10^{13} 171961

NASA Technical Memorandum **106219**

Acousto-Ultrasonic Analysis of Failure in Ceramic Matrix Composite Tensile Specimens

Harold E. Kautz *Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio*

and

Abhisak Chulya *Cleveland State University Cleveland, Ohio*

Prepared for the Second International Conference on Acousto-Ultrasonics sponsored by the American Society for Nondestructive Testing, Inc. Atlanta, Georgia, June 24-25, 1993

 $(NASA-TM-106219)$ ACOUSTO-ULTRASONIC ANALYSIS OF FAILURE IN CERAMIC MATRIX COMPOSITE TENSILE SPECIMENS (NASA) 10 p N93-29073 Unclas

> G3/38 **0171961**

ACOUSTO-ULTR.ASONIC ANALYSIS OF FAILURE IN CERAMIC MATRIX

COMPOSITE TENSILE **SPECIMENS**

Harold E. Kautz NationalAeronautics**and** Space Administration **Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 (216) 433--6015**

and

Abhisak **Chulya Cleveland State University Cleveland,** Ohio **44115**

ABSTRACT

Three types of acousto-ultrnsonic (AU) measurements: stress-wave **factor** (SWF), **lowest antisymmetric plate mode group** velocity **(3/8),** and lowest **symmetric** plate **mode group velocity** (VL), **were performed on** specimens **before and after** tensile **failure. Three different Nicalon fiber architectures with ceramic matrices were** tested. **These composites were categorized as 1D (unidirectional fiber orientation) SiC/CAS glass ceramic, and** 2D **and** 3D **woven SiC/SiC ceramic matrix** materials. **SWF was found to** be degraded **after** tensile **failure in** all **three** material **categories.** VS **was found** to **be degraded only in the 1D SiC/CAS. VL was difficult** to **determine on** the **irregular specimen surfaces but appeared unchanged on** all failed **specimens.** 3D **woven specimens with heat-treatment at high** temperature **exhibited degradation only in SWF.**

INTRODUCTION

The acousto-ultrasonic (AU) approach to nondestructive **characterization** has **been** shown to **be** useful **in** assessing **mechanical** properties in **composite** structures [1-7]. **This has been** achieved by **comparing** AU results **with models for** the propagation **of** ultrasound **in** composites as they relate to the material/mechanical state **of** the specimen. **The** understanding **gained** by this comparison has **lead** to useful **NDE** tools **for evaluating ceramic matrix composite** (CIVIC) **structures.**

In early work **[2]** the **stress-wave factor, SWF, calculated from AU signals, was used** to assess the **mechanical state of a** specimen. **In general, SWF a function of the magnitude** of **the** AU **signal recovered** at the receiver. SWF can also be the time decay of the signal. In the form of a ring-down count, this decay concept was **originally borrowed from acoustic** emission. **Recently, conditions have** been explored **where diffuse decay** rate measurements **can be used as a** more **detailed substitute for ring-down.**

More recently, [1,8,9], plate **wave** analysis has been **shown useful for characterizing composites in** terms **of** the **various** stiffness **moduli.**

This **work** examines **both** SWF **and plate wave** analysis of data **acquired with** the **AU configuration.** The **objective is** to assess **its** practicality **for monitoring changes due** to mechanical degradation **in** CMCs **with various fiber** architectures. **The** acousto-ultmsonic configuration **is** applied to **collect** data **on CMC tensile** specimens **of** three **different** fiber **layups.**

MATERIALS DESCRIPTION

The three ceramic matrix composite (CMC) systems investigated were unidirectional reinforced (ID) SiC **fiber/calcium aluminosilicate (CAS), 2D plain weave SiC fiber/SiC, and 3D woven SiC fiber/SiC overcoated composites. SiC/CAS CIVIC was fabricated by Coming Inc. and SiC/SiC systems were infiltrated by DuPont Co.** Nicalon SiC fibers with 40 percent volume were used in all three systems. Tensile tests were performed at room **temperature for 1D SiC/CAS and** 2D **SiC/SiC while** 3D **SiC/SiC tensile specimens were tested at 1200 and** 1400 °C for short term exposure in air. Two 3D SiC/SiC specimens were also heat-treated at 1200 and 1550 °C for 100 hr in air and then tensile-tested at room temperature. A detailed description of 3D fiber architecture and **composite fabrication is** reported **elsewhere [10].**

BASIS OF **EXPERIMENT**

Lowest mode plate **wave** velocities **respond** to **changes in stiffness and flexure moduli [8,9,11].** SWF will respond to changes in attenuation. A source of attenuation is the formation of matrix cracks in the failed specimens. These cracks may act as reflecting discontinuities. The increase in attenuation is not due to transforma**tion of ultrasonic energy to** another **form, but rather** a **result of scatter out of the beam.**

