rl

T VI w

 $\frac{1}{2}$

d 2

Tо

ĩ

EUNTIDEN IML

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

ANALYSIS OF THE TURBOJET ENGINE FOR PROPULSION

OF SUPERSONIC **BOMBERS**

By Richard P. Krebs **and** E. Clinton Wilcox

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED

UNCLASSIFIED

By authority of *near PA* $1007 - 20 - 59$

CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

ffecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning
Reference that Way, the translateston or revealing of which in any
States at the first ontains information a

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE TH FOR AERONAUTICS APR 6 1954

WASHINGTON April 5, 1954

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

AREL EY AEROMAUTICAL LABORATORY LANGLEY FIELD, VIRGINIA

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

ANALYSIS OF THE TURBOJET ENGINE FOR PROPULSION

OF SUPERSONIC BOMBERS

By Richard P. Krebs and E. Clinton Wilcox

SUMMARY

An analysis was made to determine the effects of various turbojetengine design and operating variables and combinations of these variables **on** the performance of a supersonic bomber. In order to evaluate the divers **engine** designs, two bomber flight **plans** were considered; to reduce bmber vulnerability, both plans specified supersonic flight over an assumed defended zone. The first flight plan involved cruise at a Mach number *of* 0.9 **followed** by *8* 500-mile dash at superaonic speeds to and **from** the target in the zone and return to base at a flight Mach number of 0.9. The second flight plan involved all-supersonic cruise.

The merit **of** the various **engine** designa and the relative importance of the various deerlgn parameters were evaluated chiefly **by** means **of** the total radius **for** both the flight plans. **Take-off** distance and maneuverability at the combat condition were also investigated. effect on bomber performance of changes in the following variables **wae** Investigated: zone afterburner temperature, compressor pressure ratio, compressor efficiency, turbine-inlet temperature, turbine efficiency, air-handling capacity, engine specific weight, and exhaustnozzle canfiguration. In order to produce a **given** thruet, a variation in zone afterburner temperature **Fnvolves** a change in engine size; the **lower** the zone afterburner temperature, **the** larger the engine size required. **For** the all-supersonic flight plan, **the air**plane radius *is* relatively insensitive to the zone afterburner temperature. **For** the flight **plan** involving a relatively **large** amount of subsonic flight, the total **radiue** increases as the zone afterburner temperature is fncreased. Regardless of the amount **of** supersonic flight in the flight plan, the afterburner temperature in the combat zone should probably not exceed **300O0** R, in **order** to ensure adequate thrust margins during take-off, climb, and acceleration.

Based **on** the assmption that engine specific weight is independent of compressor pressure ratio, the airplane radius for present-day

> **mathry FDENTIAL** UNCLASSIFIED

トーノ

-

.

" - -

tc) rl **aa** *cu*

engine components **is** relatively insensitive **to campressor pressure** ratio. For the all-supersonic flight plan, compressor pressure ratios up to 9 give near-maxlmum performance. For the flight plan Involving the 500-mile supersonic radius, *a* compressor **pressure** ratio **of** approximately 12 gives maximum airplane radius. Increases in turbineinlet temperature produce gains in airplane radius, especially at **high values** of compressor pressure ratio. Substitution of *a* convergent exhaust nozzle for a convergent-divergent nozzle may penalize the bomber radius up to 24 percent, depending *on* the amount of supersonic cruise in the flight plan. **The** combhation of several improvements to provide an "advanced" **turbojet** engine increased airplane radius about 36 percent, considerably **more** than the **sum** of the improvements attributable to individual component changes.

INTRODUCTION

The vulnerability of subsonic bombers to advanced interception techniques **has** promoted Interest in airplanes capable of supereonic flight over enemy defended zones. In general, the mission of the supersonic bomber consists **of** take-off, subsonic cruise over friendly territory, supersonic dash overthe defended **zones** to and from the target, **and** return to base at subsonic speeds. Turbojet engines for the propulsion of airplanes of this type must meet certain requirements **for** each phase of the flight plan. The engine must provide sufficient thrust to allow take-off from runways of a reasonable length. During cruise **over** friendly territory, low specific fuel consumption is of great **^a** importance. In order to obtain high speeds **and** maneuverability, the **engine** must provide suf'ficient thrust to allow acceleratim through the transonic range and excess thrusts at **high** flight speeds. **The** turbojet engine, serving as a power plant for the bomber, *can* be designed to aa8ure the aircraft.adequate performance **in** each of these regions .

The investigation discussed in the present report is **an analye18** of the turbojet engine as **the** power plant for bmbers capable **of** supersonic flight speeds. A **similar** analysis, in which the turbojet engine is considered for the propulsion of supersonic fighter aircraft, **is** presented in reference 1. Because of the continuing improvements being made in the components comprising the turbojet engine, the analytical study presented herein was made to ascertain the effects of these engine component changes on the perfomnance of bombers **and** to evaluate their relative importance.

Two flight plans are considered in this report. The first consists of a subsonic cruise portion followed **by** *500* milee **at** supersonic speed **over enemy** territory; the **pay** load is then dropped and the **bomber** returns supersonically 500 miles and **continues** to **base** at **a** subsonic speed. .

NACA RM **E54A21** *3*

In the second flight plan, the entire cruise flight **of** the bmber is made at supersonic speeds. Both the subsonic and the supersonic flights were made *on* Breguet flight plans at the altitude **for maxlmum** *range,* that is, the one **for** which the product of drag-lift ratio and specific fuel consumption was a minimum. A representative airplane configuration was selected, and the effect on airplane perfomance of individual variations **of** the principal **engine deal-** variables was computed. The suitability of the various engine conFiguratians and the relative importance **of** the different engine design variables are judged principally by the total bomber radius, generally for a fixed gross weight. Take-off distance and maneuverability **were** also investigated.

The engine desiga variables considered were cmpressor pressure ratio, cmpressor and turbine efficiency, turbine-Inlet temperature, afterburner temperature, engine specific weight, and engine airhandling capacity. Engines equipped with variable-area convergent and convergent-divergent exhaust nozzles were investigated. For the most part, changes in engine configuration were studied at a fixed take-off *pose* weight; the effect of changes in **gross** weight **on** radius **was** also determined.

