# A Rotorcraft Flight Database for Validation of Vision-Based Ranging Algorithms 

Phillip N. Smith, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California

April 1992

## nosn

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

## SUMMARY

Computer vision research has led to the development of several algorithms for estimating range to obstacles during low-altitude flight. However, due to the limited availability of "real world" data, algorithm verification has not been effectively addressed. A helicopter flight test experiment has been conducted at NASA Ames Research Center to obtain a database consisting of video imagery and accurate measurements of camera motion, camera calibration parameters, and true range information. The database was developed to allow verification of monocular passive range estimation algorithms for use in the autonomous navigation of rotorcraft during low altitude flight. This paper briefly describes the helicopter flight experiment and presents four data sets representative of the different helicopter maneuvers and the visual scenery encountered during the flight test. These data sets will be made available to researchers in the computer vision community.

## INTRODUCTION

NASA, in conjunction with the US Army, has been pursuing research in autonomous navigation of rotorcraft during low-altitude flight in order to reduce the high pilot workload associated with obstacle avoidance (ref. 1). In one approach, obstacle information is acquired by a computer vision system located on board the rotorcraft. The obstacle information would be used to generate advisory displays and serve as an input to an automatic guidance system capable of performing the obstacle avoidance task autonomously. Research at Ames (refs. 2-4) has focused on the development of range estimation algorithms and obstacle avoidance algorithms for autonomous navigation (ref. 5). Since military helicopters are increasingly being equipped with inertial systems to measure the vehicle's motion states for guidance and stability augmentation systems, the range estimation algorithms use knowledge of the camera's motion (position, orientation, linear velocity, and angular velocity) and estimate the range to environmental points.

Experimental data are needed to establish the validity of algorithms and to investigate factors encountered in real world data that affect algorithmic performance. A laboratory facility has been developed at Ames to provide the first stage of algorithm verification (ref. 6). Further development and testing of these algorithms require data collected from rotorcraft flight. Current databases available in the literature contain some motion information and true range measurements for outdoor scenery (refs. 7-9) but they either do not provide extensive motion measurements or they do not describe the general camera motion (translation and rotation) encountered in flight. A flight experiment has been conducted to obtain the video imagery, camera motion, camera calibration parameters, and true range information for testing algorithms with realistic data. It is hoped that availability of these data sets will facilitate the comparison of different motion analysis methods.

## DATA SET DEVELOPMENT

Figure 1 depicts the flight experiment designed to acquire the necessary measurements of rotorcraft motion states, video imagery, and true range information. A Cohu 6410 -series monochrome CCD video camera was mounted rigidly under the nose of a CH-47B Chinook helicopter and oriented approximately along the longitudinal body axis to observe obstacles that the rotorcraft would encounter in forward


Figure 1. Flight experiment overview.
flight. The camera produces standard RS-170 interlaced video output and has an electronic shutter which was set for 1 msec exposure time (per video field). The analog video signal was recorded by a U-matic video tape recorder located onboard the CH-47.

The rotorcraft motion states were measured by instrumentation on board the $\mathrm{CH}-47$, filtered, digitized, and transmitted to a ground station facility for recording. Raw measurements including linear accelerations, Euler angles, and angular rates were collected. The instruments were aligned with the helicopter's body axes which originate at the helicopter's center of gravity. The body axes system and other coordinate systems of interest are illustrated in figure 2 . Derivation of the camera motion states from the measured rotorcraft motion states requires knowledge of the position and orientation of the camera axes system relative to the helicopter body axes system, as will be addressed later in this section. The motion measurements have a minimum bandwidth of 10 Hz and were sampled at approximately 110 Hz . The rotational frequency of the helicopter's rotor blades is about 11 Hz .

True range measurements were obtained by a two-step process using a laser tracker. First, the laser tracker measured the position in Earth axes of a rotorcraft-mounted reflector throughout each test flight, and the resulting data (also at 110 Hz ) were recorded at the ground station on a common time base with the telemetry data. Second, at the completion of a test flight, the laser tracker was used to measure the position in Earth axes of the (stationary) objects that served as the obstacles of interest. A laser reflector was manually placed at each obstacle location to obtain the position information.

To coordinate the imagery data with the rotorcraft state data and the true range measurements, a time source onboard the $\mathrm{CH}-47$ was synchronized with the time source at the ground station to 1 -msec accuracy. A message containing the current time was then displayed in the upper left-hand corner of each video image.

