## **REPORT No. 472**

### WIND-TUNNEL TESTS ON COMBINATIONS OF A WING WITH FIXED AUXILIARY AIRFOILS HAVING VARIOUS CHORDS AND PROFILES

BY FRED E. WEICK and ROBERT SANDERS

#### SUMMARY

Various auxiliary airfoils having three different airfoil sections and several different chord lengths were tested in combination with a Clark Y model wing in a sufficient number of relative positions to determine the optimum with regard to certain criterions of aerodynamic performance. The airfoil sections included a symmetrical profile, one of medium camber, and a highly cambered one. The chord sizes of the auxiliary airfoils ranged from 7.5 to 25 percent of the chord of the main wing, and the span was equal to that of the main wing. The tests were made in the N.A.C.A. 5-foot vertical wind tunnel.

It was found that each of the auxiliary airfoil combinations tested, regardless of size or airfoil section, had, when located at its best position, substantially higher values of the maximum lift coefficient and of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  than the main wing alone. The maximum values of the lift coefficient obtained, based on the total area, were very nearly the same with all the auxiliary airfoils tested. The symmetrical airfoils gave lower values of the minimum drag coefficient and higher values of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  than the cambered auxiliary airfoils. The highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^{2}/C_{Dmin}$ was obtained with the symmetrical auxiliary having a chord length 14.5 percent of the main wing chord. The positions giving the highest values of this ratio did not vary greatly for the different auxiliary airfoils tested, except for the narrowest ones, which gave higher values in lower positions.

Additional tests, in which the auxiliary airfoils were supported separately, were made to determine the division of air load between the auxiliary and the main wing for two representative cases. The results showed that the auxiliary airfoil took a relatively large proportion of the total load, particularly in the case of the highly cambered auxiliary at low angles of attack.

### INTRODUCTION

In a previous investigation (reference 1) it was found that with an auxiliary airfoil fixed in a certain position ahead of the main wing the combination had a substantially higher value of the maximum lift coefficient (based on total area) and of the speed-range criterion,  $C_{Lmax}/C_{Dmin}$ , then either of the airfoils alone. These earlier tests were made with a single form of auxiliary airfoil now referred to as the N.A.C.A. 22. The chord was 14.5 percent of the main wing chord, and the profile was highly cambered and of medium thickness. This auxiliary airfoil was tested in a large number of positions near the front of the main wing in order to find the best location.

The tests described in the present report continue the investigation of fixed auxiliary airfoils to include the effect of variations in size and in airfoil section. Four sizes were tested having the original N.A.C.A. 22 section, four having a symmetrical section (N.A.C.A. 0012), and one having the Clark Y section. The lift and drag of the combinations were measured with each of the auxiliary airfoils in a sufficient number of positions ahead of the main wing to determine the optimum location. Pitching moments were then measured with each auxiliary airfoil in one or two of the best positions. Finally, the air force on the auxiliary airfoil was found for two representative combinations.

#### APPARATUS AND METHODS

Wind tunnel.—The tests were made in the 5-foot vertical wind tunnel under essentially the same conditions as those of the original portion of the investigation (reference 1). The wind tunnel is described in detail in reference 2. A "reflection plane" and half-span model were used to permit as high a Reynolds Number as possible.

Models.—The main wing was a rectangular Clark Y airfoil, constructed of mahogany, with a 10-inch chord and a 30-inch semispan. The auxiliary airfoils, whose semispans were also 30 inches, were constructed of aluminum alloy. The chords of the auxiliary airfoils were varied until the tests indicated that the optimum range had been covered. The original highly cambered section (N.A.C.A. 22) was tested with chords of 7.5, 11, 14.5 (check on original in optimum position only), and 25 percent of the main

wing chord. The symmetrical section, which was the next tested, was the N.A.C.A. 0012. This section was tested with chords of 7.5, 11, 14.5, and 18 percent of the main wing chord, the 25 percent size having been indicated as definitely too large by the tests with the original N.A.C.A. 22 section. The Clark Y section was tested with the 14.5 percent chord only. independently of the main wing. The air force on the main wing was measured in the presence of the auxiliary and subtracted from the total force to give the force on the auxiliary alone.

Tests.—The lift and drag over a range of angles of attack were measured with each of the auxiliary airfoils in a sufficient number of positions with respect



FIGURE 1.-Sections of auxiliary airfoils tested.

All three sections have approximately the same thickness and form except for the camber, which varies through a large range. The cross-sectional views of the various auxiliary airfoils are shown together with a table of ordinates in figure 1. The auxiliary airfoils were supported at each end and at two intermediate positions by metal fittings, as shown in figure 2.

For obtaining the force on the auxiliary airfoil separately, fixtures were made to support the auxiliary to the main wing to determine the optimum location according to the criterion  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$ , which was used in reference 1. The variations in position were made in the following manner. The angle  $\delta$  between the chord line of the auxiliary and that of the main wing was changed about an axis through the trailing edge of the auxiliary until the angle giving the highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  was determined. This procedure was repeated for various trailing-edge

locations until closed contour charts of the maximum value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  obtained at each trailingedge location could be drawn, showing that the position giving the highest value had been determined.

The 14.5 percent N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil, which was the one tested in various positions in reference 1, was retested only at the best position, as a check. The results are slightly different from those of the previous tests, which is partly due to a change of the fittings supporting the auxiliary airfoil and partly to the normal experimental error. The new fittings, designed to increase the rigidity of the set-up, caused an interference effect resulting in a reduction of the maximum lift coefficient of about 3 percent (reference 3).

The pitching moments, which were obtained with a slight change in the balance arrangement, were measured for the best positions of each auxiliary airfoil.

The tests to determine the distribution of load between the auxiliary airfoil and the main wing were made with two representative auxiliary airfoils. One had the highly cambered N.A.C.A. 22 section and the other the symmetrical N.A.C.A. 0012 section, both being 14.5 percent of the main wing chord. Each of the auxiliary airfoils was tested at two different settings of the angle  $\delta$ . The values of the air loads on the auxiliary airfoils must be considered as approximate, for they were obtained as the difference between two relatively large forces and the accuracy was therefore not high.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the simple lift and drag tests are given in tables I to IX in terms of several critical values, or criterions, of the aerodynamic characteristics. The lift and drag coefficients are based on the area of the main wing plus that of the auxiliary, and for this reason the various combinations must be compared as complete units.

