CORE

NAC

1

SONFIDEN HAL

RM A53K20

LANG'EY AERONAUTICAL LABORA

Ander

HURIDY, NACA

VIRGINIA



RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF A TRAILING-EDGE PADDLE-CONTROL SURFACE

ON A TRIANGULAR WING OF ASPECT RATIO 2 AT

SUBSONIC AND SUPERSONIC SPEEDS

By Louis H. Ball

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, Calif.

OT A COTTA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CLASSIFICATION	CHANGED

To	UNC	LASS	SIF	ED
		_		

NACA Rea aler By suthority of 4RN- 122

AMT 12-19-57

atterial contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning spionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 763 and 754, the transmission or revelation of which in any to an unsatuborized person is prohibited by law.

CLASSIFIED DOCIMENT



sãus

WASHINGTON

February 2, 1954





NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

INVESTIGATION OF A TRAILING-EDGE PADDLE-CONTROL SURFACE

ON A TRIANGULAR WING OF ASPECT RATIO 2 AT

SUBSONIC AND SUPERSONIC SPEEDS

By Louis H. Ball

SUMMARY

Presented herein are the results of an experimental investigation of external airfoils, known as paddle-control surfaces, as the longitudinal control device on a triangular wing of aspect ratio 2. The lift, drag, pitching moment, and hinge moment were obtained for Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.80, 0.90, 1.20, 1.30, 1.50, 1.70, and 1.90 at a constant Reynolds number of 3.0×10^6 , for angles of attack from about -4° to 18° and for paddle-control deflections from approximately 4° to -16° .

Examination of the control-surface characteristics of the paddle control and comparison of the control-surface parameters with a conventional trailing-edge unbalanced flap having the same area revealed the following results:

No unusual variations were noted in the pitching-moment or hingemoment characteristics throughout the speed range tested. The pitchingmoment effectiveness of the paddle control at subsonic speeds was considerably less than that of the unbalanced flap. At supersonic speeds, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the paddle control was less than that of the unbalanced flap at Mach numbers below 1.50; whereas, above a Mach number of 1.50, the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely. The results showed that material reductions in the hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h\delta}$ and $C_{h\alpha}$, were realized with the paddle control. There was little effect of Mach number on these hinge-moment parameters.

The use of the paddle control resulted in increases in the minimum drag coefficient throughout the speed range investigated.

CONF LUENTRAL

Κ

INTRODUCTION

As part of a continuing experimental program to find methods to reduce the control moments of trailing-edge controls on high-speed aircraft, an external airfoil control surface was tested in the Ames 6by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel. Previous tests (ref. 1) have shown that the use of an external airfoil, called a paddle, as a balancing device in combination with a trailing-edge flap provided substantial reductions in the hinge moments due to control deflections at supersonic speeds. A study of these data indicated that such a paddle could be used as the primary longitudinal-control device and, by virtue of the interaction between the control and the wing, could be designed to have small hinge moments at both subsonic and supersonic speeds.

The present investigation was undertaken, therefore, to provide information on the control characteristics of the paddle control.

SYMBOLS

ъ wing span, ft local wing choru means wing mean aerodynamic chord, $\frac{\int_{0}^{b/2} c^{2} dy}{\int_{0}^{b/2} c dy}$, ft local wing chord measured parallel to plane of symmetry, ft С c drag coefficient, $\frac{drag}{cS}$ CD C_{D_O} minimum drag coefficient hinge-moment coefficient, $\frac{\text{hinge moment}}{2qM_A}$ C_{h} lift coefficient, <u>lift</u> C_T pitching-moment coefficient about the 35-percent point of the Cm wing mean aerodynamic chord, pitching moment aSc CmS control pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial C_{\overline{m}}}{\partial \delta}$, measured at $\delta = 0^{\circ}$, per deg $C_{L_{\overline{O}}}$ control lift-effectiveness parameter for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial C_{I}}{\partial \delta}$, measured at $\delta = 0^{\circ}$, per deg

and the second second second

- $C_{h\delta}$ rate of change of hinge-moment coefficient with change in control deflection for constant angle of attack, $\frac{\partial C_h}{\partial \delta}$, measured at $\delta = 0^\circ$, per deg
- $C_{h_{\alpha}}$ rate of change of hinge-moment coefficient with change in angle of attack for constant angle of control deflection, $\frac{\partial C_h}{\partial \alpha}$, measured at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, per deg
- *l* length of body including portion removed to accommodate sting, ft
- M Mach number
- M_A first moment of area of exposed flap area aft of hinge line of the unbalanced flap,¹ ft³ (see ref. 1)
- q free-stream dynamic pressure, $\frac{\rho V^2}{2}$, lb/sq ft
- R Reynolds number, based on mean aerodynamic chord
- ro maximum body radius, ft
- S wing area, including area within body, sq ft
- V velocity of free stream, ft/sec
- x longitudinal distance from nose of body, ft
- y distance perpendicular to vertical plane of symmetry, ft
- a angle of attack of wing chord line, deg
- δ angle between wing chord and control chord measured in a plane perpendicular to the control hinge line, positive for downward deflection with respect to the wing, deg
- ρ mass density of air, slugs/cu ft

Subscript

n nominal control angle

¹In order that the hinge-moment coefficients of the paddle control and the unbalanced flap could be compared, the hinge-moment coefficients of the paddle control were computed using the moment of area of the unbalanced flap of reference 1.

GONNED INDEALING

APPARATUS AND MODEL

The Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel in which this investigation was conducted is a closed-return, variable-pressure wind tunnel with a Mach number range from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.20 to 2.00. Further information on this wind tunnel can be found in reference 2.

The model consisted of a wing-fuselage combination employing a wing of triangular plan form of aspect ratio 2 symmetrically mounted on the fuselage. The wing had NACA 0005-63 airfoil sections in streamwise planes.

The paddle control consisted of two sharp-edge rectangular surfaces (fig. 1). One of the paddles was positioned above and the other was positioned below the trailing edge of the right wing by a pair of struts which attached the paddles rigidly together and positioned each paddle 1.30 inches from the chord plane of the wing. The struts were pivoted about an axis in the chord plane of the wing which corresponded to the 30-percent-chord line of the paddles as a means of obtaining various deflection angles. When the control was undeflected, the trailing edges of the two paddles were in the same plane as the wing trailing edge. The streamwise airfoil section of the paddles was a half circular arc with the convexity on the side opposite to the wing. The maximum thickness-chord ratio was approximately 5 percent at the 50-percent chord. The area of the two paddles combined equalled approximately 14 percent of the area of the right wing panel including that portion enclosed within the body.

