🚺 🖬 🖬 https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19930091938 2020-06-17T03:27:22+00:00Z



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

6034

REPORT No. 865

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS BY LIFTING-LINE THEORY USING NONLINEAR SECTION LIFT DATA

By JAMES C. SIVELLS and ROBERT H. NEELY



1947

AERONAUTIC SYMBOLS

1. FUNDAMENTAL AND DERIVED UNITS

		Metric		English				
	Symbol -	Unit	Abbrevia- tion	Unit	Abbrevia- tion			
Length Time Force	l t F	meter second weight of 1 kilogram	m s kg	foot (or mile) second (or hour) weight of 1 pound	ft (or mi) sec (or hr) lb			
Power Speed	P V	horsepower (metric) {kilometers per hour meters per second	kph mps	horsepower miles per hour feet per second	hp mph fps			

2. GENERAL SYMBOLS

Weight=mg Standard acceleration of gravity=9.80665 m/s² or 32.1740 ft/sec² Mass=--Mass=

W **g**

m

I

u

- Kinematic viscosity

 Density (mass per unit volume)
 Standard density of dry air, 0.12497 kg-m⁻⁴-s³ at 15° C and 760 mm; or 0.002378 lb-ft⁻⁴ sec³
 Specific weight of "standard" air, 1.2255 kg/m³ or 0.07651 lb/cu ft

3. AERODYNAMIC SYMBOLS

S	Area	i.	Angle of setting of wings (relative to thrust line)
G.	Gap	•1	line)
b c	Span Chord	Q Ω	Resultant moment Resultant angular velocity
A	Aspect ratio, $\frac{b^3}{N}$	R	Reynolds number, $\rho \frac{Vl}{\mu}$ where l is a linear dimen-
V -	True air speed		sion (e.g., for an airfoil of 1.0 ft chord, 100 mph,
q	Dynamic pressure, $\frac{1}{2}\rho V^3$		standard pressure at 15° C, the corresponding Reynolds number is 935,400; or for an airfoil
L	Lift, absolute coefficient $C_{L} = \frac{L}{qS}$	- 	of 1.0 m chord, 100 mps, the corresponding Reynolds number is 6,865,000)
D	Drag, absolute coefficient $C_D = \frac{D}{qS}$	α €	Angle of attack
D_0^{-}	Profile drag, absolute coefficient $C_{D_0} = \frac{D_0}{qS}$	αo α	Angle of attack, infinite aspect ratio
$D_{\mathbf{s}}$	Induced drag, absolute coefficient $C_{D_i} = \frac{D_i}{gS}$	αα	Angle of attack, absolute (measured from zero- lift position)
D ,	Parasite drag, absolute coefficient $C_{Dp} = \frac{D_p}{qS}$	γ	Flight-path angle
σ	Cross-wind force, absolute coefficient $C_{\sigma} = \frac{O}{\sigma S}$	-	

 \overline{qS}



I

REPORT No. 865

يتجربني والرج

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS BY LIFTING-LINE THEORY USING NONLINEAR SECTION LIFT DATA

By JAMES C. SIVELLS and ROBERT H. NEELY

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.

National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics

Headquarters, 1724 F Street NW, Washington 25, D. C.

Created by act of Congress approved March 3, 1915, for the supervision and direction of the scientific study of the problems of flight (U. S. Code, title 49, sec. 241). Its membership was increased to 15 by act approved March 2, 1929. The members are appointed by the President, and serve as such without compensation.

JEROME C. HUNSAKER, Sc. D., Cambridge, Mass., Chairman

ALEXANDER WEIMORE, Sc. D., Secretary, Smithsonian Institution, Vice Chairman

EDWARD M. POWERS, Major General, United States Air Force, HON. JOHN R. ALISON, Assistant Secretary of Commerce. Deputy Chief of Staff, Matériel. VANNEVAR BUSH, Sc. D., Chairman, Research and Development Board, Department of National Defense. ARTHUR E. RAYMOND, M. S., Vice President, Engineering, EDWARD U. CONDON, PH. D., Director, National Bureau of Douglas Aircraft Co. FRANCIS W. REICHELDERFER, Sc. D., Chief, United States Standards. DONALD B. DUNCAN, Vice Admiral, Deputy Chief of Naval Weather Bureau. CARL SPAATZ, General, Chief of Staff, United States Air Force. Operations (Air). ORVILLE WRIGHT, Sc. D., Dayton, Ohio. R. M. HAZEN, B. S., Chief Engineer, Allison Division, General THEODORE P. WRIGHT, Sc. D., Administrator of Civil Aero-Motors Corp. WILLIAM LITTLEWOOD, M. E., Vice President, Engineering, nautics, Department of Commerce. American Airlines System. THEODORE C. LONNQUEST, Rear Admiral, Assistant Chief for Research and Development, Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department.

HUGH L. DRYDEN, PH. D., Director of Aeronautical Research

JOHN W. CROWLEY, JR., B. S., Associate Director of Aeronautical Research

JOHN F. VICTORY, LLM., Executive Secretary

E. H. CHAMBERLIN, Executive Officer

HENRY J. E. REID, Sc. D., Director, Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Va.

SMITH J. DEFRANCE, B. S., Director Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif.

EDWARD R. SHARP, LL. B., Director, Flight Propulsion Research Laboratory, Cleveland Airport, Cleveland, Ohio

TECHNICAL COMMITTEES

AERODYNAMICS POWER PLANTS FOR AIRCRAFT AIRCRAFT CONSTRUCTION

OPERATING PROBLEMS SELF-PROPELLED GUIDED MISSILES INDUSTRY CONSULTING

Coordination of Research Needs of Military and Civil Aviation Preparation of Research Programs Allocation of Problems Prevention of Duplication

Consideration of Inventions

LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, Langley Field, Va.

AMES AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, Moffett Field, Calif.

FLIGHT PROPULSION RESEARCH LABORATORY, Cleveland Airport, Cleveland, Ohio

Conduct, under unified control, for all agencies, of scientific research on the fundamental problems of flight

OFFICE OF AERONAUTICAL INTELLIGENCE,

Washington, D. C.

Collection, classification, compilation, and dissemination of scientific and technical information on aeronautics

REPORT No. 865

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS BY LIFTING-LINE THEORY USING NONLINEAR SECTION LIFT DATA

By JAMES C. SIVELLS and ROBERT H. NEELY

SUMMARY

A method is presented for calculating wing characteristics by lifting-line theory using nonlinear section lift data. Material from various sources is combined with some original work into the single complete method described. Multhopp's systems of multipliers are employed to obtain the induced angle of attack directly from the spanwise lift distribution. Equations are developed for obtaining these multipliers for any even number of spanwise stations, and values are tabulated for 10 stations along the semispan for asymmetrical, symmetrical, and antisymmetrical lift distributions. In order to minimize the computing time and to illustrate the procedures involved, simplified computing forms containing detailed examples are given for symmetrical lift distributions. Similar forms for asymmetrical and antisymmetrical lift distributions, although not shown, can be readily constructed in the same manner as those given. The adaptation of the method for use with linear section lift data is also illustrated. This adaptation has been found to require less computing time than most existing methods.

The wing characteristics calculated from general nonlinear section lift data have been found to agree much closer with experimental data in the region of maximum lift coefficient than those calculated on the assumption of linear section lift curves. The calculations are subject to the limitations of lifting-line theory and should not be expected to give accurate results for wings of low aspect ratio and large amounts of sweep.

INTRODUCTION

The lifting-line theory is the best known and most readily applied theory for obtaining the spanwise lift distribution of a wing and the subsequent determination of the aerodynamic characteristics of the wing from two-dimensional airfoil data. The characteristics so determined are in fairly close agreement with experimental results for wings with small amounts of sweep and with moderate to high values of aspect ratio; for this reason, this theory has served as the basis for a large part of present aeronautical knowledge.

The hypothesis upon which the theory is based is that a lifting wing can be replaced by a lifting line and that the incremental vortices shed along the span trail behind the wing in straight lines in the direction of the free-stream velocity. The strength of these trailing vortices is proportional to the rate of change of the lift along the span. The trailing vortices induce a velocity normal to the direction of the free-stream velocity and to the lifting line. The effective angle of attack of each section of the wing is therefore different from the geometric angle of attack by the amount of the angle (called the induced angle of attack) whose tangent is the ratio of the value of the induced velocity at the lifting line to the value of the free-stream velocity. The effective angle of attack is thus related to the lift distribution through the induced angle of attack. In addition, the effective angle of attack is related to the section lift coefficient according to two-dimensional data for the airfoil sections incorporated in the wing. Both relationships must be simultaneously satisfied in the calculation of the lift distribution of the wing.

If the section lift curves are linear, these relationships may be expressed by a single equation which can be solved analytically. In general, however, the section lift curves are not linear, particularly at high angles of attack, and analytical solutions are not feasible. The method of calculating the spanwise lift distribution using nonlinear section lift data thus becomes one of making successive approximations of the lift distribution unitl one is found that simultaneously satisfies the aforementioned relationships.

Such a method has been used by Wieselsberger (reference 1) for the region of maximum lift coefficient and by Boshar (reference 2) for high-subsonic speeds. Both of these writers used Tani's system of multipliers for obtaining the induced angle of attack at five stations along the semispan of the wing (reference 3). Tani, however, considered only the case of wings with symmetrical lift distributions. Multhopp (reference 4), using a somewhat different mathematical treatment from that which Tani used, derived systems of multipliers for symmetrical, antisymmetrical, and asymmetrical lift distributions for 4, 8, and 16 stations along the semispan. Multhopp's derivation, in slightly different form and nomenclature, is presented herein and tables are given for the multipliers for 10 stations along the semispan (the usual number of stations considered in many reports in the United States).