Plate wave velocity and SWF are global variables. One looks for an average value for the entire gauge region. **In** the **tensile failed specimen one may expect** the mechanical/material propezties **to vary as a function of position along** the **gauge length. In** the **case of plate waves, nonlinesrity of** the **plot of transducer** separation **versus pulse arrival time may** reflect **variation in velocity, and hence** modulus, **along** the **gauge** region **between** the **grip and** the **fracture surface.**

In **the case of SWF, diffuse field decay analysis has been shown applicable to** tensile **specimens [12-14]. However,** diffuse **field decay** may **be too global to** reflect **va_,'iations in attenuation along the gauge. Thus,** the **method of** moments **[15,16] is applied to AU signals collected over** sets **of relatively narrow transducer** separations **along** the **gauge. The stress-wave factor** is **defined as** the **centroid of the power** spectrum, **P(O:**

$$
SWF = \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega}^{z_2} P(f) * f * df}{\int_{\Omega}^{z_2} P(f) * df}\right]
$$
 (1)

In Eq. (1) , the variable f is frequency. In the present work we take the limit f1 as zero and f2 is the Nyquist frequency for the digitized time domain AU signal. The SWF defined in Eq. (1) is sensitive to changes in shape **of the spectrum** such **as might** be **produced** by **changes in attenuation.** *At* the **same** time, **it is** normalized **to minimize scatter due to surface coupling** effects.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Acousto-ultrasonic data collection and processing have been **described** earlier **[1,4,9]. Figure 1** shows **the AU configuration on a tensile** specimen **for plate wave** excitation and *conventional* **stress-wave** factor **determination.** Plate **waves were** excited and **received with pairs of 0.5 or 1.0 MHz transducers. SWF was determined using pairs of 2.25 MHz transducers. In all cases broad-band immersion transducers were used with** elastic coupling **pads.**

Measurements were performed on **undamaged and** failed **specimens. The** effect **of tensile** stress **to failure on** these three **types** of **CMCs is** examined **by comparing AU parameters** of the undamaged **to** that **of** the **failed specimen for** each **fiber architecture. All specimens had tensile grip reinforcements attached before AU**

measurement. For plate waves, it was found practical to couple the sending transducer to a grip while the receiver was moved over a range of positions on the gauge region. This is illustrated in Fig. 2. The slope of the transducer separation, s, versus pulse arrival time gives the mode velocity. Departure of this plot from linearity in a failed **specimen** may **be interpreted** as **a response of** the **particular plate mode to strain induced degradation. For** the **plate wave** measurements the **total force on** the **two** couplant **pads was 2.5 N.**

For SWF measurements, **both** transducers **were coupled** to the **gauge** region. **For** these **measurements** the transducer centerline separation, s, was constant at 1.908 cm. The total force on the two coupling pads was 12 N.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Centroid of the **Power Spectrum as Stress-Wave Factor**

Figure **3** shows **SWF data for** the 3D **woven, nonheat treated,** specimens **tested** at elevated temperature. The **centroid of the power spectrum, defined** in **Eq. (1), is plotted against** the **center line of** the receiving transducer position **where the wave form was collected. The open squares indicate + one** standard **deviation of values on** the **untested specimen. They were** taken at **positions along** the **gauge** region **from one end to** the **other.** The filled **squares** show **standard deviations for** data **on** the **failed specimen. These were taken at** positions starting **next** to **one grip and continuing up** to **the break. This SWF** shows **no gradient** along the **gauge** region **in** the **failed** specimens. Thus **no gradient in** matrix **crack density can be identified in** the **failed 3D ceramic composite.**

Average SWF **for** 1D **SiC/CAS,** 2D **SiC/SiC, and** 3D **SiC/SiC failed at elevated** temperatures **are compared** in **Fig.** 4. **In all** three **cases** the **centroid of** the **power spectrum** is **degraded to a lower frequency after failure.** This **is** taken **as an** increase **in attenuation due to** matrix **crack formation.** The **degradation** is **most pronounced** in the ID SiC/CAS.

It is evident that SWF **before** and **after** tensile tests should be **compared only within** the same **composite** system. **For example,** the highest **SWF values before** tensile test **were found** in the 1D **SiC/CAS. However** this **does** not relate **to** ability **to** withstand strain. **The 2D** and **3D** architectures possess **fibers** across the **ultrasound** path **which** produce the **greater** initial attenuation.

