

A Semi-annual Report for the Sponsored  
Research NASA NAG-1055 (5-1055)  
Principal Investigator: M.C. Lee  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Contract Monitor: Dr. R.F. Benson  
NASA/GSFC

NAG 5-1055  
IN-32-CR  
43802  
p-62

# Observations of Enhanced Radar Backscatter (ERB) from Millstone

Hill

(NASA-CR-188877) OBSERVATIONS OF ENHANCED  
RADAR BACKSCATTER (ERB) FROM MILLSTONE HILL  
Semiannual Report (MIT) 62 p CSCL 17I

N91-32280

Unclas  
G3/32 0043802

Intense ( $\approx 20$  dB) enhancements of the incoherent radar backscatter spectrum from the topside ionosphere have been observed with the Millstone Hill UHF radar. Enhancements occurring at the local ion acoustic frequency causing large asymmetries in the measured ion line may be produced by current-driven instabilities [Foster et al., 1988] (henceforth, FOS88). These enhancements pose a practical problem for space surveillance systems because their cross section and spectral width are characteristic of satellites.

Conversely, their hard target signature complicates the study of naturally occurring ERB events; it is nearly impossible to distinguish them from satellites based on a single measurement. Statistical comparisons of observed coherent echo distributions with predictions from a satellite catalog have been used to broadly identify periods of ERB activity. A series of experiments using multiple diagnostics, including satellite instruments, for simultaneous observations have established the association of ERB

with large fluxes of soft suprathermal electrons carrying field-aligned currents. Zenith data are also presented which show the asymmetric growth of ion acoustic waves directly above Millstone Hill. Zenith measurements filter out satellite contamination because the geometry constrains the Doppler shift from orbiting bodies to velocities significantly less than the ion sound speed in the  $F$  region.

Details of these results are presented in this chapter. First, however, a brief description of the normal incoherent scatter spectrum is reviewed, followed by a description of the characteristics of the ERB spectra.

## 5.1 Incoherent (Thomson) Scatter Process

The radar technique for studying the earth's upper atmosphere typically employs a (HF, VHF or UHF) radar to transmit a powerful pulse of electromagnetic radiation into the atmosphere. Following transmission, the radar is used to receive the radiation scattered by the atmosphere back to the antenna. If the details of the scattering process are understood, meaningful information about the medium can be extracted through the appropriate processing of the weak received signal. For the partially ionized upper atmosphere above about 60 km altitude, the electromagnetic waves are scattered by electrons in a process known as *incoherent* or *Thomson* scatter, named after J. J. Thomson who first showed that individual electrons can scatter electromagnetic radiation [1906].

### 5.1.1 Relationship Between Density Fluctuations and Scattering Properties

The frequency spectrum of the high frequency radiation scattered by the ionosphere is directly related to the power spectrum of the electron density fluctuations in the

plasma. Mathematically the cross section of the plasma as a function of radar carrier offset frequency,  $\omega$ , may be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\omega} = \sigma_e V \langle |n(\mathbf{k}, \omega)|^2 \rangle \quad (5.1)$$

Here,  $\sigma_e \approx 10^{-28} \text{m}^2$  is the scattering cross section of a single electron,  $V$  is the scattering volume,  $\langle \rangle$  indicate a time average;  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\omega$  are the difference of the incident and scattered wave vectors and frequencies, respectively, *viz.*  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_s$  and  $\omega = \omega_i - \omega_s$ . For the usual backscatter radar geometry,  $\mathbf{k} = 2\mathbf{k}_i$ ; thus, the incident radar wavelength,  $2\pi/|\mathbf{k}_i|$ , determines the scale size of density fluctuations sampled. Here,  $n(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  is the space-time Fourier transform of the usual number density in the plasma,  $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , defined by

$$n(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{VT} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt n(\mathbf{r}, t) \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\omega t] \quad (5.2)$$

$T$  in this equation represents a time greater than the correlation time of the plasma medium. The total cross section of the plasma can be obtained by integrating (5.1) over  $\omega$ ,

$$\sigma_{tot} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{\omega} d\omega \quad (5.3)$$

### Spectrum of Density Fluctuations

The key to determining the characteristics of the received scattered wave, then, lies in calculating the power spectrum of density fluctuations in the space plasma.

When only the random thermal motions of the scattering particles are considered, the spectrum exhibits a broad peak centered on the carrier frequency and a characteristic width of the electron thermal velocity,  $\Delta\omega \approx kv_{te}$  [Fejer, 1960]. The total cross section as determined by (5.3) is found to be simply  $N\sigma_e$ , where  $N$  represents the total number of electrons in the scattering volume. This result has a straightforward physical interpretation as follows.

The electrons are assumed to undergo random thermal motions, implying that each electron will scatter signals of random phase relative to the other electrons. At the radar receiver the signal powers rather than the signal voltages will add, and the resulting cross section will be equal to the sum of the individual contributions of each electron,  $N\sigma_e$ . The random phase of the scattered signals suggests the use of the name *incoherent scatter* to describe this process.

However, as more detailed calculations show, the presence of ions in the plasma does introduce a degree of coherence between the electrons' motions [e.g., Hagfors, 1961; Salpeter, 1960, 1961; Dougherty and Farley, 1960; and others]. The influence of the ions is found to be important when the incident radar wavelength is much larger than the so-called *Debye length* in the plasma, given by


$$\lambda_D = \left( \frac{\epsilon_0 K T_e}{n e^2} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \frac{v_{te}}{\omega_p} \right) \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\epsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space,  $K$  is Boltzmann's constant, and  $T_e$ ,  $n$ ,  $e$ ,  $v_{te}$ , and  $\omega_p$  are the electron temperature, density, charge, thermal velocity and plasma frequency, respectively.

The Debye length is measure of the distance over which the plasma can shield out electric fields; in the ionospheric *F* region,  $\lambda_d \approx .003$  meters. For distances greater than this length the plasma dynamics are characterized by collective processes rather than the random thermal interactions of individual electrons. The resulting scattering can best be thought of as arising from density fluctuations associated with electrostatic oscillations in the plasma<sup>1</sup>. The idealized power spectrum of density fluctuations under these conditions is shown in Figure 5-1.

---

<sup>1</sup>The term *incoherent* is not strictly accurate in this case and an alternative name, *Thomson scatter*, has also been applied to this phenomenon.



**Idealized Incoherent Scatter Spectrum**

Figure 5-1: Incoherent scatter power spectrum when  $\lambda \gg \lambda_d$ . [after Rishbeth, 1989]

### 5.1.2 The Incoherent Scatter Radar Spectrum

The power spectrum in Figure 5-1 consists of two components. The double-humped central spectrum results from density fluctuations associated with ion waves and is correspondingly known as the *ion line*. The sharp peaks flanking the ion line are caused by high frequency electron density fluctuations called plasma waves; this component is therefore known as the *plasma line*.

#### The Ion Line

The ion waves that give rise to the ion line in the incoherent scatter spectrum are longitudinal oscillations with a phase velocity given by the “sound” speed in the plasma. The dispersion relation for these ion acoustic waves is given here,

$$\frac{\omega}{k} = \left( \frac{KT_e + KT_i}{M_i} \right)^{1/2} \equiv C_s \quad (5.5)$$

where  $M_i$  is the ion mass and  $C_s$  is defined as the ion sound speed in the plasma, typically 1–2 km/sec in the ionospheric  $F$  region. While these waves are ion oscillations,

the electrons follow the motion because of their electrostatic attraction to the massive ions. The two peaks in the ion line power spectrum may be thought of as reflections from ion acoustic waves travelling towards (upshifted peak) and away (downshifted peak) from the radar. The peaks are broadened due to ion thermal motions. Analysis of the shape and magnitude of the spectrum yields several important ionospheric parameters [see, *e.g.*, *Evans*, 1969].

The spectral width corresponds to the ion acoustic phase velocity, which from Equation (5.5) depends primarily on the electron temperature and the mass of the dominant ion species. The offset,  $\delta f_i$ , of the entire spectrum relative to the radar center frequency yields the line-of-sight bulk plasma drift. The electron-ion temperature ratio determines the sharpness of the spectrum's peaks. The area under the ion line curve is directly related to the electron density. These parameters are usually derived through a numerical model which adjusts their values to achieve a best fit to the observed power spectrum.

### The Plasma Line

The plasma line component of the incoherent scatter spectrum is usually much weaker than the ion line and more difficult to measure. The sharp peaks result from electron oscillations near the plasma frequency. The dispersion relation for these waves in a magnetized plasma is given by,

$$\omega^2 = \omega_p^2 + \frac{3}{2}k^2v_{te}^2 + \Omega_e^2 \sin^2 \theta \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\Omega_e$  is the electron cyclotron frequency and  $\theta$  is the angle between the wave and the geomagnetic field. Usually the dominant term in (5.6), the electron plasma frequency,  $\omega_p \propto n^{1/2}$ , provides a very accurate indicator of the electron density. The intensity of the plasma line is dependent on the electron temperature. The difference in the relative offset frequency between the upshifted and downshifted lines allows a

determination of the bulk electron drift velocity. This velocity can be compared with the bulk velocity estimated from the ion line measurement to calculate the relative drift between the electrons and ions (*i.e.*, current).

