150331 P.8

NASA Contractor Report 191072

# An Analysis of Power Beaming for the Moon and Mars

Mark W. Stavnes Sverdrup Technology, Inc. Lewis Research Center Group Brook Park, Ohio

August 1992

Prepared for Lewis Research Center Under Contract NAS3-25266



(NASA-CR-191072) AN ANALYSIS OF N POWER BEAMING FOR THE MOUN AND MARS (Sverdrup Technology) 8 p U

N93-22554

Unclas

63/18 0150331



Mark W. Stavnes Sverdrup Technology, Inc. Lewis Research Center Group 2001 Aerospace Parkway Brook Park, Ohio 44142

## ABSTRACT

Operations on the surface of the Moon and Mars will depend on a reliable source of electrical power. At NASA Lewis Research Center, the feasibility of powering lunar and martian surface sites by power beaming was studied. Constellations of nuclear or solar powered satellites using microwave or laser transmitters were designed to power an equatorial surface base. Additional surface assets, such as rovers, can also be powered from the same orbiting satellites, requiring only the additional mass of a receiver. However, the actual mass and power capabilities of the system are dependent on the location of the surface receiver. The masses of the beam power systems can be reduced by up to 50%, by using the power source of an electric propulsion vehicle to power the beam system. This paper discusses the important analyses results, and any additional issues that remain unresolved.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Beam power was investigated as a technology to replace/supplement surface power systems for the Moon and Mars as part of the Space Exploration Initiative (SEI). A study was performed at NASA Lewis Research Center for the Lunar/Mars Exploration Project Office at NASA Johnson Space Center, to determine the feasibility of providing long term electrical power to the surface of the Moon or Mars from orbiting beam power satellites (based on the Solar Power Satellite - SPS concept). In addition, the important technology development requirements to enable the system feasibility were identified.

A beam power system for the Moon has several potential advantages when compared to surface power systems. Propulsion mass savings result, since the propellant to deliver the power source to the surface is not needed. Additionally, due to the 336 hour lunar night, 90% of the mass a solar power system is due to energy storage [1]. The beam power concepts can shorten the "eclipse" time, greatly reducing or eliminating the surface storage masses. Energy storage is only 52% of the martian surface solar power systems, because the night is only 12 hours long [2]. As a result, the potential advantages of power beaming for Mars may be limited. Further advantages of power beaming can be realized when using the power source of an electric propulsion orbital transfer vehicle as a "free" source of power for the beaming system, upon completion of the vehicle's cargo mission. Finally, because of the distributed nature of the beam power systems, easily deployed/low mass power at remote sites becomes a possibility.

The approach of the study was to identify the subsystems and mission architectures, including all support subsystems such as thermal, structural and Power Management and Distribution (PMAD) to obtain a realistic end to end system mass. Using a spreadsheet model developed for this study, trade-off studies and system mass optimizations were performed. Having gained an understanding of the systems, preliminary reference beam power concepts for single and multiple surface bases and rovers were developed. The reference systems were then compared to solar and nuclear surface power systems. This paper presents an overview of the results from earlier work based primarily on the Moon (for details see [3,4,5]). The analysis is expanded to include the powering of Mars bases, and a Nuclear Electric Propulsion/Solar Electric Propulsion (NEP/SEP) synergism. The masses in this paper are presented as the initial mass in low Earth orbit, and as a result, the masses are dependent upon the choice of propulsion system. Therefore, the lunar and martian masses cannot be compared equally, because the propulsion requirements to deliver the power systems from low Earth orbit are significantly different [6,7,8]. Finally, operational issues which have not been addressed in this study, but may impact the final decisions on the feasibility of beaming power to the Moon or Mars will be introduced.

#### ASSUMPTIONS AND GROUNDRULES

For innovative power systems such as power beaming, following the power profile of the conventional surface systems may limit its capabilities. The use of power beaming may enable new missions with higher power levels, or additional surface sites. All of the power levels for the cases discussed in this paper are for a complete constellation of satellites, without any consideration for power growth.