For symmetrical distributions of wing chord and angle of attack, the multipliers for symmetrical lift distributions may be used with nonlinear or linear section lift curves. For asymmetrical distributions of angle of attack, the multipliers for asymmetrical lift distributions must be used if nonlinear section lift curves are used. If an asymmetrical distribution of angle of attack can be broken up into a symmetrical and an antisymmetrical distribution, the antisymmetrical part may be treated separately if the section lift curves can be assumed to be linear.

The purpose of the present paper is to combine the contributions of Multhopp and several other writers, together with some original work, into a single complete method of calculating the lift distributions and force and moment characteristics of wings, using nonlinear section lift data. Simplified computing forms are given for the calculation of symmetrical lift distributions and their use is illustrated by a detailed example. The adaptation of the method for use with linear section lift data is also illustrated. No forms are given for asymmetrical or antisymmetrical lift distributions inasmuch as such forms would be very similar to those given.

SYMBOLS

\boldsymbol{S}	wing area
b	wing span
с	chord at any section
c_s	root chord
c_t	tip chord
\overline{c}	mean geometric chord (S/b)
c'	mean aerodynamic chord $\left(rac{2}{\overline{S}}\int_{0}^{b/2}c^{2}dy ight)$
\boldsymbol{A}	aspect ratio (b^2/S)
x	coordinate parallel to root chord
ų	coordinate perpendicular to plane of symmetry
z	coordinate perpendicular to root chord and parallel
	to plane of symmetry
q	free-stream dynamic pressure $\left(rac{1}{2} ho V^2 ight)$
R	Reynolds number $(\rho V c/\mu \text{ or } \rho V c'/\mu)$
ρ	mass density
V	free-stream velocity
μ	coefficient of viscosity
C_L	wing lift coefficient (L/qS)
<i>C</i> ₁	section lift coefficient (l/qc)
Ĺ	wing lift
l	section lift
C_{D}	wing drag coefficient (D/qS) .
$\bar{C_{D_0}}$	wing profile-drag coefficient
C_{n}	wing induced-drag coefficient
-1 Ca.	section profile-drag coefficient
Са.	section induced-drag coefficient
D^{i}	wing drag
C_m	wing pitching-moment coefficient (M/qSc')
C.m	section pitching-moment coefficient about section
- #*c/ 4	guarter-chord point
M	wing pitching moment
C_{i}	wing rolling-moment coefficient (L'/qSb)
Ľ	wing rolling moment
C_{n}	wing induced-yawing-moment coefficient
$C_{n_2}^{n_1}$	wing profile-yawing-moment coefficient
"0 α	angle of attack of any section along the span
	referred to its chord line
α,	angle of attack of root section referred to its chord
	\sim

line angle of attack of root section referred to its zero α_{a_s} lift line

α_i	section induced angle of attack
αe	effective angle of attack of any section
$lpha_0$	section angle of attack for two-dimensional airfoils
α_{l_0}	angle of zero lift of any section
α_{l_0}	angle of zero lift of root section
$\alpha_{s(L=0)}$	wing angle of attack for zero lift
e	geometric angle of twist of any section along the
	span (negative if washout)
ε'	aerodynamic angle of twist of any section along the
	span (negative if washout)
e,	geometric angle of twist of tip section
ϵ_{ι}'	aerodynamic angle of twist of tip section
a	wing lift-curve slope, per degree
a_0	section lift-curve slope, per degree
	(Two-dimensional lift-curve slope)
	Edge-velocity factor
$\cos \theta$	coordinate $(2y/b)$
A_n	coefficients in trigonometric series
β_{mk}	multiplier for induced angle of attack (asymmetrical
	distributions)
λ_{mk}	multiplier for induced angle of attack (symmetrical
	distributions)
γ_{mk}	multiplier for induced angle of attack (antisym-
	metrical distributions)
η_m	multiplier for lift, drag, and pitching-moment
	coefficients (asymmetrical distributions)
η_{ms}	multiplier for lift, drag, and pitching-moment
	coefficients (symmetrical distributions)
σ_m	multiplier for rolling- and yawing-moment coeffi-
	cients (asymmetrical distributions)
σ_{ma}	multiplier for rolling-moment coefficient (anti-
	symmetrical distributions)
E	edge-velocity factor (Semiperimeter)
a 1 .	Span /
Subscrip	
max	maximum value $(C - 1)$
	value for logic lift $(C_L = 1)$
D	value for pastc lift $(U_L = 0)$
(α_{a_s})	value for constant value of α_{a_s}
(ϵ_t')	value for given value of ϵ_i

THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT OF METHOD

LIFT DISTRIBUTION

The methods of Tani (reference 3) and Multhopp (reference 4) for determining the induced angle of attack are fundamentally the same, differing only in the mathematical treatment. The method presented herein is essentially the same as that given by Multhopp. In the following derivation the spanwise lift distribution is expressed as the trigonometric series

$$\frac{c_i c}{b} = \sum A_n \sin n\theta \tag{1}$$

as in reference 5, where θ is defined by the relation $\cos \theta = \frac{2y}{h}$. It may be noted that each coefficient A_n , as used herein, is equal to four times the corresponding coefficient in reference 5. The induced angle of attack (in degrees) at a point y_1 on the lifting line is

$$\alpha_{i} = \frac{180}{\pi} \frac{b}{8\pi} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \frac{d\left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b}\right)}{\frac{dy}{y_{1}-y}} dy \qquad (2)$$

This integral (in different nomenclature) was given by Prandtl in reference 6. If equation (1) is substituted into equation (2) and the variable is changed from y to θ , the induced angle of attack at the general point θ becomes, according to reference 5,

$$\alpha_i = \frac{180}{4\pi \sin \theta} \sum nA_n \sin n\theta \tag{3}$$

The problem of obtaining the induced angle of attack is thus reduced to one of determining the coefficients of the trigonometric series.

The lift distribution (equation (1)) may be approximated by a finite trigonometric series of r-1 terms where, for subsequent usage, r is assumed to be even. The values of c_lc/b at the equally spaced points $\theta = \frac{m\pi}{r}$ in the range $0 < \theta < \pi$ are expressed as

$$\left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{m} = \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} A_{n} \sin n \frac{m\pi}{r}$$
(4)

where $m=1, 2, 3, \ldots, r-1$. Conversely, if the values of $c_{l}c/b$ are known at each point, the coefficients A_{n} of the finite series may be found by harmonic analysis as

$$A_n = \frac{2}{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_i c}{b}\right)_m \sin n \frac{m\pi}{r}$$
(5)

If equation (5) is substituted in equation (3), a double summation is obtained for the induced angle of attack as

$$\alpha_{i}(\theta) = \frac{180}{4\pi \sin \theta} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} n \sin n\theta \right) \left[\frac{2}{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b} \right)_{m} \sin n\frac{m\pi}{r} \right]$$
$$= \frac{180}{4\pi r \sin \theta} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b} \right)_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} \left[\cos n \left(\theta - \frac{m\pi}{r} \right) - \cos n \left(\theta + \frac{m\pi}{r} \right) \right]$$

If the induced angle of attack is to be determined at the same points θ at which the load distribution is known, that is, at the points $\theta = \frac{k\pi}{r}$, then

$$\alpha_{i_{k}} = \frac{180}{4\pi r \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} n \left[\cos n \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r} - \cos n \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b}\right)_{m} \beta_{mk}$$
(6)

where

$$\beta_{mk} = \frac{180}{4\pi r \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}} \sum_{n=1}^{r-1} n \left[\cos n \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r} - \cos n \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r} \right]$$
(7)

It can be shown that, if $\cos \phi \neq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} n \cos n\phi = \frac{r \cos (r-1)\phi - (r-1) \cos r\phi - 1}{2(1 - \cos \phi)}$$

If $\phi = 0$, a numerical series is obtained

$$\sum_{n=1}^{r-1} n = \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$$

By use of these relationships in equation (7) it is found that, when $k \pm m$ is odd,

$$\beta_{mk} = \frac{180}{4\pi r \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}} \left[\frac{1}{1 - \cos \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r}} - \frac{1}{1 - \cos \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r}} \right] (8a)$$

when k = m,

and

$$\beta_{mk} = \frac{180r}{8\pi \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}} \tag{8b}$$

and when $k \pm m$ is even and $k \neq m$,

$$\beta_{mk} = 0 \tag{8c}$$

For a symmetrical lift distribution

$$\left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{m} = \left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{r-m}$$

 $\alpha_{i_k} = \alpha_{i_{r-k}}$

so that the summation for α_{i_k} needs to be made only from 1 to r/2

$$\Gamma \alpha_{i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{r/2} \left(\frac{c_i c}{b} \right)_m \lambda_{mk}$$
(9)

where, when $k \pm m$ is odd,

$$\lambda_{mk} = \beta_{mk} + \beta_{r-m,k} \quad \left(\text{for } m \neq \frac{r}{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{180}{2\pi r \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}} \left[\frac{\cot \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r}}{\sin \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r}} - \frac{\cot \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r}}{\sin \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r}} \right] \quad (10a)$$

$$\lambda_{mk} = \beta_{mk} \quad \left(\text{for } m = \frac{r}{2} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{180}{\pi r \left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{r} + 1 \right)} \tag{10b}$$

when k=m,

$$\lambda_{mk} = \beta_{mk}$$

$$= \frac{180r}{8\pi \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}}$$
(10c)

and when $k \pm m$ is even and $k \neq m$,

$$\Lambda_{mk} = 0 \tag{10d}$$

REPORT NO. 865,-NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

For an antisymmetrical lift distribution

and

$$\left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{m} = -\left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{\tau-m} \\ \alpha_{i_{l}} = -\alpha_{i_{\tau-k}}$$