In summary, we have presented the characteristics of the normal incoherent scatter power spectrum. The spectral features of the recently observed enhanced radar backscatter, examined in the next section, exhibit significant departures from the normal spectrum.

## 5.2 Characteristics of Enhanced Radar Backscatter (ERB)

Intense coherent radar returns from the topside of the ionospheric  $F$  region have been a regular feature of the Millstone Hill UHF incoherent scatter radar data for many years. Because these returns are characterized by the large radar cross sections and narrow spectral widths which can result from satellite penetration of the  $1^\circ$  radar beam, they have, until recently, been dismissed solely as hard target contamination of the incoherent scatter data. Foster et. al [1987] noted an anomalously high occurrence of intense radar echoes in the vicinity of the mid-latitude ionospheric trough. The unique geophysical conditions associated with the trough, such as field-aligned current activity and steep plasma density gradients, suggest that some of the coherent returns may be caused by enhanced ionospheric plasma density fluctuations, rather than orbiting objects intersecting the radar beam [FOS88].

The generation of such spectra may be attributed to current-driven ionospheric plasma processes [FOS88]. The processes described by Kindel and Kennel [1971] and Rosenbluth and Rostoker [1962], for example, predict the amplification of ion acoustic waves in the presence of intense currents and explain several features of the

observed enhancements, as discussed in Chapter 7. Here we present evidence derived from several sources for the observation of stimulated ion acoustic wave growth with the Millstone Hill UHF radar. These include statistical analyses of large data sets, multi-diagnostic measurements, and the observation of ERB in zenith experiments.

### **5.2.1 Satellite Contamination and Statistical Analyses of ERB**

The task of separating satellite returns from true ionospheric coherent echoes is difficult because the integrated spectral features of both are essentially identical. This is illustrated in Figure 5-2, where the power spectra of the normal incoherent scatter ion line, an intense return from an enhanced ion line, and spherical satellite number 9636 are plotted on the same frequency scale for comparison; each plot is self-normalized. Even on a pulse-to-pulse basis satellite returns may vary by as much as 20 dB due to reflecting surface irregularities and rotation effects [*S. Sridharan*, private communication, 1988]; the size of this variation is comparable to the magnitude of the largest geophysical ERB events. Thus, the similarity between geophysical ERB spectra and satellite spectra makes unambiguous classification of individual ERB events difficult. Several approaches to the resolution of this problem have been employed; the most successful involves the statistical reduction of large data sets, as described below.

#### **The Satellite Catalog**

A large number of satellites pass through the Millstone I.S. Radar field of view during any particular experiment; their spectral signatures constitute the primary source of coherent echo contamination in the topside ionosphere. At Millstone Hill we are fortunate to have access to a complete catalog of the known orbiting space objects. An altitude distribution of orbiting objects generated from this catalog is shown in






Figure 5-2: UHF Power spectrum of a) Normal incoherent scatter ion line; b) Enhanced ion line; and c) Spherical satellite #9636. The amplitude on each plot is self-normalized.




Figure 5-3: Altitude distribution of satellites in the Millstone Hill radar field-of-view for a 6° elevation angle.

Figure 5-3.

The majority of the satellite population exists at altitudes above about 500 km; layers of debris can be identified near 600 km and 800 km, with a well defined maximum near 1000 km. The distribution underscores the problem of removing hard target contamination: The Millstone Radar cannot diagnose the active auroral regions north of 60° latitude at altitudes less than 500 km. Thus we are forced to sample the active region of interest at altitudes where the greatest number of contaminants are located. A number of techniques utilizing the satellite catalog have been employed to distinguish satellite echoes from those of geophysical origin.

**Specific Events** One way to employ the catalog is to simply check specific records of the radar data containing coherent peaks suspected to be geophysical ERB returns. The time and location of a peak's occurrence can be checked against the catalog to determine if a satellite with the appropriate Doppler velocity was present. In applying this method one must be careful to properly define the uncertainty in the space-time window which must be specified for the coherent echo's location.

A single Millstone data record is typically constructed of power measurements which are integrated for 15-30 seconds, during which time the radar may scan up to five degrees in azimuth. Spatial range smearing due to the radar pulse length adds up to 150 km uncertainty in range. Additional error is introduced by the finite radar beamwidth; the large radar cross section of many satellites requires one to define the "effective beamwidth" as including the sidelobe pattern well beyond the common definition of the half-power width. For the Millstone Hill steerable UHF antenna, this means expanding the traditionally defined 1° beamwidth to some 2°-3°. The largest uncertainties in the azimuth, range, and time of the space-time window specified for a given echo are then, respectively,  $\pm 5^\circ$ , 150 km, and 30 seconds, enough time for a satellite to travel some 250 kilometers.

In reality, of course, the radar beam occupies only a small fraction of the total window at a given moment in time; to insure a fair check, however, the entire window must be specified for the satellite catalog to check a particular data record. The result is that the catalog often predicts the penetration of the relatively large space window by several hard targets within the 30 second record interval, even when no evidence of a corresponding coherent peak is seen in the data.

If the catalog predicts that no suitable satellites were present, one can at least be confident that the assumption of the peak's ionospheric origin has not been ruled out. While this use of the satellite catalog is perhaps the most obvious, the technique cannot provide definitive proof that a given radar echo was generated by the ionosphere because the satellite catalog is not 100% reliable.

The catalog is not completely reliable for several reasons. The large number of objects cataloged (over 7000 presently) makes it very difficult to provide frequent element set updates on all objects. The low altitude orbits occupied by the satellites penetrating the Millstone Hill radar beam degrade fairly rapidly, so that catalog

projections of the locations of some objects may be significantly inaccurate. Furthermore, there are numerous classified satellites not included in the catalog used for these studies. Finally, there is a population of uncataloged small orbiting debris.

**Statistical Studies** The uncertainties involved in applying the satellite catalog to check specific events limit the usefulness of that technique, as noted above. The factors that render the catalog fallible for selected records, however, become unimportant if one applies the catalog in a temporally statistical manner. A calculation of the distribution of low altitude targets ( $\tau_{orbit} < 110$  minutes) passing through a defined space window over a period of 24 hours or so, will not depend significantly on the accuracy of a particular satellite's ephemeris data. Assuming they represent a small percentage of the total satellite population and exhibit a similar altitude distribution, classified objects no longer constitute a serious source of error, either. The contamination due to the uncataloged debris must be examined in more detail.

Only about five percent of the over 7000 space objects cataloged are active or working satellites. About 50% of the known objects orbiting the earth consist of fragments resulting from the breakup of artificial satellites. The primary causes of satellite breakups are propulsion related malfunctions and intentional detonation, although collisions are suspected for unexplained breakups as well [Johnson, 1985]. It is believed that the uncataloged population of fragments from these breakups may be up to 2.5 times greater than the total cataloged population [Kessler, 1985].

Statistical studies, however, will not be modified significantly by the presence of the orbiting debris for two reasons. The first is that the uncataloged population consists of fragments smaller than about 10 centimeters diameter. Objects of this size in the topside of the ionosphere are too small to cause greatly enhanced coherent echoes, particularly for radar wavelengths much greater than the fragment diameter. The second reason is that the distribution of fragments generated by a breakup re-

mains centered in height at the original orbit altitude. Thus the shape of the altitude distribution changes very little; only the number of objects varies.

**Doppler Shift Discrimination** The catalog has been employed to calculate the passage of satellites through an azimuth window which is left "open" for a sufficient period of time to allow several orbital revolutions, typically 24 hours. In Figure 5-4a a histogram of the number of cataloged objects is plotted as a function of their line-of-sight velocity to the Millstone radar for a low elevation azimuth scan between  $342^{\circ}$ - $27^{\circ}$  over a 24 hour period. The spikes located at about  $\pm 6$  km/sec are due to the large population of satellites in so-called "polar" orbits, high inclination orbits between 800-1000 kilometers altitude. The radar measures a large line-of-sight velocity as it scans to the north and detects these objects as they pass over the polar cap. The velocity measured by the radar is actually determined from the Doppler shift of the scattered signal received by the antenna. For the backscatter geometry employed here, the shift in kHz is given by  $\Delta f = 2v/\lambda$ , where  $v$  is the satellite velocity in km/sec and  $\lambda$  the radar wavelength in meters. A simple conversion for the 68 cm wavelength used by Millstone Hill is  $\Delta f \simeq 3v$ .