To size the transmitter and receiver apertures of the systems, a near field approximation was used:

$$\frac{P_r}{P_r} = 1 - e^{\frac{A_r A_t}{(\lambda h)^2}}$$
(1)

Where:

h = Transmission Distance (m) $\lambda = \text{Transmission Wavelength } (m)$  $A_t = \text{Transmitter Area (Normal to Beam m<sup>2</sup>)}$  $A_r = Receiver Area (Normal to Beam m<sup>2</sup>)$  $P_t = \text{Transmitted Power } (w)$  $P_r = Received Power (w)$ 

The specific masses, conversion efficiencies and operating temperature assumptions for the orbiting beam power subsystems are presented in Table I. The "mid term" technology level assumptions, extrapolated from presently achieved laboratory components, represent an integrated flight system in 2005, requiring a system demonstration in the late 1990's.

Both chemical and electric propulsion systems are considered for the transportation of the power systems to the Moon and Mars. A chemical propulsion system to the lunar environment assumes various combinations of expendable tanks with a lunar excursion vehicle [6]. An all chemical system was not used for the Mars transfer case. A combination of an electric propulsion cargo vehicle and a reusable chemical propulsion lunar/martian excursion vehicle was also considered [6,7,8]. The ratios of the initial mass in low Earth orbit (IMLEO) to the delivered mass for the various cases are shown below in Table II (LLO - Low Lunar Orbit, L1 -Libration Point).

| I EMI OR  | MAILOB CHARACIERIOIICE   |            |                          |  |
|---|--|------------|--------------------------|--|
|   | Specific<br>Mass   | Efficiency | Operating<br>Temperature |  |
| Solar Array<br>APSA - GaAs [9]                      | 3 kg/kw  | 22.%       | 300 K                    |  |
| Power Management and<br>Distribution [10]           | 5 kg/kw  | 95%        | 500 K                    |  |
| Microwave Transmitter<br>Gyrotron Tube [11]         | 3 kg/kw 35 GHz   | 80%        | 500 K                    |  |
| Laser Transmitter<br>Laser Diode Array [12]         | 13 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 3 kg/kw<br>360 THz                                      | 38 %       | 300 K                    |  |
| Microwave Antenna<br>Metallic Mesh Reflector [13]   | 1 kg/m²  | 100 %      | 300 K.                   |  |
| Laser Transmission Optics<br>Glass Mirror [14]      | 170 kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 65 %       | 300 K                    |  |
| Microwave Rectenna<br>Integrated Technology [11,15] | 1.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 85 %       | 500 K.                   |  |
| Laser PV Receiver<br>Tuned GaAs Cells [14]          | 3 kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 45 %       | 300 K.                   |  |
| Radiator<br>Gr/Al Composite [16,17]                 | 5 kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 85 %       | 300 -<br>500 K           |  |
| Energy Storage<br>Regenerative Fuel Cell [18]       | Fuel Cell - 14 kg/kw<br>Electrolizer - 23 kg/kw<br>2.5 kg tankage/kg reactan | 60 %       | 355 K                    |  |
| Energy Storage<br>Ni - H <sub>2</sub> Cells [19,20] | Cells - 20 kg/kwh<br>Packaging - 6 kg/kwh                                    | 68 %       | 278 K                    |  |
| Unmanned Nuclear Reactor<br>Orbital SP-100 [21,22]  | 17 kg/kwe (Includes reactor, coversion,<br>radiator, shield, and structure)  |            |                          |  |

#### TABLE I. MID TERM (2005 FLIGHT) TECHNOLOGY PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

TABLE II. IMLEO/FINAL MASS DELIVERED RATIOS

|            | Planetary Orbit     | Planetary Surface |  |
|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| Propulsion | Systems to the Moon |                   |  |
| Chemical   | 2.8(L1)/3.5(LLO)    | 4.5               |  |
| Electric   | 1.1(L1)/1.1(LLO)    | 2.0               |  |
| Propulsion | Systems to Mars     |                   |  |
| Electric   | 1.4                 | 1.8               |  |

Factors which may influence the use of beam power for Mars are the gases and dust elements in the Martian atmosphere. For the microwave frequency, little interference is expected in the 1 to 300 GHz range, however, for the laser frequencies, due to the presence of windborne Martian dust particles ranging from 0.1 to 30  $\mu$ m (mean radius 2.5  $\mu$ m), scattering or blockage of the transmission may occur [3,23].

