		
	( CLAIMED (FREE)	13960.0	1F	46.2	FT	644952.0	FT-LB
		53026.4	L El			2724376	FT-LF
		XCS	(FULL)=	51,4	FT		
		& VER	AGE XCO=	52.3	FT		

DISTANCE

MOMENT

\_ OTHER OF GRAVITY DETERMINATION

٠

WEIGHT

CONFORME

# Appendix I:

### Stability and Control Calculations

# GTAPHLITY AND CONTROL OF A/C:

• • •

AL 1 1 1

· 0. .25

0.271

•,

4

÷ .

.

_		AIRCR	AFT PARAME	ETERS
	S=-	2100	FT^2	WING PLANFORM AREA
	AR⇔	1.1		ASPECT RATIO
	B=	48	FT	WING SPAN
	CHUCEP-	70	DEGREES	SWEEP ANGLE
	IF=	0.083		TAFER RATIO
<del></del>	(7)7	8	FT	MEAN FLAP CHORD
	CR=	ക	FT	ROOT CHORD
	SV5=	444	FT^2	TOTAL VERTICAL STAR AREA
	70±	5	FT	VERT DISTANCE BETWEEN CG AND VERT STAB AC
_	1113=	20.8	FT	HORZ DISTANCE BETWEEN CG AND VERT STAB AC
	Z	5	FT	DISTANCE BETWEEN THRUST LINE AND CG
	HCG=	53	FT	DISTANCE TO CG
<u> </u>	}-} <b>;</b> -;C:==	57	FT	DISTANCE TO AC (BELOW $M =4$ )
	1,17)E ==	40	FT^3	VOLUME OF FUSELAGE
	-  =-	7	57	MEAN FUSELAGE HEIGH!
<u></u>	`} <del>;</del>	12	F	MEAN FUSELAGE WIDTH
		3.05		1.1F1 UUKVE SLUPE (RELUW M = .4)
	•	0.13		(1F) (0) = (0) +
	(), { )#- (*) h 4	Chi Chui		DRAG UDEFFICIENT (BELUW $P = .47$
_	()) <del>   </del>	0.04	1	NUMERI USEFFICIENI (MELOW M = .4)
	9.805 798.877	3 73624.2 • 2525-25	1.33735-177320 3.375-2377775-675	DYNARIL FREDDUKE Mtoduliter dunamic deserver
	har tean	1,00,00	1.07151112	BIABILIZER DINAPIC FREDDURE
-	1.1			CHROLIT CACH IDCLA
		LONGI	LUDINAL S	TABILITY
	t4:0	40.25	F.T.	MEAN AERODYNAMIC CHORD
r magne				= 2/3*CR * ( (1+TR+TR^2) / (1+TR) )
	(3) (4) an	0.099		STATIC MARGIN (AVERAGE)
_				= (HAE-HEG) / MAC
	停口把 #4	0.139		STATIC MARGIN (FULLY FUELED)
-				
	的報告	0.094		STATIC MARGIN (EMPTY)
	00 4 A	್ಷ ಕನ್ನಡ		A DUA MOMENT CODECIDIENT (SHOULD DE 20)
	- i (+⊰ <sup>100</sup>			- LOM 4 CLA
	(1115°C).	0.470		CE MODENT COFFFICIENT (SHOULD RE >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
	• • •	51 <b>•</b> 1 5 191		= UM + SM + CL + Z/MAC + CD
	$(x,y) \in \{x,y\}$			
				1946 - E 1945 - E 194
		: :	ti	$e^{-\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ , $e^{-\mathbf{k}_{1}}$ , $e^{-\mathbf{k}_{1}}$
		• · · ·	۰ <u>-</u>	
_			· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

148

• •

· · · ·

1. 1. 1. 1.

Q16.17

0.012

0.005

1.11

1.011

tre∎e), te

6.039

01057

. . . .

• · · ·

1.1.12

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

0.011

- LATERAL STABILITY --

THE LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVE:

