https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19930085372 2020-06-17T14:26:04+00:00Z

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

REQUESTS FOR PUBLICATIONS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED

LANGLEY AERONAUTAN: LARORATORY LANGLEY FIELD, HAMPTON, VIRGINIA

RETURN TO THE

AS FOLLOWS:



RM No. A8E12

Copy No. 229

# A8E12 NACA RM No.

ELE COPY NO 2

# **RESEARCH MEMORANDUM**

NACA

# EFFECT OF A NACELLE ON THE LOW-SPEED

# AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF

A SWEPT-BACK WING

By Frederick H. Hanson, Jr. and Robert E. Dannenberg

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif. THIS DOCUMENT ON LOAN FROM THE FILES OF

the National Defense of the U thin the meaning of the Espionage 31 and 32. Its transmission of

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AER MAUTICS 1612 H STREET, N. W. NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMUTTEE FOR AERONAUTICS WASHINGTON

July 21, 1948

RESTRICTED



#### RESTRICTED

#### NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

#### RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

#### EFFECT OF A NACELLE ON THE LOW-SPEED AERODYNAMIC

#### CHARACTERISTICS OF A SWEPT-BACK WING

By Frederick H. Hanson, Jr. and Robert E. Dannenberg

#### SUMMARY

Wind-tunnel tests of a simplified nacelle on a semispan wing having approximately  $35^{\circ}$  of sweepback were made to determine the effects of the nacelle on the aerodynamic characteristics of the wing. The wing-nacelle combination was tested with the nacelle mounted in several positions on the wing and on a strut below the wing. The wing had an aspect ratio of 6.04 and a ratio of tip chord to root chord of 0.5.

The experimental results indicate that the nacelle had only small effects on the lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the wing. Pressure-distribution measurements for a high-speed attitude of the various wing-mounted nacelles showed that the local velocities near the nacelle were less than the maximum velocities over the wing alone, except for the nacelle extending forward from the leading edge of the wing. With the nacelle attached to the wing by a strut, the local juncture velocities were considerably in excess of the maximum wing velocities.

#### INTRODUCTION

The design of a satisfactory wing-nacelle combination becomes more critical as operating speeds approach the velocity of sound. The application of swept wings to delay adverse compressibility effects to higher speeds has particularly intensified the problems of the nacelle designer.

A nacelle mounted on a swept wing should not adversely affect the high-speed characteristics of the wing or aggravate its lowspeed characteristics. If the local velocities and pressure

#### RESTRICTED

gradients for a swept-wing-nacelle combination are as low as those of the wing without the nacelle, the advantages of the swept wing at transonic speeds may be preserved. A nacelle should not produce an undue increase in drag or change of the span loading. The longitudinal instability, evident near the stall for certain swept wings, should not be aggravated by a nacelle; in fact, alleviation of this condition without changing the stability at lower lift coefficients would be desirable.

An experimental investigation has been undertaken in the Ames 7- by 10-foot wind tunnel to evaluate the effects of a nacelle on the characteristics of a swept wing at low and moderate speeds and to provide information to be used as a guide for the study of nacelles on swept wings at high speeds. This report presents the experimental results obtained with a simplified nacelle mounted in several positions on a wing having approximately 35° of sweepback. The nacelle was simulated by an ellipsoid having a fineness ratio of 5.0 and did not incorporate internal air flow.

#### COEFFICIENTS, SYMBOLS, AND CORRECTIONS

The following standard NACA coefficients and symbols are used:

CL	lift	coefficient	$\left(\frac{L}{q_0S}\right)$
CD	drag	coefficient	$\left(\frac{D}{Q_0S}\right)$

 $C_{D_{F}}$  drag coefficient of nacelle based on frontal area of nacelle  $\left(\frac{\Delta D}{q_{o}F}\right)$ 