Significant post-flight processing of the data was required to develop the raw measurements into a final form suitable for motion analysis research. An overview of the post-flight processing procedure is


Figure 2. Flight experiment geometry.
shown in figure 3. Helicopter motion measurements were corrected to the CG-centered body axes using instrument position information. The location in body axes of the laser reflector was used along with helicopter orientation information to determine the helicopter CG location in Earth axes from the raw laser measurements. All measurements were then low-pass filtered at 10 Hz before eventual subsampling to 30 Hz video rate.

The motion states were processed using a state estimation algorithm (ref. 10) to check the accuracy and kinematic consistency of the measurements. This algorithm uses the well-known rigid body kinematic equations of motion to process the measurements in an "optimal" way to ensure internal consistency of measured states, improve knowledge of poorly measured states, identify instrument bias and scale factor errors, and estimate states during periods of telemetry dropout. Since direct measurements of linear velocity were unavailable, velocity information was reconstructed by state estimation based upon position and acceleration measurements. The end result is a single best estimate of the rotorcraft motion states (position, orientation, linear velocity, angular rates, and linear accelerations) based on all available measurements. The motion states are consistent with instrument accuracy for frequencies up to 15 Hz . State estimation processing was used to develop a high-precision, internally consistent data set for research purposes; however, for operational systems the state measurement information required for passive range estimation would be acquired directly from onboard instrumentation.

Knowledge of the position and orientation of the camera axes system with respect to the helicopter body axes system is necessary to derive the camera motion states (in camera axes) given the rotorcraft motion states (in body axes). The camera axes originate at the camera lens focal point with the $X_{s}$ axis oriented along the optical axis and the $Y_{s}$ and $Z_{s}$ axes oriented along the rows and columns, respectively, of the sensor array (see fig. 2). The position and orientation of the camera axes are known as the external camera calibration parameters. Additional information about the camera such as the focal length, the location of the image center (where the optical axis passes through the image plane),


Figure 3. Development of data set elements.
and the effective pixel aspect ratio (known collectively as the internal camera calibration parameters) is also necessary for motion analysis.

It is difficult to directly measure even the external parameters with sufficient accuracy, so both the internal and external parameters are determined experimentally. The external parameters map points from body axes to camera axes and the internal parameters map points from camera axes to locations on the image plane. By measuring the location of points in body axes and the corresponding pixel location where the points appears in an image, it is possible to estimate the internal and external parameters. While conceptually straightforward, the measurement in body axes of points in the camera's field of view is a challenging experimental task. The method used to collect the experimental data and the technique developed to estimate the unknown parameters are discussed in reference 11.

## DATA SET CONTENTS

The flight experiment and processing of the raw data results in the following information:

1. digitized imagery ( 30 frames $/ \mathrm{sec}$ )
2. sensor velocity and angular rates in camera axes
3. sensor position and orientation in Earth axes
4. rotorcraft velocity and angular rates in body axes
5. rotorcraft position and orientation in Earth axes
6. internal camera calibration parameters
7. external camera calibration parameters
8. obstacle positions in Earth axes

The digitized images are $512 \times 512$ pixels with 256 gray levels. The rotorcraft and camera motion measurements corresponding to each image as well as the camera calibration parameters are stored in a header that prefaces each image. Specific remarks concerning use of the image header contents may be found in the appendix. The obstacle position measurements in Earth axes are provided in a separate file. Each image header contains the information necessary to express obstacle positions in terms of the helicopter body axes, camera axes, or image plane pixel coordinates. Figures $4-7$ show sample images collected during the flight experiment. The object visible in the upper right-hand corner of each image is the helicopter's nose boom. The motion and calibration information for the left-hand image in figure 4 is given in table 1. The image header contains the data in the first two columns of the table. The information concerning accuracy and units is the same for all image headers. Table 2 contains the position measurements in Earth axes for the labelled points in figures 4 and 5.


Figure 4. First and last images of Line data set.

