68762

NASA Technical Memorandum 105174

High Temperature Structural Fibers-Status and Needs

James A. DiCarlo		 		
Lewis Research Center		 		
Cleveland, Ohio		 		

Prepared for the Fiber Producer Conference sponsored by Clemson University, College of Engineering, Continuing Engineering Education Greenville, South Carolina, May 6-9, 1991

NASA

=-	(NASA-TM-105174) HIGH TEMPERATURE		N92-16037	
	STRUCTURAL FIBERS: STATUS AND NEEDS	(NASA)		
1999 (12 p	CSCL 11D		
<u>कृत</u> ।			Unclas	F
		G3/24	0068752	

..... _ ____ ······

HIGH TEMPERATURE STRUCTURAL FIBERS-STATUS AND NEEDS

James A. DiCarlo National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

ABSTRACT

The key to high temperature structural composites is the selection and incorporation of continuous fiber reinforcement with optimum mechanical, physical, and chemical properties. Critical fiber property needs are high strength, high stiffness, and retention of these properties during composite fabrication and use. However, unlike polymeric composites where all three requirements are easily achieved with a variety of commercially available carbon-based fibers, structural fibers with sufficient stiffness and strength retention for high temperature metal and ceramic composites are not available. The objective of this paper is to discuss in a general manner this thermomechanical stability problem for current high performance fibers which are based on silicon and alumina compositions. This is accomplished by presenting relevant fiber property data with a brief discussion of potential underlying mechanisms. From this general overview, some possible materials engineering approaches are suggested which may lead to minimization and/or elimination of this critical stability problem for current high temperature fibers.

INTRODUCTION

With the performance advantages demonstrated for fiber-reinforced polymeric composites at ambient and near ambient temperatures, there is currently significant activity in government and industry aimed at developing metallic and ceramic composites which can extend these advantages to much higher temperatures. This is especially the case in the aerospace industry where there is a strong need for structural composites which cannot only provide specific strength properties better than conventional aluminum, titanium, and nickel-based alloys, but also operate at higher use temperatures. Prime aerospace applications expected to significantly benefit from these new materials are hypersonic airframes and advanced propulsion systems, such as gas turbine engines where composite materials are envisioned for essentially all of the hot section components. With their higher specific strength and operating temperatures, these metal matrix composites (MMC) and ceramic matrix composites (CMC) have the potential for not only reducing system operating costs, but also for improving system performance in terms of thrust, range, and mission life.

As in the case for low temperature composites, the key to high temperature structural composites is the selection and incorporation of continuous fiber reinforcement with the optimum mechanical, physical, and chemical properties. Although some of these properties such as fiber diameter and interfacial bonding depend on whether the matrix is metallic or ceramic (ref. 1), in general, as with polymeric composites, the critical fiber property needs are high strength, high stiffness, and retention of these properties during composite fabrication and use. However, unlike polymeric composites where all three requirements are easily achieved with a variety of

^{*}Presented at Fiber Producer Conference, Greenville, South Carolina, May 6-9, 1991, sponsored by Clemson University, College of Engineering, Continuing Engineering Education.

commercially available carbon-based fibers, structural fibers with sufficient overall performance for high temperature composites are not available. That is, because of the poor oxidation resistance of carbon-based fibers above 400 °C (ref. 2), high temperature composite engineers have had to look to other fiber compositions. Although commercially available, these noncarbon fibers have been found to be lacking to various degrees in the key need of structural property retention, i.e., thermomechanical stability. Clearly, if fiber producers are to improve their products and high temperature structural composites are to achieve their potential, the underlying mechanisms for these instabilities must be understood. With this in mind, the objective of this paper is to discuss in a general manner the status for the thermomechanical instability problem in current high temperature structural fibers and, based on this discussion, to suggest some approaches that might be attempted to address this critical issue.

