# NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

REPORT 1377

# MEASUREMENTS OF FREE-SPACE OSCILLATING PRESSURES NEAR PROPELLERS AT FLIGHT MACH NUMBERS TO 0.72

By MAX C. KURBJUN and ARTHUR W. VOGELEY



1958

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C. Yearly subscription, \$10; foreign, \$13 single copy price varies according to size ----- Price 20 cents



# REPORT 1377

# MEASUREMENTS OF FREE-SPACE OSCILLATING PRESSURES NEAR PROPELLERS AT FLIGHT MACH NUMBERS TO 0.72

By MAX C. KURBJUN and ARTHUR W. VOGELEY

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.

I

# National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics

Headquarters, 1512 H Street NW., Washington 25, D. C.

Created by Act of Congress approved March 3, 1915, for the supervision and direction of the scientific study of the problems of flight (U. S. Code, title 50, sec. 151). Its membership was increased from 12 to 15 by act approved March 2, 1929, and to 17 by act approved May 25, 1948. The members are appointed by the President and serve as such without compensation.

JAMES H. DOOLITTLE, Sc. D., Vice President, Shell Oil Company, Chairman

LEONARD CARMICHAEL, Ph. D., Secretary, Smithsonian Institution, Vice Chairman

ALLEN V. ASTIN, Ph. D., Director, National Bureau of Standards. PRESTON R. BASSETT, D. Sc.

- DETLEV W. BRONK, Ph. D., President, Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research.
- FREDERICK C. CRAWFORD, Sc. D., Chairman of the Board, Thompson Products, Inc.
- WILLIAM V. DAVIS, JR., Vice Admiral, United States Navy, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (Air).
- PAUL D. FOOTE, Ph. D., Assistant Secretary of Defense, Research and Engineering.
- WELLINGTON T. HINES, Rear Admiral, United States Navy, Assistant Chief for Procurement, Bureau of Aeronautics.
- JEROME C. HUNSAKER, Sc. D., Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- CHARLES J. MCCARTHY, S. B., Chairman of the Board, Chance Vought Aircraft, Inc.
- DONALD L. PUTT, Lieutenant General, United States Air Force, Deputy Chief of Staff, Development.
- JAMES T. PYLE, A. B., Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.
- FRANCIS W. REICHELDERFER, Sc. D., Chief, United States Weather Bureau.
- EDWARD V. RICKENBACKER, Sc. D., Chairman of the Board, Eastern Air Lines, Inc.
- LOUIS S. ROTHSCHILD, Ph. B., Under Secretary of Commerce for Transportation.
- THOMAS D. WHITE, General, United States Air Force, Chief of Staff.

HUGH L. DRYDEN, PH. D., Director

JOHN W. CROWLEY, JR., B. S., Associate Director for Research

JOHN F. VICTORY, LL. D., Executive Secretary

EDWARD H. CHAMBERLIN, Executive Officer

HENRY J. E. REID, D. Eng., Director, Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Va.
SMITH J. DEFRANCE, D. Eng., Director, Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif
EDWARD R. SHARP, Sc. D., Director, Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory, Cleveland, Ohio
WALTER C. WILLIAMS, B. S., Chief, High-Speed Flight Station, Edwards, Calif.

# **REPORT 1377**

# MEASUREMENTS OF FREE-SPACE OSCILLATING PRESSURES NEAR PROPELLERS AT FLIGHT MACH NUMBERS TO 0.72<sup>†</sup>

By MAX C. KURBJUN and ARTHUR W. VOGELEY

### SUMMARY

In the course of a short flight program initiated to check the theory of Garrick and Watkins (NACA Rep. 1198), a series of measurements at three stations were made of the oscillating pressures near a tapered-blade plan-form propeller and a rectangular-blade plan-form propeller at flight Mach numbers up to 0.72. These measurements were made at a single radial station and at three axial positions (ahead of, in the plane of, and behind the propeller disk). Despite the limited scope of the tests, agreement with the theory was obtained to the extent that:

(a) The oscillating pressures near the propeller tend to decrease with increase in flight Mach number up to a Mach number of approximately 0.5 and to increase rather rapidly at higher Mach numbers.

(b) The sound-pressure levels of the higher harmonics of the propeller noise increase at a higher rate with increase in flight Mach number than the lower propeller harmonics.

In contradiction to the results found for the propeller studied in NACA Rep. 1198, the oscillating pressures in the plane and ahead of the propeller were found to be higher than those immediately behind the propeller. Factors such as variation in torque and thrust distribution, since the blades of the present investigation were operating above their design forward speed, may account for this contradiction.

The effect of blade plan form shows that a tapered-blade plan-form propeller will produce lower sound-pressure levels than a rectangular-blade plan-form propeller for the low bladepassage harmonics (the frequencies where structural considerations are important) and produce higher sound-pressure levels for the higher blade-passage harmonics (frequencies where passenger comfort is important).

#### INTRODUCTION

The effects of the near-field noise generated by propellers in flight are of continuously increasing concern to the aviation industry. With regard to air transportation, the oscillating pressures in the form of noise directly affect passenger comfort and the field of public relations. For the airplane structural engineer, these oscillating pressures are creating serious fatigue problems. The severity of the problems increases with the continual trend toward higher powers and higher flight speeds. Detailed knowledge of the pressure fields about propellers is necessary for design and also, it is hoped, will eventually indicate a means of reducing the oscillating pressures.

In the field of propeller-generated pressures, both the theoretical and experimental backgrounds are rather extensive. The Gutin theory (ref. 1) for the far-field pressures is well known. This theory has been extended in reference 2 to predict the pressures in the near field. Both references 1 and 2 deal strictly with stationary propellers but the results of investigations under static conditions have been applied with some success, as in reference 3, to low flight speeds. In reference 4, Garrick and Watkins have further extended Gutin's theory to take into account the effect of forward speed. This extended theory includes the stationary propeller and the far-field simplifications as special cases.

