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SUMMARY

Aerodynamic lift and moment flutter derivatives were determined at high subsonic speeds for a series of two-dimensional airfoils varying in thickness and thickness distribution. The wings were sinusoidally oscillated about the quarter-chord axis at Mach numbers from about 0.5 to 0.9 . The corresponding reduced frequency ranges varied from 0.045 to 0.45 at $M=0.5$ and from 0.025 to 0.25 at $M=0.9$. An evaluation of the results indicated that wing profile and angle of attack have major effects on the flutter derivatives at speeds exceeding the Mach number for steady-state lift divergence. In general, at supercritical Mach numbers the trends of the magnitudes of the oscillatory lift coefficients were qualitatively indicated by the trends of the nonoscillatory coefficients, with phase angles, except for the l2-percent-thick airfoil, having only moderate deviation from subsonic theory. The variations in the magnitude of the moment derivative and in its phase angle, resulted in a trend toward instability at supercritical Mach numbers. In particular, for airfoils of equal thickness the effect of an extreme forward location of maximum thickness was destabilizing in that negative aerodynamic damping existed, implying the possibility of a single degree of freedom type of flutter. Decreasing airfoil thickness delayed the large deviation from subsonic theory to higher Mach numbers.

## INTRODUCTION

This report is concerned with the evaluation of the effects of airfoil profile on the lift and moment flutter derivatives as measured, by means of pressure cells, on harmonically vibrating two-dimensional wings at high subsonic speeds. It is well-known that theory does not account properly for such factors as flow separation and shock formation, hence, the aircraft designer must of necessity look to experimental values
whenever such mixed-flow conditions are encountered. Numerous previous investigations at lower speeds, such as those by Clevenson and Widmayer (ref. 1) and by Halfman (ref. 2), may be cited. With the use of a different measuring technique, the present work extends these previous investigations to higher Mach numbers so that emphasis may be placed upon supercritical speeds for which information is meager or nonexistent.

Since wing profile may be expected to have a significant effect on mixed-flow conditions, several models were used to determine the effects of wing thickness and thickness distribution on the flutter derivatives. NACA 65A series symmetrical airfoils, 12, 8, and 4 percent thick, were used along with two other 8-percent-thick airfoils with their maximum thickness at about 16 and 63 percent of the wing chord. The models were oscillated about the quarter-chord axis at Mach numbers from 0.5 to 0.9 with reduced frequency ranges from 0.045 to 0.45 and from 0.025 to 0.25 , respectively. Reynolds numbers, based on the airfoil chord, varied from 5 to 8 million.

SYMBOLS

| a | velocity of sound in undisturbed air, ft/sec |
| :---: | :---: |
| b | wing semichord, ft |
| $c_{2}$ | dynamic section lift coefficient |
| $c_{m}$ | dynamic section moment coefficient about quarter point of chord |
| f | frequency of oscillation, cps |
| k | reduced frequency, $\frac{\omega b}{V}$ |
| M | Mach number, $\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $M_{\alpha}$ | oscillatory aerodynamic section moment on wing about axis of rotation, positive with leading edge up |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}$ | oscillatory aerodynamic section lift on wing, positive upwards |
| q | free-stream dynamic pressure, lb/sq ft |
| V | free-stream velocity, ft/sec |

a oscillatory angular displacement (pitch) about axis of rotation, positive with leading edge up, radians
$\alpha_{m} \quad$ mean angle of attack about which oscillation takes place, deg
$\theta \quad$ phase angle between oscillatory moment and position $\alpha$, positive for moment leading $\alpha$, deg
$\varphi \quad$ phase angle between oscillatory lift and position $\alpha$, positive for lift leading $\alpha$, deg
$\omega \quad$ circular frequency, $2 \pi f$, radians $/ \mathrm{sec}$ $\left|\frac{d c l}{d \alpha}\right| \quad$ magnitude of dynamic lift-curve slope, $\left|\frac{P_{\alpha} e^{-i \varphi}}{2 b q \alpha}\right|$, per radian $\left|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right| \quad$ magnitude of dynamic moment-curve slope, $\left|\frac{M_{\alpha} e^{-i \theta}}{4 b^{2} q \alpha}\right|$, per radian $\left|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right| \sin \theta$ aerodynamic damping component in phase with angular velocity

APPARATUS AND METHOD
Models and Instrumentation

The 12- and 8-percent-thick airfoils, NACA 65A012, 65A008, 2-008, and $877 A 008^{1}$ profiles, were of wood-rib and wood-stressed-skin construction built around steel spars at the quarter chord, which was the axis of rotation. Several wood spars at other chordwise locations were used to minimize spanwise twisting since the models were driven from one side. The 4 -percent-thick model, of NACA $65 A 004$ profile, was machined from solid aluminum with a parting line in the chord plane. The upper and lower halves of this model were bolted and doweled together. Each model had a chord of 24 inches and a span of 18-1/4 inches. The gaps between the ends of the models and tunnel walls were sealed with sliding springloaded felt pads or brass strips which moved with the models.

[^0]In figure 1 , the model profiles are illustrated to show the variation of thickness and thickness distribution. The reference model, NACA 65A008, is marked to indicate the locations of the pressure cells. Model instrumentation consisted of 15 flush-type pressure cells (see refs. 3 and 4) and 15 pressure orifices along the midspan of each surface of each model. The pressure orifices adjacent to each pressure cell were used for two purposes: (1) as a means to determine the timeaverage chordwise pressure distribution with the use of a multiple mercury manometer, and (2) to provide an internal reference pressure for the pressure cells. The tubes from each cell and from the adjacent pressure orifice were interconnected at the manometer. In order that the internal reference pressure of the pressure cells would be essentially steady, about 50 feet of $1 / 16$-inch tubing was used from the orifice to the manometer and back to the pressure cell.

Two 14-channel oscillographs were used to record the instantaneous electrical difference of the output of each pair of cells (proportional to the pressure difference between the upper and lower surface at each chord station) and to record the summation of all cells (proportional to the variation of the lift force). The output of an NACA slide-wire position transducer, proportional to the model angle of attack, was simultaneously recorded.

Tunnel, Model Drive System, and Tests

The models were oscillated in the two-dimensional test section in the Ames 16-foot high-speed wind tunnel (ref. 5). The two-dimensional channel was about 20 feet long and 16 feet high. A view of a model in place and a diagrammatic sketch of the drive system are presented in figure 2. The drive rods and sector arm attached to the model were contained within one of the channel walls.

Records were obtained with Mach number and mean angle of attack constant for frequencies from 4 to 40 cycles per second at intervals of 4 cycles per second and for an amplitude of $\pm 1^{\circ}$. Data are presented for mean angles of attack of $0^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ and for Mach numbers from 0.5 to about 0.9. Sample oscillograph records which illustrate the necessity for harmonic analysis at the higher Mach numbers are given in figure 3. The lift was evaluated by a l2-point harmonic analysis of three consecutive cycles of the sum trace. The pitching moment was evaluated by a l2-point harmonic analysis of the individual cell traces for one cycle.

Since the investigation was conducted in a closed-throat tunnel, the effects of wind-tunnel resonance must be accounted for either by avoiding conditions in which tunnel-wall effects are significant or by correcting the results for the effects of the tunnel walls (refs. 6 and 7). Calculations made at the Langley and Ames Laboratories employing
the single-doublet-line, single-control-point solution described in reference 7 yielded the following results for a tunnel height of 16 feet, wing chord of 2 feet, and Mach number of 0.7 : At frequencies of 10, 20, and 40.66 cycles per second, the magnitudes of the coefficients were increased by $3.8,5.0$, and 4.7 percent, respectively, due to the presence of the tunnel walls. These results indicate that, for the conditions of the calculations, the effect of the tunnel walls was small. However, for mixed-flow conditions, the application of such corrections based on potential flow would be questionable; hence, to minimize tunnel-wall effects, all data obtained at frequencies within 10 percent of the tunnel resonant frequency (refs. 6 and 7) have been omitted. Although the use of such a procedure does not mean tunnel-wall effects have been completely eliminated over the entire frequency range, it is felt that tunnel-wall effects are not a predominant factor in the trends of the data.