The wing and paddle control were of solid steel construction. The body had a fineness ratio of 12.5 based on the length including that portion shown dotted in figure 1.

The forces and moments on the model were measured by an electrical strain-gage balance. Paddle-control hinge moments were measured by an electrical strain gage mounted within the wing.

TEST AND PROCEDURE

The aerodynamic characteristics of the model as a function of angle of attack were investigated for a range of Mach numbers from 0.60 to 0.90 and from 1.20 to 1.90. The data presented were obtained at a Reynolds number of 3.0×10^6 . Lift, drag, pitching-moment, and hingemoment measurements were made at constant paddle-control deflections for angles of attack from about -4° to 18° . The paddle-control deflections were varied from 4° to -16° . In some instances, the full range of

•

CONTRACTOR

angles of attack was not obtained because of structural limitations or other difficulties.

Reduction of Data

The test data have been reduced to standard NACA coefficient form. The pitching moments were calculated about an axis at 35 percent of the mean aerodynamic chord. A complete discussion of the methods used in reducing the wind-tunnel data to coefficient form and the various corrections applied to the results may be found in reference 1 and only brief mention will be made here.

The data obtained in the Ames 6- by 6-foot supersonic wind tunnel have been corrected for the following factors:

1. Induced effects of the tunnel walls at subsonic speeds resulting from lift on the model.

2. The change in the airspeed in the vicinity of the model at subsonic speeds resulting from the constriction of the flow by the tunnel walls.

3. The pressure at the base of the model at supersonic and subsonic speeds being affected by the support interference. To account partially for this effect, the base pressure was measured and the drag coefficient was adjusted to correspond to that in which the base pressure would be equal to the free-stream static pressure.

4. The longitudinal force on the model at subsonic and supersonic speeds due to the streamwise variation of the static pressure as measured in the empty test section.

A survey of the 6- by 6-foot wind tunnel also indicated nonuniformities of the air stream in the pitch plane of the model equivalent to a stream angle of as much as 0.10° . No correction to the data was made for this effect.

Precision

The uncertainties involved in determining dynamic pressure and in measuring forces with the strain-gage balance are described in reference 3. The following table lists the uncertainty introduced into each corrected coefficient by the known uncertainties in the measurements:

Quantity

Uncertainty

±0.002 Lift coefficient Drag coefficient ±.001 Pitching-moment coefficient ±.002 $\pm.004$ Hinge-moment coefficient Mach number ±.01 ...±.03 × 10⁶ Reynolds number ±.10° Angle of attack ±.25° Flap deflection angle

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the investigation of the paddle control are presented in tabular form for the complete range of test variables in table I. The data presented in the table are for the model equipped with a paddle control on the right wing panel. For the purpose of analysis, a representative portion of the data is presented in graphical form.

Figure 2 shows the variation of the pitching-moment and the hingemoment coefficients with paddle-control deflection for given angles of attack and with angle of attack for given paddle-control deflections. Only the data for the representative Mach numbers of 0.60, 0.90, 1.30, and 1.90 are presented. The results shown in figure 2 are for deflections of the paddle control on the right wing panel. The data reveal no unusual variations of the pitching-moment and the hinge-moment coefficients with either angle of attack or angle of deflection throughout the speed range of these tests.

The pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter, C_{m_6} , the hinge-moment parameters, C_{h_6} and $C_{h_{cl}}$, and the minimum-drag coefficient of the paddle control are presented as functions of Mach number in figure 3. For purposes of comparison, the corresponding data for the unbalanced flap configuration of reference 1 are also presented in figure 3. Although data were obtained for the paddle control on only the right wing panel, the results, as presented in figure 3, are for the deflection of a control on both wing panels.

The pitching-moment effectiveness of the paddle control was less than the unbalanced flap at all speeds tested below a Mach number of 1.50; whereas, above the Mach number 1.50, the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely. The marked loss in pitchingmoment effectiveness, $C_{\rm MS}$, of the paddle control from that shown for the unbalanced flap at subsonic speeds may be advantageous in reducing the sensitivity of the longitudinal control in this speed range. The reduced

www.internet.com

٥

effectiveness of the paddle control at subsonic speeds is believed due to the absence of the additional lift induced on the forward portion of the wing by the hinged flap. The decrease in effectiveness exhibited by the paddle control at supersonic speeds below a Mach number of 1.50 is brought about as a result of the shock-expansion interference between the paddles and the wing. This principle has been discussed previously in reference 1 and will be only briefly related here. At negative control deflections the lower surface of the upper paddle propagates expansion waves which impinge on the wing surface. The resulting increase in lift on the wing, being of the opposite sign to that carried by the paddle due to control deflection, effects a net reduction in the lift effectiveness, C_{TS} , of the paddle control and, thereby, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the control. The paddle mounted on the lower surface of the wing acts in an analogous manner by virtue of the compression wave emitted from its upper surface. At Mach numbers above 1.50, the paddle control was so located that the shock waves emenating from the paddles do not strike the wing surface. Therefore, at these Mach numbers, the pitching-moment effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely.


- CARESDAN ANT

The preceding discussion must be acknowledged to be a simplification of the flow phenomena involved. However, it is believed to describe the primary cause for the differences in pitching-moment effectiveness between the paddle control and the unbalanced flap.

The primary advantage of the paddle control over the flap-type control is evident in the hinge-moment characteristics. An examination of figure 3 shows that material reductions are realized for both of the hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h\delta}$ and $C_{h\alpha}$, from that noted for the unbalanced flap throughout the speed range investigated. Figure 3 also shows that there is little effect of Mach number on the hinge-moment parameters of the paddle control. The small values of $C_{h_{CL}}$ noted for this control can be attributed primarily to the influence of the wing surface which causes the effective incidence of the paddles to be essentially the same throughout the angle-of-attack range of the tests. This influence of the wing on the paddles is consistent with the results of reference 1 which showed that the addition of a paddle balance to a conventional trailing-edge unbalanced flap had little effect on Cha of the unbalanced control. Since this phenomenon is essentially independent of speed, $C_{h_{\alpha}}$ is unaffected by Mach number (see fig. 3). The reduction noted in $C_{h_{\mathcal{R}}}$ was due in part to the aerodynamic balance incorporated in the paddle control. The small effect of Mach number on Chs is not clearly understood. It would be expected that there would be an effect of Mach number on the hinge moment due to flap deflection because of the rearward shift in the center of pressure of the load on the control surface with increasing Mach number. It is somewhat surprising that this effect is not evident in the hinge-moment results.