The purpose of the flight tests reported herein was to obtain in-flight measurements of propeller noise with which to check, if possible, the theory of reference 4 and to investigate parameters affecting propeller noise such as propellerblade plan form, power, and tip speeds at a range of forward speeds up to the maximum permissible Mach number of 0.72.

### SYMBOLS

blade width, ft

b

p

 $t_{\infty}$ 

V

- $c_i$  section design lift coefficient
- D propeller diameter, ft
- h blade thickness, ft
- $M_{\infty}$  flight Mach number
- $M_R$  rotational tip Mach number
- $M_t$  helical tip Mach number,  $\sqrt{M_{\infty}^2 + M_{R}^2}$
- N engine speed, rpm
- *P* power absorbed by propeller, hp
  - root mean square of oscillating pressure, lb/sq ft or decibels, as indicated
- $p_{\infty}$  static pressure, lb/sq ft
- R propeller tip radius, ft
- radius to a blade element, ft
- T thrust of propeller, lb
  - free-air temperature, °F
  - airspeed, ft/sec

<sup>†</sup> Supersedes NACA Technical Note 3417 by Arthur W. Vogeley and Max C. Kurbjun, 1955, and NACA Technical Note 4068 by Max C. Kurbjun, 1957.

- x longitudinal position of microphone, measured positive forward of propeller disk, ft
- y radial position of microphone, measured from propeller center, ft
  - section blade angle, deg

## TEST EQUIPMENT

The airplane available for this investigation was a singleplace fighter type equipped with a liquid-cooled inline engine. The engine was equipped with individual jet-ejector exhaust stacks.

Two types of propellers, differing principally in blade plan forms, were used in this investigation. The difference in the propeller-blade shapes is shown in the photographs of the two propellers mounted on the airplane (fig. 1). Figure 1 (a) shows the tapered blade and figure 1 (b), the rectangular blade. The characteristics of the two blade designs are shown in figures 2 (a) and 2 (b), respectively. Both propellers had a diameter of 11 feet 2 inches and were driven through a reduction gear providing a ratio of engine speed to propeller speed of 0.479.

The oscillating pressure pickup used was a commercial condenser-type microphone modified to operate under the rapidly varying static pressures encountered in the tests. A frequency-modulation system was used to transmit the pressure signals to a ground-located station where the signals were recorded with a magnetic-tape recorder. A complete description of the pickup, transmitter, receiver, and analyzer equipment is contained in reference 5.

The microphone was installed in a boom mounted in the center gunport of the right wing. This location placed the microphone at a radial distance of 7.31 feet from the propeller axis. The boom was constructed in such a manner that the microphone could be shifted forward and backward through a distance of approximately 4 feet before each flight. Figures 1 (a), 1 (b), and 3 show the microphone-boom installation.

Before the start of the flight-test program, the boom was tested in a wind tunnel to check for background noise over the anticipated flight speed range. It was found that the self-generated overall noise level of the microphone in the band width 80 to 1,000 cps was below 113 decibels. This level of self-generated random noise is considered acceptable in the measurement of sound-pressure levels as low as 100 decibels for discrete frequencies. The response of the system used was flat within  $\pm 1$  decibel between 80 to 1,000 cps.

Standard NACA recording instruments were used to record dynamic pressure, altitude, free-air temperature, engine speed, and manifold pressure.

#### TEST PROCEDURE

All static ground tests and flight tests were made with the microphone located at a fixed radial distance of y=0.655D. Tests were made at three values of longitudinal distance x=-0.125D, 0, and 0.125D. Flight tests were arranged to investigate the effects of flight Mach number, engine speed, and engine power on propeller noise, as follows:

(1) Flight Mach number: At engine speeds of approximately 2,700 rpm with the manifold pressure adjusted to produce a power output of approximately 1,000 horsepower, flight tests were made on both propellers at flight Mach





(a) Tapered-blade plan form.(b) Rectangular-blade plan form.

FIGURE 1.—Front view of the microphone installation showing the propeller-blade shape.

numbers from approximately 0.2 to 0.7 by varying the flight attitude. Static ground tests were also made at the same power and engine speed setting.

(2) Engine speed (rotational Mach number): At a flight Mach number of approximately 0.5 and engine output of approximately 1,000 horsepower, tests were made with the

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

B

### MEASURED OSCILLATING PRESSURES NEAR PROPELLERS IN FLIGHT



tapered-blade propeller at engine speeds of approximately 2,500, 2,600, 2,700, 2,800, 2,900, and 3,000 rpm.

(3) Engine power: At a flight Mach number of approximately 0.5 and engine speeds at approximately 2,700 rpm, tests were made with the tapered-blade propeller at engine powers of approximately 0, 500, 1,000, and 1,500 horsepower.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because it was necessary to make separate flights for each propeller and for each boom setting, it was impossible to repeat the test conditions exactly. All test conditions are given in tables I and II for the tapered and rectangular blades, respectively. In the discussion to follow, the small differences in test conditions are disregarded, and the data are compared and examined in only a general manner.

The effects of propeller-blade plan form are shown in a series of figures comparing the noise emitted from the two propellers tested with changes in operating parameters of flight Mach number, engine speed (rotational Mach number), and power. Correlation of theory with measured results follows the discussions of changes in the operating parameters.







FIGURE 3.—Three microphone locations used on the test airplane during the investigation. y=0.655D for all positions.

