1N-62-1889-1 int de la

NASA Contractor Report 4478

Design Optimization of Natural Laminar Flow Bodies in Compressible Flow

Simha S. Dodbele

CONTRACT NAS1-18585 DECEMBER 1992

(NASA-CR-4478) DESIGN OPTIMIZATION N93-16940 DE NATURAL LAMINAR FLOW BODIES IN COMPRESSIBLE FLOW (Vigyan Research Associates) 20 p Unclas

H1/02 0139901



services to contract on the service services the service of the se

NASA Contractor Report 4478

Design Optimization of Natural Laminar Flow Bodies in Compressible Flow

• .

Simha S. Dodbele ViGYAN, Inc. Hampton, Virginia

Prepared for Langley Research Center under Contract NAS1-18585



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Office of Management

Scientific and Technical Information Program

DESIGN OPTIMIZATION OF NATURAL LAMINAR FLOW BODIES IN COMPRESSIBLE FLOW

Simha S. Dodbele ViGYAN, Inc., Hampton, VA

ABSTRACT

An optimization method has been developed to design axisymmetric body shapes such as fuselages, nacelles and external fuel tanks with increased transition Reynolds numbers in subsonic compressible flow. The new design method involves a constraint minimization procedure coupled with analysis of the inviscid and viscous flow regions and linear stability analysis of the compressible boundary-layer. In order to reduce the computer time, Granville's transition criterion is used to predict boundarylayer transition and to calculate the gradients of the objective function, and linear stability theory coupled with the eⁿ-method is used to calculate the objective function at the end of each design iteration. Use of the method to design an axisymmetric body with extensive natural laminar flow is illustrated through the design of a tiptank of a business jet. For the original tiptank, boundary layer transition is predicted to occur at a transition Reynolds number of 6.04×10^6 . For the designed body shape, a transition Reynolds number of 7.22 x 10^6 is predicted using compressible linear stability theory coupled with the eⁿ-method.

INTRODUCTION

Advances in airplane construction techniques and materials, such as bonded and milled aluminum skins and composite materials, enable production of aerodynamic surfaces without significant waviness and roughness, thus permitting long runs of natural laminar flow (NLF) over wings in subsonic flow. These advances provide excellent opportunities for airplane drag reduction by increasing the extent of NLF over wings [1]. Compared to lifting surfaces, laminar flow research on nonlifting airframe surfaces such as fuselages, nacelles, and external fuel tanks has received limited attention [2,3]. Substantial drag reduction, however, can be obtained by increasing the extent of laminar flow on the nonlifting component surfaces. A recent study [4] of bodies of revolution at high subsonic speeds without supersonic regions (subcritical flow) demonstrated the potential for tripling the length of sufficiently stable laminar flow at a Mach number, M, of 0.8 and a length Reynolds number, R_L , of 40 x 10⁶, in comparison with incompressible speed at the same length Reynolds number.

Reference [3] presents a recent overview of incompressible transition experiments on axisymmetric body shapes. References [5-7] presented results of mostly incompressible, underwater transition experiments on bodies of revolution with varying fineness ratios indicating maximum transition Reynolds numbers of about 20 million. A transition experiment was conducted in the NASA-Ames 12-ft. pressure tunnel by Boltz, et al., [8, 9] at high subsonic freestream Mach numbers, measuring the transition locations on two ellipsoids of fineness ratios of 7.5 and 9.14. Transition occurred near the location 80 to 88% of the length of the body aft of the nose at M = 0.90 to 0.96. Reference 10 presents correlation of compressible boundary-layer-stability analysis for several of the experimental results reported by Boltz et al., and indicates that integrated T-S linear logarithmic amplification factors (n-factors) of 8-11 are obtained at the point of measured transition onset.

