

100-27557  
p. 5

# A simple example of modeling hybrid systems using bialgebras: preliminary version

R. L. Grossman      R. G. Larson

December, 1991

## Hybrid systems

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet, and let  $W = \Sigma^*$  be the set of strings of letters of  $\Sigma$ .  $W$  is a semigroup with identity. A finite automaton over  $\Sigma$  is a finite set  $S$  of states together with a transition map  $\delta : \Sigma \times S \rightarrow S$  and an initial state  $s_0 \in S$ . The transition map  $\delta$  can be extended to a map  $\delta : W \times S \rightarrow S$ . If  $s \in S$  and  $w \in W$  we denote  $\delta(w, s)$  by  $w \cdot s$ .

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Suppose that for each  $s \in S$  we have a pointed irreducible cocommutative  $k$ -bialgebra  $H_s$ , with counit  $\epsilon_s : H_s \rightarrow k$  and unit  $\eta_s : k \rightarrow H_s$ , an augmented commutative right  $H_s$ -module algebra  $R_s$ , and an observation  $f_s \in R_s$ . In this case  $H_s \cong U(L_s)$  for some Lie algebra  $L_s$ , acting as derivations of  $R_s$ , and  $p_s \in H_s^*$  defined by  $p_s(h) = \epsilon_s(f_s \cdot h)$  for  $h \in H_s$ , is differentially produced by  $R_s$ . (See [1] for a realization theorem for such control systems.) The triple  $(H_s, R_s, f_s)$  represents a continuous control system.

We describe how the data given above can be used to construct a hybrid control system. Let  $H_0 = \coprod_{s \in S} H_s$ , the free product of the  $H_s$ . Then  $H_0$  is a pointed irreducible bialgebra, since it is generated as an algebra by the primitive elements of the  $H_s$ . Let  $R = \bigoplus_{s \in S} R_s$ . Then  $R$  is a commutative  $k$ -algebra and a right  $H$ -module algebra as follows: the maps  $H_0 \rightarrow H_s$  induced

by the maps  $\phi_{s',s} : H_{s'} \rightarrow H_s$  given by

$$\phi_{s',s} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } s' = s \\ \eta_s \circ \epsilon_{s'} & \text{if } s' \neq s \end{cases}$$

induces a bialgebra homomorphism  $H_0 \rightarrow H_s$ . Pullback along this map makes  $R_s$  into a right  $H_0$ -module algebra. In concrete terms,  $H_0$  is the algebra freely generated by the elements of the Lie algebras  $L_s$ , subject to the relations which hold in  $L_s$ , and the elements of  $L_{s'}$ , for  $s' \neq s$ , act trivially on  $R_s$ . We make  $R$  into a right  $H_0$ -module algebra by allowing  $H_0$  to act component-wise on  $R = \bigoplus_{s \in S} R_s$ . Denote the action of  $H_0$  on  $R$  by  $r \cdot h = rA(h)$ . Define the augmentation on  $R$  by  $\alpha = \alpha_{s_0} \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$ , where  $\alpha_{s_0}$  is the augmentation on  $R_{s_0}$ , and define the observation  $f = \sum_{s \in S} f_s$ .

Suppose that for each  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $s \in S$  we are given a bialgebra homomorphism  $T_{a,s} : H_s \rightarrow H_{a \cdot s}$ . Since  $W$  is freely generated by  $\Sigma$ , this gives a bialgebra homomorphism  $T_{w,s} : H_s \rightarrow H_{w \cdot s}$  for each  $s \in S$  and  $w \in W$ . The homomorphisms  $H_s \rightarrow H_{w \cdot s} \rightarrow H_0$  induce a bialgebra endomorphism  $H_0 \rightarrow H_0$ . This gives a semigroup homomorphism  $T : W \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{bialg}} H_0$ . Define the bialgebra

$$H = H_0 \#_T kW.$$

See [2] or [3] for a detailed definition of the semidirect product  $\#$  of a bialgebra by a semigroup algebra; the multiplication is given by

$$(h \# w)(h' \# w') = h(h'T(w)) \# ww'.$$

Suppose that for each  $a \in \Sigma$  we are given an algebra homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R$  mapping  $r \mapsto rQ(a)$  such that  $R_s Q(a) \subseteq \sum_{a \cdot t = s} R_t$ , and  $\alpha_t \circ p_t(1_s Q(a)) = 1$ , where  $1_s$  is the identity of  $R_s$ ,  $p_t : R \rightarrow R_t$  is the projection of  $R$  onto  $R_t$ , and  $\alpha_t$  is the augmentation of  $R_t$ , whenever  $a \cdot s = t$ . (These conditions say that the action of  $\Sigma$  on  $R$  reflects the action of  $\Sigma$  on the automaton  $S$ .) Since  $W$  is freely generated by  $\Sigma$ , this gives a semigroup homomorphism  $Q : W \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{alg}} R$  such that

$$R_s Q(w) \subseteq \sum_{w \cdot t = s} R_t \tag{1}$$

for all  $s \in S$  and  $w \in W$ , and

$$\alpha_t \circ p_t(1_s Q(w)) = 1, \tag{2}$$

whenever  $w \cdot s = t$ .