ANALYSIS AND PROCEDURE

Flight Plans

The two flight plans considered are shown schematically in figure 1. These flight **phs** tend to cover the **extremes in** the percentage **of** flight at supersonic speeds that might **be** expected in the flight of a supersonic bomber. The first flight plan had a subsonic portion, **followed by** *500* miles at eupersonic speeds to the target, *500* miles at supersonic **speeds** away from the **target,** and a **final sub**sonic portion back to base. The subsonic portions were assumed to be flown at 0.9 Mach number. **For** the second flight plan, the entire flight to and €Yam the target was assumed to **be floun** at supersonic speeds. In general, a supersonic Mach number of 2 was assumed. It **was** further aesumed that all cruise flight followed a Breguet flight plan, and that in all instances the initial altitude **was** chosen to give maximum range for the particular flight **plan** being considered. It **was** assumed that 5 percent of the **original** fuel load **was** maintained in the tanks at the end **of** the flight *as* **reserve.** In general, the amount **of** fuel burned and the distance covered during take-off, climb, and acceleration were neglected. (Calculations indicated that the results obtained from the analysis **were** not slgnfficantly affected **by** neglecting these compensating factors.)

DECK \vec{r}

Airplane Configuration

For all calculations, except those in which the effect of *gross* weight was investigated, the airplane take-off gross weight was assumed to be 150,000 pounds, of which 23 percent was structure, including crew and electronic equipment, *5000* pounds was bomb **load, and** the **remainder was** distributed among engines, fuel, and fuel tanks. Although a delta wing with aspect ratio of 2 **and** thic-hess-chord ratio of 0.05 was chosen for this analysis, the results, insofar as the relative effects of the various engine parameters on **airplane** performance are concerned, **are** nat especially critioal to the particular **wing** type choeen. **The take-off wing** loading **was** assumed to be 100 **pounde** per square foot. The fuselage, for a gross weight of 150,000 pounds, was assumed to have a maximum diameter of 8 feet. For other values of *gross* weight, **the fuselage maximum** diameter was assumed to **vary as** the cube root of the *gross* weight. For all cases, the over-all fineness ratio of **the fuselage- was** 12. **The** engines were assumed to **be** mounted in nacelles, me on either side. of **the** aselage. **The** over-all **fineness** ratio of the nacelles **wa8** 9. The etructure to *gross* weight ratio **was** decreased to 0.225 **for** a **groae** weight of *200,ooO* **pound8 and** increased to 0.25 for a *gross* weight of 100,000 pounds.

Airplane **drag** was computed by summing the drag of wing, tail, fuselage, and nacelles. It was assumed that the entire wing area. including that blanketed by the fuselage, was effective in producing lift; whereas, only the **exposed wing** area **was** aseumed to produce drag. The tail drag **was** assumed to be 20 percent **of** the **wing** zero-lift drag. The airplane lift-drag ratios obtained for a representative configuration were approximately 11 at a Mach number of 0.9 and about 5 at a Mach number **of** 2. Further details **regarding** the serodynamic aesumptione are presented in the appendix.

Procedure **and** Engine Operation

The analysis was an off-design study, **in** that the engines were assumed to **be** designed for sea-level static conditions **and** then operated, at all flight Mach numbers and altitudes excepting subsonic cruise. at constant mechanical **speed. The** performance at the combat-zone Mach numbers **WE** therefore off-design with reepect to compressor aerodynamic speed **and** associated **air flow and** compressor efficiency. For the **part**subsonic flight plan, off-design studies **were** necessary to establish engine performance at subsonic speeds for various thrust levels, because **engines** providing sufficient thrust to fly at the supersonic-zone Mach number had to be throttled to fly at the subsonlc cruise Mach number **and** the most favorable altitude.

Basic values of the various engine design variables were assumed. and the effects of departwee from these values **were** determined by

 $\bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$

SI62

varylng each while holding all others fixed. **The** effect of the **afterburner** temperature used in the supersonic portion **of** the flight (zone afterburner temperature) **was** first inveetigated. Then, the effect *on* airplane performance of substituting a convergent nozzle for a convergent-divergent nozzle was determined. Next, the effect of compressor pressure ratio and compressor efficiency **was** evaluated. The engine specific weight was changed, and its importance determined. In turn, the turbine-inlet temperature and air-handling capacity were increased , and their contribution to improved bomber performance assessed. After the effect **of** the **foregoing** changes **had been** determined individually, several of the advantageous improvements that **seemed** realizable in the foreseeab'le- future **were** cambined into what is **called** the "advanced engine." **The** effect of changes **in** compressor preesure ratio and afterburner temperature *on* bamber performance with the advanced **engine wae** determined, as **W~E** performance with **an** advanced **engine** having no afterburner.

The performance waa camputed **for** an initial gross weight of **150,000** pounds and **a** combat-zone Mach **number** of 2. **The** effect of changes in the *gross* weight **WBE** computed for the basic and advanced **engines** and for both flight **plans.** Two **bombers, one** with basic *engines* **and** one with advanced engines, were flown over a range of Mach numbers from 0.9 **to** 2.5 to determine the effect of flight Mach **number** on the radius of the bomber.

The three critical thrust **regions** through which the airplane must **pass** and in which it must have sufficient thrust to give satisfactory **performance are** (1) **at** take-off, (2) in the traneanic region, and **(3)** in the combat zme. In this analysis, the **drag** of the airplane in the combat zone determined the enginethrust requirement. It **was** assumed that the engines were operated at rated rotational speed and rated turbine-inlet temperature in the combat **zone. For** the subsonic cruise portions of the flight, the afterburner was turned **off** and the fuel **flow** and rotational speed were reduced to provide the required thrust.

As the flight Mach **number** md altitude varied, the compressorinlet temperature **and,** hence, equivalent engine speed **varied.** The variations in compressor efficiency and air flow with equivalent engine speed given *Fn* reference 2 and in appendix **C** of reference 1 **were used** to calculate engine performance during flight. Theee variation8 **were** assumed independent of rated compressor pressure ratio. The compressor pressure ratio **also** varied with equivalent engine **speed** *or* flight condition and **was** cmputed from the air **flow** and turbine-inlet temperature with the assumption that the turbine nozzlee **were** choked. **Through**out the report, all values cited for compressor pressure ratio are those occurring at rated sea-level static conditions.

The engine-inlet diffuser **was** assumed to **be** of the spike **type** with a translating spike. The **total-pressure** recovery ratio is sham in figure **14 as a** function of flight Mach number.

.....

. *0,* . (u

6
 Example 12
 Example 15 English Variables Range *of* Engine Variables

The effects on airplane performance of independently **varying** the following engine design variables over the indicated ranges were computed:

The followfng variables **were held** at the **given** constant **values:**

For moet of the calculatione, uee of **a** completely variable-area convergent-divergent exhaust nozzle was assumed. The effects on performance of using a vartable-area convergent **nozzle were** computed for one particular engine configuration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Basic Engfne

The first remlts of the analpis **show** the effect, *for* both flight plans, of changes in the basic engine on the bomber relative radius.

¹The compressor and turbine efficiencies were assumed paired, the low **turbine** efficiency being **used** with **the low** compressor efficiency.