 $C_{\rm m}$  pitching-moment coefficient  $\left(\frac{M}{q_{\rm o}S\overline{c}}\right)$ 

a angle of attack, degrees

a, uncorrected angle of attack, degrees

re coefficient 
$$\left(\frac{p - p_0}{q_0}\right)$$

L lift, pounds

D drag, pounds

 $\Delta D$  drag increment due to nacelle, pounds

- M pitching-moment about a lateral axis through the one-quarter-chord point of the mean aerodynamic chord, foot-pounds
- S wing area, square feet
- F frontal area of nacelle, square feet
- c mean aerodynamic chord, feet
- qo free-stream dynamic pressure, pounds per square foot
- p local static pressure, pounds per square foot
- po free-stream static pressure, pounds per square foot
- b span of complete wing, feet
- cn chord of wing at 0.31 b/2, feet

The test results presented have been corrected for fluid compressibility. Jet-boundary corrections were obtained by the method developed in reference 1 modified for consideration of the sweepback. The jet-boundary corrections added to the angles of attack and drag coefficients were  $0.985 \, \text{C}_{\text{L}}$  and  $0.020 \, \text{C}_{\text{L}}^2$ , respectively. The effects of the boundary layer above the dumny floor and of air leakage between the wing root and the floor plates on the characteristics of the model were not determined. These effects were presumably small and probably did not influence the effects of the nacelle on the wing characteristics.

#### MODEL AND APPARATUS

The model wing of 5-foot semispan used for these tests had an NACA  $64_1-212$  airfoil section (section taken perpendicular to the 27.06-percent wing-chord line), a ratio of tip chord to root chord of 0.5, and an aspect ratio of 6.04. A sketch of the plan form of the wing is shown in figure 1. The 27.06-percent chord line of the wing was swept back  $35^{\circ}$ . No twist was incorporated in the wing. Coordinates for the NACA  $64_1-212$  airfoil section are presented in table I. Coordinates for sections parallel to the direction of free-stream air flow are presented in table II. The wing tip is shown in figure 2.

The nacelle was a prolate ellipsoid of revolution having major and minor axes of 30 inches and 6 inches, respectively, corresponding to 1.331 and 0.266 of the wing chord at the spanwise location of the nacelle. This ellipsoid was mounted at 31 percent of the wing semispan with its major axis parallel to the chord plane of the wing and the simulated plane of symmetry. Figure 3 shows the eight positions in which the nacelle was mounted on the wing or attached to the wing by a strut. The nacelle positions are tabulated in table III. The mounting strut had an NACA 65-009 airfoil section with a chord of 10 inches.

The semispan wing was mounted so that a dummy tunnel floor served as a reflection plane simulating a plane of symmetry. The dummy floor separated the boundary layer of the tunnel floor from the model and extended 8 feet upstream and 9 feet downstream from the center of rotation of the model. A fairing was provided around the portion of the model between the turntables of the dummy floor and the tunnel floor. A gap of approximately one-eighth inch between the end of the model and the turntable of the dummy floor was necessary to allow the forces acting on the model to be measured by the normal windtunnel balance system. This gap was made small to keep air leakage into the tunnel near the model to a minimum.

The pressure distributions over the model were measured by flush orifices that were connected to multiple-tube manometers. The locations of the rows of static-pressure orifices on the model are shown in figures 1 and 3.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The test results are presented for a Mach number of 0.24 and a Reynolds number of 2,700,000 based upon the wing mean aerodynamic chord.

#### Force and Moment Characteristics

The aerodynamic characteristics of the wing and the various wingnacelle combinations are shown in figure 4. In general, these data indicate that the nacelle in its various positions had only small effects on the lift of the wing. The effects of the nacelle in various positions on the angle of attack for zero lift are summarized in table III. The largest increase in the angle of attack for zero lift was  $0.6^{\circ}$  and occurred with the nacelle underslung and projecting well forward of the wing leading edge (fig. 4(d)). In this same position the nacelle also slightly reduced the slope of the lift curve near maximum lift. The slope of the lift curve remained

#### NACA RM No. A8E12

relatively unaffected with the nacelle in the other positions. The effect of the nacelle on the maximum lift coefficient was less than 0.02 for all positions tested.