For a discussion of other factors influencing the precision of the data, the reader is referred to references 3 and 4.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A tabulation of the measured derivatives is contained in tables I, II, III, IV, and V for the NACA 65A012, 65A008, 65A004, 2-008, and 877 A 008 airfoils, respectively. The results concerning lift derivatives are first discussed and are presented in figures 4 to l0, followed by a discussion and the presentation of the moment derivatives in figures 11 to 15 .

## Lift

Experimental values for the reference model for three representative Mach numbers are presented in figure 4 as a function of reduced frequency. In this figure, as in subsequent figures, the absolute magnitude of the flutter derivative is expressed in terms of the slope of the lift curve per radian and the corresponding phase-angle relationship between the lift vector and model angle of attack in degrees. Theoretical values at liach numbers of $0.5,0.6$, and 0.7 may be obtained from the work of Dietze (refs. 8 and 9), and at Mach numbers of 0.8 and 1.0 from Minhinnick (ref. 10) and Nelson and Berman (ref. ll), respectively.

In this figure it may be noted that at 0.49 and 0.79 Mach numbers the flutter derivatives tend to increase with increasing reduced frequency; furthermore, there seems to be a large variation in the phase angle at low values of reduced frequency at 0.79 Mach number. However,

Mach number appears to have had a greater effect on the data than did frequency at 0.91 Mach number.

Typical results as a function of Mach number are presented in figure 5 for the reference model, the NACA 65A008 airfoil. The lines showing the theoretical values are identified at one end by the frequency in cycles per second to which they pertain. Since theoretical values have been computed in the cited references only at certain Mach numbers which have already been indicated, an interpolation was necessary to obtain values at intermediate Mach numbers. Although such an interpolation inherently involves some error, a consistent set of values was nevertheless established and was used for the purpose of determining the effects of varying airfoil shape.

To distinguish between the various frequencies, the experimental and theoretical values are each faired with the same type of line. For example, the experimental and theoretical values for a frequency of 8 cycles per second are each shown with a solid line. Examination of the experimental data for a frequency of 8 cycles per second indicates that the trends of both experiment and theory were the same at low Mach numbers. As Mach number increased, a large decrease in the magnitude of the experimental derivative occurred, accompanied by a variation of phase angle such that the trend toward increasing lag was reversed. Although the agreement with theory was not precise at the lower Mach numbers, it may be seen that the general trends for all frequencies were nearly the same.

The data from figure 5 are presented in a different form in figure 6; the experimental magnitude has been divided by the theoretical magnitude, and the theoretical phase angle has been subtracted from the experimental phase angle. These quantities are also shown as a function of Mach number. If the experimental and theoretical values exactly agreed, the ratio of the magnitudes of the derivatives would be l, while the difference in phase angle would be 0. The faired lines represent the average deviation from theory for the entire frequency range up to 40 cycles per second.

It is of interest to note that the individual points do not indicate an entirely random scatter about the mean line for the various frequencies. For example, examination of the points for 40 cycles per second in the top portion of the figure shows that these points are usually the uppermost value at each Mach number. Hence, this figure not only provides some indication of the range of the experimental values, but illustrates the fact that, although the values depend on frequency, the general variations with Mach number are represented by the faired average curves.

The use of the average deviation from theory appears to be justified since it is representative of each model. For example, in figure 6 it may be noted that all the experimental points lie within a comparatively
narrow band along the faired curves with the exception of the higher frequencies in the upper portion of the figure. In fact, a band of width $\pm 0.15$ in the upper portion of the figure and a band of width $\pm 10^{\circ}$ in the lower portion of the figure would contain about 80 percent of all the experimental points. These results are typical of all the models. It might be noted that the averaging process used has the effect of removing frequency as a parameter. It should be noted that each model was oscillated at the same amplitude and through the same range of frequencies, hence the average deviation from theory indicates the over-all effects of airfoil shape and the general trends of the data.

Effect of thickness distribution. - The effects of the variation of thickness distribution as indicated by the curves showing the average deviation from theory over the frequency range tested are summarized in figure 7 for mean angles of attack of $0^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$. It would appear from this figure that the main effect of the chordwise location of maximum thickness was on the magnitudes of the derivatives rather than on phase angles, although no systematic trend is apparent.

Effect of wing thickness.- The results showing the effects of wing thickness are presented in figure 8. At an angle of attack of $0^{\circ}$, wing thickness appears to have had a much more pronounced effect than wingthickness distribution (fig. 8(a) as compared to fig. 7(a)). As might be expected, the primary effect of reducing wing thickness was to delay any large deviation from theory to a higher Mach number.

At an angle of attack of $2^{\circ}$ (fig. 8(b)), large differences over the entire range of Mach numbers occurred between the models in the magnitudes of the derivatives.

Comparison with steady-state results.- In order to examine whether any relation existed between unsteady and steady-state results, a comparison with steady-state results obtained from the time-average chordwise pressure distributions for mean angles of attack of $0^{0}$ and $2^{0}$ is made in figures 9 and 10. In these figures, the steady-state data have been normalized with the Prandtl-Glauert value of the theoretical liftcurve slope. It may be recalled that the Prandtl-Glauert curve is also obtained as an end condition as the frequency of oscillation approaches zero.

Examination of these figures indicates that although there appears to be some parallelism or similarity between the steady and unsteady curves, the comparison between the steady and unsteady values is at best only qualitative. For example, in neither figure 9 nor figure 10 do the unsteady and steady-state curves coincide throughout the entire range of Mach numbers. It should also be noted that, with the exception of the NACA 65 A012 airfoil at a mean angle of attack of $2^{0}$ (fig. lo(b)), the unsteady values approached theory more closely than did the steady-state
values, particularly at the lower Mach numbers, that is, from $M=0.5$ to 0.7. Although the effect of the higher frequencies in increasing the level of the curves for the unsteady case may in part account for the differences between the curves, this effect is small. However, the one characteristic that is common to both the unsteady and steady curves in almost every case is a trend toward a reduction in magnitude at the highest Mach numbers. The Mach number at which this trend initiates cannot be precisely delimited, nevertheless, for the three NACA 65A-series airfoils at a mean angle of attack of $0^{\circ}$ (fig. lo(a)), the unsteady lift trend appears to be associated with the steady-state flow changes which occur above the Mach number for lift divergence.

It would therefore appear that as a first approximation the Mach number for lift divergence may be taken as a criterion for the onset of significant changes in the trends of the unsteady values, and that this trend toward a decrease in the magnitude of the unsteady values is related to the trend of the steady-state data. It should be pointed out that this conclusion is not as evident for the NACA 2-008 and 877A008 airfoils (fig. 9) and for the NACA 65A004 airfoil at a mean angle of attack of $2^{0}$ (fig. 10(b)), since these figures indicate that the correlation between the Mach number for lift divergence and the initiation of a downward trend of the unsteady values is not precise and they may differ by as much as O.1. However, it is felt that there is sufficient evidence presented in figures 9 and 10 to indicate that steady-state values may prove useful as a qualitative indication of the trends of the unsteadystate coefficients at supercritical Mach numbers.

For the steady-state condition the phase angle is, of course, zero; therefore no corollary for the phase angle with relation to the oscillatory condition is possible. However, except for the 12 -percent-thick wing, the phase angle shows only a moderate deviation from theory throughout the speed range of the present investigation.

Moment

The moment derivatives for the reference model as a function of reduced frequency for several Mach numbers are presented in figure 11 and as a function of Mach number in figure 12. A comparison of these figures indicates that even though there may have been a greater effect due to frequency on the moment derivatives than had been the case for the lift derivatives, from figure 12 it appears that the effects of Mach number are similar for all frequencies. Hence, the effects of airfoil profile are again compared on the basis of the faired average curves in figure 12 which represent the average deviation from theory over the entire frequency range.