NACA RM **E54A21 7**

The basic engine **is an** arterburning engine with **a** rated sea-level compressor pressure ratio of 7, **a maximum** adiabatic compressor efficiency of *0.85,* a turbine-inlet temperature **of** 2000° R, a turbine adiabatic efficiency of 0.85, an air-handling capacity of 27 pounds per second per **square** foot of compresaor area, an engine specific weight **of** 650 pounds per **square** foot **of** compressor **area,** and a ccanpletely variable-area convergent-divergent nozzle.

N Effects of combat-zone afterburner tmperature. - **The** effect of *crc* changes in zone afterburner temperature **an bomber relative** radius is shown in figure 2 **(a) for** both flight plane considered. *The* relative radius plotted in figure $2(a)$ and many of the succeeding figures is computed by dividing the bomber radius for the engine configuration and flight plan under consideration by the maximum radius obtainable with the basic engine flying the all-supersonic flight plan. The lower the basic engine flying the all-supersonic flight plan. curve of figure 2(a) represente the performance of a series of bombers having various zone afterburner temperatures and, hence, various *engine* sizes, flying an all-supersonic flight plan. The upper curve represents the performance of the same series **of** *bombers* flying the flight plan involving **a** 5oO-mile supersonic radius to *slfra* **from** the target, the remainder of the flight being made **at** eubsonic speed. **For** both subsonic and supersonic fllght, the airplane **was** flown **at** that altitude giving maximum **range.**

^Achange in zone afterburner temperature requires a change in engine size in **order** to sustain constant-speed supersonic flight, **an** increase in afterburner temperature necessitating a decrease in engine size. The relation **between** engine size (as expressed in terms of **air** flow per unit airplane **gross** weight) and zone afterburner temperature is not unique but is dependent **on** the flight altitude. **The** relation between zone afterburner temperature and engine size **for** the altitude giving **maximum** supersonic range is shown in figure 2(b). **An** engine that handles almost 0.007 pound *of* air **per** second per pound of air-. plane take-off *gross* weight is required **for** flight at a Mach number of 2 at the most favorable altitude if the afterburner is inoperative; whereas, **an** engine size of 0.0025 is sufficient with **a** zone after**burner** temperature of about *3500°* R.

As the engine size and weight decrease, with increased zone **afterburner** temperature, more **fuel** can be carried for a given **air**plane take-off **gross** weight, and the airplane drag is decreased because of the reduced size of the nacelles. Both the increased **fuel on board** and the decreased drag increase the bomber radius $(fig. 2(a))$. Detrimental to increased radius is the increased specific *8* **NACA** *FM* E54A21

2913

fuel consumption accompanying increased zone afterburner temperature. Increasing the zone afterburner temperature and decreasing the engine size result in increased radius of the bomber flying all supersonically until the zone afterburner temperature reaches 25000 R. For design afterburner temperatures above 2500° R, the engine size and weight **are** not reduced sufficiently to compensate the increased specific fuel conamption, and the airplane radius decreasee. In many of **the** eubsequent figures, &zl *engine* size corresponding to *0* **.OO4** pound **of** *aLr* per **second** per pound of airplane take-off **gross** weight is assumed, corresponding to a zone afterburner temperature of about 2500° R.

Factors comparable to those that influenced the relation between relative radius and afterburner temperature **for** the all-eupersonic flight plan are involved in the relation illustrated **in** the upper curve of figure 2(a). **For** the very **low values** of zone afterburner temperature, the engine ie so large that it **must** be throttled back **for** subsonic cruise to a thrust **level** at which the specific fie1 consumption is greater than the minimum. As the zone afterburner temperature is increased, the specific **fuel** consumption for 8ubsonic cruise decreases and stays near its minimum value, the engine weight decreases, the fuel load increases, and the drag decreases. **All** the previously mentioned factors tend to produce **an** increased radius at subsonic speed. **As a** result, in contradistinction to the change in relative radius with zone afterburner temperature for the all-supereonic flight plan, **wherein** relative radiua maximizes at some intermediate afterburner temperature, the total radius **for** the flight plan involving aubsonic and *500* **milse** of supersonic cruise increaees continuously with increasing afterburner temperature fn the combat zone. At a zone afterburner temperature corresponding to maximum radius **for** the alleupersonic flight plan, the relative radius for the 500-mile supersonic flight plan is 1.228.

The results of figure 2(a) indicate that **the** most desirable zone afierburner temperature is dependent on the **amount** of supersonic flight involved in **the** flight plan. For all-supersonic flight, the radius is relatively insensitive to afterburner temperature, and the most favorable afterburner temperature increases as the amount of supersonic flight decreases. For an airplane **that** might **be** expected to fly both flight plans conaidered in figure 2 (a), a **good** compromiee value of zone afterburner temperature would be about **3ooOo** R, corresponding to an **engine** size of approximately **0.003 pound** of air flow **per** second per pound of airplane *gross* weight.

The influence on radius of the amount of fuel consumed and the distance covered in take-off, climb, and acceleration **was** not considered **for** the data of figure 2(a). Emever, **when** theee factors **were** considered, it **was** found that, **for** zone after**burner** temperatures up to **3000°** R, **the** curves obtained were nearly

coincident with those **of** figure 2(a). For zone afterburner temperatures above 3WOo R, the *engine size* and thrust margins became *so* amall that the airplane encountered difficulty in take-off, climb, and acceleration. Take-off distance is shown as a function of **zone** afterburner temperature in figure 2 (c) . The take-off distance **wae** computed with the engines assumed to **be** operating at an afterburner temperature of 3500^o R during the take-off operation. For high values of zone afterburner temperature, which correspond to amall engine sizes, thrust margins are small and long take-off distances reeult. If **take-off** distances less than **5000** feet are required, the combat-zone afterburner temperature must be limited to *3000O* R or less. Thus, the afterburner temperatues for **maxfmum** range *on* the all-supersonic flight plan and for acceptable take-off distance are nearly the **same, and** no compromise **of** take-off distance is required to obtain maximum all-supersonic *range. The* thruet margh **Fn** the transmic-speed range **was** also investigated for several afterburner temperatures **in** the range **of** interest. **All** airplanes investigated had sufficient thrust to accelerate through this second critical region.

Maneuverability in the combat **zone, expressed** as the normal load factor the airplane **can** sustain without **loes** of speed **or** altitude, is shown in figure 2 (a). **A** load factor of unity correBponds *to* level, unaccelerated flight. *The* data of figure 2(d) **are all** for a maneuvering afterburner temperature of *3500°* **R;** and, therefore, for a *zone* afterburner temperature **of 3500° R,** a load factor **of** unity is obtained. *As* the *zone* afterburner temperature is decreased, the maneuverability or normal load factor increases. Maneuverability at **a zone** af'terburner temperature **of 350° E could** be obtained by ipcreasing the afterburner temperature above **35Wo** R during maneuvering, by the use of sme other thruet-augmentation method, **or by** decreasing the flight altitude. Any maneuvering would result fn **a** decrease In airplane radius. For a zone afterburner temperature **of** *3000°* R, **a** nmal load factor of approximately 1.3 **can be** obtained , at **a** flight Mach number of 2, by increasing the afterburner temperature to 3500⁰ R.

