View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk		brough	t to you by 🗴 CORE
		provided by NASA Te	chnical Reports Server
		IN-39	
NASA Technical Memor	andum 106215	1749=	20
	n an	////_	
		<u> </u>	
		1,6	····•· •
		7	• . • • • • • • •
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Deredo on Int	amal Stata Variablas	· _ · · · · · · · · · ·	
Bounds on Ind	smal State variables		
in Visconlastic	itv	-	
	energenet det en standen standen standen en se en en standen standen standen standen en standen standen standen		
an a	a deferit da la calleradordad of calca adda fra caldadader e a da calleradar a calca adda callerada da calcada A da calcada e calcada a callerada calcada e calcada e calcada e calcada e calcada da calcada e calcada e calcad		
n na ser en la subser a la subser a la subser en	สมริสาทศ มีที่สุด มิวิภาณร์ (20 กับมากรับการมีการสารกับการ	The sets of the set of the set of the	. S. President Linkster P. H.
	se a service de la companya de la c	·····	······································
Alan D. Freed	na senten ander ander ander ander ander ander en ander en sollten under ander ander ander ander ander ander and Ander ander ande	fan jern 1937 - Anne September State (* 1988)	Standin - 19 19 Sana Star Blanch Star Star Star
Lewis Research Center		· · · · ·	······································
Cleveland, Ohio	······································		
			international de la companya de la c
BARANCIALS SCALED AND COMPANY AND		n an an an tha an ann an a stà Nach ann an	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<u> </u>	AND MARCH MAR
Prepared for			
Plasticity 1993		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································
sponsored by the Univer	sity of Maryland		
Baltimore, Maryland, Ju	ly 19–23, 1993		
	(NASA_TM-106215) BOUNDS ON		N93-29196
·····	INTERNAL STATE VARIABLES IN		
	VISCOPLASTICITY (NASA) 6 P		linclas
	· •		0.00100
		G3/39	0174936
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ă -		
d	- 		₹1 X X

. ____.

a a ser a

ne mananan alamas an mananan an laginal an manan ferendra di isan manan di ak

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

and the sum of the second s

____**t**

. -----

BOUNDS ON INTERNAL STATE VARIABLES IN VISCOPLASTICITY

Alan D. Freed National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Abstract- A typical viscoplastic model will introduce up to three types of internal state variables in order to properly describe transient material behavior; they are: the back stress, the yield stress, and the drag strength. Different models employ different combinations of these internal variables—their selection and description of evolution being largely dependent on application and material selection. Under steady-state conditions, the internal variables cease to evolve and therefore become related to the external variables (stress and temperature) through simple functional relationships. A physically motivated hypothesis is presented that links the kinetic equation of viscoplasticity with that of creep under steady-state conditions. From this hypothesis one determines how the internal variables relate to one another at steady state, but most importantly, one obtains bounds on the magnitudes of stress and back stress, and on the yield stress and drag strength.

EVOLUTION OF INELASTICITY

The evolution of plastic strain, $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}^{p}$, in the classical theory of creep (ODQVIST [1974]) is given by

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{p}\Big|_{ss} = \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{\varepsilon}^{p}\|_{ss} \frac{S_{ij}}{\|\mathbf{S}\|} , \qquad (1)$$

with the subscript 'ss' implying steady state, and where $\|\dot{\varepsilon}^p\|$ denotes the magnitude of the plastic strainrate, and $\|S\|$ denotes the magnitude of the deviatoric stress, S_{ij} . The subscript signifying steady-state is not attached to S_{ij} because stress is a controllable variable, whereas, creep-rate is a response variable and hence its attachment. This equation states that an increment in creep strain accumulates in the current direction of the deviatoric stress. A dot is placed over a variable to signify its time rate-of-change.

The evolution of plastic strain in viscoplasticity (PRAGER [1949]) is defined as

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{p} = \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{\varepsilon}^{p}\| \frac{S_{ij} - B_{ij}}{\|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{B}\|} , \qquad (2)$$

where B_{ij} is the back stress which represents the anisotropic aspect of internal stress. This equation states that an increment in plastic strain accumulates in the direction of an effective stress, $S_{ij} - B_{ij}$.

