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## Introduction

A new hypersonic test facility which can simulate conditions typical of atmospheric flight at Mach numbers up to 20 is currently under study at the NASA/LaRC Hypersonic Propulsion Branch. In the proposed research it was suggested that a combustion augmented electrothermal wind tunnel concept may be applied to the planned hypersonic testing facility. The purpose of the current investigation is to evaluate some candidate working fluid formulations which may be used in the chemical-electrothermal wind tunnel.

## Work Done

The efforts in the initial phase of this research were concentrated on acquiring the code used by GASL to model the electrothermal wind tunnel and testing it using the conditions of GASL simulation. The early version of the general chemical kinetics code (GCKP84) was obtained from NASA and the latest updated version of the code (LSENS) was obtained from the author Dr. Bittker. Both codes are installed on a personal computer with a 48625 MHz processor and 16 Mbyte RAM. Since the available memory was not sufficient to debug LSENS, for the current work GCKP84 was used.

The Effect of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions: As a first step we tried to reproduce the results obtained by GASL for air-argon mixtures. For this purpose the GASL kinetic and thermodynamic data provided in reference (1) are used. Although kinetic constants for reactions involving $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (reactions 6 and 7 in the GASL table) were given in Table 7 of reference (1), it was indicated in the report that these reactions were not considered in the computations. Private communication with O. F. Rizkalla confirmed this and the reason given for the exclusion of these reactions were the unavailability of high temperature thermodynamic data and the expectation that their influence on the test gas composition will be negligible.

We have obtained high temperature thermodynamic data for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$
and several other species of importance to our analysis ${ }^{2}$. The data obtained contains two sets of coefficients for the ranges 200 to 1000 K and 1000 to $10,000 \mathrm{~K}$. For some species the upper limit is $20,000 \mathrm{~K}$. Since GASL has used three temperature interval fit for their thermodynamic data, the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ data could not be incorporated to their thermodynamic data, and the McBride data was used in our studies as a complete set.

The initial conditions for the reactive nozzle flow were taken from Table 6 of reference (1). A $5^{\circ}$ nozzle with the specified throat area was used. The nozzle area, $A$ in $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$, is given by

$$
A=0.0240466 x^{2}+0.198988 x+0.41166
$$

In our simulations the reactions and accompanying rate data given in Table 7 of the GASL study ${ }^{1}$ are used. For some runs the kinetic data for reaction 6 was replaced by the data from a test case supplied by Dr. Bittker with LSENS ${ }^{3}$, and the results shown in the second row were obtained using the high temperature kinetic data for reactions 6 and 7 reported by Jachimowski ${ }^{4}$. The result of our simulations are compared with the GASL results ${ }^{1}$ in Table 1.

Considering the fact that the GASL results are read from a graph, the $N O, O$, and $O_{2}$ mole fractions have been reproduced by using both the GASL thermodynamic data and the McBride data. In fact, McBride Thermodynamic data appears to produce results closer to those of GASL. The only major discrepancy between our simulations and the GASL results is the nozzle length required to produce an exit Mach number of 16 . The nozzle length from Figure 16 of reference 1 is about 8.9 ft while our simulations indicate a nozzle length of about 8.7 m .

The first 6 rows of the table show the effect of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ kinetics on the composition of the gas in the nozzle. The main effect of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reactions is on the oxygen atom concentration. When these reactions are excluded from the calculations the oxygen atom mole fraction at the nozzle exit is $1.24 \mathrm{E}-2$ while with the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reactions the oxygen atom mole fraction falls below $7.25 \mathrm{E}-5$ for all rate
data. The effect of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reactions on the $N O$ mole fraction is not that significant. The No mole fraction is $4.84 \mathrm{E}-2$ when the reactions are excluded and about $5.6 \mathrm{E}-2$ when they are included.

