NASA Technical Memorandum 105555

# Effect of Liquid Surface Turbulent Motion on the Vapor Condensation in a Mixing Tank

P.14

C.S. Lin Analex Corporation Brook Park, Ohio

and

M.M. Hasan Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the Fourth International Symposium on Transport Phenomena in Heat and Mass Transfer sponsored by the University of New South Wales Sydney, Australia, July 14-19, 1991



(NASA-TM-105555) LEFECT OF LIQUID SUPFACE TURCULENT MOTION ON THE VAPOR CONDENSATION IN A MIXING TANK (NASA) 14 0 COCL 200 N92-21498

Unclas 33/34 0083765

EFFECT OF LIQUID SURFACE TURBULENT MOTION ON THE VAPOR CONDENSATION IN A MIXING TANK

C.S. Lin Analex Corporation Brook Park, Ohio 44142

and

M.M. Hasan National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

#### ABSTRACT

The effect of liquid surface motion on the vapor condensation in a tank mixed by an axial turbulent jet is numerically investigated. The average value (over the interface area) of the root-mean-squared (rms) turbulent velocity at the interface is shown to be linearly increasing with decreasing liquid height and increasing jet diameter for a given tank size. The average rms turbulent velocity is incorporated in Brown et al. (1990) condensation correlation to predict the condensation of vapor on a liquid surface. The results are in good agreement with available condensation data.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The interface condensation process which is principally controlled by liquid motion in a partially filled tank is of interest to space-based systems. In space environment, a preferred method to control cryogenic storage tank pressure is the use of jet-induced mixing (Aydelott, et al., 1985; Poth and Van Hook, 1972). For a laminar jet the interface condensation rate could be simply determined by the jet volume flow rate and jet subcooling (Lin, 1989; Hasan and Lin, 1990; and Lin and Hasan, 1990). However, the spacebased systems are dimensionally large. The axial jet mixing system in most applications will be turbulent. Sonin et al. (1986); Brown et al (1989); and Brown et al. (1990) obtained an empirical correlation for the rate at which a pure vapor condenses on the free surface of a turbulent liquid in a steam-water system, for conditions where buoyancy effects are insignificant.

Figure 1 shows the physical system and the coordinates similar to that used in Brown and Sonin's steam-water condensation experiments (Sonin, et al., 1986; Brown, et al., 1989). The system consists of a vertical cylindrical tank of diameter D, partially filled with water. A steady turbulence is created in the water by an axial jet which is directed toward



FIGURE 1. Physical system and coordinates.

the free surface from below, with the water circulating in a closed loop (not shown here) through a pump and cooler. Thus, a certain degree of subcooled axial jet flow can be maintained to induce vapor condensation at the surface. It was shown by Brown et al. (1990) that, for high jet submergence H/D > 3.1 and at conditions where buoyancy effect are insignificant, the condensation mass flux m of the pure vapor at the turbulent surface of its liquid is given by

$$St_{c} = 0.0198 \left( 1 - \frac{Ja}{2} \right) Pr^{-0.33}$$
 (1)

The condensation Stanton number is based on the condensation heat flux  $(m_{ch})$ , the local bulk liquid subcooling  $(T_s - T_{out})$ , and the local rms value of turbulent velocity  $(v'_s)$  extrapolated from the bulk to the surface. For high jet submergence range 3.1 < H/D < 4.2, a correlation for the average value of rms turbulant velocity over the interface,  $\overline{v'_s}$ , was given by Brown et al. (1990):

$$\overline{v_s'} = 21.1 \left( \frac{Q_j}{Dd} \right) e^{-1.2 H/D}$$
(2)

Brown et al. (1989) also conducted experiments to measure the mass condensation rate for jet submergence range 0.5 < H/D < 3. However, the turbulence intensity was not measured. Following Thomas (1979), they modeled the interfacial turbulence intensity distribution and obtained an expression for the average value of  $v'_s$  over the interface as

$$\overline{v'_{s}} = \frac{u_{j} d}{D} \left[ 10.4\beta_{2} - (7.14\beta_{2} - 3.06\beta_{1}) \frac{H}{D} \right]$$
(3)

where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are constants to be determined. Assuming Eq. (1) also applies to the low jet submergence, the condensation data of Brown et al. (1989) yield

$$\beta_1 = 0.34$$
  $\beta_2 = 0.24$  (4)

The purpose of this study is to numerically determine the value of  $\overline{v'}$  by exactly solving for the entire flow field in a tank with 0.5 < H/D < 3. The liquid-side turbulence as a function of relevant parameters is determined and incorporated in Eq. (1) to predict the vapor condensation rate and compared with the experimental data of Brown et al. (1989).