Inasmuch as the radiue for the all-supersonic flight plan **wa8** relatively Fnsewitive to **zone** afterburner temperature, *airplane* performance for the all-supersonic flight plan was computed for the **case of** *811 engine* without **an** afterburner. Because of the abeence of the afterburner, it was assumed that the *engine* specific weight **was** decreased **16** percent. Thfs nonafterburning-enghe performanca is shown in figures $2(a)$, (c) , and (d) by the symbols. While the radius obtained for the nonafterburning case is nearly equal to the dietance is rather great (about *6600* ft, fig. 2(c)). The maneuverability *or* normal load factor is unity at the design Mach number and altitude . **L maximum** radius obtainable for afterburning **engines,** the take-off

g.

.

M r c $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$

.

10

Trom consideration of the effect of zone afterburner temperature

on airplane performance it may be concluded that, for the basic engine,

training and the state of the passic engine, **From** consideration of the effect of zone afterburner temperature **on** airplane performance it **may be** concluded that, for the basic **engine,** typical of current turbojet englnes, an afterburner is desirable. If the flight plan is all supersonic, the airplane radius is relatively insensitive to afterburner temperature; although, if the **engine ha8** no afterburner, the take-off, cllmb, and acceleration performance is impaired. **AB** the amount of subsonic. flight **in** the flight plan increases, the zone afterburner temperature giving maximum radius increases.

Effect of convergent nozzle. - **The** foregoing results **were** obtained with the engines assumed equipped with convergent-divergent nozzles of variable throat and variable expansion ratio. The substitution of a **simple** convergent nozzle with variable throat puts a great penalty on the **range** of the supersonic bomber, as shm **in figure** 3, where ths radius of the.bomber is plotted against **the** combat-zone afterburner temperature. At an afierburner temperature of *2500°* R, there **is** approximately a 13-percent decrease in the radius of the bomber for the flight **plan** involving only *500* miles of eupersonic radius. At the same afterburner temperature, there is a 24-percent decrease in radius for the bomber **flying. all** mpersonically **at** a Mach number of 2.

The engines for a given thrust requirement are considerably larger for a given afterburner temperature when a convergent nozzle is used in place **of a** convergent-divergent nozzle. Accordingly, when the afterburner temperature 1s increased to **reduce** the **engine** size and weight, **more** of **an** advantage in relative radius is obtainable with the convergent nozzle than with the convergent-divergent nozzle.

The previously discussed results **have** all been based *on* the assumption of an ideal, infinitely variable, convergent-divergent nozzle. If the losses in a recently investigated translating-plug-type nozzle are included, the radius for the all-supersonic flight plan is decreased about 13 percent, the radius **for** the flight **plan** involving 500 miles of supersmic flight **is** decreased approximately 10 percent, **and** the take-off distance **is** increased 2 percent.

Effect of rated cmpressor **pressure** ratio **and** compressar and turbine efficiencies. - The effect of **changes** in rated **compressor** pressure ratio and **maxlmum compressor** and turbine efficiencies on **the** relative radius of the bomber is **shown** in figure **4(a). For** ease in **calculation,** a **fixed** engine size of **0.004** pound of air **per** second **per** pound of *gross* wight at take-off **was** assumed, with a resulting **zone** afterburner temperature of approxfmately *2500°* R. **As the pressure** ratio and the efficiency of **the** compressor and turbine wwre changed, **^a**small adjustment in afterburngr temperature was necessary to match .. the airplane drag and the available thrust at the altitude for best

NACA RM **E5A421 11**

W $\frac{1}{2}$

back

いいし

.

range. Other **values** for the **engine** with a convergent-divergent nozzle were **a** turbine-inlet temperature of *2000'* R, an air-handling capacity of 27 pounds per second per square foot of compressor area, an **engine** specific weight of *650* pounds per square foot of compressor area, and a supersonic Mach number in the combat **zone** of 2. **The value** of compressor pressure ratio shown on the abscissa 1s the pressure ratio of the **engine** at rated speed **under** sea-level static conditions.

For an engine equipped with **a** campressor **having a** maximum efficiency of 0.85 and a constant turbine efficiency **of** the same value, the relative radius for the 500-mile supersonic flight plan is relatively inseneitive to changes in rated compressor **pressure** ratio from 7 to 15. For the bomber flying at supersonic speed throughout its entire flight, any rated cmpreesor pressure ratio between **4** and 10 gives nearly **maximum** radius. **The** results *of* these calculations and the conclusions drawn from the data are all based on the supposition that the **engine** specific weight is unaffected by changes in campressor pressure ratio. Should this not be the case, the effect of cmpressor pressure ratio must **be** evaluated with the variation in engine specific weight with compressor pressure ratio considered. Such an evaluation *can* **be** made through the application of one of the succeeding figures.

When the component efficiencies are raised to *a* maxim of 0.88 for the compressor and 0.90 for the turbine, the cmpressor pressure ratio for maximum radiue increases somewhat, although the effect is **small** for the all-supersonic flight plan. For this flight plan, the increase *in* component efficiencies increases the radius plan, the increase in component efficiencies increases the radius
approximately 8 percent. The same increase in component efficiencies
produced increases in radius for the 500-mile supersonic flight plan
in and 21 percent **of 14** and 21 percent at rated compressor pressure ratios of 7 and 12, respectively.

Another factor to **be** considered in the selection **of** compressor pressure ratio **and** the evaluation of benefits to **be** derived **from** increased component efficiencies is their effect on cmbustor velocitiea. Both primary-cambustor and afterburner velocities are shown **as** Rrnctions of compressor pressure ratio in figure **4(b).** Afterburner velocities are **well** below 500 feet **per** second for **all** compressor pressure ratios **and** component efficiencies considered. **A** pressure ratio of at *least* 7 is required with the **lower** efficiency componenta in **order** to keep the primary-combustor inlet velocity below 100 feet per second. data shown in **figure ⁴**indicate that, for **an engine** with current component efficiencies, **a** compressor pressure ratio of about 7 gives nearly maximum radius **for** the all-supersonic flight plan. For the flight plan incorporating *500* miles of supersonic flight, a pressure ratio of 10 gives **nearly maximum** radius. These results **are** based *⁰¹¹* the assumption that **engine** specific weight is fndependent **of** compressor pressure ratio.

12 NACA RM E54A21

.- . .