\_\_\_\_

_	CL.R+	0.069	ROLLING MOMENT COEFFICIENT = CL/4 - 2 * LVS/B * ZV/B*CYB
	₹., <del>—</del>	10374000	ROLLING MOMENT = CLR * (Q*S*R)
	CL DW-	-0.750	WING LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVE FIG. 21-8 (NICOLAI)
	F)} {{{F}}	0.046	FUSELAGE LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVE LOW WING EQN 21-13 (NICOLAI)
—	(明天) ==	1.401	STABILIZER PARAMETER
_	(1 비중=	-0.022	STABILIZER LATERAL STABILITY DERIVATIVE = - CLA * SP * QVS/Q * SVS/S * ZV/B
	{`}]](=	-0,728	10TAL LATERAL STAB DERIVATIVE (SHOULD BE <0) = CLBW + CLBF + CLBS
	UNE OTEAO	M STATE ROL	A RATE:
_ `	()} F'≕	-0.105	DAMPING IN ROLL COEFFICIENT FIG 21-9 (NICOLAI)
	( <u>]</u> [)A=	0.040	AILERON CONTROL POWER DERIVATIVE FIG 4.28 (ROSKAM)
	Ţ? ¢∴:=	20.00	MAXIMUM AILERON DEFLECTION (DEGREES)
	È è ma	31.75	ROLL RATE (DEGREES/SEC) V= 100 = -2*V/B * CLDA/CLP * DA
-	3 ×	83,40	KOLL RATE (DEGREES/SEC) V= 200 = -2*V/B * CLDA/CLP * DA
	(-)	126. <del>9</del> 8	ROLL RATE (DEGREES/SED) V= 400 = 1/#V/R * CLOA/CLP * DA

••

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

•

--- DIRECTIONAL (WEATHERCOCK) STABILITY --

VVS= 0.092 VERTICAL TAIL VOLUME COEFFICIENT = (LVS\*SVS) / (B\*S)

- THE DIRECTIONAL STABILITY DERIVATIVE:

- CHEF = -0.004 FUSELAGE DIR. STABILITY DERIVATIVE = -1/3 \* (VOL/S) \* (H/W)
- CHEW= 0.015 WING DIR. STABILITY DERIVATIVE EQN 21-22 (NICOLAI)
- CNR=0.102DIRECTIONAL STAB DERIVATIVE (SHOULD BE >0)=CNBF + CNBW + (VVS\*CLA\*SP\*QVS/Q)

DUBDOFR REAUTREMENTS:

-

. . .

	==94C(	20.00	MAXIMUM RUDDER DEFLECTION (DEGREES)
		0.001	RUDDER CONTROL POWER (ENGINE OUT CONDITION) = (THRUST+ENG DRAG) / (0*S*B*DR)
	MADECH-	0.001	RUDDER CONTROL POWER (CROSSWIND CONDITION) = -CNB * BETA / DR (BETA=11.5 DEGREES)
-	! =	0.493	TAU (DETERMINED USING MAXIMUM CNDR VALUE) = CNDR / (.9*CLAVS*VV5)
—	RET [()=	0.28	TAH. AREA RATIO (SR/SVS) FIG 21.12 (NICOLAI)
_	<b>GF</b> ==	124.32	TOTAL RUDDER AREA

= SVS \* RATIO

-

-- TRIM REQUIREMENTS --

.

•

-----

. . . . .

--

. . · ·

	CID PARAMET	ER:						
<del></del>	C}_7)7=	3.ó		THEORETICAL FIG 6.1.1.	CLD VAL 1-7A (D	UE ATCOM)		
	CLDR=	0.7		CORRECTION FIG 6.1.1.	ATIO OF 1-78 (D	CLD/CLDT ATCOM)		
	Viter	0.949		BETA = ( (1 - M	142) )a.	5		
	CL.D=	2.456		CLD = 1/B * CL	.DR * CL	DT		
·	ana ana araba arababar	THE POWER						
	n n na Chuir Chuirtean a	PALIER PARAMETER	•					
-		े.199		FLAP CHORD F = FLAP CHC	RATIO DRD / AI	RFOIL CHOR	D	
	(~)•4(~)•2.	-0.159		CMD = -2 * ( (	(1-CFC)	* (CFC)^3	)^.5	
		-0.423		ELEVON CONTR = -SM*CLD	KOL POWE +CMD	R		
	STATEL	0.000		REQUIRED ELE = (SM*CLA*	EVATOR D KALPHA)	EFLECTION / ECP	(ALPHA =	= 0)
-	()C()=	0.000		TRIM DRAG (4 F10 9.25	ALPHA = (NICOLAI	<b>0)</b>		
—	(F);Ffm	-1.240		REQUIRED ELE	EVATOR D KALPHA:	EFLECTION / ECP	(ALPHA :	= 5)
-	DCI	0.002		TRIM DRAG (4 F16 9.25	ALPHA = (NICOLAI	5)		
_	1100 (C) (C)	FOR SH (	NACH	4):	속허다		-SME	
		<b>11</b>	CD	LETEC	DEIRE D	DERED	DERED	
			-			- 1 TT CD 2		
		so, sy N Gi_lin	1	1. ( ) ( ) 1. ( ) (	-		-0.947 -0.719	
		•		•••			· · · · · ·	
-			∽ <b>.</b> :	• •	•	• •	· · ·	
		,	• • •	•			, <b>≀, *</b> •.	

