https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19920014319 2020-03-17T12:23:13+00:00Z

IN :

NASA Technical Memorandum 105624

86838

p. C

Defect Behavior, Carrier Removal and Predicted In-Space Injection Annealing of InP Solar Cells

I. Weinberg and C.K. Sw	artz
Lewis Research Center	
Cleveland, Ohio	

and

P.J. Drevinsky Phillips Laboratory Bedford, Massachusetts

		· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Prepared for the	····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
71	Fourth International Conference on Indi	um Phosphide				
	and Related Materials			• • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	cosponsored by the IEEE and LEOS					
	Newport, Rhode Island, April 20-24, 19	92	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••		·····
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the second	The second se			



(NASA-TM-105624) DEFECT BEHAVIOR, CARRIER REMOVAL AND PREDICTED IN-SPACE INJECTION ANNEALING OF INP SOLAR CELLS (NASA) 6 P CSCL 10A

N9	2-2	35	6	2
----	-----	----	---	---

Unclas G3/33 0086838

· · · ·

· ·

DEFECT BEHAVIOR, CARRIER REMOVAL AND PREDICTED IN-SPACE INJECTION ANNEALING OF InP SOLAR CELLS

I. Weinberg and C.K. Swartz National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

> P.J. Drevinsky Phillips Laboratory Bedford, MA 01731

Abstract

Defect behavior, observed by DLTS, is used to predict carrier removal and the of simultaneous electron effects irradiation and injection annealing on the performance of InP solar cells. For carrier removal, the number of holes trapped per defect is obtained from measurements of both carrier concentrations and defect concentrations during an isochronal anneal. In addition, from kinetic considerations, the behavior of a dominant defect during injection annealing is used to estimate the degradation expected from exposure to the ambient electron environment in geostationary orbit.

Introduction

Radiation induced carrier removal is believed to be a significant factor in affecting the performance of InP solar cells (1). In addition, annealing by minority carrier injection (2) should play a significant role in determining the performance of these cells in space. In both cases, the observed effect is believed to be directly related to the behavior of radiation induced defects. However, attempts to relate carrier removal to specific defect behavior have been admittedly speculative (1). With respect to injection annealing, there have been no published results utilizing defect behavior to predict the effects of injection annealing under the low radiation fluxes typical of the space environment. Hence, one objective of the present work lies in using specific defect behavior to predict carrier removal rates. A second objective lies in using defect behavior to predict annealing of InP solar cells by minority carrier injection in the space radiation environment.

Carrier Removal

Experimental: DLTS and carrier concentration measurements were carried out, after 1 MeV electron irradiation, on small mesa diodes which had been processed on the same wafer next to InP solar cells by MOCVD (3). The DLTS and carrier concentration data relevant to the present case are shown in figure 1. The numbers following the hole trap designations H3, H4 and H5 are the respective defect activation energies as measured in electron volts from the top of the valence band. Carrier removal rates, after 1 MeV electron independently irradiations were determined for similarly processed InP solar cells (4). All cells and diodes were processed by the Spire corporation. Additional details can processed were be found in the cited refs. (3, 4).

<u>Analysis:</u> For a p-type semiconductor, Rc the carrier removal rate is obtained from the relation

$$Rc = \Delta p/\phi \tag{1}$$

where Δp is the reduction in hole concentration due to irradiation at the fluence ϕ . In general, the measured Δp could be attributable to charge compensation and/or the trapping of holes by radiation induced defects. Assuming that the trapping mechanism is predominant, the carrier removal rate is expressed by the relation

$$Rc = \sum_{j} IjTj \qquad (2)$$

with $Ij=Nj/\phi$ and Tj=Pj/Nj where Ij is the introduction rate of the jth defect whose concentration is Nj at the radiation fluence ϕ and Pj is the concentration of holes trapped by the jth defect.