COMPOSITIONS FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE STRUCTURAL FIBERS

To put the current situation for high temperature fibers into perspective, it is important first to discuss three important factors that strongly influence the composite engineer's choice for fiber chemical composition. These factors, which are related to maintaining fiber strength within the composite environment, are (1) thermal stability, (2) service gas durability, and (3) matrix compatibility. That is, the engineer typically selects fiber compositions which during composite processing and use (1) have the potential for intrinsic physical and chemical stability, (2) do not significantly react with any expected environmental gas, and (3) are chemically and physically compatible with the anticipated matrix composition.

Because high temperature composites are generally fabricated at temperatures well above their anticipated use temperatures and because oxygen is the most aggressive service gas for most high temperature applications of practical interest, composite engineers and fiber producers have satisfied the first two needs by considering only fiber compositions that remain solid to above 2000 °C and are oxidation-resistant at composite service temperatures. Generally, oxidation-resistance implies compositions which react little with oxygen or in interaction with oxygen form a protective diffusion barrier oxide. Based on these criteria, compositional fiber choices can be currently narrowed down to two generic classes of ceramic fibers: silicon-based nonoxides and alumina-based oxides. In the presence of air environments, the Si-based compositions, such as SiC, form protective SiO₂ coatings which significantly inhibit oxygen diffusion to the fiber surface (ref. 2). Alumina, on the other hand, is not only stable in oxygen but also displays one of the best thermomechanical stabilities of all well-studied oxide compositions (refs. 3 and 4). Other property advantages for both compositions are low densities and high elastic moduli.

Based on the needs for thermal stability and oxidation resistance, essentially all current commercial fibers developed for high temperature application possess either Si-based or Al_2O_3 -based compositions. These commercial fibers are summarized in table I together with some of their important properties. For convenience, the fibers are divided into six generic types based on primary composition and method of fabrication since these two factors typically result in fibers with similar mechanical, physical, and chemical properties. Also indicated in table I are approximate current cost per kilogram and typical trade names.

Matrix compatibility, the third factor influencing fiber composition selection, has both physical and chemical aspects. On the physical side, it is critical that the fiber composition have a coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) very nearly equal to that of the matrix. Without this condition, internal residual stresses can develop in composites which, during thermal cycling, can severely degrade the fiber, matrix, or fiber/matrix interface. To avoid this problem for CMC, the fiber and matrix are often chosen to possess the same composition, e.g., SiC/SiC. For MMC, however, achieving an expansion match with the table I fibers is generally not possible because most metal matrices of high technical interest have CTE's greater than $10 \times 10^{-6} \, {}^{\circ} {\rm C}^{-1}$. This MMC problem has led to research for the identification and development of new fiber compositions with high CTE and to the implementation of such concepts as compliant fiber coatings which, by virtue of their plasticity, can serve to reduce high internal stresses at fiber-matrix interfaces (ref. 5).

Another important aspect of the matrix compatibility factor is the attainment of proper interfacial interaction between fiber and matrix so that sufficient mechanical load transfer is achieved. For MMC in which strong interfacial interaction is desirable (ref. 1), fiber and matrix compositions are often chosen which allow some chemical reaction between fiber and matrix at the temperatures needed for matrix consolidation. Care must be taken, however, that at the lower temperatures associated with composite use, this chemical reaction proceeds at a rate which does not severely degrade fiber strength. For CMC in which weak interfacial bonding is desirable (ref. 1), fiber and matrix compositions are often selected based on the avoidance of chemical reaction during composite processing or use. Weak bonding can then be achieved by mechanical interlocking due to fiber surface roughness or by friction between fiber and matrix. For those MMC and CMC cases where the above interaction approaches are not effective, fiber coatings can sometimes be used. It should be realized, however, that many of the same issues associated with thermal stability, oxidation resistance, thermal expansion compatibility, and chemical compatibility will also apply to the coating composition.

MECHANISMS AFFECTING FIBER STRENGTH RETENTION

For high temperature application, perhaps the most important properties to be examined for the table I fibers are as-produced tensile strength and thermomechanical stability as revealed by their ability to retain strength under composite processing and use conditions. Since the fibers are brittle ceramics, as-produced tensile strengths are controlled by surface and volume flaws introduced during fiber processing; whereas strength retention is affected by the creation of larger flaws either during fiber exposure or during fiber loading at high temperature.