### CONTOURS OF PERFORMANCE CRITERIONS

The variations of four of the criterions with changes in the locations of the various auxiliary airfoils are shown by means of contour charts which serve as convenient aids to the selection of the optimum locations (figs. 3 to 10). The values on the contour charts are those obtained with the auxiliary airfoil set at the angles giving the highest value of  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  for each trailing-edge location; where two angles gave the same value within the experimental error, the choice was based on the other criterions. The values for the different angles are given in tables I to IX. The four sets of contours shown on each of the figures are for the following criterions:

a.  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$ , which is the main criterion in selecting the optimum position. This is an arbitrary 40708-34-37

criterion which gives equal weight to the maximum lift coefficient and the speed-range ratio  $C_{Lmax}/C_{Dmin}$ .

b. C<sub>Lmax</sub>.

c. L/D at  $C_L = 0.7$ , which is used as a criterion of the effectiveness in climbing flight.

d. L/D at  $C_{Lmax}$ , which gives an indication of the steepest gliding angle obtainable in unstalled flight. An examination of the contour charts shows that no single auxiliary airfoil had the best characteristics on the basis of all the criterions. The variation of the characteristics with size, profile, and location of the



FIGURE 2.-Method of supporting auxiliary airfolls.

auxiliary is complex and requires that the data be studied in detail in order to select the best auxiliary airfoil to fulfill the requirements of any particular set of operating conditions.

Effect of location.—In general, the location giving the highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  for any of the auxiliary airfoils was not greatly different from that giving the highest value of  $C_{Lmax}$ , being in most cases slightly lower and farther forward. The positions giving the highest values of  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  did not vary greatly with airfoil section or with size of auxiliary, except for the smallest size, which required a lower position for both the airfoil sections tested. In fact, for each size

FIGURE 3.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $\dot{C}_{L=as}^2/C_{D=is}$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmax}$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.O.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.









Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=ee}/C_{D=in}$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A. C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L = 0.7$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.O.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmes}$  obtained with an 11.0 per cent c N.A.O.A. auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{Lmas}$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.

FIGURE 4.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=ac^2/C_{D=1a}}$  obtained with a 25.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxillary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with a 25.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=ac^2}/C_{D=in}$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary sinfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L_{max}}$  obtained with a 25.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{L=as}$  obtained with u 25.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 22 auxillary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=ex}$  obtained with [a 7.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{L=42}$  obtained with a 7.5 percent c N.A.O.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.

FIGURE 5.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=a,2}/C_{D=in}$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.C.A 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Looi of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.C.A 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmax}/C_{Dmin}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmes}$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.O.A 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{Lmes}$  obtained with an 11.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmax}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c N.A.C.A 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.

Percent chord



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{Lmas}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=ex^2}/C_{D=in}$  obtained with an 18.0 percent e N.A.O.A 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with an 18.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L=s,2}/C_{D=in}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c Clark Y auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_L=0.7$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c Clark Y auxiliary airfoll set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{L_{max}}$  obtained with an 18.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{L=er}$  obtained with an 18.0 percent c N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of  $C_{Lmax}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c Clark Y auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.



Loci of trailing-edge positions for equal values of L/D at  $C_{L=s}$  obtained with a 14.5 percent c Clark Y auxiliary airfoil set at the optimum angle for each position.

of auxiliary airfoil except the extreme 7.5 and 25 percent sizes, and for each of the three airfoil sections, a position with the trailing edge 14 percent ahead of the nose and 12 percent above the chord line of the main wing gave a value of  $C_{Lmax}$  within 2 percent and a value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  within 5 percent of the maximum value obtained for the particular auxiliary airfoil at any position. The best angle  $\delta$  was within 3° of zero for all medium-sized auxiliary airfoils, regardless of section.

In most cases, moving the auxiliary airfoil closer to the main wing than the position giving the highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  gave a slight increase in the value of L/D in the climbing range and at the same time a decrease in the value of L/D near maximum lift, both of which result in an increase in the range of possible gliding angles. Considering this fact, together with the similar condition in regard to the maximum lift coefficient, and also the structural requirements, the optimum position would seem to be somewhat closer to the main wing than the position giving the highest ratio of  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$ . No rigid general rule can be drawn, however, for the details of each case must be considered separately.

Effect of size.—A comparison of the results for the different sized auxiliary airfoils as given on the contour charts shows that for any one airfoil section there was no great change in the values of the criterions with change in size within the range covered, if the values taken are for each size in its best position. The maximum lift coefficients obtained with the auxiliary airfoils of all sizes and sections, set at the value of  $\delta$  which gave the highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$ , were all within 2 percent (or approximately within the experimental error) of the value 1.64, except for the value with the 25 percent auxiliary airfoil, which was within 4 percent. With the highly cambered N.A.C.A. 22 section the smaller auxiliary airfoils had slightly higher values of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  than the larger ones, but the entire range was only 7 percent. With the symmetrical section the variation of the maximum value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  with size was about twice as great, the highest value being obtained with the medium size and the lowest values with the extreme sizes.

The values of the climb criterion, L/D at  $C_L = 0.7$ , were nearly the same for all sizes, but were slightly greater for the smallest size than for the others. The smallest sized auxiliary airfoils, unfortunately, also gave definitely higher values of the criterion of steep glides, L/D at  $C_{Lmax}$ , than the others. The variation among the larger sizes was very small.

Effect of auxiliary airfoil section.—Although the auxiliary airfoils of all sizes and sections gave approximately the same values of the maximum lift coefficient, the minimum drag coefficients were found to be decidedly lower with the auxiliary airfoils of symmetrical section than with the cambered ones, so that higher values of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  were obtained with them. The cross plots for the three different sections with the 14.5 percent chord indicated that the highest values of the ratio obtained with each varied consistently with the camber, the value with the symmetrical N.A.C.A. 0012 auxiliary airfoil being 199, that for the Clark Y being 166, and that for the highly cambered N.A.C.A. 22, being 154. The value of 199 obtained with the 14.5 percent symmetrical auxiliary airfoil was the highest found in the investigation.

The values of L/D at  $C_L = 0.7$  were approximately the same for the symmetrical and for the highly cambered sections, but the values of L/D at  $C_{Lmax}$  were slightly lower with the highly cambered sections.

## LIFT, DRAG, AND CENTER-OF-PRESSURE CURVES FOR OPTIMUM POSITIONS

Curves of lift, drag, and center-of-pressure coefficients against angle of attack are given in figures 11 to 19 for each of the auxiliary airfoils in one or more of the optimum positions, selected mainly on the basis of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$ . In addition, values of the pitching-moment coefficients for all the angles of attack measured are given in table X. The values of centerof-pressure positions were computed on the basis of the main wing chord and the values of  $C_m$  on the basis of the main wing chord and the combined area.

