brought to you by TCORE

111 34

45757 D-14

# A k-ε Modeling of Near Wall Turbulence

NASA Technical Memorandum 105238

ICOMP-91-16; CMOTT-91-06

(NASA-TM-105238) A K-EPSILON MODELING O NEAR WALL TURBULENCE (NASA) 14 p CSCL	IF N91-32460 20D	
	Unclas 63/34 0045757	
Z. Yang and T.H. Shih Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulance and Transition		
Lewis Research Center	n	

Prepared for the Fourth International Symposium on Computational Fluid Dynamics Davis, California, September 9-12, 1991





Cleveland, Ohio

# A $k - \epsilon$ MODELING OF NEAR WALL TURBULENCE

Z. Yang and T.H. Shih Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

#### ABSTRACT

A  $k - \epsilon$  model is proposed for turbulent wall bounded flows. In this model, the turbulent velocity scale and turbulent time scale are used to define the eddy viscosity. The time scale is shown to be bounded from below by the Kolmogorov time scale. The dissipation equation is reformulated using this time scale, removing the need to introduce the pseudo-dissipation. A damping function is chosen such that the shear stress satisfies the near wall asymptotic behavior. The model constants used are the same as the model constants in the commonly used high turbulent Reynolds number  $k \cdot \epsilon$  model. Thus, when it is far away from the wall, the proposed model reduces to the standard  $k \cdot \epsilon$  model. Fully developed turbulent channel flows and turbulent boundary layer flows over a flat plate at various Reynolds numbers are used to validate the model. The model predictions are found to be in good agreement with the DNS data.

#### INTRODUCTION

Because of a wide range of scales involved in a turbulent flow, DNS (direct numerical simulation) is limited to flows of moderate Reynolds number and simple geometry. Turbulence modeling is the only viable approach for the calculation of turbulent flows of engineering interest. In turbulence modeling,  $k \cdot \epsilon$  model is the most used model in engineering calculations and model constants have been computationally optimized, giving what is called the Standard Model (e.g., Spalding and Launder [1], Rodi [2]). The Standard Model is devised for high turbulent Reynolds number flows and is traditionally used in conjunction with a wall function when it is applied to wall bounded turbulent flows. Since universal wall functions do not exist in complicated flow situations, it is necessary to develop a form of the  $k - \epsilon$  model such that the equations can be integrated directly down to the wall.

Jones and Launder [3] made the first proposal for the low Reynolds number  $k - \epsilon$  model and the equations were successfully integrated to the wall. A number of models have been proposed since then. A critical evaluation of the pre-1985 models was made in the paper by Patel et al. [4]. They pointed out that it is important for the damping function in the eddy viscosity to satisfy the near wall asymptotics. More recent models could be found in Shih [5]. Of the models proposed, a pseudo-dissipation is introduced near the wall. However, the definition of the pseudo-dissipation is quite arbitrary, except for the constraints on the wall and far away from the wall. In addition, the model constants used are different from the Standard Model, making the near wall models less capable of handling flows containing both high Reynolds number turbulence and near wall turbulence.

يت، و قد جائي ر

In the present paper, we try to provide remedies for these two shortcomings stated above. In section 2, we list the general form of the low Reynolds number  $k - \epsilon$  models. We then propose in section 3 a new model. The proposed model is validated in section 4 for fully developed turbulent channel flows and turbulent boundary layer flows over a flat plate at different Reynolds numbers. Section 5 presents conclusions and possible future works.

#### EXISTING NEAR WALL $k - \epsilon$ MODELS

In turbulence modeling, the instantaneous quantities of an incompressible flow are decomposed into the mean and the fluctuations. i.e.  $\tilde{u}_i = U_i + u_i$ ,  $\tilde{p} = P + p$ . The mean field  $U_i$  satisfies the following continuity equation and the Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes equation:

$$U_{i,i} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{U}_{i} + U_{j}U_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{\rho}P_{i} + \nu U_{i,jj} - \langle u_{i}u_{j} \rangle_{j}$$
(2)

where the Reynolds stress term,  $- \langle u_i u_j \rangle$ , has to be modeled.

In an eddy viscosity model, the Reynolds stress is related to the mean field by

$$- \langle u_i u_j \rangle = \nu_T (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}) - \frac{2}{3} k \delta_{ij}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\nu_T$  is the eddy viscosity and k is the turbulent kinetic energy. From a dimensional reasoning, the eddy viscosity is given by

$$u_T \sim u_t l_t,$$

where  $u_t$  and  $l_t$  are the turbulent velocity scale and turbulent length scale respectively.