In this case the summation for α_{i_k} needs to be made only from 1 to $\frac{r}{2} - 1$ since $\left(\frac{c_1 c}{b}\right)_{r/2} = 0$; then

$$\alpha_{i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \left(\frac{c_l c}{b}\right)_m \gamma_{mk} \tag{11}$$

where, when $k \pm m$ is odd,

$$\gamma_{mk} = \beta_{mk} - \beta_{r-m,k} = \frac{180}{2\pi r} \left[\frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{(k+m)\pi}{r}} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{(k-m)\pi}{r}} \right]$$
(12a)

when k = m,

$$= \frac{180r}{8\pi \sin \frac{k\pi}{r}}$$
(12b)

and when $k \pm m$ is even and $k \neq m$,

 γ_n

$$\gamma_{mk} = 0 \tag{12c}$$

Multipliers can thus be calculated so that the induced angle may be readily obtained by multiplying the known values of c_{lc}/b by the appropriate multipliers and adding the resulting products. The multipliers are independent of the aspect ratio and taper ratio of the wing. Tables I and II present values of β_{mk} , and λ_{mk} and γ_{mk} , respectively, for r=20. Similar tables for $\frac{4\pi}{180}\lambda_{mk}$ and $\frac{4\pi}{180}\gamma_{mk}$ are given in

TABLE I.—INDUCED-ANGLE-OF-ATTACK MULTIPLIERS β_{mk} FOR ASYMMETRICAL LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS ¹

$\left[\alpha_{i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{19} \left(\frac{c_{lC}}{b}\right)_m \beta_{mk}\right]$

1												1	
	$\frac{2y}{b}$	-0.9877	-0.9511	-0. 8910	-0. 8090	-0. 7071	-0. 5878	-0, 4540	-0.3090	-0.1564	0		
$\frac{2y}{b}$	mk	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10		
-0. 9877	19	915 651	-166. 985	0	-7.019	0	-1.401	0	-0.486	0	-0.230	1	0. 9877
9511	18	-329.859	463. 533	-122.749	0	-7.438	0	-1.792	0	701	0	2	. 9511
8910	17	0	-180.336	315. 512	-96.737	0	-7.073	0	-1.920	0	819	3	. 8910
8090	16	-26.374	0	-125. 246	243. 694	-81.067	0	-6.680	0	-1.977	0	4	. 8090
7071	15	0	-17.020	0	-97.524	202. 571	-71.139	0	-6.391	0	-2.026	5	. 7071
5878	14	-7.246	0	-12.604	0	-81.392	177.054	-64.735	0	-6.228	0	6	. 5878
4540	13	0	-5.166	0	-10.126	0	-71.296	160. 761	-60.725	0	-6.192	7	. 4540
3090	12	-2.958	0	-4.022	0	-8.596	0	-64.817	150.611	-58.514	0	8	. 3090
1564	11	0	-2. 241	0	-3.322	0	-7.604	0	-60.768	145.025	- 57. 812	9	. 1564
0	10	-1.468	0	-1.804	0	-2.865	0	-6. 950	0	- 58. 533	143. 239	10	0
. 1564	9	0	-1.153	0	-1.518	0	-2.554	0	-6. 530	0	-57.812	11	1564
. 3090	8	810	0	946	0	-1.319	0	-2.340	0	-6.288	0	12	3090
. 4540	7	0	646	0	800	0	-1.176	0	-2.192	0	-6.192	13	4540
. 5878	6	467	0	530	0	691	0	-1.068	0	-2.092	0	14	5878
. 7071	5	0	368	0	441	0	604	0	981	0	-2.026	15	7071
. 8090	4	261	0	291	0	366	0	528	0	903	0	16	8090
. 8910	3	0	192	0	225	0	297	0	452	0	819	17	8910
. 9511	2	118	0	130	0	161	0	224	0	361	0	18	9511
. 9877	1	0	060	0	069	0	090	0	133	0	230	19	9877
	·	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	k m	$\frac{2y}{b}$
		. 9877	. 9511	. 8910	. 8090	. 7071	. 5878	. 4540	. 3090	, 1564	0	$\frac{2y}{b}$	

¹ Values of k at top to be used with values of m at left side; values of k at bottom to be used with values of m at right side.

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS

TABLE II.—INDUCED-ANGLE-OF-ATTACK MULTIPLIERS λ_{mk} FOR SYMMETRICAL LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS AND γ_{mk} FOR ANTISYMMETRICAL LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS

01	$\frac{2y}{b}$	0	0. 1564	0. 3090	0. 4540	0. 5878	0. 7071	0. 8090	0. 8910	0. 9511	0. 9877		
$\frac{2y}{b}$	mk	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
	the second con	Mult	ipliers λ _{mk}	- ,		$\alpha_{i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{10} \left(\frac{c_i c}{b}\right)_m \lambda_{mk}$							
0	10	143. 239	- 58. 533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468		
. 1564	9	-115.624	145.025	-67. 298	. 0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0		
. 3090	8	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768		
. 4540	7	-12.384	0	-62, 917	160, 761	-72.472	0	- 10. 926	0	-5.812	0		
. 5878	6	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82,083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713		
. 7071		-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202. 571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0		
. 8090	4	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635		
. 8910	3	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0		
. 9511	2	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463. 533	-329.976		
. 9877	1	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	- 167.045	915. 651		
		Mult	ipliers γ _m *		·	•	•	$\alpha_{i_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{9}$	$\left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{m}\gamma_{mk}$				
0. 1564	9		145. 025	- 54. 237	0	- 5. 049	0	-1.804	0	-1.087	0		
. 3090	8		- 52. 226	150.611	-62.477	0	-7.277	0	-3.076	0	-2.147		
. 4540	7		0	- 58. 533	160.761	-70.120	0	-9.326	0	-4.519	0		
. 5878	6		-4.136	0	-63.668	177.054	-80.701	0	-12.074	0	-6.779		
. 7071	5		0	-5.410	0	- 70. 535	202. 571	-97.084	0	- 16. 651	0		
. 8090	4		-1.074	0	-6.152	0	-80.701	243.694	- 124. 955	0	-26.113		
. 8910	3		0	-1.468	0	-6.775	0	-96.512	315. 512	- 180. 145	0		
. 9511	2		340	0	-1.567	0	-7.277	0	-122.619	463. 533	-329.741		
. 9877	1		0	353	0	-1.311	0	-6.950	0	-166.926	915.651		

references 7 and 8, respectively, but no derivation is given therein. Tables for $\frac{2\pi}{180} \beta_{mk}$, $\frac{2\pi}{180} \lambda_{mk}$, and $\frac{2\pi}{180} \gamma_{mk}$ are given in reference 4 for values of r=8, 16, and 32. An inspection of tables I and II shows that positive values occur only on the diagonal from upper left to lower right and that almost half of the values are equal to zero. The multipliers β_{mk} and λ_{mk} may be used with either nonlinear or linear section lift data, whereas the multipliers for γ_{mk} may be used only with linear section lift data.

The method of determining the lift distribution becomes one of successive approximations. For a given geometric angle of attack, a distribution of c_i is assumed from which the load distribution $c_i c/b$ is obtained. The induced angle of attack is then determined by equation (6), (9), or (11) through the use of the appropriate multipliers and subtracted from the geometric angle of attack to give the effective angle of attack at each spanwise station. From section data for the appropriate airfoil section and local Reynolds number, values of c_i are read which correspond to the effective angle of attack of each section. If these values of c_i do not agree with those originally assumed, a second assumption is made for c_i and the process is repeated. Further assumptions are made until the assumed values of c_i are in agreement with those obtained from the section data.

WING CHARACTERISTICS

Once the lift distribution of a wing has been determined, the main part of the problem of calculating the wing characteristics is completed. The induced-drag and inducedyawing-moment coefficients are entirely dependent upon the lift distribution and it is assumed that the section profiledrag and pitching-moment coefficients are the same functions of the lift coefficient at each section of the wing as those determined in two-dimensional tests.

 $\mathbf{5}$

(13b)

The calculation of each of the wing coefficients involves a spanwise integration of the distribution of a particular function $f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$. This integration can be performed numerically through the use of additional sets of multipliers which are found in the following manner.

If

$$f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = f(\cos \theta) = \Sigma A_n \sin n\theta$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\Sigma A_{n} \sin n\theta\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2} A_{1}$$

Since the values of $f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$ are determined at the points $\theta = \frac{m\pi}{r}$, A_1 can be found by harmonic analysis as in equation (5)

$$A_1 = \frac{2}{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_m \sin\frac{m\pi}{r}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = \frac{\pi}{r} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \sin \frac{m\pi}{r}$$
$$= 2 \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \eta_{m}$$
(13a)

where

$$\eta_m = \frac{\pi}{2r} \sin \frac{m\pi}{r}$$

If the distribution is symmetrical, $f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_m = f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{r-m}$ and

where

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = 2 \sum_{m=1}^{r/2} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \eta_{ms}$$
$$\eta_{ms} = 2\eta_{m} \quad \left(m \neq \frac{r}{2}\right)$$
$$\eta_{ms} = \eta_{m} \quad \left(m = \frac{r}{2}\right)$$

The moment of the distribution $f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$ can be found in a similar manner

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(\Sigma A_{n} \sin n\theta\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta \, d\theta$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{4} A_{2}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2r} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \sin \frac{2m\pi}{r}$$
$$= 4 \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \sigma_{m} \qquad (14a)$$

where

$$\tau_m = \frac{\pi}{8r} \sin \frac{2m\pi}{r}$$

If the distribution is antisymmetrical, $f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_m = -f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{r-m}$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right) = 4 \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} f\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)_{m} \sigma_{ma} \qquad (14b)$$

where

 $\sigma_{ma}=2\sigma_m$ Values of η_m , η_{ms} , σ_m , and σ_{ma} are given in table III for r=20.