Transition over an axisymmetric body shape, where crossflow is minimal, is usually caused by the growth of Tollmien-Schlichting (T-S) disturbance waves in the laminar boundary layer. In compressible flow, the presence of density gradient in the boundary layer in the direction normal to the wall in addition to the velocity gradients can result in a large reduction in the spatial growth of T-S disturbances in the laminar boundary layer. The favorable damping effect of the T-S waves in compressible flow contributes to the achievement of increased transition-Reynolds numbers on lifting as well as nonlifting aircraft surfaces in the absence of strong crossflow [4]. This favorable effect of compressibility can be exploited in the design of advanced NLF bodies for application to general aviation, commuter, transport aircraft and business jets.

This paper presents a design method to generate body shapes with increased transition Reynolds numbers at subsonic compressible speeds. In refs. [2 and 3] it was indicated that a transition-prediction criterion based on linear boundary-layer stability theory coupled with the e^n method (originally introduced by Smith [11] and Van Ingen [12]) appeared to be a

more reliable approach to predict the onset of transition in the design of NLF fuselages [13-16]. However, the prediction of onset of transition using only linear stability analysis coupled with the e^n -method (henceforth referred to as the e^n -method) in the design method can be very expensive and time consuming. A new approach has been formulated in the design method in which Granville's transition criterion [17] has been used for predicting the gradients of the objective function in the design calculations and the e^n -method has been used only while predicting the objective function at the end of each iteration. This new approach may be more practical in the design calculations. This report presents design calculations for a tiptank in compressible flow as an example case.

NOMENCLATURE

COSALLinear Compressible Stability Analysis C_D Body drag coefficient (based on frontal area) C_p Pressure coefficient f_r Fineness ratio (body length/maximum body diameter) f_{obj} Objective functionLBody length, ftMFreestream Mach numberNLFNatural Laminar ElownLogarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable Tollmien-Schlichting wave, $n = ln(A/A_0)$ R_LReynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod	A/A ₀	Ratio of local disturbance amplitude to amplitude at the point of neutral stability for a fixed disturbance frequency
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	COSAL	<u>L</u> inear <u>Co</u> mpressible <u>S</u> tability <u>A</u> nalysis
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	C _D	Body drag coefficient (based on frontal area)
f_r Fineness ratio (body length/maximum body diameter) f_{obj} Objective functionLBody length, ftMFreestream Mach numberNLFNatural Laminar FlownLogarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable Tollmien-Schlichting wave, $n = ln(A/A_0)$ R_L Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod	Cp	Pressure coefficient
$ f_{obj} \qquad \mbox{Objective function} \\ L \qquad \mbox{Body length, ft} \\ M \qquad \mbox{Freestream Mach number} \\ NLF \qquad \mbox{Natural Laminar Flow} \\ n \qquad \mbox{Logarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable} \\ R_L \qquad \mbox{Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod} $	f _r	Fineness ratio (body length/maximum body diameter)
LBody length, ftMFreestream Mach numberNLFNatural Laminar FlownLogarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable Tollmien-Schlichting wave, $n = ln(A/A_0)$ R_LReynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod	f _{obj}	Objective function
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	L	Body length, ft
NLF <u>Natural Laminar Flow</u> n Logarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable Tollmien-Schlichting wave, $n = ln(A/A_0)$ R _L Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod	М	Freestream Mach number
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	NLF	<u>N</u> atural <u>L</u> aminar <u>F</u> low
R _L Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and bod	n	Logarithmic exponent of amplitude-growth ratio of unstable Tollmien-Schlichting wave, $n = ln(A/A_0)$
length	R _L	Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and body length