Table 1. Effect of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reactions on the test gas composition with air as the working fluid.

| Reaction <br> Information | Conditions at 800 cm ( $Y_{i}$ in mole frac.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $Y_{\text {NO }}$ | $Y_{0}$ | $Y_{02}$ | $\mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions Included GASL Reaction Data | 271.66 | 5.592E-2 | 5.625E-7 | 0.1813 | 15.367 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions Included Data from Jachimowski | 270.90 | 5.604E-2 | $7.253 E-5$ | 0.1813 | 15.388 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions Included Bittker's Data for 6 | 267.04 | $5.648 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $6.883 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.1811 | 15.477 |
| Reaction 7 Excluded GASL Data for 6 | 322.81 | $3.653 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $2.715 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.1782 | 14.131 |
| Reaction 7 Excluded <br> Bittker's Data for 6 | 291.57 | $3.352 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.387 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.1777 | 14.910 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions Excluded McBride Thermo Data | 263.20 | 4.838E-2 | $1.246 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.1778 | 15.505 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions Excluded GASL Thermo Data | 260.8 | $5.106 E-2$ | $1.067 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.1776 | 15.55 |
| GASL Results at Nozzle Exit |  | $4.75 \mathrm{E}-2$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.173 | 16.0 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Reactions excluded McBride Data-Exit Cond. | 247.44 | $4.838 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 1.244E-2 | 0.1778 | 16.00 |

simulation Conditions and Procedure: Results have been obtained at Mach 16 free jet conditions for air, air containing 10\% ammonia, air containing water equivalent to the hydrogen in $10 \%$ ammonia mixture, and a mixture obtained by replacing some nitrogen in air by ammonia to give $10 \%$ ammonia. Computations for air and air $+10 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixtures were done for 5 and 10 degree nozzles while for the others only a 5 degree nozzle was used. The total enthalpy was
$3159.5 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$ and the mass flow rate was $13302 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s}$ for all computations. The specified total enthalpy is assumed to be provided by electrical energy input to the feed gas mixture. The mixing chamber pressure was 4690 atm. A lower pressure, 2554 atm , was also tried for air and air $+10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture. These values were chosen to keep the conditions used in this study similar to the conditions of the GASL study. In all computations reactions involving $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ were included and the rate data reported by Jachimowski ${ }^{(4)}$ were used for these reactions. For all the other reactions GASL kinetics ${ }^{(1)}$ were employed.

The computation procedure was as follows:

- Find the initial mixture temperature to give the desired total enthalpy in the mixing chamber. Chemical and thermal equilibrium was assumed to exist in the mixing chamber. The equilibrium conditions and gas composition were found using the equilibrium combustion option of the GCKP.
- Pressure and temperature at the throat are calculated from the reservoir conditions found in the first step using

$$
p^{*}=p_{0}\left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \quad T^{*}=T_{0}\left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)
$$

Gas composition at the throat was assumed to be the same as that in the mixing chamber.

- The gas velocity was adjusted to give an initial Mach
number slightly above 1 (usually around 1.025). In some cases, due to the reactions taking place, just after the throat, temperature increases and Mach number decreases and the flow is choked not at the throat but at some distance from it in the expanding section of the nozzle. This necessitates starting Mach numbers even as high as 1.16 at the throat.
- Adjust the throat area to give the desired mass flow rate.

Results: The results are shown in Figures 1 through 9. In each figure the first chart shows the variation of gas composition up to an axial distance of 1000 cm while the second chart covers the region up to an axial distance of 500 cm and is a blown up version of the first one. The results indicate that for the conditions specified, the flow in the nozzle is essentially frozen beyond 500 cm and for this reason the flow conditions and gas compositions at 500 cm are summarized in Table 2.