TABLE III.-WING-COEFFICIENT MULTIPLIERS

$\frac{2y}{b}$	m	<i>ŋ</i> m	η m #	σm	or _{ma}
-0.9877 - 9511	19 18	0. 01229		0.00607	
8910	17	. 03066		01589	
8090	16	. 04616		01867	
7071	10	. 05554		- 01867	
4540	13	. 06998		01589	
3090	12	. 07470		01154	
1564	11	. 07757		00607	
0	10	.07854	0.07854	0	0
. 1564	9	.07757	. 15515	. 00607	.01214
. 3090	8	.07470	. 14939	. 01154	. 02308
. 4540	7	. 06998	. 13996	. 01589	. 03177
. 5878	6	. 06354	. 12708	.01867	. 03735
. 7071	5	. 05554	. 11107	. 01964	. 03927
. 8090	4	. 04616	. 09233	. 01867	. 03735
.8910	3	. 03066	. 07131	. 01589	.03177
.9511	2	. 02427	. 04854	. 01154	. 02308
. 9877	1	.01229	. 02457	.00607	.01214

Wing lift coefficient.—The wing lift coefficient is obtained by means of a spanwise integration of the lift distribution,

$$C_{L} = \frac{1}{S} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} c_{i} c \, dy = \frac{A}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{c_{i} c}{b} \, d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$$

For asymmetrical lift distributions

$$C_{L} = A \sum_{m=1}^{r-l} \left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b} \right)_{m} \eta_{m}$$
(15a)

For symmetrical lift distributions

$$C_L = A \sum_{m=1}^{r/2} \left(\frac{c_l c}{b}\right)_m \eta_{ms}$$
(15b)

Induced-drag coefficient.—The section induced-drag coefficient is equal to the product of the section lift coefficient and the induced angle of attack in radians,

$$c_{d_i} = \frac{\pi c_i \alpha_i}{180}$$

The wing induced-drag coefficient is obtained by means of a spanwise integration of the section induced-drag coefficient multiplied by the local chord,

$$C_{D_i} = \frac{1}{S} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \frac{\pi c_i c \alpha_i}{180} \, dy$$

= $\frac{A}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{c_i c}{b} \frac{\pi \alpha_i}{180} \, d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$

(16a)

For asymmetrical lift distributions

$$C_{D_i} = \frac{\pi A}{180} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_i c}{b} \alpha_i \right)_m \eta_m$$

For symmetrical lift distributions

$$C_{D_i} = \frac{\pi A}{180} \sum_{m=1}^{\tau/2} \left(\frac{c_i c}{b} \alpha_i \right)_m \eta_{ms}$$
(16b)

Profile-drag coefficient.—The section profile-drag coefficient can be obtained from section data for the appropriate airfoil section and local Reynolds number. For each spanwise station the profile-drag coefficient is read at the section lift coefficient previously determined. The wing profile-drag coefficient is then obtained by means of a spanwise integration of the section profile-drag coefficient multiplied by the local chord,

$$C_{D_0} = \frac{1}{S} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} c_{d_0} c \, dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} c_{d_0} \frac{c}{\bar{c}} \, d\left(\frac{2y}{\bar{b}}\right)$$

For asymmetrical lift distributions

$$C_{D_0} = \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(c_{d_0} \frac{c}{\overline{c}} \right)_m \eta_m \tag{17a}$$

For symmetrical lift distributions

$$C_{D_0} = \sum_{m=1}^{r/2} \left(c_{d_0} \, \frac{c}{\bar{c}} \right)_m \eta_{ms} \tag{17b}$$

Pitching-moment coefficient.—The section pitching-moment coefficient about its quarter-chord point can be obtained from section data for the appropriate airfoil section and local Reynolds number. For each spanwise station the pitchingmoment coefficient is read at the section lift coefficient previously determined and then transferred to the wing reference point by the equation

$$c_{m} = c_{m_{cl}4} - \frac{x}{c} [c_{l} \cos (\alpha_{s} - \alpha_{i}) + c_{d_{0}} \sin (\alpha_{s} - \alpha_{i})] - \frac{z}{c} [c_{l} \sin (\alpha_{s} - \alpha_{i}) - c_{d_{0}} \cos (\alpha_{s} - \alpha_{i})]$$
(18)

where x and z are measured from the wing reference point to the quarter-chord point of the section under consideration, and upward and backward forces and distances are taken as positive. The section pitching-moment coefficient about its aerodynamic center may be used instead of $c_{m_{c/4}}$, in which case x and z are measured to the section aerodynamic center. The term $c_{a_0} \sin (\alpha_s - \alpha_i)$ may usually be neglected. The wing pitching-moment coefficient is obtained by the spanwise integration



For asymmetrical lift distributions

$$C_m = \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_m c^2}{\bar{c}c'} \right)_m \eta_m \tag{19a}$$

For symmetrical lift distributions

$$C_m = \sum_{m=1}^{r/2} \left(\frac{c_m c^2}{\bar{c}c'} \right)_m \eta_{ms}$$
(19b)

Rolling-moment coefficient.—The rolling-moment coefficient is obtained by means of a spanwise integration

$$C_{i} = -\frac{1}{Sb} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} c_{i} cy dy$$

$$= -\frac{A}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{c_{i}c}{b} \frac{2y}{b} d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$$

$$= -A \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b}\right)_{m} \sigma_{m}$$
(20a)

For an antisymmetrical lift distribution

$$C_{l} = -A \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{r}{2}-1} \left(\frac{c_{l}c}{b}\right)_{m} \sigma_{ma}$$
(20b)

Induced-yawing-moment coefficient.—The inducedyawing-moment coefficient is due to the moment of the induced-drag distribution,

$$C_{n_{i}} = \frac{1}{Sb} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \frac{\pi c_{i} c \alpha_{i}}{180} y \, dy$$

$$= \frac{A}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{c_{i} c}{b} \frac{\pi \alpha_{i}}{180} \frac{2y}{b} d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\pi A}{180} \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{i} c}{b} \alpha_{i}\right)_{m} \sigma_{m}$$
(21)

The induced-yawing-moment coefficient for an antisymmetrical lift distribution is equal to zero and has little meaning inasmuch as the lift coefficient is also zero. The inducedyawing-moment coefficient is a function of the lift and rollingmoment coefficients and must be found for asymmetrical lift distributions.

Profile-yawing-moment coefficient.—The profile-yawingmoment coefficient is due to the moment of the profile-drag distribution,

$$C_{n_0} = \frac{1}{Sb} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} c_{d_0} cy \, dy$$

= $\frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{c_{d_0} c}{\overline{c}} \frac{2y}{b} d\left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)$
= $\sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \left(\frac{c_{d_0} c}{\overline{c}}\right)_m \sigma_m$ (22)

APPLICATION OF METHOD USING NONLINEAR SECTION LIFT DATA FOR SYMMETRICAL LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS

The method described is applied herein to a wing, the geometric characteristics of which are given in table IV. Only symmetrical lift distributions are considered hereinafter inasmuch as these are believed to be sufficient for illustrating

اردا وبتعند بالواري بعور ويست

2 <u>y</u> b	$\frac{t}{c}$	R	$\frac{c}{c_{a}}$	c b	c ē	<u> c² c c' </u>	ao	$\frac{a_0c}{b}$	e.	e, deg	€', deg
0	0. 200	4.70×10 ⁶	1.0000	0. 1429	1. 435	1.932	0. 0969	0.01385	0	0	0
.1564	. 195	4. 26	. 9062	. 1295	1.300	1.586	. 0973	. 01260	. 0690	24	235
.3090	. 188	3.83	. 8146	. 1164	1. 169	1.282 ·	. 0978	. 01138	. 1517	53	516
.4540	. 180	3.42	. 7276	. 1040	1.044	1.022	. 0984	. 01023	. 2496	87	849
.5878	. 171	3.04	. 6473	. 0925	. 929	. 809	. 0991	. 00917	. 3632	-1.27	-1.235
.7071	. 161	2.70	. 5757	. 0823	. 826	. 640	. 0999	. 00822	. 4913	-1.72	-1.670
.8090	. 150	2.42	. 5146	. 0735	. 739	. 512	. 1007	. 00740	. 6288	-2.20	-2.138
.8910	. 139	2.18	. 4654	. 0665	. 668	. 418	. 1014	. 00674	. 7658	-2.68	-2.604
.9511	. 129	2.02	. 4293	. 0613	. 616	. 356	. 1020	. 00625	. 8862	3.10	-3. 013
.9877	. 123	1. 44	. 3061	. 0437	. 439	. 181	. 1021	. 00446	. 9698	3.39	-3. 297

TABLE IV.—GEOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF EXAMPLE WING

Root section.....