In contrast to the lift results previously presented in figure 6, the magnitudes of the moment derivatives greatly exceeded the theoretical values, along with a much larger variation of phase angle as compared with theory. These results may be attributed to the fact that the comparison is between very small quantities in regard to the magnitude of the derivatives, since the moment is taken about the quarter-chord axis, and to small movements of the center of pressure which would be reflected in large changes of phase angle. The general trends of the results, nevertheless, are represented by the faired average curves.

Effect of thickness distribution.- The effects of the variation of the chordwise location of maximum thickness are shown in figure 13. An apparent characteristic of the NACA 2-008 airfoil, with a forward location of maximum thickness, is a large shift toward a lagging phase angle as Mach number increased above 0.8 , such that the phase angle lagged theory by $80^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ at angles of attack of $0^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$, respectively. The effects of such large shifts in phase angle are discussed in relation to subsequent figures.

Effect of wing thickness. - The effects of wing thickness on the moment derivatives are shown in figure 14. As might be expected, the primary effect of decreasing wing thickness was again to delay any large variations to a higher Mach number.

Instability.- Since there was such a large variation at the higher Mach numbers from the subsonic theoretical values, it is of basic importance to examine the damping-moment derivatives directly to determine whether instability, or the existence of negative aerodynamic damping (implying the possibility of a single degree of freedom type of flutter), which is not predicted by the theory, existed at these speeds. The average damping-moment derivatives for the entire frequency range are therefore presented in figure 15. Also included in this figure are dashed lines indicating average values derived from theory for the corresponding frequency range.

The effect of wing-thickness distribution on aerodynamic damping is shown in figure 15(a) for each mean angle of attack. It may be noted that there was a trend toward instability for each model, with the NACA 2-008 airfoil becoming abruptly unstable at about 0.85 Mach number at $0^{\circ}$ and $2^{\circ}$ angles of attack. It would appear that stability about the quarter-chord axis increased as maximum thickness was moved toward the trailing edge.

The effect of wing thickness on the aerodynamic damping moment is shown in figure 15(b) for each angle of attack. Although the trend toward instability does not appear at $0^{\circ}$ angle of attack for the NACA 65A004 profile, the susceptibility of the thinner wing to negative aerodynamic damping is clearly indicated at the $2^{\circ}$ mean angle of attack.

Within the limitations of speed range and angle-of-attack variation of the investigation, the following general conclusions may be drawn:

1. Section profile has a major effect on the flutter derivatives at speeds exceeding the Mach number for steady-state lift divergence.
2. It appears that the variation in angle of attack has an effect as important as the effect of the variation in profile.
3. In general, at supercritical Mach numbers, a qualitative evaluation of the results indicated that the trends of the magnitudes of the oscillatory lift coefficients were indicated by the trends of the nonoscillatory lift coefficients, with phase angles, except for the l2-percent-thick model, showing only a moderate deviation from theory.
4. The variations in the magnitude of the moment derivative and in its phase angle, resulted in a trend toward instability at supercritical Mach numbers. In particular, for airfoils of equal thickness the effect of an extreme forward location of maximum thickness was destabilizing in that negative aerodynamic damping existed, implying the possibility of a single degree of freedom type of flutter.

Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Moffett Field, Calif., Mar. 24, 1954
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TABLE I.- MEASURED FLUTTER DERIVATIVES FOR THE NACA 65A012 AIRFOIL

| $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{m}=2^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{dc}_{l}}{\text { da }}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ | M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{l}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ |
| 0.491 | 0.103 | 57.0 | 6.394 | 351.8 | - - | -- - | 0.491 | 0.058 | 31.7 | 6.520 | 354.6 | - - - | -- |
|  | . 184 | 101.8 | 5.466 | 358.8 | - - | -- - |  | . 094 | 51.1 | 5.578 | 354.1 | - |  |
|  | . 282 | 155.9 | 5.099 | 355.5 | - . - | - - - |  | . 136 | 74.1 | 5.574 | 0.0 | - - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 187 | 102.5 | 4.989 | 5.3 | - - - | - |
| . 590 | . 077 | 51.6 | 7.083 | 351.7 | - - - | -- - |  | . 238 | 130.1 | 4.987 | 4.5 | - - - | - - - |
|  | . 152 | 101.3 | 6.056 | 351.9 | -. - | - - - |  | . 287 | 157.1 | 5.341 | 0.0 | - - - | - |
|  | . 229 | 153.2 | 5.319 | 351.2 | - . - | - - - |  | . 328 | 179.5 | 5.058 | 12.4 | - - - | - |
| . 633 | . 074 | 52.6 | 5.745 | 355.0 | 0.531 | 342.1 |  | . 469 | 256.5 | 4.823 | 29.4 | - - - |  |
|  | . 111 | 79.2 | 5.068 | 355.5 | -.- | --- | . 590 | . 048 | 31.5 | 6.523 | 352.4 | 0.559 | 341.7 |
|  | . 144 | 103.0 | 5.299 | 355.5 | . 590 | 317.6 |  | . 076 | 50.5 | 6.262 | 345.5 | -. | -- |
|  | . 183 | 130.9 | 4.661 | 357.3 | - - - | --- |  | . 120 | 79.4 | 5.925 | 347.7 | - - - | -- |
|  | . 218 | 155.9 | 4.449 | 358.0 | . 585 | 305.0 |  | . 152 | 100.8 | 5.965 | 354.2 | . 771 | 317.8 |
|  | . 252 | 180.0 | 4.036 | 349.6 | - - | -- - |  | . 198 | 131.2 | 5.488 | 352.5 | - - - | -- |
|  | . 320 | 228.5 256.5 | 3.913 4.259 | 15.0 16.0 | 1.008 | --- 311.6 |  | .233 .347 | 154.0 | 5.213 | 347.7 | . 739 | 297.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 384 | 229.3 254.4 | 4. 744 5.426 | 9.2 16.1 | --7- | --- 304. |
| . 682 | . 064 | 49.8 | 7.918 | 344.4 | . 595 | 325.4 |  |  |  |  | 16.1 | 1.15 | 304.0 |
|  | . 097 | 76.0 | 7.332 | 339.7 | - - - | --- | . 682 | . 044 | 34.6 | 6.216 | 354.5 | -- - | -- - |
|  | . 130 | 101.6 | 6.855 | 348.2 | . 658 | 310.6 |  | . 066 | 52.0 | 5.833 | 349.2 | --- | - - - |
|  | . 163 | 127.3 | 5.533 | 337.3 | - - | -- |  | . 101 | 80.2 | 5.506 | 347.3 | - - - | - - |
|  | . 197 | 153.7 206.2 | 5.765 4.362 | 346.4 | . 745 | 279.7 |  | . 131 | 103.7 | 5.224 | 0.4 | - - - | - - |
|  | . 293 | 229.3 | 4.362 5.118 | 2.5 | . 554 | 291.5 |  | . 163 | 128.9 | 5.055 4.528 | 348.8 342.7 | --- | -- |
|  | . 325 | 254.4 | 4.932 | 0.4 | . 868 | 278.8 |  | . 294 | 232.7 | 4.290 | 34.7 15.3 | - - | -- |
| . 731 | . 062 | 51.2 | 8.080 | 348.1 |  |  |  | . 321 | 253.7 | 4.329 | 2.0 | - - |  |
|  | . 098 | 81.2 | 8.454 | 339.5 | .634 | 326.9 | . 731 | . 041 | 34.6 | 6.788 | 351.2 | . 698 |  |
|  | . 121 | 100.6 | 7.092 | 339.5 | . 675 | 304.6 |  | . 060 | 51.2 | 6.050 | 348.9 | . 642 | 333.2 |
|  | . 156 | 129.4 | 6.092 | 328.9 | -- | --- |  | . 093 | 79.2 | 5.566 | 349.8 | --- | --- |
|  | . 247 | 205.6 | 5.187 | 356.2 | . 647 | 283.0 |  | . 122 | 104.5 | 5.437 | 351.9 | . 721 | 315.6 |
|  | . 280 | 232.7 256.1 | 5.299 5.018 | 355.2 4.4 | --982 | --- |  | .153 .240 .24 | 130.4 204.9 | 5.280 4.182 | 346.3 359.8 | --7- | -300. 4 |
|  | . 308 | 256.1 |  |  | . 982 | 279.5 |  | . 240 | 204.9 231.3 | 4.182 4.375 | 359.8 0.6 | . 762 | 300.4 |
| . 790 | . 057 | 52.2 | 8.576 | 343.5 | . 242 | 305.4 |  | . 299 | 255.4 | 4.282 | 358.2 | 1.084 | 292.6 |
|  | . 086 | 77.8 | 8.362 | 337.9 | -- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 114 | 103.9 | 7.476 | 336.4 | . 045 | 276.3 | . 790 | . 034 | 30.9 | 6.377 | 353.8 | . 597 | 340.3 |
|  | . 142 | 129.3 | 6.137 | 327.1 | -. - | --- |  | . 056 | 50.8 | 5.981 | 347.9 | . 606 | 316.4 |
|  | . 199 | 180.9 205.3 | 4.771 | 351.7 348.9 | . 464 | --7 |  | . 086 | 77.6 | 7.353 | 343.3 | - - | --- |
|  | . 256 | 232.7 | 5.285 | 356.6 |  | 263.1 |  | . 1315 | 103.5 | 6.628 5.099 | 341.9 333.5 | . 688 | 277.1 |
| . 837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 198 | 178.5 | 3.861 | 353.3 | - .- | -- |
|  | . 052 | 50.8 | 4.894 | 354.0 | . 612 | 301.6 |  | . 225 | 202.7 | 4.047 | 348.9 | . 557 | 287.3 |
|  | . 077 | 74.7 101.5 | 4.590 4.780 | 342.7 | -- | --- |  | . 254 | 228.8 | 4.196 | 358.7 | --- | --- |
|  | . 104 | 101.5 177.3 | 4.780 3.515 | 351.6 2.6 | . 828 | 269.9 |  | . 279 | 251.6 | 4.895 | 0.9 | 1.126 | 281.6 |
|  | . 207 | 200.9 | 3.597 | 12.2 | . 857 | 256.9 | . 837 | . 031 | 29.6 | 4.318 |  |  |  |
|  | . 235 | 228.4 | 4.444 | 16.5 | --- | -- |  | . 054 | 51.8 | 4.580 | 357.6 | . 606 | 306.3 |
|  | . 262 | 255.4 | 5.123 | 359.9 | 1.736 | 222.0 |  | . 080 | 77.7 | 4.775 | 353.3 | --- | --- |
| . 885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 103 | 100.2 | 4.570 | 356.9 | . 941 | 281.4 |
|  | . 030 | 30.7 | . 965 | 47.0 | 2.719 | 348.1 |  | . 181 | 175.5 | 3.654 | 348.1 | - - - | -- |
|  | . 049 | 50.3 | . 641 | 92.7 | 3.117 | 348.0 |  | . 208 | 201.7 | 4.068 | 351.4 | . 675 | 259.2 |
|  | . 080 | 82.1 | 1.725 | 59.9 | -- | -- |  | . 244 | 236.5 | 4.601 | 13.9 | --- | --- |
|  | .097 .149 | 99.7 153.2 | 1.884 2.681 | 47.4 41.2 | 2.436 1.939 | 311.4 314.4 |  | . 261 | 252.3 | 5.379 | 5.1 | 1.316 | 242.9 |
|  | . 176 | 181.3 | 2.015 | 29.0 | 1.939 | 314.4 | . 885 | . 030 | 30.7 | 3.497 | 347.1 | 1.256 | 356.8 |
|  | . 201 | 207.3 | 1.454 | 33.2 | 1.223 | 304.0 |  | . 049 | 50.3 | 2.751 | 345.4 | . 879 | 350.2 |
|  | . 223 | 230.4 | 2.733 | 32.1 | --- | --- |  | . 080 | 82.1 | 3.032 | 359.2 | --- | --- |
|  | . 246 | 253.3 | 2.681 | 2.4 | 1.867 | 300.0 |  | . 097 | 99.7 | 2.403 | 342.9 | . 712 | $3^{40} 0.7$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 149 | 153.2 | 2.647 | 353.5 | 1.389 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .177 | 181.3 | 3.564 | 351.6 | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 202 | 207.3 230 | 2.122 3.084 | 336.5 | 1.043 | 333.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 2225 | 230.4 253.3 | 3.084 3.944 | 345.6 356.4 | - 1.364 | --- |