# SYSTEM ANALYSIS OF ORBITING MICROWAVE AND LASER SYSTEMS

# Systems Analysis of a Single Stationary Surface Site

Initially, the mass analyses were performed for a single equatorial base. The resulting orbit scenarios are shown in figure 1 for the reference systems. For the lunar case, laser transmission (f=360 THz,  $\lambda=830$  nm) from the libration points (L1, 58,000 km) is possible from individual satellites that are in continuous view of the receiver. At the microwave frequencies (f=35 GHz,  $\lambda=0.85$  cm), the antenna and rectenna sizes drive the mass optimizations to low lunar altitudes, where multiple equally phased satellites can provide complete coverage to the lunar base. Similarly, for the Mars base, the laser system optimizes at Mars synchronous orbit (MSO, 17,000 km), and the microwave system uses low martian orbits.

A solar array power source requiring no energy storage is lighter than the SP-100 nuclear reactor power source (3 kg/kw vs. 17 kg/kw) [9,21,22]. However, in order to eliminate the need for energy storage on board the solar powered satellites, the orbit must be inclined to stay above the eclipse shadow, which results in larger receivers due to pointing losses. Also, the inclined orbit planes need to be precessed once per year.



Figure 1. - Orbiting beam power satellites.

A trade was performed between the components whose masses depend on power level (power source, PMAD, and transmitter) verses the power independent components (antenna, rectenna, and laser optics), which depend primarily on the transmission frequency and distance. This mass optimization is dependent on the space transmission efficiency,  $N_t$ , which is the fraction of the transmitted energy collected by the receiver. As shown in figures 2 and 3 for a lunar base, in order to increase  $N_t$ , the transmitter/receiver areas must be made larger, adding power independent mass. The increased space transmission efficiency results in a higher end to end efficiency, decreasing the power dependent mass.

Because of this "Nt Effect" the masses of the beam power systems may remain relatively constant with changes in the subsystem performance characteristics. As the frequency and power levels increase, the power dependent masses become a larger fraction of the system mass. For example, the high power laser systems are over 90% power dependent mass, and therefore have a well-defined minimum with respect to  $N_t$  (figure 3). However, the microwave system mass is evenly distributed between power dependent and independent masses, and remains relatively constant over a wide region of transmission efficiencies ( $0.2 \le N_t \le 0.9$  in figure 2). Each of the beam power systems has an optimum operating point, which is dependent upon the frequency and power level. At the power levels of the 90 Day study, the laser systems are closer to their optimum operating point than the microwave systems (i.e. laser at  $\lambda = 830$  nm has an optimum power level at  $\approx 100$  kw, whereas the microwave at 35 GHz optimizes at 1000's of kw). Therefore, in this region of power levels, the laser systems, although lighter, are more sensitive to changes in the performance characteristics than the microwave systems.

The microwave and laser system concepts were compared to the surface power systems for the Moon and Mars, as shown in figures 4 and 5, for power levels ranging from 100 kw to 1 Mw for systems delivered to orbit by electric propulsion transportation vehicles. In the lunar case, the power beaming systems were 1/3 to 1/6 the mass of the surface solar systems, but were heavier than the surface nuclear systems. For the Mars base, because of the decreased impact of energy storage and propulsion savings, the systems are up to 2 times the mass of the surface systems for a single base.

Presently, concepts are being developed for SP-100 nuclear reactor and solar electric propulsion orbit transfer vehicles to ferry cargo from LEO to the Moon and/or Mars. An investigation was made in this study to determine the benefits of using an electric propulsion vehicle's power source as a "free" source of power for either a microwave or laser transmitter once the vehicle's cargo has been



Figure 2. - Microwave Nt optimization lunar base.

delivered. The transmitter, PMAD and other supporting subsystems would be delivered as part of the electric propulsion vehicle's payload.

Using the NEP/SEP system eliminates the mass contribution of the reference beam power system's power source, by replacing it with the propulsion system's power source. Complete coverage is maintained by following the same orbit scenarios as the reference systems. As shown in figures 4 and 5, the masses of the microwave reference systems would be reduced by 47 to 52% for the lunar and martian cases, while the laser systems would be reduced by 15% for the lunar case, and 27% for the martian case.

# System Analysis of Multiple Stationary Surface Sites

For the microwave cases, in powering a single site, the power beamed from the satellites not in view of the base is wasted (See figure 6). Under the correct operating conditions, this extra power can be utilized by placing additional receivers at strategic locations, resulting in remote power capability for a small additional mass. Dedicated laser satellites power the main base, therefore additional satellites must be employed to power remote surface sites.