2.5

the method of calculation. The lift, profile-drag, and pitchingmoment coefficients for the various wing sections along the span were derived from unpublished airfoil data obtained in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel. The original airfoil data were cross-plotted against Reynolds number and thickness ratio inasmuch as both varied along the span of the wing. Sample curves are given in figures 1 and 2. From these plots the section characteristics at the various spanwise stations were determined and plotted in the conventional manner. (See fig. 3.) The edge-velocity factor E, derived in reference 9 for an elliptic wing, has been applied to the section angle of attack for each value of section lift coefficient as follows:

$\alpha_e = E(\alpha_0 - \alpha_{l_0}) + \alpha_{l_0}$

LIFT DISTRIBUTION

Computation of the lift distribution at an angle of attack of 3° is shown in table V. This table is designed to be used where the multiplication is done by means of a slide rule or simple calculating machine. Where calculating machines capable of performing accumulative multiplication are available, the spaces for the individual products in columns (6) to (15) may be omitted and the table made smaller. (See tables VII and VIII.) The mechanics of computing are explained in the table; however, the method for approximating the lift-coefficient distribution requires some explanation. The initially assumed lift-coefficient distribution (column (3) of first division) can be taken as the distribution given by the geometric angles of attack but it is best determined by some simple method which will give a close approximation to the actual distribution. The initial distribution given in table V was approximated by

$$c_{l} = \frac{A}{A+1.8} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\overline{c}}{\pi c} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)^{2}} \right] c_{l(\alpha)}$$

where $c_{l(\alpha)}$ is the lift coefficient read from the section curves

for the geometric angles of attack. This equation weights the lift distribution according to the average of the chord distribution of the wing under consideration and that of an elliptic wing of the same aspect ratio and span. When the lift distributions at several angles of attack are to be computed and after they have been obtained for two angles, the initially assumed c_i distribution for subsequent angles can be more accurately estimated in the following manner: Values of downwash angle are first estimated by extrapolating from values for the preceding wing angles, and then, for the resulting effective angles of attack, the lift coefficients are read from the section curves.

The lift coefficients in column (18) of table V, read from section lift curves for the effective angles of attack, will usually not check the assumed values for the first approximation. In order to select assumed values for subsequent approximations, the following simple method has been found to yield satisfactory results. An incremental value of lift coefficient Δc_{l_m} is obtained according to the following relation

$$\Delta c_{l_m} = \frac{(18 - 3)_{m-1} + 3(18 - 3)_m + (18 - 3)_{m+1}}{K}$$

where circled numbers represent column numbers in table V and where K has the following values at the spanwise stations

2 <u>y</u> b	K
0 to 0.8910	8 to 10
.9511	11 to 13
.9877	14 to 16

and $((1)-(3))_m$ is the difference between the check and assumed values for the mth spanwise station. The incremental values so determined are added to the assumed values in order to obtain new assumed values to be used in the next approximation. This method has been found in practice to make the check and assumed values converge in about

Taper ratio, c./c.

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS





1

three approximations if the first approximation is not too much in error.

WING COEFFICIENTS

Computations of the wing lift, profile-drag, induced-drag, and pitching-moment coefficients are shown in table VI. Since the lateral axis through the wing reference point contains the quarter-chord points of each section, the x and zdistances in equation (18) are zero, and the pitching-moment





APPLICATION OF METHOD USING LINEAR SECTION LIFT DATA FOR SYMMETRICAL LIFT DISTRIBUTIONS

Although the method described herein was developed particularly for use with nonlinear section lift data, it is

readily adaptable for use with linear section lift data with a resulting reduction in computing time as compared with most existing methods. When the section lift curves can be assumed linear, it is usually convenient to divide any symmetrical lift distribution (as in reference 10) into two parts—the additional lift distribution due to angle-of-attack. changes and the basic lift distribution due to aerodynamic twist. The calculation of these lift distributions is illustrated in tables VII to X for the wing, the geometric characteristics of which were given in table IV.

It should be noted that tables VII and VIII are essentially the same as table V but are designed primarily for use with calculating machines capable of performing accumulative multiplication. If such machines are not available, these tables may be constructed similar to table V to allow spaces for writing the individual products.





METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS

LIFT CHARACTERISTICS

Two lift distributions are required for the determination of the additional and basic lift distributions. The first one is obtained in table VII for a constant angle of attack $(\epsilon'=0)$ and the second one in table VIII for the angle of attack distribution due to the aerodynamic twist $(\alpha_{a_s}=0)$. The check values of c_1c/b (column (B) are obtained by multiplying the effective angle of attack α_e by a_0c/b . The final approximations are entered in table IX as $\left(\frac{c_1c}{b}\right)_{(\alpha_{a_s})}$ and $\left(\frac{c_1c}{b}\right)_{(\epsilon_1)}$.

The $\left(\frac{c_i c}{b}\right)_{(\alpha_{a_s})}$ distribution is the additional lift distribution corresponding to a wing lift coefficient $C_{L_{(\alpha_{a_s})}}$ determined in table IX through the use of the multipliers η_{ms} . It is usually convenient to use the additional lift distribution $\frac{c_{i_{al}}c}{b}$ corresponding to a wing lift coefficient of unity. This distribution is found by dividing the values of $\left(\frac{c_i c}{b}\right)_{(\alpha_{a_s})}$ by $C_{L_{(\alpha_{a_s})}$.

5

The $\left(\frac{c_ic}{b}\right)_{(\epsilon_{\ell'})}$ distribution is a combination of the basic lift distribution and an additional lift distribution corresponding to a wing lift coefficient $C_{L_{(\epsilon_{\ell'})}}$ also determined in table IX. The basic lift distribution $\frac{c_{i_b}c}{b}$ is then determined

by subtracting the additional lift distribution $\frac{c_{lal}c}{b} C_{L(\epsilon_{l'})}$ from $\left(\frac{c_lc}{b}\right)_{(\epsilon_{l'})}$.

Inasmuch as the wing lift curve is assumed to be linear, it is defined by its slope and angle of attack for zero lift which are also found in table IX. The maximum wing lift coefficient is estimated according to the method of reference 10 which is illustrated in figure 4. The maximum lift coefficient is considered to be the wing lift coefficient at which some section of the wing becomes the first to reach its maximum lift, that is, $c_{l_b} + C_L c_{l_{a1}} = c_{l_{max}}$. This value of C_L is most conveniently determined by finding the minimum value of $\frac{c_{l_{max}} - c_{l_b}}{c_{l_{a1}}}$ along the span as illustrated in table IX.

INDUCED-DRAG COEFFICIENT

The section induced-drag coefficient is equal to the product of the section lift coefficient and the induced angle of attack in radians. The lift distribution for any wing lift coefficient is

$$\frac{c_{l}c}{b} = \frac{c_{l_{al}}}{b} C_L + \frac{c_{l_b}c}{b}$$
(23)

The corresponding induced angle of attack distribution may be written as

$$\alpha_i = \alpha_{i_{a1}} C_L + \alpha_{i_b} \tag{24}$$

TABLE V.-CALCULATION OF LIFT DISTRIBUTION FOR EXAMPLE WING

ſ	0	3	-	•	۲	۲	0	•	۲	()	•	0	@	9	19	0	ø	19
ľ		α	Ci	c	cic		<u>`</u>	· · · ·		λ	×®	•				ai		
i	$\frac{2y}{b}$	(a=+e)	(As- sumed)	б (TableIV)	<u>b</u> (③×④)	0	.1564	.3090	.4540	.5878	.7071	.8090	.8910	.9511	.9877	to Σ®)	α. ()—())	C1 C1
						143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	1.804	0	-1.468			•
	0	3.00	0. 513	0. 1429	0.0733	10.50	-4.29	0	51	0	21	0	13	0	-, 11	1.88	1, 12	0.464
ľ						-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0			· · ·
ĺ	.1564	2.76	. 517	. 1295	. 0670	-7.75	9.72	-4.51	0	68	0	32	0	23	0	, 98	1. 78	. 531
						0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768			
	.3090	2.47	. 523	. 1164	, 0609	0	-3.95	9. 17	-4.09	0	60	0	30	0	-, 23	.90	1.57	. 522
						-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0			
_	.4540	2. 13	. 519	. 1040	. 0540	67	0	-3.40	8.68	-3.91	0	59	0	31	0	.77	1.36	. 514
atio						0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713			
xim	.5878	1.73	, 501	. 0925	. 0463	0	39	0	-3.05	8.20	-3.80	0	61	0	36	. 60	1. 13	, 500
ppro						-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0			
st al	.7071	1. 28	. 477	. 0823	. 0393	16	0	29	0	-2.82	7.96	3.85	0	68	0	. 65	. 63	. 474
Fir						0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635			
	.8090	.80	, 430	. 0735	. 0316	0	09	0	23	0	-2.57	7.70	-3.97	0	84	. 55	. 25	. 449
						-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0			
	.8910	. 32	. 360	. 0665	. 0239	04	0	06	0	18	0	-2.32	7.54	-4.31	0	. 42	10	. 413
-						0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976			
	.9511	10	. 281	. 0613	. 0172	0	02	0	03	0	13	0	-2.11	7.97	5. 68	.77	87	. 326
						459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651			
	.9877	39	. 228	. 0437	. 0100	0	0	01	0	01	0	07	0	1. 67	9, 16	1.94	-2.33	. 165
					Σ	1.88	. 98	. 90	.77	.60	. 65	. 55	. 42	. 77	1.94	<u> .</u>		·
	_					143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468			
		3.00	0.498	0.1429	0.0712	10.20	-4.17	0	49		20	0	13	0	10	1.61	1.39	. 491
						-115.624	145.025	-67.298		-10.158		4.840			0			
	.1564	2.76	. 516	. 1295	. 0668	-7.72	9.69	-4.50	0		0	32	0	23	0	1.07	1, 69	. 523
	2000	0.47	504	1 1100	0010		-64.802	150.611	-67.157		-9.910	0	-4,900		-3.768		1.00	
	.3090	2.4/	. 524		. 0610		-3.95	9.19	-4.10		00		30	U	23	.95	1. 52	. 517
e e	4540	0.19	517	1040	0100	-12.304		-02.917	100.701	-12.4/2		~10.920		-5.012	0		1.00	-15
atio	.4540	2.13	. 017	. 1040	. 0538	67	8 320		65 903	-3.90	92.093	39	12 124	31	7 712	. (*	1. 39	
xin	E070	1 79	500	0005	0400		-0.320		-05.805		-02.003		-13.134		-7.713	-	1 19	
pro	.5676	1.70		. 0920	. 0403	4.051	39	7 272	-3.00	71 742	-0.00	07.065		17 399	30		1.10	. 800
ld al	7071	1.00	470		0202	-4.051		-7.372	0	-71.743	7.00	-97.905		-17.300		-	70	(00)
econ	.7071	1.28	. 478	. 0823	.0393		2 990	29	7 209	2.82	01 424	-0.80	125 527	68	26.625		.70	.480
00	8090	80	141	0725	0294	0	-2.000	0	-7.208	0	-01.434	7 00	-125.537	0	-20.035	. 61	10	442
	.8090		.441	. 0730	.0324		09	0	20	7 270	-2.04		-4.07		80	.01	. 19	. 443
	9010	20	900	DEP "	Dor 4	-1.038				-1.370			0 01	-100.328				100
	.0910	. 32	. 582	. 0065	. 0254	04	1 062	00	2 010	19	7 500	-2.40	10.6	-4. 59	220.076		38	. 386
				0.010	0.00		-1.002		-2.010	·	-7.599		-122.080	403.333	-323.3/0	-		
	.9511	10	. 292	. 0613	- 0179	0	02	U	04	0	14	U 	-2.20	8.30	-5.91			-
	0077			0.00	0000	459	U	620		-1.491	U	-7.089	U	-107.045	912.021	-		. 228
	.98//	1 39	1 . 219	.0437	.0096		U	01		01		07	U	-1.00	8.79		-1.72	<u> </u>
					Σ	1.61	1.07	. 95	.74	1.60	. 58	. 61	.70	. 89) 1. 33	1		