¢

The hinge-moment advantages of the paddle control were obtained with a penalty in the drag characteristics, as shown in figure 3. The results show that the paddle control exhibited higher minimum drag coefficients than the unbalanced flap throughout the speed range tested. It is of interest to note that, though the drag increment is fairly large, considerable improvement in the drag characteristics was realized for the paddle control of the present investigation over the paddle balance of reference 1 by reducing the paddle thickness.

CONCLUSIONS

Tests were made of a model equipped with a trailing-edge paddlecontrol device to determine its control characteristics at subsonic and supersonic speeds. The results were compared with the control characteristics of the unbalanced, trailing-edge flap of reference 1. Examination of the results revealed the following significant features:

1. The pitching-moment and hinge-moment characteristics of the paddle control showed no outstanding nonlinearities for the entire speed range studied.

2. The paddle control exhibited a smaller control effectiveness at subsonic speeds and at supersonic speeds below a Mach number of 1.50. Above the Mach number 1.50 the effectiveness of the two types of controls corresponded closely.

3. The hinge-moment parameters, $C_{h_{\delta}}$ and $C_{h_{\alpha}}$, of the paddle control were considerably smaller than those of the unbalanced flap and were little affected by Mach number.

4. The paddle control increased the minimum drag throughout the speed range tested.

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Moffett Field, Calif., Nov. 20, 1953



REFERENCES

- Boyd, John W., and Pfyl, Frank A.: Experimental Investigation of Aerodynamically Balanced Trailing-Edge Control Surfaces on an Aspect Ratio 2 Triangular Wing at Subsonic and Supersonic Speeds. NACA RM A52L04, 1953.
- Frick, Charles W., and Olson, Robert N.: Flow Studies in the Asymmetric Adjustable Nozzle of the Ames 6- by 6-Foot Supersonic Wind Tunnel. NACA RM A9E24, 1949.
- 3. Hall, Charles F., and Heitmeyer, John C.: Aerodynamic Study of a Wing-Fuselage Combination Employing a Wing Swept Back 63°.-Characteristics at Supersonic Speeds of a Model With the Wing Twisted and Cambered for Uniform Load. NACA RM A9J24, 1950.

NACA




TABLE I. - AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL. $R = 3.0 \times 10^{6}$ (a) Nominal $\delta = +4^{\circ}$

Ж	æ	C ^L	CD	C _m	Դ	٥· "	н		сľ	CD.	C ₃₄	съ	8	ж		C ^L	CD	C _R	ch	8
0.60	-4.16	-0.176	0.0176	0.001	-0.030	3.9	0.90	4.21	0.228	0.0255	-0.029	-0.028	3.8	1.50	2.02	0.089	0.0194	-0.019	-0.030	3.0
i i	-2.05	062	.0119	005	035	3.8	1	6.34	.342	.0442	098	035	3.0		4.07	-177	.0201	034	031	3.8
	-1.06	037	.0104	800 {	03A	3.8		8,46	-433	.0697	036	039	3.8	r	6.12	.263	.0121	047	031	3.8
	- 53)01¥	.0100	009	039	3.8	1	10.59	-539	.1057	040	030	3.8		8.18	345	.0621	060	030	3.0)
		.031	.0100	011	040	3_8	ł				[-			10.83	- 42T	.0884	072	025	3.0
	1.00	.055	.0106	012	041	3.6	1.20	-4.09	201	.0277	.030	- 029	3.6	1	12.29	.506	1199	063	024	3.8
	2.06	.104	.0129	005	041	3.8		-e.03	097	.0184	.011	~.029	3.8	ľ	14.35	.582	.1771	093	023	[3.8
	4.16	.199	.0202	021	[0 4 3	3.8		-1.00	- 047	.0162	.003	029	3.8			1			i i	
	6.26	.296	.0332	027	045	3.8	1	47	023	.0157	001	030	3.8	1.70	4.07	156	.0257	.020	023	3.8
	8.36	- 395 - 486	.0567	~.028	047	3.8	1	j.₩6	.025	.0156	009	031	3.0		-4.03	078	.0178	.008	023	3.8
	10.47	.486	.0860	023	043	3.8		.98	.0,2	.01.64	014	031	3.8		99	039	.0158	1002	023	3.8
	12.5	. 569	.1260	022	038	3.8		2.02	.106	.0193	023	031	3.8		47	020	.0152	001	02%	3.8
	11.69	.693	.1737	~.023	036	3.8		4.08	.209	.0290	041	035	3.7		-45	.010	.0152	006	024	3.81
	16.81	.795	.2303	023	036	3.8		6.14	.316	0 59	059	038	3-7		.98	.039	.0197	010	024	3.8
	17.67	.853	.2626	022	037	3.8		0.20	.121	.0708	076	030	5.8		2.01	.079	0179	016	025	3.8
	1 ·		}	}	}			10.27	-527	1037	092	024	3.8		4.06	.159	.0259	029	026	3.8
0.80	-4.19	185	.0194	.004	j024	3.9									6.11	-237	.0390	010	027	3.0
	-2.07	064	.0126	004	024	3.9	1,30	L-4.09	185	.0293	.025	- 029	3.8		8.16	.313	0772	051	028	3.8
	-1.07	037	.0120	008	026	3.9		-2.03	009	.0206	1006	029	3.8		10.21	.363 .453	-080-	- 062	027	3.8
	53	015	.0104	009	031	3.8		-1.00	044	.0181	.001	029	3.8		12.26	453	.1086	071	027	1 3.8
	.48	.035	.0207	019	[031	3.8	•	53	022	.0178	~.00]	028	3.0	1	14.31	. 222	.1423	090	028	i 3.0 i
	1.01	.059	.0113	014	-+033	3.8		45	.024	.0177	009	028	3.0		16.37	.589	.1806	086	030	9.8
	2.08	.109	0135	017	039	3.8		.96	.048	.0185	013	028	3.8							
	4.19	.211	.0219	025	012	3.0		2.02	.097	.0215	- 082	- 028	3.8	1.90	-4.06	142	.0247	.017	018	3.9
	6.31	.317	.0379	032	- 0 5	3.7		4.08	.193	.0306	037	025	3.8		-2.02	071	.0177	.007	018	3.9
	0.43	.113	.0618	030	- 044	3.8		6.13	.290	.0461	012	029	3.8		98	036	.0160	.002	018	3.9
	10.54	505	.0947	.027	037	3.8		8.19	362	.0685	067	028	3.8		- 47	019	.0156	001	019	3.9
	12.67	.616	.1394	037		3.8		10.25	.176	.0983	061	006	3.6			.015	.01.22	006	.019	3.9
	14.80	.726	.1910	00	029	3.0		12.31	.567	.1349	~094	023	3.8		.97	.034	.0160	~.008	019	5.6
	16.93	.840	-2543	048		3.0			1001				1.0		2.01	.071	.0178	014	019	3.9
	20.75					1-1-0	1.50	-4.08	170	.0271	.023	020	3.8		4.05	.141	.0248	024	020	5.Ó
0.90	4.21	198	.0226	.008	026	3.8	~~~~	-2.03	061	.0188	.008	026	3.8		6.09	.209	0364	034	.021	3.01
0.30	-2.09	090	.0141	002	024	3.9		- 99	01	.0164	.001	- 027	3.8		8.14	278	.0328	041	- 022	3.8
	-1.07	040	.0122	007	- 026	3.8		- 53	020	.0155	002	- 027	3.8		10.10	.342	.0735	02	. 023	3.8
	- 51	013	.0116	009	- 025	3.6	I .		.021	.0153	008	- ώ	3.8		12.23	105	.0907	- 060	.024	3.8
	-23	.017	.0117	013	.025	3.8		.96	044	.0163	012	029	3.8		14.27	.466	.1286	- 066	.025	3.8
	1.02	.064	.0125	019		اهدوا	i i						1.0		16.32	.527	.1611	.071		3.8
	2.09	.004	.0185	019		3.8	i i					}			17.35	559	1826	072		3.8
	E.09	العنده	1.000	1-1013		3.0				1 1		1			-1-32	- 229	10000		032	2.0