Assume that  $A$ ,  $Q$ , and  $T$  satisfy the following compatibility condition.

$$Q(w)A(h) = A(T(w)h)Q(w) \quad (3)$$

for all  $h \in H_0$  and  $w \in W$ . Then defining

$$r \cdot (h \# w) = rA(h)Q(w)$$

for all  $r \in R$ ,  $h \in H_0$ , and  $w \in W$  gives  $R$  a right  $H$ -module structure.

To see that  $R$  is a right  $H$ -module, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (r \cdot (h \# w)) \cdot (h' \# w') &= rA(h)Q(w) \cdot (h' \# w') \\ &= rA(h)Q(w)A(h')Q(w') \\ &= rA(h)A(T(w)h')Q(w)Q(w') \\ &= rA(hT(w)h')Q(w w') \\ &= r \cdot (hT(w)h' \# w w') \\ &= r \cdot ((h \# w)(h' \# w')), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r \in R$ ,  $h, h' \in H_0$ , and  $w, w' \in W$ . That  $R$  is an  $H$ -module algebra follows from the facts that  $R$  is an  $H_0$ -module algebra and that  $Q$  maps  $W$  to the semigroup of algebra endomorphisms of  $R$ . The element  $p \in H^*$  defined by  $p(h) = \epsilon(f \cdot h)$  for  $h \in H$  is the generating series associated with the dynamical system  $(H, R, f)$ .

## Some examples

In this section we give some examples showing how traditional dynamical systems fit into our scheme, and give an example of a simple hybrid system.

### Example — continuous systems

Let  $\Sigma = \emptyset$  and  $S = \{s_0\}$ . We then get a continuous dynamical system as described in [1].

## Example — discrete systems

Let  $S$  be a finite automaton over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ , let  $\delta : \Sigma \times S \rightarrow S$  its transition function,  $s_0 \in S$  its initial state, and let  $F \subseteq S$  a set of accepting states. Let  $R_s = H_s = k$  for all  $s \in S$ , and let

$$f_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \in F \\ 0 & \text{if } s \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Then  $H_0 = k$ , the homomorphism  $T(w) : H_0 \rightarrow H_0$  is the identity for all  $w \in W$ ,  $R = k^S$  the algebra of functions from  $S$  to  $k$ , and  $A(h)$  is scalar multiplication of  $h \in H_0 = k$  on  $R$ . The semigroup  $W$  acts on the set  $S$ , so it acts on  $R$  via the transpose of the action on  $S$ : if  $r \in R$ , then  $rQ(w)(s) = r(w \cdot s)$ . Viewing  $R$  as the algebra of functions from  $S$  to  $k$ , the observation  $f \in R$  is simply the characteristic function of the set of accepting states. It is easily checked that conditions (1), (2), and (3) are satisfied.

If  $L \subseteq W$  is the language accepted by the automaton  $S$ , then  $w \in L$  if and only if  $w \cdot s_0 \in F$  if and only if  $f(w \cdot s_0) = 1$  if and only if  $p(w) = \epsilon(f \cdot w) = 1$ . Therefore the generating series  $p$  in this case is the characteristic function of the language accepted by the automaton  $S$ .

## Example — a simple hybrid system

Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$  with initial state  $s_1$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a_1, a_2\}$  with action of  $\Sigma$  on  $S$  given by  $a_i \cdot s_j = s_i$ , and let  $R_{s_i} = k[X_1, \dots, X_N]$ , and  $H_{s_i} = k\langle E_{i1}, E_{i2} \rangle$ , where  $E_{ij}$  act as derivations on  $R_{s_i}$ . For simplicity we write  $R_i$  for  $R_{s_i}$  and  $H_i$  for  $H_{s_i}$ . Note that  $H_0 = k\langle E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22} \rangle$ , and that  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_N] \oplus k[X_1, \dots, X_N]$ . Let  $\alpha_i : R_i \rightarrow k$  be the augmentation mapping  $p \mapsto p(0)$ . Denote  $\hat{1} = 2$  and  $\hat{2} = 1$ .

The map  $T(a_i) : H_0 \rightarrow H_0$  is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} &\mapsto E_{ij} \\ E_{ij} &\mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

The map  $Q(a_i) : R \rightarrow R$  is defined as follows. Recall that  $R_i \cong R_j$  (in fact they are equal); let  $\rho_{ij} : R_i \rightarrow R_j$  be this isomorphism. Then

$$Q(a_i)(r) = \begin{cases} \rho_{ii}(r) \oplus \alpha_i(\rho_{ii}(r))1_i & \text{if } r \in R_i \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in R_{\hat{i}}. \end{cases}$$

It is easily checked that conditions (1), (2), and (3) are satisfied.

## The correspondence between the Heisenberg representation and the state space representation

In this section we describe the correspondence between the representation of a dynamical system using a bialgebra  $H$  and an  $H$ -module algebra  $R$  (the Heisenberg representation), and the representation of a dynamical system using (in the continuous case) the state space  $V \cong \mathbf{R}^N$  and a differential operator on the algebra of polynomial functions  $R$  on  $V$ , or (in the discrete case) the finite state space  $S$  and a semigroup  $W$  of words acting on  $S$  (the state space representation).

## References

- [1] R. Grossman and R. G. Larson, The realization of input-output maps using bialgebras, *Forum Math.*, to appear.
- [2] R. G. Larson, Cocommutative Hopf algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 350–360.
- [3] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.