1

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}$

REPORT NO. 865 .--- NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

.

.....

						143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468			
Ì	0	3.00	0. 497	0.1429	0.0710	10. 17	-4.16	0	49	0	20	0	. 13	0	10	1. 55	1. 45	. 497
						-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0 .		·	
	.1564	2.76	. 517	. 1295	. 0670	7.75	9.72	-4.51	0	68	0	32	0	23	0	1.12	1.64	. 518
						0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768			
	.3090	2.47	. 522	. 1164	. 0608	0	-3.94	9.16	-4.08	0	60	0	30	0	23	. 91	1.56	. 521
						-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0			
Б	.4540	2.13	. 516	. 1040	. 0537	67	0	-3.38	8.63	-3.89	0	59	0	31	0	.74	1.39	. 517
nati						0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0.	-7.713			
roxir	.5878	1.73	. 500	. 0925	. 0463	0	39	0	-3.05	8.20	-3.80	0	61	0	36	. 60	1. 13	. 500
app						4.051	0	-7.372	0	71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0			
ird	.7071	1.28	. 479	. 0823	. 0394	16	0	-, 29	0	-2.83	7.98	-3.86	0	69	0	. 59	. 69	. 479
Ë						0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	,		
ĺ	.8090	. 80	. 443	. 0735	. 0326	0	09	0	23	0	-2.65	7.94	-4.09	0	87	. 62	. 18	. 442
						-1.638	0	-2.371	0	- 7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0			
	.8910	. 32	. 385	. 0665	. 0256	04	0	06	0	19	0	-2.48	8.08	-4.62	0	. 70	38	. 386
ĺ			1			0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976			_
	.9511	10	. 299	. 0613	. 0183	0	02	0	04	0	14	0	-2.25	8.48	-6.04	• 99	-1.09	. 300
						459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651			
	.9877	39	. 224	. 0437	. 0098	0	0	01	0	01	0	07	0	-1.64	8.97	1. 37	-1.76	. 224
					Σ	1.55	1. 12	. 91	. 74	. 60	. 59	. 62	. 70	. 99	1. 37			

TABLE VI.-CALCULATION OF WING COEFFICIENTS FOR EXAMPLE WING

 $[A=10.05; \alpha = 3.00]$

	3	3	۲	١	۲	Ø	۲	۲		. @	•		
2 <u>y</u> 0	Multipliers	(Table V)	(deg) (Table V)	57.3c4.c b (3×3)	cı (Table V)	cdç (Section data)	c c (Table IV)	(⑦×③)	c _m (Section data)	c² cc' (Table IV)	c= <u>c³</u> (@×@)		
0	.07854	0. 0710	1. 55	0. 1101	0. 497	0.0077	1.435	0.0110	0.081	1.932	-0.156		
.1564	.15515	. 0670	1.12	. 0750	. 517	. 0078	1.300	. 0101	081	1.586	128		
.3090	.14939	. 0608	. 91	. 0553	. 522	. 0076	1. 169	. 0089	081	1.282	104		
.4540	.13996	. 0537	. 74	. 0397	. 516	. 0076	1.044	. 0079	082	1.022	084		
.5878	.12708	. 0463	. 60	. 0278	. 500	. 0076	. 929	. 0071	085	. 809	069		
.7071	.11107	. 0394	. 59	. 0232	. 479	. 0076	. 826	. 0063	090	. 640	058		
.8090	.09233	. 0326	. 62	. 0202	. 443	. 0076	. 739	. 0056	092	. 512	047		
.8910	.07131	. 0256	. 70	. 0179	. 385	. 0076	. 668	. 0051	092	. 418	038		
.9511	.04854	. 0183	. 99	. 0181	. 299	. 0076	. 616	. 0047	092	. 356	033		
.9877	.02457	. 0098	1.37	. 0134	. 224	. 0079	. 439	. 0035	091	. 181	016		
	$C_{L} = A\Sigma(\widehat{0} \times \widehat{0}) = 0.490$ $C_{D_{0}} = \Sigma(\widehat{0} \times \widehat{0}) = 0.0077$ $A\Sigma(\widehat{0} \times \widehat{0})$												
	$C_{D_i} = \frac{1}{57.3} = 0.0078$ $C_m = \Sigma(3 \times 2) = -0.084$												

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS

ŧ,

1 -

13

A CALLER & CALLER & CALLER

ं भ

TABLE VII.—CALCULATION OF LIFT DISTRIBUTION	ION FOR EXAMPLE WING F	OR $\epsilon' = 0$
---	------------------------	--------------------

	0	G		3	0	6	۲	Ø	8	۲	0	0	•	(1)	0	0	0	Ø	0			
			-		· · · ·	Multipliers λ_{mk}													·			
	2 <u>y</u> b		4a,	$\frac{c_{1}c}{b}$ (Assumed)	k 10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	$\Sigma(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$	α _e (③-④)	(a₀×@)	$\frac{a_{0}c}{b}$ (Table IV)	Check cic b			
								$\frac{2u}{b}$ 0	.1564	.3090	.4540	.5878	.7074	.8090	.8910	.9511	.9877	(1)				(@X@)
	0	10. (000	0. 1107	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	o	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468	2.202	7.798	0. 7556	0. 01385	0.1080			
	.1564			. 1050	-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0	1. 475	8. 525	. 8295	. 01260	. 1074			
g	.3090			. 0982	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	1.356	8.644	. 8454	. 01138	. 0984			
nati	.4540			. 0904	-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	1, 236	8.764	. 8624	. 01023	. 0897			
oxir.	.5878			. 0819	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713	1. 226	8.774	. 8695	. 00917	. 0805			
appr	.7071			. 0728	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	1. 257	8.743	. 8734	. 00822	. 0719			
rst 8	.8090			. 0632	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	1. 411	8. 589	. 8649	. 00740	. 0636			
Fi	.8910		_	. 0533	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0'	1.787	8. 213	. 8328	. 00674	. 0554			
	.9511			. 0434	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	3.754	6, 246	. 6371	. 00625	. 0390			
	.9877		,	. 0275	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	8.012	1.988	. 2030	. 00446	. 0089			
	0	10.	000	0. 1103	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468	2.094	7.906	0. 7661	0. 01385	0. 1095			
	.1564			. 1055	-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	Ū	1. 558	8.442	. 8214	. 01260	. 1064			
uo	.3090			. 0985	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	1.392	8.608	. 8419	. 01138	. 0980			
nati	.4540			. 0901	-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	1. 213	8. 787	. 8646	. 01023	. 0899			
roxi	.5878			. 0813	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	7.713	1.177	8.823	. 8744	. 00917	. 0809			
app	.7071			. 0723	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	1.205	8.795	. 8786	. 00822	.0723			
ond	.8090			. 0634	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	1. 520	8. 480	. 8539	.00740	. 0628			
Sec	.8910			. 0535	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0	2.114	7.886	. 7996	. 00674	. 0532			
	.9511			. 0411	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	3.379	6. 621	. 6753	. 00625	.0414			
	.9877			. 0232	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	4.832	5. 168	. 5277	. 00446	. 0230			
_	0	10.	000	0. 1102	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	1.468	2.060	7.940	0. 7694	0.01385	0. 1100			
	.1564			. 1057	-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0	1.602	8.398	. 8171	. 01260	. 1058			
ion	.3090			. 0984	0 .	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	1.377	8.623	. 8433	. 01138	. 0981			
mat	.4540			. 0899	-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	1.203	8.795	. 8654	. 01023	. 0900			
roxi	.5878			. 0811	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713	1. 162	8.838	. 8758	. 00917	. 0810			
app	.7071			. 0722	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	1. 218	8.782	. 8773	. 00822	. 0722			
nird	E.8090			. 0632	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	. 0	-26.635	1.492	8.508	. 8568	. 00740	. 0630			
E	.8910			. 0534	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0	2. 111	7.889	. 7999	. 00674	. 0532			
	.9511			. 0411	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	3. 399	6.601	. 6733	. 00625	. 0413			
	.9877			. 0232	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	4.840	5. 160	. 5268	. 00446	. 0230			

; 19 ,

First assumed $\frac{c_{lc}}{b} = \frac{\frac{c}{b} + 1.273}{2A + 3.6} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)^2} a_{0}\alpha^{a_{s}}$.