Values for the introduction and trapping rates are obtained from the data of figure 1. From the figure it is seen that variations in carrier concentration correspond to changes in defect concentration during the course of the anneal. For example; at T > 200°C. an increase in hole concentration coincides with a decrease in the concentration and eventual disappearance of H5. In this case, the concentration of holes trapped by H5 is obtained from the jump in hole concentration. In a similar manner, the abrupt jump in hole concentration at T $\sim 100^{\circ}$ C is correlated with the decrease in coincident the concentration of H3 and H4 and the increased concentration of Н5. Introduction rates are obtained from the post irradiation defect concentrations and the fluence $(5\times10^{15} \text{ cm}^2)$ cited with the figure. In addition, examination of figure 1 at T > 300°C indicates the presence of residual trapped holes coincident with the almost complete disappearance of all three defects. This is interpreted as indicating the trapping of the residual holes by unannealed defects which are not observed by the present DLTS measurements. These latter carriers are included in the carrier removal calculations by adding the term $P(res)/\phi$ to equation 2 where P(res) is the unannealed carrier concentration at $T > 300^{\circ}C$. The results are shown in Table I. In Table II the carrier removal rates, calculated using the data of Table I, are compared with independently measured values for InP solar cells (4). It is seen that there is reasonable agreement between the calculated and independently measured Hence, although additional values. data would be helpful, the present results tend to confirm the use of DLTS and carrier simultaneous concentration measurements during isochronal annealing in predicting values for carrier removal rates. In addition, the trapping rates shown in Table I indicate that the H5 defect, when present at sufficiently high concentration, can be more effective as a hole trap than either H3 or H4. In the present case, the low post irradiation concentration of H5 tends to reduce its effectiveness as a recombination or trapping center. However, it is found that the post irradiation concentration of this defect is observed to increase with increasing dopant concentration while

the concentration of the remaining defects decreases. In fact, at a base dopant concentration of 10^{17} cm⁻³, the concentration of H5 exceeds that of H4 (5). Hence, at and above this concentration H5 could be more effective than either H3 or H4 in affecting the performance of InP solar cells after 1 MeV electron irradiation.

Injection Annealing

It is known that considerable annealing of radiation induced degradation can be achieved by minority carrier injection, at room temperature, into p-type InP (2). In fact, some cell recovery has been observed when the cell was illuminated during irradi-ation. Hence, one would expect annealing in space, due to minority carrier injection, to be a major factor in alleviating the effects of radiation induced degradation. То determine the extent of this effect, one needs to perform simultaneous annealing while irradiating the cells at the low radiation fluxes encountered in space. A terrestrial experiment, duplicating the low fluxes observed in space, is impractical because of the extremely long times involved. Instead, we use a kinetic argument to estimate the effects of simultaneous irradiation and annealing in space.

Following Heinbockel et al, the production rate of the jth defect is given by (6)

$$dNj/dt = fj\sigma_d N_p(d\phi/dt) - WjNj$$
 (3)

where σ_d is the cross section for atomic displacement, N_a is the concentration of atoms, $d\phi/dt$ is the radiation flux, Wj is the probability per unit time for annealing of the jth defect and fj is the fractional concentration of defect Nj. At equilibrium dNj/dt is zero. Hence from equation 3,

$$Nj = \sigma_d N_a \phi_{ej}$$
(4)

with $\phi_{ej} = fj(d\phi/dt)/Wj$ (5)

 ϕ ej is defined as the effective fluence for production of the jth defect. In general, the effective fluence is the radiation fluence which would produce the equillibrium defect concentration in the absence of annealing (6). In the present case we concern ourselves with the post irradiation defect and preirradation carrier concentrations typical of those in the tables. In that case, H4 is considered to be the dominant defect in reducing cell output (1). Hence, evaluation of the effective fluence for H4 should result in an estimate of cell degradation in a specific orbit. In the present case, we evaluate an effective fluence for a satellite in geostationary orbit.

To evaluate Wj we use the relation obtained for injection annealing of H4 (2),

 $W_{ini} = 19.35 \text{ J exp} - (E_{A}/kT)$ (6)

where J is the cell current density in A/cm2, $E_{A}=0.133$ eV is the activation energy for injection annealing (2). The temperature T=333 K is chosen as characteristic of arrays in Geo. Using these values with $J=3\times10^{-2}$ A/cm² it is found that $W_{inj}=5.64\times10^{-3}$ sec⁻¹. It is noted that we consider only injection annealing in the present case. This is justified by noting that, at this tem-perature, $W_{inj} >> W_{th}$ where the latter is the probability per unit time for thermal annealing (7).