Table 1. Sample image header data

| Measurement name | Value | Accuracy | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SENSOR_POSITION_X_WORLD | 734 |  | feet |
| SENSOR_POSITION_Y_WORLD | 520 |  | feet |
| SENSOR_POSITION_Z.WORLD | -11 |  | feet |
| BODY_POSITION_X_WORLD | 757 | 2.0 | feet |
| BODY_POSITION_Y_WORLD | 517 | 2.0 | feet |
| BODY-POSITIONZ_WORLD | -16 | 2.0 | feet |
| SENSOR_VELOCITY_X_SENSOR | 30.2 |  | feet/sec |
| SENSOR_VELOCITY_Y_SENSOR | 0.2 |  | feet/sec |
| SENSOR_VELOCITY_Z_SENSOR | -1.9 |  | feet/sec |
| SENSOR_ANGULAR_RATE_X_SENSOR | 0.0238 |  | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| SENSOR_ANGULAR_RATE_Y_SENSOR | 0.0113 |  | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| SENSOR_ANGULAR_RATE_Z_SENSOR | 0.0124 |  | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| BODY_VELOCITY_X_BODY | 30.1 | 0.3 | feet/sec |
| BODY_VELOCITY_Y_BODY | 0.1 | 0.3 | feet/sec |
| BODY_VELOCITY_Z_BODY | 2.6 | 0.6 | feet/sec |
| BODY_ANGULAR_RATE_X_BODY | 0.0218 | 0.0045 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| BODY_ANGULAR_RATE_Y_BODY | 0.0115 | 0.0045 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| BODY_ANGULAR_RATE_Z_BODY | 0.0155 | 0.0025 | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| SENSOR_POSITION_X_BODY | 22.950 | 0.042 | feet |
| SENSOR_POSITION_Y_BODY | -1.043 | 0.017 | feet |
| SENSOR_POSITION_Z_BODY | 6.940 | 0.017 | feet |
| ANGLE_PSI_WORLD_TO_BODY | 3.0348 | 0.0123 | radians |
| ANGLE_THETA_WORLD_TO_BODY | 0.0646 | 0.0021 | radians |
| ANGLE_PHI_WORLD_TO_BODY | -0.0153 | 0.0042 | radians |
| ANGLE_PSI_BODY_TO_SENSOR | 0.0055 | 0.0035 | radians |
| ANGLE_THETA_BODY_TO_SENSOR | -0.1393 | 0.0035 | radians |
| ANGLE_PHI_BODY_TO_SENSOR | -0.0074 | 0.0017 | radians |
| ANGLE_PSI_WORLD_TO_SENSOR | 3.0424 |  | radians |
| ANGLE_THETA_WORLD_TO_SENSOR | -0.0746 |  | radians |
| ANGLE_PHI_WORLD_TO_SENSOR | -0.0223 |  | radians |
| ASPECT_RATIO | 1.005 | 0.001 | non-dimensional |
| FOCALLENGTH | 621.4 | 2.6 | pixels |
| U_CENTER | 253.3 | 2.4 | pixels |
| V_CENTER | 238.3 | 1.6 | pixels |
| STAMP_TIME | 236:22:31:31.061 |  | seconds |
| GLOBAL_TIME | 81091.061 |  | seconds |
| DELTA_TIME | 0.033 |  | seconds |
| FRAMEID | 0 |  | non-dimensional |

Table 2. Sample true obstacle position data

| Label | Obstacle name | $X_{e}, \mathrm{ft}$ | $Y_{e}, \mathrm{ft}$ | $Z_{e}, \mathrm{ft}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A | truck 2, NE ground level | $368.7 \pm 2$ | $614.1 \pm 2$ | $4.5 \pm 2$ |
| B | truck 2, SE top corner | 348.7 | 614.5 | -3.1 |
| C | truck 4, NE ground level | 118.3 | 633.1 | 3.6 |
| D | truck 4, SE top corner | 98.6 | 634.8 | -7.4 |
| E | truck 5, NE ground level | -17.7 | 510.6 | 3.0 |
| F | truck 5, SE top corner | -37.6 | 511.5 | -7.7 |
| G | truck 3, NE ground level | 231.2 | 490.2 | 3.9 |
| H | truck 3, SE top corner | 209.0 | 491.9 | -0.2 |
| I | truck 1, NE ground level | 479.3 | 470.6 | 4.9 |
| J | truck 1, SE top corner | 461.5 | 472.3 | -3.0 |
| K | truck 1, mast tip | 460.0 | 466.7 | -24.3 |



Figure 5. First and last images of Arc data set.


Figure 6. First and last images of Posts data set.


Figure 7. First and last images of Towers data set.

## EXAMPLE DATA SETS

Each of the following data sets was selected to demonstrate practical or operational tasks for a helicopter during low-altitude flight. The data sets represent various levels of difficulty for range estimation algorithms. Each data set consists of 90 images and the associated supporting data described in the previous section. The first and last images of each data set are shown in figures 4-7. White dots in the figures indicate obstacles whose position has been measured. Since the laser tracker and most obstacles of interest are permanently fixed in position, a greater quantity of obstacle position information may be available in the future.