Figure 5-4b shows the distribution of coherent peaks observed at Millstone Hill for an experiment run 13-15 January, 1988 scanning through the same azimuths as the simulation 5-4a. A comparison of the two nearly identical distributions suggests that many, if not all, of the peaks observed during this experiment were caused by satellites penetrating the scanning radar beam. Magnetic conditions during 48 of the 72 hours of data analyzed were very disturbed; Kp values of 7 or higher were registered for a 9 hour period, and remained at or above 6 for 15 consecutive hours during the middle period of the experiment.

The consideration of magnetic activity is important because of its correlation with field-aligned currents. The Kp indices referred to here are global classifiers of the am-

plitudes of magnetic variations derived every three hours based on observations from a number of stations located over a wide range of latitudes. The quasi-logarithmic scale varies from 0 to 9 in 27 increments; the scale is skewed towards the low end of these values in that magnetic fluctuations corresponding to 3 or less occur 90% of the time, whereas fluctuations of  $K_p=6$  or greater represent less than 1% of the total distribution [Mayaud, 1980]. Given the sustained periods of very high activity occurring during the experiment of JAN88, we might expect a correspondingly high intensity of field-aligned currents, producing significant numbers of ERB events. Reasons for the apparent lack of observed ERB in this experiment will be discussed shortly.


A second experimental simulation and corresponding observations are presented in Figure 5-5. As in Figure 5-4, the signature of the polar orbiters at  $\pm 6$  km/sec is evident in both 5-5a and b. However, in Figure 5-5 an even larger number of peaks were observed between  $\pm 1-2$  km/sec, the ion sound speed regime in topside ionospheric plasmas. This remarkable feature has not been observed in velocity distributions constructed from other Millstone Hill radar experiments. Clearly the satellite catalog does not predict the large population of peaks observed at these Doppler velocities. If this data set represents a statistical average, we can feel confident that a portion of the observed ion acoustic peaks were generated by ionospheric processes.

The satellite simulations shown in Figures 5-4a and 5-5a were generated by continuously monitoring all azimuths within a defined window simultaneously, in so-called *open window* simulations. Because the simulated coverage area is large, 12 hours ( $t \geq 6\tau_{orbit}$ ) is a sufficient time to run the open window simulation. Simulating longer periods of time does not affect the shape of the calculated distribution of detected hard targets; it only increases the number of "hits" in the radar beam.

In an actual experiment, of course, the beam scans through the window and monitors only a small fraction of the total azimuth extent at any moment in time. The

# Satellite Simulation

AZ = 342 - 27, EL = 4 0



# Millstone Observations

13-15 January, 1988  
AZ = 342 - 27, EL = 4 0





Figure 5-4: Distribution of Doppler velocities for a) satellites penetrating the radar beam between 342°-27° AZ, 4° EL, and b) Actual observations from 13-15 Jan. 1988 for the same pointing angles.

# Satellite Simulation

AZ = 270 - 90, EL = 6 0



# Millstone Observations

8-10 November, 1987

AZ = 270 - 90, EL = 6 0




Figure 5-5: Distribution of Doppler velocities for a) satellites penetrating the radar beam between 270°-90° AZ, 6° EL, and b) Actual observations from 8-10 November 1987 for the same pointing angles. The large number of peaks observed at ion acoustic velocities is not predicted.






Figure 5-6: Graphical illustration of procedures used for a) satellite simulations where the entire space window is continuously monitored, and b) actual data acquisition via scanning radar beam in experiment.

difference between the simulations shown thus far and actual experiments is illustrated graphically in Figure 5-6. Hence, the number of satellites actually detected in a 12 hour observational period is much smaller than the number "seen" by the catalog for the same 12 hours, and a comparison of the corresponding observed distribution may not be statistically valid.

The minimum time required for a valid statistical comparison of observed and simulated coherent peak velocity distributions was determined by simulating a computer radar beam scanning through a dynamic satellite field. In the simulations, the table of satellites passing through the azimuth window were sorted according to time, and a radar scan was initiated at a given time and starting position to sample the satellite data base. The simulated scan consisted of a  $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$  wide beam which was swept  $10^\circ/\text{min}$  in azimuth, the same azimuth scan rate used in most experiments at Millstone Hill. This process was repeated several times using different initialization

parameters for periods of 12, 24, and 48 hours.

It took 4-5 times more scanning hours to acquire as many targets as the corresponding open window simulation. The 12 hour simulations produced distributions which were usually similar to the open window distribution, but in some cases relatively large disparities were evident. The 24 hour simulations improved results. None of the 24 hour distributions, for example, predicted more peaks at ion acoustic velocities than at polar orbiter velocities, as was observed in the NOV87 experiment. Nevertheless, deviations from the open window distribution were large enough to discourage quantitative comparison. After 48 hours, however, the scan simulations matched the shape of the open window results nicely, regardless of starting time or position within the satellite data base; increasing the time further simply produced more events without noticeable distortion of the distribution.

The data sets used to produce the observed distributions presented in Figures 5-4b and 5-5b contain at least 60 continuous hours of radar observations, and should therefore satisfy the statistics of the satellite catalog simulations. Comparing the simulations with the observed distributions we conclude that a number of the coherent peaks observed at ion acoustic velocities between 1-2 km/sec in the NOV87 experiment result from geophysically induced ERB. The levels of global magnetic activity during the period varied from quiet to unsettled to moderately disturbed ( $0 < K_p \leq 5^-$ ). Extrapolating the number of ERB events occurring during this moderate activity to the extremely disturbed periods of the JAN88 experiment, we would expect to find much stronger evidence for geophysical ERB in the January velocity distribution. The lack of such evidence can be explained by considering the results of a statistical analysis of a third experiment.

**Altitude Discrimination** Coherent echo distributions were constructed from approximately 80 hours of data acquired during an experiment on 6-10 March 89, which

was known to contain magnetically disturbed periods. The velocity distribution of the enhanced echoes observed during the experiment, however, very nearly matched the corresponding distribution generated by the satellite catalog. The observed altitude distribution of the enhanced returns, shown in Figure 5-7, also looks quite similar to the simulated satellite distribution shown in Figure 5-3, except at the lowest altitudes, where a surprisingly large number of peaks are observed in range gate 10, corresponding to 330 km altitude.

The number of orbiting objects at altitudes of about 300 km or less represents less than .5% of the total satellite population. In the observed distribution, however, such events account for nearly 1.5%. For this experiment that translates into precisely 18 events, a small number which is perhaps not statistically robust, despite 80+ hours of observations. The significance of the low altitude anomaly increases when one recognizes that the number of events near 300 km is comparable to, or greater than the number of peaks detected at altitudes of 500 and 540 kilometers, respectively. An examination of the spectral characteristics of each low altitude enhancement provided further evidence of a geophysical source for some of the enhancements.

Satellites at low altitudes must travel faster than orbiting objects at higher altitudes; a satellite simulation for the 6-10 March azimuth scans shows that about 85% of the time, the line-of-sight Doppler velocity detected by the radar from these objects exceeds 4 km/sec, and is often higher than 7 km/sec. In the March experiment, however, 5 of the 18 low altitude enhanced peaks exhibited Doppler velocities at the local ion acoustic speed, usually between 1 and 2 km/sec in the *F* region. This is almost double the expected percentage of ion acoustic enhancements, and seems unlikely to be a random occurrence caused by hard targets. The occurrence of these events with regard to magnetic activity and other geophysical considerations is also indicative of ion acoustic enhancements through natural processes.




Figure 5-7: The altitude distribution of observed coherent echoes from the Millstone 6-10 March 1989 experiment. Altitude is scaled by range gates, which are separated by 40-50 kilometers. Some gate numbers followed by their corresponding altitude are: 10/330, 15/500, 20/700, and 25/975.

This March experiment time period was very interesting magnetically, being more disturbed than the 8-10 NOV87 period, but significantly less disturbed than the monster storm levels recorded during 13-15 JAN88. The hourly *AE* index is plotted for the duration of the experiment in Figure 5-8. The *AE* index provides a useful measure of auroral magnetic activity. The figure shows that March 6-7 were moderately disturbed before activity decreased steadily through the latter part of the 7th and March 8. At 18:00 UT on the 8th a major storm commenced; disturbance levels decreased soon after the major impulse, but remained active throughout most of March 9 when another surge of activity occurred, lasting just til 24:00 UT.