In the framework of the  $k - \epsilon$  model,  $l_t \sim k^{3/2}/\epsilon$  and  $u_t \sim k^{1/2}$ . In the case of near wall turbulence, the above is modified by introducing a damping function  $f_{\mu}$  and a pseudodissipations  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ . The general forms for the eddy viscosity and the transport equations for kand  $\epsilon$  are (Patel et al. [4]),

$$\nu_T = C_\mu f_\mu \frac{k^2}{\bar{\epsilon}} \tag{4}$$

$$\dot{k} + U_j k_{,j} = \left[ \left( \nu + \frac{\nu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) k_{,j} \right]_{,j} - \langle u_i u_j \rangle U_{i,j} - \epsilon + D$$
(5)

$$\dot{\epsilon} + U_j \epsilon_{,j} = \left[ \left( \nu + \frac{\nu_T}{\sigma_\epsilon} \right) \epsilon_{,j} \right]_{,j} + C_1 f_1 \frac{\epsilon}{k} \nu_T U_{i,j} U_{i,j} - C_2 f_2 \frac{\epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}}{k} + E$$
(6)

where

 $ar{\epsilon} = \epsilon - D$ 

Various models use different sets of model constants  $c_{\mu}$ ,  $\sigma_k$ ,  $\sigma_\epsilon$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and different damping functions  $f_{\mu}$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  as well as different forms of D and E. Far away from the wall, the damping functions  $f_{\mu}$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  approach to 1 and the near wall terms D, E are negligibly small so that the model equations become the high Reynolds number forms of  $k - \epsilon$  model.

## THE PROPOSED MODEL

The turbulent length scale is characterized by the size of the energy containing eddies. Near the wall, these eddies would have a size of O(y). Following Patel et al [4], the turbulent velocity field has the following expansions near the wall:

$$u' = u_1 y + u_2 y^2 + ...$$
  

$$v' = v_2 y^2 + ...$$
  

$$w' = w_1 y + w_2 y^2 + ...$$
(7)

where  $u_1, v_2, w_1$  are non-zero in general. Thus, as the wall is approached, both the turbulent length scale and the turbulent velocity scale approach zero. However, the turbulent time scale, which is given by the ratio of the scale of the energy containing eddies to the turbulent velocity scale, approaches to a non-zero value. We expect this time scale to be the Kolmogorov time scale because viscous dissipation is dominating near the wall. We thus have, for the turbulent time scale,

$$T_t = \frac{k}{\epsilon} + T_k \tag{8}$$

where

$$T_k = c_k (\frac{\nu}{\epsilon})^{1/2} \tag{9}$$

is the Kolmogorov time scale and  $c_k$  is a constant of order one.

Using this turbulent time scale and  $k^{1/2}$  as turbulent velocity scale, the turbulent Reynolds number is

$$R_t = \frac{l_t u_t}{\nu} = \frac{kT_t}{\nu} \tag{10}$$

and the eddy viscosity is

$$\nu_T = c_\mu f_\mu k T_t \tag{11}$$

The transport equation for k remains the same as eq (5) (with D = 0, since we are solving the real dissipation.) The transport equation for  $\epsilon$  is

$$\dot{\epsilon} + U_j \epsilon_{,j} = \left[ \left( \nu + \frac{\nu_T}{\sigma_\epsilon} \right) \epsilon_{,j} \right]_{,j} + \left( C_1 \nu_T U_{i,j} U_{i,j} - C_2 f_2 \epsilon \right) \frac{1}{T_t} + E$$
(12)

where

$$f_2 = 1.0 - 0.22 \exp(-\frac{R_t^2}{36}) \tag{13}$$

$$E = \nu \nu_T U_{i,jk} U_{i,jk} \tag{14}$$

Since  $T_t$  is always a positive nonzero quantity, the above formulation removes the singularity of the high Reynolds number  $k - \epsilon$  model when the wall is approached.  $f_2$  and E are of the form used by Jones and Launder [3] for the low Reynolds number model, in which  $f_2$  is used to capture the final decay of the homogeneous turbulence and E is used to model turbulence in the buffer layer.

