$N91-2$

1 7

The Influence of Advanced Processina on **PWA** 1480 L. G. Fritzemeier and G. D. Schnittgrund Rocketdyne Division Rockwell International

Single crystal nickel base superalloys have been evaluated for potential **application** In the Space Shuttle Main Engine (SSME) high pressure turbopump turbines. Single crystals exhibit low cycle and high cycle fatigue life Improvements over directionally solidified, hafnium modified, MAR-M246 (DS MAR-M246), the current SSME turbine blade alloy. The extreme start and stop thermal transients, high rotational speeds and high frequency vibrational modes dictate that these properties are life limiting in the SSME. In contrast, the long time creep and stress rupture behavior in gas turbine engines, for which the turbine blade alloys were developed, are not of concern for the SSME application. Although the fatigue life improvements due to a direct material substitution are significant, additional gains are possible through the application of advanced processing techniques to the single crystal production sequence. The primary initiation sites for fracture in single crystal superalloys, especially under cyclic loads, are internal casting pores. This casting porosity is inherent due to the dendritic solidification of these two phase systems. Pores are caused by shrinkage of the interdendritic liquid during final solidification. The primary objective of this program was to evaluate the potential improvements in microstructures and material properties due to the reduction in size and density of these casting defects due to the application of high thermal gradient casting and hot isostatic pressing.

PWA 1480 was chosen as the alloy to be evaluated for this program. The alloy had previously been chosen for the SSME application after screening of the commercially available single crystal alloys. PWA 1480 exhibited superior hydrogen environment embrittlement resistance relative to other candidate single crystal superalloys. In addition, a significant data base of material properties had been compiled for this alloy. Baseline tests for this program were conducted on standard commercial thermal gradient cast and standard PWA 1480 heat treated material. High thermal gradient casting was evaluated as an avenue for reducing the size of casting porosity. Hot isostatic pressing (HIP) was also employed for the elimination of casting pores. An alternate to the standard PWA t480 coating pius diffusion bonding aging heat treatment cycle was also evaluated for potential improvements in the properties of interest to the SSME application. Microstructural changes associated with the high thermal gradient casting process were quantified by measurement of the size and density of the casting porosity, amount of retained casting eutectic and dendrite arm spacings. Tensile tests were conducted in air at 760°C. Stress rupture tests were conducted in air at 871°C at an initial applied stress of 620 MPa. Statistically determined numbers of low cycle and high cycle fatigue tests were conducted, for each material condition, to quantify changes in life due to process improvements. Three low cycle fatigue tests were conducted at 538°C and 2.0% total strain range and eight high cycle fatigue tests were conducted at room temperature and at 871°C, at a stress ratio of 0.47.

High thermal gradient casting was found to reduce both the size and density of the internal casting porosity relative to the standard thermal gradient process. The smaller pore size is a result of the decreased dendrite arm spacing afforded by the higher casting thermal gradient. Elemental segregation between the dendritic and interdendritic regions is also reduced. The reduced pore size provided an increase in both low cycle and high cycle fatigue lives relative to the standard gradient material, in addition, the high thermal gradient material exhibited a superior combination of tensile strength and ductility, though with a reduction in short time stress rupture life. These results are

complicated somewhat due to the introduction of the alternate heat treatment schedule along with the high gradient casting process. The high cycle fatigue life improvement should be more sensitive to the initiating flaw (pore) size, while the low cycle fatigue, tensile and stress rupture behaviors are more generally related **to** the morphology and character of the strengthening gamma prime precipitates.

Test results from the high gradient cast and HIP material were poor due to post-HIP heat treatment problems. HIP of the standard thermal gradient material was followed by the alternate heat treatment cycle. The combination of strength and ductility was improved somewhat, especially at 760°C. Stress rupture **life** was again found to be reduced relative to the standard process material. Low cycle fatigue life was approximately doubled, by the application of HIP and the alternate heat treatment relative to the baseline material. High cycle fatigue lives were conducted at stress levels different from **the** baseline material so a direct statistical comparison is difficult. An increase in life is indicated.