Test conditions												Sound-pressure level, "db (Reference pressure level, 0.0002 dynes/cm <sup>2</sup> )										
x	T, lb	V, ft/sec	<i>n</i>	te	Р.	N		$M_R$	$M_t$	Blade- passage fre- quency, cps	Order of harmonics											
			$\frac{p_{\infty}}{\frac{1}{2}}$ , ft	°F	hp	rpm	$M_{\infty}$				1st	2d	3d	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th		
									(	Ground tes	sts											
$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 125D \\ .\ 104D \\ .\ 089D \\ .\ 075D \\ .\ 060D \\ .\ 045D \\ .\ 030D \\ .\ 015D \\ -\ 030D \\ -\ 045D \\ -\ 030D \\ -\ 045D \\ -\ 045D \\ -\ 060D \\ -\ 075D \\ -\ 089D \\ -\ 104D \\ -\ 125D \\ .\ 125D \\ .\ 125D \\ .\ 125D \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\ .\ 125D \\ -\ .\ .\ 125D \ -\ .\ .\ .\ .\ 125D \ -\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\ .\$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 2,800\\ 1,490\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,490\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,490\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\ 1,850\\ 2,500\\ 3,100\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\begin{array}{c} 2,  110\\ 2,  10\\ 2,$		$\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0\$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 693\\ 2,\ 681\\ 2,\ 6$		$ \begin{array}{c} 0. \ 66 \\ . \$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.\ 66\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 0\\ 86.\ 0\\ 86.\ 0\\ 85.\ 6\\ 85.\ 6\\ 86.\ 0\\ 86.\ 0\\ 86.\ 0\\ 86.\ 0\\ 85.\ 6\\ 85.\ 8\\ 88.\ 4\\ 88.\ 8\\$	$\left.\begin{array}{c} (a) \\ 128.\ 6\\ 128.\ 9\\ 128.\ 7\\ 127.\ 2\\ 127.\ 5\\ 127.\ 1\\ 131.\ 9\\ 126.\ 7\\ 126.\ 5\\ 126.\ 7\\ 126.\ 8\\ 126.\ 5\\ 126.\ 1\\ 126.\ 1\\ 126.\ 1\\ 128.\ 0\\ 128.\ 6\\ 130.\ 5\\ 122.\ 1\\ 125.\ 0\\ 127.\ 8\\ 129.\ 9\\ 119.\ 9\\ 123.\ 5\\ 127.\ 2\\ 129.\ 9\end{array}\right.$			<ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>110. 0</li> <li>112. 7</li> <li>112. 9</li> <li>111. 3</li> <li>111. 3</li> <li>114. 5</li> <li>109. 9</li> <li>109. 2</li> <li>109. 5</li> <li>108. 5</li> </ul> 110. 0 115. 0 108. 0 112. 0 115. 3 104. 7 109. 0 113. 5	(*) 109. 3 109. 9 108. 0 110. 5 113. 5 104. 5	(*) 108. 7 109. 7	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)		

# TABLE I.—RESULTS WITH TAPERED-BLADE PLAN-FORM PROPELLERS

[y = 0.655D]

<sup>a</sup> Lost due to infiltration of extraneous noise at receiving station.

4

REPORT 1377-NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

## TABLE I.-RESULTS WITH TAPERED-BLADE PLAN-FORM PROPELLERS-Concluded

[y=0.655D]