(b) Nominal $\delta = 0^{\circ}$

								(5)		11 11 100	~ ~	-								-
						<u></u>				<u>F . 7</u>	7,213			H - 1	. · ·		- 3			. 1
X	a	ᅂ	с _р	C _≡	с _ь	8	X	٩	сĽ	օր	C _m	съ	8	ĸ		C _L	ൗ	նլ	съ	ð
0.60	-4.16	-0.195	0.0184	0.011	-0.004	0	0.90	4.19	0.199	0.0217	-0.017	-0.003	0	1.50	4.07	0.166	0.0264	-0.027	-0.002	0
	-2.07	- 103	-0117	.006	006	0		6.11	.306	-038e	024	009	1		6.12	.254	.0402	040	003	0
1	46	- 035	.0090	-005	008	0			.602	.0632	024	005	0	5	6.17	-336	.0600	053	003	0
	-45	-007	-0086	001	009	0		10.56	-510	-0984	031	002	0		10.23	. 10	0940	066	004	0
Į.	.98	.031	.0092	002	010	0		1.1				Į	l	l	15.58	498	.1170	077	003	0
	2.04	.077	-010†	005	011	0	1.20	-4.09	215	+0283	.038	-009	.1	E	14.34	.575	.1550	007	.001	0
	4.14	.172	.0170	010	013	1		-2.03	110	.0189	,019	.006	0		1					Ł
	6.24	.270	.0301	017	006)1		-1.00	079	.0158	.010	.006	0	1.70	i -4.07	264	.025	.026	.004	0
	6.34	- 368	-0511	019	012	1		47	013	.0151	.006	.003	0		-2.02	- 065	.0173	.013	.003	0
	10.44	. 459	.0795	015	009	ן פי י		- 4 5	.013	-0150	008	.001	0	<u>ا</u>	99	~.046	.0152	.007	-006	0
ſ	12.55	.567	.1194	016	007	0		.98	.040	.0157	007	001	0	[- 47	026	.01 5	.001	.001	10
l	14.66	.663	-1642	~.018	005	0		2.02	-092	-0181	015	001	0		. 19	.011	.0144	005	.001	0
	16.77	.770	.2198	018	006	0		4.08	.196	.0272	033	003	0		.97	.031	.0249	005	.006	0
E Contraction of the second se	17.83	.619	.2494	~.018	006	0	· .	6.14	- 305	.0437	051	004	0		2.01	.071	.0169	011	0	0
	1							6.20	.407	.0679	068	.003	0		4.07	.150	.0245	023	001	0
0.80	-4.19	- 205	-0201	.015	.001	0		10.27	-513	.1001	064	.005	0		6.12	.220	.0370	035	002	19
	-2.09	109	.0121	.007	003	0		1 !							8.17	. 306	.0750	046	004	{ 0
	-1.02	059	.0097	.004	004	0	1.30	4.09	- 198	.0298	.032	.001	0		10.22	.376	.0777	057	005	0
	- 22	~.034	.0091	.008	004	0		-9.03	102	.0206	.016		0		12.27	-147	.1058	~.066		0.
	- 22	.010	-0089	001	005	0		-1.00	075	.0180	.009	.003	0		14.32	کيد	-1393	075	007	<u>1</u>
	.99 2.06	-034	.0094	003 006	005	0			031	.0174	.005	.003	0	1	16.38	.562	.1773	081	011	¦1
	4.17	.001	.0190	006	009	8		.98	.012 .036	.0178	- 002	.003	ŏ	1.90	-3.96	149	.02M8	.022	.003	1.
	6.28	.290	-0336	022	015	1		2.02	.085	.0219	014	.001	ŏ	3.90	-2.02	077	.0174	.011	.002	1.2
	8.40	.366	.0578	021	014			4.07	.181	.0288	030	.003	ŏ		99	042	.0157	.006	.002	
1	10.51	.475	.0882	019	015	1		6.13	-278	.0438	044	.006	ŏ	1		- 025	.01.11	.004	.002	١×.
	12.63	.591	.1321	- 030	022	-:1		8.19	.371	.0657	079	.005	ă			.ooá	.0100	001	.001	1.
- 1	14.76	695	.1816	014	022	1		10.25	464	.0948	073	.00¥	ŏ.		.97	.026	.0154	004	.001	۱ŏ
	16.69	.806	2426	.042	023	1		12.31		.1304	087	.005	ō		2.01	-064	.0170	010	0	10
	17.95	.862	.2760	047	026	1	1	14.37	.22	.1727	- 099	.003	ă l		4.05	.135	-0237	020	001	10
													- T		6.10	.201	.0350	030	002	lő.
0.90	-4.22	291	.0232	.018	.007	0	1.50	4.08	~. 181	.0279	-029	.006	0	[8.14	.273	.0511	- 030	003	ŏ
	-2.10	- 111	.0135	.008	.000	ŏ		-2.02	~.093	0185	.015	-004	ō.		10.19	-338	.071	- 018	005	ă.
	-1.03	060	.010	-004	0	ŏ		99	- 019	.0159	.006	.003	ŏ		12.23	- 01	.0962	0%	007	0
	- 49	~.035	.0103	.002	o l	ō		- 47	027	.0148	.005	.003	0	1	11.20	.462	.1258	062	008	- 1
	. 16	.011	.0099	001	001	ō j		.45	.012	.0146	002	.001	ŏ	1	16.13	.224	.1606	067	012	1
	.99	+037	.000	003	0	0	1 {	.97	.034	.0176	006	.001	ō	- 1	17.36	- 226	.1801	069	016	1
1	2.07	-090	.0128	005	004	0		2.02	.078	.0182	013	0	0	- 1						