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE II. - MEASURED FLUTTTER DERIVATIVES FOR THE NACA 65 A008 AIRFOIL


CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE III.- MEASURED FLUTTER DERIVATIVES FOR THE NACA 65A004 AIRFOIL

| $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{m}=2^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{d_{c}{ }_{2}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{d} c_{m}}{\mathrm{~d} \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ | M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\text { de } 2}{\text { d } \alpha}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ |
| 0.594 | 0.040 | 27.1 | 6.212 | 355.3 | 0.466 | 334.7 | 0.491 | 0.046 | 25.5 | 6.069 | 357.7 |  | --- |
|  | . 080 | 53.4 | 5.849 | 350.1 | . 447 | 320.4 |  | . 095 | 52.574.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.699 \\ & 5.441 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$ | --- | -- - |
|  | . 109 | 73.1 | 5.411 | 357.0 | - - - | -- |  |  |  |  |  | - .- |  |
|  | . 149 | 99.9 | 5.186 | 358.3 | . 517 | 308.1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & .134 \\ & .186 \end{aligned}$ | 102.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.441 \\ & 5.176 \end{aligned}$ | 8.28.1 | --- |  |
|  | . 188 | 125.7 | 5.195 | 8.2 | - -- | --- |  | . 225 | 126.9 | 4.848 |  | - - - |  |
|  | . 224 | 153.3 | 4.883 | 353.5 | . 771 | 284.8 |  | . 267 | 150.3 | 4.3674.702 | 12.42.0 | - - - |  |
|  | . 261 | 178.0 | 5.242 | 353.0 | - - | - - |  | $\begin{aligned} & .309 \\ & .448 \end{aligned}$ | 174.0 |  |  | - - - |  |
|  | . 342 | 233.5 | 6.989 | 353.8 | --- | --- |  |  | 252.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.702 \\ & 9.199 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 341.4 \end{array}$ | - - - |  |
|  | . 382 | 260.9 | 8.995 | 330.7 | 1.396 | 219.8 | . 590 | . 040 | 26.9 | 5.862 | 355.7 | 0.656 | 343.0334.3 |
| . 691 | . 035 | 26.9 | 6.846 | 356.1 | - - - | - - - |  | . 080 | 53.0 | 6.011 | 357.3 | . 642 |  |
|  | . 064 | 50.0 | 6.669 | 350.8 | - - - | -- |  | . 112 | 74.3 | 5.873 | 359.8 | -.- | 334.3 - |
|  | . 097 | 75.5 | 6.039 | 349.9 | - - - | - - - |  | . 154 | 102.4 | 5.362 | 1.2 | . 859 | $331.2$ |
|  | . 131 | 101.9 | 5.887 | 351.2 | - - - | - - - |  | . 193 | 131.4 | 5.091 | 351.8351.6 | --- | --7 |
|  | . 162 | 126.1 | 5.459 | 355.9 | - - - | -- - |  | . 226 | 154.0 | 4.982 |  |  |  |
|  | . 194 | 153.9 | 5.251 | 340.4 | - - - | - - - |  | . 258 | 176.5 | 5.501 | 347.7 | - - - | $287.4$ |
|  | . 258 | 204.9 | 4.981 | 3.0 | - - - | --- |  | . 338 | 231.0 | 6.340 | 4.1 | - - - - |  |
|  | . 294 | 233.5 | 7.'405 | 346.9 | - - - | -- - |  | . 370 | 252.3 | 7.528 | 2.7 | 1.282 | 256.7 |
|  | . 327 | 259.6 | 7.473 | 324.3 | - - - | - | . 691 | . 033 | 25.6 | 7.400 | 355.6 | . 808 | 341.0330.2 |
| .741 | . 032 | 26.6 | 7.366 | 354.9 | . 561 | 338.2 |  | . 069 | 53.2 | 6.966 | 351.9 | . 839 |  |
|  | . 062 | 54.1 | 6.996 | 349.7 | . 578 | 327.8 |  | . 097 | 74.6 | 6.7186.316 | 356.6 | --856 $-\frac{-}{33}$ |  |
|  | . 089 | 77.3 | 6.528 | 347.5 | - -- | --- |  | . 133 | 102.4 |  | 5.6 | . 856 | 332.8 |
|  | . 117 | 101.9 | 6.235 | 345.9 | . 629 | 301.1 |  | . 164 | 126.9 | 6.397 | 356.4 |  |  |
|  | . 145 | 126.5 | 5.861 | 339.7 | - - - | --- |  | $\begin{aligned} & .192 \\ & . .285 \end{aligned}$ | 153.0 | 6.526 | 339.5 | .984 | 298.9 |
|  | . 169 | 145.3 | 5.078 | 329.0 | . 607 | 252.3 |  |  | 227.1 | 8.342 | 350.1 | --- | -- |
|  | . 239 | 205.3 | 5.599 | 357.6 | . 772 | 272.3 |  | . 321 | 255.8 | 9.530 | 330.7 | 1.564 | 243.7 |
|  | . 269 | 231.3 | 7.073 | 346.5 | 1.136 | 285.1 | .741 | . 030 |  | 8.117 | 353.9 |  |  |
|  | . 303 | 260.7 | 7.035 | 337.8 | - - - | - - - |  |  | 25.2 |  |  | . 975 | 345.8 |
| . 798 | . 030 | 27.4 | 7.739 | 354.6 | $\begin{aligned} & .609 \\ & .658 \end{aligned}$ | 340.3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & .059 \\ & .087 \end{aligned}$ | 49.3 72.5 | 7.560 | $351.7$ | . 970 | $333.5$ |
|  | . 056 | 50.2 |  | 345.7 |  | 320.5 |  | . 120 | 100.0 | 7.252 6.935 | 358.4 | $\overline{1.004}$ | --- |
|  | . 083 | 75.1 | 7.157 | 343.9 | -.- | --- |  | . 147 | 122.7 | 6.935 6.574 | 332.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.004 \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | --- |
|  | . 115 | 103.7 | 6.606 | 343.5 | . 705 | 292.7 |  | . 175 | 151.6 | 6.128 |  | 1.034 | 76.5 |
|  | . 141 | 127.6 | 5.950 | 335.7 | - - - | --- |  | . 235 | 202.7 | 5.990 | 354.9 | . 840 | 287.3 |
|  | . 197 | 183.7 | 5.301 | 343.5 | --- | --- |  | . 260 | 224.7 | 8.895 | 339.4 | --- | $244 . \overline{6}$ |
|  | . 218 | 203.0 | 5.477 | 343.2 | . 864 | 274.3 |  | . 297 | 256.8 | 8.784 | 333.0 | 1.536 |  |
|  | . 250 | 233.0 | 7.536 | 343.4 | 1.217 | 279.4 | . 798 |  | $26.0$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 278 | 259.1 | 7.924 | 323.1 | - - - | - - |  | . 029 |  | 9.165 | 352.9 | 1.140 | 344.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & .059 \\ & .083 \end{aligned}$ | 53.574.5 | 9.042 | 347.4 | 1.213 | 327.2 |
| . 850 | . 026 | 25.5 | 9.637 | 353.9 | -- | -- |  |  |  | 8.584 | 351.7 | - - - |  |
|  | . 053 | 50.9 | 8.200 | 344.4 | . 664 | 313.6 |  | . 114 | 102.5 | 7.322 | 349.1 | 1.209 | 318.2 |
|  | . 078 | 74.8 | 7.611 | 340.3 | --- | --- |  | . 135 | 122.0 | 6.416 | 346.1 | - --- | - - |
|  | . 106 | 102.2 | 7.025 | 337.4 | . 736 | 287.1 |  | . 191 | 178.8 | 6.260 | 349.0 | --- | --- |
|  | . 153 | 153.4 | 4.590 | 347.2 | . 588 | 276.5 |  | . 218 | 204.0 | 7.074 | 343.7 | 1.097 | 280.1 |
|  | . 179 | 178.7 | 4.602 | 356.0 | --- | --- |  | . 244 | 228.5 | 9.002 | 339.2 | --- | --- |
|  | . 208 | 208.0 | 5.178 | 351.7 | . 928 | 274.2 |  | . 275 | 258.2 | 10.446 | 335.9 | 2.054 | 257.2 |
|  | . 231 | 231.4 | 6.964 | 298.2 | 1.611 | 251.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 259 | 258.5 | 8.436 | 325.4 | - - - | - - - | . 852 | . 029 | 25.6 | 10.793 | 348.5 | . 966 | 334.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 060 | 52.3 | 9.672 | 346.1 | 1.364 | 321.2 |
| . 900 | . 025 | 25.4 | 7.315 | 347.3 | . 717 | 331.8 |  | . 086 | 75.3 | 9.016 | 339.5 | -. | --- |
|  | . 050 | 51.1 | 8.913 | 344.6 | . 738 | 310.8 |  | . 117 | 103.0 | 8.075 | 345.2 | 1.227 | 301.6 |
|  | . 073 | 74.1 | 8.483 | 337.4 | - - - | - - |  | . 139 | 121.8 | 6.564 | 326.2 | - - - | - |
|  | . 126 | 128.2 | 5.365 | 329.0 | - - - | --- |  | . 176 | 177.5 | 5.269 | 345.7 | --- | --- |
|  | . 147 | 155.4 | 4.721 | 341.9 | . 452 | 270.6 |  | . 204 | 205.1 | 5.928 | 353.7 | 1.430 | 282.7 |
|  | . 170 | 180.6 | 4.819 | 352.4 | --- | --- |  | . 228 | 230.2 | 8.365 | 336.3 | -- | --- |
|  | . 195 | 206.6 | 8.097 | 0.6 | 1.208 | 281.8 |  | . 253 | 254.7 | 9.206 | 338.2 | 2.450 | 279.5 |
|  | . 220 | 232.7 | 8.076 | 337.7 | 1.947 | 305.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 244 | 258.2 | 7.635 | 323.5 | - - - |  | . 870 | . 026 | 26.3 | 11.945 | 344.7 | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 049 | 49.7 | 11.356 | 339.1 | - - - | --- |
| . 942 | . 025 | 26.1 | 5.877 | 344.2 | . 438 | 328.4 |  | . 074 | 74.7 | 9.377 | 327.6 | . 405 | 246.6 |
|  | . 050 | 53.2 | 9.448 | 335.5 | . 824 | 290.3 |  | . 101 | 101.7 | 7.206 | 322.6 | . 510 | 229.7 |
|  | . 096 | 102.2 | 6.885 | 316.1 | . 619 | 298.1 |  | . 142 | 151.4 | 4.826 | 342.6 | . 311 | 341.8 |
|  | . 120 | 127.4 | 4.964 | 334.7 | - . - | - - |  | . 164 | 174.9 | 5.713 | 356.1 | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 190 | 202.7 | 6.913 | 350.5 | . 908 | 288.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 212 | 226.4 | 7.880 | 340.0 | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 238 | 254.7 | 9.150 | 331.8 | 1.546 | 215.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 904 | . 026 | 27.1 | 12.237 | 347.7 | 1.008 | 180.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 050 | 52.7 | 10.817 | 326.0 | 1.162 | 147.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 068 | 72.1 | 9.216 | 325.6 | - - - | - - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 117 | 123.5 | 5.181 | 336.7 | - . - | - - - |

