brought to you by **CORE** 

# JUN 2 1947

RB No. L4C31

### NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

# WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED March 1944 as Restricted Bulletin L4C31

#### MAXIMUM LIFT COEFFICIENTS OF AIRPLANES

#### BASED ON SUM OF WING AND TAIL AREAS

By Herman O. Ankenbruck

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.

## WASHINGTON

LANGLEY MEMORIAL AERONAUTICA LABORATORY Langley Field, Va.

N A C A LIBRARY

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESTRICTED BULLETIN. No. 14031

MAXIMUM LIFT COEFFICIENTS OF AIRPLANES BASED ON SUM OF WING AND TAIL AREAS By Herman O. Ankenbruck

#### SUMMARY

Some designers of tailless airplanes believe that a fair comparison of the maximum lift coefficients of conventional and tailless airplanes can be made only if the lift coefficients are based on the sum of wing and tail areas. In the present paper, values of maximum lift coefficient based on three different areas have been computed from airplane stalling speeds in order to show representative values of maximum lift coefficient that are being reached by present-day conventional airplanes. The areas used were wing area alone, wing area plus horizontal-tail area, and wing area plus horizontal- and vertical-tail areas. The maximum lift coefficients were determined from the stalling speeds obtained for the gliding condition (power off, flaps up) and landing condition (power off, flaps down) of 10 airplanes in flight tests conducted by the NACA. The highest maximum lift coefficients based on wing area alone were 1.6 for the gliding condition and 2.4 for the landing condition, whereas the highest maximum lift coefficients based on wing area plus horizontal-tail area were 1.3 for the gliding condition and 1.9 for the landing condition.

#### INTRODUCTION

One of the principal arguments advanced against the tailless airplane is that its maximum lift coefficient is necessarily low compared with that of a conventional airplane. Some designers of tailless all-wing airplanes contend, however, that a fair comparison of the maximum lift coefficients of conventional and tailless airplanes cannot be made if the lift coefficients are computed on

#### NACA RB No. 14031

the basis of wing area alone. These designers point out that, inasmuch as the function of the tail surfaces is performed by a portion of the wing on a tailless airplane, the horizontal-tail area, and perhaps the verticaltail area, should be added to the wing area in calculating lift coefficients. This procedure, of course, causes a reduction in the computed maximum lift coefficient of the conventional airplane and thereby decreases its superiority over the tailless airplane in this respect.

In order to illustrate the effect of using wing area plus tail area in computing the values of maximum lift coefficient that are being reached by present-day airplanes, the maximum lift coefficients, as determined from the stalling speeds of 10 airplanes that have been tested in flight by the NACA, have been expressed in terms of three areas: (1) wing area alone, (2) wing area plus horizontal-tail area, and (3) wing area plus horizontaland vertical-tail areas. The results are given in the present report.

#### SYMBOLS

CLmax	maximum lift coefficient
W	gross weight of airplane, pounds
ρ	density of air at standard sea-level conditions (0.002378 slug-ft <sup>2</sup> )
Vs	correct indicated stalling speed, miles per hour
s <sub>w</sub>	wing area, square feet
SI	wing area used in computing maximum lift coeffi- cients, square feet
s <sub>h</sub>	horizontal-tail area, square feet
s <sub>v</sub>	vertical-tail area, square feet
b <sub>f</sub>	total flap span, feet
b <sub>w</sub>	total wing span, feet
с <sub>г</sub>	flap chord, feet

#### NACA RB No. 14031

#### cw wing chord, feet

 $\delta_{f_{max}}$  maximum flap deflection, degrees

#### SOURCE OF DATA

The maximum lift coefficients were computed from the correct indicated stalling speeds obtained in the gliding condition (power off, flaps up) and landing condition (power off, flaps down) in flight tests conducted by the NACA at Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory and Ames Aeronautical Laboratory. Military aircraft that ranged in size from single-engine fighters to four-engine bombers were tested. The dimensional characteristics of the airplanes are given in table I.