 2813

. --

Effect of engine specific weight. $-$ In the long-range bomber under consideration, the gross weight is held fixed and any decrease in weight of structure, **engine,** or fuel tank results in **an** increase in fuel-carrying capability. **The** effect of exchanging **engine** weight **for** fuel is shown **in** figure 5, where the bomber relative **radius is** plotted against rated compressor pressure ratio for the two flight plans considered **and for engine.** specific weights of **400,** 600, and *⁸⁰⁰* pounds per **square** foot of cmpreeeror area. Other canstants for this data are **engine** size of 0.004 pound of air **per** second **per** pound of take-off *gross* weight, compressor efficiency of 0.85, turbine efficiency of 0.85, turbine-inlet temperature 2000° R, an **ideal convergent**divergent exhaust **nozzle,** and air-handling capacity of 27 **pounde** per second per square foot of compressor **area.**

^Adecreaae in *engine* specific weight **from** 600 to **400 pounds per** square foot at a compressor pressure ratio of 7 increases the relative radiue for each flight plan approximately 8.5 percent. **For an** engine specific weight of 650 **pounds per** square foot of cmpreseor area, **the engine weighs** approximately **15** percent of the *gross* **weight** in the **bomber,** as compared **wlth** approximately 27 percent in the fighter of reference 1. Therefore, **engine** specific **weight is** coneiderably **less** Important **for** the bomber than for the **local** defense fighter of reference 1. The large difference in the relative *engine* weight **between** the bomber **&d** ths fighter of refersace **1** fa chiefly the result of the greater maneuverability requirements of the fighter at the high-speed, high-altitude flight conditions. **These** large differences in engine weight indicate that the bomber **engine** epecific weight **may** be relatively high and still not Jeopardize performance. Specifically, if an increase of 3 points in efficiency of the compressor **and** 5 points in **the** efficiency of the turbine *can* be made with **less** than a 66-percent increase in engine specific weight, such a change is advantageous in providing increased radius **for the** bomber **flying** the flight plan involving 500 miles at supersonic speeds.

Effect **of** turbine-inlet temperature. - By increasing the turbineinlet temperature, **the** same **work** can **be** extracted frm **the** turbine with a decreased turbine pressure drop. **With** the **turbine** pressure drop diminiehed, the pressure ratio **across the** exhaust nozzle is increaeed, with the result, **for a** given exhaust-gas temperature, of **an** increaee in engine thrust. The effect of increased turbine-inlet temperatme on bomber performance is **shown** in **figure** 6(a) , where relative radiue for both bomber flight plans is plotted against rated compressor pressure ratio **for** two turbine-inlet temperatures. Two-percent bleed from the cornpressor was **used** to cool the turbine at a turbine-inlet temperature of 2500^o R, in accordance with the analysis of reference 3. The **bleed** air, after cooling the turbine, **was** returned to the tail Pipe.

For the flight plan with the 5OO-mile supersonic radius, the increase **in** turbine-inlet temperature **fmm 2000'** to *2500'* R hae a relatively slight effect *on* radius for rated ccanpressor pressure ratios up to sbout 9. For **higher pressure** ratios the effect is increased, and a compressor pressure ratio of 12 and a turbineinlet temperature of 2500^o R provide a radius approximately 7 percent greater than the **maximum** attainable **for** a turbine-inlet temperature **of** 2000° R.

For the all-supersonic Plight plan, the effect of increased turbine-inlet temperature is greater at all values of compressor **pressure** ratio. The compressor **preseure** ratio giving **maximum** radius increases frm about 7 at a turbine-inlet temperature of 2O0O0 R to approximately 12 at a turbine-inlet temperature of 2500^o R. The **maximum** radius at a turbine-inlet temperature **of** 2500' R **is** 20 percent greater than the maxFmum at a turbine-inlet temperature of *20000* R.

The combined effects of changes in turbine-inlet temperature and compressor pressure ratio *on* the afterburner-inlet velocity are shown in figure 6(b). **For** compressor pressure ratioe of interest for **a** aupersonic bomber, **between** 7 **and** 12, **there** is little effect of the turbine-inlet temperatures inveetigated *on* the afterburnerinlet velocities, and the velocities *are* all low enough to **afford** efficient combustion.

Effect of air-handling capacity. - **An** increaee in the air-handling capacity improves the performance of the airplane **for** two reasme: (1) the increase reduces the drag **of** the engine nacelles, and (2) a decrease in the area for a given air flow will decrease the engine weight proportimately, because enghe weight **is** aesumed to be directly proportional to the **engine** cmpressor area. In the preeent investigation, **all** values of air-handling capacity cited &re the **values** at rated **sea-level** static conditions.

The effect **of** air-handllng capacity *on* **the radius** of the bomber **is** shm in figure 7(a) for three rated compressor pressure ratios and the two **flight** plans under caneideration. *The* other *engine* variables are the **aame** as *for* the basic **engine,** and the engine **size** corresponde to **0.004** pound of air per second per pound of take-off gross weight, with a **zone** afterburner temperature of approximately 2500° R. The increase in radius with increased air-handling capacity is about the same **for** the three **pressure** ratios investigated. Increasing the compressor air **flow** frm 27 to **33** pounds per secand **per** equare foot increases the radius for the flight plan involving the 500-mile eupersonic radius approximately *8* percent; for the all-supereonic flight plan, the increase is about 11 percent. Relative radius increased at a reduced rate with **an** increase in air-handling capacity, because **a** given increment of air-handling capacity **has less** effect **on** both the airplane drag and the engine weight at **high** air-handling capacities.

14 NACA RM **E54A21**

".
...

913 *cu*

.

 \mathbf{r}

Increasing the air-bandling capacity **has an** adverse effect on velocities throughout the **engine..** Figure 7(b) inaicates that, for a rated compressor pressure ratio of 7, the air-handling capacity is limited to about 27 pounde per equare foot of compressor frontal **area** to maintain the prlmary-combustor inlet velocity **below** 100 feet per eecmd. **At** a pressure ratio of *12,* **a** velocity of **a 100** feet per second is not reached until the **gis-handling** capacity of the **engine** has exceeded 40 pounds **per** second per square foot of cmpreesor area. **For** gir-handling capacities **below** 36 pounds per second per square foot, the afterburner-inlet velocity is less than 500 feet per second.

Advanced Engine

The foregoing sections of this report indicate the affects of independent changes in afterburner temperature, component efficiency, turbine-inlet temperature, compressor pressure ratio, type of exhaust nozzle, air-handling capacity, and engine epecific weight *on the* performance of the supersonic bomber. Several of the previouelg discussed beneficial effects are combined in this section into what might be called an "advanced engine," that is, an engine realizing future scheduled developments in the performance of several of the components. The advanced engine,had a maxfmum compressor efficiency of 0.88 , a turbine-inlet temperature of *2500'* R, a turbine eff iciency of 0.90, a convergent-divergent nozzle, and an air-handllng capacity **of 33** pounds per second-per **square** foot. **The** rated cmpressor pressure ratio and zone afterburner temperature were varied to determine the effect of changes in them on the relative radius of a bomber equipped with advanced engines.