### 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING

The physical system and coordinates are shown in Fig. 1. An axial liquid jet of diameter d and of velocity  $u_j$ , located at the tank bottom, is continuously introduced into the tank along the tank centerline. Liquid is withdrawn from the outer portion of the tank bottom with a withdrawing area of  $A_{out}$ . The volume flow rate of the liquid withdrawn is the same as that of the injected liquid jet such that the liquid level height H of the tank (or jet submergence) is maintained constant. The effect of vapor motion on the liquid turbulence is assumed negligible. Also the liquid surface is assumed to be flat.

The jet-induced mixing problem considered in the present study is steady-state and incompressible with gravity acting in the vertical negative-x direction. The dimensionless form of continuity and momentum equations with  $K-\epsilon$  turbulence model are expressed as

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x^*} + \frac{1}{r^*} \frac{\partial (r^* v^*)}{\partial r^*} = 0$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

$$\frac{\partial (u^{*2})}{\partial x^{*}} + \frac{\partial (u^{*}r^{*}v^{*})}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} = -\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} - \frac{2}{3}K^{*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} - \frac{2}{3}K^{*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) r^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) r^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right]$$

$$(6)$$

$$\frac{\partial (u^{*}v^{*})}{\partial x^{*}} + \frac{\partial (r^{*}v^{*2})}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} = -\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial x^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} \\ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) r^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} \\ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) r^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{*}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} \right] + \frac{\partial}{r^{*}\partial r^{*}} \\ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) r^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} - \frac{2}{3} r^{*}K^{*} \right] - \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_{j}} + \frac{C_{u}K^{*2}}{\varepsilon^{*}} \right) \frac{2v^{*}}{r^{*2}}$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{K}^*)}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} + \frac{\partial (\mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{r}^* \mathbf{v}^*)}{\mathbf{r}^* \partial \mathbf{r}^*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_j} + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{K}^{*2}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon^*} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}^*}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_j} + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{K}^{*2}}{\varepsilon^*} \right) \mathbf{r}^* \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}^*}{\partial \mathbf{r}^*} \right] + \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{B} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{e}_j} + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{K}^{*2}}{\varepsilon^*} \right) \mathbf{G} - \varepsilon^*$$
(8)

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{u}^* \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} + \frac{\partial (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* \mathbf{r}^* \mathbf{v}^*)}{\mathbf{r}^* \partial \mathbf{r}^*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_j} + \frac{C_u K^{*2}}{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*} \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\mathbf{r}^* \partial \mathbf{r}^*} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_j} + \frac{C_u K^{*2}}{\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*} \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*}{\partial \mathbf{x}^*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\mathbf{r}^* \partial \mathbf{r}^*} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{B \operatorname{Re}_j} + \frac{C_u K^{*2}}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*} \right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*}{\partial \mathbf{r}^*} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\mathbf{r}^* \partial \mathbf{r}^*} \right]$$
(9)

where the turbulence production term, G, is defined as

$$G = 2\left[\left(\frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial r^{*}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{v^{*}}{r^{*}}\right)^{2}\right] + \left(\frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial r^{*}} + \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)^{2}$$
(10)

and the empirical constants associated with the turbulence model are

$$C_1 = 1.44, \quad C_2 = 1.92, \text{ and } C_u = 0.09$$
 (11)