- R_{tr} Reynolds number based on freestream conditions and transition length
- R' Unit Reynolds number based on freestream conditions
- T-S Tollmien-Schlichting
- T(y) Boundary-layer temperature profile, ⁰F
- U(y) Boundary-layer velocity profile, ft/sec
- V Volume of the body, cu. ft
- VGBLP <u>Variable Grid Boundary Layer Program</u>
- VSAERO Subsonic <u>AERO</u>dynamic Characteristics of Arbitrary Configuration Having <u>Vortex Separation</u> and Strong <u>Vortex/Surface</u> Interaction
- X Axial coordinate starting at nose, ft
- X_{tr} Axial coordinate of transition starting at nose, ft
- x Nondimensional axial coordinate, X/L
- x_{tr} Nondimensional axial coordinate of transition, X_{tr}/L
- x_{tr}(g) Nondimensional transition location by Granville's criterion
- $x_{tr}(e^n)$ Nondimensional transition location by e^n -method
- α Angle of attack, deg
- ψ Obliqueness of T-S disturbances with respect to streamlines, deg
- 2-D Two-dimensional flow

OPTIMIZATION PROCEDURE FOR NLF BODY DESIGN

A flow chart of the design method developed to obtain axisymmetric body shapes with extensive runs of laminar flow is presented in Fig. 1. ¹ Initial values of the design variables in the form of body ordinates describing the body shape are input along with the length Reynolds number, Mach number of the free stream, and the fineness ratio of the desired body shape (The superscript at the beginning of a word refers to the block number in Fig. 1). The axisymmetric body is described by design variables representing the body ordinates in the forebody section and in the aftbody section. By having a large number of design variables in the forebody region, the forebody can be represented in great detail especially near the nose region [18] and such detailed representation can incorporate small body perturbations dictated by the design cycles.

There are several methods available for optimization in engineering applications, e.g., the constrained minimization method [19], quasi-Newton's method [20], and evolution method [21]. ²The constrained minimization method developed by Vanderplaats (CONMIN) [19] was used in the design procedure because of its easy accessibility. The constrained minimization method is coupled with analysis of the ³inviscid and ⁴viscous flow regions, ⁵linear stability analysis of the compressible boundary-layer, and a transition prediction method.

The ³aerodynamic analysis program used in the optimization procedure is based on a low-order surface-singularity method (VSAERO, Pressure distributions and velocity distributions are computed by [22]). this aerodynamics program which uses surface singularity panels to represent the body shape. The ⁴ boundary-layer profiles along the surface of the body, required for the eⁿ-method, are generated by a modified axisymmetric boundary layer code (VGBLP, [23]). The finite difference VGBLP calculates detailed boundary-layer velocity and program temperature profiles along with their first and second derivatives normal to the surface, including the effects of transverse curvature. Compressible linear stability theory is used to analyze the laminar boundary-layer stability along the body via the COSAL program [24]. The COSAL program solves the finite-differenced boundary-layer stability equations by matrix The compressible T-S eigenvalue problem is solved for each methods. boundary-layer station along the body surface, giving temporal growth

rates of the instability waves propagating at specific wavelengths and wave angles. The temporal growth rates are transformed to spatial growth rates using Gaster's phase-velocity relationship [25]. Boundary-layer transition is predicted by the e^n -method in which n, usually referred to as n-factor, is obtained by integrating the linear growth rate of the T-S waves from the neutral stability point to a location downstream of the body.

The correlation of a large number of wind-tunnel data and flight transition experiments with linear boundary-layer stability calculations has made the e^{n} -method an accepted transition-prediction method (see [15]). For experiments in wind tunnels with low turbulence and low acoustic levels, the onset of transition can be correlated with an n-factor of 9 to 11 in subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows. In the case of flight tests conducted at low Mach numbers, higher n-factors on the order of 12 to 15 have been observed to correlate with transition; for compressible flight conditions n-factors of 9 or lower have generally correlated with transition.

A number of geometric and aerodynamic constraints are imposed on the design parameters to generate practical and realistic body shapes for given design conditions. Judicious choice of the upper and the lower bounds for the design variables will accelerate convergence of the solutions. Both the level and the location of the minimum surface pressure along the body surface are aerodynamically constrained by the requirement that the turbulent boundary layer over the aft-portion of the body should not separate until x=0.95 for the design conditions.