The conclusions derived from Table 2 can be summarized as follows:

- The addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to air decreases the Mach number at the nozzle exit. This is mainly due to smaller $\gamma$ values of these mixtures. To achieve Mach 16 at the nozzle exit the expansion angle of the nozzle after about 300 cm from the throat should be increased gradually. An initial section with 5 degree expansion is necessary to preserve the high rate of
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Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing chamber pressure 2554 atm. Air feed
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Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 2254 atm.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 2554 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 2554 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm. Air feed.
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Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing chamber pressure 4690 atm.
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Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{0}$ nozzle. Mixing chamber pressure 4690 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.
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Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm. Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gas composition during reactive flow through } 5^{\circ} \text { nozzle. Mixing } \\
& \text { chamber pressure } 4690 \mathrm{~atm} \text {. Feed is air }+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { equivalent to } \mathrm{H} \text { in } \\
& 10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 6a

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing chamber pressure 4690 atm . Some $N_{2}$ in air replaced to give $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in the feed.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm . Some $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in air replaced to give $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
in the feed.
Figure 7a

Gas composition during reactive flow through $10^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing chamber pressure 4690 atm . Air feed.

Gas composition during reactive flow through 10 nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm .
Figure 8a


$$
\text { Gas composition during reactive flow through } 10^{\circ} \text { nozzle. Mixing }
$$

chamber pressure 4690 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $10^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in air.

Gas composition during reactive flow through $5^{\circ}$ nozzle. Mixing
chamber pressure 4690 atm . Feed is $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in oxygen-enriched air.
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Table 2. Summary of results for reactive nozzle flows for various gas compositions. Ma $=$ Mach Number, $Y_{i}=$ mole fraction of species i.

| Gas composition | Air |  |  | Air $+10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |  |  | Some $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in air replaced by $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to give $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | air $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ equivalent to H in $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ (atm) | $\underline{2254}$ | 4690 | 4690 | 2254 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 |
| $\theta$ (deg .) | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Conditions at 500 cm from nozzle throat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P (atm) | . 0076 | . 0062 | . 0009 | . 0107 | . 0087 | . 0012 | . 0086 | . 0091 |
| T (K) | 636.5 | 517.6 | 320.8 | 863.9 | 703.4 | 409.7 | 714.2 | 749.1 |
| Ma | 10.18 | 11.37 | 14.45 | 8.89 | 9.91 | 12.95 | 9.85 | 9.68 |
| $\gamma$ | 1.375 | 1.386 | 1.399 | 1.337 | 1.353 | 1.384 | 1.352 | 1.346 |
| $y_{0}$ | . 0174 | . 0050 | . 0070 | . 0033 | . 0013 | . 0019 | . 0014 | . 0019 |
| $y_{\text {no }}$ | . 0500 | . 0535 | . 0570 | . 0333 | . 0347 | . 0381 | . 0376 | . 0434 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{02}$ | . 1740 | . 1797 | . 1753 | . 0953 | . 0942 | . 0932 | . 1129 | . 1578 |
| $y_{11}$ |  |  |  | . 0086 | . 0034 | . 0059 | . 0033 | . 0034 |
| $Y_{0+1}$ |  |  |  | 5.9E-4 | 2.9E-4 | 2.0E-4 | 3.0E-4 | 3.5E-4 |
| $Y_{12}$ |  |  |  | . 0036 | . 0018 | . 0028 | . 0016 | . 0014 |
| $Y_{\text {m2o }}$ |  |  |  | . 1363 | . 1416 | . 1357 | . 1419 | . 1385 |
| $y_{0} / y_{02}$ | . 1000 | . 0278 | . 0399 | . 0346 | . 0138 | . 0204 | . 0124 | . 0120 |
| $y_{\text {ro }} / y_{02}$ | . 2874 | . 2977 | . 3252 | . 3494 | . 3684 | . 4088 | . 3330 | . 2750 |