For the six fiber types of table I, the above mechanisms are revealed in the tensile strength versus temperature results shown in figures 1 and 2. For figure 1, the strength results were obtained at room temperature after typically aging the fiber for short time periods (1 to 10 hr) in inert environments for the nonoxide fibers and in air for the oxide fibers; whereas for figure 2, the strength results were typically obtained at the test temperature after a short (~10 min) soak time in air. Common practice is to measure tensile strength on individual fibers of 25 mm gauge length at a strain rate of approximately 5×10^{-5} sec⁻¹. Because of the statistical nature of ceramic fiber failure, it should be expected that in their as-produced condition and after high temperature treatment, the fiber types of table I will display a large scatter in strength data. For this reason, it is convenient to group the figures 1 and 2 results into approximate bands of observed behavior. More detailed information on as-produced strength and strength retention behavior can be found in the table I references and in the literature (ref. 24).

Examining first the Si-based fiber data of figure 1, it can be seen that the large diameter SiC monofilament fibers produced by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) show significant strength loss after short time thermal treatments between 1200 and 1500 °C. Current theory for the strength degradation mechanism centers on flaw growth by reaction of SiC with metallic substrates (e.g., tungsten) (ref. 6) or by reaction of free silicon metal in the CVD SiC with carbon-rich substrates and surface coatings (ref. 7). For the small diameter Si-based fibers produced by polymer pyrolysis, process-generated oxide phases within the fiber can react with base nonoxide phases at temperatures as low as 1000 °C, resulting in such large internal flaws that these fibers have effectively no strength above 1500 °C (ref. 8). For the Al₂O₃-based fibers of figure 1, the large diameter single crystal monofilament grown by the edge-defined film growth (EDFG) method (ref. 9) appears to be best for retaining strength to above 1400 °C. The mechanism for the slow strength loss with temperature is not certain but may be related to thermal effects on dislocation densities. Finally, for the small diameter polycrystalline Al₂O₃-based fibers produced by sol gel (SG) or slurry spinning (SS), the strength degradation beginning near 1200 °C appears to be related to the growth of strength-limiting grains (ref. 10).

From a practical point of view, the figure 1 results can be summarized by the conclusion that no current commercial fiber can maintain its as-produced strength for short time exposures above 1200 °C. This suggests that if these materials are to be used as reinforcement, MMC and CMC consolidation temperatures should be kept below 1200 °C in order to avoid strength degradation due to internal fiber mechanisms. Obviously, the situation can become even worse if fiber-matrix chemical reaction also occurred during composite fabrication.

To understand the upper use temperature for these MMC and CMC as structural materials, one can examine the data of figure 2 which plots strength at temperature for the six fiber types. It can be seen that under tensile loads, strength degradation begins as low as 800 °C for all types. Indeed, these data were obtained in fast fracture tests, suggesting that under long term structural use, the upper use temperature needed to maintain the as-produced fiber strength would be less than 800 °C. For the polycrystalline fibers, it would appear that the underlying mechanisms for the figure 2 data are creep related. That is, all fiber types begin to show creep at the threshold temperatures for strength degradation, which suggests creep-induced flaw growth as the controlling mechanism. Creep in the polycrystalline fibers occurs by grain boundary sliding which is enhanced by small grain sizes and low viscosity grain boundary phases, such as, free silicon in the SiC (CVD) fibers and SiO₂ in the Si-based (PP) fibers (ref. 11). For the single crystal Al_2O_3 (EDFG) fiber, the dislocation mobility increases significantly above 800 °C, suggesting that the figure 2 data is caused by a drop in yield strength of this material with increasing temperatures.