Effects of rated cmp,ressor pressure ratla and **engine** epeclfic weight. - **The** effecte of **rated** compressor preasure ratio **and** engine specific weight *on* the radius of the supersonic- bomber are shown in figure 8 for an engine size of 0.004 pound of air per second per pound of *gross* weight. **As** previously illustrated for **a** turbine**inlet** temperature of **25W0** *A,* the data in this figure ehow a continu**ous** increase in relative radius with increasing rated compressor pressure ratio **for** the flight plan **involving** 500 **miles** of supersonic radius. An increaee in compressor pressure ratio from **7** to 12 at **^a** fixed engine weight of *600* pounds **per square** foot results in **an** increase in the rehtive radius of **more than** 13 **percent.** There is much **lese** effect for the all-supersonic flight plan, and little increase in radiue can **be** gained by increasing the rated campressor **pressure** ratio above 9.

All these results were calculated *on* the **basis** of **engine** specifio weight invariant with compreseor preesure ratio. The effect of engine specific weight is smaller for the advanced *engine* than for "

NACA RM E54A21 15

the basic engine, because the advanced engine weighs lees for a given thrust. For example, a 33-percent increase *in* engine weight decreases the relative radius 6 percent. This compares with a 9-percent change in radius for the same change in specific.weight of the basic engine.

Effect of combat-zone afterburner temperature. - **The** effect of afterburner temperature on bomber radius **when** the bomber is equipped with **an** advanced afterburning enghe **having** a rated compressor **pres**sure ratio of *12* is shown in figure 9. Performance with basic engines having a compressor pressure ratio of 7 *Fs* also included for compari*son.* For the advanced engine and the flight plan involving 500 miles of supersonic cruise, the total radius ie relatively ineensitive to the afterburner temperature used in the combat zone. For the allsupersonic flight plan, the nonoperattve afterburner provides **maximum** radius. With the advanced engine the gains afforded by afterburning, that is, smaJler engines and reduced airplane drag, do not balance the increased fuel consumption of the afterburner, inaamuch as the engines are relatively small.

From a comparison of **maximum** radii in all cases, it *can* be seen **from** figure 9 that, **for** both flight plans, the advanced engine provides increases in radius of about 36 percent over that for the basic engine. These maximum radii occur at widely differing values of zone afterburner temperature. The increase in radius is greater than the sum of the increases attributable to the individual changes.

Comparison of advanced engines with **and** without afterburner. - Because. radius for both flight plans is near maxlmum when cruising in the combat zone with the afterburner inoperative, an investigation was made to determine the effect of compressor pressure ratio on bomber performance for an advanced engine without the afterburner. The engine specific weight **waa** reduced 16 percent to account for the removal of the afterburner. The resulte are shown for the two different flight plans in figure 10. For both flight plans the radius increases continuously with an increase in rated compressor pressure ratio. The continuously with an increase in rated compressor pressure ratio. foregoing results were computed with the asemption of *a* constant engine specific weight, and the **engine** size wa8 selected for the altitude giving maximum supersonic range.

^Acomparison of figures 9 **and** 10 indicates that, for the advanced engine (compressor pressure ratio of 12), **removing** the afterburner provides a radius for the 500-mile supersonic flight plan only *4* **per**cent less **than** the **maximum afterburning** radius. For the all-supersonic flight plan, the maximum radius, which **was** ehm **in** figure 9 to occur with the afterburner inoperative, ie further increased about 3.5 percent by removing the afterburner. **A** further comparison of the bomber powered by advanced afterburning and nonafterburning engines was made to determine the effect on take-off distance and maneuverability. The afterburning

.

16 **16**
 16 III. NACA RM E54A21
 16 III. II. engine was sized *80* aa to require **an** afterburner temperature of 280Qo R in the combat zone and was operated at an afterburner temperature of 35000 R during take-off and maneuvering. Such a choice **favored** beet performance for the 500-mile supersonic flight plan at the expense of all-supersonic **range,** take-off distance, and maneuverability. **The** lower thrust per pound of air flow obtained from the engine without the af'terburner requimd an engine size 43 percent **larger** than that for the engine with the afterburner. The airplane take-off distance and maneuverability **are** as follows :

Effect of Flight Mach **Number**

The effect of flight Mach number *on* the **relative** radius **was** investigated for two different bombers, me quipped with the basic **engine and the other with an advanced engine. The engine sizes were** 0.004 **and** 0.003 pound of air per-secmd **per-pound** of take-off **groes** weight, respectively. **The** entire cruise portIan of the flight **was made** at constant Mach number. **The** result8. **are** shown in figure **11.** The change in **relative** radius with flight Mach number is the same for both **bombers.** Maximum **range** la **afforded** at a Mach number of 0.9. **Maximum** range &t supersonic **speeds** occurs at a Mach number elightly above 2.0.

At *8* Mach **number** of 2.0, a bomber equipped with advanced engines will **fly** 27 percent farther than one **using** the basic engine. The specific fuel consumption of the advanced engine is about 14 percent **less** than that of **the** basic **engine.** The 25-percmt reduction in **engine weight** increases the amount of fuel **an** board and adds another **11 percent to the radius. The improved lift-drag ratio due to smaller enginee** accounts **for** the **remalnigg** 2-percent improvement in radiue. In **the** subsonic region, at **a** Mach number of 0.9, the relative **range** is about **43** percent better **for** the advanced engine. **The** greater improvement in the subsonic region is due prfncipally **to** the fact that the specific fuel cmeumption **for** the advanced **engine** with a rated compresaor preesure ratio of 12 **and** a **turbine-inlet** temperature of 250O0 R is about 25 percent **less during** throttled operation **than** for the basic **engine. The** remainder of the improvement Is due to the decreased **engine** weight and slightly imprwed lift-drag ratio.

NACA R.5 **E54A21**

Effect **of** Bamber **Gross** Weight

The effect of *gross* weight *on* **the** relative radius of the airplane powered **by** a basic and **by an** advanced afterburning **engine** is canpared in figure *12.* The basic **engine** size **was** held constant at **0.004** pound **of air** per **second** per pound of airplane gross weight. The advanced engine **was** sized so that **no** afterburning would **be** required in **the** combat zone. *The* results **of** figure 12 indicate a consistent fncrease in relative radius as the bmber gross weight is increased. The rate of increase of the relative radius decreases, however, wlth increasbg gross weight, inasmuch as the bomb load becomes a decreasing percentage **of** the gross weight. **When** the **gross** weight is doubled from *100,000* to 200,000 pounds, the total radius for the bomber flying the 500-mile supersonic flight plan increases 13 percent for both **engines. The** effect of *811* engine parameter such **as** cmpreseor pressure ratio or afterburner temperature on airplane performance was shown in reference *1* to be relatively independent of airplane gross weight. **Therefore,** although presented in this **report for an** airplane *poser* weight of **150,000** pounds, the results are applicable to airplanes **having** somewhat different gross weights.