Boundary conditions are required to solve the partial differential Eqs. (5) to (9). At the centerline, the symmetric conditions are used. No-slip conditions for velocities are applied at the solid walls. Wall functions (Launder and Spalding, 1974) are used to calculate shear stress and are included as a source term in the governing equation for the velocity component parallel to the wall. For the axial jet, uniform velocity is assumed. The turbulent kinetic energy of the jet is set to be uniform at a specified level. The distribution of the dissipation rate is given by

$$\varepsilon_{j}^{*} = C_{u}^{3/4} \frac{K_{j}^{*3/2}}{1/D}$$
(12)

where  $l_m$  is the mixing length scale (Escudier, 1966). Uniform velocity at the liquid-withdrawn plane is also assumed:

$$u_{out}^* = -\frac{A_j}{A_{out}}$$
 and  $v_{out}^* = 0$  (13)

At the free surface, zero axial velocity and shear-free conditions are used:

$$u_{s}^{*} = 0$$
 and  $\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x^{*}}\right)_{s} = 0$  (14)

Net fluxes of turbulent quantities  $\ K\star\$ and  $\ \epsilon\star\$ are assumed zero at the free surface and liquid withdrawn-plane:

$$\left(\frac{\partial K^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)_{s} = \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)_{s} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial K^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)_{\text{out}} = \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon^{*}}{\partial x^{*}}\right)_{\text{out}} = 0 \quad (15)$$

The governing equations are numerically solved by a finitedifference method. Nonuniform grid distribution is used with concentration of the grid nodes in the centerline, near-wall, and near-interface regions where the gradients of flow properties are expected to be large. The essential features of the numerical scheme are described in Lin (1989) and Lin and Hasan (1990).

The grid patterns of 60 by 41 and 84 by 41 have been shown to give reasonably grid-independent solutions for  $Ar \leq 1$  and  $2.5 \geq Ar > 1$ , respectively. The convergent solutions are considered to be reached when the maximum of absolute residual sums for u\*, v\*, and K\* variables is less than 10<sup>-6</sup>. In the present study, calculations are performed to cover a wide range of the parameters Re<sub>j</sub>, D/d and Ar: 10 000  $\leq Re_j \leq 60$  000, 15  $\leq D/d < 70$ , and 0.5  $\leq H/D \leq 2.5$ . The value of K<sub>j</sub> is fixed at 0.005 and A<sub>out</sub>/A<sub>j</sub> = 80.

#### 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effects of the jet Reynolds number  $(Re_j)$ , aspect ratio (Ar) and tank to jet diameter ratio (D/d) on the turbulent quantities at and near the interface are of particular interest. Numerical solutions show that the dimensionless turbulent kinetic energy distribution  $(K^*)$  at the interface is slightly increasing with  $Re_j$ . However, this increase is so insignificant that the average value of  $K_j^*$  over the interface is essentially independent of  $Re_j$  as shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of dimensionless turbulent

kinetic energy at the interface (K) as a function of aspect



FIGURE 2. Average turbulent kinetic energy at the interface as a function of jet Reynolds number (H/D = 0.967, D/d = 23.6).



FIGURE 3. Effects of tank aspect ratio and tank to jet diameter ratio on the turbulent kinetic energy at the interface (Re = 20 000).

ratio, Ar, and tank to jet diameter ratio, D/d. An increase in either Ar or D/d results in a lower level of turbulent kinetic energy at the interface. This is because, for greater Ar or D/d, more energy is dissipated and less energy remains when the interface is reached. Also, greater values of Ar and D/d yield more uniform radial distribution of K. For Ar greater than 3, the rms turbulent velocities were found to be nearly uniform at and near the interface (Sonin et al., 1986).

Komori et al. (1982) measured the turbulence intensities, i.e., rms values of three components of velocity fluctuations, in an open channel flow. It was observed that near the free surface, the intensity of vertical fluctuation decreases while the fluctuations parallel to the surface are promoted. This behavior has also been observed by Sonin et al. (1986) in a flow system similar to Fig. 1. At the interface, since the axial component of fluctuating velocity is damped out, only radial and circumferential turbulent velocities contribute to the turbulent kinetic energy. From the measurements of Komori et al. (1982), it can be seen that the turbulent intensities parallel to the free surface are nearly equal at the free surface. Thus, for an open channel flow, the rms value of the turbulent velocity at the free surface is approximately equal to the square-root of its turbulent kinetic energy. The average value of  $v'_{i}$  over the interface can be calculated by

$$\overline{v_{s}^{\prime *}} = 8 \int_{0}^{0.5} v_{s}^{\prime *} r^{*} dr^{*} = 8 \int_{0}^{0.5} K_{s}^{*1/2} r^{*} dr^{*}$$
(16)