The numerical value of  $C_m$  at zero lift for the combination with the 14.5 percent Clark Y auxiliary airfoil was found to be 14 percent less than the value for the plain Clark Y wing alone. With the symmetrical auxiliary airfoil having the 11 percent chord the value was the same as for the plain wing, but it became greater if the size of the auxiliary was either increased or decreased from the 11 percent point. The highly cambered N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoils gave somewhat smaller negative values than the plain Clark Y wing, the values decreasing as the size of the auxiliary was increased. If  $C_m$  is plotted against  $C_L$  the curve will not in any case be a straight line, but will have a definite bend in the neighborhood of the 5° angle of attack.

DIVISION OF AIR LOAD BETWEEN MAIN WING AND AUXILIARY AIRFOIL

The results of the tests to show the division of the air load between the main wing and the two selected auxiliary airfoils are shown in figure 20. The load on the auxiliaries is divided into normal and chord components and these are given in terms of the total lift on the main wing plus the auxiliary. The auxiliary airfoil having the symmetrical section sustained in the neighborhood of one fifth of the total load throughout the entire angle-of-attack range tested. The highly cambered N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil sustained about the same portion of the total load at the high lift coefficients, but a higher proportion if the angle of attack was reduced. At  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$  the lowest angle of attack which could be obtained with the set-up











(A) Aux. T.E. 11.5 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=0°.
(B) Aux. T.E. 16.0 percent ahead of L.E., 4.5 percent above chord, δ=2½°.
FIGURE 12.—Characteristics with N.A.C.A. 22, 11.0 percent chord auxiliary.





575

FIGURE 14.—Characteristics with N.A.O.A. 22, 25.0 percent chord auxiliary.

...

REPORT NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS



(A) Aux. T.E. 19.3 percent ahead of L.E., 2.5 percent below chord, δ=0°.
(B) Aux. T.E. 19.3 percent ahead of L.E., 2.5 percent below chord, δ=2½°.
FIGURE 15.—Characteristics with N.A.C.A. 0012, 7.5 percent chord auxiliary.



(A) Aux. T.E. 16.0 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=2½°.
(B) Aux. T.E. 11.5 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=0°.
(C) Aux. T.E. 11.5 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=-2½°. FIGURE 17.—Characteristics with N.A.C.A. 0012, 14.5 percent chord auxiliary.









used, approximately half the total load was taken by the N.A.C.A. 22 auxiliary airfoil.

### CONCLUSIONS

1. Each of the auxiliary airfoil combinations tested, regardless of size or airfoil section, gave, in the best positions, substantially higher values of  $C_{Lmax}$  and of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}/C_{Dmin}$  than the main wing alone.

2. The maximum values of  $C_L$  obtained, based on the total area, were very nearly the same with all the auxiliary airfoils tested.

3. The symmetrical auxiliary airfoils gave lower values of the minimum drag coefficient and higher values of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  than the auxiliary airfoils having other sections, the highest value of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  being obtained with the 14.5 percent symmetrical auxiliary airfoil.

4. The positions giving the highest values of the ratio  $C_{Lmax}^2/O_{Dmin}$  did not vary greatly for the auxiliary airfoils of different sizes and sections tested, except for the smallest size, which required a lower position.

5. In most cases within the range of the tests, moving the auxiliary airfoil closer to the main wing than the position giving the highest value of the ratio  $G_{Lmax}^2/C_{Dmin}$  gave a slight increase in the value of L/Din the climbing range and a decrease in the value of L/D near maximum lift, thus giving a dual increase in the range of possible flight angles.

6. The air load on the 14.5 percent symmetrical auxiliary airfoil was about one fifth the total air load on the combination at all angles of attack; the proportional air load on the highly cambered auxiliary airfoil was about the same at the high values of the lift coefficient, but approximately half the total air load at low values of the lift coefficient.

### LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS, LANGLEY FIELD, VA., June 10, 1933.

### REFERENCES

- Weick, Fred E., and Bamber, Millard J.: Wind-Tunnel Tests of a Clark Y Wing with a Narrow Auxiliary Airfoil in Different Positions. T.R. No. 428, N.A.C.A., 1932.
- Wenzinger, Carl J., and Harris, Thomas A.: The Vertical Wind Tunnel of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. T.R. No. 387, N.A.C.A., 1931.
- Weick, Fred E., and Noyes, Richard W.: Wind-Tunnel Research Comparing Lateral Control Devices, Particularly at High Angles of Attack. X. Various Control Devices on a Wing with a Fixed Auxiliary Airfoil. T.N. No. 451, N.A.C.A., 1933.



(A) Aux. T.E. 10.0 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=2½°.
(B) Aux. T.E. 11.5 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord, δ=0°.
FIGURE 19.—Characteristics with Clark Y, 14.5 percent chord auxiliary.



(A) N.A.C.A. 0012, T.E. 11.5 percent ahead of L.E., 14.0 percent above chord.
 (B) N.A.C.A. 22, T.E. 15.2 percent ahead of L.E., 12.0 percent above chord.
 FIGURE 20.—Normal and chord components of the force on 14.5 percent chord auxiliary airfolls.

المحمينات الم

.

•• ·

Position of auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	ð	Comin	Cluss	a CLass	Charles	$\frac{(C_{Lmax})^2}{C_{D=4n}}$	L D for	$\frac{L}{D}$ for
Ahead	Above					C Data	ODMIN	<i>CL</i> ⇔0.7	Clmas
Percent c 16.0	Percent c 14.0	Degrees 5 714 1214	0.0187 .0185 .0185	1.458 • 1.462 1.478	Degrees 21 21 21 21	78 79 80	114 116 118	11. 1 10. 0 7. 6	4. 42 -4. 27 4. 06
11,5	14.0	214 5 714 10 1214	.0188 .0183 .0185 .0196 0199	$1.560 \\ 1.602 \\ 1.602 \\ 1.620 \\ 1.620 \\ 1.602$	24 25 26 25 25 25	84 85 87 83 81	130 137 139 134 129	10. 4 10. 4 10. 1 8. 9 8. 6	3, 84 3, 61 3, 54 3, 47 3, 37
27.5	14.0	0 21⁄2 5	.0184 .0170 .0187	1, 400 1, 374 1, 390	20 20 20 20	76 79 74	107 109 103	10.8 13.0 11.7	5. 19 4. 07 4. 81
21. 2	8.8	0 21/2 5 71/2 10 121/2	.0201 .0185 .0180 .0191 .0201 .0199	1.415 1.420 1.416 1.510 1.563 1.551	ននេនននន	70 77 79 79 78 78	100 109 111 119 122 121	12, 1 14, 0 12, 1 10, 8 10, 0 18, 4	3. 94 3. 86 3. 74 4. 18 3. 74 3. 90
16, 0	4.5	21 <u>4</u> 5 71 <u>4</u>	.0160 .0174 .0163	1, 523 1, 646 1, 605	21 25 24	95 95 96	145 <sup>-</sup> 157 153	12.1 10.9 10.0	4. 62 3. 85 3. 96
7.5	9.6	0 21⁄2 5	. 0204 . 0199 . 0204	1.563 1.563 1.522	25 26 25	77 79 75	120 123 114	10.0 9.9 9.9	3. 45 3. 21 3. 24
10.7	0.0	0 5 71.6 121.2	.0185 .0157 .0163 .0166	1. 401 1. 340 1. 380 1. 323	24 23 24 23	76 86 85 80	106 114 117 106	11.3 11.3 11.3 11.3	3.80 3.79 3.69 3.66
19.3	-2.5	5 0 21/2 5	.0218 .0177 .0171 .0177	1. 628 1. 620 1. 615 1. 598	23 24 24 24 24	75 92 94 91	121 149 153 145	14.0 11.1 10.6 10.6	4.92 4.33 4.39 4.19
11.1	7.4	0 5 73-5 10	.0196 .0185 .0182 .0191	1, 640 1, 618 1, 605 1, 575	25 25 25 25	84 87 88 83	137 141 142 130	9.7 10.3 9.3 8.9	3, 82 3, 58 3, 48 3, 26