An additional undesirable aspect of fiber creep is that it results in internal and external dimensional changes in a structural composite which can seriously limit use temperatures and service life. In figure 3, estimates are presented on the upper use temperatures for the most creep-resistant SiC-based and Al_2O_3 -based fibers currently produced commercially (refs. 11 and 3). For these estimates, an upper creep strain limit of 1 percent was assumed for a service stress of 100 MPa and service life of 300 hr. For the current polycrystalline fibers, the SiC-based and Al_2O_3 -based fibers can reach ~1200 and 1000 °C, respectively; whereas for the current single crystal fibers, the limits are increased to ~1900 and 1600 °C, respectively. It should be noted that today no continuous single crystal SiC based fiber exists so that the figure 3 estimate is based on bulk material data. It should also be realized that the upper creep-limited temperature estimates can decrease significantly for smaller creep strain limits, higher service stresses, or longer service lives.

APPROACHES FOR STABILITY IMPROVEMENT

Based on the previous discussion, it should be clear that current Si-based and Al_2O_3 -based fibers, which can withstand oxidation environments to temperatures well above 1000 °C, cannot be used at this temperature for any length of time in structural composites. The primary limiting mechanisms are impurity-induced internal reactions and creep-induced flaw growth. To make improvements in these fibers, obviously the fiber producers must eliminate or minimize the limiting mechanisms for each type. For example, removing metallic substrates and free silicon in the SiC (CVD) fibers is required. Eliminating oxide phases and metallic inclusions for the Sibased (PP) fibers and free SiO₂ in the Al_2O_3 -based (SG, SS) fibers is also needed. But perhaps, more importantly, there is a need to minimize creep as much as possible.

Since grain boundary sliding is the primary creep mechanism in polycrystalline fibers, one approach to reduce fiber creep is to increase grain size (ref. 11). However, grain size should be kept below $\sim 1 \ \mu m$ if fiber strengths greater than ~ 1 GPa are desired. For current Si-based fibers, grain sizes are typically below 0.1 μm , so that opportunities appear to exist here for creep improvement. A second approach which may be more effective is the elimination of low viscosity grain boundary phases, such as, free Si and SiO₂, and the insertion of stable grain boundary pinners, such as, carbon for Si-based fibers. These grain boundary pinners can also aid in inhibiting grain growth. A third approach might be to utilize large aspect ratio grains with the small dimension transverse to the fiber axis for good tensile strength and the large dimension along the fiber axis for good high temperature stability (ref. 12).

For single crystal fibers, creep is caused by dislocation motion. One approach for creep reduction would then be to add stable dislocation pinning phases to the ceramic fiber as is often done in precipitate and solution-strengthened metal alloys. Another approach might be to select a fiber crystal structure in which dislocation mobility is intrinsically inhibited. A third approach for oxide fibers might be to develop a fine-sized diameter fiber or a fine-sized single crystal eutectic structure aligned along the fiber axis so that dislocation mobility would be hindered by the phase boundaries.

The microstructural approaches discussed above for stability improvement of current fibers are summarized in table II. Using bulk ceramic behavior as an example of the effectiveness of these approaches, one can make crude estimates of the improvements in creep resistance to be expected. These are displayed as upper use temperatures in figure 3 for the bars labeled optimized polycrystalline and single crystal. From these estimates, one might conclude that the Si-based and Al_2O_3 -based fiber producers can possibly increase temperature capability by as much as 400 and 200 °C, respectively, over current fibers. Figure 3 also suggests that if strong continuous single crystal fibers can be fabricated, they would offer the highest use temperatures. For the Si-based compositions, although SiC and Si_3N_4 whiskers are commercially available, no known source exists for continuous single crystals. The reasons for this probably center on the need for controlled atmosphere growth facilities and, as with current continuous single crystal Al_2O_3 fiber, the unfavorable economics associated with significantly slow growth kinetics.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The objective of this paper was to indicate that in the area of high temperature structural fibers, there exists a significant deficiency in the thermomechanical performance of current commercial fibers. The opportunity thus exists for fiber producers to develop new fibers which can

seriously impact the potent area of high temperature composites. By understanding the intrinsic microstructural mechanisms underlying the instability problem for each fiber type, approaches such as those suggested here might be attempted to develop improved behavior. Although processing methods were not discussed, one hopes that current techniques and facilities could be used so as to reduce costs and to achieve new fibers as soon as possible. However, if this were not the case, it would seem that the potentially high technical and economic payoff predicted for high temperature structural fibers and composites would be sufficient to spur research and development.