Drag-coefficient increments attributable to the addition of the nacelle have been evaluated from the data of figure 4 and are presented in figure 5 as nacelle drag coefficients (based on the frontal area of the nacelle). The drag coefficients of the nacelle mounted in various positions on the strut (based on the frontal area of the strut and nacelle) were, to all practical purposes, equal and are represented by a single curve in figure 5. The data show that, with the trailing edge of the nacelle terminating on the wing, an abrupt increase in drag coefficient occurred at lower lift coefficients than with the trailing edge of the nacelle behind the wing. This abrupt increase of drag coefficient was probably due to separation of flow from the junctures of the nacelle and wing. The nacelle in an underslung position had a considerably higher minimum drag than in the other locations. Acute surface intersections are evident for the underslung position in figure 3(a), and it is believed the large difference in minimum drag was due to separation occurring at the juncture of the trailing edge of the underslung nacelle and the wing. The drag of the nacelle in the underslung position could probably have been reduced with a proper trailing-edge fairing or by extending the nacelle behind the trailing edge of the wing.

The variation of the drag coefficient with Reynolds number for the wing and for the wing with the nacelle in the central position with its leading edge at 40 percent of the wing chord is presented in figure 6. It is noted that the drag increment due to the nacelle remained relatively constant throughout the Reynolds number range investigated. The variations of drag increment with Reynolds number for the nacelle in the other positions, either on the wing or strut, were also relatively constant and are not presented.

The nacelle was slightly destabilizing in the two positions well forward on the wing, as indicated by the variation of pitchingmoment coefficient with lift coefficient in figure 4. Increments of  $dC_m/dC_L$  attributable to the nacelle have been measured from figure 4 near zero lift and are presented in table III. As the nacelle was moved aft, the destabilizing effect near zero lift was reduced, with the nacelle centrally mounted. In the two aft locations, the centrall mounted nacelle improved the stability for lift coefficients just below the stall. For angles of attack above the stall, the wing and all combinations of the wing and nacelle were unstable.

#### Wing Pressure Distribution

As little information was available on the pressure distribution over swept-back wings, pressure studies of the wing were made at 15, 31, 55, 73.3, and 91.7 percent of the semispan and are presented in figure 7. The spanwise pressure distribution at 40 percent of the wing chord is shown in figure 8. Simple sweepback considerations indicate that pressure coefficients for a swept wing should vary as the square of the cosine of the angle of sweep. For the wing used in these tests, the stagnation pressure coefficient should then be 0.63 instead of 1.0 as in the case of an unswept wing. This value is in close agreement with the test results obtained at zero angle of attack. Near the wing tip, the measured stagnation pressure coefficient became larger than the calculated value, presumably due to the change in flow conditions around the wing tip.

In order to determine the effect of restriction of the spanwise flow on the pressure coefficients of the swept wing, a 3-foot-diameter flat plate was mounted at 31 percent of the semispan and parallel to the plane of symmetry as shown in figure 9. It may be seen in figure 10 that this restriction of the spanwise flow increased the velocities over the forward portion of the wing and decreased the general level of velocities over the aft portion of the wing. In figure 11(a) are shown contours of constant pressure coefficients (data obtained from orifices in the plate) in the region of the wing leading edge on the inboard side of the flat plate. It is indicated in figure 11(a) that a region of high velocity might be expected at the inboard leading-edge juncture of a swept-back wing and nacelle, if the nacelle extends forward of the wing.

#### Wing-Nacelle Pressure Distribution

The pressure distributions over the upper- and lower-surface center lines of the nacelle in the various positions on the wing are presented in figure 12. The lowest velocities along these center lines were obtained with the nacelle in the farthest aft position, that is, with the leading edge of the nacelle at 40 percent of the wing chord. The nacelle in any of the positions on the wing had maximum velocities along its upper- and lower-surface center lines which were less than the maximum velocities over the wing without the nacelle.