Near the wall, the shear stress  $- \langle uv \rangle$  should behave as  $O(y^3)$ . Thus we would require a damping function which has a near wall behavior of O(y). The damping function is assumed to be of the following form

$$f_{\mu} = 1.0 - \exp(a_1 y^+ + a_2 (y^+)^2 + a_3 (y^+)^3 + a_4 (y^+)^4)$$
(15)

with the constants are determined by calibrating with the DNS data for 2D channel flow at  $Re_{\tau} = 180$ . The calibration gives

$$a_1 = 4 \times 10^{-3}, a_2 = 5 \times 10^{-5}, a_3 = -2 \times 10^{-6}, a_4 = 8 \times 10^{-8}$$

The model constants used are the same as those in the Standard Model. i.e.

$$c_{\mu} = 0.09, C_1 = 1.44, C_2 = 1.92, \sigma_k = 1.0, \sigma_{\epsilon} = 1.3$$

Thus, far away from the wall,  $f_2$ ,  $f_{\mu}$  become 1, the Kolmogorov time scale is much smaller than  $k/\epsilon$ , and E is much smaller than the other terms on the right hand of  $\epsilon$  equation, our model would reduce to the standard  $k - \epsilon$  model, ensuring the good performance of our model in the high turbulent Reynolds number limit.

#### MODEL VALIDATION

We use 2D fully developed channel flows and turbulent boundary layer flows to validate the proposed model. These flows are attractive for model testing, because they have selfsimilar solutions so that the initial conditions do not have to be accurately specified. These flows are very simple, and solutions can be found very efficiently; yet, the effects of the wall on turbulent shear flow are still present. In addition, DNS data providing detailed flow information is available for these flows. We will compare the model predictions with the DNS data.

The boundary condition for  $\epsilon$  on the wall is determined by applying k equation to the wall, which gives

$$\epsilon_w = \nu k_{,yy}.\tag{16}$$

In this study, the following boundary condition for  $\epsilon$ , which is mathematically equivalent to the above but computationally much more robust, is used.

$$\epsilon_w = 2\nu \left(\frac{dk^{1/2}}{dy}\right)^2 \tag{17}$$

An implicit finite difference scheme is used to solve the momentum equation and the transport equations for k and  $\epsilon$ . The coefficients for the convective terms are lagged one step in the marching direction, and the source terms in the k and  $\epsilon$  equations are linearized in such a way that numerical stability is ensured.

A variable grid spacing is used to resolved the sharp gradient near the wall. The grid distribution is controlled by  $\delta y_i/\delta y_{i-1} = \alpha$ . Both  $\alpha$  and the total number of the grid, N, are varied to ensure the grid independence of the numerical results. The marching step size,  $\delta x$ , is also varied to ensure accuracy. It is found that N = 150,  $\alpha = 1.03$ , and  $\delta x = 0.05$  are sufficient to give a solution with a less than 0.5% error.

Computations are carried out for 2D fully developed turbulent channel flows at  $Re_{\tau} = 180$  and  $Re_{\tau} = 395$ . Fig. 1 shows the mean velocity profile for  $Re_{\tau} = 180$ , together with the DNS data of Kim et al [6]. In this figure, and in the following figures, both the dependent and the independent variables are normalized by  $u_{\tau}$  and  $\nu$ . The agreement between model prediction and DNS data is excellent. This may not be unexpected since the constants in the damping function are calibrated for this case. We then show the results for 2D channel flow at a different Reynolds number,  $Re_{\tau} = 395$ . Fig. 2 to Fig. 5 shows the profiles of the mean velocity, shear stress, turbulent kinetic energy and the dissipation, respectively. The predictions of Jones and Launder [3] and Chien [7] are also shown. These predictions are compared with the DNS statistics for this case (Mansour [8]). Overall, the proposed model gives a better prediction.

Predictions of the mean velocity, shear stress, turbulent kinetic energy and dissipation rate for turbulent boundary layer over a flat plate at  $Re_{\theta} = 1410$  are shown in Fig. 6 to Fig. 9. The model predictions are compared with the DNS data of Spalart [9]. Similar computations are made for  $Re_{\theta} = 670$ , where the DNS data is also available (Spalart [9]). The results for  $Re_{\theta} = 670$  are not shown here, due to space limitation. The model predictions for the skin friction are shown in Fig. 10, together with the DNS data of Spalart [9] at  $Re_{\theta} = 670$  and  $Re_{\theta} = 1410$ .

In the above computations,  $c_k = 1.0$  is used. The constant  $c_k$  was varied in the range of 0.5 - 3.0 and the solutions were found to be quite insensitive to  $c_k$  in this range. As more flow situations are tested, the value of  $c_k$  could be optimized by fine tuning.

#### CONCLUSION

We have presented a  $k - \epsilon$  model for wall bounded flows. We have shown that near the wall, the time scale is characterized by the Kolmogorov time scale rather than zero. By using this time scale and a turbulent velocity scale, the singularity in the standard dissipation equation is removed as the wall is approached and the equation can be integrated down to the wall. The use of this time scale also renders pseudo-dissipation unnecessary, which contains an arbitrary element in its definition.

The proposed model uses the same set of model constants as that used in the Standard Model, and when it is far away from the wall, the proposed model reduces to the Standard Model. Thus, the proposed model would be applicable in both the near wall turbulence and the high Reynolds number turbulence.