 $i\omega_{l} = \Sigma(3) \times \lambda_{mk}.$

REPORT NO. 865,----NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TABLE VIII.—CALCULATION OF LIFT DISTRIBUTION FOR EXAMPLE WING FOR $\alpha_{a_{B}}=0$

	1	0	۲	•	۲	۲	0	8	۲	10	0	Û	Ŵ		B '		Ū	W	1
							·	Multipli	ers λ _{m i}		·	·							I
	<u>2y</u> b	(Table IV)	(Assumed)	k 10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	$(\Sigma(\widehat{\mathbb{S}}\times\widehat{\mathbb{G}}))$	α. (3–®)	с; (аоХФ)	$\frac{a_0 c}{b}$ (Table I \tilde{V})	Check <u>cic</u> b	
				$\frac{2y}{b}$ 0	.1564	.3090	.4540	.5878	.7071	.8090	.8910	.9511	.9877	(1)				(@X())	
	0	0	0	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468	0.460	-0. 460	-0.0446	0.01385	-0.0064	
	.1564	235	-, 0025	-115.62	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0	. 105	340	- 0331	. 01260	0043	
u	.3090	516	0051	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	. 012	528	0516	. 01138	0060	
mati	.4540	849	0077	-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	107	742	0730	. 01023	0076	Þ
roxi	.5878	-1.235	0101	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713	~. 221	-1.014	1005	. 00917	0093	1EJ
app	.7071	-1.670	0121	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	373	-1.297	1296	. 00822	0107	H
irst	.8090	-2. 138	0135	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	596	-1.542	1553	. 00740	0114	В
μ.	.8910	-2.604	0139	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0	923	-1.681	1705	. 00674	0113	FO
	.9511	-3.013	0131	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	-1.779	-1.234	1259	. 00625	0077	R
<u> </u>	.9877	-3.297	0091	459	0	620	0	- 1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	-3. 553	. 256	0261	. 00446	. 0011	CA CA
-	0	_0	-0.0023	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468	0. 275	-0. 275	-0.0266	0.01385	-0.0038	- C(
	.1564	235	0038	-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0	. 075	310	0302	. 01269	0039	JLA
tion	.3090	516	0056	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	. 014	530	0518	. 01138	0060	
ima	.4540	849	0077	-12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	095	754	0742	. 01023	0077	NG
prox	.5878	-1.235	0097	, 0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713	202	-1.033	1024	. 00917	0095	\$
[ap]	.7071	-1.670	0114	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	350	-1.320	1319	. 00822	0109	IN
cond	.8090	-2.138	0125	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	571	-1.567	1578	. 00740	0116	دی م
Š	.8910	-2.604	0124	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0	844	-1.760	1785	.00674	0119	Ĕ
	.9511	-3.013	0109	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	-1.456	-1.557	1588	. 00625	0097	AR/
	.9877	-3. 297	0066	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	-2.014	-1.283	1310	. 00446	0057	CT
	0	0	-0.0029	143.239	-58.533	0	-6.950	0	-2.865	0	-1.804	0	-1.468	0. 210	-0.210	-0.0203	0.01385	0.0029	ERIS
	.1564	235	0040	-115.624	145.025	-67.298	0	-10.158	0	-4.840	0	-3.394	0	. 085	320	0311	. 01260	0040	ILES
uo	.3090	516	0057	0	-64.802	150.611	-67.157	0	-9.916	0	-4.968	0	-3.768	. 009	525	0513	. 01138	0060	ខ្ល
mati	.4540	849	0077	~12.384	0	-62.917	160.761	-72.472	0	-10.926	0	-5.812	0	095	754	0742	. 01023	0077	
roxi	.5878	-1.235	0096	0	-8.320	0	-65.803	177.054	-82.083	0	-13.134	0	-7.713	207	-1.028	1019	. 00917	0094	
app	.7071	-1.670	0111	-4.051	0	-7.372	0	-71.743	202.571	-97.965	0	-17.388	0	331	-1.339	1338	. 00822	0110	
hird	.8090	-2. 138	0121	0	-2.880	0	-7.208	0	-81.434	243.694	-125.537	0	-26.635	550	-1.588	1599	. 00740	0118	
T	.8910	-2.604	0120	-1.638	0	-2.371	0	-7.370	0	-96.962	315.512	-180.528	0	830	-1.774	1799	. 00674	0120	
	.9511	-3.013	0104	0	-1.062	0	-2.016	0	-7.599	0	-122.880	463.533	-329.976	-1.351	-1.662	1695	. 00625	0104	
	.9877	-3.297	-, 0063	459	0	620	0	-1.491	0	-7.089	0	-167.045	915.651	-1.915	-1.382	1411	. 00446	0062	1
			/	$\frac{1}{(2y)^2}$		<u></u>													

First assumed $\frac{c_{1c}}{b} = \frac{\overline{c} + 1.273 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)^2}}{2A + 3.6} a_{0} t'.$

 $1_{\alpha_{i_k}} = \Sigma(3) \times \lambda_{mk}$

15

.

a £

-

Server.

事業に

REPORT NO. 865 .-- NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

TABLE IX.-CALCULATION OF LINEAR LIFT CHARACTERISTICS FOR EXAMPLE WING

 $[A = 10.05; \alpha_{a_s} = 10.00; \alpha_{l_{0_s}} = -3.90]$

٩	0	3		6	6	Ō	8	۲	0	0	0
	Mu!tipliers 7m=	$\frac{\left(\frac{c_{ic}}{b}\right)_{(\alpha_{a_{i}})}}{(\text{Table VII})}$	$\frac{\frac{C_{l_{al}}c}{b}}{\left(\frac{\textcircled{3}}{C_{L_{a_{a_{a_{a}}}}}}\right)}$	$\frac{\left(\frac{c_{i}c}{b}\right)_{(\epsilon_{i}\prime)}}{(\text{Table VIII})}$	$\frac{c_{l_{al}c}}{b}C_{L(\epsilon_{l}')}$ $(\textcircled{O}\times C_{L(\epsilon_{l}')})$	(③−€)	c 5 (Table IV)	$\begin{pmatrix} c_{l_{a1}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{0} \\ \overline{0} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} c_{l_b} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} @ \\ \hline @ \end{array} \right) \end{pmatrix}$	cı _{max} (Section data)	$\frac{\frac{c_{l_{max}}-c_{l_b}}{c_{l_{a1}}}}{\left(\frac{(1)-(0)}{9}\right)}$
0	0.07854	0.1102	0. 1323	-0.0029	-0.0105	0.0076	0. 1429	0.926	0.053	1.421	1. 477
.1564	.15515	. 1057	. 1269	0040	0100	. 0060	. 1295	. 980	. 046	1.418	1.400
.3090	.14939	. 0984	. 1181	0057	0093	. 0036	. 1164	1.015	. 031	1.423	1. 371
.4540	.13996	. 0899	. 1079	0077	0085	. 0008	. 1040	1.038	. 008	1. 432	1.372
.5878	.12708	. 0811	. 0974	0096	0077	0019	. 0925	1.053	021	1. 441	1.388
.7071	.11107	. 0722	. 0867	0111	0068	0043	. 0823	1.053	051	1.436	1.412
.8090	.09233	. 0632	. 0759	0121	0060	0061	. 0735	1.033	083	1.418	1.453
.8910	.07131	. 0534	. 0641	0120	0051	0069	. 0665	. 964	104	1.404	1.564
.9511	.04854	. 0411	. 0493	0104	0039	0065	. 0613	. 804	106	1.419	1.897
.9877	.02457	. 0232	. 0279	0063	0022	0011	. 0437	. 638	094	1.412	2.361
	·							-,			

 $C_{L_{(\alpha_{g_{\bullet}})}} = A\Sigma(\textcircled{2}\times\textcircled{3}) = 0.833$