The norms, or magnitudes, pertaining to the deviatoric tensors of this paper are defined by

$$\|\mathbf{I}\| = \sqrt{2 I_{ij} I_{ij}}$$
 and $\|\mathbf{J}\| = \sqrt{1/2 J_{ij} J_{ij}}$, (3)

where I_{ij} is any deviatoric 'strain-like' tensor, and J_{ij} is any deviatoric 'stress-like' tensor. These are the norms of VON MISES [1913], where the coefficients under the radical signs scale the theory for shear.

KINETICS OF EVOLUTION

In the theory of creep, $\|\dot{\varepsilon}^p\|_{ss}$ is described by a kinetic equation, *i.e.* an equation of state. ZENER & HOLLOMON [1944] determined that such a kinetic equation can, to a good approximation, be decomposed into a product of two functions; in particular, at steady state

$$\|\dot{\varepsilon}^{p}\|_{ss} = \vartheta[T] Z_{ss} \left[\frac{\|\mathbf{S}\|}{C} \right] \ge 0 , \qquad (4)$$

where $\vartheta > 0$ is a thermal function, $Z \ge 0$ is the Zener parameter, and C > 0 is a strength parameter that normalizes the stress. The Zener parameter is a temperature normalized measure of the plastic strain-rate. Square brackets, [·], are used throughout this paper to denote 'function of', and are therefore kept logically separate from parentheses, (·), which are used for mathematical groupings.

The kinetics of viscoplasticity are also taken to be described by a ZENER & HOLLOMON [1944] decomposition of state, viz. (FREED et al. [1991])

$$\|\dot{\varepsilon}^{p}\| = \vartheta[T] Z\left[\left\langle \frac{\|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{B}\| - Y}{D} \right\rangle\right] \ge 0, \qquad (5)$$

where the Macauley bracket, $\langle (||\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{B}|| - Y)/D \rangle$, has either a value of 0 whenever $||\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{B}|| < Y$ (defining the elastic domain), or a value of $(||\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{B}|| - Y)/D$ whenever $||\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{B}|| > Y$ (defining the viscoplastic domain), with $||\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{B}|| = Y$ establishing the yield surface. The internal state variables— B_{ij} , D and Y—are described by evolution equations that are functions of state. Remarkably, details of their evolution are not required in order for us to bound their values, with one exception, the back stress at steady state must be coaxial with the deviatoric stress so that the directions of plastic strain-rate defined by Eqns (1 & 2) are equivalent at steady state.

BOUNDS ON INTERNAL STATE

In order for a viscoplastic theory to reduce analytically to creep theory when at steady state (*i.e.* when $\dot{B} = 0$, $\dot{D} = 0$ and $\dot{Y} = 0$ for $||\dot{\varepsilon}^p|| > 0$) two conditions must be satisfied. First, the back stress at steady state must be coaxial with the deviatoric stress, as stated above. And second, it is necessary that the kinetics of viscoplasticity reduce analytically to the kinetics of creep under steady-state conditions. To satisfy this second constraint, I hypothesize relationships between the steady-state and transient Zener parameters, and between the internal and external variables, when at steady state (FREED & WALKER [1990]).

In support of the second constraint, given to secure equivalence between creep and viscoplasticity when at steady state, I shall suppose that (FREED & WALKER [1993])

$$Z \equiv Z_{ss} \left[\left\langle \frac{\|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{B}\| - Y}{D} \right\rangle \right] \,. \tag{6}$$

This relationship implies that the transient Zener parameter, Z, has the same functional form as the steadystate Zener parameter, Z_{ss} , but with a different argument.