TABLE I.—CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 22, 7.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

,

TABLE II. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 22, 11.0 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

Position of auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	8	CDmin	CLrnes	α <sub>CLmes</sub>	<u>Clmes</u> CDmin	( <u>CLmax)</u> ČDmin	$     \begin{array}{c}       L \\       \overline{D} \text{ for} \\       C_L = 0.7     \end{array} $	L D for Class
Anesa	AD0V8								
Percent c 7.50	Percent c 22.5	Degrees 5 21.6 0	0.0172 .0161 .0172	1. 470. 1. 480 1. 492	Degrees 22 22 22 22	85 92 87	126 138 129	12.5 11.5 10.8	4. 26 4. 08 3. 94
16.0	18.9	-5 0 21,2 5	. 0203 . 0169 . 0169 . 0185	1, 435 1, 452 1, 465 1, 474	21 21 21 21 21	71 86 87 80	102 124 128 117	12.3 12.5 11.9	4.60 4.27 4.30 3.97
16.0	14.0	-5 0 2 <sup>1</sup> ⁄2 5	.0201 .0172 .0172 .0183	1. 481 1. 532 1. 571 1. 610	22 22 23 24	74 89 91 88	109 136 143 142	14.0 13.5 13.7 12.7	4, 32 4, 14 3, 79 3, 41
11, 5	14.0	-5 0 21-5 5	.0209 .0183 .0183 .0191	1, 650 1, 678 1, 660 1, 610	25 26 26 25	79 92 91 84	130 154 151 136	10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9 10.9	3, 69 3, 34 3, 22 3, 20
27.5	14.0	-5 0 21/2 5	.0211 .0185 .0182 .0185	1, 395 1, 410 1, 420 1, 428	20 20 20 20	66 76 78 77	93 107 111 111	13.5 10.9 10.8 10.9	5. 03 4. 70 4. 44 4. 34
21, 2	8.8	0 21⁄2 8	.0180 40182 .0180	1, 510 1, 558 1, 545	21 22 23	84 86 86	127 134 132	13, 7 12, 3 13, 2	4. 47 4. 15 3. 99
16, 0	4.5	-5 0 21⁄2 5	.0225 .0175 .0167 .0178	1, 605 1, 580 1, 584 1, 571	23 23 24 24	72 90 95 88	115 143 150 138	15.9 8.9 9.0 9.0	4, 34 3, 97 3, 56 3, 58
7.5	9.6	-5 -21/2 0 5	. 0215 . 0201 . 0191 . 0209	1, 542 1, 525 1, 480 1, 425	25 25 27 25	72 76 78 68	111 116 115 97	10. 1 9. 7 13. 7	3. 20 3. 27 2. 79 2. 85
11, 1	7.4	-5 0 5 73⁄2	.0225 .0190 .0172 .0175	1. 648 1. 597 1. 558 1. 520	25 25 26 25	73 84 91 87	121 134 141 182	12.7 12.1 10.9 10.9	3.77 3.47 3.03 3.07

.

### WIND-TUNNEL TESTS ON COMBINATIONS OF A WING WITH FIXED AUXILIARY AIRFOILS 579

TABLE III. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 22, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

Position auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	ð	C <sub>Dmin</sub>	Class	α <sub>CLmax</sub>	<u>Clmes</u> Comin	(C <sub>Lmat</sub> ) <sup>3</sup> C <sub>Dmin</sub>	$\frac{L}{D}$ for $\frac{1}{D}$	L for D
Ahead	Above							CL=0.7	ULmes
Percent c 15.2	Percent c 12.0	Degrees 0	0. 0177	1, 650	Degrees 25	93	154	8.0	8, 56-

# TABLE IV. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS WITH N.A.C.A. 22, 25 PERCENT AUXILIARY FOR EACH POSITION TESTED

Position auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	δ	C <sub>Dmis</sub>	Cluss	a CLmes	C <sub>Lmes</sub> Comis	$\frac{(C_{Lmax})^2}{C_{Dmin}}$		L for D
Ahead	Above							CL=0.7	CLmas
Percent c 7.5	Percent c 22.5	Degrees 7½ -5 0	0. 0238 . 0186 . 0163	1. 575 1. 504 1. 418	Degrees 25 24 21	66 81 87	105 122 124	11.9 11.3 10.0	3, 24 3, 12 3, 25
16.0	18.9	-5 -21⁄3 0 5	.0209 .0188 .0180 .0180	1, 562 1, 574 1, 550 1, 527	24 24 24 24 24	75 84 86 85	117 133 183 130	11.7 12.3 11.1 9.6	3, 55 3, 42 3, 19 2, 85
16.0	14.0	$-5 \\ -212 \\ 0 \\ 212 \\ 5 \\ 5$	. 0207 . 0185 . 0162 . 0178 . 0178	1. 656 1. 620 1. 592 1. 568 1. 510	26 25 25 25 25 25 24	80 87 98 88 88 85	133 141 156 138 128	10.4 11.3 10.4 9.5 8.1	3, 27 3, 30 3, 07 2, 98 2, 87
11.5	14.0	-5 -21/2 0 5	. 0206 . 0176 . 0169 . 0169	1, 576 1, 528 1, 470 1, 365	25 · 24 23 21	77 87 87 81	121 133 128 111	13.2 11.5 9.9 8.6	3, 21 3, 19 3, 11 3, 05
27.5	14.0	5 0 21/2 5	.0200 .0156 .0179 .0179	1, 510 1, 498 1, 534 1, 487	23 22 23 22	75 96 86 83	114 144 131 124	10,9 12,1 11,1	3, 85 3, 71 3, 75 3, 39
21. 2	8.8	-5 0 2 <sup>1</sup> /2 5	.0211 .0182 .0168 .0168	1, 603 1, 556 1, 516 1, 480	25 24 23 23	76 86 90 98	122 134 137 144	10.8 12.1 9.0 8.3	3, 49 3, 34 3, 41 3, 08
16.0	4. 5	$\begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -2\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$	. 0207 . 0169 . 0155 . 0155	1, 516 1, 470 1, 405 1, 281	25 24 23 20	73 87 90 83	112 128 127 106	11.1 10.1 10.3 9.5	3. 43 3. 46 3. 44 3. 55