1.14

<u>د ا</u>



TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL. $R = 3.0 \times 10^6$ - Continued (c) Nominal $\delta = -4^0$

K	۹.	C ^L	Ċŋ	G	Դ	0	ж	æ	сĽ	ср	C.	с ^р	5	ж	æ	°L.	СD	C _R	Ch	8
0.60	-4.18	-ര.ബു	0.0212	0.022	0.030	÷	0.90	3.18	0.179	0.0212	-0.006	0.023	-1-1	1.50	2.04	0.069	0.0285	-0.006	0.028	-+.0
	-2.09	127	.0137	.016	.029	-4.1		6.31	-205	.0372	010	.024	-4.1		4-09	158	-0262	020	-026	-4.0
	-1.03	080	.0113	-014	.028	-4-2		8.43	- 366	.0619	015	.098	-1-0		6.15	.244	.0396	034	-026	-4.0
1	-70	058	.0105	.013	.028	-1-2		10.58	-533	.1029		.026	-#.0	1 1	8.20	-327	.0590	j047	.026	-4.0
	- 49	014		-010	.027	-4.1		1.00							10.27	120	-0651	099	.025	
	1.02	.010	.0106	.009	-027	1	1.20	-4.08	- 229	-0302	-046	.030	-4.0		12-33	.189	.1161	~-070	-024	
	8.06	.057	-0114	.007	.026	-+-1		-2.03	124	-0197	-027	-020			14-39	- 567	.1531	081	-0 2 0	-+-0
ļ	4.21	-152	.0169	.001	.023	1		-1.00	072	.0169	-016	.025							_	έ. Ι
	6-25	.272	-0284	- 005	-080			- 47	046	.0160	-014	.027	-4-0	1.70	-4.07	171	-0268	-031	.027	-+-0
i	8.35	-350	0,002	- 009	-025	-4-1		고	-003	.0156	-006	-027	-4.0		-2.02	- 092	-0184	-019	-026	-+-0
	10.17	-457	.0807	010	.024	-4.1		1.02	.029	.01.61	-001	.026	-1-0		-1-00	052	-0797	.012	-025	-4.0
	19.56	-545	.1160	008	-025	41		2.04	.078	-0162	007	.026	-4.0		17	032	-0155	.009	.025	-+.0
	14.69	-656	.1637	011	.027	11		4-10	.162 .263	.0269	026	-925	-4.0 -4.0		- 51	-006	-01,92	-003	-025	-4.0
	16.81	-762 -812	.2489	- 012	.027			6.15 8.21	-200	.0664	061	-031	-+.0		1.05	-025	.0156	Q	.025	-4.0
	11:00	-015	.2409		*021			10.30	- 393 - 490	.0986	076	-032	-4.0		4.06	.141	.0246	~.006 ~.018		
0.80	4.21	829	.0230	.026	.oko.	4.0		12.37	.615	.1397	096	.035	-4.0		6.13	-290	-0369	030	-023	-4-0
10.00	-2.10	128	.0140	.018	.037	-1-0		12-31	-00-2	•138(090				8.10	.296	.0348	042	.023	1.0
	-2.04	079	.0115	.015	.035	-4.0	1.30	-4.08	209	-0312	.040	.020	-4.0	1	10.23	.368	-0771	051	.021	4.0
£	- 51	055	.0107	.013	.034	-4-0		-2.03	- 111	.0216	.024	.027	-1-0		12.30	.439	1071	061	.020	
1 1	.50	009	.0101	.009	.032	-4.0		-1.00	065	-0186	.016	.027	4.0		1.3	507	.1361	- 069	.018	41
1	1.03	.016	-0104	.008	. iii	-4-0	i [47	042	-0160	-012	.027	-4.0		16.11	573	1756	076	.013	-4.1
	2.04	.064	.0118	.005	-029	-4.0	i 8	.51	-004	-0176	.005	.028	-4.0		17.43	.600	.1970	079	.009	1 - 1
1 1	4.16	.165	-0186	002	.024	-1.1		1.05	.027	-0162	.002	.029	4.0							
1 1	6.28	.270	.0325	010 (-023	-4.1		2.04	.074	-020k	006	.020	-4.0	1.90	-4.06	154	.0259	.026	.021	[-¥-0 [
	8.39	-369	.0572	011	.020	4 I		4.09	.169	.0295	022	.028	-1-0		-2.02	063	.0184	.015	-020	4.0
	10.51	. 168	.0870	012	.020	-4-1		6.15	-266	-0132	036	.030	-4.0	1	99	- 07	.0164	.010	.020	-4.0
[]	12.63	-574	.1283	022	.019	-4.2		8.20	-359	.cdq	059	-034	-4.0			029	.0159	.007	.020	-4.0
[]	14.77	-683	.1787	027	.020	-4.1		10.20	4.je	.0935	-,066	-033	-4.0		-51	.005	0157	-003	-019	-+.0
	16.89	-793	.2389	- 035	.022	-4.1		12.34	المغر.	.1269	030	.031	-4.0		1.05	-022	-0160	0	-019	
	17.96	-852	-2736		-016	-4-1		14.40	-632	.1708	093	.027	-4.0	1	2.03	.058	-0175	005	.019	-4.1
				[]											4.07	.128	-0240	015	.01.9	
0.90	-4-23	247	.0268	.032	.031	-+-0	1.50	-4.08	189	-0268	.036	-031	-4-0		6.12	-196	.03 1 9	~.025	.010	-4.1
]]	-e.11	136	.0161	-021	-026	-4.0	J	-2.02}	102	.0198	-065	.030	-4.0		8.17	-266	.0506	034	.017	-4.1
1	-1.05	085	.0130	-017	-025	-1-0		99	057	-0171	.01	.029	-+.0		10.21	- 331	.0711	043	.016	
	7	056	.0119	.015	.024	-4.I		¥7	036	.0158	.011	.029	-4.0		12.26	-393	-0957	- 050	.015	
	- 20	010	.0113	-010	.024	-4.1		- 2	-006	.0153	-004	-029	-4.0		14.32	455	.1254	- 077	.013	
1	1.14	.018	.0117	-008	-023	-4-1		-83	-027	-0161	-001	-028	-4-0		16.38	-516	•1597	061	-009	
L _	2.06	.070	.0248	-004	.022	-4-1								_	17.41	- 99	.1793		-009	-4-1