The mass of powering multiple microwave sites is dependent on the longitudinal separation distance of the surface sites. The number of satellites in the constellation determines the minimum separation distance required for continuous coverage of multiple sites. As shown in figure 6a, at a lunar surface separation distance  $\geq 90^{\circ}$  ( $\geq 72^{\circ}$  for Mars), a receiver is all that is needed. However, for the case shown in figure 6b, an overlap outage occurs if the sites are separated <90° (<72° for Mars). Thus, either surface energy storage or additional satellites are needed for continuous operation at overlapping sites.

For a 550 kw lunar site, the mass of a single site is 570 mt initial mass in low Earth orbit (chemical propulsion). For a second 550 kw site, if the separation distance is  $\geq$  90° (i.e. no overlap), a 110 mt (IMLEO-chemical) receiver is all that is needed, for a total mass of 19% of the first site. For separation distances < 90°, the overlap outage time will determine the mass of the energy storage system needed for continuous coverage. As the separation distance decreases, the energy storage mass



Figure 3. - Laser Nt optimization lunar base.





Figure 5. Martian power system masses.

will increase, to the point where it is lighter to place a second dedicated constellation of satellites in orbit.

## System Analysis of Rover Vehicles

Throughout this analysis, the systems have been optimized for lowest mass, resulting in large transmitters and receivers. As a result, a beam power system for powering a rover may have to be redesigned because of constrained receiver size requirements. If the rover power requirements per unit area of receiver are greater than what the mass optimized system can deliver, the system design must be modified. To increase the power density at the receiver, the satellite pointing accuracy, output power, and/or transmission frequency must be increased. In addition, for the solar powered microwave cases, the use of a dual axis tracking receiver can eliminate the power loss due to off normal pointing, however dual axis tracking rectennas are limited in area.

If, for example, the receiver area for a rover vehicle is limited to 100 m<sup>2</sup>, the laser system will not be affected, but the microwave system will due to the lower transmission frequency. The factor that drives the laser system areas is the pointing accuracy compensation ( $\Delta$ ), which offsets the combination of the jitter and other spacecraft disturbances.

Once again, assuming a 550 kw microwave system (570 mt IMLEO - chemical), only 7.5 kw of power can be produced by a 100 m<sup>2</sup> rectenna at 35 GHz, as shown in figure 7. Increasing the source power to allow 1000 kw at the main base, for a 262 mt increase (IMLEO - chemical), will allow the powering of a 15 kw rover. Similarly a 550 kw martian system (307 mt IMLEO - electrical) can power a 5 kw rover, as shown in figure 8. Increasing the power to 1000 kw, for a 110 mt increase (IMLEO-electrical), will allow the powering of a 7.5 kw rover.

Another way to increase the power level is to increase the transmission frequency. If at 100 GHz, the transmitter times receiver efficiency can be sustained (i.e. 68%), 30 kw and 60 kw can be provided to a martian and lunar rover respectively. Figures 7 and 8 show these relationships.

In general, however, increasing the frequency of transmission will result in a decrease in the efficiency of the transmitters. However, as shown by figure 9, the system mass will remain constant as the frequency increases, because the increase in power dependent mass is offset by the decreased transmitter and receiver sizes. If the frequency is increased to 65 GHz, an  $N_x * N_r$  of 34% will result in antenna and rectenna area decreases of 29%, with the mass remaining the same. Similarly, a 200 GHz transmission frequency and  $N_x * N_r$  of 17% will yield a reduction of 64%.

# OPEN ISSUES FOR BEAM POWER FEASIBILITY

The technologies that were assumed for the 2005 flight are extrapolated from research components which exist currently in the laboratory. However, there are many obstacles to be overcome before



6a. Non-conflicting surface sites. Figure 6. - Overlapping lunar surface sites.



Figure 7. - Microwave nuclear source case lunar site power vs. rectenna area.



Figure 8. - Microwave nuclear source case martian site power vs. rectenna area.



Figure 9. - Lunar system mass (IMLEO - Chemical) vs. transmitter frequency.

these technologies can be integrated into a flight system. For the laser diode array transmitter system, controlling the diodes to enable a coherent output beam has been demonstrated by the Air Force's PILOT program for a few diodes, however it is not easily scaleable to larger numbers of diodes [12]. In addition, the microwave gyrotron tubes may require additional support equipment, such as superconducting magnets, which were not accounted for in this analysis. Solid state transmitters can operate at "room" temperature, but their efficiency is probably limited to  $\leq$ 50% [24]. Finally, a temperature drop between the radiators and the heat source was assumed to be zero, and an actual system may require larger radiators.