Next, an ⁷ objective function is chosen and minimized subject to the geometric and aerodynamic constraints. The objective function f_{obj} is taken to be a function of the location of transition as follows

$f_{obj} = 1 - x_{tr}(g)$	for calculating gradients of	
-	the objective function,	
		(1)
$f_{obj} = 1 - x_{tr}(e^n)$	for calculating the objective function	
,	from a proposed set of design variables at the end of each iteration,	

where $x_{tr}(g)$	is the transition location predicted
	using Granville's transition criterion
and $x_{tr}(e^n)$	is the transition location predicted
	using the e ⁿ -method with an n-factor of 9.

In the optimization calculations described in reference [2], the drag coefficient was chosen as the objective function. In the present design method the location of transition appears explicitly in the objective function, thus it is possible to derive a body optimized for NLF directly. The objective function given by Eqn. (1) is to be minimized subject to the constraints on the design variables. The optimizer computes 6 gradients of the objective function using Granville's transition criterion; and then, using either a conjugate direction method or a method of feasible direction, the optimizer determines a linear search direction along which a new constrained variable is constructed.

An improved or minimum feasible value of the objective function is calculated using the e^n -method transition criterion given by Eqn. (1) and a series of revised design variables are calculated. The objective function and the constrained function are evaluated using the revised design variables, interpolating over the specified range of feasible design variables resulting in a minimum value of the objective function.

⁸ The results are tested against a convergence criterion. The procedure will stop if the convergence criterion is satisfied, giving a body shape with maximum transition length satisfying the separation constraint. If the convergence criterion is not satisfied the design parameters go through the optimizer again resulting in a new set of design variables and the procedure is repeated until a final body shape is obtained.

COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results obtained by the optimization procedure are discussed through an example. All the computations were performed using a CRAY-2S computer. A body of revolution whose maximum diameter and length correspond to those of a tiptank of a representative business aircraft is considered. The tiptank has a fineness ratio of 8.00 and the design flight conditions considered for the present calculations are given by M = 0.7, zero incidence and R'= 1.28 x 10^6 /foot. In the present example an n-factor of 9 is assumed for prediction of the onset of transition.

At zero incidence, provided that premature flow separation has not occured, the transition process is dominated by the growth of the 2-D, T-S disturbances prior to the inflectional instability that develops at minimum pressure. Crossflow vortex structure can develop on the body at nonzero angles of attack. These crossflow vortices can interact with T-S waves and may lead to premature transition. Such complex three-dimensional boundary-layer flows were not considered here.

The axisymmetric body was modelled by a set of 27 body coordinates with 12 points defining the forebody section and 15 points defining the aftbody section. For the aerodynamic analysis, the body was modelled by 32 panels in the axial direction and 8 panels in the circumferential direction resulting in a total of 256 panels. This number of panels produced reasonably accurate inviscid pressure distributions by the VSAERO method. The boundary-layer velocity and temperature profiles were obtained at 101 points in the direction normal to the surface and at 90 stations in the streamwise direction. Boundary layer calculations were carried out for adiabatic wall conditions and zero suction through the wall.

The boundary-layer stability equations were solved at every 5th streamwise boundary-layer station starting from the first station. The boundary-layer stations were skipped to reduce the computation time. In the global search for eigenvalues, the sixth-order stability equation, obtained by neglecting dissipation terms in the boundary-layer stability equations, was solved at each chordwise station; and in the local search for the eigenvalues, the full eighth order stability equation was solved.

Figure 2 presents the pressure distribution on the baseline tiptank and the results of the compressible linear stability analysis for several T-S disturbance frequencies. The pressure coefficients remain subcritical on the entire body. The figure shows that at transition an n-factor of 9 occurs at a T-S disturbance frequency of 3500 Hz. For this tiptank shape transition onset was predicted to occur at $x_{tr}(e^n) = 0.327$ ($R_{tr}(e^n) = 6.04 \times 10^6$).