- As expected, a larger nozzle expansion angle freezes the reactions earlier. As a consequence, the mole fractions of 0 , NO, and H are larger while those of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are smaller when the flow is expanded faster. The $O H$ mole fraction is smaller for 10 degree expansion. The most significant changes are observed for the 0 mole fraction in pure air and $H$ mole fraction in air containing $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
- When column 2 is compared to column 5 it is seen that an original gas mixture containing $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ results in a test gas
with significantly lower $O$ and No mole fractions at the expense of having some H and OH in the test gas. The H mole fraction is over an order of magnitude smaller than that of NO and the OH mole fraction is over an order of magnitude smaller than that of H . Since the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mole fractions are different, to obtain a better comparison, $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratios are given in rows 15 and 16 , respectively. These entries indicate that although the addition of ammonia to air is effective in reducing the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratio, it increases the $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratio due to the presence of a larger number of N atoms.
- Since some air in the feed gas is replaced by $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in the $10 \%$ ammonia containing feed, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mole fraction in the test gas for this case is much lower and the number of $N$ atoms present is much higher than with pure air feed. To obtain a better comparison, the results for a feed gas in which some nitrogen is replaced by ammonia to give $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, are given in column 7 . Even in this case the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mole fraction is lower than with pure air feed because some oxygen is used to form water. The $0 / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratio is lower than that obtained with pure air feed and the $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratio is only slightly higher. There was a significant improvement over the $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ feed. The H and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ mole fractions are also lower with only insignificant increases in OH and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Since the OH concentration continues to decrease up to the nozzle exit unlike the other species whose concentrations are frozen after about 500 cm after the nozzle throat, it is expected that the $O H$ mole fraction in the test gas will be lower than the value reported in Table 2 .
- To see if the beneficial effect of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is due to the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ formed, the results obtained for a gas feed containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ equivalent to H in the $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ feed are included in column 8 of Table 2. These results show that the feed containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gives the best $0 / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratios. Even the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mole fractions are lower than those in column 6 with only slight increases in H and OH .

Table 3. Summary of results for reactive nozzle flows for various gas compositions. Mixing chamber pressure 4690 atm, nozzle expansion 5 degrees. Ma $=$ Mach number, $y_{i}=$ mole fraction of species i.

| Gas <br> composi- <br> tion | Air | Some $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ in air replaced <br> by $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to give $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ <br> $+.08 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ per mol | Air $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ <br> equivalent to H in <br> $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{0}(\mathrm{~atm})$ | 4690 | 4690 | 4690 |
| $\theta(\operatorname{deg})$. | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Conditions at 500 cm from nozzle
throat

| $p$ (atm) | . 00618 | . 00891 | . 00911 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T (K) | 517.59 | 732.05 | 749.08 |
| Ma | 11.37 | 9.759 | 9.678 |
| Y | 1.3856 | 1.3483 | 1.3462 |
| $y_{0}$ | . 004958 | . 001811 | . 001924 |
| $y_{* 0}$ | . 053517 | . 045193 | . 043374 |
| $y_{02}$ | . 179664 | .171333 | .157830 |
| $y_{\mu}$ |  | . 002975 | . 003408 |
| $Y_{\text {OH }}$ |  | . 000324 | . 000354 |
| $y_{H 2}$ |  | . 001204 | . 001430 |
| $y_{\text {H2O }}$ |  | .132001 | .138533 |
| $y_{0} / y_{02}$ | . 027596 | .010570 | . 012190 |
| $Y_{\text {mo }} / Y_{02}$ | . 297873 | .263773 | . 274815 |

- To compare the results for feed gas compositions giving similar $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mole fractions in the test gas, results were also obtained for a feed gas containing $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and enriched in oxygen to give an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mole fraction similar to that in the test gas obtained with pure air. These results are presented in column 2 of Table 3 and compared with some of the results from Table 2. These results indicate that better $O / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratios can be obtained with oxygen enriched air containing 10\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ than air containing water in an amount containing same number of H atoms as in the $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ mixture. In addition, the
mole fractions of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are all lower with the $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ containing enriched air.


## Conclusions

The results shown above indicate that the addition of nitrogen and hydrogen-containing compounds to oxygen-enriched air can improve the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ratios and can have lower $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mole fractions than with feed gases containing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. It appears that the liquid propellant $\operatorname{HAN}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ may even be a better additive than $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ due to its lower $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{H}$ ratio and high oxygen content. Other propellants such as HMX, RMX, and HNTO will produce some $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ which will reduce the specific heat ratio (thus improving the required stagnation conditions) and increase the rates of recombination reactions in the nozzle. The presence of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the test gas may partially counteract the effects of $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}$, and OH during combustion tests.
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