	Position auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	δ	Comin	CLuss	a CLnes	Class	$\frac{(C_{L_{max}})^2}{C_{max}}$	$\frac{L}{D}$ for .	L for D
	Ahead	Above					C D m in	C Dm (n	<i>C</i> <sub>L</sub> =0.7	CLEES
ļ	Percent c 16.0	Percent c 14.0	Degrees 0 5 71/5 121/2	0.0163 .0155 .0152 .0166	L 402 1.418 1.428 1.434	Degrees 20 20 20 20 20	86 91 94 84	121 130 134 120	, 10.8 11.3 9.2 8.3	4, 93 4, 80 4, 65 4, 35
	11, 5	14.	0 5 71⁄5 10 121⁄5	. 0161 . 0166 . 0164 . 0169 . 0169 . 0180	1, 438 1, 500 1, 520 1, 545 1, 590	21 22 22 23 23 24	89 90 93 91 88	129 138 141 141 141 140	10.6 9.5 8.9 8.4 7.4	4, 57 4, 17 4, 12 3, 84 3, 53
	21, 2	8.8	-21/2 0 21/2 5	.0169 .0150 .0152 .0161	1, 401 1, 383 1, 390 1, 420	20 19 20 20	81 92 91 88	114 128 127 125	10, 3 11, 5 10, 6 10, 4	4.90 5.47 4.83 4.91
	16.0	4.5	0 214 5 714	.0155 .0149 .0152 .0160	1, 646 1, 621 1, 609 1, 637	24 23 23 24	106 109 106 102	175 176 170 167	10.9 10.4 9.9 9.5	4.35 4.45 4.36 4.07
	7.5	9.6	2 <sup>1</sup> ⁄2 0 5	. 0153 . 0147 . 0158	1,580 1,545 1,582	24 23 25	103 105 100	163 162 158	10.9 10.1 8.9	3, 85 3, 89 8, 35
	10, 7	0,0	-21.2 0 21.2 5	.0146 .0138 .0133 .0133	1. 475 1. 470 1. 403 1. 407	ង ងង ង	101 106 105 106	149 157 148 149	11.9 10.6 9.5 9.7	4, 71 4, 50 4, 28 4, 53
	19, 3	2.5	-21.4 0 21.4 5	.0158 .0141 .0139 .0144	1, 622 1, 610 1, 610 1, 600	នានាន	103 114 116 111	166 184 186 178	13.0 11.7 10.9 10.1	4.88 4.74 4.68 4.57
ĺ	11, 1	7.4	-5 -21/2 0 5	.0182 .0172 .0172 .0172 .0172	1, 660 1, 670 1, 636 1, 608	25 25 25 24	91 97 95 94	151 162 156 150	11.9 11.5 10.6 10.4	4. 17 3. 80 3. 67 3. 91
	20.0	21	0 5 - 73⁄2	.0160 .0155 .0155	1, 540 1, 570 1, 570	ន្តនេះ	96 101 101	147 159 159	11, 3 10, 0 9, 6	4.87 4.68 4.61
	16.0	-1.5	-21/2 0 21/2 5	.0163 .0152 .0152 .0152 .0152	1, 602 1, 590 1, 582 1, 570	នា នា នា នា	98 105 104 103	157 166 165 162	11.9 11.3 10.1 9.9	4.75 4.65 4.54 4.41
	18.6	-7.1	21/2 0 21/2 5	.0169 .0163 .0163 .0163 .0163	1, 570 1, 571 1, 512 1, 482	ន នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេះ នេ	93 96 93 91	146 152 140 135	12.5 12.1 10.9 10.6	4.85 4.82 4.61 4.72
	21.8	-2.8	$-2\frac{1}{2}$	.0172 .0161 .0169 .0169	1, 570 1, 573 1, 570 1, 590	ង អង្គ អ្	91 98 93 94	143 154 146 150	12.3 11.1 10.1 9.5	5.06 4.97 4.86 4.49

,

•

# TABLE V. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 0012, 7.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

.

### WIND-TUNNEL TESTS ON COMBINATIONS OF A WING WITH FIXED AUXILIARY AIRFOILS

# TABLE VI. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 0012, 11.0 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

Positions auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoll	δ	Comin	C <sub>Lmes</sub>	α <sub>CLmes</sub>	<u>Clman</u> Comin	$\frac{(C_{L=as})^2}{C_{D=la}}$	$\frac{L}{D}$ for	$\frac{L}{D}$ for
Ahead	Above							CL=0.7	CLRAT
Percent c 7.5	Percent c 22, 5	Degrees -5 0 5	0. 0153 . 0151 . 0158	1, 400 1, 413 1, 426	Degrees 20 20 20	91 94 90	128 132 129	12.5 10.1 8.4	4. 98 4. 67 4. 26
16. 0	18.9	0 5 10	. 0151 . 0153 . 0151	1, 392 • 1, 408 1, 395	20 20 20	92 92 92	128 130 129	10.8 8.9 9.1	4, 73 4, 46 4, 42
16.0	14.0	0 2]-2 5	. 0157 . 0148 . 0159	1. 413 1. 410 1. 461	20 21 20	90 95 91	127 134 130	10.4 9.7 8.9	4. 74 4. 47 4. 41
11.5	14.0	0 25 <b>5</b> 5 10	. 0143 . 0143 . 0143 . 0143 . 0162	1. 568 1. 656 1. 618 1. 614	23 25 25 25	110 116 113 100	172 192 183 161	10.0 9.5 8.6 7.9	3.95 3.58 3.60 3.22
21. 2	8.8	0 5 10 12½	. 0156 . 0169 . 0164 . 0164	1. 432 1. 508 1. 531 1. 536	20 21 22 22	92 89 93 94	132 135 143 144	11. 1 9. 1 8. 1 7. 9	4, 92 4, 42 8, 89 3, 83
16.0	4.5	0 2½ 5 7½	. 0143 . 0140 . 0136 . 0144	1, 560 1, 562 1, 558 1, 527	22 22 23 23 23	109 112 114 106	170 174 178 162	11.3 9.3 9.0 8.5	4,46 4,27 3,90 4,00
7.5	9.6	-5 -21⁄3 0 5	. 0157 . 0154 . 0152 . 0152	1, 546 1, 540 1, 535 1, 468	24 24 25 24	99 100 101 97	152 154 155 142	12,5 10,4 9,3 7,8	3.65 3.53 3.25 3.14
11, 1	7.4	-5 -234 0 5	.0172 .0164 .0156 .0172	1. 610 1. 598 1. 571 1. 561	23 23 23 24	94 97 101 91	151 156 159 142	11.7 11.7 10.1 8.4	4. 25 4. 13 3. 96 3. 48