The height of the maximum density in the *F* region, *hmF2*, is closely related to the magnetic activity (see Figure 5-9). Under quiet conditions, *hmF2* exhibits a regular diurnal variation, descending during daylight hours as photoionization builds up the plasma density at lower altitudes, and ascending again after dusk when recombination processes neutralize the low altitude plasma more rapidly. A typical variation




Figure 5-8: Values of magnetic index  $AE$  during the magnetic disturbances of 6–10 March, 1989. Note the sudden storm commencement at 18:00 UT on March 8 [after *Buonsanto et al.*, 1990].

of this nature is evident during the period of decreasing magnetic activity spanning March 7–8. Under magnetically disturbed conditions  $hmF2$  serves as an excellent indicator of the passage of the mid-latitude electron density trough and the equatorward expansion of the auroral oval [*Buonsanto, et al.*, 1990]. In the trough itself, the  $hmF2$  value increases sharply, as occurs in the early morning hours of March 7, March 9, and March 10.

The low altitude ion acoustic enhancements occurred at 7MAR-1:44 UT, 9MAR-3:34 UT, 9MAR-11:52 UT and 10MAR-3:00 UT. Their positions are indicated on Figure 5-10, which is a plot showing the variation of the peak ionospheric density,  $NMF2$ , as a function of time and latitude. All the enhanced peaks occur either in the ionospheric trough or on the trough's equatorward edge in a region of strong density gradients associated with field-aligned Birkeland currents [*Ungstrup, et al.*, 1986]. Except for the event at 11:52 UT on March 9, all the events occur just prior to the arrival of the trough over Millstone Hill. The event at 11:52 UT is actually occurring under similar geophysical conditions, except that it is located on the edge




Figure 5-9: The height of maximum electron density in the ionosphere for the 6–10 March period. The sharp increases in altitude correspond to the approach of the mid-latitude trough [after *Buonsanto et al.*, 1990].

of a receding, rather than approaching, density depletion.

The approach of the trough signals the expansion of the auroral oval and the penetration of large electric fields to lower latitudes. These fields are responsible for the very large westward  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  plasma drifts observed in the early morning on March 9. The enhanced low altitude ion line detected at 3:34 UT on March 9 is shown in Figure 5-11; the large shifts evident in the normal IS spectra at higher altitudes correspond to westward drifts of up to 1.3 km/sec. All-sky images revealed that prominent red aurora were present north of the Millstone Hill radar at this time, in the same location where the ERB occurred; later in the morning a stable red auroral (SAR) arc persisted to the north for about two hours [*M. Buonsanto*, private communication, 1991]. These geophysical signatures verify the presence of auroral features at latitudes as low as  $44^\circ$  on March 9. Similar features, though not as intense, were present during the early morning hours of March 7 and 10 as well. By contrast, the post-dusk period on March 7/8 did not display a well-defined trough or auroral characteristics. The density depletion evident after midnight on this day




Figure 5-10: Variation of peak electron density with latitude. Low altitude ERB events are indicated with white dots. Low density regions are shaded black [after Buonsanto et al., 1990].




Figure 5-11: Ion line enhancement observed with the Millstone Hill UHF radar at 03:34 UT on 9 March 89. At higher altitudes the shifted spectra give evidence of large westward  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drifts.

is associated only with the normal diurnal decrease occurring after sunset. No low altitude ion acoustic ERB events were recorded during this time period.

We have established the presence of active auroral features during the periods when low altitude ERB events were observed in the 6-10 March experiment. These events are attributed to the enhancements of ion acoustic waves in the presence of naturally occurring intense field-aligned currents. Normally the Millstone Hill Radar can diagnose these remote high latitude active regions only at altitudes above 500 km, where the large satellite population makes unambiguous identification of ERB events difficult. During the magnetic disturbances of March 6/7, March 8/9 and March 10, the equatorward expansion of the auroral oval carried it to within a few degrees of Millstone's latitude. This made it possible for low elevation-angle azimuth scans to sample the active region at much closer ranges, and correspondingly lower altitudes, than normal. The low altitude ERB events were then relatively easy to separate from hard targets because so few satellites occupy these very low altitude orbits. The variability of the ionosphere played an important role in interpreting the results of



the distribution studies for this experiment.

The level of variability in the 13-15 JAN88 experiment was about an order of magnitude greater than that experienced during 6-10 MAR89. By extending the auroral dynamics arguments used to explain the results of the MAR89 experiment, we can arrive at a rational explanation for the total lack of statistical evidence of geophysical ERB during the JAN88 experiment. In MAR89, ionospheric variations were characterized by brief periods of intense magnetic activity resulting in the equatorward expansion of the auroral oval to latitudes slightly north of Millstone Hill. The periods of intense activity were then followed by somewhat longer periods of decreased activity, providing a relaxation period for the ionosphere to nearly return to its normal structure.

After the first day of the 13-15 JAN88 experiment, however, periods of extreme activity were sustained for many hours. The auroral region, containing the intense current activity critical for ERB excitation, actually passed to the south of Millstone Hill and did not recover to its usual latitudes during the duration of the experiment. The radar scan cycle employed, a 4° elevation-angle, limited azimuth scan to the north, was not advantageous to the detection of ERB under these circumstances. Zenith measurements or even scans to the south of Millstone are appropriate for such studies under very disturbed conditions. In conclusion, ERB events were not detected in the JAN88 experiment because the auroral zone passed to the south of Millstone Hill due to extreme levels of magnetic activity, while the radar continued to scan at low elevation angles to the far north.

Finally, a large data set acquired on 6-10 October 86 was analyzed by the same statistical technique. The observed velocity distribution closely resembled the satellite distribution, similar to the comparison shown in Figure 5-4. Furthermore, an analysis of the altitude distributions yielded no evidence of anomalous ERB events. The global

Kp index indicated that the period was one of the most quiet imaginable, with 60 of the 87 observing hours having Kp of 1<sup>+</sup> or less and a maximum Kp of 3<sup>-</sup> occurring for one 3-hour period. Under these conditions, intense current activity is not expected at any latitude within Millstone Hill's field-of-view. Consistent with that interpretation, we conclude that the lack of evidence of ERB events in the statistical analyses for this experiment indicates simply that no appreciable ERB events occurred during this time period because of the very low levels of magnetic activity.

### 5.2.2 MICAD Experiments

Beginning in April 1989, a series of multi-diagnostic experiments, known as MICAD, involving the Millstone Hill UHF radar, a subset of the CANOPUS system of instruments (BARS radar, magnetometer array, meridian photometers) and the DE-1 satellite was conducted to provide additional information about ion acoustic radar backscatter enhancements due to ionospheric processes. In these experiments, as illustrated in Figure 5-12, the Millstone Hill radar was used to track the geomagnetic field line above the DE-1 satellite as it flew through perigee within the radar's field of view; CANOPUS instruments were utilized as diagnostics of the background ionosphere. Ultimately, the goal was to simultaneously detect the signature of large, field-aligned ionospheric currents with remote sensors (radars, etc.) and in situ instruments aboard DE-1.

Beginning in April 1989, a total of seven MICAD overflight experiments were conducted on 4/9, 5/2, 5/22, 6/5, 6/19, 8/8, and 8/29. During the MICAD experiment on 22 May 1989, the Millstone Radar detected a 7 dB enhanced backscatter return at the ion acoustic frequency at 23:57:46 UT; the power enhancement at 1000 km is shown in Figure 5-13. The power plot shows a distinct *F* region trough at the latitude of the ERB event. In the scan shown in the figure the radar moved




Figure 5-12: Conceptual design of MICAD experiments. Remote diagnostics and in situ instruments were to obtain simultaneous measurements on the same magnetic field line.

from AZ=289° at 23:54:52 UT to AZ=343° at 23:59:08 UT. The enhancement occurred at an azimuth of 332°. The DE-1 satellite passed through the same region 30 seconds later and recorded an in situ magnetometer fluctuation corresponding to a field-aligned current density  $\geq 50 \mu\text{amps}/\text{m}^2$  [J. Slavin, private communication, 1989]. The magnetometer trace and the enhanced UHF radar spectra are shown in Figure 5-14. The decrease in the magnitude of DBPHI evident just after 23:57:00 UT in the figure indicates the satellite's entry into the Region II field-aligned current system. The boundary between the Region I currents and the Region II currents is reached at about 23:58:10 UT, when the  $\sim 50 \mu\text{amps}/\text{m}^2$  current spike was detected. The onboard magnetometer continued to exhibit large fluctuations until about 23:59:00 UT; two of the additional fluctuations at 23:58:20 UT and 23:58:40 UT correspond to current densities in excess of  $25 \mu\text{amps}/\text{m}^2$ . Because the radar was actually tracking about 30 seconds ahead of the satellite's position, the measurements cannot be classified as truly simultaneous. However, this experiment gives firm evidence of intense field-aligned currents associated with the occurrence of ERB near the ionospheric trough as was suggested by FOS88.

