



Managing Temporal Relations in the MAESTRO Scheduling System*

Daniel L. Britt

Martin Marietta Information Systems Group

303-977-4491

*Based on the paper *Managing Temporal Relations*, coauthored with Amy L. Geoffroy and John R. Gohring, which appears in: "Proceedings of the Goddard Conference on Space Applications of Artificial Intelligence". May, 1990, NASA GSFC, Greenbelt MD.

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

U-1

INTRODUCTION

Scheduling defined

Why scheduling is hard

Scheduling domains are information-rich

An effective scheduling approach - Use as much information as possible
while keeping the computational workload manageable

MAESTRO adheres to this principle via resource opportunity calculation and
temporal constraint propagation

How MAESTRO manages temporal relations

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

1

U-2

DEFINITIONS

Activity - A sequence of operations, steps or subtasks which, when executed, accomplishes one or more goals. Each activity has associated resource, conditions, state, and timing requirements, all of which must be met for the activity to accomplish its goal(s).

Scheduling - The specification of start and end times for subtasks making up activities, and the specification of resources to be used for each, if there are choices among them.

Viable Schedule - A timeline of activity performances on which all of the performances can successfully be executed, given the truth of the assumptions upon which that schedule was based.

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 2
Dan Britt

U-3

Activity ATMOS - Atmospheric Spectroscopy

Activity Structure

subtasks	duration		delay	
	min	max	min	max
A1 - Power Up	3	3	n/a	n/a
A2 - Self test	1	1	0	0
A3 - Calibrate	4	6	0	5
A4 - Repoint	1	10	0	10
A5 - Collect Data	18	36	0	10
A6 - Power Down	3	3	0	0

Resource Use

resources subtasks	ATMOS					
	Instrument	Power	Data	Vibration	Sun excl angle	Day/night
A1 - Power Up	X	100 w				
A2 - Self test	X	100 w	4 kbps			
A3 - Calibrate	X	250 w	1 kbps			
A4 - Repoint	X	400 w		causes 1000 µg		
A5 - Collect Data	X	200 w	2 kbps	< 650 µg	> 32 deg	daylight only
A6 - Power Down	X					

Temporal Constraint - Subtask A5 must start 2 - 5 minutes after SOLAR subtask S4 starts

Placement Preferences - Frontload, maximizing subtask durations and minimizing delays between subtasks

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 3
Dan Britt

U-4

WHY SCHEDULING IS HARD

- 1) Desirability - Difficulty in determining when you've got a good schedule, given that different people, agencies, etc. have differing goals and priorities.
- 2) Stochasticity - Unpredictability in the domain that makes predictive scheduling problematical.
- 3) Tractability - Computational complexity of the domain, the "size" of the scheduling problem.
- 4) Decidability - It may be provably impossible to find an algorithm which produces an optimal schedule, depending on the definition of optimality chosen.

H. Van Dyke Parunak - "Why Scheduling Is Hard (And How To Do It Anyway)", *Proceedings of the 1987 Material Handling Focus (Research Forum)*, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1987.

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 4
Dan Britt

U-5

TRACTABILITY

Scheduling - searching a large, many-dimensional problem space, throughout which are scattered viable schedules.

Given 100 activities using any of 100 resources and starting at any of 100 times this space contains approximately $10^{300,000}$ possible schedules.

Viable schedules make up a tiny percentage of all schedules.

"Good" schedules can constitute a small fraction of all viable schedules.

Optimal schedules can make up a small percentage of all "good" schedules.

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 5
Dan Britt

U-6

SCHEDULING DOMAINS ARE INFORMATION-RICH

Activity structure

Activity temporal constraints

Resource types, use functions, availabilities

Preferences in activity placement, resource use, etc.

Schedule evaluation criteria (subjective)

Ways contingencies happen & can be dealt with

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Brill

6

U-7

Domain Information Usable By A Scheduler

Some or all of the following is available in a typical scheduling domain. Other information may be available as well.