TABLE VII. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A. 0012, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

Positions auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoll	8	Comin	Clines	α <sub>CLmes</sub>	<u>Clmay</u> CDmin	$\frac{(C_{Lmes})^{1}}{C_{Dmin}}$	$\frac{L}{D}$ for .	$\frac{L}{D}$ for
Ahead	Above							CL=0.7	CLmes
Percent c 7.5	Percent c 22.5	Degrees 0 5 7½	0. 0151 . 0149 . 0154	1, 443 1, 556 1, 575	Degrees 21 24 25	96 104 102	138 162 161	10.0 7.6 6.9	4, 23 3, 34 3, 08
16.0	18.9	5 21/2 0 21/2 5	. 0159 . 0149 . 0141 . 0141 . 0149 . 0146	1, 390 1, 400 1, 408 1, 443 1, 460	20 21 21 21 21 21	87 94 100 97 97	122 182 140 140 139	12.3 12.1 10.6 9.2 8.3	4.90 4.42 4.27 4.13 4.07
16.0	14.0	0 23/2 5 73/2	.0129 .0129 .0131 .0134	1, 501 1, 603 1, 603 1, 593	22 24 24 24 24	116 124 122 119	175 199 196 190	11.5 9.2 8.8 7.9	4. 15 3. 67 3. 58 3. 38
11.5	14.0	21/2 0 5	. 0139 . 0137 . 0137	1, 651 1, 639 1, 613	25 25 25	119 120 118	196 196 190	11.7 11.1 8.1	3, 65 3, 53 3, 26
27.5	14.0	0 5 7 <u>1</u> 5	.0149 .0146 .0164	1.333 1.370 1.434	19 20 21	89 94 87	119 129 125	11.5 8.9 7.9	5.02 4.33 3.96
21, 2	8.8	-5 0 21/2 5	.0164 .0149 .0157 .0167	1, 408 1, 485 1, 540 1, 534	20 21 22 22	86 100 98 92	121 148 151 141	12.5 11.1 9.5 8.5	5. 12 4. 54 4. 17 4. 02
16.0	4.5	-5 -21/2 0 5	.0159 .0129 .0126 .0126	1, 550 1, 537 1, 520 1, 490	22 22 23 23	97 119 121 118	151 183 184 176	12.5 12.7 10.8 8.3	4, 56 4, 38 4, 15 3, 92
7.5	9.6	$-7\frac{1}{2}$ -5 -2 $\frac{1}{2}$ 0 5	.0159 .0146 .0146 .0152 .0156	1. 504 1. 813 1. 466 1. 440 1. 400	23 24 23 25 25	94 103 100 95 90	1 <b>42</b> 156 147 137 126	13.0 12.3 10.9 8.8 7.3	- 3.90 3.61 3.58 3.00 2.79
. <sup>11, 1</sup>	7- <del>4</del>	5 21/2 0 5	.0154 .0142 .0142 .0144	1, 605 1, 542 1, 518 1, 480	24 23 23 23	104 109 107 103	167 167 162 163	12.5 11.9 9.9 8.2	3, 91 8, 96 3, 73 3, 51

581

.

.

- · ·

Position auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	δ	Comin	Cluss	a <sub>Clmer</sub>	Cluter	$\frac{(C_{L_{mes}})^{1}}{C_{mes}}$	$\frac{L}{D}$ for	L D for
Abead	Above					CDmin	CDm in	C <sub>L</sub> =0.7	CLmax
Percent c 7.5	Percent c 22.5	Degrees 0 2 <sup>1</sup> /1 5 7 <sup>1</sup> /2	0. 0159 . 0159 . 0159 . 0159 . 0161	1. 473 1. 578 1. 565 1. 531	Degrees 22 25 25 25 24	93 99 98 95	186 157 154 146	9.5 8.0 7.1 6.5	3. 77 3. 14 3. 09 2. 96
16. 0	18.9	0 21/2 5 71/2 10 121/2	. 0152 . 0161 . 0161 . 0166 . 0169 . 0174	1.440 1.485 1.488 1.530 1.530 1.552	21 22 24 23 24	95 92 95 89 88	136 136 137 150 139 137	10.8 8.5 8.2 6.6 6.2 6.1	4, 09 3, 75 3, 65 3, 15 3, 87 2, 91
16.0	14.0	23.45 0 23.45 5	.0157 .0155 .0152 .0157	1, 560 1, 621 1, 550 1, 575	23 25 23 24	99 104 102 100	155 169 158 158	11.7 11.1 8.3 7.8	4.05 , 3.48 3.59 3.32
11.5	14.0	0 2½ 5	.0164 .0162 .0164	1,600 1,590 1,580	25 25 26	98 98 96	156 156 152	10.4 8.0 7.4	3, 33 3, 15 3, 01
27.5	14.0	0 5 734	.0156 .0156 .0164	1, 337 1, 395 1, 426	19 20 21	86 89 87	114 125 124	11.3 8.0 7.4	4.82 4.21 3.69
21. 2	8.8	-21/2 0 21/2 5	.0166 .0156 .0168 .0176	1. 470 1. 544 1. 513 1. 510	21 22 22 22 22	89 99 91 86	130 153 138 130	11.7 11.3 9.2 7.5	4, 51 3, 71 3, 99 3, 83
16.0	4.5	-21/2 0 21/2 5	. 0157 . 0149 . 0142 . 0144	1. 483 1. 488 1. 468 1. 418	22 22 22 21	95 100 103 99	141 149 152 140	12.1 11.1 8.8 11.9	4, 21 4, 02 3, 91 3, 92

TABLE VIII. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR AN N.A.C.A 0012, 18 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH CLARK Y WING

TABLE IX. CHARACTERISTICS AND CRITERIONS FOR A CLARK Y, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY WITH A CLARK Y WING