REFERENCES

- 1. DiCarlo, J.A., "Fibers for Structurally Reliable Metal and Ceramic Composites," J. of Metals, Vol. 37, No. 6, 1985, pp. 44-49.
- Strife, J.R. and Sheehan, J.E., "Ceramic Coatings for Carbon-Carbon Composites," <u>Ceramic Bulletin</u>, Vol 67, No. 2, 1988, pp. 369-374.
- 3. Corman, G.S., "Creep of Oxide Single Crystals," WRDC-TR-4059, Aug. 1990, Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH.
- 4. Jones, L.E. and Tressler, R., "The High-Temperature Creep Behavior of Oxides and Oxide Fibers," NASA CR-187060, 1991.
- 5. Petrasek, D.W., "Intermetallic Matrix Composites," <u>HiTemp Review 1989</u>, NASA CP-10039, 1989, pp. 8.1-8.13.
- Ahmad, I., Hill, D.N., and Hefferman, W., "Silicon Carbide Filaments as Reinforcements for High Temperature Alloy Matrices," <u>International Conference on Composite Materials</u>, Proceedings, Vol. 1, The Metallurgical Society of AIME, New York, 1976, pp. 85-102.
- 7. DiCarlo, J.A., "High Temperature Properties of CVD Silicon Carbide Fibers," Whisker and Fiber-Toughened Ceramics, ASM International, Metals Park, OH, 1988, pp. 1-8.
- Clark, T.J., Jaffe, M., Rabe, J., and Langley, N.R., "Thermal Stability Characterization of SiC Ceramic Fibers: Mechanical Property and Chemical Structure Effects," <u>Ceramic Eng.</u> <u>Sci. Proc.</u>, Vol. 7, No. 7-8, 1986, pp. 901-913.
- Hurley, G.F. and Labelle, H.E., "Elevated Temperature Strengthening of Melt-Grown Sapphire by Alloying," <u>Applied Polymer</u>, Symposium No. 29, New and Specialty Fibers, John Wiley, New York, 1976, pp. 131-149.
- Stacey, M.H., "Developments in Continuous Alumina-Based Fibres," J. British Ceramic <u>Trans.</u>, Vol. 87, 1988, pp. 168-172.
- 11. DiCarlo, J.A. and Morscher, G.N., "Creep and Stress Relaxation Model for Polycrystalline Ceramic Fibers," to be published in ASME Proceedings, 1991.
- Sabol, S.M. and Tressler, R.E., "Microstructural Tailoring of Polycrystalline Alumina Fibers," <u>HiTemp Review 1990</u>, NASA CP 10051, 1990, pp. 15.1-15.11.