An examination of data from two consecutive passes of the DMSP-F8 satellite (the first 42 minutes prior to, and the second 56 minutes after the DE-1 overflight in the same longitude band) reveal that the region producing the enhanced radar backscatter was characterized by localized intense, soft ( $E \leq 1 \text{ keV}$ ) electron precipitation features. Comparison of the measured electron and ion fluxes show that current densities in excess of  $60 \mu\text{amps}/\text{m}^2$  were detected on the first pass [W. Denig, private communication, 1989]. Data from this pass formed the basis for deriving the suprathermal contribution to the electron distribution function utilized in numerical calculations in Chapter 7 (see Figure 7-7).

Particle flux spectrograms from a northern polar pass of the NOAA-10 satellite

MILLSTONE HILL UHF RADAR  
ENHANCED RADAR BACKSCATTER




Figure 5-13: Power enhancement observed during May 22 MICAD experiment. The 7 dB enhancement is located at 1000 km altitude on the edge of the ionospheric trough. A two msec radar pulse was used in the scan.

exhibit a sharp local maximum in the low energy electron flux at the same latitude and only 8 minutes prior to the DE-1 satellite and Millstone Hill radar observations. A map illustrating the geographic and temporal relationship of the various measurements is shown in Figure 5-15. These in situ data establish the presence of discrete low energy particle precipitation events carrying large current densities in the region of enhanced UHF radar backscatter.

It should be noted that limited particle flux information is available from the DE-1 satellite. The electron flux instrument onboard is no longer functional. The energy spectrum of ions was monitored, but no signature was evident in association with the large magnetometer variations [W. K. Peterson, private communication, 1989]. This is consistent with the DMSP data, where the energy flux of the electron population increases dramatically while the ion flux remains essentially unchanged. Both measurements indicate that the currents are being carried by suprathermal

MILLSTONE HILL RADAR  
 22 May 1989  
 23:57:40 UT




Figure 5-14: Power spectra of ion acoustic ERB observed with the Millstone Hill UHF radar and the corresponding magnetometer trace of the DE-1 satellite. Magnetic fluctuations (DBPHI) are in units of nanotesla.




Figure 5-15: Map showing geographic relationship of multiple satellite measurements of intense field-aligned current activity, UHF ion acoustic ERB, and the Millstone Hill radar.

electrons rather than ions.

Measurements from the CANOPUS diagnostic group of instruments were of limited application in this particular MICAD experiment. The observations were outside the field-of-view of the BARS radar system, and the local time was too early to acquire all sky camera images of visible airglow or photometer data. The meridional magnetometer chain (MARIA) approximately 320 km west of the UHF ERB observation was operating, and the magnetometer traces from the nearest stations are shown in Figure 5-16. The ground stations show a reasonable level of activity ( $K_p=4$ ) prior to the Millstone/DE-1 observations, but conditions are relatively quiet during the actual overflight (about 2 hours after start time). Global magnetic activity usually serves as an indicator of intense field-aligned currents, but it does not constitute a prerequisite for their occurrence. Johnstone and Winningham [1982] reported several

satellite observations of suprathermal electron bursts carrying field-aligned currents in the auroral zone during very quiet magnetic conditions.

The satellite catalog was consulted for the presence of hard targets in the scattering volume during the 30-second integration period in which the ion acoustic ERB event was recorded. A generous scattering volume was assumed, using the actual elevation and azimuth angles of the radar  $\pm 2^\circ$ , to minimize the uncertainties due to errors in the catalog predicts. Three candidates were found. Of these three, two were expected to produce large line-of-sight Doppler shifts of about 6 km/sec. The other possible candidate's Doppler velocity was in the opposite direction of the observed phase velocity of the enhanced ion acoustic peak. Clearly, none of the predicted hard targets could have caused the asymmetric UHF power spectrum observed in this MICAD experiment.

### 5.2.3 Observation of ERB in Zenith Experiments

As has been established, the contamination due to satellites in the investigation of ERB is a serious problem in general. However, hard target signatures can be filtered out effectively by pointing the radar directly overhead. In the zenith position the beam is nearly transverse to the direction of motion of satellites penetrating the scattering volume, and their associated Doppler shifts are thus restricted to nearly zero magnitude. Satellite catalog simulations based on a  $2^\circ \times 2^\circ$  wide beam show that the maximum observable line-of-sight velocity possible in such experiments does not exceed 250 m/sec. Zenith observations of spectral peaks with greater Doppler shifts (*e.g.*, *F*-region ion acoustic speed:  $C_s \sim 1\text{-}2$  km/sec) can then be attributed to geophysical sources.

Figure 5-17 shows an observation of ion acoustic wave growth acquired with the Millstone Hill 67-meter fixed position zenith antenna. The Doppler shift of the peak








Figure 5-16: Magnetic variations recorded by the CANOPUS chain of magnetometers during the May 22, 1989 MICAD experiment. The DE-1 and Millstone Hill observations of ERB were recorded at 23:58 UT, during the relatively quiet period nearly two hours after the start time on the plots.

corresponds to a 1 km/sec velocity, about four times greater than the maximum observable shift from actual satellites. In addition, the enhanced return is distributed in altitude to a greater extent than is possible for an orbiting object given the 150 km pulse smearing along the radar beam. This zenith observation, reported by FOS88, was taken as proof that a portion of the Millstone ERB returns cannot be caused by orbiting objects.

The scan cycle for the radar in this experiment consisted of 4 consecutive zenith measurements followed by a complete elevation scan, 2 more zenith records, and a 180° low elevation-angle azimuth scan. Only six minutes out of every hour are spent in the zenith position, and only half that time is used to diagnose the high altitude region above 700 km. Unfortunately, the spectra shown in Figure 5-17 represent the last zenith measurement preceding the beginning of an elevation scan lasting six minutes. When the radar returned to the zenith position, the echoes were gone.

Observations like this at Millstone Hill are rare for two reasons. As was noted previously, the enhancements are thought to be associated with intense field-aligned currents. While such events are not extraordinary at auroral latitudes, they occur at mid-latitudes very infrequently, approximately 10–15 days a year based on the Kp magnetic index during the event shown here [Mayaud, 1980]. On those days when the general levels of disturbance are sufficient, the phenomenon directly over the radar may last for only minutes or seconds and be missed entirely [Rietveld *et al.*, 1991], as was nearly the case in this experiment.

Typically only a small amount of Millstone's total data acquisition time is used for zenith observations. Few dedicated zenith experiments have been conducted at Millstone Hill in the past; two separate 24-hour experiments run recently yielded no significant results. The zenith data obtained in most previous experiments consists of a few local measurements made once or twice per hour in between azimuth and/or

ZENITH  
MILLSTONE  
23JUL83




Figure 5-17: Millstone Hill zenith observation of ion acoustic ERB. The frequency offset corresponds to a velocity of 1 km/sec. [after FOS88].

elevation scans. Considering the low occurrence frequency of the geophysical event and the sparse number of zenith observations, the probability of detecting ion acoustic enhancements directly above Millstone Hill is small; zenith experiments conducted solely for that purpose may not provide an effective approach for investigating ERB at mid-latitudes.

### 5.3 Summary

An attempt has been made to provide conclusive evidence of geophysically-produced enhanced ion acoustic radar backscatter observed at Millstone Hill.

Because of the spectral similarities of satellites and enhanced ion acoustic waves, it is difficult to distinguish individual measurements unambiguously. Satellite simulations have been employed to make statistical comparisons with large data sets. Results from four types of experiments have been presented. Under extremely disturbed and extremely quiet conditions no ERB events were detected by the statistical analysis. In the quiet case there are most likely no occurrences of ERB. In the very disturbed case, however, pointing the radar to the north proved ineffective because of the auroral region's passage to the south of Millstone. ERB events were found at low altitudes during a lesser storm period when the auroral oval expanded to within a few degrees north of Millstone. These events have been attributed to the current-driven enhancements of ion acoustic waves in the temporarily nearby auroral zone. Finally, a moderately disturbed period was examined. The velocity distribution exhibited many more peaks at ion acoustic frequencies than predicted by the satellite catalog. These peaks are believed to represent geophysical ERB events occurring at relatively high latitudes in the usual auroral zone.

Through a series of multiple diagnostic experiments, we have established the association of enhanced backscatter events with intense field-aligned currents. In one case,

a nearly simultaneous measurement of UHF ERB and a field-aligned current carried by suprathermal electrons were obtained. Data from other satellites confirmed that the region contained large fluxes of low energy electrons, and that such conditions persisted for tens of minutes. These soft precipitating electrons are believed to play an important role in the generation of ion acoustic ERB.

Finally, the utility of zenith-pointing experiments was exploited to filter out hard target contamination while acquiring ion acoustic ERB. A single event has been identified with a Doppler shift of 1 km/sec, the local ion sound speed in the plasma. Satellites passing through the beam exhibit Doppler shifts of less than 250 m/sec. These measurements are rare at mid-latitudes because intense field-aligned currents occur there very infrequently (perhaps briefly on 10–15 days/year during solar maximum), and the radar is not usually pointed in the zenith direction while acquiring data. Results from two 24-hour zenith experiments yielded no evidence of ion acoustic ERB.