The pressure distribution over the wing-nacelle junctures for the nacelle in the various positions on the wing are presented in figures 13 to 16. These data indicate that, with the leading edge

of the nacelle at or behind the leading edge of the wing (figs. 14 and 15), the velocities occurring in the junctures of the wing and nacelle were less than the maximum velocities over the wing alone for high-speed angles of attack ( $\alpha_0 = 0^\circ$  to  $2^\circ$ ). With the nacelle extended forward from the wing leading edge (figs. 13 and 16), the local velocities in the forward portion of the inboard juncture were considerably in excess of the maximum velocities over the wing alone. For the nacelle in a central position well forward on the wing, this excess velocity region was greatest on the upper surface and extended back to about 30 percent of the wing chord for angles of attack between 0° and 2°. For the nacelle in an underslung position at an angle of attack of 0° the inboard lower juncture had an excess velocity region that extended back to approximately 50 percent of the wing chord. At an angle of attack of 2°, however, the inboard lower juncture for the underslung position of the nacelle was satisfactory, and the only excess velocities evident were in a highly localized region near the leading edge of the inboard upper juncture.

In order to compare the juncture pressures with those indicated by the flat-plate tests, the nacelle in a central position well forward on the wing was equipped with sufficient pressure orifices to permit determination of the inboard surface pressure distribution. A comparison of the pressures over the nacelle and the flat plate is given in figure 11. The difference between the two pressurecontour diagrams at low angles of attack is small. At 4° and 6° angle of attack, however, the pressures on the plate were less than those over the nacelle, indicating that the three-dimensional nacelle did not block the spanwise flow as completely as did the flat plate.

The pressure distribution over the wing and nacelle was measured for four positions of the nacelle on a strut beneath the wing. Two strut lengths were used in conjunction with two fore-and-aft locations of the nacelle on the strut. Figure 17 presents the effect of strut length on the pressures along the inboard and outboard wing-strut juncture with the nacelle extended 40 percent of the wing chord forward of the wing leading edge. Figure 18 is similar to figure 17 except that the pressures were measured along the wing-strut juncture with the nacelle leading edge directly below the wing leading edge. In all cases, the pressures in the wing-strut juncture for highspeed angles of attack indicated velocities in excess of those over the plain wing. The highest velocities occurred along the inboard side of the short strut with the nacelle mounted in the forward position. The smallest velocities in the wing-strut juncture were obtained with the longer strut and with the nacelle mounted in the coincident position.

In figure 19 are presented the pressure distributions in the juncture between the nacelle and the strut. For an angle of attack of  $0^{\circ}$ , the pressures in the nacelle-strut juncture indicated that velocities greater than those over the wing alone were present. For an angle of attack of  $2^{\circ}$ , the velocities indicated for the nacelle-strut juncture were less than those over the wing alone except for the inboard juncture with the nacelle forward on the strut. The smallest velocities along the nacelle-strut juncture were obtained with the longer strut and the nacelle mounted in the coincident position.

Although high local velocities occurred in the wing-strut and nacelle-strut junctures investigated, it is believed that considerably lower local velocities could be obtained by proper location of the strut with respect to the wing and nacelle. The location of the strut on the wing was particularly undesirable since the positions of the minimum pressures for the wing and strut were nearly coincident.

The effect of the nacelle on the spanwise pressure distribution at 40 percent of the wing chord is presented in figure 20. These data are not indicative of the minimum pressures provided by the nacelle in the various positions, but do serve to indicate the effects of the nacelle on the spanwise pressure distribution. It should be noted that the higher velocities occurring on the wing lower surface with the nacelle in any of the underslung positions produced a loss of lift for these sections. This would increase the wing-root bending moments and the induced drag for an airplane at a given lift condition. Evident from figure 20(b) are the previously mentioned high velocities occurring in the wing-strut juncture.