(d) Nominal $\delta = -8^\circ$

ж	a	сF	с _р	C.	с _в	8	X	α	C ^L	C _D	Cm	Գե	δ	M	α	СĽ.	с _я	C _R	C _h	8
.60	-1,18	-0.227	0.0185	0.027	0.045	-8+0	0.90	4.17	0.160	0.0832	0.004	0.077	-7-9	1.50	4.08	0.147	0-0272	-0.01¥	0.072	-7.6
	-2.09	133	.01.55	-0ei	.014	-8.0	-	6.30	.268	-0364	~.003	.098	-7.9		6.13	-230	-040-	028	-073	-7.6
J	-1.04	086	0120	.018	.044	-8-0		8.12	-175 -175	-0565	008	.061	-7-9		8.19	. 321	-0596	04L	.078	-7.6
1	- 1	063	.0191	-017	.044	-8.0		10.57	.485	-0970	016	-058	-7.9		10.24	-101	.0849	074		1-1-7
	.49	021	.0114	-015	-042	-8.1									12.29	-483	1159	067	-068	-7-6
1	1.02	.003	.ou7]	-01-	.042	-8.1	1.20	-4.09	845	-0330	-073	-062	-7.6		14.30	- 562	1,28	078	-064	<u>-7-7</u>
	2.08	-049	-0130	-012	.ole	-8.1		-2-03	- 135	.0291	-034	.080	-7.6		16.10	.636	-1949	068	-059	-7-7
	4.13	.142	-0178	-005	.G41	-8.1		مد-	063	-0150	-085	-062	-7.6		1. 07	180			.064	
	6.22	-241	.0292	-001	.042	-8.1		48	- 056	-0181	.021	-081	-7.6	1.70	-4-07		-0290	-037	.061	
	8.33	.342	-0503	003	-045	-8.0		근	-009	.0175	.013	-079	-1-6		-6-02	- 101	-0203		-062	[-1.1
1	10.43	-436	.0795	0	.015	-8.0		1.04	.018	-0179	.009	-078	-7.6		- 27	061	.0177	810. 610.	-061	-7.7
.	12.7		.1174	001	-045	-8-0		2.03	-068	*0518	0	.077	-7.6			042		-009	.061	144
	14.65	.646	.1635	004	-047	-8.0		4.08	-172	-0261	810.~	.076	-7.6		1.03	004	.0168	.009	.061	-7.7
	16.79	-739 -79	.2212	~005	-059	-8-0		6.15	-279	-0438	~.036	्या	-7.6		2.02	.057	.0196	001	.060	-1.7
	17.83	•794	-2490	005	-015	-8.0		8.21	- 383	-0673	- 054	.084 .084	-7.6		4.07	.13	0275	013	.060	-7.7
						-6.0		10-27	.180	- 0968	~069	.084	-7.6		6.12	21	0375	- 025	.060	-7.7
0.80	-4.21	- 140	-0162	-031	-05+	-8.0		12.34	•001	-1395	~-090	"OOL	-7.6		8.17	.266	-0548	036	.058	7.7
	-2.10		.0135	-024	.072	-8.0	1.30	-4.08	221	-0343	.047	.063	-7.6		10.21	358	.0769	- 046	.058	1 -7 -7
	- 1	091	.0127	-019	-071	-6.0	1.30	-2.02	123	.0242	-033	-079	-7.6		12.26	- 69	1042	056	.071	7.8
	5	023	.0118	.016	.071	-8.0		-1.00	075	.0211		-061	-7.6		14.31		130		.018	-7.8
	1.02		.0120	.015	.051	-8.0		51	- 002	.0202	.019	-079	-7.6		16-37	- 25	.1742	072	-050	-7.8
	2.09	-051		.012	.051	-8.0		.1	006	-0195	.012	.076	-7.6		17.40	.600	1949	075	.046	
	1.15	.150	1		.01	-8.0	1 1	1.04	-018	.0201	.00E	.076	-7.6							1
	6.27	255	-0326	002	6.01	-8.0		2.01	.066	.0221	0	.075	-7.6	1.90	-4.06	161	-0276	.030	.076	-7.8
	8.39	341	.0547	002	.052	-6.0		4.06	.160	-0299	016	.072	-7.7		-2.01	090	.0196	-080	-055	1 -7.8
	10.50	-354		002	055	-7.9		6.14	.27	.042	035	.074	-7.6		99	054	.0178	.014	-077	8.7-
	12.62	.563	.1260	.014	.051	-7.9		8.20		.0656	047	.076	-7.6		- 17	036	.0171	.012	.055	-7.8
	14.72	.675	.1770	.021	.051	-8-0		10.26		.0941	- 652	.073	-7-7		1	003	-0166	-007	-054	-7.8
	16.86	.786	-2372	028	وبكن.	-8.0		12.32	-537	1291	075	-073	-7.6		1.03	.017	-0170	.004	-054	
	17.94	.843	.2708	034	.011	-8.0	E (14.38	.625	1704	088	.065	-7.7		2.01	-051	-0193	001	0,0	-7.8
			1									_			4-06	-191	-0215	011	.054	
0.90	-4.24	263	.0308	1.oko	.058	-7-9	1.50	-1.07	202	-0311	-043	.078	-7.6		6.10	-190	0357	021	-073	-7.8
	-1.99		-0160		.059	-7.9		-2.02	111	- 0250	.020	-076	-7.6		8.14	-279	.0505	031	.052	[-7.8
	-I.06		-0161	.026	.061	-7.9		99 47	058	-0186	.021	.075	-7.6		10.19	-325	-0708	039	.051	-7-8
		075	.0150	-023	.062	-7-9			047	.0174	.018	.075	-7.6		12.23	- 385 - 446	•09 k 3	046	050	-7.8
	- 53	028	.0139	+020	.061	-7-9		-51	007	.0170	1.021	-074	-7.6		14.26	.446	-1631	052	.048	-7.8
	1.02	002	.0142	810-	.061	-7-9		1.04	.017	.0177	.00 6	.074	-7.6		16.33	- 506	1566	057	.048	[-7-9
	2.10	.052		.014	.058	-7-9		2-02	-060	8010	.001	-073	-7.6		17.36	-538	-1760	059	-041	-7-9