The damping function is chosen in such a way that the near wall asymptotics for the shear stress is satisfied, a feature which is very important in predicting the near wall properties (Patel et al [4]). The form of the damping function is assumed to be a function of  $y^+$  with the constants calibrated with the DNS data for a particular case. This  $y^+$  dependence of the damping function must be viewed as a drawback of the model, for  $y^+$  is not clearly defined, or even does not exist for complex flows (corner flows, flows with separation, for example.) In addition,  $y^+$  makes the modeling equation not invariant in coordinate transformations. Currently, effort is being made to remove these deficiencies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The DNS data used was kindly made available to us by Dr. N. Mansour of NASA Ames Research Center. Help from Dr. A.T. Hsu of Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, NASA Lewis Research Center on numerical computation is also acknowledged. This work is supported by Institute of Computational Mechanics in Propulsion, NASA

=

6

## Lewis Research Center.

# REFERENCES

- 1. B.E. Launder and D.B. Spalding, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 3, 269 (1974).
- 2. W. Rodi, Turbulence Models and Their Application in Hydraulics, (Book Pub. of Int. Asso. for Hyd. Res., Delft, the Netherlands, 1980).
- 3. W.P. Jones and B.E. Launder, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 16, 1119 (1973).
- 4. V.C. Patel, W. Rodi, and G. Scheuerer, AIAA J. 23, 1308 (1985).
- 5. T.H. Shih, NASA TM 103211, (1990).
- 6. J. Kim, P. Moin, and R. Moser, J. Fluid Mech. 177, 1119 (1987).
- 7. K.Y. Chien, AIAA Journal 20, 33 (1982).
- 8. N.N. Mansour, Private communication, (1991).
- 9. P.R. Spalart, J. Fluid Mech. 187, 61 (1988).























Figure 6. Mean velocity profile for flat plate boundary layer,  $Re_{\theta} = 1410$ .



Figure 7. Turbulent shear stress for flat plate boundary layer,  $Re_{\theta} = 1410$ .



Figure 8. Turbulent kinetic energy for flat plate boundary layer,  $Re_{\theta} = 1410$ .







Figure 10. Skin friction coefficient for flat plate boundary layer.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewir gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding		ewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ing this burden estimate or any other aspect of this		
collection of information, including suggestion Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22	is for reducing this burden, to Washington Hei 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management :	adquarters Services, Directorate for in and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pro	formation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank	) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED	
	September 1991	Tec	hnical Memorandum	
4. IIILE AND SUBITILE A k-s Modeling of Near W	/all Turbulence		5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
			WIL-505-62-21	
6. AUTHOR(S)			W 0 - 303 - 02-21	
Z. Yang and T.H. Shih				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8.		B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration				
Lewis Research Center			E-6563	
Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3	191			
		<u>}</u>		
		, ['	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
National Aeronautics and S	Space Administration		NASA TM-105238	
Washington, D.C. 20546-	0001		ICOMP-91-16; CMOTT-91-06	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
Prepared for the Fourth International Symposium on Computational Fluid Dynamics, Davis, California, September 9-12, 1991. Z. Yang and T. H. Shib. Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition. Lewis Research Center				
Cleveland, Ohio (work funded un	der Space Act Agreement C-99066-G).	Space Act Monitor, Louis A. P	ovinelli, (216) 433-5818.	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	1	2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34				
Subject category 54				
A L a ma dal is managed for		T. 41 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
$A \kappa \in \text{model is proposed to}$ scale are used to define the	eddy viscosity. The time scale	In this model, the turbules is shown to be bounded from	nt velocity scale and turbulent time on below by the Kolmogorov time	
scale. The dissipation equation is reformulated using this time scale, removing the need to introduce the pseudo-				
dissipation. A damping fun model constants used are th	ction is chosen such that the she	ear stress satisfies the near	wall asymptotic behavior. The	
model constants used are the same as the model constants in the commonly used high turbulent Reynolds number $k$ - $\epsilon$ model. Thus, when it is far away from the wall, the proposed model reduces to the standard $k$ - $\epsilon$ model. Fully developed				
turbulent channel flows and turbulent boundary layer flows over a flat plate at various Reynolds numbers are used to				
validate the model. The model predictions are found to be in good agreement with the DNS data.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Turbulence model: K-ensilon		15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
		16. PRICE CODE		
			A03	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT OF ABSTRACT	ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		
NSN 7540-01-280-5500	······	······································	Standard Form 298 (Bey, 2-89)	

Standard Form 298 (Hev. 2-8) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

-

-

# INTENTIONALLY BLANK