CASE FILE

COPY

### NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

**TECHNICAL NOTE 2218** 

#### DIFFUSION OF CHROMIUM IN & COBALT-CHROMIUM

#### SOLID SOLUTIONS

By John W. Weeton

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio

Washington November 1950

#### NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

#### TECHNICAL NOTE 2218

#### DIFFUSION OF CHROMIUM IN a COBALT-CHROMIUM

#### SOLID SOLUTIONS

#### By John W. Weeton

#### SUMMARY

Diffusion of chromium in a cobalt-chromium solid solutions was investigated in the range 0 to 40 atomic percent at temperatures of  $1360^{\circ}$ ,  $1300^{\circ}$ ,  $1150^{\circ}$ , and  $1000^{\circ}$  C. The diffusion coefficients were found to be relatively constant within the composition range covered by each specimen.

The activation heat of diffusion was determined to be 63,600 calories per mole. This value agrees closely with the value of 63,400 calories per mole calculated by means of the Dushman-Langmuir equation. The exponential equation relating diffusion coefficients D to temperature T is as follows:

### $D = 0.443 e^{-\frac{63,600}{RT}}$

where R is the gas constant.

When compared with the diffusion data previously obtained by other investigators for most alloy systems, the diffusion rates of chromium in a cobalt-chromium were found to be low; considerably higher temperatures were required to produce diffusion coefficients of the same order of magnitude as were previously found for most substitutional alloys. This behavior is congruous with the fact that cobalt-chromium based alloys such as Haynes Stellite Alloy No. 21 have good high-temperature characteristics.

Chromium diffusivity from a cobalt-chromium alloys into pure cobalt is greater than chromium diffusivity from high-chromium a-alloys to low-chromium a-alloys for all concentration gradients studied.

#### INTRODUCTION

Several important high-temperature alloys (Haynes Stellite Alloy No. 21, X-40, 61, and 422-19) consist primarily of cobalt and chromium; for example, Haynes Stellite Alloy Nc. 21 has been one of the most extensively used turbojet-blade alloys in the United States. Because cobalt-chromium based alloys are important and because diffusion controls many reactions within solid metals, an investigation was conducted at the NACA Lewis laboratory to determine the diffusion coefficients of chromium into  $\alpha$  cobalt-chromium solid solutions, to determine the dependence of these coefficients on concentration, and to evaluate the basic constants Q and A in the exponential equation relating temperature and diffusion (reference 1)

$$D = A e^{-\frac{Q}{RT}}$$

where

A constant,  $(cm^2/sec)$ 

D diffusion coefficient,  $(cm^2/sec)$ 

Q activation heat of diffusion, (cal/mole)

R gas constant,  $(cal/mole/^{\circ}K)$ 

T temperature,  $(^{O}K)$ 

The method employed consisted in pressure-welding cobalt and cobalt-chromium bars unlike in composition, annealing these joined bars at constant temperatures to cause an interdiffusion of atoms, and determining the distribution of chromium through the diffusion zones thus formed by machining and chemically analyzing several successive turnings through this zone.

Diffusion coefficients were determined for ll specimens at the nominal temperatures and composition ranges shown in the following table:

Chromium content of welded specimens (atomic percent)											
1360° C			1300° C			1150° C			1000° C		
Spec- imen	Compos Low- Cr half	High- Cr half	Spec- imen	Compos Low- Cr half	High- Cr half	Spec- imen	Compos Low- Cr half	High- Cr half	Spec- imen	Compos Low- Cr half	sition High- Cr half
1	0	22.20	3	0	28.00	6	13.15	38.65	8	0	24.92
2	9.60	28.06	4	9.60	41.15	7	0	28.06	9	9.80	39.97
11	0	28.00	5	9.98	39.20						
			10	0	28.15						

With the exception of specimens 1 and 2, which were originally intended for annealing at  $900^{\circ}$  C, the specimens were made to cover most of the range of the  $\alpha$  field in the cobalt-chromium equilibrium diagram (fig. 1).

Diffusion coefficients were calculated by the Grube method (reference 3) although the Matano method (reference 3) was also used in two cases.

#### APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE

<u>Materials.</u> - Cobalt rondels and electrolytic chromium of high purity were used as raw materials. Spectrographic and wet chemical analyses were made of the rondels. Colorimetric analyses, made for elements that were shown to be present by the spectrograph but were not detectable by wet chemical methods, are less than 0.01 percent. The results of the analysis of cobalt rondels and of an analysis of electrolytic chromium made by the supplier, the Bureau of Mines, are given in the following table:

Impurities in cobalt and chromium							
Cobal (1	t ro	ondels ent)	Electrolytic chromium (percent)				
Ni		0.38	Fe	0.31			
Fe		.10	0	•17			
Cu		•08					
Mn	<	.01					
Sn	<	.01					
Ag	<	.01					
Pb	<	.01					
Ti	<	.01					
v	<	.01					
с <sup>в</sup>	0.14	1,.20					

aDetermined by commercial laboratory.

It will be shown that the carbon content was considerably reduced during melting.

Melting and casting. - Cobalt and cobalt-chromium melts of nominally 0, 10, 25, and 35 percent by weight chromium (0, 11, 27, and 38 atomic percent) were made in zircon crucibles, using a 100-kilowatt, 9600-cycle-per-second induction unit. The rondels were first melted with no protective atmosphere and were held at temperatures of  $1593^{\circ}$  to  $1649^{\circ}$  C (2900° to  $3000^{\circ}$  F) for 5 to 10 minutes to burn out the carbon. As a result of this procedure, the carbon was reduced to less than 0.06 percent in most cases, but the oxide content of the metal was probably increased.

Where chromium additions were required, an atmosphere of argon was kept over the surface of the melt from the time the addition was made until the pouring time, a total period of 10 to 20 minutes. Chromium was not charged with the cobalt because chromium prevents oxidation and removal of almost all of the carbon.

Castings were made in copper molds and, except for the heads, were shaped like truncated cones 4 inches long,  $l\frac{1}{4}$  inches in diameter at the top, and 7/8 inch in diameter at the bottom. Chemical analyses made from both ends of the castings containing chromium indicated that the churning action of the induction current produced an excellent degree of chemical homogeneity; differences in chromium analyses made from the large and the small ends of castings ranged from 0.02 to 0.15 percent.

Heat treatment prior to forging. - As a precautionary measure, the samples containing chromium were soaked at  $1176^{\circ}$  to  $1231^{\circ}$  C (2150° to 2250° F) for 1/2 hour and then oil-quenched. This treatment was intended to reduce the possibility of cracking the samples if insufficient soaking time were allowed prior to forging.

<u>Forging.</u> - The tapered castings, which were forged at a commercial laboratory, were upset one or two times and were fullered out to an approximately uniform diameter of 1 inch. The reduction in length occurring during upsetting varied from approximately 20 to 50 percent. Forging at temperatures from  $982^{\circ}$  to  $1093^{\circ}$  C ( $1800^{\circ}$  to  $1900^{\circ}$  F) reduced the grain size from grains as large as 1/4 inch to smaller, more uniformly shaped grains that varied from A.S.T.M. grain-size 5 to small macroscopic grains approximately 1/64 inch.

Homogenizing heat treatment. - The samples containing chromium were annealed at  $1204^{\circ}$  C  $(2200^{\circ}$  F) for 3 hours in a helium atmosphere for further homogenization. Results presented herein indicate that this treatment does little more than stress-relieve the specimens.

<u>Machining of bars to be welded.</u> - Cylinders 7/8 inch in diameter and  $1\frac{3}{4}$  to  $2\frac{1}{4}$  inches in length were machined from the forged bars. Both ends of these cylinders were surface ground to produce flatness and one end of each bar was then lapped by a gage-block manufacturer until it could be "wrung" to another bar.

Welding of dissimilar bars or cylinders. - Lapped specimens of unlike compositions were placed in the holders shown in figure 2. The upper holder was bolted to a 120,000-pound tensile machine and the lower one was placed on the table of the machine. A load of 5000 pounds was applied after the cylinders were centered in an induction coil and the holders were alined. The specimens were heated with a portable, 35-kilowatt, 360,000-cycle-per-second, spark-gap induction unit.

During the heat-up time, which varied from 1/2 to  $1\frac{1}{2}$  minutes, the tendency for the load to increase as thermal expansion of the cylinders took place was reduced by the tensile-machine operator, who kept the pressure reasonably constant (within ±200 pounds). Because a skin effect could be produced by raising the specimen temperature too rapidly, the power to the induction machine was turned on and off to allow an even heat distribution across the weld interface. When the temperature, which was read with an

optical pyrometer, reached  $982^{\circ}$  to  $1038^{\circ}$  C ( $1800^{\circ}$  to  $1900^{\circ}$  F), the load was reduced to 4000 pounds to prevent excessive bulging. This load was maintained during the welding period of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  minutes at  $1121^{\circ}$  to  $1204^{\circ}$  C ( $2050^{\circ}$  to  $2200^{\circ}$  F). Bulging was kept at a minimum to prevent curving of the interface. Uneven heating or misalinement of specimens caused bent or distorted welds. Satisfactory welds were strong enough to be hammered and bent.