Possible improvements to the system resulting from additional system concepts, that take advantage of technology improvements, need to be explored, such as thin film solar cells and inflatable structures. However, the deployment and control of the very large solar arrays, radiators and antennas need to be analyzed. In addition, the transportation and operation of the satellites in orbit, including, satellite design, packaging, and launch vehicle requirements should be studied.

#### CONCLUSIONS

When supplying power to a single stationary surface base on the Moon or Mars, energy storage is the dominant mass. Beam power systems in orbit can be used to reduce or eliminate the energy storage requirements by decreasing the "eclipse" time. The lunar night is more extreme than the martian night, consequently, power beaming systems are lighter than surface solar systems for the Moon, but are heavier for Mars. In addition, for both the Moon and Mars, surface nuclear reactors are the lightest option.

Attaching the beam power system components to an electric propulsion vehicle, and using the vehicle's power source can significantly reduce the mass of the beam power systems. The mass reduction is approximately equal to the mass which the power source contributes to the reference system mass. However, the single base mass for the beam power systems is still heavier than the surface nuclear systems. For single sites, each microwave satellite is "idle" for 75 to 80% of its orbit. Strategically placed remote sites need only a receiver to enable full use of the available power. Sites that are positioned close enough to result in an overlap of the satellite's fields of view, will require either surface energy storage or additional orbiting satellites for complete coverage.

A rover vehicle's power level is limited by its receiver area. The laser system, because of its 360 THz transmission frequency, is not affected by this restriction. However, the baseline 35 GHz microwave system can only beam 7.5 kw to a lunar rover (5 kw for Mars). By increasing the frequency to 100 GHz, powering a 60 kw lunar rover and a 30 kw martian rover are possible.

To enable the feasibility of beam power for the Moon and Mars, the technology levels of the components must be increased from the current laboratory development levels. In addition, the on-orbit behavior and deployment of the very large space systems must be investigated. Finally, the operational requirements imposed by placing the power system in orbit must be considered.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the NASA Lewis Research Center under contract NAS3-25266. The author would like to acknowledge the significant contributions to this analysis by Ronald C. Cull of NASA Lewis Research Center and Edgar H. Fay of Sverdrup Technology, Inc.

## **REFERENCES**

- D.A. Petri, R.L. Cataldo, J.M. Bozek, "Power System Requirements and Definition for Lunar and Mars Outposts," Proceedings of the 25th IECEC, pp. 22-23, August 1990.
- B.I. McKissock, L.L. Kohout, P.C. Schmitz, "A Solar Power System for an Early Mars Expedition," NASA-TM 103219, August 1990.
- 3. E.H. Fay, R.C. Cull, M.W. Stavnes, "Beam Power Systems for the Moon," Proceedings of the SPS '91, Power From Space Second International Symposium, August 1991.

