ì

1

۰.

۰į

-1

÷



MRT 17 1241

ARR March 1942

Langley Field, Va.

· / · · · ·



3 1176 01354 3187

VIED-FUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF CONTROL-SUPFACE CHARACTERISTICS

V - THE USE OF A BEVELED TRAILING EDGE TO REDUCE

THE HINGE MOMENT OF A CONTROL SURFACE

By Robert T. Jones and Milton B. Ames, Jr.

SUMMARY

Wind-Sunnel tests have been made to investigate the possibility of reducing the hinge moments of a control surface by bevoling the trailing edge. The tests were made with a 9-percent-thick airfoil having a 30-percent-chord plain flap. A faired bevaled shape, 5 percent of the airfoil chord in width and having a thickness of 24 percent of the airfoil chord, was found to give approximately 50percent reduction in the hinge moment caused by a given deflection of the flap and 80-percent reduction in the hinge moment due to the angle of attack of this airfoil for a wide range of angles. A blunter beveled portion of the same thickness gave overbalance and reversal of the floating tendency over a small angular range. Elliptical trailing-edge shapes were also tried but were found to be somewhat less effective than the shapes ending in an acute angle. A semicircular trailing edge produced only a slight change in the hinge moments but caused a drag increment much greater than that of an efficient beveled shape.

INTRODUCTION

The hinge moments obtained in tests of sirfoils with plain flaps have often been observed to fall considerably below the values predicted by the potential-flow theory. It has also been noted that the hinge moments obtained in different tests show wider discrepancies than do other airfoil characteristics.

Several years ago the NACA had occasion to test a flap with a particularly thin, sharp trailing edge. In this case the hinge moments were higher than usual and agreed better with the theory. Thus, it appeared that the discrepancies in the hinge moments obtained in the usual tests might have been due to minor differences in the shapes of the trailing

1-444

edge. This phenomenon led to speculation concerning the nature of the flow near the trailing edge and the effect of small departures from the Kutta condition.

In the ideal flow, the Kutta condition requires that the air leaving the trailing edge maintain the direction of the mean cauber for a short distance downstream, The velocities on the upper and lower surfaces approach the same value with the result that the pressure difference or lift vanishes at the trailing edge. The curve marked "a" in figure 1 shows the lift distribution over an airfoil section with the flow conforming perfectly to this condition. The guiding action of a slightly blunt or beveled trailing edge will not be perfect, however, and in such a case a relatively great negative lift will be developed across the edge, as shown by curve "b" in figure 1. A deliberate thickening of the airfoil, designed to permit further deviation from the Kutta condition, might therefore lead to the type of pressure distribution represented by curve "b" in figure 1. It was thought that the affect might be used to provide aerodynamic balance for a control surface and in order to test this theory a series of wind-tunnel experiments was planned. These tests have recently been made and form the subject of the present paper.

TESIS

Apparatus and Models

In figure 3 are shown the shapes tested. These shapes are of two types - beveled and elliptical. In the case of the beveled flap, the point at which the beveling of the flap began was faired into an arc in order to allow smooth flow. The portion of the flap extending from the center of this arc to the trailing edge will be referred to hereinatter as the "bevel." Because the action of the blunt trailing edge is in some ways similar to that of an automatic balancing tab (see fig. 3), the beveled shapes were designed to approximate the outline of such tabs in the balancing position. The 20-percent bevel corresponds to a 20-percent cy tab deflected 10°. The elliptical shapes

are of somewhat similar proportions. A flap having a bulged portion near the hinge was also tried. With the exception of the bulged flap, all shapes tested were obtained by interchanging trailing-edge blocks having these shapes on a standard 2-foot-chord by 4-foot-span model of laminated mahogany. Table I gives the ordinates of the standard section (derived from the NACA 0009 airfoil by drawing straight lines from the 55-percent station back to the removable tail block). The dimensions of the removable tail portions are shown in figure 2 and the ordinates of the bulged flap are given in table II. As shown in figure 2, the flap was of the plain unbalanced type, 30 percent of the airfoil chord in width. The tests were made with the gap both sealed and open.