First welding attempts were unsuccessful because the surfaces were not lapped to a gage-block finish and, as a result, considerable oxides were formed in the welds. An attempt was also made to surround the apparatus shown in figure 2 with a vacuum-tight shell into which argon or helium could be bled during welding. However, in spite of insulating the container and the coil from the work, extensive arcing in these atmospheres prevented welding. This method was then abandoned in favor of the one used.

Metallographic examination after welding. - Flat planes were ground lengthwise on the welded specimens for metallographic examination (fig. 3). In the etched and unetched conditions, the weld interfaces were examined for oxides, weld curvature, and other imperfections. Examples of satisfactory and unsatisfactory welds are shown in figure 4. Diffusion zones formed during welding were measured with a micrometer eyepiece and a research metallograph. The thickness of these zones, ranging from less than 0.0001 to 0.00046 inch ( $\langle 0.00254$  to 0.0117 mm), were considered negligible (fig. 4(b)).

<u>Machining prior to anneal.</u> - Specimens  $l\frac{1}{4}$  inches long and ll/16 to 13/16 inch in diameter, which included the weld interface, were turned from the welded specimens. Care was exercised during machining to make certain that the axes of these cylinders were perpendicular to the weld interfaces.

Calibration of instruments. - The thermocouple wires used during the diffusion-anneal, subsequently to be described, were taken from a coil calibrated by the National Bureau of Standards. The potentiometer used to read the temperature was calibrated at the NACA Lewis laboratory.

#### Diffusion-anneal.

1. Furnaces: Most of the specimens were annealed in a furnace using silicon carbide heating elements controlled by a self-balancing, self-standardizing, indicating pyrometer. The furnace, an eightelement unit, and the controller were wired so that four elements

cycled on and off while the other four received power at all times. A zircon tube was placed in the furnace as shown in figure 5. The specimens to be annealed were placed in a porous-brick holder along with a platinum - platinum-rhodium thermocouple. A period of 1 to 3 hours was required to stabilize the furnace temperature after loading. Fluctuations of more than  $\pm 4^{\circ}$  C ( $\pm 7.2^{\circ}$  F) were rare and were of short duration. Time-temperature plots were made for all of the specimens except those annealed at 1000° C for 87.7 days. A typical time-temperature plot for specimen 4 is shown in figure 6. The plots were integrated with a planimeter to find a weighted average temperature, which was then corrected for the thermocouple wire calibration and converted to  $^{\circ}$ C (table I).

7

Specimens 8 and 9, which were annealed at  $1000^{\circ}$  C, were first annealed for a month in the silicon-carbide-element furnace and then transferred to a platinum-wound-resistance furnace. This furnace and a commercial light-beam-galvanometer-type photoelectriccell controller were located in a room kept at constant temperature. Except for a temporary breakdown, the furnace was controlled within  $+4^{\circ}$  C ( $\pm 7.2^{\circ}$  F).

2. Atmospheres: During loading of the furnace, a large quantity of argon was blown through the tube to prevent oxidation of the specimen. The gas flow was decreased after the stopper was sealed in the loading end of the zircon tube. The argon flow was completely shut off, the rubber tube to the bubble jar was clamped shut, and the zircon tube and the argon line, including the drying towers, were evacuated by a pump capable of evacuating the system to a pressure of 1 millimeter of mercury when no leaks were present. This evacuation seated the rubber stopper and made it possible to locate any leaks in the thermocouple and the stopper seals. Argon was then bled into the evacuated zircon tube, which was then reevacuated. This procedure was repeated five times to flush the tube. The vacuum pump was then closed and argon was allowed to pass through the system and out of the bubble jar.

Specimens 3 and 4 were placed in the furnace without the aid of the vacuum pump, but upon removal after annealing were appreciably scaled (table I). After the system was evacuated, the drying towers (commercial metallic towers) were found to be leaking. Laboratorytype glass drying towers were then installed and scaling was somewhat reduced. As an added precaution in annealing specimens 1, 2, 5, and 8 to 11, a mixture of hydrogen and helium, rather than argon, was passed through the zircon tube for a few hours after the system was sealed in order to scavange oxygen from the bricks inside the tube. Argon was used after the hydrogen-helium purge and scaling was decreased to a negligible amount, especially when it is considered that loading and unloading the furnace could account for almost all of the scale indicated for these specimens (table I).