Furthermore, to also support this second constraint, I shall suppose that -

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|_{\to} = f \iota_{ss}[\|\mathbf{S}\|] \|\mathbf{S}\| \quad , \quad D_{ss} = D_0 + \delta \|\mathbf{S}\| \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{ss} = (1 - f)\iota_{ss}[\|\mathbf{S}\|] \|\mathbf{S}\| \quad , \tag{7}$$

where $\iota_{ss} > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are the steady-state fractions of applied stress that are associated with the internal stress (*i.e.* the back and yield stresses) and the drag strength, respectively, such that $0 < \iota < 1$. The parameter f partitions the internal stress between isotropic and kinematic contributions, such that 0 < f < 1. The fact that the drag strength is taken to be proportional to the applied stress at saturation is consistent with TAYLOR'S [1934] concept of a material's innate strength to resist plastic flow, *i.e.* D is a strength parameter—not a stress parameter. We take the internal stress to be a nonlinear function of the applied stress at saturation because that is what the experimental data of ARGON & TAKEUCHI [1981] and ČADEK [1987] suggest.

Because the applied stress and the back stress must be coaxial at steady state, as discussed above, it follows that

$$\|\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{B}\|_{ss} = \|\mathbf{S}\| - \|\mathbf{B}\|_{ss} \,. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, upon equating arguments of the Zener parameters given in Eqns (4 & 5) in accordance with the hypothesis of Eqn. (6), while utilizing Eqns (7 & 8), one obtains the result

$$\iota_{ss} = \frac{C - D_0 - \delta ||\mathbf{S}||}{C} = \frac{C - D_0 + \sqrt{(C - D_0)^2 - 4\delta C(||\mathbf{B}||_{ss} + Y_{ss})}}{2C} \,. \tag{9}$$

If one uses Eqn. (7) and writes $\|\mathbf{B}\|_{ss} + Y_{ss} = \iota_{ss}[\|\mathbf{S}\|] \|\mathbf{S}\|$, then from Eqn. (9) one determines that $\|\mathbf{B}\|_{ss} + Y_{ss} = (C - D_0 - \delta \|\mathbf{S}\|) \|\mathbf{S}\|/C$. Because $\partial(\|\mathbf{B}\|_{ss} + Y_{ss})/\partial \|\mathbf{S}\| = 0$ establishes the maximum state of internal stress, one is lead to the result

$$\|\mathbf{S}\|_{max} = \frac{C - D_0}{2\,\delta} \,. \tag{10}$$

Substituting this relationship back into Eqns (7 & 9) gives the additional upper bounds for: the back stress,

$$\|\mathbf{B}\|_{max} = f \, \frac{(C - D_0)^2}{4\,\delta\,C} \,, \tag{11}$$

the drag strength,

$$D_{max} = \frac{1}{2} \left(C + D_0 \right) \,, \tag{12}$$

and the yield stress,

$$Y_{max} = (1 - f) \frac{(C - D_0)^2}{4 \,\delta \,C} \,. \tag{13}$$

It is a remarkable fact that one can bound the stress and internal state variables without specifying the details of how these internal variables evolve. Similar bounds are given in FREED & WALKER [1993] for the case where the internal stress is composed of short- and long-range back stresses with no yield stress.

Restricting ι_{ss} to be real valued, and considering ι_{min} to be associated with the maximum attainable magnitude of internal stress, one finds that on approaching the limit of zero stress the ratio of internal stress to applied stress at steady state is at its maximum, *i.e.*

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{S}\|\to 0} \iota_{ss} \equiv \iota_{max} = \frac{C - D_0}{C} \simeq 1 , \qquad (14)$$

which is in reasonable agreement with the experimental observations of ARGON & TAKEUCHI [1981] and ČADEK [1987]. Approaching the limit of maximum stress, this ratio attains its minimum, *i.e.*

$$\lim_{\|\mathbf{S}\| \to \|\mathbf{S}\|_{max}} \iota_{ss} \equiv \iota_{min} = \frac{C - D_0}{2C} \simeq \frac{1}{2},$$
(15)

which is in reasonable agreement with the experimental observations of LOWE & MILLER [1983] and ARGON & BHATTACHARYA [1987].

To be physically meaningful, $||\mathbf{B}|| \ge 0$, D > 0 and $Y \ge 0$. Furthermore, their steady-state values ought to increase monotonically with increasing stress (FREED and WALKER, 1990). This is verified easily for my hypothesis, Eqns (6, 7 & 8), as long as $0 \le ||\mathbf{B}|| \le ||\mathbf{B}||_{max}$, $D_0 \le D \le D_{max}$ and $0 \le Y \le Y_{max}$.