1-444

The procedure of the tests was similar to that followed in reference 1. They were made in the NACA 4-foot by 6-foot vertical tunnel, modified as described in reference 2. The lift, the drag, and the pitching moments were measured on a three-component balance. The hinge moments were measured electrically with a calibrated torque rod built into the model. The model extended completely across the closed test section of the tunnel, so that the flow was very nearly two-dimensional. The tests were made at a dynamic pressure of 15 pounds per square foot, corresponding to a velocity of about 76 miles per hour and a test Reynolds number of 1,430,000. The flap deflection was varied in 5° increments from 0° to 30°. In some cases check points at $\pm 2^{\circ}$ from neutral were obtained. Lift, drag, airfoil pitching moment, and flap hinge moments were measured throughout the angleof-attack range, from positive to negative stall of the airfoil, at 20 intervals of angle of attack.

Precision

The maximum error in the angle of attack or in flap setting appears to be about $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$. An experimentally determined correction has been applied to the lift but not to the hinge moments. The hinge moments are probably slightly higher than would be obtained in free air. It should be noted that the drag of the basic 0009 airfoil is somewhat higher than is obtained in other tests at the same Reynolds number.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Symbols

cl	airfoil	section	lift coefficient (l/qc)
cd _o	airfoil	section	profile-drag coefficient (d_0/q_c)
c _m	airfoil	section	pitching-moment coefficient (m/qc^2)

- 4
- ch flap section hinge-moment coefficient (h/qc_f)
- a angle of attack of infinite aspect ratio
- δ_{f} flap angle with respect to airfoll
- 2 airfoil section lift
- do airfoil section profile drag
- m airfoil section pitching moment about quarter-chord point of airfoil
- h flap section hinge moment
- c chord of airfoil with fleps neutral
- c_f flap chord
- cb cherd of beveled portion of flap

Section data are plotted in figure 4. Figures 5 and 6, cross-plotted from the section data, show typical variations of lift and hinge moment and illustrate the magnitude of the effect obtainable with a moderate and with an extremely blunt nevel. It will be noted that the reduction in hinge moment outweighs the loss in lift and also that the reduction in $\partial c_h / \partial z_0$ is greater than the reduction in $\partial c_h / \partial \delta_f$. The lift of the airfoil with the control free is therefore actually greater for the blunt trailing edge than for the plain flap. The results for the plain flap are taken from reference 1, part I.

The results given for the flap with beveled trailing edge are for the gap-sealed condition. The data obtained from the tests with the gap open are presented in reference 3.

Table III summarizes several important characteristics of the shapes tested. The values given in the table apply to a fairly wide angular range. An idea of the deviations from linearity may be obtained by inspection of figure 4.

The results show an interesting difference in the behavior of the elliptical and the beveled trailing edges. The bluntest elliptical shape, which was simply a circular

rounding, increased the floating tendency and the drag but had no appreciable effect on $\partial c_h / \partial \tilde{c}_f$ or $\partial c_1 / \partial \alpha_0$. this case the curvature of the surface is so great that the flow apparently reaves the airfoil as if the end had been cut off square. The increment of drag coefficient in this gase is approximately 0.0028. The moderately beveled or tapered shapes, the 0.20 cf and 0.15 cf bevels, on the other hand, showed less than 0.0004 increase in drag coefficient, indicating fairly complete closure of the flow behind the airfoil. This small drag increase, together with the regularity of the hinge-moment variation, indicates that the balancing action of the moderate shapes does not depend on a pronounced separation of flow but on more or less progressive changes in the boundary-layer thickness on the two sides of the bovol. As the angle of the bevel becomes steeper, the closure of the flow becomes less complete and the balancing action becomes more pronounced, though somewhat irregular, and may involve complete separation on one side or the other. The critical angle in the present tests was that of the 0.13 of bevel.