For the tiptank shape twelve design variables representing the ordinates in the forebody region were allowed to vary within the set of specified upper and lower bounds while simultaneously holding the tail section aft of the maximum thickness point unchanged during the design In pure axisymmetric flow the obliqueness of the T-S waves iterations. with respect to the streamlines (ψ) does not occur until the local flow speed exceeds the local sonic speed. In the present example, since the axisymmteric flow was subcritical, $\psi=0$ deg was assumed in the design calculations. Prior knowledge of the critical boundary-layer disturbance frequencies, which are functions of the Mach number, helps to identify the critical frequency spectrum during the course of the design optimization. The range of T-S disturbance frequencies should be chosen such that it disturbance frequencies which may does not exclude any grow substantially on a new body shape generated during the design iterations. In the present example, the body shapes did not go through large perturbations as the number of iterations increase, and hence, the initially chosen set of T-S frequencies contained all the growing disturbances throughout the design calculations. The same set of T-S disturbance frequencies which are used in the linear stability analysis for the original tiptank was used in the design optimization.

The COSAL code [24] does not include transverse-curvature effects and the effect of streamline divergence (i.e., T-S wave stretching in the nose region-generally termed as vortex stretching, [26]). In general, transverse surface curvature has a slightly stabilizing influence on T-S disturbance growth if the curvature is large in relation to the thickness of the boundary layer [27]. Vortex stretching effects are considerably less if the body has a very small nose radius. If the design constraints are such that a body with a large nose radius is desired, the vortex stretching effects have to be included in the boundary layer stability analysis. The present design calculations do not take into account the effect of the vortex stretching. During the course of the design calculations, concave curvature may develop on the axisymmetric body. It is known that centrifugal instabilities in the form of Gortler vortices occur in the shear flow over concave surfaces [28,29]. In the present design method the boundary layer stability calculations do not consider the effect of the centrifugal instabilities. The design program took 2785 seconds on CRAY-2S to predict the final tiptank design shape.

The original and the final tiptank shapes obtained are compared in Fig. 3. The stability envelope for the new tank has a smaller gradient than

the original tiptank. On the original tiptank, high frequency disturbances (5000 Hz, 6000 Hz and 7500 Hz) grow along the first 20% of the body and then become stable. These high frequency disturbances do not grow beyond an n-factor of 5. The critical disturbances, characterized by a frequency of 3500 Hz, start growing near 13% of the body length from the nose and reach an n-factor of 9 at $x_{tr}(e^n) = 0.327$ ($R_{tr}(e^n) = 6.04 \times 10^6$).

On the designed body, the disturbances with frequencies of 3500 Hz and 4000 Hz do not grow as much as they did on the original tiptank. The disturbance at a frequency of 9000 Hz, which did not grow at all on the original tiptank, grows on the new body until an n-factor of about 6 is reached. The disturbance with a frequency of 2500 Hz does not grow at all on the designed body shape; whereas, the disturbance with the same frequency grew to an n-factor of about 13 for the original tiptank. The transition location corresponding to an n-factor of 9 occurs at $x_{tr}(e^n) = 0.391$ ($R_{tr} = 7.22 \times 10^6$) on the designed body. Although the critical frequency leading to transition remained at 3500 Hz on both the original tiptank and the designed body, boundary-layer transition corresponding to an n-factor of 9 as predicted by the e^n -method occured much further downstream on the designed body.