Shear Velocity **From** the First **Antiswnmetric Plate** Mode

Figures 5 to 7 exhibit representative sets **of lowest** antisymmetric **plate mode** pulse **arrival** times **for** the **1D** SiC/CAS, **2D SiC/SiC,** and **3D** SiC/SiC **failed** at **elevated** temperature **respectively. Slopes on** these plots are a measure **of velocity.** Significant **variation in** slope **from** point to point **might** be taken as indicating **variation of** shear stiffness along the **gauge. The** small **variations observed** here are probably scatter **in** the **data,** revealing no stiffness **gradient.**

Linear regression was performed on these data in order to **obtain** an **average** shear velocity **for** the **gauge region. Figure** 8 compares **undamaged** and **failed** specimen **velocities for** the three **fiber** architectures. This plot shows a **one** standard **deviation range for** each set. Although the **+ one** standard **deviation** intervals **overlap before** and after **for** each system, the 1D SiC/CAS shows **greatest** probability **of decreased** shear **velocity,** and hence shear stiffness **degradation** after **failure.**

Longitudinal Velocity From the First **Symmetric Plate** Mode

Figures 9 to **11 exhibit** representative sets **of lowest** symmetric plate mode **pulse** arrival times **for** the same composite specimens of the previous section. These data show much more scatter than the antisymmetric pulse data **of Figs.** 5 to 7. This was **caused by** the **very** nonplanar surfaces. One **expects** that surface nonsmoothness **of** this kind will tend to inhibit formation of plate wave pulses. Symmetric pulses are generally less robust than the **antisymmetric. In** the **present study** the **symmetric pulses were often difficult** to **window, thus leading to scatter in transit** time.

Figure 12 shows the regression **slope velocity data for all** three **systems. The 1D SiC/CAS and 3D SiC/SiC post failure data show no** change: **The** 2D **SiC/SiC post-failure longitudinal velocity data appears to be degraded. One observes** that **when longitudinal fracture** occurs **cracks tend** to **close up and effectively restore** *pre-stress* **longitudinal** modulus. **This is** the **case for longitudinal velocity.**

Response of SWF to **Heat Treatment of the** 3D **SiC/SiC Composite**

Two 3D SiC/SiC **specimens were heat-treated at** 1200 **or 1550 °C in air for 100 hr and then SWF values** were measured before tensile test. After tensile testing to failure at room temperature, SWF values were measured **again.** The results **are shown in Fig.** 13. **A progreasive degradation of centroid frequency occurs with higher heattreatment temperature.** The **optical micrographs [10] showed that in fact** there **were** more **microstmctuml changes** in specimens heat-treated at 1550 °C than specimens heat-treated at 1200 °C. SWF values after tensile tests reflected **degradation due to mechanical loading only if** compared **within** the **same specimen.** These **results are** consistent **with** those **of Fig. 4.**

CONCLUSIONS

Among the **three AU parameters studied as a function of** tensile **failure,** the **stress-wave factor was** to **be** the **most consistent** indicator **of** matrix **crack formation for all three composite systems. The stress-wave factor was calculated** as the **centroid frequency of** the **AU waveform.** The **shift of** the **centroid** to **lower frequency is a** manifestation **of** increased **ultrasonic attenuation** as **internal damage** progresses.

The advantage of centroid frequency over plate wave velocities is two fold. First, the centroid is directly **dependent on attenuation** caused **by matrix** cracking. **The velocities are** indirectly related through **changes** in **stiffness caused by** the **cracking. Second,** the **centroid frequency calculation was less** sensitive **to fiber caused surface irregularity** than **were** the **plate wave pulses. Surface irregularity was sufficient to cause ambiguity in specimen** thickness and **plate wave boundary conditions. It** may **be of value for** future **applications** to explore **optimization of coupling conditions** to **rough surfaces for plate wave pulsing.**