As will be noted in table III, the airfoil pitching moments follow the variation that might be expected from the hinge moments. In the most extreme case (0.10 cf bevel), the aerodynamic center was shifted 0.051c ahead of the cuarter-chord point.

From a practical standpoint the most interesting results are those obtained with the moderately beveled and elliptical shapes (0.15 cf to 0.20 cf bevels). Thus the 0.20 cf bevel shows nearly 50-percent reduction in $\partial c_h/\partial \delta_f$ and more than 50-percent reduction in $\partial c_h/\partial \alpha_0$, as compared with the plain flap. The drag increments are not so great as those obtained in comparable tests (reference 1) of the conventional inset-hinge balance with the medium or tapered nose but are greater than those obtained with the blunt nose balance. Inasmuch as the beveled trailing edge is effective in reducing the floating moment, the lift of the airfoil is greater with the control free than with the plain or the inset-hinge flap.

It is frequently found that full balance cannot be obtained in a satisfactory manner by the use of a single device; for example, a large degree of balance with the inset-hinge type of control surface requires such a long overhang that the permissible deflection of the flap is limited. The use of a large horn balance introduces structural difficulties. It is helpful, therefore, to

have available coveral independent means of reducing hinge nements. The boveled trailing edge should be especially useful in combination with other types of balance, because it involves no additional linkages. Also, it is occasionelly found desirable to increase the hinge moments slightly as a final adjustment during flight tests. Such an adjustment might be provided by the addition of a thin, sharp edge.

The present tests are too limited to furnish more than very general information on the effects of trailingedge shape. Thus, the variations with flap chord, Reynolds number, or airfoil section have not been explored. In any event, it is to be expected that the effect of trailing-edge shape will be greatly manified as the chord of the flap is reduced - a fact that makes it necessary to employ a certain amount of care in the construction of the trailing edge.

CONCLUSIONS

The bevelod trailing edge provides a convenient means of reducing the hinge moments of control surfaces. In the present tests, a mederate bevel on a 30-percent-chord flap preduced a 50-percent reduction in the hinge rement caused by a given diffection of the flap. This balancing effect extended over a wide angular range and showed a smooth variation with angle of attack and with flap deflection. The profile-drag coefficient showed an increase of 0.0004.

Overbalance and reversal of the floating tendency over a small argular range were obtained when rather blunt bevels were tested. The effect of trailing-edge shape is expected to be even more prenounced as the chord of the flap is reduced, indicating the necessity for careful construction of narrow flaps.

National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory Langley Field, Va.

REFERENCES

- Sears, Richard I.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. I - Effect of Gap on the Accodynamic Characteristics of an NACA 0000 Airfoil with a 30-Percent-Chord Plain Flap. NACA A.R.R., June 1941.
 - Sears, Richard I. and Hoggard, H. Page, Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. II - A Large Aerodynamic Eplance of Various Nose Shapes with a 30-Percent-Chord Flap on an NACA 0009 Airfoil. NACA A.R.R., Aug. 1941.
 - Ames, Milton B., Jr.; Wind-Tunnol Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. III - A Small Aerodynamic Balance of Various Nose Shapes with a ZO-Percent-Chord Flap on an NACA 0009 Airfeil. NACA A.R.R., Aug. 1941.
 - Ames, Milton B., Jr. and Eastman, Donald R. Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. IV - A Medium Aerodynamic Balance of Various Nose Shepes Used with a 30-Percent-Chord Flap on an NACA 0009 Airfoil. NACA A.R.R., Sept. 1941.
- 2. Ames, Milton B. Jr. and Sears, Richard I.: Pressure-Distribution Investigation of an N.A.C.A. COO9 Airfoil with a 30-Percent-Chord Plain Flap and Three Tabs. T. N. No. 759, NACA, 1940.
- 3. Sears, Richard I.: Wind-Tunnel Data on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of Airplane Control Surfaces. NACA ACR No. 3LO8, 1943.