Examination and reduction of diameter after anneal. - After the anneal, flats for metallographic examination were again ground on the specimens so that an estimate of the reduction in diameter needed to eliminate surface effects could be made. In most cases, 1/32 to 3/32 inch of metal was removed. Flats were again ground and examined (fig. 7), and where surface scaling was appreciable, a thin turning was chemically analyzed for comparison with the original analyses. Oxide accumulations in the interface zone were also observed (fig. 8). The specimens were heavily etched and approximate measurements of total diffusion zones were made with a micrometer eyepiece. This measurement was made to determine the number of machining cuts required to cover the diffusion zone.

Machining successive layers through diffusion zone. - Before machining successive layers through the diffusion zone, excess metal was removed from the low-chromium half of the specimen to establish a reference surface from which cuts for analyses were made. From 0.1 to 0.125 inch (2.54 to 3.175 mm) of metal was left between the weld interface (determined metallographically) and the reference surface. Tungsten-carbide cutting tools were used for all machining operations.

A series of turnings was made across the entire cross section starting at the reference surface perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder. After each turning, the distance machined was measured and the chips were gathered for chemical analysis. The cuts farthest from the interface were 0.010 inch thick (0.254 mm); cuts were reduced to from 0.003 to 0.005 inch (0.0762 to 0.127 mm) in the diffusion zone. In all of the specimens except specimen 3, the entire zone was covered by the smallest cuts made.

Three methods of machining successive cuts through the diffusion zone were used. In the first method, used for specimens 2 to 7, the cylinders, with machined reference surfaces, were chucked in a large rigid lathe. The head of this lathe contained a large cast-iron face plate that was machined for use as a surface plate. All cuts less than 0.007 inch thick were measured from the surface plate with a surface dial gage that could be read to 0.0001 inch and estimated to 0.00001 inch. Thus, removing the work from the

chuck after each cut was unnecessary. A calibration of the dial showed that cuts of 0.003 inch needed no corrections and cuts of 0.005 were in error by as little as 0.00006 inch, or approximately 1 percent. Allowing for human errors, the maximum machining errors were believed to be no more than 2 percent. These dial corrections were considered negligible and are omitted in the calculations.

The second method, similar to the preceding one, was used for specimens 1, 8, and 9. The chips were machined off with a highprecision vertical jig borer (SIP Hydrostatic-7), which was kept in an air-conditioned room, and a calibrated surface gage was used to measure the cuts. Machining errors were measured with a micrometer and were determined by comparing the total amount of metal removed after making all the necessary cuts with the sum of all the small cuts measured with the surface dial gage. In specimen 8, with a total of 48 cuts, the error was 0.25 percent, whereas in specimen 9, the error was 2 percent in the same number of cuts.

The third method, used for specimens 10 and 11, was the most accurate as well as the most complicated. The vertical jig borer was again used, but the thickness of each cut was measured by an electronic height gage that measured the differences in height between stacks of gage blocks and the specimen surface to 0.00001 inch. As a further assurance that no discrepancies would occur, a height gage (surface plate checker) was also used in conjunction with the electronic gage. With this gage, it was possible to measure the over-all height of the specimen to 0.00001 inch after each layer was machined off. Differences in over-all height were compared with the thickness of each cut as measured with the electronic height gage and the stacks of gage blocks. In the two specimens so machined, the error was 0 percent in 36 cuts for specimen 11 and 0.025 percent in 32 cuts for specimen 10.

<u>Chemical analyses</u>. - At least two and usually three analyses by a persulfate-oxidation method were made at the NACA Lewis laboratory for every sample machined from the diffusion zone.

Methods of calculating diffusion coefficients. - The diffusion coefficients for all specimens were calculated by the Grube method (reference 3). In addition, calculations using the Matano method (reference 3) were made for specimens 3 and 5. The Matano method was not used throughout because it is extremely time consuming and the results closely paralleled those calculated by the Grube method.