greatest uncertainty lies in The obtaining values for the flux. This is estimated from a compilation of radiation measurements in space where integral electron fluxes in Geo are listed over the energy range from 0.04 to 7 MeV (8). An upper limit to the flux is obtained by using the "worst case" integral fluence $(4.64 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^2)$ sec-1) for electron energies greater than 0.04 MeV (8). Hence, using f=0.7, we obtain ϕ_{\perp} =5.8X10⁹ cm⁻² as the upper limit for the effective fluence due to electron irradiations in geostationary orbit. At this fluence, no cell degradation is expected (1). However one needs to be cautious in interpreting this result. Although steady state (or ambient) electron irradiations dominate over the ambient proton irradiations in Geo, the intermittent proton irradiations associated with solar flares are significant components of the space radiation environment (8). Although statistical models can be used to obtain rough estimates of the effects of solar flares, insufficient DLTS data exists to enable inclusion of the effects of solar flares on the effec-However, the present tive fluence.

results are significant in the sense that electron irradiations predominate protons the over in ambient environment of this particular orbit.

Conclusion

Due to the controversy associated with identifying the atomic constitution of the defects observed by DLTS (5, 9) we have avoided designation of submicroscopic structures with the presently observed defects. However, it has been shown that hole trapping and carrier removal can be related to the behavior of specifically labelled defects. Defect behavior has also been used to estimate the performance of InP solar cells under simultaneous injection annealing and electron irradiation in geosynchronous orbit.

References

- 1. I. Weinberg, Solar Cells 31, p. 331 (1991).
- 2. M. Yamaguchi, K. Ando, A. Yamamoto and C. Uemura, J. Appl. Phys. 58, p. 568 (1985).
- 3. P.J. Drevinsky, C.E. Caefer and C.J. Keavney, Proceedings 3rd Int'l. Conf. on InP and Related Materials, p. 56 (1991).
- 4. I. Weinberg, H.B. Curtis, C.K. Swartz, D.J. Brinker, P.P. Jenkins and M. Faur, Proceedings 22nd IEEE Photovoltaic Spec. Conf. 1991, to be Published.
- 5. M. Yamaguchi and K. Ando, J. Appl.
- Phys. 60, p. 935 (1986). 6. J.H. Heinbockel, E.J. Conway and G.A. Walker, Proceedings 14th Photovoltaic Spec. Conf., p. 1085 (1980).
- 7. M. Yamaguchi and K. Ando, J. Appl. Phys. 63, p. 5555 (1988).
- 8. E.G. Stassinopoulis and J.P. Raymond, Proceedings of the IEEE 76, p. 1423 (1988).
- 9. A. Sibile, J. Suski and M. Gilleron, J. Appl. Phys. 60, p. 595 1986.

	0380		ICUIUC	ing n _c			
^c Hole Conc.	Introdu	uction	Rates	Trappi	ng R	ates	$P(Res)/\phi$
cm ⁻³		cm ⁻¹	-				cm ⁻¹
	I ₃	I ₄	I ₅	T ₃	T ₄	т ₅	
^a 2.55 X 10 ¹⁶	0.88	1.7	0.01	0.63 0	. 62	3.1	1.1
^b 4.1 X 10 ¹⁶	0.82	1.2	0.04	0.63 0	. 62	3.1	1.1

Table I: Introduction and Trapping Rates Used in Calculating R_c

^a From Fig. 1; ^b Intro. Rates from Ref. 3; ^c Error = ±5%

Table II:

Calculated and Measured Carrier Removal Rates

^c Hole Concentrations	Carrier 1	Removal Rates
cm ⁻³		cm^{-1}
	^a Calculated	^b Measured
2.4 X 10 ¹⁶	2.7	2.8
3.9 X 10 ¹⁶	2.5	2.4