Test conditions											Sound-pressure level, db (Reference pressure level, 0.0002 dynes/cm <sup>2</sup> )									
		V,	$p_{\infty},$	t∞,	Р,	N,				Blade- passage		Order of harmonics								
x	<i>T</i> , lb	ft/sec	lb/sq ft	°F	hp	rpm	${ m M}_\infty$	$M_R$	M <sub>i</sub>	fre- quency, cps	1 st	2d	3d	4th	5th	$6 \mathrm{th}$	$7 \mathrm{th}$	8th	9th	10th
Flight test											ts									
$\begin{array}{c} -0.\ 125D \\\ 125D \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,040\\ 1,275\\ 1,240\\ 908\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\\ 914\end{array}$	$235 \\ 375 \\ 385 \\ 524 \\ 530 $	$965 \\ 975 \\ 945 \\ 988 \\ 970 \\ 955 \\ 948 \\ 948 \\ 925 \\ 948 \\ 948 \\ 948 \\ 945 \\ 948 \\ 945 $	$13 \\ 15 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14 \\ 14$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,030\\ 1,030\\ 1,030\\ 1,030\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\end{array}$	2, 699 2, 687 2, 699 2, 490 2, 584 2, 687 2, 787 2, 787	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 22 \\ . \ 35 \\ . \ 36 \\ . \ 49 \\ . \ 50 \\ . \ 50 \\ . \ 50 \\ . \ 50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 71 \\ . \ 71 \\ . \ 71 \\ . \ 65 \\ . \ 68 \\ . \ 71 \\ . \ 73 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 74 \\ .\ 79 \\ .\ 79 \\ .\ 82 \\ .\ 84 \\ .\ 86 \\ .\ 88 \\ .\ 88 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 2\\ 85.\ 8\\ 86.\ 2\\ 79.\ 5\\ 82.\ 5\\ 85.\ 8\\ 89.\ 0\\ 99.\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 123.\ 5\\ 118.\ 3\\ 120.\ 7\\ 118.\ 3\\ 117.\ 7\\ 117.\ 2\\ 117.\ 9\\ 118.\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117.\ 5\\ 111.\ 7\\ 113.\ 7\\ 113.\ 5\\ 112.\ 7\\ 114.\ 2\\ 115.\ 3\\ 116.\ 0\end{array}$	$112. 0 \\ 107. 5 \\ 108. 2 \\ 110. 1 \\ 111. 5 \\ 113. 7 \\ 1$	107. 8107. 0109. 3109. 7113. 5116. 2	104. 0 102. 0 105. 5 106. 5	106. 0				
$\begin{array}{c}\ 125D\\\ 125D\end{array}$	$924 \\ 924 \\ 0 \\ 545 \\ 800 \\ 1, 280 \\ 743 \\ 575 \\ 568 \\ 698 \\ $	524 524 526 526 526 526 632 775 785 785	$\begin{array}{c} 935\\ 935\\ 1,005\\ 1,014\\ 1,000\\ 990\\ 970\\ 1,040\\ 1,010\\ 960\\ \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       14 \\       13 \\       17 \\       16 \\       15 \\       15 \\       20 \\       20 \\       26 \\       \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 0\\ 600\\ 900\\ 1,400\\ 1,040\\ 1,040\\ 1,040\\ 1,280\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,847\\ 2,951\\ 2,677\\ 2,687\\ 2,690\\ 2,701\\ 2,697\\ 2,672\\ 2,682\\ 2,926\end{array}$	. 49     . 49     . 49     . 49     . 49     . 49     . 49     . 49     . 59     . 72     . 72     . 72	$     \begin{array}{r}       .74 \\       .74 \\       .70 \\       .70 \\       .70 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .74 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .70 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .71 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .77 \\       .75 \\      .$	. 89     . 89     . 89     . 85     . 86     . 86     . 92     1. 00     1. 00     1. 05	$\begin{array}{c} 90. \ 9\\ 94. \ 3\\ 85. \ 5\\ 85. \ 8\\ 85. \ 9\\ 86. \ 3\\ 86. \ 1\\ 85. \ 3\\ 85. \ 6\\ 93. \ 5\end{array}$	118. 0 119. 0 118. 1 119. 9 119. 0 132. 1 132. 7 133. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 116. \ 0\\ 118. \ 2\\ 114. \ 9\\ 112. \ 4\\ 111. \ 5\\ 115. \ 7\\ 116. \ 7\\ 134. \ 0\\ 135. \ 5\\ 136. \ 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117. \ 0\\ 119. \ 5\\ 111. \ 8\\ 110. \ 9\\ 109. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 115. \ 1\\ 133. \ 2\\ 134. \ 5\\ 136. \ 7 \end{array}$	110. 2 118. 8 108. 3 108. 8 108. 5 110. 5 113. 0 132. 0 132. 8 134. 5	$\begin{array}{c} 113. \ 0\\ 116. \ 7\\ 105. \ 9\\ 110. \ 5\\ 106. \ 5\\ 110. \ 0\\ 129. \ 7\\ 130. \ 0\\ 133. \ 5\\ \end{array}$	114. 9 105. 3 108. 5 127. 2 127. 3 127. 8	111. 9 106. 0 124. 0 124. 0 123. 8	109. 5 121. 0 121. 2	108. 0 120. 5 118. 0	117. 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 2,050\\ 2,030\\ 1,100\\ 908\\ 915\\ 910\\ 915\\ 920\\ 945\\ 0\\ 540\\ 870\\ 723\\ 652\\ 808 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 231\\ 233\\ 349\\ 516\\ 521\\ 525\\ 522\\ 507\\ 528\\ 532\\ 525\\ 650\\ 702\\ 707\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 938\\ 980\\ 970\\ 940\\ 920\\ 900\\ 880\\ 860\\ 950\\ 1,010\\ 995\\ 1,040\\ 850\\ 860\end{array}$	$-1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -4 \\ -6 \\ -8 \\ 3 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 12 \\ -5 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 020\\ 1,\ 020\\ 1,\ 020\\ 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 050\\ 1,\ 050\\ 1,\ 050\\ 0\\ 600\\ 1,\ 000\\ 1,\ 040\\ 1,\ 040\\ 1,\ 300\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,677\\ 2,677\\ 2,677\\ 2,493\\ 2,577\\ 2,681\\ 2,778\\ 2,878\\ 2,940\\ 2,712\\ 2,706\\ 2,702\\ 2,702\\ 2,702\\ 2,702\\ 2,928 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} . \ 22\\ . \ 22\\ . \ 33\\ . \ 49\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 50\\ . \ 61\\ . \ 67\\ . \ 67\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .71\\ .71\\ .66\\ .68\\ .71\\ .74\\ .77\\ .79\\ .72\\ .71\\ .71\\ .71\\ .72\\ .78\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .75\\ .74\\ .78\\ .82\\ .84\\ .87\\ .93\\ .91\\ .93\\ .88\\ .87\\ .94\\ .99\\ 1.