The metric system was used in plotting concentration-penetration curves and diffusion coefficients were calculated in this system to conform with previous work. The data points are plotted at distances halfway between successive cuts, which are measured from a reference plane. Leveling-off points of the curves were drawn from mathematical averages of several data points, some of which are not shown in the figures. Diffusion coefficients were calculated from the concentrationpenetration curves using the following equation (Grube method):

$$\frac{C-C_0}{C_1-C_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{\omega} e^{-\omega^2} d\omega \right)$$

where

C chromium concentration, atomic percent

 $C_{\cap}$  chromium concentration of low-chromium bar, atomic percent

C1 chromium concentration of high-chromium bar, atomic percent

 $\omega = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}}$ 

D diffusion coefficient,  $(cm^2/sec)$ 

x distance from Grube interface, (cm)

t time, seconds

Positive values were used for calculations made from the highchromium portion of the concentration-penetration curves to the right of the Grube interface, and negative values were used for calculations made from low-chromium portions of the curves to the left of the Grube interface.

The Grube interface is the distance in the diffusion zone at which the concentration is halfway between the lower and upper concentrations  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ , respectively, (fig. 9).

Let

$$H\left(\frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}}\right) = \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\omega} e^{-\omega^{2}} d\omega$$
$$\omega = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}}$$

Then

$$\frac{C-C_0}{C_1-C_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 \pm H(\omega) \right]$$
$$H(\omega) = \pm 2 \left( \frac{C-C_0}{C_1-C_0} - 0.5 \right)$$

The value of  $\omega$  may be obtained from probability tables and inasmuch as

$$\omega = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}}$$

then

$$D = \frac{x^2}{\omega^2} \quad \frac{1}{4t}$$

The final equation indicates that D is very sensitive to x, the machined distance.

#### RESULTS

Concentration-penetration curves of all specimens are plotted in figure 10. Several of these curves, such as those for specimens 3, 4, 7, 8, and 10, (figs. 10(d), 10(e), 10(i), 10(j), and 10(g), respectively) are very symmetrical. When data from these curves are plotted on a probability graph in the form C-CO/CI-CO against the distance from the Grube interface, approximately straight lines are obtained (fig. 11). In these cases, the diffusion coefficient D is almost invariant with the concentration C. The curves pass through the point (x = 0,  $C-CO/CI-CO \times 100 = 50$ ).

Other curves, such as that for specimen 5 (fig. 10(f)), bend slightly from a smooth curve in the upper right, or high-chromium portions, of the diffusion curves. These bends also show up in the probability plots (fig. 11(f)). Deviations from smooth concentration-penetration curves and from straight lines in the probability plots could be a function of concentration. The deviations, however, are believed to be caused by oxide segregations that may have been present in the high-chromium half of the welded specimens before the diffusion anneal, or that may have formed during the annealing by migrations of oxides that were originally randomly scattered throughout the matrix of the metal. The metallographic examinations and the smooth lower portions of most of the curves indicate that migration is the most probable case.

Diffusion coefficients calculated by the Grube method from the concentration-penetration curves are plotted against concentration in figure 12. Values of diffusion coefficients obtained from the extreme ends of the diffusion-penetration curves and from portions near the Grube interfaces were omitted from the plot because they are inherently inaccurate. The degree of reproducibility of data appears good, as shown in the cases of specimens 3 and 10 and 4 and 5, which were annealed at  $1300^{\circ}\pm3^{\circ}$  C.

Specimens 1 and 2, prior to annealing at  $1360^{\circ}$  C, were annealed at  $900^{\circ}$  C for several weeks. Meanwhile, diffusion coefficients were obtained at  $1300^{\circ}$  and  $1150^{\circ}$  C and rough calculations from this preliminary data showed that an annealing time of about 8 years at  $900^{\circ}$  C would be required to produce a diffusion zone comparable in thickness to the smallest zone obtained at the higher temperatures. Inasmuch as the annealing time at  $900^{\circ}$  C was insignificantly small, the specimens were reannealed at  $1360^{\circ}$  C to obtain additional data. Because the specimens were originally intended for heat treatment at  $900^{\circ}$  C, the composition range covered by specimens 1 and 2 is small compared with what would be possible at  $1360^{\circ}$  C.

The upper half of the curve of specimen 1 (fig. 10(a)) is satisfactory and calculations were made from it by the Grube method. The lower portion, however, was inaccurate as a result of a quench crack formed by cooling the specimen in an air blast as it was removed from the annealing furnace.

The composition of specimen 9 extends into the  $\alpha + \gamma$  field (fig. 1), but because it is close to the  $\alpha$ -phase boundary, only a small percentage of  $\gamma$  would be present. The  $\gamma$ -phase was not observed during the metallographic examinations and the calculated diffusion coefficients would therefore be reasonably accurate.