## Chapter 6

# ERB Observations from Other Radar Sites

The existence of geophysically induced enhanced coherent radar backscatter from the topside ionosphere was first reported by FOS88 based on a study of "hard target" like returns observed with the Millstone Hill UHF Radar as described in Chapter 5. The enhancements are believed to be associated with intense field-aligned currents in the high latitude ionosphere. This high-latitude region is normally accessible to the Millstone Hill radar beam at very large aspect angles relative to the geomagnetic field, effectively limiting the range extent over which the field-aligned echoes may be observed and leading to ambiguities (with actual hard targets) in the data interpretation for individual coherent echo events. The locations of the EISCAT and Sondrestrom radars (see Table 4.1) suggest that more favorable ERB observing conditions may exist at those sites. Presented here is a brief overview of significant observations and experiments involving these radars which confirm and extend the known characteristics of ERB first recognized at Millstone Hill.

## 6.1 Observations at EISCAT

The EISCAT (European Incoherent SCATter) Scientific Association operates a tri-static UHF radar system consisting of a 933 MHz 2.5 MW radar located at Tromsø, Norway (69.7°N lat, 19.2°E.long) and two remote receivers at Kiruna, Sweden (67.8°, 20.4°) and Sodankylä, Finland (67.4°, 26.6°). The three sites utilize identical parabolic antennas 32 meters in diameter to simultaneously determine full three-dimensional vector velocities at selected heights in the ionosphere. In addition to the UHF radar, a 224 MHz radar using a 40 × 120 m parabolic cylinder antenna is located at Tromsø, providing multi-wavelength measurement capability locally.

### 6.1.1 Initial Statistical Approach

The investigation of ERB at EISCAT was first undertaken by Schlegel and Moorcroft [1989] who applied a statistical approach, similar to that used at Millstone Hill, to 73 hours of data acquired with the radar pointed up the local magnetic field line (AZ=182°, EL=77.5°). EISCAT regularly runs so called *Common Programs* for data acquisition which employ this radar look angle for geophysical reasons; such data constitute a majority of the available data base. Attempting to discriminate geophysical events from hard targets, Schlegel and Moorcroft's analysis identified and correlated 209 enhanced events with various combinations of occurrence time, altitude, velocity, intensity, and spectral characteristics. Achieving ambiguous results, they concluded that the ERB observed at EISCAT was probably due to a combination of satellites and system effects not clearly understood.

The satellite explanation was supported in part by a comparison of the distribution of observed peak velocities with the distribution predicted for hard targets by a satellite catalog. While this comparison was employed successfully with the Millstone Hill data, it fails to discriminate ERB events in this case because the field-aligned



pointing direction at EISCAT produces radar line-of-sight Doppler velocities from the large population of polar orbiting satellites in the range of typical ion acoustic velocities between 1–2 km/sec (see Figure 6-1).

However, a marked difference in the respective height distributions of satellites and the observed peaks was discovered. Shown in Figure 6-2 is the unexpectedly large population of observed low altitude events. Aware that reports of Millstone Hill ERB were restricted to the topside ionosphere above 400 km [FOS88] and lacking additional evidence of geophysical processes, Schlegel and Moorcroft were unable to resolve the disparity in the altitude distributions, leaving the question open for further interpretation. Recently, data reported by Rietveld et al. [1991] (henceforth RD91) unambiguously verified the detection of geophysically induced ERB with the EISCAT radar system.

### **6.1.2 Range Extended UHF Echoes**

Like their counterparts observed at Millstone Hill, the geophysical ERB echoes detected at EISCAT were also characterized by large cross section enhancements (up to 20 dB) and narrow spectral widths similar to those caused by hard targets. These grossly asymmetric spectra cannot be fitted to derive ionospheric parameters by the standard incoherent scatter analysis programs. When the radar is pointed up the magnetic field line both satellite and geophysical ERB echoes appear at the same frequency shift in the power spectra. While this feature renders the field-aligned pointing direction ineffective for a statistical discrimination approach, it actually provides unambiguous geophysical ERB identification when events are considered individually for the following reason.

Enhanced echoes of geophysical origin were believed to be associated with intense electron drifts or currents [FOS88]. The most intense currents are also field-aligned




Figure 6-1: Histograms of velocity distribution of a) observed peaks, and b) satellite population. At this look angle geophysical enhancements and polar orbiting satellites share the same velocity range. [after *Schlegel and Moorcroft, 1989*].





Figure 6-2: Histograms of altitude distribution of a) all observed coherent peaks, and b) satellite population. Note large number of observed peaks below 300 km. [after Schlegel and Moorcroft, 1989].

and extend for many kilometers along the magnetic field direction. Enhanced echoes driven by these currents are therefore stimulated over the same extent along the field lines and can be observed with a radar pointed parallel to the same line. (The altitude extent of the Millstone Hill zenith observations is attributed to this effect; see Figure 5-17). Range extended echoes were discovered by RD91 when investigating the details of a cluster of spectra which caused correlator errors due to overflows in the A/D converters of the radar receiver. Two experiments were analyzed (Feb. 14, 1990; Oct. 25, 1989), in which 24 ten-second integration periods were found to contain enhanced echoes. The following summary of those observations is adapted from RD91 and private communication with M. Rietveld, 1991.

A sample of the data is shown in Figure 6-3, where five consecutive 10-sec integration periods are shown which illustrate the transition from normal incoherent spectra to enhanced spectra and back again. The enhanced echoes are extended over a wide range of altitudes; a satellite penetrating the radar beam would appear in at most three range gates in the sampling technique used here. The frequency shift of the anomalous spectra also increases smoothly with altitude, corresponding to the increase in the ion acoustic speed with height, further evidence of the echoes' geophysical origins.

The spectra in Figure 6-3 vary dramatically during the 30 seconds in which the anomalous echoes appear. The spectra are enhanced at altitudes as low as 130 km and as high as 600 km by as much as 17 dB during the 04:49:50-04:50:00 period. The enhancements can be very asymmetric in either the upshifted or downshifted peak, or relatively symmetric with both ion acoustic peaks amplified by nearly the same amount. A transition in the sign of the frequency shift of the asymmetric peak enhancements can occur within a single 10 second integration period over several tens of kilometers. The statistics of which type of enhancement (asymmetric upshift,




Figure 6-3: Five consecutive 10-sec integration periods observed with the EISCAT UHF radar. Within the 50-sec time period the ion lines change from normal to enhanced and back again [after RD91].




Figure 6-4: Histograms showing the height distribution of enhanced ion acoustic spectra categorized by a) total enhanced spectra; b) upshifted or downshifted peak enhancement; and c) both peaks enhanced symmetrically [after RD91].

asymmetric downshift, or symmetric) was observed in the two experiments under discussion are presented in histograms in Figure 6-4.

The figure indicates that there appear to be two main altitude regimes favoring the spectral enhancements, with a minimum rate of occurrence at around 250 km. In the higher altitude regime, the enhancements are primarily asymmetrically downshifted or symmetric; at lower altitudes upshifted asymmetric spectra are more common. The enhancements are found to be largest in the topside ionosphere above 250 km, and have lasted as long as 50 seconds continuously; shorter sporadic bursts distributed over a period of a few tens of minutes are seen more frequently. As more anomalous echoes from other experiments have been found, it appears that the low altitude enhancements are rare. Additionally, several ERB events have been observed when the radar was not pointed field-aligned, at was directed at aspect angles up to 20°. The echoes are then found to be localized rather than extended in range, as in the observations reported from Millstone Hill.

## Geophysical Conditions

Geophysically, the events occur in the presence of sharp fluctuations in the local magnetic field ( $\sim 500$  nT N-S), auroral particle precipitation evidenced by airglow, elevated electron temperatures ( $T_e$  up to  $8000^\circ\text{K}$ ), and electron-to-ion temperature ratios greater than two, though not extremely high (typically,  $2 \leq T_e/T_i \leq 3$ ). Several more ERB events have been discovered in EISCAT data since RD91; some of these cases were more closely correlated with elevated ion temperatures, strong perpendicular electric fields, and little, if any, auroral precipitation [Wahlund *et al.*, 1991]. Based on an evaluation of the sparse existing data, the physical mechanisms responsible for the observed enhancements are explored in Chapter 7. A recently conducted experiment to obtain better data is described in the next section.

### 6.1.3 Dedicated ERB Experiment Performed at EISCAT

In late November 1990, EISCAT radar time to acquire new data was provided by Dr. Kristian Schlegel of the Max Planck Institute for Aeronomy, and a single experiment designed to measure ERB with the highest possible resolution in time and space was conducted. The methods and results of the experiment are presented below.