However, for the axial jet problem in the present study, the two components of interfacial turbulent velocity in the direction parallel to the interface may be significantly different. This observation is based on the experiments of a turbulent radial wall jet performed by Poreh et al. (1967). Therefore, the averaging process of Eq. (16) may not be appropriate. An alternative is to calculate the average value of the dimensionless turbulent kinetic energy over the interface by

$$\overline{K_{s}^{*}} = 8 \int_{0}^{0.5} K_{s}^{*} r^{*} dr^{*}$$
(17)

and obtain the average value of rms dimensionless turbulent velocity by

$$\overline{v'_{s}}^{*} = \overline{K}_{s}^{1/2}$$
(18)

Equation (18) can be considered as a system value of rms dimensionless turbulent velocity at the interface and is used

as the definition of  $v'_{i}$  in the present study. It is

noted that if the distribution of  $K_s^{*}$  is uniform, Eqs. (16) and (18) should give the same value of  $v_s^{\prime *}$ .

Figures 4 and 5 show that v'' is decreasing with increasing D/d and Ar, respectively. Based on the numerical solutions, a correlation is obtained for the average value of rms interfacial turbulent velocity  $(v''_{*})$ :

 $\overline{v'_{s}}^{*} = (2.39 - 0.645 \text{ Ar}) \left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^{-1}$  (19)

for  $0.5 \le Ar \le 2.5$  and 15 < D/d < 70. Within this range,  $\overline{v'_s}^*$  is essentially a linear function of d/D and Ar. For a given tank size (D), the value of  $\overline{v'_s}^*$  is linearly increasing with increasing jet diameter (d) and decreasing liquid height (H).

The value of  $\overline{v'_s}$  (= u,  $v'_s$ ) is the turbulent quantity required in Brown et al. (1990) condensation rate correlation. The average condensation mass flux at the surface can be obtained by integrating Eq. (1) and is expressed as:

$$\overline{m_e} h_{tg} = \rho C_p \overline{St_e} (T_s - T_{out}) \overline{v'_s}$$
(20)



FIGURE 4. Effect of tank to jet diameter ratio on the average rms turbulent velocity at the interface (Re<sub>j</sub> = 30 000, H/D = 0.967).



FIGURE 5. Effect of tank aspect ratio on the average rms turbulent velocity at the interface ( $Re_j = 20\ 000$ , D/d = 23.6).

Substituting Eqs. (1) and (19) into Eq. (20), the dimensionless system condensation rate ( $\Pi$ ) becomes

 $\Pi = 0.0602 - 0.0163 \frac{H}{D}$ (21)

where  $\Pi = \overline{m_e} h_{fg} D d Pr^{0.33} / [\rho C_p Q_j (T_s - T_{out})(1 - Ja/2)]$  as defined in Brown et al. (1989). Figure 6 shows good agreement between the measurement of Brown et al. (1989) and Eq. (21). Therefore, Eq. (21), based on the definition of Eq. (18) to predict  $v'_s$  can be incorporated with Brown et al. (1990) condensation correlation for the condensation rate of a vapor with low jet submergence. Based on Eq. (19), the corresponding values of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  for Eq. (3) are given by

$$\beta_1 = 0.33$$
 and  $\beta_2 = 0.23$  (22)

which is in very good agreement with Eq. (4) in the model of Brown et al. (1989).



FIGURE 6. Comparison of Eq. (21) and Experimental data of Brown et al. (1989).