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 85.5\\ 85.5\\ 85.5\\ 79.6\\ 82.3\\ 85.6\\ 88.7\\ 91.9\\ 93.9\\ 86.6\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 86.3\\ 85.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 124. \ 8\\ 123. \ 8\\ 123. \ 6\\ 121. \ 6\\ 122. \ 5\\ 123. \ 3\\ 124. \ 3\\ 125. \ 4\\ 125. \ 9\\ 120. \ 3\\ 120. \ 7\\ 121. \ 6\\ 126. \ 9\\ 131. \ 2\\ 134. \ 8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 121. \ 1\\ 119. \ 6\\ 119. \ 5\\ 118. \ 3\\ 120. \ 1\\ 121. \ 5\\ 123. \ 3\\ 126. \ 3\\ 127. \ 6\\ 120. \ 9\\ 120. \ 5\\ 120. \ 6\\ 127. \ 7\\ 134. \ 4\\ 139. \ 5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 117. \ 6\\ 115. \ 7\\ 116. \ 1\\ 114. \ 9\\ 117. \ 3\\ 120. \ 1\\ 122. \ 5\\ 126. \ 3\\ 128. \ 3\\ 119. \ 3\\ 119. \ 3\\ 119. \ 3\\ 119. \ 3\\ 127. \ 7\\ 135. \ 2\\ 140. \ 3\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 113.\ 8\\ 110.\ 0\\ 112.\ 8\\ 111.\ 9\\ 114.\ 6\\ 118.\ 2\\ 121.\ 3\\ 126.\ 2\\ 128.\ 1\\ 117.\ 3\\ 117.\ 0\\ 116.\ 8\\ 126.\ 8\\ 134.\ 1\\ 138.\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 109.\ 5\\ 108.\ 2\\ 109.\ 0\\ 109.\ 7\\ 110.\ 3\\ 115.\ 0\\ 119.\ 1\\ 125.\ 7\\ 127.\ 2\\ 114.\ 7\\ 113.\ 6\\ 114.\ 1\\ 125.\ 4\\ 131.\ 2\\ 131.\ 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 106.\ 3\\ 106.\ 4\\ \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{c} 108.\ 7\\ 112.\ 5\\ 116.\ 9\\ 124.\ 4\\ 125.\ 0\\ 111.\ 5\\ 116.\ 8\\ 111.\ 4\\ 123.\ 0\\ 127.\ 0\\ 120.\ 0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102. \ 0\\ 104. \ 0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 106. \ 0\\ 110. \ 5\\ 119. \ 9\\ 120. \ 7\\ 105. \ 0\\ 105. \ 5\\ 117. \ 9\\ 119. \ 8\\ 125. \ 9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 108. \ 0\\ 117. \ 3\\ 117. \ 5\\ 103. \ 0\\ 100. \ 0\\ 114. \ 9\\ 119. \ 8\\ 123. \ 4 \end{array}$	105. 0 114. 0 113. 0 118. 8 119. 0
$\begin{array}{c} .\ 125D\\ .\ 12$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,170\\ 1,295\\ 900\\ 912\\ 920\\ 910\\ 912\\ 878\\ 0\\ 490\\ 775\\ 730\\ 644\\ 643\\ 800\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 222\\ 370\\ 528\\ 528\\ 528\\ 532\\ 527\\ 520\\ 517\\ 537\\ 532\\ 640\\ 704\\ 698\\ 698\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 965\\ 960\\ 970\\ 955\\ 940\\ 928\\ 915\\ 960\\ 1,010\\ 1,025\\ 1,045\\ 900\\ 950\\ 950\end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -3 & 3 & 7 & 9 & 12 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & $	$\begin{array}{c} 1,030\\ 1,030\\ 1,000\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 1,050\\ 0\\ 550\\ 900\\ 1,040\\ 1,030\\ 1,020\\ 1,270\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,702\\ 2,702\\ 2,493\\ 2,587\\ 2,690\\ 2,778\\ 2,881\\ 2,953\\ 2,677\\ 2,671\\ 2,728\\ 2,703\\ 2,652\\ 2,702\\ 2,953\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21\\ 35\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 50\\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} .72\\ .72\\ .66\\ .69\\ .71\\ .73\\ .77\\ .79\\ .71\\ .70\\ .72\\ .71\\ .71\\ .71\\ .71\\ .78\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} .75\\ .80\\ .83\\ .85\\ .92\\ .92\\ .92\\ .93\\ .86\\ .87\\ .87\\ .94\\ .97\\ .97\\ 1.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 3\\ 86.\ 3\\ 79.\ 6\\ 82.\ 6\\ 85.\ 9\\ 92.\ 0\\ 94.\ 3\\ 85.\ 5\\ 85.\ 3\\ 87.\ 1\\ 86.\ 3\\ 84.\ 7\\ 86.\ 3\\ 94.\ 3\\ 94.\ 3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125.\ 7\\ 124.\ 7\\ 123.\ 5\\ 124.\ 3\\ 125.\ 9\\ 126.\ 6\\ 127.\ 3\\ 119.\ 5\\ 122.\ 2\\ 123.\ 4\\ 128.\ 2\\ 131.\ 3\\ 131.\ 0\\ 135.\ 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 121.\ 3\\ 120.\ 7\\ 119.\ 4\\ 118.\ 1\\ 122.\ 5\\ 123.\ 7\\ 124.\ 0\\ 127.\ 5\\ 119.\ 3\\ 120.\ 2\\ 120.\ 7\\ 126.\ 3\\ 133.\ 0\\ 132.\ 4\\ 138.\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115. \ 8\\ 116. \ 9\\ 114. \ 6\\ 116. \ 7\\ 119. \ 3\\ 121. \ 5\\ 122. \ 8\\ 127. \ 0\\ 116. \ 7\\ 117. \ 6\\ 117. \ 5\\ 125. \ 5\\ 132. \ 0\\ 131. \ 6\\ 136. \ 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 112.\ 7\\ 112.\ 7\\ 109.\ 1\\ 112.\ 9\\ 115.\ 0\\ 118.\ 8\\ 120.\ 1\\ 125.\ 8\\ 112.\ 9\\ 113.\ 6\\ 113.\ 5\\ 122.\ 9\\ 129.\ 7\\ 129.\ 5\\ 132.\ 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 108. \ 2\\ 110. \ 9\\ 104. \ 5\\ 108. \ 0\\ 111. \ 5\\ 115. \ 6\\ 117. \ 0\\ 123. \ 7\\ 109. \ 7\\ 109. \ 8\\ 109. \ 9\\ 119. \ 7\\ 125. \ 6\\ 125. \ 8\\ 124. \ 6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102.\ 5\\ 103.\ 5\\ 105.\ 3\\ 105.\ 0\\ 110.\ 9\\ 113.\ 7\\ 120.\ 7\\ 107.\ 3\\ 106.\ 0\\ 105.\ 6\\ 116.\ 2\\ 126.\ 9\\ 120.\ 9\\ 125.\ 5\\ \end{array}$	102. 5 $107. 9$ $111. 0$ $117. 5$ $103. 5$ $103. 5$ $111. 7$ $119. 3$ $118. 2$ $125. 8$	104. 0 108. 0 114. 5 107. 0 118. 3 119. 2 122. 5	102. 5106. 0111. 5116. 5115. 5119. 4	110. 0 114. 5 113. 5 117. 5