TABLE IV.- MEASURED FLUTTER DERIVATIVES FOR THE NACA 2-008 AIRFOIL

| $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{m}=2^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{dc}_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \alpha}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ | M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{da}}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ |
| 0.590 | 0.040 | 26.3 | 6.460 | 354.6 |  |  | 0.491 | 0.052.093.137.181.228.278.327.465 | 28.6 | 6.240 | 358.2 | --- |  |
|  | . 081 | 53.9 | 6.082 | 353.5 |  |  | 51.5 |  | 5.919 | 355.0 | - | - - - |
|  | . 113 | 75.3 | 5.624 | 351.4 |  |  | 75.9 |  | 5.838 | 351.4 | - - | -- - |
|  | . 155 | 103.0 | 5.436 | 352.3 |  |  | 100.2 |  | 5.329 | 355.5 | - - | -- - |
|  | . 193 | 128.7 152.5 | 5.425 5.287 | 351.2 351.2 |  |  | 126.2 |  | 5.324 5.543 | 356.2 1.2 | -.- | -- |
|  | . 350 | 232.7 | 5.001 | 7.0 |  |  | 181.1 |  | 5.396 | 3.1 | - | - |
|  | . 390 | 259.6 | 6.210 | 1.2 |  |  | 257.5 |  | 5.638 | 22.5 | - | - - - |
| . 680 | . 036 | 27.9 | 7.006 | 351.6 | . 538 | 329.3 |  | . 590 | . 040 | 26.8 | 6.691 | 354.2 | 0.574 | 335.9 |
|  | . 069 | 53.0 | 6.433 | 347.9 | . 615 | 329.1 |  |  | . 080 | 53.9 | 6.204 | 346.8 | . 639 | 326.9 |
|  | . 098 | 75.5 | 6.118 | 349.0 | - - | -- - |  |  | . 113 | 75.6 | 6.093 | 348.4 | -- | -- |
|  | . 134 | 103.9 | 5.600 5.437 | 347.1 346.0 | . 613 | 306.9 |  |  | . 146 | 98.2 | 5.706 | 352.9 | . 604 | 297.7 |
|  | . 197 | 152.4 | 5.227 | 343.6 | . 692 | 284.7 |  |  | . 1931 | 129.6 | 5.650 5.665 | 349.6 348.5 | . 737 | --7 |
|  | . 266 | 206.0 | 4.627 | 358.3 | . 587 | 275.7 |  |  | . 346 | 231.9 | 5.379 | 4.8 | -- | --- |
|  | . 299 | 231.0 | 5.723 | 356.1 | --- | --- | . 384 |  | 257.5 | 6.678 | 1.4 | 1.042 | 274.3 |
|  | -329 | 254.7 | 5.931 | 350.6 | . 995 | 242.3 | . 680 | . 033 | 25.9 | 7.347 | 355.2 | . 661 | 335.6 |
| . 728 | . 031 | 25.6 | 8.587 | 350.5 | . 788 | 349.0 |  | . 069 | 53.9 | 6.880 | 350.0 | . 676 | 330.7 |
|  | . 063 | 52.8 | 6.584 | 347.4 | . 720 | 325.8 |  | . 098 | 76.2 | 6.363 | 347.1 | --- |  |
|  | . 093 | 77.6 | 6.363 | 341.7 | -- | --- |  | . 134 | 104.1 | 6.006 | 349.9 | . 645 | 305.5 |
|  | . 123 | 102.6 | 5.600 5.194 | 344.0 344.7 | . 738 | 303.9 |  | . 167 | 130.4 | 6.026 | 347.1 | - - - |  |
|  | . 249 | 208.7 | 4.738 | 356.3 | . 375 | 248.6 |  | . 299 | 232.7 | 5.728 | 345.0 358.5 | . 669 | 277.2 |
|  | . 279 | 234.4 | 5.550 | 355.4 | . | --- |  | . 331 | 258.2 | 6.592 | 355.5 | 1.050 | 274.7 |
|  | . 308 | 258.2 | 5.839 | 353.2 | . 940 | 253.1 | . 728 | . 031 | 26.0 | 7.829 | 358.2 |  |  |
| .786 | . 029 | 26.1 | 8.206 | 348.5 | . 665 | 338.4 |  | . 0632 | 52.5 | 7.517 | 358.2 354.5 | . 642 | $\overline{341.7}$ |
|  | . 056 | 51.1 | 7.444 | 341.7 | . 578 | 315.3 |  | . 090 | 75.7 | 6.957 | 353.5 | .-- | --- |
|  | . 083 | 75.5 | 7.015 | 336.2 | --- | --- |  | . 122 | 103.0 | 6.932 | 349.3 | . 441 | 301.3 |
|  | . 1111 | 101.7 127.5 | 6.296 | 335.1 | . 659 | 285.2 |  | . 152 | 127.9 | 6.624 | 344.6 | $\cdots$ | --- |
|  | . 1496 | 127.5 178.5 | 5.053 3.884 | 335.1 351.8 | --- | --- |  | . 245 | 206.7 | 6.264 7.365 | 2.6 358.2 | . 599 | 286.4 |
|  | . 227 | 206.6 | 4.799 | 342.8 | . 732 | 256.9 |  | . 306 | 258.2 | 7.482 | 358.9 | . 875 | $\overline{-7} \overline{-7}$ |
|  | . 253 | 231.0 | 5.160 | 348.3 | --- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 280 | 255.4 | 6.440 | 349.1 | 1.134 | 246.1 | . 786 | . 029 | 26.2 | 8.917 | 348.2 | . 086 |  |
| . 833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 056 | 51.8 | 8.673 | 344.7 | . 098 | 296.0 |
|  | . 028 | 26.8 52.2 | 9.150 8.806 | 344.7 337.6 3 | - 399 | 210.5 |  | . 083 | 76.4 | 8.193 | 342.4 | - .-- | --- |
|  | . 080 | 77.6 | 7.986 | 332.1 | $\ldots$ | --. |  | . 1140 | 129.2 | 7.768 | 341.1 330.8 | . 260 | 253.5 |
|  | . 108 | 104.9 | 6.952 | 327.3 | . 357 | 233.0 |  | . 227 | 208.5 | 5.927 | 343.6 | - 405 | 263.1 |
|  | . 164 | 159.9 | 4.893 | 338.8 | . 268 | 222.6 |  | . 256 | 235.6 | 6.469 | 344.7 | $\ldots$ | -. - |
|  | . 184 | 179.5 | 5.420 | 337.5 | -- | -- |  | . 281 | 258.2 | 8.547 | 347.3 | . 845 | 258.1 |
|  | . 212 | 206.5 232.7 | 5.576 6.807 | 346.4 348.3 3 | . 538 | 227.9 | . 833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 263 | 256.1 | 7.713 | 337.3 | . 525 | 214.1 |  | . 053 | 52.4 | 9.008 | 353.9 345.4 | . 3176 | 203.3 200.2 |
| . 879 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 879 | . 078 | 76.9 | 8.618 | 338.8 | - | -- - |
|  | . 027 | 27.6 | 9.720 | 348.8 | 1.250 | 156.3 |  | . 107 | 104.7 | 7.950 | 327.7 | . 339 | 172.2 |
|  | . 051 | 53.1 | 8.316 | 332.1 | 1.353 | 138.2 |  | . 185 | 181.8 | 5.425 | 342.0 | .346 | 261.4 |
|  | . 076 | 78.2 | 7.062 | 325.5 | --- | --- |  | . 212 | 207.6 | 5.662 | 349.8 | . 467 | 281.4 |
|  | . 100 | 103.0 | 5.235 | 324.2 | . 986 | 139.5 |  | . 239 | 234.2 | 7.075 | 349.6 | --- | --- |
|  | . 150 | 155.3 177.5 | 3.831 4.760 | 340.4 342.5 | . 454 | 135.3 |  | . 262 | 257.2 | 8.568 | 341.2 | 1.057 | 293.6 |
|  | . 200 | 206.0 | 6.218 | 341.4 | . 642 | 165.4 |  | .027 | 28.7 | 10.660 | 343.1 | --- | --- |
|  | . 226 | 233.3 | 6.432 | 335.2 | --- | -- |  | . 052 | 53.8 | 8.749 | 332.4 | 1.437 | 142.5 |
|  | . 252 | 259.6 | 7.107 | 330.6 | 1.007 | 157.7 |  | . 075 | 78.5 | 6.975 | 326.0 | - - | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 100 | 104.3 | 5.550 | 326.9 | . 527 | 144.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 148 | 154.2 | 4.421 | 335.5 | . 485 | 96.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 174 | 181.6 | 4.481 | 357.0 | -- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 201 | 209.4 | 6.225 | 348.7 | . 743 | 179.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 225 | 234.4 | 6.098 | 342.3 | -- | -- - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 248 | 258.6 | 6.522 | 331.1 | 1.062 | 166.5 |