REFERENCES

- 1. **Kautz, H.E.; and Bhatt, R.T.: Ultrasonic Velocity Technique for Monitoring Property Changes in Fiber-Reinforced Ceramic Matrix** Composites. **NASA TM-103806,** 1991.
- **2. Vary, A.;** and **Bowles, K3.: Use of Ultrasonic Acoustic** Techniques **for Nondestructive Evaluation of Fiber Composite Strength. Proceedings of 33rd Annual Conference, Sect.** 24-A, **SPI, NY, 1978, pp. 1-5.**
- 3. **Williams, J.H.; Yuce, H.; and Lee,** S.S.: **Ultrasonic** and **Mechanical Characterization of** Fatigue States **of Graphite Epoxy Composite Laminates, Mater. Eval., vol. 40, no.** 5, **1982, pp.** 560-565.
- **4. Kautz, H.E., Ultrasonic Evaluation of Mechanical** Properties **of** Thick, **Mukilayered, Filament-Wound Composites,** Mater. **Eva/., vol. 45, Dec. 1987, pp. 1404-1412.**
- 5. **Kautz, H.E.; and Lerch, B.A.: Preliminary Investigation of Acousto-Ultrasonic Evaluation of Metal-Matrix** Composite **Specimens. Mater. EvaL, vol.** 49, May **1991, pp.** 607-612.
- 6.Vary,A.: Acousto-Ultrasonics. **Non-Destructive Testing of Fibre-Reinforced Plastic Composites, Vol.** 2, **Ch.** 1, **Elsevier Science Publishing Co., New York,** 1990, **pp.** 1-54.
- **7. Henneke, E.G., Jr.: Ultrasonic Nondestructive Evaluation** of Advanced **Composites, Non-Destructive Testing of Fibre-Reinforced** Plastic Composites. **Vol.** 2, **Ch. 2, Elsevier** Science **Publishing** Co., **New** York, 1990, pp. **55-160.**
- 8. **Tang, B.;** and **Henneke II, E.G.: Long Wavelength** Approximation **for Lamb Wave Characterization of Composite** Laminates. **Res. Nondestr. Eval., vol.** 1, no. 1, 1989, pp. **51-64.**
- **9.** Kautz, **H.E.: Detecting Lamb** Waves with **Broad-Band Acousto-Ultmsonic Signals** in **Composite Structures. NASA TM-105557,** 1992.
- 10. **Chulya,** A.; Gyekenyesi, **J.Z.;** and Gyekenyesi, **J.P.: Failure** Mechanisms **of 3D** Woven **SiC/SiC Composites Under Tensile** and **Flexural** *Loading* at **Room** and **Elevated Temperatures. Ceram. Eng.** Sci. Prec., **vol.** 13, **July-Aug.** 1992, pp. **420-432.**
- **11. Tang, B.; and Henneke** II, **E.G.: Lamb-Wave** Monitoring **of** Axial Stiffness **Reduction of Laminated Composite Plates. Mater. Eval., vol.** 47, Aug. 1989, pp. 928-934.
- **12. Weaver, R.L.: Diffuse Field Decay** Rates **For** Material **Characterization. Solid** Mechanics **Research for** Quantitative **Nondestructive Evaluation, J.D.** Achenbach and Y. **Rajapaskie, eds., Martinus Nijhoff** Publishers, 1987, pp. **425--434.**
- 13. **Lott, L.A.; and** Kunerth, **D.C.: NDE of Fiber-Matrix Bonds** and **Material** Damage in **Ceramic/Ceramic Composites. Presented** at the ASNT, Conference **on** Non-destructive **Evaluation of** Modem Ceramics, Columbus, OH, **July** 9-12, 1990.
- 14. **Kautz, H_E.,** Determinationof **Plate Wave Velocities** and Diffuse **Field Decay Rates With Broad-Band** Acousto-**Ultrasonic** Signals. **To** be presented at **the** ASNT **Conference on Nondestructive Evaluation of** Modern **Ceramics,** 1993.
- 15. Kieman, M.T.; **and** Duke J.C., Jr.: A Physical Model **for** the **Acousto-Ultrasonic** Method. **NASA CR-185294,** 1990, p. 40.
- 16. **Talreja, R.: Application of** Acousto-Ultrasonics to Quality **Control** and **Damage** *Assessment* **of Composites.** Aeousto-Ultrasonics: Theory and *Application,* J.C. *Duke,* Jr, **ed.,** Plenum Press, New York, 1988, pp. 177-190.

Figure 1 .--Acousto-ultrasoni¢ configuration employed for collecUng data. The centerline spacing between the transducers, S is a variable in these experiments.

Transducer coupling for unpulled tensile specimens Sender

Transducer coupling on failed tensile specimens Sender transducer

Figure 3.--Stress-wave factor data for two **3D SiC/SiC specimens. Tensile test was at elevated temperature. The specimen fracture is at the 6 cm location.**

Figure 5.--First antisyrnmetric plate mode data from 1D SiC/CAS.

Figure 6.--First antisymmetric plate mode data from 2D SiC/SiC specimen.

Figure 8.--First antisymmetric plate mode velocity for three fiber architectures.

Rgure 9._First symmetric plate mode data from 1D SiC/CAS specimens.

Figure 11 .--Rrst symmetric plate mode data from 3D SiC/SiC specimens failed at elevated temperatures.

Figure 10.--Rrst symmetric plate mode data from 2D SiC/SiC specimens.

Figure 12.--First symmetric plate mode velocity for three fiber architectures.

Figure 13.--Comperison stress-wave **factor values in 3D SiC/SiC specimens that were heat-treated at 1200 and 1550 °C in air, as well as no heat-treatment, and then failed at room temperature.**

Contract Contract Service \sim

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 \mathcal{I}^{\pm}

÷,

¥,

 11.1141 and 16.11

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

 $\overline{}$ ---

 $\ddot{}$

Standard Form 298 (Rev, 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102