#### Experimental Description

Experimental considerations for conclusively obtaining good quality enhanced echoes were determined by our understanding of the phenomenon. The experiment was designed to provide high resolution (in frequency and time) power spectra over a large altitude extent, primarily at field aligned and vertical look angles. The field-aligned position facilitates observing enhancements over a large altitude extent, while the vertical position constrains the possible line-of-sight satellite velocities to subsonic speeds in the ionosphere and shares common scattering volume with the VHF radar.

## Experimental Description

SP-GE-SAT

11/29/90, 16:00 UT - 11/30/90, 08:00 UT

### Radar Parameters (Block 1)

- pulse length 500  $\mu$ s
- sampling interval 10  $\mu$ s
- gate separation 75 km
- number of scatter gates 13
- total range 225-1175 km

### Scan Cycle

- field-aligned 15 min
- vertical 5 min

Channels 5 and 6 were used to monitor plasma lines. All other channels were used to record the ion line.

### Radar Parameters (Block 2)

- Same as CP-3

### Scan Cycle

- 20 min elev. scan, 11 points (abbreviated version of CP-3 elev. scan)  
± 28° elev. scan in north-south plane through Tromsø and Kiruna

Block 2 run approximately every two hours for background diagnostics. The VHF antenna was pointed vertical throughout the experiment.

Figure 6-5: Radar operating parameters employed in experiment to investigate ERB at EISCAT on November 30, 1990.

Ideally we hoped to obtain simultaneous UHF and VHF measurements for radar cross section measurements at two frequencies.

A 20-minute elevation scan was performed approximately every two hours for diagnosis of background ionospheric parameters. Two plasma line receiver channels were also recorded to deduce ionospheric currents directly. A complete description of the radar parameters and scan cycles employed in the experiment is given in Figure 6-5.

To distinguish the enhanced echoes from actual hard targets we relied on the multi-static capability of the EISCAT UHF system to obtain full 3-D Doppler information. Coherent echoes detected within the common scattering volume which did not exhibit velocities consistent with hard targets could be attributed to geophysical effects. In addition to hard target discrimination, the tri-static system can provide



the aspect angle dependence of the enhanced radar cross section, an important clue to understanding the physics of this phenomenon. To better facilitate the task of acquiring coherent echoes in the common scattering volume, a "smart" real-time radar control program was employed.

This control program, named MONITOR, was written by Anthony van Eyken utilizing an "ERB detection" algorithm developed by Keith Groves and Mike Rietveld. The received ion line spectra were monitored in real-time to detect enhancements. When enhanced spectra were detected, the remote receiving antennas were automatically repositioned to observe the altitude of maximum enhancement along the transmitted radar beam, as depicted in Figure 6-6. This process was usually completed within 10 seconds, considerably less than the time scales over which the echoes can persist (a few tens of seconds). The program was tested by looking at satellites to simulate ERB. An additional valuable feature of MONITOR is the capability to automatically reduce the integration period when enhanced power levels are detected, acquiring high time resolution measurements with adequate signal-to-noise.

Initially, the 30-hour allotment of radar time was scheduled as a single time block beginning at 08:00 UT on November 29, 1990. Because the most promising periods for enhanced echo observations are believed to begin in the early evening and continue into the early morning hours, however, we chose to use only half the allotted time for the first experiment, beginning at 16:00 UT, and save the remaining time for additional observations on the evening of December 1.

From a technical standpoint, the experiment was executed smoothly; unfortunately, it appears that enhanced radar backscatter was not observed. This is undoubtedly due to the lack of appropriate geophysical conditions during the entire observational period. Magnetically, the ionosphere was very quiet; the magnetogram at the Tromsø site showed only weak, gradual variations, and the Ap index for the




Figure 6-6: Conceptual drawing of the ERB experiment performed at EISCAT in November, 1990. A realtime monitor program was employed to detect and locate ERB; the remote receiving antennas were then repositioned to sample the same volume.

period was a meager five. Also, there was no evidence of particle precipitation, and electron temperatures remained below 3000°K. Additional observing periods were tentatively planned for the evenings of December 1 and 4. On the basis of the latest forecasts for very quiet conditions on both days, however, it was decided not to run dedicated coherent echoes experiments. A search through existing data was believed a more efficient means of locating additional ERB events.

#### 6.1.4 UHF Common Program Data

The computer algorithm used to detect enhanced power spectra in real-time can also be used to analyze existing raw data tapes. Each spectra is checked, and those that exceed predetermined levels of asymmetry and/or overall power enhancement are recorded for later analysis. For these analyses, a 3 dB asymmetry threshold was used to reduce the large number of false detections due to low SNR, even though using the algorithm at this sensitivity level probably results in failure to detect some weak enhancements. I decided to look at CP-2 data initially, hoping to find enhanced UHF echoes in the vertical antenna position which could be compared with the VHF returns from the same scattering volume. CP-2 designates *Common Program 2*, a standard operation mode which includes both field-aligned and vertical radar look-angles. A total of 111 hours of Tromsø CP-2 data and 318 hours of data from the remote sites was reduced and analyzed for additional evidence of enhanced radar backscatter. The actual experiments analyzed are shown in Table 6.1.

Despite the relatively large amount of data analyzed, significant occurrences of ERB were not found. As with the experiment, the probable cause for the lack of enhanced echoes is the low level of magnetic activity present during the time periods analyzed. In addition, only a small volume of space is monitored by the stationary radar beam which requires that ERB processes occur in very specific locations. While

Table 6.1: Table of Analyzed Common Program Experiments. T, K, and S denote Tromsø, Kiruna, and Sodankylä.

STRT. DATE-UT	END DATE-UT	MODE	SITES
87/10/20-09:30	87/10/21-14:00	CP-2-D	K,S
87/10/21-14:00	87/10/22-23:30	CP-2-D	T,K,S
88/08/09-08:00	88/08/10-08:30	CP-2-D	K,S
88/08/16-08:00	88/08/18-23:00	CP-2-D	T,K,S
89/10/23-18:00	89/10/25-14:30	CP-2-D	K,S
89/10/24-17:00	89/10/25-07:30	CP-2-D	T
90/11/27-17:00	90/11/27-18:00	CP-2-D	T

not indicative of all localized auroral processes, the Ap index for magnetic activity does provide an estimate of the general level of magnetic disturbance globally. This index was less than 15 (quiet) on all but one of the nine days investigated, and less than 10 on all but two. These periods were chosen for analysis initially because they were available at the Tromsø site. Later, due to several reasons (primarily computer failure), it was possible to process only half the data originally slated for analysis at EISCAT Headquarters in Kiruna, Sweden. In addition, 24 hours of data processed from disturbed time periods was lost due to a faulty data transfer.

### Tromsø Data

About 2.5% of the Tromsø spectra surveyed were determined to be asymmetrically enhanced; more than 95% of the selected spectra are not geophysically significant. Most result from satellites penetrating the radar beam; some are asymmetric because of random noise spikes in low SNR data from high altitudes. Only two cases of interest were found in the data examined thus far.

The first, shown in Figure 6-7, is comprised of enhanced spectra previously found by Mike Rietveld and proves the value of this method of analysis. The data quality for the October 24/25 experiment was quite good, and only 42 spectra were computer-selected from 14 hours of data. Of these 42, 16 are clearly enhanced by geophysical




Figure 6-7: Asymmetric enhanced UHF spectra from October 1990 extended over 13 consecutive range gates. The range gate number is on the upper left of each plot; the number on the upper right is the asymmetry index assigned by the MONITOR detection algorithm.

mechanisms as evidenced by their sequential extent in range. This data set is the exception with regard to geomagnetic activity noted above. It was recorded three days after the peak of one of the largest magnetic storms on record. Actual conditions for the day and hour of the enhanced echoes occurrence are characterized by an  $A_p$  index of 23 and a 3-hr  $K_p$  of 5.

Data from August 18, 1988 form the second interesting case (see Figure 6-8), when weakly enhanced spectra were observed in the UHF radar beam continuously for more than 30 seconds at ranges varying from 520 - 600 km along the magnetic field. The localized nature of the enhancements does allow the possibility that the spectra may be a hard target signature in the beam. Figure 6-9, however, indicates that a satellite at 600 km range could remain in the stationary radar beam for no more than a few seconds, and direct measurements of satellites with the EISCAT system support this




Figure 6-8: Weakly enhanced ion acoustic spectra seen in four consecutive 10-second integration periods. The range varies from 520 km to 600 km; a satellite at this range would pass through the radar beam in less than five seconds.

conclusion.

These spectra were selected by the MONITOR program and represent the interesting data I have from this time period. It is entirely possible that there are other spectra recorded during this time period which, while not detected by MONITOR (and therefore not recorded), contain meaningful levels of asymmetric enhancement.