TABLE V.- MEASURED FLUTTER DERIVATIVES FOR THE NACA 877A008 AIRFOIL

| $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{m}=2^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{d^{c} c_{2}}{d a}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{d c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ | M | k | $\omega$ | $\left\|\frac{\mathrm{d}_{2}}{\mathrm{da}}\right\|$ | $\varphi$ | $\left\|\frac{\alpha c_{m}}{d \alpha}\right\|$ | $\theta$ |
| 0.495 | 0.050 | 27.9 | 6.087 | 352.7 | - - - | - - - | 0.496 | 0.048 | 27.1 | 6.416 | 353.0 |  | - - |
|  | . 092 | 51.5 | 5.638 | 349.5 | - - - | - .- |  | . 087 | 49.1 | 5.936 | 350.8 | - | - - - |
|  | . 135 | 75.7 | 5.116 | 347.1 | - - | - - |  | . 128 | 72.1 | 5.497 | 351.9 | -- | - . - |
|  | . 183 | 103.0 | 5.159 | 348.2 | - - - | - - - |  | . 178 | 100.0 | 5.162 | 349.7 | -- | - |
|  | . 228 | 128.2 | 4.941 | 355.0 | - - - | - - - |  | . 223 | 125.4 | 5.429 | 347.8 | - - - | - - |
|  | . 266 | 149.6 | 4.956 | 350.9 | -- - | - |  | . 269 | 151.4 | 4.985 | 344.4 | - - - | - - |
|  | . 316 | 179.5 | 5.135 | 338.1 | - - - | - - - |  | . 321 | 179.5 | 5.243 | 351.8 | - - | - - - |
|  | . 450 | 255.4 | 4.486 | 4.8 |  | - - - |  | . 454 | 253.3 | 4.369 | 18.3 | - - | - - - |
| . 596 | . 040 | 27.2 | 6.246 | 348.0 | 0.461 | 339.8 | .596 | . 035 | 24.0 | 6.579 | 1.7 | 0.634 | 342.4 |
|  | . 073 | 49.8 | 6.243 | 346.9 | . 438 | 324.1 |  | . 076 | 51.3 | 6.457 | 350.6 | . 621 | 333.5 |
|  | . 109 | 74.3 | 5.462 | 346.5 | - -- | --- |  | . 108 | 73.6 | 5.948 | 348.7 | --- | --- |
|  | . 153 | 103.7 | 5.448 | 345.2 | . 609 | 310.6 |  | . 147 | 99.6 | 5.642 | 350.8 | . 765 | 320.9 |
|  | . 1827 | 126.9 151.7 | 5.370 4.728 | 345.5 346.0 | -.-- | --701.9 |  | . 182 | 123.9 | 5.798 | 352.7 347 | --7- | --- |
|  | . 2336 | 151.7 231.0 | 4.728 4.267 | 346.0 355.1 | .560 | 301.9 |  | . 218 | 148.2 174.5 | 5.087 5.325 | 347.1 340.3 35 | . 625 | 213.6 |
|  | . 375 | 257.5 | 5.053 | 3.4 | . 963 | 293.8 |  | . 337 | 227.6 | 4.688 | 357.6 | -.. | --. |
| . 693 | . 035 | 27.5 | 6.976 | 348.5 |  |  |  | - 372 | 251.3 | 5.319 | 3.6 | 1.192 | 197.6 |
|  | . 066 | 52.2 | 6.842 | 343.4 | - - | --- | .693 | . 031 | 25.1 | 6.333 | 354.2 | . 536 | 0.0 |
|  | . 096 | 76.7 | 6.423 | 343.0 | - . | - - |  | . 064 | 51.3 | 6.034 | 352.4 | . 551 | 334.7 |
|  | . 129 | 102.5 | 5.744 | 341.6 | - - - | - - |  | . 092 | 73.9 | 5.614 | 346.0 | -.- | --- |
|  | . 158 | 125.8 | 5.629 | 339.5 | - - - | - |  | . 127 | 101.4 | 5.562 | 345.7 | . 637 | 310.0 |
|  | . 192 | 152.7 | 5.062 | 336.3 | - - - | - |  | . 153 | 122.7 | 5.202 | 342.1 | --- | --- |
|  | . 254 | 204.4 | 3.861 | 0.0 | - | - - |  | . 187 | 149.5 | 4.725 | 335.9 | . 659 | 287.8 |
|  | . 285 | 229.9 | 4.503 | 356.8 | - - | - - - |  | . 257 | 204.2 | 3.129 | 4.9 | 1.487 | 192.0 |
|  | - 321 | 258.9 | 4.054 | 356.1 | - - - | --- |  | . 289 | 229.3 | 4.016 | 0.1 | --- | --- |
| . 745 | . 031 | 26.7 | 7.230 | 349.8 | . 705 | 336.0 |  | . 319 | 253.3 | 3.884 | 358.0 | 1.044 | 176.3 |
|  | . 060 | 52.1 | 6.933 | 346.6 | . 664 | 332.7 | . 745 | . 030 | 26.3 | 7.318 | 351.3 | . 744 | 352.2 |
|  | . 086 | 74.1 | 6.693 | 341.4 | - -- | - |  | . 058 | 50.5 | 7.049 | 349.0 | . 819 | 337.1 |
|  | . 118 | 102.0 | 6.192 | 341.1 | .743 | 310.8 |  | . 088 | 75.8 | 6.674 | 343.7 | --- | --- |
|  | .144 | 124.7 | 5.863 | 332.1 | - | -- |  | . 116 | 99.8 | 6.328 | 342.7 | 1.006 | 314.4 |
|  | .236 | 206.0 | 4.294 | 354.9 | . 613 | 312.6 |  | . 146 | 126.0 | 5.942 | 337.3 | - -- | --- |
|  | . 268 | 228.4 | 4.719 | 345.5 | -. - | -- |  | . 237 | 204.0 | 4.875 | 355.4 | . 832 | 214.5 |
|  | . 291 | 253.3 | 4.248 | 343.6 | . 906 | 291.7 |  | . 273 | 235.6 | 5.120 | 337.9 | --- | --- |
| . 796 | . 029 | 26.9 | 8.056 | 352.9 | . 881 | 345.6 |  | . 296 | 255.1 | 4.560 | 345.7 | . 838 | 210.6 |
|  | . 056 | 52.1 | 7.454 | 345.6 | . 599 | 317.2 | .798 | .028 | 26.1 | 8.299 | 354.4 | . 777 | 1.5 |