#### Air Flow Over the Swept-Back Wing

Tuft studies made on the plain wing indicated that the direction of air flow at low angles of attack was slightly outboard over approximately the forward 7 percent of the wing chord. Between 7 percent and about 50 percent of the wing chord the air flow was directed slightly inboard, and from 50 percent of the wing chord to the trailing edge the air flow had a small outboard component. As the angle of attack of the wing was increased, the tufts near the trailing edge were directed more toward the tip, indicating more spanwise flow. Tufts 0.25 inch above the wing surface and supported by wires from and normal to the surface indicated considerably less spanwise flow than did those directly on the wing surface.

#### NACA RM No. A8E12

Figure 21 shows the direction of air flow with reference to the free-stream direction at 31 percent of the wing semispan and 0.25 inch from the upper and lower surfaces of the wing for an angle of attack of  $0^{\circ}$ . These data were obtained by means of the small prong-type directional pitot also shown in figure 21. The data indicate the same general type of streamline pattern as the tuft studies except near the trailing edge of the wing where the tufts on the wing surface showed a flow toward the tip.

Tuft studies made with the nacelle projecting forward from the wing in central and underslung positions showed that localized separation of the air first occurred at the inboard juncture near the wing leading edge at an angle of attack between 6° and 8°. (See fig. 22(a) and (b).) With the nacelle leading edge coincident with or aft of the wing leading edge, however, separation appeared first in the outboard junctures after an angle of attack of 14° had been exceeded. (See fig. 22(c) and (d).)

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

The results of this low-speed experimental investigation indicate that the addition of the nacelle to the 35° swept-back wing had only small effects on the lift and pitching-moment characteristics of the wing alone. The differences in the force and moment characteristics of the wing-nacelle combinations for the various positions of the nacelle were also found to be small.

Pressure distribution studies of the wing and nacelle for attitudes corresponding to high-speed flight indicate several interesting characteristics. The velocities over the upper- and lower-surface center lines of the nacelle were less than the maximum velocities over the wing without the nacelle. With the nacelle extended forward from the leading edge of the wing, the local velocities in the forward portion of the inboard juncture were considerably in excess of the maximum velocities over the wing alone. With the leading edge of the nacelle at or behind the leading edge of the wing, the velocities occurring in the junctures of the wing and nacelle were less than the maximum velocities over the wing alone. With the nacelle attached to the wing by a strut, velocities considerably in excess of those over the wing alone were found in the wingstrut juncture for the single position of the strut tested. The velocities occurring in the nacelle-strut junctures were somewhat lower than those in the wing-strut junctures; however, they were

greater than the maximum velocities over the wing alone for some conditions.

National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif.

#### REFERENCE

1. Glauert, H.: The Elements of Aerofoil and Airscrew Theory. The Macmillan Company, 1943.

# TABLE I

# COORDINATES FOR THE NACA 641-212 AIRFOIL [Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord]

### TABLE II

# COORDINATES FOR SECTIONS PARALLEL TO FREE AIR STREAM [Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord]

Upper	surface	Lower surface		
Station	Ordinate	Station	Ordinate	
0 .465 .733 1.275 2.644 5.388 8.129 10.859 16.279 21.647 26.959 32.213 37.413 42.555 47.644 52.674 57.649 62.569 67.433 72.242 76.998 81.701 86.350 90.948 95.497 100.000	0 .908 1.103 1.411 1.961 2.754 3.355 3.846 4.614 5.175 5.580 5.845 5.978 5.978 5.978 5.978 5.978 5.978 5.525 5.135 4.666 4.133 3.551 2.934 2.297 1.662 1.049 .484 0	0 .647 .935 1.504 2.905 5.679 8.426 11.153 16.555 21.890 27.163 32.378 37.534 42.635 47.680 52.674 57.618 62.512 67.358 72.156 76.909 81.616 86.279 90.899 95.473 100.000	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\820 \\979 \\ -1.221 \\ -1.632 \\ -2.196 \\ -2.608 \\ -2.939 \\ -3.439 \\ -3.794 \\ -4.035 \\ -4.177 \\ -4.220 \\ -4.165 \\ -3.968 \\ -3.673 \\ -2.887 \\ -2.432 \\ -1.954 \\ -1.471 \\ -1.003 \\573 \\216 \\ .022 \\ 0 \end{array}$	