^a From Table I; ^b Independently Meas. (Ref. 4); ^c Error = ± 5%



• •

REPORT	DOCUMENTATION P	AGE	OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of ir gathering and maintaining the data needed, a collection of information, including suggestion: Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22	nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per ind completing and reviewing the collection of s for reducing this burden, to Washington Hea 202-4302, and to the Office of Management a	response, including the time for revie information. Send comments regard dquarters Services, Directorate for in nd Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pro	ewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ing this burden estimate or any other aspect of this formation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson nject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED
•	1992	Tec	hnical Memorandum
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Defect Behavior, Carrier Ro Annealing of InP Solar Cel	emoval and Predicted In-Space I ls	njection	
5. AUTHOR(S)			WU-506-41-11
I. Weinberg, C.K. Swartz, a	nd P.J. Drevinsky		
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
National Aeronautics and S	nace Administration		
Lewis Research Center	Puço Administration		E-6963
Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3	191		_ 0700
·			
. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	ENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	1	0. SPONSORING/MONITORING
.			AGENUT REPORT NUMBER
National Aeronautics and S	pace Administration		NASA TM 105624
washington, D.C. 20040-	0001		NASA 1M-103024
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte	ernational Conference on Indium	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swart	Materials cosponsored by IEEE
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhod P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab Comparison of the second secon	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V poratory, Bedford, Massachusetts	Phosphide and Related N Veinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per	Materials cosponsored by IEEE 2, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229.
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhod P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab 2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V ooratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT	Phosphide and Related N Veinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per	Materials cosponsored by IEEE z, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Interand LEOS, Newport, Rhode P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V oratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT	Phosphide and Related N Veinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per 1	Materials cosponsored by IEEE 2, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhode P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word) 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V ooratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per	Materials cosponsored by IEEE 2, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhode P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word Defect behavior, observed be irradiation and injection and trapped per defect is obtain isochronal anneal. In addit annealing is used to estimate geostationary orbit. 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V oratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT (s) by DLTS, is used to predict carrinealing of the performance of In ed from measurements of both c ion, from kinetic considerations, te the degradation expected from	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per er removal and the effects P solar cells. For carrier arrier concentrations and the behavior of the domi exposure to the ambient	Materials cosponsored by IEEE x, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE s of simultaneous electron removal, the number of holes defect concentrations during an nant defect during injection electron environment in
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhode P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word Defect behavior, observed be irradiation and injection and trapped per defect is obtain isochronal anneal. In addit annealing is used to estimat geostationary orbit. SUBJECT TERMS Solar cells; Indium phosphi 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V poratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT (s) by DLTS, is used to predict carrine aling of the performance of In ed from measurements of both c ion, from kinetic considerations, te the degradation expected from de; Carrier removal; Injection an	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per er removal and the effects P solar cells. For carrier arrier concentrations and the behavior of the domi exposure to the ambient	Materials cosponsored by IEEE 2, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE s of simultaneous electron removal, the number of holes defect concentrations during an nant defect during injection electron environment in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 6 16. PRICE CODE A02
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhod P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word Defect behavior, observed be irradiation and injection and trapped per defect is obtain isochronal anneal. In addit annealing is used to estimate geostationary orbit. SUBJECT TERMS Solar cells; Indium phosphi SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V poratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT (s) by DLTS, is used to predict carrine aling of the performance of In ed from measurements of both c ion, from kinetic considerations, the the degradation expected from de; Carrier removal; Injection an 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per er removal and the effects P solar cells. For carrier arrier concentrations and the behavior of the domi exposure to the ambient mealing	Materials cosponsored by IEEE x, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE s of simultaneous electron removal, the number of holes defect concentrations during an nant defect during injection electron environment in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 6 16. PRICE CODE A02 ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for the Fourth Inte and LEOS, Newport, Rhode P.J. Drevinsky, Phillips Lab DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 33 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word Defect behavior, observed to irradiation and injection and trapped per defect is obtain isochronal anneal. In addit annealing is used to estimate geostationary orbit. SUBJECT TERMS Solar cells; Indium phosphi OF REPORT Unclassified 	ernational Conference on Indium e Island, April 20–24, 1992. I. V poratory, Bedford, Massachusetts STATEMENT (s) by DLTS, is used to predict carrine aling of the performance of In ed from measurements of both c ion, from kinetic considerations, te the degradation expected from de; Carrier removal; Injection an 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	Phosphide and Related Neinberg and C.K. Swartz 01731. Responsible per er removal and the effects P solar cells. For carrier arrier concentrations and the behavior of the domi exposure to the ambient exposure to the ambient nealing 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	Materials cosponsored by IEEE x, NASA Lewis Research Center; son, I. Weinberg, (216) 433–2229. 2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE s of simultaneous electron removal, the number of holes defect concentrations during an nant defect during injection electron environment in 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 6 16. PRICE CODE A02 ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

٠

.

į .

1

.