$$a = \frac{C_L}{C_L} (\frac{a_{a_s}}{c_s}) = 0.0833$$

 $C_{L_{max}} = Min.$ value in @=1.37

 $C_{L_i(\epsilon_i')} = A\Sigma(\textcircled{2}\times\textcircled{5}) = -0.079$

$$\alpha_{a_{t}} = \frac{-C_{L(\epsilon_{t}')}}{a} = 0.95$$

 $\alpha_{i_{L=0}} = \alpha_{l_{0}} + \alpha_{a_{i_{1}}} = -2.95$

 $[A=10.05; C_{L(\alpha_{a_{\epsilon}})}=0.833; C_{L(\epsilon_{t'})}=-0.079]$

0	0	3	•	6	6	0	8	9	10	0	(1)	
2 <u>y</u> b	Multipliers _{ηms}	^{αi} (^{°a} s) (Table VII)	$\frac{\alpha_{i_{a1}}}{\left(\frac{3}{C_{L(\alpha_{a_{i}})}}\right)}$	α _{i(ε,')} (Table VIII)	$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_{i_{a1}}C_{L_{(\epsilon_{t}')}} \\ (\textcircled{@} \times C_{L_{(\epsilon_{t}')}}) \end{array} \end{array} $	α _{ib} (⑤-⑥)	$\frac{\frac{c_{l_{al}}c}{b}}{(\text{Table IX})}$	$\frac{\frac{c_{l_b}c}{b}}{(\text{Table IX})}$	$\frac{57.3c_{d_{i_{a1}}}c}{b}$ $(\textcircled{0}\times\textcircled{8})$	$ \frac{57.3c_{d_{i_{n1}b}}c}{b} \\ ((@\times@)+(@\times@))) $	57.3c _{dib} <u>−</u> b (⑦×⑨)	
0	0.07854	2.060	2.474	0. 210	-0.195	0.405	0.1323	0. 0076	0.3273	0.0724	0.0031	
.1564	.15515	1.602	1.924	. 085	152	. 237	. 1269	. 0060	. 2442	. 0416	. 0014	
.3090	.14939	1.377	1.653	. 009	131	. 140	. 1181	. 0036	. 1952	. 0225	. 0005	
.4540	.13996	1.203	1. 445	095	—. II4	. 019	. 1079	. 0008	. 1559	. 0032	0	
.5878	.12708	1.162	1.395	207	110	097	. 0974	0019	. 1359	0121	. 0002	
.7071	.11107	1. 218	1. 463	331	116	215	. 0867	0042	. 1268	0248	. 0009	
.8090	.09233	1.492	1.792	550	142	408	. 0759	0061	. 1360	0419	. 0025	
.8910	.07131	2.111	2. 535	830	200		. 0641	0069	. 1625	0579	. 0043	
.9511	.04854	3. 399	4. 081	-1.351	322	-1.029	. 0493	0065	. 2012	0773	. 0067	
.9877	.02457	4.840	5. 812	-1.915	459	-1.456	. 0279	0041	. 1622	0645	. 0060	
	$C_{D_{l}} = \left(\frac{A\Sigma(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}\times\widehat{\mathfrak{M}})}{572}\right)C_{l}^{2} + \left(\frac{A\Sigma(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}\times\widehat{\mathfrak{M}})}{572}\right)C_{l} + \frac{A\Sigma(\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}\times\widehat{\mathfrak{M}})}{572}$											

$$= 0.0322 C_L^2 - 0.0003 C_L + 0.0003$$

The values of $\alpha_{i_{a1}}$ and α_{i_b} are determined in table X in the same manner as $\frac{c_{i_{a1}}c}{b}$ and $\frac{c_{i_b}c}{b}$ in table IX. The induced-drag distribution is therefore

$$\frac{c_{d_i}c}{b} = \frac{c_ic}{b} \frac{\alpha_i}{57.3}$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$\frac{c_{d_ic}}{b} = \frac{c_{d_{i_{a1}}}}{b} C_L^2 + \frac{c_{d_{i_{a1}b}}}{b} C_L + \frac{c_{d_{i_b}c}}{b} C_L + \frac{c_{d_{i_b}c}}{b}$$
(25)

where

$$\frac{c_{d_{i_{a1}}}c}{b} = \frac{c_{i_{a1}}c}{b} \frac{\alpha_{i_{a1}}}{57.3}$$
(26)

$$\frac{c_{a_{i_{a_1}b}}}{b} = \frac{c_{i_{a_1}c}}{b} \frac{\alpha_{i_b}}{57.3} + \frac{c_{i_b}c}{b} \frac{\alpha_{i_{a_1}}}{57.3}$$
(27)

and

$$\frac{c_{d_{i_b}}c}{b} = \frac{c_{i_b}c}{b} \frac{\alpha_{i_b}}{57.3}$$
(28)

The calculation of each of these induced-drag distributions is illustrated in table X together with the numerical integration of each distribution to obtain the wing induced-drag coefficient.



PROFILE-DRAG AND PITCHING-MOMENT COEFFICIENTS

The profile-drag and pitching-moment coefficients for the wing depend directly upon the section data and therefore their calculation is the same whether linear or nonlinear section lift data are used. For the linear case the section lift coefficient is

$$c_l = c_{la1}C_L + c_{la1}C_L$$

for any wing coefficient C_L . By use of this value for c_i the profile-drag and pitching-moment coefficients are found as in table VI.

DISCUSSION

The characteristics of three wings with symmetrical lift distributions have been calculated by use of both nonlinear and linear section lift data and are presented in figure 5 together with experimental results. These data were taken from reference 11. The lift curves calculated by use of nonlinear section lift data are in close agreement with the experimental results over the entire range of lift coefficient, whereas those calculated by use of linear section lift data are in agreement only over the linear portions of the curves as would be expected.

It must be remembered that the methods presented are subject to the limitations of lifting-line theory upon which the methods are based; therefore, the close agreement shown in figure 5 should not be expected for wings of low aspect ratio or large sweep. The use of the edge-velocity factor more or less compensates for some of the effects of aspect ratio and, in fact, appears to overcompensate at the larger values of aspect ratio as shown in figure 5.

Additional comparisons of calculated and experimental data are given in reference 11 for wings with symmetrical lift distributions, but very little comparable data are available for wings with asymmetrical lift distributions. Such data are very desirable in order to determine the reliability with which calculated data may be used to predict experimental wing characteristics.

LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY, NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS, LANGLEY FIELD, VA., December 20, 1946.



⁽a) A=8.04; R=4.32×10⁶; root section, NACA 4416; tip section, NACA 4412. FIGURE 5.—Experimental and calculated characteristics of three wings of taper ratio 2.5 and NACA 44-series airfoil sections

REPORT NO. 865-NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

......



(c) A=12.06; P=2.87×10⁶; root section, NACA 4424; tip section, NACA 4412.

FIGURE 5.—Concluded.

METHOD FOR CALCULATING WING CHARACTERISTICS

REFERENCES

- Wieselsberger, C.: On the Distribution of Lift across the Span near and beyond the Stall. Jour. Aero. Sci., vol. 4, no. 9, July 1937, pp. 363-365.
- 2. Boshar, John: The Determination of Span Load Distribution at High Speeds by Use of High-Speed Wind-Tunnel Section Data. NACA ACR No. 4B22, 1944.
- 3. Tani, Itiro: A Simple Method of Calculating the Induced Velocity of a Monoplane Wing. Rep. No. 111 (vol. IX, 3), Aero. Res. Inst., Tokyo Imperial Univ., Aug. 1934.
- Multhopp, H.: Die Berechnung der Auftriebsverteilung von Tragflügeln. Luftfahrtforschung, Bd. 15, Lfg. 4, April 6, 1938, pp. 153-169. (Available as R.T.P. Translation No. 2392, British Ministry of Aircraft Production.)
- 5. Glauert, H.: The Elements of Aerofoil and Airscrew Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1926.

- 6. Prandtl, L.: Applications of Modern Hydrodynamics to Aeronautics. NACA Rep. No. 116, 1921.
- Munk, Max M.: Calculation of Span Lift Distribution (Part 2). Aero. Digest, vol. 48, no. 3, Feb. 1, 1945, p. 84.
- Munk, Max M.: Calculation of Span Lift Distribution (Part 3). Aero. Digest, vol. 48, no. 5, March 1, 1945, p. 98.
- 9. Jones, Robert T.: Correction of the Lifting-Line Theory for the Effect of the Chord. NACA TN No. 817, 1941.
- Anderson, Raymond F.: Determination of the Characteristics of Tapered Wings. NACA Rep. No. 572, 1936.
- Neely, Robert H., Bollech, Thomas V., Westrick, Gertrude C., and Graham, Robert R.: Experimental and Calculated Characteristics of Several NACA 44-Series Wings with Aspect Ratios of 8, 10, and 12 and Taper Ratios of 2.5 and 3.5. NACA TN No. 1270, 1947.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1949



Positive directions of axes and angles (forces and moments) are shown by arrows

Axis	Therese	Mome	nt abou	it axis	Angle		Velocities		
Designation	Sym- bol	(parallel to axis) symbol	Designation	Sym- bol	Positive direction	Designa- tion	Sym- bol	Linear (compo- nent along axis)	Angular
Longitudinal Lateral Normal	X Y Z	X Y Z	Rolling Pitching Yawing	L M N	$\begin{array}{c} Y \longrightarrow Z \\ Z \longrightarrow X \\ X \longrightarrow Y \end{array}$	Roll Pitch Yaw	φ θ ψ	u- U W	p q r

Absolute coefficients of moment L M C_m

 $C_{i} = \frac{L}{qbS}$ (rolling)

Diameter

D

p

p/DV'

 V_{\bullet}

T

Q

 $C_n =$ $C_n = \frac{1}{qbS}$ (yawing) $\frac{C_m}{qcS}$ (pitching)

Angle of set of control surface (relative to neutral position), δ . (Indicate surface by proper subscript.)

4. PROPELLER SYMBOLS

Diameter	P Power absolute coefficient $C_{p} = \frac{P}{P}$
Geometric pitch	$ \sum \sum 100001, \text{ absolute coordination } OP \rho n^3 D^5 $
Pitch ratio	G Speed never coefficient $\frac{5}{\rho V^5}$
Inflow velocity	V_s Speed-power coefficient = $\sqrt{Pn^2}$
Slipstream velocity	η Efficiency
Thrust, absolute coefficient $C_T = \frac{T}{2m^2D^4}$	n Revolutions per second, rps
Torque, absolute coefficient $C_q = \frac{Q}{\rho n^2 D^5}$	$\Phi \qquad \text{Effective helix angle} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V}{2\pi r n} \right)$
5. NUMERIC	AL RELATIONS
76.04 kg-m/s=550 ft-lb/sec	1 lb = 0.4536 kg

1 hp = 76.04 kg-m/s = 550 ft-lb/sec	1 lb = 0.4536 kg
1 metric horsepower=0.9863 hp	1 kg=2.2046 lb
1 mph=0.4470 mps	1 mi=1,609.35 m=5,280 ft
1 mps=2.2369 mph	1 m=3.2808 ft