SUMMARY **OF** RESUZTS

An analysis was made of the turbojet *engine* 88 **the** parer plant for a bomber-type airplane having a design supersonic Mach number of plane radius, **for** an all-supersonic fli@t plan, **is** relatively insensitive to afterburner temperature. For a flight **plan** Involving a relatively large amount of subsonic flight, the total radius increases **as** the zone afterburner temperature is increased. **Regardless** of the amount of supersonic cmim, in **order** to ensure mfficient thrust *during* take-off, climb, and acceleration, the afterburner temperature in level, unaccelerated supersonic flight should probably **not** exceed **30000** R. - 2. **For** turbojet *engines* **haw** currently available canponmts, air-

Based *on* the assumption that **engine** specific weight is Independent of compressor pressure ratio, the airplane radius for currently available cmponents is relatively insensitive to compressor preseure ratio. For an all-supersonic flight **plan,** compresaor **preseure** ratios **up** to 9 give **nearly** *maximum* performance. If the flight plan **has** only a 500-mile radius at supersonic speeds with **the** remainder of the flight at subsonic velocities, the compressor pressure ratio giving maximum radius **is** approximately 12.

- *The* we of a variable-area convergent **nozzle** rather *than* a variable-area convergent-divergent nozzle penalizes the airplane radius as much **8s 24** percent, depending on **the** amount of supersonic flight included in the flight plan.

17

2132

 $C-V-3$

c

NACA RM E54A21

2913

Engine specific weight has a relatively small effect on bombertype airplane performance, with a 64-percent change in engine specific weight changing the total radius about 18 percent for a flight plan involving 500 miles at a Mach number of 2. This change in specific weight has about the same effect on airplane radius as a change of about 4 points in the efficiencies of both the compressor and turbine.

Increasing the turbine-inlet temperature from 2000° to 2500° R has a beneficial effect on airplane radius, especially at the higher values of compressor pressure ratio. For a flight plan incorporating 500 miles at a Mach number of 2, a compressor pressure ratio of 12 and a turbine-inlet temperature of 2500^o R gave a radius 7 percent greater than the maximum obtained for a turbine-inlet temperature of 2000° R. For an all-supersonic flight plan, the radius may be increased as much as 20 percent for the same increase in turbine-inlet temperature and compressor pressure ratio.

An increase in air-handling capacity from 27 to 33 pounds per second per square foot of frontal area increased the total radius 8 percent for the flight plan involving 500 miles at supersonic speeds. For the all-supersonic flight plan, the increase in radius was 11 percent.

For an advanced engine (one embodying simultaneous improvements in turbine-inlet temperatures, component efficiencies, and airhandling capacity), the airplane maximum radius was about 36 percent greater than that obtained for an engine empodying current engine components. This increase in radius was essentially independent of the amount of supersonic cruise incorporated in the flight plan. The combined effect of several improvements in the engine components is greater than the sum of the individual effects.

For the advanced engine, removing the afterburner gave nearmaximum performance for both flight plans considered. However, the airplane had the disadvantage of having no maneuverability at the design supersonic flight condition.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Cleveland, Ohio, January 28, 1954

(D *eo* **P w**

I I

For ease in computing the drag **of** the bomber, it **was** assumed that the aircraft consisted of a delta-wing design with a low-drag fuselage and with *engines* mounted in nacelles. Total **drag was** computed from the superposition **of the wing, fuselage,** and **nacelle** drag.

Fuselage

The fuselage **was** assumed to **be** *a* low-drag body, 8 **feet** in diameter, with a fineness ratio **of** *12.* **The** surface to frontal area **was** taken as **34.5.** The pressure drag coefficient **was** cmuputed from figure6 30 and 32 **of** reference 1. Variatione **of** friction drag and pressure drag coefficient with Mach number were assumed as **in** reference 1.

Nacelles

The **engine** nacelles were aseumed to have a length-diameter ratio **of** 9. **They** were **made up** of three lmgitudinally **equal** seotims: the cowl, a truncated cone; the center sectim, cylindrical; **and** the aft, section, cylindrical with a *70* boattail. **The pressure drag** coefficients for the cowl **and aft** sect ions **were** cmputed f'rcx~ figures **30,** 33, **and 34 of** reference 1. *The* friction **drag** coefficient for the entire nacelle was **Oaken** frm **figure** 31 **of** reference 1.

Wing

The delta wing assumed for the bomber had an aspect ratio 2.0 and a thickness-chord ratio of 0.05. The zero-lift pressure **drag** coefficient **for** the **wing** was assumed to have **a constant** value of *0.0058* above a Mach number of 1.0. **The** variation of **the** in drag coefficient divided by the square of **the lift** shown in figure 13. The data were obtained from references 4 and $5.$) $1/5$ The variation in the friction drag coefficient with Mach number is shown **in** figure 31 **of** reference 1. **The** tail drag **was** aseumed to be 20 percent **of** the *wing* zero-lift drag. 1. Gabriel, David S., Krebs, Richard P., Wilcox, E. Clinton, and Koutz,

REFERENCES

Stanley L.: Analysis of the Turbojet Engine for Propulsion of Supersonic Fighter Airplanes. NACA RM E52F17, 1953.

when the content

- 2. Koutz, Stanley *L.,* **and** Eensley, **Reece V.** : Loiterbg **and Range** Performance of Turbojet-Powered Aircraft Determined by Off-Design Engine Cycle **Analysis. RACA** Bl **E5lK29, 1952.**
- **3. Schramm, Wilson B., and Nachtigall, Alfred J.: Analysis of Coolant-**Flow **Requirements** for **an** Improved, **Internal-Strut-Supported, Air-**Cooled Turbine-Rotor Blade. NACA **RM E51L13**, 1952.
- **4.** Graham, **David: Chordwise and Bpannlse** Loading8 Measured **at** Low Speeds *on* **a Large Triangular Wing** Having **an Aspect Ratio of 2 and ^a**Thin, **Subsonic-Type** Airfoil Section. **NACA** RM **A50A04a, 1950.**
- *5.* **Love,** JWgene *S.:* **Investigations at Supersonic Speeds of 22** Triangular *Wings* **Representlng Two Airfoil** Sections **for Each** of **11 Apex** Angles. **NACA** R4 **L9DO7, 1949.**