|  | . 081 | 75.5 | 7.497 | 337.9 | - - - | --- |  | . 054 | 50.6 | 7.410 | 340.8 | . 791 | 322.0 |
|  | . 110 | 102.2 | 6.566 | 334.3 | . 765 | 303.4 |  | . 079 | 73.6 | 7.030 | 339.8 | - -- | --- |
|  | . 137 | 127.3 | 6.077 | 330.1 | - - - | --- |  | . 109 | 101.3 | 6.380 | 331.4 | . 804 | 294.2 |
|  | . 193 | 180.6 | 3.642 | 349.5 | 044 | --- |  | . 135 | 125.7 | 5.297 | 322.9 | - - - | -- |
|  | . 2251 | 207.3 234.0 | 4.442 4.766 | 348.0 343.6 | .644 | 317.3 |  | . 190 | 176.5 | 4.123 | 335.8 | - - - | --- |
|  | . 2280 | 234.0 262.5 | 4.766 5.340 | 343.6 347.5 | --1.- | 289.5 |  | . 221 | 205.3 | 4.573 | 345.3 | . 519 | 208.7 |
| . 825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | .252 | 233.9 259.6 | 4.611 5.128 | 325.7 339.8 | - 8.83 | $\overline{22} \overline{8} \cdot \overline{3}$ |
|  | . 029 | 28.2 | 7.914 | 348.2 | 1.168 | 331.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 054 | 52.1 | 7.268 | 348.7 | 1.113 | 325.6 | . 827 | . $¢ 7$ | 25.9 | 8.460 | 346.8 | --- | -. - |
|  | . 078 | 75.6 | 0.764 | 340.2 | --- | -- |  | . 053 | 51.5 | 7.945 | 344.1 | - - | - |
|  | . 106 | 102.7 | 6.302 | 331.3 | . 997 | 308.1 |  | . 079 | 76.1 | 7.528 | 334.0 | -- | - |
|  | . 130 | 125.8 | 5.291 | 330.6 | -- | -- |  | . 107 | 103.4 | 6.133 | 330.2 | -- | - |
|  | . 183 | 178.5 | 4.023 | 356.1 | --- | -- |  | . 185 | 178.5 | 2.884 | 345.7 | -- | - - |
|  | . 213 | 207.3 | 4.605 | 5.5 | 1.389 | 329.1 |  | . 210 | 203.3 | 3.100 | 2.7 | -- - | - |
|  | . 238 | 231.8 | 4.581 | 340.9 | - -- | --- |  | . 240 | 231.8 | 4.054 | 347.1 | - - - | - - - |
|  | . 264 | 257.5 | 5.601 | 341.4 | 1.490 | 289.5 |  | . 255 | 246.4 | 4.952 | 348.9 | -- | - |
| . 857 | . 027 | 27.3 | 6.705 | 351.3 | 1.637 | 349.2 | . 860 | . 026 | 26.3 | 8.294 | 351.4 | . 997 | 355.2 |
|  | . 051 | 51.1 | 6.214 | 345.8 | 1.757 | 338.9 |  | . 051 | 51.6 | 7.660 | 334.9 | . 761 | 320.6 |
|  | . 074 | 75.1 | 6.048 | 336.9 | - - - | -. - |  | . 074 | 74.3 | 6.404 | 324.6 | - -- | -- |
|  | . 103 | 103.9 | 5.451 | 325.8 | 1.342 | 320.1 |  | . 098 | 99.2 | 5.707 | 315.4 | . 776 | 283.4 |
|  | .152 | 153.2 | 3.359 | 342.3 | . 722 | 327.6 |  | ,153 | 154.2 | 3.976 | 331.0 | . 643 | 298.6 |
|  | . 179 | 181.6 | 2.715 | 338.3 | - - - | -- |  | . 178 | 179.5 | 4.292 | 326.1 | -- | -- |
|  | . 202 | 204.9 | 3.483 | 331.7 | 1.518 | 352.4 |  | . 204 | 205.8 | 4.064 | 335.9 | . 770 | 183.2 |
|  | . 229 | 232.2 | 3.212 | 333.6 | - -- | --- |  | . 228 | 230.4 | 4.321 | 323.0 | --- | -- |
|  | . 253 | 256.5 | 3.282 | 334.3 | 2.161 | 307.4 |  | . 252 | 254.7 | 3.773 | 317.3 | 1.606 | 148.8 |
| . 883 | . 027 | 27.8 | 6.494 | 350.5 | 1.702 | 0.6 | . 892 | . 025 | 26.1 | 9.005 | 340.4 |  |  |
|  | . 051 | 53.1 | 6.162 | 338.1 | 1.899 | 328.1 |  | . 049 | 50.3 | 7.821 | 333.4 | 1.172 | 209.3 |
|  | . 073 | 76.0 | 5.577 | 332.4 | - - | --- |  | . 073 | 75.3 | 6.752 | 317.0 | - - | --- |
|  | . 100 | 104.5 | 4.493 | 325.7 | 1.447 | 322.8 |  | . 147 | 151.7 | 3.753 | 334.9 | . 520 | 327.7 |
|  | .146 | 152.1 | 3.493 | 336.2 | 1.247 | 335.1 |  | . 173 | 181.6 | 3.123 | 329.0 | - - - | --- |
|  | . 171 | 179.0 | 3.023 | 336.9 | - - - | -- |  | . 202 | 212.2 | 3.685 | 332.2 | . 172 | 171.7 |
|  | . 2 22 | 232.7 | 3.928 | 338.5 | --- | - - - |  | . 224 | 235.3 | 3.168 | 323.5 | - - - | --- |
|  | . 248 | 259.6 | 4.504 | 338.7 | 1.951 | 309.5 |  | . 245 | 257.5 | 2.675 | 314.0 | . 755 | 322.4 |
| . 910 | . 116 | 125.7 | 3.369 | 343.7 | - | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 135 | 145.8 | 3.920 | 343.3 | 1.048 | 162.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 166 | 179.5 | 4.358 | 342.5 | -.- | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 197 | 213.0 | 4.484 | 319.9 | 1.004 | 174.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 213 | 230.6 | 4.034 | 312.8 | - - - | -. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 240 | 259.5 | 3.133 | 299.7 | 1.007 | 173.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65AOI2