The **results of** the program **have** shown **an improvernent** in material **microstructure** due **to high thermal gradient casting. Improved hom0geneity of PWA 1480 is advantageous in providing an improved solution heat treatment window and, potentially,** eas|er **HiP. High thermal gradient casting improves** fatigue **life by reducing casting pore size. The alternate heat treatment improves the balance of strength and ductility which appears to improve low cycle fatigue life, but with a reduction in short time stress rupture life. Based upon the testing** from **this program,** hot **isostatic pressing appears to afford** further **improvements** in **cyclic life, though additional evaluation is suggested. Development of the alternate heat treatment is not recommended due to the reduced stress rupture capability and the need to deve|op a new properties data base. High thermal gradient casting and HIP are recommended** for **application to single crystal castings.**

 Ξ

Ī

Improving Properties of Rocket Engine Turbine Blades

COMMANDATION COMMANDERS

ADVANCED PROCESSING **OF PWA 1480** PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

EVALUATE THE **INFLUENCE** OF **HIGH THERMAL** GRADIENT **CASTING, HOT** ISOSTATIC PRESSING AND ALTERNATE HEAT TREATMENT ON THE MICROSTRUCTURE AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF A SINGLE CRYSTAL NICKEL BASE SUPERALLOY \mathcal{L}

D **1** 89-2-2

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 PROGRAM LOGIC

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 ALLOY SELECTION CRITERIA

• HYDROGEN ENVIRONMENT EMBRITTLEMENT RESISTANCE SUPERIOR TO DS MAR-M246

• EXISTING DATA BASE

• PWA 1480 **HAD BEEN SELECTED FOR SSME TURBINE BLADE DEVELOPMENT**

D1-89-2-4

í. ÷.

 \mathbb{I} Ì. $\label{eq:1}$ i m ÷. t,

> $\ddot{\cdot}$ ă,

Ė,

Î.

ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

Influence of Casting Process on Microstructure of PWA 1480

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 **CASTING THERMAL GRADIENT**

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

D1-89-2-2

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 DENDRITE ARM SPACINGS

ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

 $\bar{\beta}$

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 AVERAGE TENSILE TEST RESULTS

D 1-89-2-8

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 AVERAGE STRESS RUPTURE TEST RESULTS

D1-89-2-9

BLACK AND WHITE FROTOGRAP ORIGINAL PAGE

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 STRESS RUPTURE FRACTOGRAPHY

FRACTURE SURFACE OF NON-HIP, HIGH GRADIENT STRESS RUPTURE BAR. FRACTURE INITIATES AT INTERNAL CASTING POROSITY AT 'A' AND LINKS UP BY DUCTILE TEARING AT 'B'.

D1-89 -2-**10**

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 STRESS RUPTURE FRACTOGRAPHY

LONGITUDINAL SECTION THROUGH FAILED STRESS RUPTURE BAR SHOWS CRACK INITIATION AT INTERNAL CASTING POROSITY (ARROWS)

D1-89-2-11

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 LOW CYCLE FATIGUE TEST RESULTS

538C, 2.0% STRAIN RANGE

D1-89-2-12

D1-89-2-14

III

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 LOWCYCLE FATIGUEFRAcTOGRAPHY

CRACK INITIATION IS AT THE SPECIMEN SURFACE OR AT NEAR SURFACE DEFECTS **PROPAGATION IS STAGE I ON {111} TYPE PLANES**

R = -1.0, 538C

ORIGINAL PAGE BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPH

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 HIGH CYCLE FATIGUE TEST RESULTS $(R = 0.47)$

*** TESTED AT DIFFERENT STRESS LEVELS**

D1-89-2-15

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF PWA 1480 STANDARD GRADIENT HCF RESULTS

78

ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

ADVANCED PROCESSING OF **PWA** 1480 CONCLUSIONS **AND** RECOMMENDATIONS

• HIGH THERMAL GRADIENT CASTING

• PRODUCES LESS SEGREGATED, FINER MICROSTRUCTURE

• **REDUCES PORE SIZE**

• RECOMMENDED FOR POTENTIAL IMPROVED HEAT TREATMENT AND **HIP**

• HOT ISOSTATIC PRESSING

- **REMOVES INTERNAL CASTING POROSITY**
- **• SIGNIFICANT CYCLIC** LIFE **IMPROVEMENT**
- **RECOMMENDED FOR APPLICATIONS INVOLVING FATIGUE LIMITED LIFE**

° ALTERNATE HEAT TREATMENT

, SOME TENSILE PROPERTY IMPROVEMENT

• BENEFIT IS NOT SIGNIFICANT ENOUGH TO WARRANT APPLICATION

D1-89-2-4

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{2}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{2}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{2}d\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3$

 $\tilde{\xi}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll} \hline \textbf{1} & \textbf{$

 $\label{eq:1.1} \begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 & \mathbf{u}_3 & \mathbf{u}_4 & \mathbf{u}_5 & \mathbf{u}_6 & \mathbf{u}_7 & \mathbf{u}_8 & \mathbf{u}_9 & \mathbf{u$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

ł,