Figure 6-9: Approximate main beam satellite transit time as a function of altitude for the 1° EISCAT UHF radar beam. Targets below 1000 km remain in the beam for less than five seconds. Circular orbits are assumed.

### Remote Sites Data

As pointed out previously, enhanced echoes observed by all sites can be conclusively attributed to geophysical sources if they do not exhibit a 3-dimensional Doppler velocity consistent with orbiting bodies. Motivated by the promise of unambiguous classification, a large amount of data from the remote receiving sites at Kiruna and Sodankylä was examined. However, not a single case of ERB was detected by MONITOR in more than 300 hours of remote site data.

The lack of detections is somewhat puzzling, particularly because during the October 24/25 experiment moderately enhanced spectra were observed at Tromsø from the common volume scattering height of 278 km. Unfortunately, the remote sites were not pointed at this altitude during the period of observed ERB; in fact, no coincident measurements of ERB have been discovered thus far. This can be partially explained by the fact that the remote sites monitor altitudes at 278 km or below, where very

few enhancements have been seen.

The aspect angle sensitivity of ERB processes may prove important, as well, because the remote sites are not able to view the  $F$  region common scattering volume at small aspect angles. Because the enhancement phenomenon is associated with field-aligned processes, it is possible that it produces a much weaker signature when diagnosed by the remote sites at large aspect angles. A final consideration is that the scattering volume available to the remote sites is determined by the intersection of their receiving antenna beam and the radar beam transmitted from Tromsø. The respective radar beams are only about five kilometers in diameter at the intersection altitude of 275 km. This provides a relatively small scattering volume, and the power received by the remote sites is considerably less than that received from direct backscatter at Tromsø. Nevertheless, the continuing effort to find more cases of ERB in the EISCAT data will probably uncover some events diagnosed simultaneously by both the Tromsø receiver and the remote sites, providing new insights into the physics of these events as discussed in Chapter 7.

### **6.1.5 EISCAT VHF Observations of ERB**

Evidence of ERB events detected with the EISCAT VHF radar were recently reported by *Collis et al.*, [1991] (henceforth, COLL91). The enhanced spectra are similar in appearance to those recorded by the UHF radar discussed previously. A summary of their characteristics follows.

#### **Summary of VHF Observations**

VHF ERB was observed during experiments in January 1989 and February 1990 [COLL91]. Both cases were associated with unusually strong visible red aurora as indicated by all-sky imagers and scanning photometers. The auroral signatures are



believed to be caused by low energy ( $E \leq 100$  eV) precipitating electrons. A sample of the VHF echoes is shown in Figure 6-10, where the altitude variation of the enhancements over a three minute period in the February experiment is evident.

The apparent downward motion of the ERB is interpreted by COLL91 to represent the equatorward motion of a field-aligned structure (*e.g.*, auroral arc) passing over the radar. The VHF echoes shown in Figure 6-10 are not extended in range because the antenna is pointed to the zenith, rather than field-aligned as in the case of the UHF enhancements. Further consideration of the data indicates other differences between the VHF and UHF enhancements. The VHF events are found at much higher altitudes (up to 1350 km) and persist for much longer, up to four minutes in the example shown here. In the February 1990 experiment VLF spectra were enhanced sporadically for more than one hour. By comparison, UHF events at EISCAT have not been found above 600 km, and 50 seconds represents the longest period of uninterrupted enhancement, while the duration of sporadic enhancement periods does not exceed about ten minutes. These facts indicate that the enhancements may be more easily excited at VHF frequencies [COLL91].

The frequency shift of the enhanced peak was seen to change from upshifted to downshifted during the course of about a minute in the January 1989 experiment. ERB data acquired during the February 1990 event, however, are characterized by a consistent dominant enhancement of the downshifted peak for up to four minutes, though at times both peaks were enhanced in the same integration period. This is in contrast to the UHF measurements where the asymmetry seems to fluctuate more rapidly in both time and space between downshifted and upshifted frequencies.

The total cross section enhancement for the VHF spectra ranges from factors of 4-5 during the January experiment to greater than 25 in the February events. Measurements of ERB by both the UHF and VHF radars have been recorded during




Figure 6-10: Enhanced zenith VHF spectra from 20 February, 1990. The three 10-second integrations shown were recorded 1 minute apart beginning at 18:03:00 UT; the altitude of the enhancement varies smoothly. Enhancements above normal incoherent scatter are shaded black [after COLL91].

the same time period, confirming that both are associated with elevated electron temperatures, large magnetic field fluctuations, and, when optical data is available, with red aurora. Unfortunately, comparisons of simultaneous UHF/VHF spectra from the same scattering volume have not been reported. This is because the VHF antenna is nearly always pointed vertical during experiments, while the UHF dish acquires data at other look-angles a majority of the observing time. The comparison of spectral characteristics at two frequencies would provide important information on the scale size dependence of the physical mechanism responsible for the ERB.

## 6.2 ERB Observations at Sondrestrom

The incoherent radar located at Sondrestromfjord, Greenland operates at 1290 MHz and offers an ideal location ( $\approx 74^\circ$  Inv.lat.) for the excitation and detection of ERB at

a wavelength shorter than that of both the EISCAT and Millstone Hill systems. The data base available for such studies is, at present, much more limited than either of the latter sites. Frequent low energy electron precipitation occurring at this latitude increases the likelihood of backscatter enhancements locally.

### **6.2.1 Zenith Experiments at Sondrestöm**

As explained previously, acquiring data with the radar antenna pointed to zenith effectively filters out hard target contamination by limiting the line-of-sight velocity to subsonic magnitudes. Accordingly, attempts to identify ERB events at Sondrestöm have employed this approach.

Analysis of four hours of zenith data taken in August, 1988 revealed that two of the 20 coherent echoes observed occurred at frequency shifts corresponding to ion acoustic waves; the remainder of the enhanced peaks had essentially no Doppler shift and are presumed to be caused by hard targets passing through the radar beam. The two events at the ion acoustic frequency showed backscattered power enhanced by factors of 2 and 5, respectively; smaller asymmetries were evident in several other spectra. The spectrum enhanced by a factor of 5 is shown in Figure 6-11. The range gates shown in the figure do not overlap, so that a localized target appears in only one gate. This is consistent with EISCAT and Millstone Hill observations of ERB for nonzero aspect angles.

Six more zenith experiments were run at Sondrestöm in May, September, and October, 1989 and October, 1990 providing 44 additional hours of observations. These data, however, show little evidence of ERB at ion acoustic frequencies; asymmetric enhancements are at most 1.5 times greater than the normal background spectra, not considered statistically significant. No extended periods of ERB occurrence have been found in Sondrestöm data yet. The lack of ion acoustic ERB in these ex-

ZENITH  
SONDRESTRÖM  
10AUG88




Figure 6-11: Sondreström zenith data showing factor of 5 enhancement in ion acoustic spectrum. Altitude scale is in kilometers.

periments suggests the absence of intense field-aligned currents. The magnitude of these currents is related to the level of geomagnetic activity, generally weak during these experiments. Another reason for the absence of geophysical ERB may be that higher electron drifts are required for enhancement at the short wavelength (12 cm) diagnosed by the Sondrestrom radar.

### 6.3 Summary of ERB from Other Sites

Important progress has been achieved towards identifying and understanding enhanced radar backscatter from the ionosphere. Such events are known to occur briefly and infrequently under special ionospheric conditions; enhancements below 250 km are especially rare. The most prominent observations reported by RD91 and COLL91 associate ERB events with red aurora (*viz.*, particle precipitation), large magnetic field variations locally, and elevated electron temperatures. These requirements are also reflected by global magnetic indices for the periods indicating very disturbed conditions. The EISCAT ERB observations have been seen when  $K_p \geq 5$ , a level of disturbance occurring about five percent of the time during solar max [Mayaud, 1980]. Comparing the Millstone Hill, EISCAT and Sondrestrom observations suggests that ion acoustic spectra may be enhanced over a wide range of scale sizes; enhancement thresholds appear to decrease with increasing wavelength.

These findings are basically consistent with the current driven source mechanisms of Kindel and Kennel [1971] and Rosenbluth and Rostoker [1962] suggested by FOS88, RD91, and COLL91. These mechanisms show that a relative drift between the electrons and the ions (*i.e.*, a current) in the plasma will produce asymmetric enhancements in the observed ion acoustic spectrum. The frequency shift of the asymmetry depends on whether the electrons are drifting towards (upshift) the radar or away (downshift) from the radar. A puzzling inconsistency with the known mechanisms,

however, stems from the commonly observed simultaneous enhancement of both upshifted and downshifted ion acoustic peaks. The magnitude of enhancements larger than 7 dB and the detection of ERB at nonzero aspect angles are also not described adequately by RR and KK. Possible explanations for these observations based on existing theories and an alternative mechanism for ion acoustic enhancements are presented in Chapter 7.