- Wawner, F.E., Jr, "Boron and Silicon Carbide/Carbon Fibers," <u>Fiber Reinforcements for</u> <u>Composite Materials</u>, Chapter 8, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1988, pp. 371-420.
- 14. Bunsell, A.R., and Simon, G., "Mechanical and Structural Characterization of Nicalon SiC Fibres up to 1300 °C," <u>Composites Science and Technology</u>, Vol. 27, 1986, pp. 157-171.
- 15. Jaskowiak, M.H. and DiCarlo, J.A., "Pressure Effects on the Thermal Stability of Silicon Carbide Fibers," Journal of American Ceramic Society, Vol. 72, No. 2, 1989, pp. 192-197.
- Mah, T., Hecht, N., McCullum, D., Hoenigman, J., Kim, H., Lipsit, H., and Katz, A., "Thermal Stability of SiC Fibers (Nicalon)," <u>Journal Material Science</u>, Vol. 19, No. 4, 1984, pp. 1191-1201.
- Yajima, S., Okamura, K., Hayashi, J., and Omori, M., "Synthesis of Continuous SiC Fibers with High Tensile Strength," <u>Journal of American Ceramic Society</u>, Vol. 59, No. 7-8, 1976, pp. 324-327.
- Langley, N.R., LeGrow, G.E., and Lipowitz, J., "Properties of Ceramic Fibers from Organosilicon Polymers," <u>Fiber Reinforced Ceramic Composites</u>, Noyes Publications, Park Ridge, NJ, 1990.
- DiCarlo, J.A., Hurst, J.B., Morscher, G.N., Sayir, A., and Selover, G., "Current Issues for SiC and Oxide Fiber Development," <u>HiTemp Review 1990</u>, NASA CP 10051, 1990, pp. 50.1-50.11.
- Romine, J.C., "New High-Temperature Ceramic Fiber," <u>Ceramic Eng. Science Proc.</u>, Vol. 8, No. 7-8, 1987, pp. 755-765.
- 21. Holtz, A.R. and Grether, M.F., "High Temperature Properties of Three Nextel Ceramic Fibers," SAMPE, Vol. 32, 1987, pp. 245-256.
- 22. Jakus, K. and Tulluri, V., "Mechanical Behavior of a Sumitomo Alumina Fiber at Room and High Temperature," Ceramic Eng. Sci. Proc., Vol. 10, No. 9-10, 1989, pp. 1338-1349.
- Lesniewski, C., Aubin, C., and Bunsell, A.R., "Property-Structure Characterization of a Continuous Fine Alumina-Silica Fibre," <u>Composites Science and Technology</u>, Vol. 37, 1990, pp. 63-78.
- 24. Hong, W.S., Rigdon, M.A., and Fortenberry, N.L., "Reinforcement Options for High Temperature Composites and a Comparison of High Temperature Tensile Testing Results for Ceramic Fibers," IDA Paper P-2483, Institute for Defense Analysis, Alexandria, VA, 1990.

j

	Refer- ences	6,7,13 8,14,15,	16,17 18	
	Typical tradenames	SCS-6, Sigma Nicalon,	Tyrrano HPZ, Tonen	
	Average CTE, 10 ⁻⁶ °C ⁻¹	4.5	e	
	Approximate cost, \$/kg	5 000 1 000	1 000	
based	Approximate modulus, GPa	330 to 420 190	220	
(a) Si-]	Approximate diameter, ^c µm	80 to 100 15	10	
	Density, gm/cc	3 2.5	2.5	
	Crystal morphology ^b	РОLҮ РОLҮ	AMOR	
	Typical processing ^a	CVD PP	PP	
	Primary composition	SiC Si-C-O	Si-N-C-O	

USE
AL
UR.
JCT
TRI
E S
TUF
RA
MPE
TEI
GH
RH
FO
ERS
FIB
g
RAN
GE
INT
RRE
-CU
1.
BLE
ΤA

,

	9,19 10,20 10,21, 22,23
	Saphikon FP, PRD166 Nextel, Sumitomo
	9 9 4.5
	50 000 1 000 1 000
s-based.	480 380 200
(b) Al ₂ O	80 to 250 20 12
	4403
	SINGLE POLY POLY
	EDFG SS SG
	Al ₂ 0 ₅ Al ₂ 0 ₅ Al ₂ 0 ₅ -Si0 ₂

^cCVD = Chemical Vapor Deposition; PP = Polymer Pyrolysis; EDFG = Edge Defined Film Growth; Ss = Slurry Spinning; SG = Sol Gel.
 ^bPOLY = Polycrystalline; AMOR = Amorphous; SINGLE = Single Crystal.
 ^cDIAM. > 50 µm; MONOFILAMENT FORM; DIAM. < 20 µm; TOW FORM - 100 to 1000 FIBERS/TOW.