Position auxiliar	of T.E. of y airfoil	8	C <sub>Dmin</sub>	Class	a Class	<u>Clmes</u>	(CLRas) 3	$\frac{L}{D}$ for	$\frac{L}{D}$ for
Ahead	Above		•				<b>ODMIN</b>	$C_L = 0.7$	CLus
Percent c 7.5	Percent c 22,5	Degrees 0 23 <u>4</u> 5 73 <u>4</u>	0. 0156 . 0164 . 0174 . 0179	1. 460 1. 470 1. 571 1. 555	Degrees 25 25 25 25 24	94 90 90 87	137 132 142 135	11.7 10.1 7.3 6.7	3, 06 2, 91 3, 05 3, 08
16.0	18.9	0 5 73 <del>4</del>	.0164 .0172 .0182	1, 446 1, 502 1, 532	21 22 23	88 87 84	128 131 129	11.7 8.0 7.2	4, 14 3, 65 3, 38
16.0	. 14.0	0 .215 5	.0159 .0157 .0162	1. 612 1. 616 1. 622	24 24 25	101 103 100	163 166 163	11.7 9.6 8.2	3, 73 3, 51 3, 23
11,5	14,0	-21/2 0 21/2 5	.0178 .0170 .0170 .0165	1.665 1.647 1.631 1.608	26 26 26 26	93 97 96 97	158 159 157 157	11.7 11.1 9.2 7.6	3, 35 3, 27 3, 21 2, 96
27.5	14.0	0 5 73⁄2	.0169 .0172 .0182	1. 890 1. 443 1. 475	20 21 21	82 84 81	114 121 120	11.9 8.4 7.7	4. 51 3. 99 3. 91
21, 2	8.8	0 5 71/2 10 121/2	.0182 .0180 .0180 .0178 .0178 .0193	1, 542 1, 578 1, 565 1, 587 1, 536	<b>N</b> N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	38 - 88 - 88 - 88 - 88 - 88 - 88 - 88 -	131 138 136 141 122	11.7 8.5 7.4 6.9 6.5	4. 27 3. 71 3. 57 3. 30 3. 25
16. 0	4.5	214 0 214 5	.0177 .0167 .0169 .0159	1, 562 1, 548 1, 502 1, 480	ននេង	833 33 33	138 144 142 138	12, 1 11, 5 9, 3 7, 4	4.06 3.81 3.94 3.77
7.5	9.6	5 21/2 0 5	.0218 .0192 .0192 .0192 .0192	1, 500 1, 470 1, 443 1, 400	25 25 26 24	69 77 75 73	103 113 108 102	11.9 11.3 11.1 6.7	2, 99 2, 84 2, 75 2, 84

## TABLE X. CHARACTERISTICS OF A CLARK Y WING WITH VARIOUS AUXILIARIES IN THEIR MOST PROMISING POSITIONS

### NO AUXILIARY

a (degrees)	CL	CD	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25c of main wing
$ \begin{array}{r} -4 \\ -3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $	0.047 .111 .188 .331 .034 1.222 1.220 1.295 1.333 1.319 1.295 1.235 1.275 .011	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016\\ .015\\ .017\\ .022\\ .092\\ .127\\ .140\\ .162\\ .180\\ .204\\ .24\\ .250\\ .552\\ \end{array}$	-0.077 076 076 065 065 064 064 064 069 078 089 086 094 168

### N.A.C.A. 22, 7.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY

T.E. of auxiliary 0.150c ahead, 0.045c above,  $\delta = 5^{\circ}$ 

a (degrees)	CL	Сь	Cm 0.25c of main wing
$ \begin{array}{r} -6 \\ -5 \\ -4 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ \end{array} $	-0.084 -0.019 .046 .117 .305 .916 1.474 1.678 1.632 1.911 .968 .876	0.020 .018 .019 .029 .121 .311 .381 .405 .444 .647	-0.071 064 059 055 036 025 001 .000 056 072 088

T.E. of auxiliary 0.193c ahead, 0.025c below, 3=21/2°

α	$C_L$	$C_D$	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -4 -3 -2 0 10 20 23 24 25 30 35	0. 054 . 122 . 198 . 331 . 887 1. 469 1. 688 1. 618 1. 450 1. 418 . 993 . 870	0.017 .017 .024 .024 .285 .350 .350 .374 .415 .634 .634 .629	0.068 061 056 056 028 012 018 (018 040 080 096

N.A.C.A. 22, 25.0 PERCENT AUXILIARY T.E. of auxiliary 0.16c ahead, 0.14c above, δ=0°

ar (degrees)	CL	C⊳	C. 0.25c of main wing
	$\begin{array}{r} -0.032\\ .061\\ .145\\ .333\\ 1.015\\ 1.412\\ 1.555\\ 1.521\\ 1.555\\ 1.680\\ 1.114\\ .995\\ .335\end{array}$	0.024 .017 .018 .038 .129 .370 .444 .476 .507 .535 .882 .685	0. 047 033 016 . 100 . 165 . 194 . 196 . 199 . 147 . 100 . 089

T.E. of auxiliary 0.212c ahead, 0.088c above,  $\delta{\approx}2\frac{1}{2}2^{\circ}$ 

α (degrees)	GL	C₽	Cm 0.25c of main wing
$ \begin{array}{r} -6 \\ -5 \\ -4 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ \end{array} $	-0.061 .023 .091 .332 .903 1.361 1.463 1.518 1.064 .889 .903	0.020 .017 .028 .144 .367 .417 .445 .644 .695 .695	$\begin{array}{c} -0.051\\028\\008\\ .005\\ .126\\ .123\\ .205\\ .213\\ .114\\ .114\\ .107\end{array}$

T.E. of auxiliary 0.275c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta\!=\!0^\circ$ 

a	$C_L$	CD	C= 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -4 -3 0 10 20 22 22 23 24 24 25 30 35	0.009 .061 .145 .349 .065 1.397 1.480 1.516 1.111 1.043 .980 .934	0.019 .017 .027 .114 .343 .391 .415 .447 .474 .885 .691	$\begin{array}{r} -0.035\\013\\ .002\\ .055\\ .164\\ .190\\ .203\\ .207\\ .113\\ .110\\ .109\\ .105\end{array}$

N.A.C.A.0012, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY

T.E. of auxiliary 0.115c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ 

α (degrees)	CL	CD	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25cof main wing
$ \begin{array}{r} -5 \\ -4 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 20 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ \end{array} $	-0.040 .035 .100 .644 .954 1.438 1.650 1.650 1.650 1.650 1.037 .839	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017\\ .016\\ .017\\ .022\\ .061\\ .132\\ .333\\ .411\\ .439\\ .469\\ .495\\ .496\\ .554\\ .554\\ .642\\ \end{array}$	-0.094 089 081 040 013 .004 .045 .053 .055 .055 025 025 025