NACA

## TABLE III

#### EFFECT OF THE NACELLE ON ANGLE OF ATTACK FOR ZERO LIFT AND LONGITUDINAL STABILITY OF THE WING

	Nacel	le position	Thereas in analo	Increase in $\frac{dC_m}{dC_L}$ near zero lift due to nacelle
Nominal position	Vertical position of nacelle center line with reference to wing-chord plane	Longitudinal position of nacelle leading edge with reference to wing leading edge	of zero lift due to nacelle (deg)	
Central-forward	Coincident	Forward 40-percent cn	0.1	0.02
Central-coincident	Coincident	Coincident	.2	0
Central-aft	Coincident	Aft 40-percent cn	.2	0
Underslung-forward	Underslung 7.3-per- cent c <sub>n</sub>	Forward 40-percent c <sub>n</sub>	.6	.02
Underslung-forward on 4-inch strut	Underslung 33.1-per- cent c <sub>n</sub>	Forward 40-percent cn	•3	.01
Underslung-forward on 6-inch strut	Underslung 42.0-per- cent cn	Forward 40-percent cn	.4	0
Underslung-coincident on 4-inch strut	Underslung 33.1-per- cent cn	Coincident	0	.01
Underslung-coincident on 6-inch strut	Underslung 42.0-per- cent c <sub>n</sub>	Coincident	0	.01

NACA RM No. A8E12

13

NACA









WING AREA = 8.283 SQ. FT. (SEMISPAN) ASPECT RATIO = 6.04 (BASED ON FULL SPAN) M.A.C. = 1.728 FT. (PARALLEL TO ROOT CHORD) TAPER RATIO = 0.5 AIRFOIL SECTION NACA 64, -212

(PERPENDICULAR TO THE 27.06-PERCENT WING CHORD LINE)



FIGURE I. - PLAN FORM OF MODEL WING.



ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES

# FIGURE 2 - WING TIP SHAPE

. .

•

---- LOCATION OF ROWS OF PRESSURE ORIFICES



CENTRAL-FORWARD POSITION OF NACELLE





CENTRAL-COINCIDENT POSITION OF NACELLE



CENTRAL-AFT POSITION OF NACELLE



UNDERSLUNG-FORWARD POSITION OF NACELLE

NACA

4

(a) NACELLE ON WING.

FIGURE 3 .- POSITIONS OF NACELLE. (ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES.)



UNDERSLUNG-FORWARD POSITION OF NACELLE ON STRUT

---- LOCATION OF ROWS OF PRESSURE ORIFICES





NACA,



(b) NACELLE ON STRUT. FIGURE 3. - CONCLUDED.







(a) Central-forward position of nacelle.

Figure 4.- Effect of the nacelle on the aerodynamic characteristics of the wing.

NACA RM No. A8E12









(c) Central—aft position of nacelle. Figure 4.- Continued.





(d) Underslung-forward position of nacelle. Figure 4.- Continued. NACA RM No. A8E12





(e) Underslung-forward position of nacelle on a 4-inch strut. Figure 4.- Continued.









(f) Underslung-forward position of nacelle on a 6-inch strut. Figure 4.- Continued.





(g) Underslung-coincident position of nacelle on a 4-inch strut. Figure 4.- Continued.





(h) Underslung-coincident position of nacelle on a 6-inch strut. Figure 4.- Concluded.


## POSITIONS OF NACELLE





FIGURE 5.- THE VARIATION OF THE DRAG COEFFICIENT OF THE NACELLE WITH LIFT COEFFICIENT FOR THE VARIOUS NACELLE POSITIONS.



FIGURE 6.- VARIATION OF DRAG COEFFICIENT WITH REYNOLDS NUMBER.



(a) 15-PERCENT SEMISPAN

FIGURE 7.- CHORDWISE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTIONS AT VARIOUS SPANWISE STATIONS ON THE WING ALONE.



NACA RM No. A8E12





FIGURE 7.- CONTINUED







FIGURE 7.- CONCLUDED



FIGURE 8. - SPANWISE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION AT 40-PERCENT OF THE CHORD OF THE WING ALONE.