REFERENCES

- 1913 VON MISES, R., "Mechanik der festen Körper im plastisch-deformablen Zustand," Nachr. Ges. Wiss., Goettingen, Math. Phys. Kl., 582.
- 1934 TAYLOR, G.I., "The Mechanism of Plastic Deformation of Crystals," Proc. Roy. Soc., London, A 145, 362.
- 1944 ZENER, C. and HOLLOMON, J.H., "Effect of Strain Rate Upon Plastic Flow of Steel," J. Appl. Phys., 15, 22.
- 1949 PRAGER, W., "Recent Developments in the Mathematical Theory of Plasticity," J. Appl. Phys., 20, 235.
- 1974 Odqvist, F.K.G., Mathematical Theory of Creep and Creep Rupture, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, London.
- 1981 ARGON, A.S. and TAKEUCHI, S., "Internal Stresses in Power-Law Creep," Acta Metall., 29, 1877.
- 1983 LOWE, T.C. and MILLER, A.K., "The Nature of Directional Strain Softening," Scripta Metall., 17, 1177.
- 1987 ARGON, A.S. and BHATTACHARYA, A.K., "Primary Creep in Nickel: Experiments and Theory," Acta Metall., 35, 1499.
- 1987 ČADEK, J., "The Back Stress Concept in Power Law Creep of Metals: A Review," Mater. Sci. Engrg., 94, 79.
- 1990 FREED, A.D. and WALKER, K.P., "Steady-State and Transient Zener Parameters in Viscoplasticity: Drag Strength versus Yield Strength," (NASA TM-102487) Appl. Mech. Rev., 43, (No. 5, Pt. 2), pp. S328-S337.
- 1991 FREED, A.D., CHABOCHE, J.-L. and WALKER, K.P., "A Viscoplastic Theory with Thermodynamic Considerations," Acta Mech., 90, 155.
- 1993 FREED, A.D. and WALKER, K.P., "Viscoplasticity With Creep and Plasticity Bounds," Int. J. Plast., 9, 213.

. . .

.

- *

,

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden existing and any other aspect of this collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson					
Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED		
	June 1993				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		·). FUNDING NUMBENJ		
Bounds on Internal State Va	riables in Viscoplasticity		WW 505 (2 5)		
6. AUTHOR(S)	······································		WU-505-63-5A		
Alan D. Freed					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Lewis Research Center			E-7924		
Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191					
A SPONSOPING/HONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10			0. SPONSORING/MONITORING		
3. SPUNSUNING/MUNITURING AGENCE NAME (0) ALL ALL DECLES			AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
National Aeronautics and Space Administration			NASA TM-106215		
Washington, D.C. 20546-0001			1170/1 111-100/21J		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Drepared for Disotisity 1002	sponsored by the University of	Maryland Baltimore M	arvland. July 19–23, 1993.		
Responsible person. Alan D	Freed, (216) 433-8747.	mary rand, Bartiniore, M			
128. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	1	25. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
Unclassified - Unlimited					
Subject Category 39					
13. ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 word)	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
A typical viscoplastic model will introduce up to three types of internal state variables in order to properly describe					
transient material behavior; they are: the back stress, the yield stress, and the drag strength. Different models employ					
different combinations of th	ese internal variables – their sele	ction and description of e	volution being largely dependent on		
application and material selection. Under steady-state conditions, the internal variables cease to evolve and therefore					
become related to the external variables (stress and temperature) inrough simple functional relationships. A physically motivated hypothesis is presented that links the kinetic equation of visconlasticity with that of creep under steady-state					
conditions. From this hypothesis one determines how the internal variables relate to one another at steady state, but most					
importantly, one obtains bounds on the magnitudes of stress and back stress, and on the yield stress and drag strength.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
Creep analysis; Viscoplasticity; Stress-strain relationships			16. PRICE CODE		
	-	<u></u>	AUZ		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICA OF ABSTRACT	TION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified			
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)		

Ŧ

.

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102