50

Test conditions											Sound-pressure level, db (Reference pressure level, $0.0002 \text{ dynes/cm}^2$ )										
	T, lb	V, ft/sec	m	+	P	N	$M_{\infty}$	$M_R$	$M_t$	Blade- passage fre- quency, cps	Order of harmonics										
x			$\frac{p_{\infty}}{1b/sq}$ ft	°F	hp	rpm					1st	2d	3d	4th	5th	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	
						2			Gr	ound tests	3										
$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 125D \\ 0 \\ \ 125D \end{array}$	2, 800 2, 800 2, 800	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array}$		69 69 69	$\begin{array}{c} 1,030\\ 1,030\\ 1,030\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,705\\ 2,700\\ 2,700\\ 2,700\end{array}$	0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 67 \\ . \ 67 \\ . \ 67 \end{array}$	${\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 67\\.\ 67\\.\ 67\end{array}}$	86. 4 86. 2 86. 2	$\begin{array}{c} 131.\ 5\\ 129.\ 5\\ 129.\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125.\ 5\\ 123.\ 0\\ 120.\ 0 \end{array}$	118. 0 118. 5 119. 0	111. 0 113. 0 108. 0	112. 0						
Flight tests																					
$\begin{array}{c} -0.\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\\ 125D\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,160\\ 1,340\\ 915\\ 942\\ 946\\ 960\\ 963\\ 756\\ 583\\ 738\\ 1,830\\ 1,310\\ 955\\ 1,350\\ 774\\ 574\\ 710\\ 2,180\\ 1,300\\ 1,300\\ 762\\ 606\\ 890\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 222\\ 359\\ 520\\ 513\\ 522\\ 515\\ 516\\ 628\\ 757\\ 747\\ 270\\ 374\\ 523\\ 524\\ 633\\ 767\\ 768\\ 226\\ 369\\ 531\\ 517\\ 629\\ 761\\ 517\\ 629\\ 764\\ 44\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 960\\ 962\\ 947\\ 969\\ 961\\ 966\\ 980\\ 1,075\\ 1,040\\ 947\\ 943\\ 951\\ 955\\ 983\\ 1,000\\ 1,030\\ 952\\ 943\\ 955\\ 983\\ 1,000\\ 1,030\\ 952\\ 968\\ 966\\ 985\\ 940\\ 940\\ 940\\ \end{array}$	50 - 77 - 111 - 55 - 66 - 66 - 66 - 00 - 39 - 99 - 100 - 101 - 113 - 118 - 44 - 35 - 57 - 76 - 65 - 77 - 75 - 77 - 75 -	$\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 040\\ 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 050\\ 1,\ 050\\ 1,\ 060\\ 1,\ 075\\ 1,\ 060\\ 1,\ 075\\ 1,\ 060\\ 1,\ 030\\ 1,\ 280\\ 1,\ 048\\ 1,\ 048\\ 1,\ 048\\ 1,\ 048\\ 1,\ 048\\ 1,\ 080\\ 1,\ 530\\ 1,\ 035\\ 1,\ 070\\ 1,\ 530\\ 1,\ 060\\ 1,\ 080\\ 1,\ 0$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,\ 697\\ 2,\ 715\\ 2,\ 497\\ 2,\ 597\\ 2,\ 597\\ 2,\ 597\\ 2,\ 712\\ 2,\ 893\\ 2,\ 940\\ 2,\ 712\\ 2,\ 730\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 710\\ 2,\ 705\\ 2,\ 945\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 695\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 695\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 695\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 695\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 695\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 685\\ 2,\ 910\\ 2,\ 8$	$\begin{array}{c} 0,\ 21\\ &\ 34\\ &\ 50\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 60\\ &\ 72\\ &\ 71\\ &\ 25\\ &\ 35\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 49\\ &\ 59\\ &\ 72\\ &\ 211\\ &\ 35\\ &\ 59\\ &\ 59\\ &\ 72\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 72 \\ . \ 73 \\ . \ 77 \\ . \ 70 \\ . \ 72 \\ . \ 75 \\ . \ 78 \\ . \ 72 \\ . \ 71 \\ . \ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 75 \\ .\ 80 \\ .\ 84 \\ .\ 86 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 94 \\ 1.\ 02 \\ 1.\ 07 \\ .\ 76 \\ .\ 80 \\ .\ 87 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 91 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 74 \\ .\ 78 \\ .\ 87 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ 1.\ 01 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ 1.\ 01 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ 1.\ 01 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ 1.\ 01 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ .\ 92 \\ 1.\ 01 \\ 1.\ 04 \\ .\ 92 \\ .$	$\begin{array}{c} 86.\ 2\\ 86.\ 7\\ 79.\ 9\\ 86.\ 6\\ 92.\ 3\\ 94.\ 0\\ 86.\ 6\\ 87.\ 2\\ 93.\ 0\\ 86.\ 6\\ 886.\ 4\\ 94.\ 0\\ 86.\ 2\\ 85.\ 9\\ 86.\ 2\\ 85.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 285.\ 0\\ 85.\ 0\\ 202 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125. \ 0\\ 123. \ 5\\ 120. \ 5\\ 120. \ 5\\ 121. \ 5\\ 122. \ 5\\ 122. \ 0\\ 124. \ 5\\ 135. \ 0\\ 137. \ 0\\ 129. \ 5\\ 128. \ 0\\ 126. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 129. \ 5\\ 143. \ 5\\ 129. \ 5\\ 143. \ 5\\ 129. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 128. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 130. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 130. \ 5\\ 138. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 130. \ 5\\ 138. \ 5\\ 131. \ 0\\ 130. \ 5\\ 138. \ 5\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 119, 0\\ 117, 8\\ 115, 6\\ 116, 0\\ 116, 5\\ 118, 5\\ 120, 0\\ 121, 5\\ 136, 5\\ 139, 0\\ 122, 5\\ 130, 0\\ 124, 0\\ 124, 0\\ 124, 0\\ 124, 0\\ 124, 5\\ 130, 0\\ 142, 0\\ 146, 0\\ 124, 5\\ 123, 5\\ 130, 5\\ 129, 5\\ 130, 5\\ 129, 5\\ 139, 5\\ 143, 5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 113.\ 5\\ 112.\ 5\\ 109.\ 5\\ 112.\ 0\\ 112.\ 5\\ 116.\ 0\\ 118.\ 0\\ 134.\ 0\\ 134.\ 0\\ 137.\ 0\\ 120.\ 0\\ 119.\ 5\\ 120.\ 5\\ 128.\ 0\\ 120.\ 5\\ 128.\ 0\\ 120.\ 0\\ 141.\ 5\\ 142.\ 0\\ 120.\ 0\\ 119.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 0\\ 129.\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ 5\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 109. \ 0\\ 109. \ 5\\ 107. \ 5\\ 109. \ 5\\ 109. \ 5\\ 109. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 112. \ 5\\ 113. \ 0\\ 113. \ 0\\ 113. \ 5\\ 114. \ 5\\ 115. \ 5\\ 125. \ 0\\ 129. \ 5\\ 115. \ 0\\ 113. \ 5\\ 115. \ 5\\ 115. \ 0\\ 113. \ 5\\ 115. \ 5\\ 115. \ 0\\ 113. \ 5\\ 115. \ 5\\ 115. \ 0\\ 113. \ 5\\ 115. \ 5\\ 126. \ 5\\ 123. \ 5\\ 124. \ 5\\$	107. 0 $107. 5$ $110. 4$ $126. 0$ $126. 5$ $111. 0$ $121. 5$ $117. 5$ $131. 0$ $128. 5$ $114. 0$ $123. 0$ $119. 5$ $126. 0$ $129. 0$	$104.5 \\ 106.5 \\ 121.5 \\ 117.0 \\ 112.0 \\ 124.5 \\ 129.5 \\ 119.5 \\ 115.0 \\ 126.5 \\ 121.0 \\ 126.5 \\ 121.0 \\ 120.5 \\ 121.0 \\ 120.5 \\ 121.0 \\ 120.5 \\ 120.$	$ \begin{array}{c} 113. \ 0\\107. \ 5\\128. \ 5\\123. \ 0\\114. \ 5\\122. \ 0\\128. \ 0\\\end{array} $	127. 0 120. 5 122. 5	123. 5 119. 0	121. 5	