- 4. E.H. Fay, R.C. Cull, "Lunar Orbiting Microwave Beam Power System," NASA-TM 103211, August 1990.
- M.W. Stavnes, R.C. Cull, "A Mass Sensitivity Analysis of Lunar Orbiting Beam Power Systems," Proceedings of the 26th IECEC, August 1991.
- J.M. Woytach, "Lunar Transportation System Applicability for an Orbiting Beam Power System," Internal Memorandum, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, April 1991.
- R.C. Cull, K.A. Faymon, "Orbit to Surface Beamed Power for Mars Bases Expansion," Proceedings of the 24th IECEC, August 1989.
- D.G. Andrews, "Near-term Nuclear Space Missions," Proceedings of the IAF International Conference on Space Power, Cleveland, Ohio, June 1989, pp. 285 - 306.
- 9. P.M. Stella, "Latest Developments in the Advanced Photovoltaic Solar Array Program," Proceedings of the 25th IECEC, pp. 571, August 1990.
- K. Metcalf, R.B. Harty, J.F. Robin, "Issues Concerning Centralized vs. Decentralized Power Deployment," NASA Contractor Report, Rockwell International, Rocketdyne Division, March 1991.
- K. Chang, "Feasibility Study of 35 GHz Microwave Transmission in Space," Proceedings of the IAF Conference on Space Power, Cleveland, Ohio, June 5-7, 1989, pp. 369.
- 12. High Power Laser Diode Power Beaming Workshop, Batelle Seattle Research Center, Seattle, Washington, September 25-26, 1990.
- M. Mikulas, "Structural Concepts for Very Large (400-Meter Diameter) Solar Concentrators," Proceedings of the Second Space Power Workshop, NASA-CP 3037, p. 253.
- E.P. Coomes, J.A. Bamberger, L.A. McCauley, "An Assessment of the Impact of Free Space Electromagnetic Energy Transmission on Strategic Defense Initiative Systems and Architectures," May 1989.
- 15. A.J. Colozza, "Design and Optimization of a Self Deploying Photovoltaic Tent Array," NASA CR-187119, June 1991.
- D.L. McDanels, K.W. Baker, D.L. Ellis, "Graphite Fiber/Copper Matrix Composites for Space Power Heat Pipe Fin Applications," 8th Symposium on Space Nuclear Power, Albuquerque, New Mexico, January 6-10, 1991.
- "Evaluation of Advanced Composite Satellite Radiators," Technical Progress Report, MSCTPR 2118/8601, Materials Science Corporation, March 1990, pp. 10,18.
- L.L.Kohout, "Cryogenic Reactant Storage for Lunar Base Regenerative Fuel Cells," NASA-TM 101980, June 1990.
- 19. R.J. Haas, A.K. Chawathe, G. van Ommering, "Space Station Battery System Design and Development," Proceedings of the 23rd IECEC, pp. 577-582, August 1988.
- 20. P. O'Donnell, "Energy Storage A Short Course," Presented at the AIAA/OAI Conference on Advanced Space Exploration Initiative Technologies," September, 1991.
- L.S. Mason, H. Bloomfield, "SP-100 Power System Conceptual Design for Lunar Base Applications," NASA-TM 102090, p. 8, January 1989.
- L.O. Cropp, D.R. Gallup, A.C. Marshall, "Mass and Performance Estimates for 5 to 1000 kw(e) Nuclear Reactor Systems for Space Applications," Sandia Report SAND90-0312, March 1991, p. 47.

- K.J. Long, "Analysis of a Mars Stationary Orbiting Microwave Power Transmission System," NASA-TM 101344, p. 6, July 1990.
- 24. Personal Conversation, Ed Hoagland, Space Electronics Division, NASA Lewis Research Center