T.E. of auxiliary 0.115c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta^{\simeq}\!-\!2j_2^{\circ}$ 

a (degrees)	CL	CD	C 0.25c of main wing
$ \begin{array}{r} -5 \\ -4 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 26 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ \end{array} $	-0.039 .031 .095 .306 .664 .955 1.461 1.631 1.690 1.696 1.010 .929 .894	0.018 .017 .017 .023 .055 .128 .322 .429 .457 .484 .464 .551 .037	-0.097 093 054 054 016 012 .051 .059 059 059 039 039 039

T.E. of auxiliary 0.16c ahead, 0.14c above, 5=214°

a	- CL	CD	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -4 -3 0 5 10 20 23 24 24 25 30 35	-0.023 .049 .127 .335 .660 .960 1.460 1.590 1.620 1.048 .831 .882	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016\\ .016\\ .017\\ .024\\ .069\\ .137\\ .335\\ .410\\ .442\\ .469\\ .572\\ .666\end{array}$	-0.090 079 065 033 011 .010 .054 .061 .061 .061 021 021 019

## TABLE X. CHARACTERISTICS OF A CLARK Y WING WITH VARIOUS AUXILIARIES IN THEIR MOST PROMISING POSITIONS—Continued

N.A.O.A. 22, 11.0 PERCENT AUXILIABY T.E. of auxiliary 0.115c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ 

α	CL	Съ	C <sub>■</sub> 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -3 -2 0 10 20 20 225 228 27 30 35	-0.050 .045 .111 .185 .320 .929 1.460 1.653 1.682 1.072 .997 .903	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023\\ .019\\ .018\\ .019\\ .025\\ .133\\ .331\\ .446\\ .496\\ .509\\ .564\\ .655\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.062\\054\\047\\047\\047\\ .004\\ .032\\ .036\\ .035\\041\\041\\050\end{array}$

N.A.O.A. 0012, 7.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY T.E. of auxiliary 0.193c ahead, 0.025c below,  $\delta\!=\!0^\circ$ 

C₽

0.017 .016 .023 .052 .103 .277 .316 .341 .385 .431 .530 .617

 $C_L$ 

-0.027 .044 .112 .325 .633 .920 1.498 1.577 1.605 1.381 1.210 .944 .856

α

Degrees

 $-\frac{5}{4}$   $-\frac{3}{5}$   $-\frac{5}{10}$   $-\frac{5}{22}$   $-\frac{3}{23}$   $-\frac{5}{23}$   $-\frac{5}{$ 

C<sub>■</sub> 0.25c of main wing

-0.093 -.084 -.083 -.062 -.042 -.040 -.034 -.027 -.025 -.025 -.088 -.095 -.109

N.A.O.A 0012, 18.0 PERCENT AUXILIARY T.E. of auxiliary 0.16c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ 



#### CLARK Y, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY

T.E. of auxiliary 0.115c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ 

α	CL	CD	C 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -4 -3 -3 10 20 24 25 28 28 28 27 30 35	-0.010 .059 .135 .357 .705 .973 1.473 1.619 1.654 1.634 1.634 1.634 .896	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 018\\ .\ 017\\ .\ 017\\ .\ 025\\ .\ 053\\ .\ 141\\ .\ 352\\ .\ 458\\ .\ 490\\ .\ 522\\ .\ 567\\ .\ 663\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.071\\002\\003\\ 0.051\\024\\ .008\\ .016\\ .056\\ .003\\ .004\\014\\014\\018\\019\end{array}$

T.E. of auxiliary 0.193c ahead, 0.025c below, 8=214°

#### T.E. of auxiliary 0.16c ahead, 0.045c above, 3=21/2°

α	CL	C₽	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -6 -5 -0 10 20 22 23 24 30 35	$\begin{array}{c} -0.063\\018\\ .048\\ .327\\ .900\\ 1.436\\ 1.529\\ 1.588\\ 1.591\\ .963\\ .945\end{array}$	0.023 .017 .017 .127 .322 .374 .339 .433 .559 .643	-0.075 -0.022 -0.022 -024 -024 -024 -036 -036 -037 -031 -035 -043

### N.A.O.A. 22, 14.5 PERCENT AUXILIARY

T.E. of auxiliary 0.15c ahead, 0.12c above,  $\delta = 0^{\circ}$ 

α	CL	C⊅	C 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -4 -3 -2 0 10 20 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 30 35	$\begin{array}{c} 0.010\\ .105\\ .182\\ .325\\ .942\\ 1.450\\ 1.653\\ 1.610\\ 1.650\\ 1.080\\ 1.014\\ .909\\ .861\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.024\\ .018\\ .019\\ .023\\ .124\\ .356\\ .407\\ .434\\ .465\\ .466\\ .484\\ .574\\ .661\end{array}$	-0.031 050 040 021 .033 .073 079 003 003 013

α	CL	C₽	C <sub>m</sub> 0.25c of main wing
Degrees 5 4 3 0 5 10 20 22 23 24 25 30 35	-0.022 .048 .112 .324 .626 .920 1.492 1.616 1.558 1.200 .950 .862	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016\\ .015\\ .016\\ .055\\ .106\\ .234\\ .333\\ .344\\ .376\\ .425\\ .534\\ .615\\ \end{array}$	-0. 089 085 078 059 041 040 080 028 028 028 033 033 103

N.A.C.A. 0012, 11.0 PERCENT AUXILIARY

T.E. of auxiliary 0.115c ahead, 0.14c above,  $\delta = 2\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ 

α	CL	C₽	C. 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -4 -3 0 5 10 20 22 24 25 28 30 30 35	$\begin{array}{c} -0.020\\ .055\\ .120\\ .331\\ .654\\ .963\\ 1.480\\ 1.594\\ 1.630\\ 1.630\\ .013\\ .920\\ .875\end{array}$	0.015 .015 .023 .067 .135 .335 .413 .438 .483 .483 .666 .654	-0.079 071 061 042 028 023 .023 .027 048 054 054

T.E.	of auxil	lary 0.16	: ahead,	0.14c s	bove.	8=234
------	----------	-----------	----------	---------	-------	-------

α	CL	Съ	Cm 0.25c of main wing
Degrees -5 -4 -3 0 5 10 20 23 24 25 28 30 35	-0.003 .005 .151 .359 .690 .905 1.471 1.590 1.630 1.630 1.636 1.018 .944 .944	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 017\\ .\ 017\\ .\ 018\\ .\ 027\\ .\ 069\\ .\ 146\\ .\ 351\\ .\ 432\\ .\ 460\\ .\ 603\\ .\ 503\\ .\ 630\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.001 \\051 \\011 \\ .011 \\ .021 \\ .003 \\ .072 \\ .073 \\ .074 \\013 \\009 \end{array}$