NACA


NACA

TABLE I.- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A TRIANGULAR WING EQUIPPED WITH A PADDLE CONTROL. DATA FOR ONE PADDLE CONTROL. $R = 3.0 \times 10^6$ - Concluded (e) Nominal $\delta = -12^0$

ж	α	્ર	с _р	C.	G	8	N	α	°L.	GD C	C _m	G	8	ж	α	GL	പ	C_	Gat	8
0.60	-4-18	-0.228	0.0270	0.027	0.060	-12.0	0.90	4.17	0.158	0.0256	0.006	0.074	-11.8	1.50	5.00	0.054	0.0235	0.005	0.091	-11.6
1	-2.09	136	.0191	1.021	.078	-12.0		6.30	-265	.0411	001	-084	-11.0		4.00	.139	.0306	009	.087	-11.6
	-1.04	089	.0165	.018	.077	-12.0	1	8.43	.368	.0654	003	.091	-11.7		6.13	.206	.0434	023	.024	-11.6
	51	066	.0156	-017	.057	-18.0	1	10-55	.473	.0985	010	-092	-11.7		8.19	-319 -394	.0625	036	.084	-11.6
	.48	026	.0148	-016	.057	-12.0									20.24	- 394	.0069	- 050	.079	-11.7
	1.01	003	-0149	.015	056	-12.0	1.20	-4.08	250	.0369	0.99	.100	-11.6		12.29	.475	.1169	063	.072	-11.7
	2.07	.044	-0160	.013	-056	-12.1		-2.22	142	-0258	.040	.101	-11.6		1.5	- 223	.1532	075	.067	-11.0
	4.12	.133	1.0204	-099	-054	-12.1	1	10	091	.0227	.031	.103	-11.5		16.41	.696	.1950	085	.062	5. LL-
	6.22	•831	.0309	1.00+	-074	-12,1		48	066	.0217	.027	-102	-11-2							
	8.32	-330 -424	.0500	.002	-054	-12.1	1	- . .	019	.0209	-019	-101	-11.6	1.70	-4.06	186	0321	.042	- 093	-11.5
	10.43		.0773	.005	-054	-18.1		1.04	-010	-0212	-01	.100	-11.6		-2.01	106	-0232	-030	-096	-11.6
	12.7	.526	.1159	-004	.054	-12.1		2.03	-060	-0230	.006	•099	-11.6		99	067	-0205	-023	.091	-11.6
	14.65	.630	.1609	1001	.077	-12.0	1	4-09	.162	+0308	012	-099	-11.6		47	047	-0197	-020	.090	-11.6
	16.76	.732	.2133	10	.058	-12-0		6.15	.268	.059	030	-101	-11.6		.51	011	•0195	-014	.090	-11.6
. 1	17.82	.769	.2446	0	.057	-12.0		8.21	-372	.0695	047	-104	-11-5		1.04	.01	-0197	.011	.089	-11.6
		F .						10.28	+79	1001	063	-104	-11.5		2.00	.050	-0212	+005	.089	-11.6
0.80	-4.22	242	+0293	-033	-062	-12.0		12.37	- 798	.1408	054	.100	-11.6		4.75	-127	.0270	007	.059	-11.6
	-2.11	143	.0196	.025	.060	-12.0		4.08		4791					6.13	-201	-0394	019	.068 .066	-11-6
	-1.05	- 093	-0160	.022	-072	-12.0	1.30		230	-0384	•003	-094	-11.6		0.18	.261	.0504	- 030	.007	-11.6 -11.6
i	- 22	068	.0158	-020	-058	-12.0		-8-2	130	.0279	-036	.094	-11.6		10.23	-352		072	.007	-11.6
	-18	045	0150	.018	.058 .057	-12.0		- 99 - 48	088	-0249 -0236	.026	.096	-11.6		14.33		.1059	- 061	.001	-11.7
	1.02	002	0151	.016	-057	-12-0		.,1	030	.0230	.02	.093	-11.6		16.39	.763	.1779	- 061	.071	-11.7
	2.09 4.15	144	-0220	.008	.06	-12.0		1.01	.011	.0234	.012	.092	-11.6		17.41	.299	.1973	073	.069	-11.7
	6.27	.248	-0351	.001	.077	-12.0		2.03	.079	.0253	-004	.091	-11.6			•/77		-1013		-11-1
	8.36	.342	0561	.003	.062	-12.0		4.08		.0295	012	.087	-11.6	1.90	-4.05	166	.0347	.035	.085	-11.7
	10.50	.138	.0677	.004	.067	-11.0		6.14	200	.0468	026	.086	-11.6	1~	-9-01	094	.0226	.021		-11.7
- 1	12.62	وَبَارَ.	.1257	000	.061	-11.9		8.20	33	.0678	- 04e	.087	-11.6	i Ì	99	079	.0201	.019	.081	-11.7
- 1	14.75	661	1756	015	.059	11.9	1 1	10.26	6.6	0956	- 077		-11.7		- 47	-,011	.0198	.016	.003	-12.7
	16.87	.766	.2327	021	071	-11.9		12.32	- 299	.1300	071	.083	-11.7		- 1	009	.0193	.011	-063	-11.7
	17.93	.820	2650	026	.069	-11.9		1.30	.60	.1712	084	.078	-11.7		1.03	.000	.0094	.009	-082	-11.7
1	-,,						ł 1			• • • • •				1	2.01	.046	.0207		.089	-11.7
0.90	-4.24	261	.0338	.040	.062	-11.8	1.50	-4.07	208	.0348	.019		-11.6		4.06	.119	.0268	007	.082	-11.7
	-2.06	- 109	0205	-033	.078	-11.8		-2.02	120	0252	-034	.087	-11.6		6.10	.184	-0374	016	-0 0 e	-11.7
1	-1.06	098	.0190	.025	.080	-11.8		99	077	.0220	.027	.087	-11.6		8.15	.273	0,728	026	.080	11.7
i	.40	0.6	.0168	.020	.077	-11.8	1 1	- 47	- 022	·0208	.024	.086	-11.6		10.19	.320	.0728	034	.079	-11.7
	1.03	.001	-0170	-018	.016	-11.8		.51	015	.0203	.017	.092	-11.6	1	12.24	.380	0962	041	-077	-11.7
- 1	2.10	-053	.0166	-014	.078	-11.8		1.04	.008	.0210	.013	.092	-11.6	i I	14.26	.140	-12+3	047	.068	-11.8
1	1										1				16.33	. 502	1579	053	.064	-11.5
- 1				1			1		1		1	- 1		·)	17.36	.534	.1774	058	-065	-11.6