#### CALCULATIONS

The following formula was used for calculating the maximum lift coefficients;

$$C_{L_{max}} = \frac{W}{1.077 \rho s' V_s^2}$$

Values of S' used for each airplane were

$$S' = S_w$$
$$S' = S_w + S_h$$
$$S' = S_w + S_h + S_n$$

#### RESULTS

The maximum lift coefficients calculated by the three methods for each flight condition are given in table II. The maximum lift coefficients based on wing area alone ranged from 1.4 to 1.6 for the gliding

#### NACA RB NO. 14C31

condition and from 1.8 to 2.4 for the landing condition, whereas the values based on wing area plus horizontaltail area varied from 1.2 to 1.3 for the gliding condition and from 1.5 to 1.9 for the landing condition. The highest maximum lift coefficient for the landing condition (2.4) was obtained by an airplane with a fullspan slotted flap (airplane 8). The highest value for the landing condition reached by airplanes with partialspan flaps was 2.1 based on wing area alone, or 1.8 based on wing area plus horizontal-tail area.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va.

4

ş

#### TABLE I

·· · · · · ·

...... 

DIMENSIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AIRPLANES TESTED

**************************************	· · ·	· · · · ·			····		_	<del></del>	
Airplane	Туре	W (1b) (a)	Sw (aq ft) (b)	Sn (sq ft) (b)	8 <sub>v</sub> (sī īt)	Flap type	p <sup>r</sup>	0r 0w	ôf <sub>max</sub> (deg)
1	Four-engine bomber	49,000	2780	505	143	Split	0.51	0.15	60
2	Four-engine bomber	48,400	<b>142</b> 0	336	181	Split	.48	.17	45
3	Twin-engine bomber	15,000	545	125	65.5	Fowler	.49	• 33	<b>3</b> 9
L	Single-engine torpedo bomber	13,400	490	112	38.8	Split	. 58	. 20	45
5	Single-engine scout bomber	12,400	422	107	46	Split	.48	.23	60
. 6	Single-engine fighter	7,500	236	41	20	Plain	. 51	.22	50
7	Single-engine fighter	7,010	258	37	22	Split	8با.	. 18	80
8	Single-engine fighter	5,760	209	49	20	Slotted	.81	.25	40
9	Single-engine scout bomber	5,7 <b>70</b>	258	61	26	Split	. 50	.15	67
10	Single-engine trainer	4,900	254	50	18.5	Split	. 58	. 18	45

<sup>a</sup>Gross weight as tested. <sup>b</sup>Over-all area including area through fuselage.

#### TABLE II

Airplane	Gli	ding conditi (a)	Lon	Landing condition (b)			
	Calculation based on S <sub>W</sub>	Calculation based on S <sub>W</sub> + S <sub>h</sub>	Calculation based on S <sub>W</sub> + S <sub>h</sub> + S <sub>V</sub>	Calculation based on S <sub>W</sub>	Calculation based on $S_w + S_h$	Calculation based on $S_w + S_h + S_v$	
l	<b>1.</b> <i>l</i> į.	1.2	1.1	2.0	1.7	1.6	
2	1.5	1.2	1.1	1.9	1.5	1.4	
3	1.6	1.3	1.2	2.1	1.7	1.6	
<u>1</u>	1.6	1.3	1.2	2.1	1.7	1.6	
5				2.0	1.6	1.5	
6	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.9	1.6	1.5	
7	1.4	1.2 .	1.1	2.1	1.8	1.7	
8	1.6	1.3	1.2	2.4	1.9	1.8	
9				1.8	1.5	1.3	
10	1.6	1.3	1.3	2.0	1.7	1.6	

#### MAXIMUM LIFT COEFFICIENTS

<sup>a</sup>Flaps up, landing gear up, power off. <sup>b</sup>Flaps down, landing gear down, power off. MACA RB No. 14031