NACA 65A004

MODEL PRESSURE-CELL LOCATIONS
[In Percent of Model Chord]

| Cell <br> number <br> upper and <br> lower <br> surface | $65 \mathrm{AO12}$ <br> and <br> $65 A 008$ | 65A004 <br> $2-008$, and <br> 877 A 008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 2 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 4 | 15 | 15 |
| 5 | 22.5 | 22.5 |
| 6 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| 7 | 35 | 35 |
| 8 | 45 | 45 |
| 9 | 52.5 | 52.5 |
| 10 | 57.5 | 57.5 |
| 11 | 62.5 | 62.5 |
| 12 | 67.5 | 67.5 |
| 13 | 75 | 75 |
| 14 | 85 | 85 |
| 15 | 95 | 90 |

NACA
Figure 1.- Section profiles and pressure-cell locations of models.




Figure 4.- Results as a function of reduced frequency, $k$, for several Mach numbers for the reference model, NACA 65A008; $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$.


Figure 5.- Typical results for reference model, NACA 65A008; $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$.


Figure 6.- Variation of experimental results from theory for reference model, NACA 65A008, with a faired line to show the mean variation with Mach number; $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$.


(a) $a_{m}=0^{\circ}$

Figure 7.- Effect of airfoil thickness distribution on lift derivatives.


(b) $a_{m}=2^{\circ}$

Figure 7.- Concluded.


(a) $a_{m}=0^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Effect of airfoil thickness on lift derivatives.


(b) $a_{m}=2^{\circ}$

Figure 8.- Concluded.


Figure 9.- Comparison of steady and unsteady lift derivatives for airfoils with varying thickness distributions.


Figure 9.- Concluded.


Figure 10.- Comparison of steady and unsteady lift derivatives for airfoils with varying thickness.


Figure 10.- Concluded.



Figure II.- Results as a function of reduced frequency, $k$, for several Mach numbers for the reference model, NACA 65A008; $\alpha_{m}=0^{\circ}$.


Figure 12.- Variation of experimental results from theory for reference model, NACA 65AOO8, with a faired line to show the mean variation with Mach number; $a_{m}=0^{\circ}$.


Figure 13.- Effect of airfoil thickness distribution on moment derivatives.


Figure 13.- Concluded.

(a) $a_{m}=0^{\circ}$

Figure 14.- Effect of airfoil thickness on moment derivatives.


Figure 14.- Concluded.


(a) Effect of airfoll thickness distribution.

Figure 15.- Damping component of the moment derivatives.

(b) Effect of airfoil thickness.

Figure 15.- Concluded.

## CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An NACA 847 Alll airfoil was modified to a symmetrical section by using the lower surface coordinates for both upper and lower surfaces and then reducing the thickness ratio to 8 percent.