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

For an axial jet-induced mixing system as shown in Fig. 1, numerical calculations based on the assumptions and ranges of parameters considered lead to the following conclusions:

o The radial distribution of turbulent kinetic energy at the interface (K) is more uniform for greater Ar and D/d with the maximum value located at the centerline. The average value of dimensionless turbulent kinetic energy over the interface is essentially independent of jet Reynolds number (Re,) and decreases with increasing aspect ratio (Ar) and tank to jet diameter ratio (D/d). However, experimental data are required for further quantitative evaluation.

o The average (system) value of rms turbulent velocity  $(v'_{*})$  over the interface is linearly increasing with decreasing Ar and (D/d) for  $0.5 \leq \text{Ar} \leq 2.5$  and 15 < D/d < 70. Equation (19) for the prediction of  $v'_{*}$  can be incorporated with Brown et al. (1990) condensation correlation (Eq. (1)), for the vapor condensation rate in a tank mixed by an axial turbulent jet.

#### NOMENCLATURE

A <sub>j</sub> , A <sub>out</sub>	surface area of the jet and outflow			
Ar,B	aspect ratio, H/D, tank to jet diameter ratio, D/d			
C	specific heat at constant pressure			
d,D	jet diameter, tank diameter			
g	acceleration due to gravity			
н	jet submergence depth			
h <sub>tg</sub>	latent heat of condensation			
Ja	Jakob number, $C_p(T_s - T_{out})/h_{g}$			
ĸ	dimensionless turbulent K.E., $K^* = K/u_j^2$			
l <sub>m</sub>	mixing length scale			
m <sub>c</sub>	local condensation mass flux			
Pr	Prandtl number of bulk liquid			
P,Pg	pressure, equilibrium hydrostatic pressure			
p	dimensionless pressure, $p^* = (p - p_g) / (\rho u_j^2)$			
Q	jet volume flow rate			
r	dimensionless radial coordinate, r/D			
Rej	jet Reynolds number, $ ho  extsf{u}_{ extsf{j}}  extsf{d} / \mu$			
St	local condensation Stanton number, $m_{c}h_{fg}/[\rho C_{p}(T_{s} - T_{out})v'_{s}]$			

T <sub>j</sub> , T <sub>out</sub> , T <sub>s</sub>	temperature of the jet, outflow, and interface respectively
u <sup>*</sup>	dimensionless axial mean velocity, $u/u_j$
v	dimensionless radial mean velocity, $v/u_j$
v'	rms turbulent velocity
V'*	dimensionless rms turbulent velocity, $v^\prime/u_j$
x <sup>*</sup>	dimensionless axial coordinate, x/D
Greek symbol	ls
ε	dimensionless dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy, $\varepsilon/(u_j^3/D)$
П	dimensionless system condensation rate
$\mu$	dynamic viscosity
$\beta_{_1},\beta_{_2}$	empirical constants in Eq. (3)
ρ	liquid density
$\sigma_{\kappa}$	Prandtl number in K equation, $\sigma_{\rm g}$ = 1.0
$\sigma_{e}$	Prandtl number in $\epsilon$ equation, $\sigma_{\epsilon}$ = 1.23
Subscripts	
j	evaluated at jet inlet
out	evaluated at outflow location
S	evaluated at liquid-vapor interface

1

#### 5. REFERENCES

Aydelott, J.C., Carney, M.J., and Hochstein, J.I., NASA Lewis Research Center Low-Gravity Fluid Management Technology Program. NASA TM-87145, 1985.

- Brown, J.S., Helmick, M.R., and Sonin, A.A., Vapor Condensation at a Turbulent Liquid Surface in Systems with Possible Space-Based Applications. AIAA Paper 89-2846, July 1989.
- Brown, J.S., Khoo, B.C., and Sonin, A.A., Rate Correlation for Condensation of Pure Vapor on Turbulent, Subcooled Liquid. <u>Int. J. Heat Mass Trans</u>., vol. 33, no. 9, pp. 2001-2018, 1990.
- Escudier, M.P., Distribution of Mixing Length in Turbulent Flows Near the Walls. Report TWF/TN/1, Imperial College of Science and Technology, Dept. of Mech. Engr., London, U.K., 1966.
- Gibson, M.M., and Rodi, W., Simulation of Free Surface Effects on Turbulence with a Reynolds Stress Model. J. Hydraulic Research, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 233-244, 1989.
- Hasan, M.M., and Lin, C.S., Buoyancy Effects on the Vapor Condensation Rate on a Horizontal Liquid Surface. AIAA Paper 90-0353, Jan. 1990 (also, NASA TM-102437).