## TABLE II.—RESULTS WITH RECTANGULAR-BLADE PLAN-FORM PROPELLERS

[y = 0.655D]

REPORT 1377-NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

#### EFFECTS OF FLIGHT MACH NUMBER

The effects of flight Mach number at the three axial microphone locations are shown in figure 4. A trend is shown for the lower blade-passage harmonics of both blade designs to decrease slowly in sound-pressure level as the flight Mach number increases to approximately 0.5 and to increase rapidly with further increase in flight Mach number. For the lower blade-passage harmonics the tapered blade shows a lower sound-pressure level than the rectangular blade.

The higher harmonics show a slight increase in soundpressure level for both blade designs up to  $M_{\infty} \approx 0.5$  with rapid increases for higher flight Mach numbers. Above  $M_{\infty} = 0.5$  the tapered-blade design shows a more rapid increase in sound-pressure level with Mach number than the rectangular-blade design. This trend, which is more pronounced for the higher harmonics, produces higher soundpressure levels in the higher harmonic range for the taperedblade design than for the rectangular-blade design.

#### EFFECTS OF ENGINE SPEED (ROTATIONAL MACH NUMBER)

The effects of changing the engine speed (rotational Mach number) on the sound-pressure levels at a constant forward Mach number and power are shown in figures 5 and 6 for the tapered- and rectangular-blade designs, respectively. The results for both blade designs show small increases in the oscillating pressures with rotational Mach number for the first harmonic, but the increase for the higher harmonics becomes increasingly greater.







FIGURE 5.—Variation of sound-pressure levels with engine speed for the tapered-blade propeller. Blade-passage harmonics are connected with dashed lines for identification purposes only.  $M_{\infty} \approx 0.5$ ;  $P \approx 1,000$  hp; y=0.655D.



FIGURE 6.—Variation of sound-pressure levels with engine speed for the rectangular-blade propeller. Blade-passage harmonics are connected with dashed lines for identification purposes only.  $M_{\infty} \approx 0.5$ ;  $P \approx 1,050$  hp; microphone location, x = -0.125D.

Figures 7 (a) and 7 (b) show that the effects of flight Mach number are similar to those of rotational Mach number. Data for figure 7 (a) were obtained at a flight Mach number of approximately 0.5 and an engine speed of 2,900 rpm. Data for figure 7 (b) were obtained at a flight Mach number







of approximately 0.6 and an engine speed of 2,700 rpm. The resultant tip Mach number for both conditions is approximately 0.95. The similarity of the two figures shows that in the range of the two conditions the effects of increase in flight Mach number are the same as increases in rotational speeds.

#### EFFECTS OF ENGINE POWER

The effects of engine power delivered to the taperedblade propeller on the noise emitted from the propeller are shown in figure 8 for the three axial microphone locations. Data of this type were not obtained for the rectangularblade propeller. The relatively small change in noise level with large changes in power displayed by the taperedblade propeller seems to indicate that the propeller is also producing thickness noise of at least the same order of magnitude as the loading noise.