To assess the effect of extending the length of laminar boundarylayer flow over the geometries analyzed, The viscous drag was calculated using a modified integral boundary layer approach [3]. An improved turbulent boundary-layer calculation method was incorporated in the panel method to give more realistic drag calculations with available experimental data. The turbulent boundary-layer calculations were based on Head's entrainment method as modified by Shanebrook and Sumner Validation of this improved method with experimental drag [30]. measurements by Gërtler [31] on axisymmetric turbulent body shapes at R_{I} of 10.0 x 10⁶ and 26.0 x 10⁶ show that the drag coefficient can be calculated accurately for these predominantly turbulent flow geometries [3]. A drag coefficient (C_D) of 0.0491 was predicted on the original tiptank with the boundary-layer transition fixed at $x_{tr}=0.327$, and a drag coefficient (C_D) of 0.0415 was predicted on the designed body shape with the boundary-layer transition fixed at $x_{tr} = 0.391$.

The designed body shape has a very small nose radius. Nose shapes with extremely small nose radii will produce large pressure peaks at offdesign angles of attack and can produce vortices which would influence transition in a negative way. A constraint on the nose radius will help alleviate the off-design aerodynamic characteristics.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

An optimization procedure has been developed to design axisymmetric body shapes with increased transition Reynolds numbers. The design method involves a constraint minimization procedure coupled with analysis of the inviscid and viscous flow regions and linear stability analysis of the compressible boundary-layer. Granville's criterion was used to compute all the gradients required by the constrained minimization method. At the end of each iteration, the objective function was predicted by a transition criterion based on the e^n -method. This new approach to evaluate the objective function would be more practical and would save computation time compared to the approach in which the e^n method is used for all the transition predictions in the design method.

A tiptank of a business-jet was used to demonstrate the use of the method to design an axisymmetric body shape with increased transition Reynolds number. Boundary-layer transition was predicted to occur at a transition Reynolds number of 6.04×10^6 on the original tiptank and at 7.22 x 10^6 on the designed body shape. This was an increase of 20% in transition Reynolds number.

Acknowledgment

The research was supported by NASA Langley Research Center under NASA Contract NAS1-18585 to ViGYAN, Inc., Hampton, Virginia.

REFERENCES

[1] Holmes, B.J.; Obara, C.J.; and, Yip, L.P.: "Natural Laminar Flow Experiments on Modern Airplane Surfaces." NASA TP-2256, June 1984.

- [2]. Dodbele, S.S.; van Dam, C.P.; and Vijgen, P.M.H.W.: "Design of Fuselage Shapes for Natural Laminar Flow." NASA CR-3970, March 1986.
- [3] Dodbele, S.S.; van Dam, C.P.; Vijgen, P.M.H.W.; and Holmes, B.J.: "Shaping of Airplane Fuselages for Minimum Drag." Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 24, May 1987, pp. 298-304.
- [4] Vijgen, P.M.H.W.; Dodbele, S.S.; Holmes, B.J.; and van Dam, C.P.: "Effects of Compressibility on Design of Subsonic Fuselages for Natural Laminar Flow.," Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 25, Sept. 1988, pp. 776-782.
- [5] Carmichael, B.H.: "Underwater Vehicle Drag Reduction through Choice of Shape." AIAA paper No. 66-657, 1966.
- [6] Carmichael, B.H. and Niehuss, O.: "Computer Study To Establish the Lower Limit of Length-to-Diameter Rates Advisable for Low-Drag Bodies." SID 64-1938, North American Aviation, Inc., October 1964. (Available from DTIC as AD 664 610.)
- [7] Carmichael, B.H.: "Underwater Drag Reduction Through Optimal Shape." In <u>Underwater Missile Propulsion</u>, edited by L. Greiner, Compass Publications, Inc., Arlington, VA, 1966.
- [8] Boltz, F.W.; Kenyon, G.C.; and Allen, C.Q.: "The Boundary-Layer Transition Characteristics of Two Bodies of Revolution, A Flat Plate, and an Unswept Wing in a Low-Turbulence Wind Tunnel." NASA TN D-309, April 1960.
- [9] Boltz, F.W.; Kenyon, G.C.; and Allen, C.Q.: "Measurements of Boundary-Layer Transition at Low Speeds on Two Bodies of Revolution in a Low-Turbulence Wind Tunnel." NACA RM A56G17, Sept. 1956.
- [10] Vijgen, P.M.H.W.; Dodbele, S.S.; Pfenninger, W.; and Holmes, B.J.: "Analysis of Wind-Tunnel Boundary-Layer Transition Experiments on Axisymmetric Bodies at Transonic Speeds Using Compressible Boundary Layer Stability Theory." AIAA Paper No. 88-0008, 1988.
- [11] Smith, A.M.O.: "Transition, Pressure Gradient and Stability Theory." IX International Congress for Applied Mechanics, Brussels, 1956.