NACA RM No. A8E12

NACA RM No. A8E12



Figure 9.- Three-foot diameter flat plate at 31-percent semispan of the wing.





FIGURE IO.-CHORDWISE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ON THE INBOARD JUNCTURE OF THE WING AND THE FLAT PLATE PARALLEL TO THE PLANE OF SYMMETRY.



CURE IS CONTIN



FIGURE 10. - CONTINUED

NACA RM No. A8E12 -14 2.16 WING WITH FLAT PLATE 0 -1.2 ♥ WING WITHOUT FLAT PLATE - 1.0 -.8 - .6 ۵. PRESSURE COEFFICIENT, -4 -.2 0 ø .2 4 VI .6 NACA .8 20 30 40 CHORD 10 50 60 70 80 0

(d)  $\alpha_{u}$ , 4°

FIGURE 10. - CONTINUED



(e)  $\alpha_{\mu}$ , 6° FIGURE 10. - CONCLUDED



Figure 11.- Contour lines of pressure coefficient near the leading edge of the wing with the flat plate and the nacelle on the wing.







(a) INBOARD JUNCTURES

FIGURE 13.-PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ALONG THE WING-NACELLE JUNCTURES FOR THE CENTRAL-FORWARD POSITION OF THE NACELLE.



(b) OUTBOARD JUNCTURES FIGURE 13. -CONCLUDED



(a) INBOARD JUNCTURES

FIGURE 14. - PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ALONG THE WING-NACELLE JUNCTURES FOR THE CENTRAL-COINCIDENT POSITION OF THE NACELLE.

30



(b) OUTBOARD JUNCTURES FIGURE 14. - CONCLUDED



(a) INBOARD JUNCTURES

FIGURE 15 - PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION ALONG THE WING-NACELLE JUNCTURES FOR THE CENTRAL-AFT POSITION OF THE NACELLE.



(b) OUTBOARD JUNCTURES FIGURE 15. - CONCLUDED





NACA RM No. A8E12



(b) OUTBOARD JUNCTURES FIGURE 16. - CONCLUDED





FIGURE 17.- EFFECT OF STRUT LENGTH ON THE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE WING-STRUT JUNCTURE FOR THE UNDERSLUNG-FORWARD POSITION OF THE NACELLE ON THE STRUT.

NACA RM No. A8E12







NACA RM No. A8E12

(a) INBOARD WING-STRUT JUNCTURE

FIGURE 18.- EFFECT OF STRUT LENGTH ON THE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE WING-STRUT JUNCTURE FOR THE UNDERSLUNG-COINCIDENT POSITION OF THE NACELLE ON THE STRUT.

62



(b) OUTBOARD WING-STRUT JUNCTURE FIGURE 18. - CONCLUDED





FIGURE 19. - EFFECT OF STRUT LENGTH ON THE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE NACELLE JUNCTURES.



-.4



-4



FIGURE 20. -EFFECT OF THE NACELLE ON THE SPANWISE PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION AT 40-PERCENT WING CHORD.

a. O

du,

70

70

NACA

0 0

60

50





UNDERSLUNG-FORWARD POSITION OF NACELLE ON A 4-INCH STRUT



UNDERSLUNG-COINCIDENT POSITION OF NACELLE ON A 4-INCH STRUT

(b) NACELLE ON STRUT

FIGURE 20. - CONCLUDED

\*

UNDERSLUNG-FORWARD POSITION OF NACELLE ON A 6-INCH STRUT



UNDERSLUNG-COINCIDENT POSITION OF NACELLE ON A 6-INCH STRUT

.

.

>



FIGURE 21.- DIRECTION OF AIR FLOW OVER THE SURFACE OF THE WING AT 31-PERCENT SEMISPAN.





(a) Central-forward position
(b) Underslung-forward position
of nacelle.
of nacelle.
Figure 22.- Tuft studies over the upper surface of the wing and the nacelle.