CARLE I

t

٠

İ

CEDINATES OF MODIFIED WACA COO9 AIRFOIL

[Stations and ordinates in percent airfoil chord]

Straight portion							NLCA OCC9 Rirfoil section											
1	001	06	人 80	70	C EO	50	40	61 O	N 25	20	51 10	01	بر ح ت ت	0 ئ	សូ	1.25	0	Stations
	±1.08	±1.67	±2°.32	£3°2∓	±3。42	±3.97	14.35	±4.50	±4.46	H4, 70	±4,01	#3,51	+3.15	±2,67	96°T∓	25°1∓	0	Ordinates

L. E. radius: 0.89

٠,

1

-

٠

٠.

. . ω

TABLE II

CRDINATES OF BULGED FLAP

[Stations and ordinates in percent airfoil chord]



Stations (from hinge axis)	Ordinates				
0 1.94 4.83 7.85 10.90 15.25 19.70 25.15 30.00	$\begin{array}{c} \pm 2,96\\ \pm 3.38\\ \pm 3,62\\ \pm 3.43\\ \pm 3.03\\ \pm 2.37\\ \pm 1.69\\ \pm 1.02\\ \pm 0.10\end{array}$				

Modifications	$\left(\frac{\partial c_h}{\partial \delta_f}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial c_{i1}}{\partial \alpha_0} \end{array} \right)_{\delta_f}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_l}{\partial \alpha_0} \\ \frac{\partial c_l}{\partial \alpha_0} \\ (Control \\ fixed) \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_l}{\partial \alpha_0} \\ c_{h=0} \end{pmatrix} $ (Control free)	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \delta_1} \end{pmatrix}_{c_1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial c_m}{\partial \delta_l} \\ \frac{\partial \delta_l}{\partial \delta_l} \end{pmatrix}_{\delta_l}$	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \partial c_{\underline{m}} \\ \overline{\partial} \delta_{\underline{f}} \end{array} \right)_{c_{l}}$	^c d _{omin} (Uncor→ recied)
Flain	-0.012	-0.006	0.098	0.066	0.57	0.001	-0 -010	0.0096
0.20cf bevel	- . 007	- ,003	.092	.070	•56	•033	009	.0100
0,15cf bevcl	005	001	-091	-080	•56	.038	008	-0100
C.13cf bevel	003	-001	•090	.106	•54	.048	800	.0105
0,10cf bevel	•000	.002	.088	Un- stable	-50	.051	007	.0110
(C.20cf elliptical)	006	003	.091	. c68	•50	.036	008	.0105
0.10cf elliptical)	011	008	.099	.060	•54	.011	008	.0118
Semicircular	 012	009	-101	.079	•56	.002	010	.0124
Bulged	010	005	-095	.071	•50	.016	010	.0102

.

TABLE III .- SUMMARY OF CHARACTERISTICS OF TRAILING-EDGE SHOPES TESTED

Ы



Figure 1.- The effect of flow around the trailing edge on the lift distribution.

I - 464

NACA

۰,



2

<u>ر</u>۱

Flaps with elliptical trailing edges

. '

Flaps with beveled trailing edges

Figure 2.- Trailing-edge modifications. Dimensions are in percent of airfoil chord.

Fig. 2



Figure 3.- Flow around beveled trailing edge showing similarity to the effect of a balancing tab.

Fig. 3



· • <u>.</u>....

I. - 464



L. - 464







.



. L - 464

. .



."

. *

.

•

L.- 464





L.- 464

۰,

۰,

۰,



- "

*

. L - 464





Figure 5.- Typical variations of lift and hinge moment with angle of attack. $\delta_f = 0^\circ$.



Figure 6.- Typical variations of lift and hinge moment with flap deflection. $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$.

.

ι