(f) Nominal $\delta = -16^{\circ}$

-4.16 -2.09 -1.04 51 49 1.02 2.08 4.12 6.22 8.34	01 -0.224 130 087 064 022 .003 .049 136	CD 0.0310 .0230 .0205 .0198 .0189 .0189 .0201	0.025 .020 .018 .017 .015	0.067 .066 .066 .066	-16.0 -16.0 -16.0 -16.0	0.90	6.30 8.42	с _{т.} 0.259	50 0.0460	0.002				<u> </u>					
-2.09 -1.5192081281 2.1281281	130 087 064 022 .003 .049 .136	.0230 .0205 .0198 .0180 .0189	-020 -018 -017 -015	.066 .066 .066	-16.0	0.90	8. e				0.115	-15.7	1.50	¥.08	0.133	0.0341	-0.005	0.099	1.15
-1.04 51 1.02 2.08 4.12 6.22 8.34	087 064 022 .003 .049 .136	-0205 -0198 -0180 -0189	.018 .017 .015	.066 .066	-16.0			·359	.0694	.001	120	-15-6	~	6.14	219	.0.65	019	- 090	1.15
51 49 1.02 2.05 4.12 6.22 8.34	064 022 .003 .049 .136	.0198 .0188 .0189	.017	₊06 6			10.54	466	.1022	007	.121	-19.6		8.19	- 306	.0652	- 032	-090	-15.
1.02 2.05 4.12 6.22 8.34	022 .003 .049 .136	-0189	. on 5	_063								- 1	·	10.24	. 309	.0892	047	-092	-15-
1.02 2.05 4.12 6.22 8.34	.003 .049 .136		- m L		-16.0	1.20	-4.08	255	. oleo	-064	.117	-15.4		12.30	.471	.1192	050	B60-	-13.
4.12 6.22 8.33	.136	1 0001		-064			-8.02	149	.0309	.044	.117	-15		14.35	.571	.1577	072	-065	-25.
6.22 8.39			-012	.063			- 99 - 10	096	.0276	-035	.119	-15-4					سد.		
8.34		-0242	1009	.063	-16.0			-+070	-0266	.031	.119	-15-1	1.70	-4.06	- 195	-0363	.048	- 090	1.5
	.232	•0348	-004	.066	-16.0		·	024	.0256	-023	-110	-15.4		-9.01	117	.0271	-035	.089 .089	-19. -15.
	- 389	.0539	-002	.069	-16.0		1.04	-004	-0260	.016	.117 .117	-15.4		4	077	.0235	-026	.000	10.
10.42	- 420	-0800	.006	-072	-16.0		2.09	.056	-0276						021	.0227	.020	.005	-0
19.5	.,220							-170											-15.
14.64							0.17	167				121							-15.
	-752							174											-15.
17.02	•108	-5410	(.003	-010	-10-0								1						-15,
	- 026	0333	1 000	6770	-15.0		10.00	• • • • • •							.274				-15.
	195					1.30	-4.07	- 235	.0428	.050	.106	-15.5		10.22	.346	.0004	039	.000	-15.
														12.27	. 528	.1069	09	.075	-15.
											.110		1 1	14.32	.188	.1389	- 009	.073	-19.
							18	068	.0281	-030	.112	-15.5		16.30	- 558	.1766	- 067	.069	-29.4
								022		.022	.110	-15.5		17.41	• 593	.1978	071	.067	-15.
				.069			1.04	.004	·0274	.018		-15.5		[1				
				.067			2.09	.054	.0291	-009	.106	-15.5	1.90						-15.
	253	.0401	003	.069	-15.9		4.09	.1)7	-0363	007	.107	-15.5							-13.
8.36	343	.0605	.001	.071	-15.9				.0501					- 99 [~15.
10.50	.431	.0885	.006	.081	-15.8			- 338					1						-15.
12.62	548	.1297	007	.078														.072	~15
14.75			[013 [18.32	- 525											-15.
16.87	.762	.2355	018	.091	-15.8		14-30	.617	.174L	052	-096	-15.0							-15.
									-		~~~					-0207			-12.
						1.70									146				-ŭ.
1.00								- 060											-15.
- 22															11				-19.4
														16.35	. 197		050		15
											101			17-37		.1790	053	.050	-15.0
										· • • • •		~~~	í I	,					
	2.62	Li.G.	14.64 .667 .1630 16.76 .722 .279 16.76 .722 .279 16.76 .722 .279 17.82 .782 .8470 -4.21 -235 .0333 -1.05 .067 .0211 -51 .067 .0214 1.02 .007 .0284 1.02 .007 .0294 1.02 .0074 .0209 4.16 .150 .0267 6.27 .2733 .0640 5.38 .343 .0605 .6.7 .766 .237 .6.87 .767 .1781 .6.87 .767 .2673 .106 .104 .0243 .6.87 .766 .235 .6.87 .767 .0273 .06 .104 .0243 .6.8 .0262 .0231 .6.8 .0232 .231 .6.8 .0232	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41.66 .267 1150 0.03 .076 -15.0 6.03 .026 .100 .026 .101 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .001 .026 .003 .003 .006 .003 .006 .003	14.67													



.


۲


- - -


Figure I.- Dimensional sketch of model .

щ

.








3


ι



ե

 \cdot


NACA RM A53K20



(c) M=1.30



NACA RM A53K20








Figure 3.-Variation with Mach number of the pitching-moment-effectiveness parameter, C_{mg}, the hinge-moment parameters, C_{hg}, and C_{hg}, and the minimum drag coefficient, C_{Do}, for the unbalanced flap and the paddle-control configurations. Data for two flaps.

NACA-Langley - 2-2-54 - 325



ł

ł

ł

.

.

p...

• .

· ·