## Line Data Set

The Line data set was acquired as the CH-47 flew a straight-line path between two rows of vehicles stationed along a runway. This data set demonstrates the deviation from theoretical straight-line motion that may be encountered under operational conditions for helicopter flight. The Line data set was designed to be simple both in terms of camera motion and scenery, and is therefore well suited for testing range estimation algorithms using fight data. The camera velocity is roughly $30 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ along the optical axis, giving a camera motion of about 1 ft between successive images. Velocity components orthogonal to the optical axis change as much as $1.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ over the 3 -second period. Angular rates up to $0.05 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and changes in orientation of 0.03 rad are observed. The location of the lower-front and upper-rear corners on the right hand side of each obstacle was measured to provide true range information as well as data on the size of the obstacles. Range to the obstacles varies from 200 to 800 ft . The truck on the far right of figure 4 has an extensible 20 ft tower whose location was also determined.

## Arc Data Set

The Arc data set uses the same simplified scenery as in the Line data set, but complexity of the camera motion is increased by having the helicopter follow an S-shaped ground path. The Arc data set captures one curve from that flight profile. The Arc sequence allows testing with simplified imagery of range estimation algorithms designed to operate with generalized camera motion. Peak angular velocity in yaw is about $0.13 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and is maintained for 1 sec . A bank angle of 0.045 rad is attained during the turn. Velocity along the camera's optical axis is roughly $40 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. The obstacles are 200 to 650 ft from the camera.

## Posts Data Set

The Posts data set demonstrates straight-line motion but with imagery which contains both manmade and natural objects. The availability of distinct objects in the imagery (for example, the white posts) facilitates the validation of range estimates. The camera has a velocity of roughly $40 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ along the optical axis. Distinctive objects are between 80 and 350 ft from the camera.

## Towers Data Set

The Towers data set combines straight-line motion with very challenging imagery. An effective operational range estimation system should be able to successfully process data of this nature. The camera is moving at a velocity of $90 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ along its optical axis. The nearest transmission towers are at a range of at least 450 ft .

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A database has been developed based on a helicopter flight test experiment to allow validation of passive range estimation algorithms with realistic camera motion and visual scenery. The database includes video imagery, measurements of camera motion, and information on the characteristic parameters of the camera. In addition, independent measurements of range are included to allow verification of range estimates.

Four data sets from the larger database have been presented here. These data sets represent various camera motions and visual scenery, and were selected to provide a sequence of increasingly challenging tests for passive range estimation algorithms. These data sets will be available to researchers in the computer vision community.

Future plans include the development of a database to support multicamera methods of passive range estimation. Additional efforts may include the collection of infrared imagery to investigate the feasibility of performing range estimation at night.

## APPENDIX

A few remarks concerning the image header data are in order to facilitate use of the data sets. It is noted that the image and header data for each sample time are provided in a single file stored in a HIPS-compatible format. A subroutine (written in the C programming language) which can access the image and header information will be provided with the data sets. Specific comments on the use of the header data follow.

## Coordinate Systems

The coordinate systems used to express measurements contained in the data sets are illustrated in figure 2 and are defined below:

1. Earth (world) frame - The Earth frame (also called the world frame in the image headers) is rigidly affixed to the Earth with the $X_{e}$ axis pointing North, the $Y_{e}$ axis pointing East, and the $Z_{e}$ axis pointing toward the center of the Earth. The origin of the Earth frame is an arbitrarily selected point on a runway at the test flight facility.
2. Helicopter body frame - The helicopter body axes frame (or body frame) is assumed to be fixed relative to the helicopter with the $X_{b}$ axis pointing forward out the helicopter nose, the $Y_{b}$ axis pointing out the right hand side of the helicopter, and the $Z_{b}$ axis pointing downward relative to the helicopter's geometry (i.e., not necessarily toward the center of the Earth). The origin of the body frame is the helicopter's nominal center of gravity.
3. Image Plane Axes - The image plane axes are oriented along the rows and columns of the sensor array. The $u$ axis points to the right along rows and the $v$ axis points downward along the columns. The image plane axes originate at the image center (i.e., where the optical axis passes through the image plane).
4. Camera (sensor) frame - The camera frame is rigidly attached to the camera and originates at the lens focal point. The $Y_{s}$ and $Z_{s}$ axes are parallel to the image plane axes $u$ and $v$, respectively. The $X_{s}$ axis points along the optical axis. Since the camera is rigidly mounted to the helicopter, the location and orientation of the camera frame remains constant in body axes.
5. Pixel axes - The pixel axes, $n_{u}$ and $n_{v}$, are attached to the camera's image plane and point along the rows and columns of the sensor array as do the image plane axes; however, the pixel axes originate at the upper left-hand corner of the sensor array rather than at the image center. In addition, distances along these axes are expressed in units of pixels (which are not necessarily square), so the coordinates of any point are its row and column indices in the image array. The upper left-hand pixel has coordinates $(0,0)$.