The power delivered to the propeller is seen to affect the noise emitted by the order of 6 decibels. This order of magnitude is far less than would be expected from consideration of only the blade-loading noise as was done in reference



FIGURE 8.—Variation of sound-pressure levels with engine power for the tapered-blade propeller. Blade-passage harmonics are connected with dashed lines for identification purposes only.  $M_{\infty} \approx 0.5$ ;  $N \approx 2,700$  rpm; y = 0.655D.

4. However, calculations of thickness noise made in reference 6 show that the magnitude of the thickness noise, for the rectangular-blade propeller operating under the same flight conditions shown for figure 8, is within 6 decibels of the blade-loading noise.

It should be noted that the propellers used in the present investigation are designed for a flight Mach number of 0.5. At speeds above the design condition the outer portions of the blades tend to unload. Also, for a given horsepower input, the average thrust necessarily drops in proportion to the forward-speed increase. The combination of these two factors and the near location of the microphone to the tip would cause the results found in the present investigation to overemphasize the thickness noise in comparison with the loading noise at the higher speed conditions. This may be, in part, the reason that the results of the present investigation do not completely substantiate the theory of reference 4, as will be discussed subsequently.

#### CORRELATION WITH THEORY

Due to the nature and limitations of the present investigation, it was not possible to obtain a complete check of the theory of reference 4 for the effects of forward speed on the sound-pressure field around propellers. The results obtained allow a few broad generalizations to be made which are as follows:

(1) In agreement with the theoretical results of reference 4, the results of the present investigation, as shown in figure 4, show an initial gradual decrease in the oscillating pressures with a more rapid increase at flight Mach numbers above 0.5. This was also shown in the results of reference 7, which utilizes the theory of reference 4. When account is taken of the differences between the flight-test configuration and the configuration examined theoretically in references 4 and 7, the pressure levels and changes in level with Mach number are also in rather satisfactory agreement.

(2) In agreement with the theory of reference 4, the test results show that the level of the higher harmonics of the propeller noise increases at a higher rate than that of the lower harmonics with increase in flight Mach number. This trend is shown in figure 5 of reference 8. The calculations of reference 8 utilize the theory of reference 4.

(3) For the propeller studied in reference 4, the oscillating pressures in the plane of the propeller disk and ahead of the disk were found to be lower than those immediately behind the disk. This theoretical result is contrary to the results found in the present tests, as is shown in figures 5 to 8. This contradiction does not, however, invalidate the theory. Rather it indicates that other effects such as variation in torque and thrust distribution should be investigated. As noted in the previous section, the outer portion of the blades was operating under unloaded condition for forward Mach numbers above 0.5.

### CONCLUSIONS

As part of a brief flight program initiated to check the theory of Garrick and Watkins (NACA Rep. 1198), a brief set of measurements were made of the oscillating pressures in the vicinity of a blade of tapered plan form and a blade of rectangular plan form at flight Mach numbers up to 0.72. Measurements were made at a single radial station and at positions ahead of, in the plane of, and behind the propeller disk. The scope of the tests was found to be insufficient to obtain complete verification of the theory for the effect of forward speed on the sound-pressure field around propellers, but it was possible to substantiate the following two phenomena:

(a) The oscillating pressures near the tips of a propeller tend to decrease slowly with increase in flight Mach number up to a Mach number of approximately 0.5 and then to increase rather rapidly at higher Mach numbers.

(b) The sound-pressure levels of the higher harmonics of the propeller noise increase at a higher rate with increase in flight Mach number than do the lower propeller harmonics.

In contradiction to the results found for the propeller studied in NACA Rep. 1198, the oscillating pressures in the plane of and ahead of the propellers of the present investigation were found to be higher than those immediately behind the propeller. Factors such as variations in torque and thrust distributions, since the blades in the present investigation were operating above their design forward speed, may account for this contradiction.

The effect of blade plan form shows that a tapered-blade plan-form propeller will produce lower sound-pressure levels than a rectangular-blade plan-form propeller for the low blade-passage harmonics (the frequencies where structural considerations are important) and will produce higher soundpressure levels for the higher blade-passage harmonics (frequencies where passenger comfort is important).

LANGLEY AERONAUTICAL LABORATORY,

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS, LANGLEY FIELD, VA., July 1, 1958.

### REFERENCES

- Gutin, L.: On the Sound Field of a Rotating Propeller. NACA TM 1195, 1948.
- Hubbard, Harvey H., and Regier, Arthur A.: Free-Space Oscillating Pressures Near the Tips of Rotating Propellers. NACA Rep. 996, 1950. (Supersedes NACA TN 1870.)
- Vogeley, A. W.: Sound-Level Measurements of a Light Airplane Modified to Reduce Noise Reaching the Ground. NACA Rep. 926, 1949. (Supersedes NACA TN 1647.)
- Garrick, I. E., and Watkins, Charles E.: A Theoretical Study of the Effect of Forward Speed on the Free-Space Sound-Pressure Field Around Propellers. NACA Rep. 1198, 1954. (Supersedes NACA TN 3018.)
- Mace, William D., Haney, Francis J., and Brummer, Edmund A Instrumentation for Measurement of Free-Space Sound Pressures in the Immediate Vicinity of a Propeller in Flight. NACA TN 3534, 1956.
- Arnoldi, Robert A.: Near-Field Computations of Propeller Blade Thickness Noise. Rep. R-0896-2, United Aircraft Corp., Res. Dept., Aug. 30, 1956.
- Regier, Arthur A.: Why Do Airplanes Make Noise? SAE Preprint No. 284, SAE Nat. Aeronautic Meeting (New York), Apr. 12–15, 1954.
- Schmey, Joern, and Clark, W. H.: Turboprop Propeller Noise Needn't Be a Bugaboo. SAE Journal, vol. 62, no. 4, Apr. 1954, pp. 44–47.