- 1) Structure of each activity (number of subtasks, operations or steps)
- 2) Subtask durations, delays between subtasks for each activity
- 3) Subtask resource and conditions requirements, choices between resources used
- 4) Relationships between subtask durations & resource use
- 5) Relationships between conditions availabilities and subtask durations
- 6) Effects of subtask execution on resource, condition and state availabilities
- 7) Time windows in which subtasks can be scheduled
- 8) Required temporal relations between subtasks, in an activity or between two or more activities
- 9) Temporal relations between subtasks and events or times
- 10) Alternate ways to satisfy temporal constraints
- 11) Alternate descriptions of activities
- 12) Resource and conditions availabilities
- 13) States of schedule-relevant objects
- 14) Interactions between resources
- 15) Position on timeline of events and already-scheduled activities
- 16) User preferences in activity or subtask placement
- 17) Preferences in subtask resource use
- 18) Preferences in ways to satisfy temporal constraints
- 19) Priority of each activity
- 20) Number of times each activity should be scheduled
- 21) Time period being scheduled over
- 22) Average frequencies of various failures
- 23) Typical times for repair & maintenance
- 24) Ways to continue an interrupted activity
- 25) Time available to create a schedule
- 26) Time available to modify a schedule being executed
- 27) Importance of minimally satisfying lots of requests vs that of "near-optimally" satisfying a few requests
- 28) Importance of getting on high-priority activity done vs getting several lower-priority ones done

The preceding information can be used to generate other potentially useful information:

- 29) Summed activity resource requirements
- 30) Ways two or more activities can fit together or will conflict
- 31) Time windows during which all resource requirements for a subtask are satisfiable.
- 32) Time windows from which to choose subtask starts and ends such that the whole activity can be placed
- 33) Percent resource use, percentage of activity requests satisfied, and other schedule evaluation metrics

In most scheduling domains an important piece of information cannot be determined - why an activity cannot be scheduled. It may be possible to list all the reasons why an activity cannot be scheduled at a particular time, with fixed durations for its subtasks and fixed delays between them, but this information cannot efficiently be determined for activities which allow variability in placement. Also, some of the information listed earlier will be subjectively determined, and these determinations can vary over time and between people, though this will not necessarily make this kind of information unuseful.

U-9

AN APPROACH TO SCHEDULING	
	<p>Represent all available domain information to scheduling system</p> <p>Perform computations which analyze input info and synthesize other info while not incurring unacceptable overhead</p> <p>Use domain info and synthesized info to incrementally make decisions which remove "bad" schedules from the search space while keeping "good" ones</p> <p>Do not allow representable constraint violations, ruling out the vast majority of the search space implicitly</p>
<p>Space Network Control Workshop, Goddard Space Flight Center Dec 12&13, 1990</p>	<p>MARTIN MARIETTA</p> <p>Managing Temporal Relations in the MAESTRO Scheduling System Dan Britt</p>

U-10

THE MAESTRO SCHEDULING SYSTEM

Opportunity Calculation finds all places on a current partial schedule wherein each subtask can be executing w/resp to resources, conditions, states and time windows

Temporal Constraint Propagation uses this & other constraint info to specify time windows from which subtask starts and ends can be chosen such that a whole activity can be placed

An activity is selected to be scheduled using these results and user-specified criteria indicating importance of various heuristics

Selected activity is placed on the schedule using results of constraint propagation and placement preference info

User can select activity to schedule and/or can place selected activity using results of above computations

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

10

U-11

MANAGING TEMPORAL RELATIONS

A. Constraints on the Placement of a Single Activity

B. Constraints Between Activities

C. Soft Constraints

D. Contingency Handling

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

11

U-12

CONSTRAINTS ON THE PLACEMENT OF A SINGLE ACTIVITY

A. Resources and conditions

B. Time windows

C. Activity structure

1. durations and delays, with variability

2. nonadjacent subtask relations, activity duration

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 12
Dan Britt

U-13

CONSTRAINTS BETWEEN ACTIVITIES

A. Precedes, follows, starts, ends, and conflicts, with variable offsets

B. One-way versus two-way constraints

C. Constraint arities

D. Relations to events and to absolute times

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System 13
Dan Britt

U-14

Soft Constraints

- A. General preferences
 - 1. loading
 - 2. durations and delays
- B. Specific preferences
 - 1. maximize one duration
 - 2. place subtask near to or far from a subtask, event or time
- C. Random placement
- D. User placement

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

14

U-15

CONTINGENCY HANDLING

- A. Schedule late-arriving request
- B. Unschedule (bump) to fit new request
- C. Unschedule to reflect resource availability changes
- D. Interrupt and restructure an activity in real time

Space Network Control Workshop,
Goddard Space Flight Center
Dec 12&13, 1990

MARTIN MARIETTA

Managing Temporal Relations in the
MAESTRO Scheduling System
Dan Britt

15

U-16