## Naming Convention

The naming convention for motion variables contained in the image headers is defined below:

1. Position, velocity, and angular rate measurements:
a. The first term names a coordinate frame whose motion is to be given (i.e., SENSOR or BODY).
b. The second term indicates a motion state (i.e., POSITION, VELOCITY, or ANGULAR_RATE).
c. The final term specifies a component of the motion state (i.e., X_WORLD, Y BODY, Z_SENSOR, etc.).

## Example: SENSOR_POSITION_X_WORLD

Interpretation: component of sensor position along the $X_{e}$ axis
2. Angle measurements:
a. The first term is always ANGLE.
b. The second term indicates the Euler angle to be specified (i.e., PSI, THETA, or PHI). See the following section, Rotation Matrices, for definition of the Euler angles.
c. The final term indicates the base coordinate frame and the destination coordinate frame (i.e., WORLD_TO_BODY, BODY_TO_SENSOR, WORLD_TO_SENSOR).

Example: ANGLE_PHI_WORLD_TO_BODY
Interpretation: bank angle of the body frame relative to the world frame

## Rotation Matrices

The rotation matrices are defined in terms of Euler angles. The Euler angles are heading angle $\psi$, attitude angle $\theta$, and bank angle $\phi$. The rotation sequence is $\psi$ about $Z, \theta$ about $Y$, and $\phi$ about $X$, where all rotations are positive in the right-hand sense. The rotation matrix resulting from this sequence of rotations is given below

$$
R(\psi, \theta, \phi)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
c \psi c \theta & s \psi c \theta & -s \theta \\
c \psi s \theta s \phi-s \psi c \phi & s \psi s \theta s \phi+c \psi c \phi & c \theta s \phi \\
c \psi s \theta c \phi+s \psi s \phi & s \psi s \theta c \phi-c \psi s \phi & c \theta c \phi
\end{array}\right]
$$

The rotation matrix premultiplies a column vector to express that vector in another coordinate frame. The rotation matrices from the Earth frame to the body frame, from the body frame to the camera frame, and from the Earth frame to the camera frame are given by the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{b e}=R\left(\psi_{b e}, \theta_{b e}, \phi_{b e}\right) \\
& R_{s b}=R\left(\psi_{s b}, \theta_{s b}, \phi_{s b}\right) \\
& R_{s e}=R_{s b} R_{b e}=R\left(\psi_{s e}, \theta_{s e}, \phi_{s e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{b e}$ is the rotation matrix from the Earth frame to the body frame, $\psi_{b e}$ is ANGLEPSI_WORLD -TO_BODY, etc. The Euler angles are given in the image headers.

## Perspective Projection Equations

The pixels which compose the images are not square for reasons discussed in reference 11. The pixel aspect ratio is defined as follows

$$
b=\delta v / \delta u
$$

where $\delta u$ and $\delta v$ are the horizontal and vertical pixel spacing, respectively. The effective focal length of the lens, $f_{e}$, is expressed in units of vertical pixels. The origin of the image plane coordinates (i.e., the image center) is located at ( $n_{\mu_{0}}, n_{v_{0}}$ ) in the pixel axes system. With these definitions, the perspective projection equations which map points from the camera axes system to a pixel location in the image array are

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{u} & =n_{u_{0}}+b f_{e}\left(y_{s} / x_{s}\right) \\
n_{v} & =n_{v_{0}}+f_{e}\left(z_{s} / x_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(x_{s}, y_{s}, z_{s}\right)$ is the location of a point in camera axes and ( $n_{u}, n_{v}$ ) is its projected location on the image plane in pixel axes. The following values are provided in the image header: $b$ (ASPECTRATIU), $f_{e}$ (FOCAL_LENGTH), $n_{u_{0}}$ (U_CENTER), and $n_{v_{0}}$ (V_CENTER). The value of the vertical pixel spacing, $\delta v$, is $3.89 \times 10^{-4}$ inch.
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