TABLE II.—OPPORTUNITIES FOR NEW FIBERS WITH

IMPROVED THERMOMECHANICAL STABILITY

Polycrystalline fibers
* Eliminate reactive phases in Si-based fibers
* Reduce grain boundary mobility
- Tailor grain size
- Eliminate low viscosity phases
- Add stable pinning phases
- Align high aspect ratio grains
Single crystal fibers
* Develop cost-effective processing for continuous Si-based fibers
* Reduce dislocation mobility
- Add stable pinning phases
- Select low mobility crystal structure
- Develop fine-sized diameter

- Develop fine-sized aligned eutectic







Figure 2.—Temperature-dependent strenght of current high temperature structural fibers. times at the test temperature are typically less than 15 min.



Figure 3.—Estimated creep-limited use temperatures of current fibers and new fibers with optimized microstructures. Estimates assume 1% creep stain in 30 hours at 0.1 GPa stress.

. . .

REPORT	DOCUMENTATION F	AGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection or gathering and maintaining the data needed collection of information, including suggesti Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA	Information is estimated to average 1 hour pe , and completing and reviewing the collection o ons for reducing this burden, to Washington He 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management	r response, including the time for re f information. Send comments rega adquarters Services, Directorate for and Budget, Paperwork Reduction I	viewing instructions, searching existing data sources, rding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson roject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla	nk) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED
	September 1991	ТТ	echnical Memorandum
High Temperature Struct	ural Fibers-Status and Needs		5. FUNDING NUMBERS
AUTHOR(S)			WU-510-01-50
James A. DiCarlo			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
National Aeronautics and	Space Administration		REPORT NUMBER
Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 –	3191		E-6511
SPONSORING/MONITORING AC	GENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Washington, D.C. 20546	- 0001		NASA TM - 105174
ing Engineering Educatio (216) 433 – 5514.	xducer Conference sponsored by on a sponsored by one of the sponsored by one s	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsil	lege of Engineering, Continu- ble person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
 Prepared for the Fiber Fit ing Engineering Educatio (216) 433 – 5514. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 	xducer Conference sponsored by on a sponsored by one of the	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsil	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Interpared for the Fiber Fitching Engineering Education (216) 433 – 5514. Interpared Fitching Education (216) 433 – 5514. Interpared Fitching Education (216) 433 – 5514. Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 work The last to bisk temporate	xducer Conference sponsored by on a sponsored by	Clemson University, Col ay 6-9, 1991. Responsi	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word) ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word) The key to high temperature with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three required structural fibers with suffic composites are not available stability problem for curred accomplished by presenting From this general overview 	rds) rds) rds) rds) rds) restructural composites is the se l, physical, and chemical properti these properties during composit ements are easily achieved with a cient stiffness and strength retent ble. The objective of this paper is ent high performance fibers which ng relevant fiber property data wi	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber property e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE n of continuous fiber reinforcement y needs are high strength, high owever, unlike polymeric compos- available carbon-based fibers, metal, intermetallic, and ceramic nanner this thermomechanical l alumina compositions. This is obtential underlying mechanisms.
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 work Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 work The key to high temperatur with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three requires structural fibers with suffic composites are not availab stability problem for current accomplished by presentin From this general overvier zation and/or elimination of 	rds) rds) rds) rds) rds) re structural composites is the se l, physical, and chemical properti these properties during composit ements are easily achieved with a cient stiffness and strength retent ble. The objective of this paper is ent high performance fibers which ng relevant fiber property data wi w, some possible materials engin- of this critical stability problem for	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber property e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperature	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE a of continuous fiber reinforcement y needs are high strength, high owever, unlike polymeric compos- available carbon-based fibers, metal, intermetallic, and ceramic nanner this thermomechanical alumina compositions. This is otential underlying mechanisms. ggested which may lead to minimi- re fibers.
 ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 work of the riber Price of the riber of the riber of the riber of the regular statistic of the riber of the riber	Aducer Conference sponsored by (n, Greenville, South Carolina, Mi (STATEMENT (STATEMENT) (STATEMENT) (rds) (re structural composites is the se l, physical, and chemical properti these properties during composite ements are easily achieved with a cient stiffness and strength retent ole. The objective of this paper is ent high performance fibers which ing relevant fiber property data wi w, some possible materials engine of this critical stability problem for	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber propert e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n a are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperatu	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE a of continuous fiber reinforcement y needs are high strength, high owever, unlike polymeric compos- available carbon-based fibers, metal, intermetallic, and ceramic nanner this thermomechanical l alumina compositions. This is otential underlying mechanisms. ggested which may lead to minimi- re fibers.
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 work of the result of the result of the result of the result of (216) 433 - 5514. Inclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 work of the key to high temperatu with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three requires structural fibers with suffic composites are not available stability problem for current accomplished by presentin From this general overvie zation and/or elimination of the subject TERMS 	rds) rds)	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber propert e fabrication and use. He variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperatu	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12c. NUMBER OF PAGES
 Prepared for the Fiber Fitching Engineering Education (216) 433 - 5514. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 word The key to high temperate with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three requires structural fibers with suffic composites are not available stability problem for curred accomplished by presentin From this general overvie zation and/or elimination of the structural fibers; Properties; Strengthere Fibers; Properties; P	Aducer Conference sponsored by (n, Greenville, South Carolina, M. STATEMENT (STATEMENT) (STATEMENT) (rds) (re structural composites is the set (), physical, and chemical properti these properties during composite ements are easily achieved with a cient stiffness and strength retent ole. The objective of this paper is ent high performance fibers which ng relevant fiber property data wi w, some possible materials engine of this critical stability problem for this critical stability problem for	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsil lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber propert e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperatu	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12c of continuous fiber reinforcement y needs are high strength, high owever, unlike polymeric compos- available carbon-based fibers, metal, intermetallic, and ceramic nanner this thermomechanical alumina compositions. This is otential underlying mechanisms. ggested which may lead to minimi- re fibers. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE
 ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wold) The key to high temperature with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three requires structural fibers with suffic composites are not available stability problem for current accomplished by presenting From this general overviet zation and/or elimination of the subject TERMS Fibers; Properties; Strengt SUBJECT TERMS 	Aducer Conference sponsored by (n, Greenville, South Carolina, Mi (STATEMENT (STATEMENT) (STATEMENT) (STATEMENT) (rds) (rds) (rds) (rds) (rds) (restructural composites is the set (), physical, and chemical properti these properties during composite ements are easily achieved with a cient stiffness and strength retent ole. The objective of this paper is ent high performance fibers which g relevant fiber property data wi w, some possible materials engine of this critical stability problem for this critical stability problem for h; Stability; Creep; Needs	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber propert e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and tha brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperature	lege of Engineering, Continu- oble person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13c. NUMBER of pages 12c. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE A03
 Frepared for the Fiber Pre- ing Engineering Educatio (216) 433 - 5514. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 24 ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 wor The key to high temperatu with optimum mechanical stiffness, and retention of ites where all three require structural fibers with suffi composites are not availal stability problem for curre accomplished by presentin From this general overvie zation and/or elimination of SUBJECT TERMS Fibers; Properties; Strengt SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 	Aducer Conference sponsored by on, Greenville, South Carolina, Market (STATEMENT)	Clemson University, Col ay 6–9, 1991. Responsit lection and incorporation es. Critical fiber property e fabrication and use. Ho variety of commercially ion for high temperature to discuss in a general n are based on silicon and th a brief discussion of p eering approaches are sup or current high temperatur	lege of Engineering, Continu- ole person, James A. DiCarlo, 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 12 of continuous fiber reinforcement y needs are high strength, high owever, unlike polymeric compos- available carbon-based fibers, metal, intermetallic, and ceramic nanner this thermomechanical l alumina compositions. This is otential underlying mechanisms. ggested which may lead to minimi- re fibers. 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE A03 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

• • .