In spite of several metallographic inspections, a strip of oxide 1/32-inch deep extending halfway about the periphery of specimen 11 was noticed as it was being machined. Approximately 5.4 percent of the area of the interface was blocked. Inasmuch as this area is a small portion of the total area, the data for specimen 11 were not discarded.

A plot of diffusion coefficients against concentration (fig. 13) shows the small differences between values determined by the Grube and the Matano methods.

The relation between diffusion coefficients and reciprocal temperatures are shown in figure 14. The upper and lower curves are plotted from data obtained for 16-atomic-percent chromium because these values are close to averages of the flat portions of the curves of figure 12. The upper curve represents diffusion coefficients obtained from specimens of cobalt welded to cobaltchromium alloys, whereas the lower curve represents alloys approximately 9- to 14-atomic-percent chromium welded to alloys of approximately 28- to 41-atomic-percent chromium.

By use of the dashed curve, which was drawn halfway between the upper and lower solid curves in figure 14, and of the exponential equation (reference 1)

$$D = Ae$$

the activation heat of diffusion Q and the constant A were determined to be

$$Q = 63,600 \text{ (cal/mole)}$$
  
A = 0.443 (cm<sup>2</sup>/sec)

The value of Q was determined from the slope of the dashed curve because

2.303 
$$\frac{(\log D_1 - \log D_2)}{\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2}} = -\frac{Q}{R}$$

and A was determined from the preceding exponential equation, using the value of Q obtained, and values of D and 1/T chosen from the dashed curve.

The diffusion behavior of chromium in  $\alpha$  cobalt-chromium alloys may be compared with that of other metal systems in figure 15, where values from the dashed curve of figure 14 were replotted for the comparison. The curves for the other alloy systems were taken from the graphs of references 3 to 9.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Diffusion coefficients are relatively constant within the concentration ranges of each specimen. Appreciable differences between diffusion coefficients, however, were obtained from specimens of pure cobalt welded to a cobalt-chromium alloys and specimens of lowchromium a-alloys welded to high-chromium a-alloys at each nominal annealing temperature; the diffusion coefficients for specimens of pure cobalt welded to a cobalt-chromium alloys were larger (figs. 12 and 14).

The differences in diffusion coefficients are not the results of such experimental variables as:

- 1. Differences in sources of materials because single supplies of cobalt and chromium were used in this study
- 2. Differences in annealing temperatures because specimens l and 2 and specimens 8 and 9 were annealed together at identical temperatures and the remaining specimens were annealed at temperatures very close to the desired nominal temperatures
- 3. Differences due to the closeness of the high-chromium specimens to the  $\alpha$ - $\gamma$  region because specimens 1 and 2 were well within the  $\alpha$  region

A somewhat similar observation may be made from figure 15, where curves 4 and 5 represent the diffusion of pure copper into pure gold, and copper from a gold-copper alloy into gold, respectively.

The experimental data give additional confirmation to the Dushman-Langmuir equation

$$D = \frac{Q}{Nh} \delta^2 e^{-\frac{Q}{RT}}$$

where

D diffusion coefficient,  $(cm^2/sec)$ 

Q activation heat of diffusion, (cal/mole)

N Avogadro's number

h Planck's constant, (cal-sec)

δ distance of closest approach of atoms,  $\frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{2}}$ , (cm)

 $\alpha_0$  lattice parameter

R gas constant,  $(cal/mole/^{O}K)$ 

T temperature,  $(^{O}K)$ 

The following value of Q has been calculated by arbitrarily selecting a point on the dashed curve of figure 14 to obtain a D value for a given temperature:

$$Q = 63,400$$
 (cal/mole)

Values used in the calculation are as follows:

 $D = 6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ 

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\rm K}} = 7.1 \times 10^{-4}$$
  
 $x_{\rm O} = 3.54 \times 10^{-4}$  cm (reference 10) -8

The value of Q compares very favorably with the value of Q = 63,600 (cal/mole) obtained from the slope of the dashed curve.

The diffusion rates of chromium in a cobalt-chromium are very low compared with those of most alloy systems for which diffusion data had been previously obtained. For example, it can be determined from figure 15 that a high temperature ( $1031^{\circ}$  C) is required to produce log D = -ll for chromium in cobalt, whereas annealing temperatures of  $362^{\circ}$ ,  $628^{\circ}$ , and  $784^{\circ}$  C are required for Mg in Al, Zn in Cu, and Pt in Au, respectively. The sluggishness of diffusion is congruous with the fact that cobaltchromium - base alloys have good high-temperature characteristics.