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

.

MANEUVERS:

	CLMAX = 0.29	978 VS 172 VL	TALL = : AND = :	229.5706 275.4847	FT/SEC = FT/SEC =	156.5254 187.8304	MI/HR MI/HR
_	0 - 21 PHD - 0.0020	100 377					
	- MAXIMUM V (FT/SEC)	ROLL RATE P (DEG/SEC)					
	a na ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	87.30					
	300	95.24					
	3730	3 1 1 . 1 1					
	4 () ()	126.98					
	450	142.86					
	s	158.73					
	550	174.60					
	esto)	190.48					
-	一 打击打扰圈	TURNING RADIUS	(3G)-				
	V (FF/SEC)	R (MILES)		V (FT/9	SEC)	R (MILES	3 >
<u> </u>	()))) <b>(</b> ]	0.16		1000		2.08	
	$\odot O \mathbb{Z}$	0.19		2000		8.31	
	350	0.25		3000		18 70	
	4450	0.33		4000		<u>रु</u> ष्ट्र, २म्	
	辺辺の	() 42		5000		51 94	
	F <)/)	0.52		5000		74 92	
	Tree is a	0.43		7000		101 07	
	(s))))	0.75		8000		103.000 103.000	
	• • •	V. 7 G		8000		3.00.3 - U.L 47.05 - 77.4	
				10000		100.04	
						207.82	
	<b>大水子 计上书 机标准</b> 标准	DIRE THE EASTERS	ر بسیر ا				
	11 (EB/SEC)	FULL OF MHERS	(3 (3) -		:EC:	D ZMTLEC	2.5
		in the LL Co		• • • • • •	3 <b>L.</b> (	n vritikasta	
	() T T	0.22		1000		⊃ QA	
	<u>(4</u> :37)	0.26		2000		11 74	
		0. 56		2000			
	23127	0.47		4000		A7 03	
	276	0.40		50.00		77.00	
	<b>F</b> (a) (61			2000			
				Trans.			
		1 1 2		Stories			
-				1.11.15.11.1		188.10	
		Frank Johan C. 2.7 Ta					
		i chi contratti contratti i di contratti contratti		·	<u>.</u>		
				•	. (	· (1.):	r
	•			•		• • •	
	:	• •				4 <b>•</b> ·	
		• •				• • • •	
		• - ' '					
	· · ·			€ 1. 1 <b>1</b> . 1 1 - 1 - 1		an a	
	· · ·	1 # 4 - 4 200 - 112 - 11	1 5	2000 NG 10 - 2020 - 10		721-01 ma am	
	( · · · /	6.7 <b>-</b> 12 - 6	15	<b>2 a</b> 000		94.05	

### Appendix J:

•

......

•

#### Cost Analysis

153

and a second second

COST ANALYSIS (1990 DOLLARS) ENDINEERING HOURS: MAXIMUM SPEED (KNOTS) 5935.00 S:--AMPR WEIGHT 20100.00 Ê; = 1.00 QUANTITY PRODUCED ():= # OF ENGINEERING HOURS 57512638 F.= HOURLY ENGINEERING RATE \$45.75 RATES ENGINEERING LABOR COST \$2,631,030,667 C()/3T= DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT: DEVELOPMENT SUPP COST \$1,958,839,157 TO TONT TEST OPERATIONS: FLIGHT TEST OF COSTS **±55,340,978** Г т... で白白いて目的と # OF TOOLING HOURS 17203847 . ... HOURLY TOOLING RATE \$32.76 RATE≖ TOOLING COSTS \$629,111,470 **(**)(3)] = 内部計算的有效的工具的工具的 上台的DR: # OF MANUE HOURS 4960105 1 .... HOURLY MANUE RATE 事公臣。51 PATE-MAUF LABOR COSTS COSTR 事主之后,四主公,434 四時時 医下颌 化原料管理图 :: # OF 0/C HOURS Ctj:C= 544814 事業的 内立 HOURLY 0/C RATE 72日7月年 QUALITY CONTROL COSTS \$10,148.61A <u>(1001)</u> HEATSHE SHO EQUIPHENT: MATERIAL & EQUIP COSTS **≱}6.**298.0⊬6 NY AND AND ANE BUILDS: • • • AND A THE THE REPORT : ŧ... 5 . . . • • 1、114、11、11月6日 INH (F)。 FIC ... A COMP 1.1.149.5 τ, (4) (F) 「1464121号。 计计图书 招行业 SURAMUEL COETS #10.102.Fac AVIONICS COSTS 2013/01110/54 **±1,**700,000

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

154