- [12] Van Ingen, J.L.: " A Suggested Semi-Empirical Method for the Calculation of the Boundary Layer Transition Region." University of Technology, Department of Aerospace Engineering, VTH-74, Delft, 1956.
- [13] Hefner, J.N.; and Bushnell, D.M.: "Status of Linear Boundary-Layer Stability Theory and the eⁿ-Method, With Emphasis on Swept-Wing Applications." NASA TP-1645, Feb. 1980.
- [14] Jaffe, N.A.; Okamura, T.T.; and Smith, A.M.O.: "Determination of Spatial Amplification Factors and their Application to Predicting Transition." AIAA Journal, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1970, pp. 301-308.
- [15] Bushnell, D.M.; Malik, M.R.; and Harvey, W.D.: "Transition Prediction in External Flows via Linear Stability Theory." IUTAM Symposium Transonicum III, Gottingen, West Germany, May 24-27, 1988.
- [16] Malik, M.R.: "Instability and Transition in Supersonic Boundary Layers." <u>Laminar Turbulent Boundary Layers</u> (Eds: E.M.Uram and H.E. Weber), Energy Resources Technology Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana, Feb 12-16, 1984.
- [17] Granville, P.S.: "The Calculation of the Viscous Drag of Bodies of Revolution." David W. Taylor Model Basin, Report 849, 1953.
- [18] Dodbele, S.S.: "Effects of the Forebody Geometry on Subsonic Laminar Boundary Layer Stability." in <u>Numerical Methods in Laminar and</u> <u>Turbulent Flows,</u>", Pineridge Press, Vol. 5, Part 1, pp. 902-917, 1987.
- [19] Vanderplaats, G. N: "CONMIN A FORTRAN Program for Constrained Function Minimization- User's MANUAL." NASA TM X-62282, 1973.
- [20] Gill, P E; and Murray, W: "Quasi-Newton Methods for Unconstrained Optimization." Journal of Institute of Mathematics Applications. 9(1972), pp. 91-108.
- [21] Rechenberg, I: Cybernetic Solution Path of an Experimental Problem, Royal Aircraft Establishment, Library Translation 1122, Farnborough, 1965.

- [22] Maskew, B.: "Prediction of Subsonic Aerodynamic Characteristics- A Case for Low-Order Panel Methods." Journal of Aircraft, Vol. 19, No. 2, Feb. 1982, pp. 157-163.
- [23] Harris, J.E.; and Blanchard, D.K.: "Computer Program for Solving Laminar, Transitional, or Turbulent Compressible Boundary-Layer Equations for Two-Dimensional and Axisymmetric Flow." NASA TM 83207, Feb 1982.
- [24] Malik, M.R.: "COSAL-A Black-Box Compressible Stability Analysis Code for Transition Prediction in Three-Dimensional Boundary Layers." NASA CR-165925, May 1982.
- [25] Gaster, M.: "Prediction of Linear Wave Packets in Laminar Boundary-Layers." AIAA Journal, Vol. 19, 1981, pp. 419-423.
- [26] Kuethe, A.M.: "On the Stability of Flow in the Boundary Layer Near the Nose of a Blunt Body." U.S. Air Force Project RAND Research Memo. RM-1972, RAND Corp., August 28, 1957. (Available from DTIC as AD 150 687.)
- [27] Morris, P.J.; and Byon, W.: "The Stability of the Axisymmetric Boundary-Layer on a Circular Cylinder." AIAA paper No. 82-1012, 1982.
- [28] Floryan, J.M.; and Saric, W.S.: "Stability of Gortler Vortices in Boundary Layers." AIAA Journal 20 (4), 1982, pp. 316-324
- [29] Hall, P.: "The Gortler Vortex Instability Mechanism in Three-Dimensional Boundary-Layers." Proceedings of Royal Society of London, Ser. A 399, 1985, pp.135-152.
- [30] Shanebrook, J.R.; and Sumner, W.J.: "Entrainment Theory for Axisymmetric, Turbulent Incompressible Boundary Layers." Journal of Hydronautics, Vol. 4, Oct. 1970.
- [31] Gërtler, M.: "Resistance Experiments on a Systematic Series of Streamlined Bodies of Revolution for Application to the Design of High-Speed Submarines." Report C-297, David Taylor Model Basin, NAVY Dep., April 1950.