The activation heat of diffusion for chromium in a cobaltchromium alloys is greater than that for most systems previously investigated, with tungsten alloys being the chief exceptions (reference 11). Higher activation heats of diffusion show up in the form of steeper slopes on the curve of log D against  $1/{}^{O}K$ (fig. 15).

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Diffusion of chromium in a cobalt-chromium solid solutions has been investigated at temperatures of  $1360^{\circ}$ ,  $1300^{\circ}$ ,  $1150^{\circ}$ , and  $1000^{\circ}$  C and the following results have been obtained:

1. The exponential equation relating diffusion coefficients D and temperature T is as follows:

$$D = 0.443 e^{-\frac{63,600}{RT}}$$

where R is the gas constant. The activation heat of diffusion is 63,600 calories per mole.

2. When compared with the diffusion data previously obtained by other investigators for most alloy systems, the diffusion rates of chromium in a cobalt-chromium were found to be low; considerably higher temperatures were required to produce diffusion coefficients of the same order of magnitude as were previously found for most substitutional alloys.

3. Diffusion coefficients are relatively constant within the concentration ranges covered by each specimen.

4. Chromium diffusivity from a cobalt-chromium alloys into pure cobalt is greater than chromium diffusivity from high-chromium a-alloys to low-chromium a-alloys for all concentration gradients studied.

5. The results of this investigation are further confirmation of the Dushman-Langmuir equation. The value for the activation heat of diffusion calculated from this equation agrees closely with the experimentally determined value (63,400 cal/mole as calculated against 63,600 cal/mole obtained from experimentation).

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Cleveland, Ohio, June 23, 1950.

#### REFERENCES

- Wells, Cyril, and Mehl, Robert F.: Rate of Diffusion of Carbon in Austentite in Plain Carbon, in Nickel and in Manganese Steels. Trans. A.I.M.E., Iron and Steel Div., vol. 140, 1940, pp. 279-306.
- Elsea, A. R., Westerman, A. B., and Manning, G. K.: The Cobalt-Chromium Binary System. Metals Tech., vol. 15, no. 4, June 1948, pp. 1-24.
- 3. Rhines, Frederick N., and Mehl, Robert F.: Rates of Diffusion in the Alpha Solid Solutions of Copper. Trans. A.I.M.E., Inst. Metals Div., vol. 128, 1938, pp. 185-221; discussion, pp. 221-222.
  - 4. Brick, R. M., and Phillips, Arthur: Diffusion of Copper and Magnesium into Aluminum. Trans. A.I.M.E., Inst. Metals Div., vol. 124, 1937, pp. 331-347; discussion, pp. 347-350.
  - 5. McKay, H. A. C.: The Self-Diffusion Coefficient of Gold Trans. Faraday Soc., vol. XXXIV, 1938, pp. 845-849.
  - Jost, W.: Die Diffusionsgeschwindigkeit einiger Metalle in Gold und Silber. Zeitschr. Phys. Chem., Bd. 21, Heft 1 und 2, Abt. B, April 1933, S. 158-160.
  - Jost, W.: Über den Platzwechselmechanismus in festen Körpern. Die Diffusion von Gold und Silber. Zeitschr. Phys. Chem., Bd. 9, Heft 1, Abt. B, Juli 1930, S. 73-82.
  - Jost, W.: Diffusion und Chemische Reaktion in festen Stoffen. Theodor Steinkopff (Dresden und Leipzig), 1937, S. 115. (Reproduced by Edwards Bros. (Ann Arbor, Mich.), 1943).
  - 9. Mehl, Robert F.: Diffusion in Solid Metals. Trans. A.I.M.E., Inst. Metals Div., vol. 122, 1936, pp. 11-56.
- 10. Anon.: Metals Handbook, 1948 Edition. Am. Soc. Metals (Cleveland), 1948, p. 1191.
- 11. Barrer, Richard M.: Diffusion in and through Solids. Cambridge Univ. Press (London), 1941, p. 275.