- Komori, S., Ueda, H.,Ogino, F., and Mizushina, T., Turbulence Structure and Transport Mechanism at the Free Surface in an Open Channel Flow. <u>Int. J. Heat Mass Trans</u>., vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 513-521, 1982.
- Launder, B.E., and Spalding, D.B., The Numerical Computation of Turbulent Flows. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engr., vol. 3, no. 2, March 1974, pp. 269-289.
- Lin, C.S., Numerical Studies of the Effects of Jet-Induced Mixing on Liquid-Vapor Interface Condensation. AIAA Paper 89-1744, June 1989 (also, NASA CR-182285).
- Lin, C.S., and Hasan, M.M., Vapor Condensation on Liquid Surface Due to Laminar Jet-Induced Mixing: The Effects of System Parameters. AIAA Paper 90-0354, Jan. 1990 (also, NASA TM-102433).
- Poreh, M, Tsuei, Y.G., and Cermak, J.E., Investigation of a Turbulent Radial Wall Jet. <u>J. of Appl. Mech.</u>, pp. 457-463, June, 1967.
- Poth, L.J., and Van Hook, J.R., Control of the Thermo-dynamic State of Space-Stored Cryogens by Jet Mixing. J. Spacecraft Rockets, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 332-336, 1972.
- Sonin, A.A., Shimko, M.A., and Chun, J.H., Vapor Condensation onto a Turbulent Liquid - I. The Steady State Condensation Rate as a Function of Liquid-Side Turbulence. Int. J. Heat Mass Trans., vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1319-1332, 1986.
- Thomas, R.M., Condensation of Steam on Water in Turbulent Motion. <u>Int. J. Multiphase Flow</u>, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1-15, 1979.

## **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved

REPORT	OCCIVIENTATION PA		UMB NO. 0704-0766		
Public reporting burden for this collection of inf gathering and maintaining the data needed, an collection of information, including suggestions Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222	ormation is estimated to average 1 hour per re d completing and reviewing the collection of in for reducing this burden, to Washington Head 02-4302, and to the Office of Management and	esponse, including the time for revi formation. Send comments regard quarters Services, Directorate for in d Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pri	ewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ing this burden estimate or any other aspect of this formation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND			
	July 1991	Tec	hnical Memorandum		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Effect of Liquid Surface Tur Mixing Tank	bulent Motion on the Vapor Cor	idensation in a	5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
6. AUTHOR(S)			W0-500-40		
C.S. Lin, M.M. Hasan					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
National Aeronautics and Sp Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-31	E-6152				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER				
National Aeronautics and Sp Washington, D.C. 20546–0	NASA TM- 105555				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES         Prepared for the Fourth International Symposium on Transport Phenomena in Heat and Mass Transfer sponsored by the University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, July 14–19, 1991. C.S. Lin, Analex Corporation, 3001 Aerospace Parkway, Brook Park, Ohio 44142 (work funded by NASA Contract NAS3-25726), and M.M. Hasan, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio. Responsible person, M.M. Hasan, (216) 433-8349.         12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT         12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE					
Subject Category 34	<u>s)</u>				
The effect of liquid surface investigated. The average v is shown to be linearly incru average rms turbulent veloc of vapor on a liquid surface	motion on the vapor condensation alue (over the interface area) of easing with decreasing liquid here tity is incorporated in Brown et a . The results are in good agreem	on in a tank mixed by an the root-mean-squared ( ight and increasing jet di al. (1990) condensation of ent with available conde	axial turbulent jet is numerically rms) turbulent velocity at the interface ameter for a given tank size. The correlation to predict the condensation ensation data.		
14. SUBJECT TERMS	15. NUMBER OF PAGES				
Liquid-vapor interface turb	16. PRICE CODE A03				
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
	OF THIS PAGE	UF ABSTRACT Unclossified			
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified			
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)		

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

\_\_\_\_\_

Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 FOURTH CLASS MAIL

----

.

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED



Postage and Fees Paid National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA 451