 $\ddot{}$

 \bullet

Distance, nautical miles

(b) All **supersonic.**

Figure 1. - **Flight plans.**

 $\ddot{}$

a construction of the con-

 $\overline{}$

Figure 2. - Effects of combat-zone afterburner temperature. Rated compressor pressure ratio, 7; maximum compressor efficiency, 0.85; turbine efficiency, 0.85; turbine-inlet temperature, 2000^o R; convergentdivergent nozzle; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; engine specific weight, 650 pounds per square foot; combatzone Mach number, 2. \mathbf{r}

NACA RM E54A21

РS
С

 \blacksquare

(b) Engfne **size.**

Figure 2. - Continued. Effects of cmbat-zone afterburner temperature. Rated compressor pressure ratio, 7; **msximum** compressor efficiency, *0.85;* turbine efficiency, *0.85;* turbine-inlet temperature, 2000' **R;** convergent-divergent nozzle; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; engine specific weight, **650** pounds **per** square foot; cmbat-zone Mach number, **2.** $\ddot{}$

23

NACA RM E54A21

(a) **Bcmber maneuverabflity.**

Figure 2. - **Concluded. Effects of conibat-zone afterburner temperature. Rated CcmrpreS8Or pressure ratio, 7; maximum compressor efficiency,** *0.85;* **turbine efficiency,** *0.85;* **turbine-inlet temperature,** 2000' **R; convergent-divergent nozzle3 sir-handling capacity, 27 pounde per second per square foot; engine specific weight, 650 pounds per square foot; combat-zone Mach number, 2.**

.. .

Figure 3. - Comparative radius of bomber with convergent and convergentdivergent exhaust nozzles. Rated compressor pressure ratio, 7; maximum compressor efficiency, 0.85; turbine efficiency, 0.85; turbineinlet temperature, 2000^o R; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; engine specific weight, 650 pounds per square foot; combat-zone Mach number, 2.

8S

- **(a) Banber relative radius. Convergent-divergent** nozzle; **engine specific** weight, **650 pounds per square** foot; **engine size,** *0.004* **pound** per **second** per pound gross weight.
- **Figure 4. Effects** *of* **campressor pressure** ratio **ana compressor and turbine efficiencies. Turbine-inlet temperature,** *2000'* **R; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second.** per *sqmre* **foot; combat-zone** Mach capacity, 2. pounds per second per square root; c
number, 2.

SIG2

NACA RM E54A21 27

 $\ddot{}$

(b) Ccmibustion-chamber velocities.

Figure 4. - Concluded. Effects of compressor pressure ratio and compres-*86* **and turbine efficienciee. Turbine-inlet temperature,** 2000° **R; sirhandling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; canbat-zone Mach number, 2.**

2915

 $CV-4$ back

Figure 5. - Effect of engine specific weight and compressor pressure ratio on bomber relative radius. Maximum compressor efficiency, 0.85; turbine efficiency, 0.85; turbine-inlet temperature, 2000⁰ R; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; convergent-divergent nozzle; combat-zone Mach number, 2; engine size, 0.004 pound per second per pound gross weight.

£162

Turbine-inlet $\overset{\text{temperature}}{\circ}_{\mathbf{R}}$ ÷. 2000 2500 **1.4** 500 Miles supersonic س **^m3 1.2** *3* **k** Relative r
L
.
O $H =$ All supersonic $\ddot{\mathbf{8}}$ $\overline{3}$ 5 7 \overline{g} $\overline{\mathtt{n}}$ 13 15 Rated compressor pressure ratio

.

I

(a) Barber relative radius. Cmvergent-divergent nozzle; engine apecific weight, *650* **paunds per square foot; engine size, 0.004, pound per second per pound @-om weight.**

Figure 6. - **Effects** *of* **turbjne-inlet temperature and ccrmpreesm pressure** ratio. Maximum compressor efficiency, 0.85; turbine efficiency, 0.85; air-handling capacity, 27 pounds per second per square foot; combat**erne Mach** mber, **2.**

.. ..

I

. - . . . - . ..

2913

I

(b) Afterburner velocity.

NACA RM E54A21

ပ္ပ

NACA RM E54A21 31

- **(a)** Bmber relative radius. Cowergent-divergent nozzle; engine specific weight, 650 **pounds per square** foot; engine size, 0.004 **pound** per second **per pound gross** weight.
- Figure *7.* Effects of air-handling capacity **and** compressor pressure ratio. Maximum compressor efficiency, 0.85; turbine efficiency, *0.85;* turbine-inlet temperature, 2000° R; cmbat-zone Mach number, 2.

2913

(b) Afterburner velocity.

Figure 7. - **Concluded. Effects of air-handling capacity and compreeear pressure** ratio. **Maximum canpressor effickncy,** *0.85;* **turbine efficiency, 0.85; turbine-inlet temperature,** 2000' **R; cabat-zone Mach number, 2.**

Figure 9. - Caparison **of effect of cmbat-zone afterburner temperature on bcsdber relative radius with basic and advanced enginee. Rated** *cow* **pressar pressure ratios, 7 and 12; maxinnnn compressor efficiencies,** 0.85 and 0.88; turbine efficiencies, 0.85 and 0.90; turbine-inlet temperatures, 2000⁰ and 2500⁰ R; convergent-divergent nozzles; air**handling capacities, 27 and 33 pounds** per **second per square foot; engine specific weight, 650 pounds per equare foot; cmbat-zone Mach number, 2.**

 \sim \sim

2913

..

.

ξg

Figure 11. - Effect of flight Mach number on relative radius of supersonic bomber powered by basic and by advanced engines. Rated compressor pressure ratios, 7 and 12; maximum compressor efficiencies, 0.85 and 0.88;
turbine efficiencies, 0.85 and 0.90; turbine-inlet temperatures, 20000
and 2500⁰ R; convergent-divergent nozzles; air-handling capacities, 27 and 33 pounds per second per square foot; engine specific weight, 650 pounds per square foot; engine sizes, 0.004 and 0.003 pound per second per pound of take-off gross weight.

 $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$

Figure 12. - Effect of gross weight on bomber relative radius when equipped with **basic end advanced engines.** Rated **compressor pressure** ratfos, *7* **and 12;** maximum **compressor efficiencies,** *0.85* **and** *0.88;* **turbine efficiencies,** *0.85* **end 0..90; turbine-inlet temperatures,** 2000° and *250O0* **R; convergentdivergent nozzles;** air-hendling **capacities, 27 and 33 pounds per second** per **square foot; engine spectfic** *weight, 650* pounds **per square** foot; **combat-zone** Mach nmber, **2.**

37

NACA RM **E5421**

number.

 \mathcal{L} $\bar{\alpha}$

÷.

38

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm} \sim

.

c

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ \mathcal{L} \sim 10

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\log n))$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$. The contribution of the state $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and the contribution of $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ $\alpha=1/2$. $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10} \log n)$, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10} \log n)$, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10} \log n)$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$