Specimen	Weighted	Annea	ling time	Approximate		
	annealing	Day Second		scale formed dur-		
	tempera- ture. (°C)			(in.)		
1	1360.4	3.917	3.384x10 <sup>5</sup>	0.002		
2	1360.4	3.917	3.384x10 <sup>5</sup>	.002		
3	1302.9	4.08.	3.522x10 <sup>5</sup>	1/16		
4	1297.8	7.194	6.216x10 <sup>5</sup>	1/32		
5	1299.2	11.05	9.549x10 <sup>5</sup>	<.001		
6	1149.7	12.09	1.0446x10 <sup>6</sup>	.010,		
7	1150.5	24.907	2.152x10 <sup>6</sup>	1/64		
8	1000.3	87.704	7.664x10 <sup>6</sup>	.001002		
9	1000.3	87.704	7.664x10 <sup>6</sup>	.001002		
10	1296.9	7.174	6.198x10 <sup>5</sup>	.002		
- 11	1368.9	3.844	3.321x10 <sup>5</sup>	.003		

TABLE I - ANNEALING DATA



Figure 1. - Cobalt-chromium equilibrium diagram from reference 2. Also drawn are composition ranges at annealing temperatures used in this investigation.



s

Base of tensile machine





# Page intentionally left blank

÷.,

### Page intentionally left blank



(a) Unsatisfactory weld interface. Etchant, none; magnification, X750. Examination of unetched polished flat shows almost unbroken oxide layer in interface.



(b) Satisfactory weld interface. Etchant, 10-percent nitric acid in ethyl alcohol; magnification, X750. Examination of heavily etched surface reveals approximately half of diffusion zone caused by welding, distance between dark area and weld interface (approximately 0.00012 in. (0.0028 mm) wide). Etchant has attacked only cobalt half of weld.

Figure 4. - Appearance of satisfactory and unsatisfactory welds.

### Page intentionally left blank

### Page intentionally left blank







Figure 6. - Typical time-temperature plot for diffusion-anneal period in annealing furnace (specimen 4). Temperatures have not been corrected with platinum - platinum-rhodium wire calibration.



Figure 7. - Specimen after diffusion-anneal.

chemical analysis.

## Page intentionally left blank

### Page intentionally left blank



Figure 8. - Central portion of diffusion zone of specimen 1C Annealed 7.17 days at 1297° C; etchant, 5-percent nitric acid in ethyl alcohol followed by aqua regia in glycerine; magnification, X100. Photograph shows accumulation of oxides near center of diffusion zone.

NACA C-25833 5-10-50

## Page intentionally left blank

.

### Page intentionally left blank



- Concentration-penetration curve of specimen 3 (4.08 days).

NACA TN 2218







Figure 10. - Continued. Concentration-penetration curves.

404



Figure 10. - Continued. Concentration-penetration curves.



Figure 10. - Continued. Concentration-penetration curves.



Figure 10. - Concluded. Concentration-penetration curves.





Figure 12. - Diffusion coefficients against concentration. (Data to left of 8 atomic percent not plotted for specimen 1 because of quench crack that formed after diffusion took place.)







```
Temperature, °C
```

Figure 14. - Diffusion coefficients against 1/°K. Diffusion coefficients were plotted from data for 16-atomic-percent chromium.





NACA-Langley - 11-24-50 - 1125

		·			
Weston, John W.	Diffusion of Chromium in a Cobalt-Chromium Solid Solutions	By John W. Weston NACA TN 2218 November 1950	(Abstract on reverse side)	NACA	
Alloys, Heat-Resisting 5.1.4	Diffusion of Chromium in a Cobalt-Chromium Solid Solutions	By John W. Weeton NACA TN 2218 November 1950	(Abstract on reverse side)	NACA	

Abstract

Diffusion of chromium in a cobalt-chromium solid solutions was investigated in the range of 0 to 40 atomic percent at temperatures of 1360°, 1300°, 1150°, and 1000° C. The activation heat of diffusion was determined to be 63,600 calories per mole and the equation relating diffusion D and temperature T is

 $D = 0.443 e^{-\frac{63,600}{RT}}$ 

where R is the gas constant.

When compared with most alloy systems for which diffusion data have been previously obtained, the diffusion rates of chromium in  $\alpha$  cobalt-chromium solid solutions were found to be low.

# Abstract

Diffusion of chromium in a cobalt-chromium solid solutions was investigated in the range of 0 to 40 atomic percent at temperatures of 1360°, 1300°, 1150°, and 1000° C. The activation heat of diffusion was determined to be 63,600 calories per mole and the equation relating diffusion D and temperature T is 63,600

where R is the gas constant.

D = 0.443 e

When compared with most alloy systems for which diffusion data have been previously obtained, the diffusion rates of chromium in  $\alpha$  cobalt-chromium solid solutions were found to be low.