Fig.1 Flow chart of design procedure for NLF fuselage



Fig.2 Pressure distributions, predicted compressible T-S disturbance growth curves, and transition location for the original tiptank





REPORT	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188								
Public reporting burden for this collection of gathering and maintaining the data needed collection of information, including suggest Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2	of informa I, and com ions for re 2202-4302	tion is estimated to average 1 hour p bleting and reviewing the collection o ducing this burden, to Washington H , and to the Office of Management ar	er response, including the time for if information - Send comments rec leadquarters Services, Directorate ind Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pr	reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources garding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jeffersor reject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave &	alank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE A	ND DATES COVERED					
		December 1992	Contrac	ctor Report					
4. HILE AND SUBJICE				5. FUNDING NUMBERS					
Compressible Flow	n or	Natural Laminar F.	Low Bodies in	WU 505-59-10-02					
6. AUTHOR(S)				C NAS1-18585					
Simha S. Dodbele									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
ViGYAN, Inc.									
30 Research Drive Hampton, VA 23666	30 Research Drive Hampton, VA 23666								
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING /	GENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	S)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING					
Langley Research Ce	s and nter	l Space Administra	tion	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER					
	225			NASA CR-4478					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		<u> </u>							
Langley Technical M	onito	or: H. Paul Stoug	h III						
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT	Y STAT	EMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE					
Unclassified - Unli	mited	1							
Subject Category 02									
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wc	rds)								
An optimization me as fuselages, nace numbers in subsoni straint minimizati flow regions and 1 order to reduce th predict boundary-1 function, and line late the objective to design an axisy through the design boundary layer tra 6.04×10^6 . For t 10^6 is predicted u e^n -method.	thod lles c com on pr inear e com ayer ar st func mmetr of a nsiti he de sing	has been develope and external fuel pressible flow. ocedure coupled w stability analys puter time, Granv transition and to ability theory co tion at the end o ic body with exte tiptank of a bus on is predicted t signed body shape. compressible line	d to design axis tanks with incr The new design m ith analysis of is of the compre- ille's transition calculate the g upled with the e f each design its nsive natural lan iness jet. For o occur at a trans a transition Rey ar stability theo	ymmetric body shapes such eased transition Reynolds ethod involves a con- the inviscid and viscous ssible boundary-layer. In n criterion is used to radients of the objective n-method is used to calcu- eration. Use of the metho- minar flow is illustrated the original tiptank, nsition Reynolds number of ynolds number of 7.22 x ory coupled with the					
14. SUBJECT TERMS	15. NUMBER OF PAGES								
Aircraft Design, Na	16. PRICE CODE A03								
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. S O	ECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT					
Unclassified	Ur	nclassified	Unclassified						
SN 7540-01-280-5500	L		I	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)					

ĺ

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Code JTT Washington, D.C. 20546-0001 Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

BULK RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID NASA Permit No. G-27



POSTMASTER:

If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return