. •

|   | DOCUMENTATION P  | AGE  | OMB No 0704-0188  |
|---|--|--|---|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of in   | nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per  | response, including the time for revie   | wing instructions, searching existing data sources  |
| gathering and maintaining the data needed, a<br>collection of information, including suggestion<br>Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22  | and completing and reviewing the collection of<br>s for reducing this burden, to Washington Hea<br>202-4302, and to the Office of Management a   | information. Send comments regardi<br>dquarters Services, Directorate for inf<br>nd Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pro  | ng this burden estimate or any other aspect of th<br>ormation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson<br>ject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.   |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank   | 2. REPORT DATE   | 3. REPORT TYPE AND   | DATES COVERED   |
|   | August 1992  | Fin  | al Contractor Report  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE   | ······································   | 5  | . FUNDING NUMBERS   |
| An Analysis of Power Bear   | ming for the Moon and Mars   |  |   |
| ,,  | 0  |  |   |
|   |  |  | WU-506-41-41  |
| 5. AUTHOR(S)  | ····   |  | C-NAS3-25266  |
| Mark W Stavnes  |  |  |   |
| Maik W. Sulvics   |  |  |   |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N  | AME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)   | 8  | PERFORMING ORGANIZATION   |
| Sverdrun Technology, Inc.   |  |  | REPORT NUMBER   |
| Lewis Research Center Gro   | quo  |  | E 7501  |
| 2001 Aerospace Parkway  | •  |  | E-/301  |
| Brook Park, Ohio 44142  |  |  |   |
| -<br>   |  |  |   |
| ). SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE  | ENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  | 1  |   |
| National Aeronautics and S  | nace Administration  |  |   |
| I ewis Research Center  | pare minimistration  |  | NASA CR_101072  |
| Cleveland. Ohio 44135 –3  | 191  |  | MASA CK-1710/2  |
|   |  |  |   |
| 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  | ······································   |  |   |
| Deviant Manager Dehart D-   | many Dower Technology Division   | NASA Lowis Dessent O   | enter (216) 422 6112 Decent   |
| for the 27th Intersociety Ere   | ray Conversion Engineering Conf  | , ITADA LOWIS RESEATCH U   | -112. repared   |
| for the 27th mitersociety Elle  | 257 Conversion Engineering Com   | Stone, Jan Diego, Camon  | nu, rugusi 5-1, 1772.   |
| 2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY   | STATEMENT  | 11   | 26. DISTRIBUTION CODE   |
|   |  |  | —   |
|   |  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited  |  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18   |  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18   |  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18   |  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word   | 15)  |  |   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o   | (18)<br>If the Moon and Mars will deper  | d on a reliable source of e  | electrical power. At NASA   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the   | (s)<br>of the Moon and Mars will dependent<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and  | d on a reliable source of e  | electrical power. At NASA power beaming was studied.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or   | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar ar<br>r solar powered satellites using r   | id on a reliable source of e<br>id martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi  | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Add   | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered  | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the  | fe)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depen<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. I  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>d martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the   | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using re<br>ditional surface assets, such as re<br>e additional mass of a receiver. He<br>e location of the surface receiver  | id on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin  | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using re-<br>ditional surface assets, such as re-<br>e additional mass of a receiver. He<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electric   | id on a reliable source of e<br>id martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This   |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the important   | te)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any additional and and additional and and and and and additional and   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>However, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | (a)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | (fs)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad-<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa  | ts)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | id on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | te)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | id on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa  | te)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>However, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | ta)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>d martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t  | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on the<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa   | fa)<br>of the Moon and Mars will depend<br>the feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on th<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa  | (14)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add  | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface of<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear of<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on th<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa  | te)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.  |
| <ul> <li>Unclassified - Unlimited<br/>Subject Category 18</li> <li>ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br/>Operations on the surface of<br/>Lewis Research Center, the<br/>Constellations of nuclear of<br/>equatorial surface base. Ad<br/>satellites, requiring only the<br/>system are dependent on the<br/>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br/>paper discusses the important</li> <li>SUBJECT TERMS<br/>Microwave; Laser; Power</li> </ul>      | te)<br>of the Moon and Mars will deper<br>e feasibility of powering lunar and<br>r solar powered satellites using r<br>ditional surface assets, such as r<br>e additional mass of a receiver. H<br>e location of the surface receiver<br>ing the power source of an electri<br>ant analyses results, and any add   | ad on a reliable source of e<br>d martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t  | Electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.<br>15. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>8<br>16. PRICE CODE<br>A02                                 |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on th<br>by up to 50 percent, by usin<br>paper discusses the importa<br>4. SUBJECT TERMS<br>Microwave; Laser; Power<br>7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION               | <ul> <li>fa)</li> <li>of the Moon and Mars will dependent of the Moon and Mars will dependent of the surface assets of the surface assets of the surface assets of the surface assets of the surface receiver. If the power source of an electric and the power source of an electric and the analyses results, and any additional surface assets are assets as the surface asset of the surface asset of the surface asset of the power source of an electric and the power source of an electric and the analyses results, and any additional surface asset of the surface asset of the surface asset of the power source of the power source</li></ul> | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain t   | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.<br>15. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>8<br>16. PRICE CODE<br>A02<br>ON 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC |
| Unclassified - Unlimited<br>Subject Category 18<br>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word<br>Operations on the surface o<br>Lewis Research Center, the<br>Constellations of nuclear or<br>equatorial surface base. Ad<br>satellites, requiring only the<br>system are dependent on th<br>by up to 50 percent, by usir<br>paper discusses the importa<br>14. SUBJECT TERMS<br>Microwave; Laser; Power<br>7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF REPORT | <ul> <li>fa)</li> <li>of the Moon and Mars will dependent of the Moon and Mars will dependent of the subscription of the surface assets, such as mean additional surface assets, such as mean additional mass of a receiver. If a location of the surface receiver and the power source of an electric and analyses results, and any additional analyses results, and any additionala</li></ul> | ad on a reliable source of e<br>ad martian surface sites by<br>nicrowave or laser transmi<br>overs, can also be powered<br>lowever, the actual mass a<br>r. The masses of the beam<br>c propulsion vehicle to po<br>itional issues that remain to<br>itional issues that remain to<br>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>OF ABSTRACT | electrical power. At NASA<br>power beaming was studied.<br>itters were designed to power an<br>d from the same orbiting<br>and power capabilities of the<br>power systems can be reduced<br>wer the beam system. This<br>inresolved.<br>15. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>8<br>16. PRICE CODE<br>A02<br>ON 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC |

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

. -----