https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19930092975 2020-06-17T02:05:23+00:00Z

ARR No. 3D16

To be returned to the files of the National Advisory Committee for Astronausca

Nashing



cop

CASE

FILE COPY

NO. 2-W

WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

April 1943 as Advance Restricted Report 3D16

AN INVESTIGATION OF AIRCRAFT HEATERS

XI - MEASURED AND PREDICTED PERFORMANCE OF A SLOTTED-FIN

EXHAUST GAS AND AIR HEAT EXCHANGER

By L. M. K. Boelter, M. A. Miller, W. H. Sharp, and E. H. Morrin University of California



NACA

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

ADVANCE RESTRICTED REPORT

AN INVESTIGATION OF AIRCRAFT HEATERS

XI - MEASURED AND PREDICTED PERFORMANCE OF A SLOTTED-FIN

EXHAUST GAS AND AIR HEAT EXCHANGER

By L. M. K. Boelter, M. A. Miller, W. H. Sharp, and E. H. Morrin

SUMMARY

Thermal and pressure drop performance data of a slotted-fin Stewart-Warner exhaust gas and ventilating air heat exchanger are presented. Measurements were made, using up to 7000 pounds per hour of exhaust gas and up to 5000 pounds per hour of ventilating air. The inlet exhaust gas temperature was maintained at about 1400° F; whereas that of the ventilating air was about 95° F.

Three different crossflow air shrouds were used in these tests. The effect of installing a "central core" in the exhaust gas side of the heater was determined. Isothermal and non-isothermal static pressure drop measurements were made on both the exhaust gas and ventilating air sides of the heater. Isothermal pressure drops across the inlet and outlet ducts of the air shrouds were measured. Temperatures of the heater surfaces at several points were also recorded.

Measured and predicted heat transfer rates and pressure drops are compared. The maximum measured rate of heat transfer was 216,000 Btu per hour. The maximum measured non-isothermal pressure drop on the ventilating air side, using a semi- or diagonal-crossflow air shroud was 16,5 inches of water; whereas that using a full crossflow shroud was 5.3 inches of water. The maximum measured pressure drop on the exhaust gas side of the heater was 9.8 inches of water before the central core was installed and 16.9 inches of water after the central core was in place. The maximum heater-surface temperature was 1120° F. approvements. Also apprendict solutions all the

INTRODUCTION

The Stewart-Warner heater was tested on the large test stand in the Mechanical Engineering Laboratories of the University of California. (See fig. 1, and description of test stand in reforence 1.)

These heaters are used in the exhaust gas streams of aircraft engines for cabin, wing, and tail-surface heating systems.

The following data were obtained:

1. Weight rates of exhaust gas and ventilating air through the two sides of the heat exchanger

2. Temperatures of exhaust gas and of ventilating air at entrance and exit of heat exchanger

3. Temperatures of the heater surfaces the second se

4. Static pressure drop measurements on the exhaust gas and ventilating air sides of the heater and ducts, under both isothermal and honisothermal flow conditions w w i

5. Isothermal static pressure drop measurements across the inlet and outlet air-shroud ducts alone

The measurements were made with three different ventilating air shrouds and also with and without a "central core" installed in the exhaust gas side of the heater.

SYMBOLS

A arca of heat transfer, ft² Aa cross-sectional area of one fin on the ventilating air side of the heater, ft⁸

cross-sectional area of one fin on the exhaust gas Ai side of the heater, ft²

Aspot-weld cross-sectional area of spot welds, ft² (See

appendix.)

Aua	unfinned base area on the ventilating air side of the heater, ft ²
Aug	unfinned base area on the exhaust gas side of the heater, ft ²
cpa	heat capacity of air at constant pressure, Btu/1b °F
cpg	heat capacity of exhaust gas at constant pressure, Btu/lb °F
D :	hydraulic diameter, ft
Da.	hydraulic diameter on ventilating air side, ft
Dg	hydraulic diameter on exhaust gas side, ft
fc	unit thermal convective conductance (average with length), Btu/hr ft ² °F
fca	unit thermal convective conductance for the ventilat- ing air (average with length), Btu/hr ft °F
fcg	unit thermal convective conductance for the exhaust gas (average with length), Btu/hr ft ^{2 o} F
eg.	gravitational force per unit of mass, lb/(lb sec ² /ft)
G	weight rate per unit of area, 1b/hr ft ²
Ga	weight rate per unit of area for ventilating air, lb/hr ft ²
Gg	weight rate per unit of area for exhaust gas, lb/hr ft ²
k	thermal conductivity of fin material, Btu/hr ft ² (°F/ft)
ks	thermal conductivity of Inconel heater shell, Btu/hr ft ² (°F/ft)
L	distance between static-pressure measuring stations and length of heat transfer surface, ft
La	length of fins on ventilating air side of heater measured perpendicularly to the heater shell, ft
Lg	length of fins on exhaust gas side of heater measured perpendicularly to the heater shell, ft

4 thickness of heater shell, ft La number of fins on ventilating air side ." na number of fins on exhaust gas side ng P : heat transfer perimeter of one fin on either side of heater, ft measured rate of enthalpy change of ventilating air, qa A COLLEGE STATE OF A COLLEGE STATE OF A STATE Btu/hr measured rate of enthalpy change of exhaust gas, Btu/hr: g a total thermal resistance including that through Rtotal heater shell, °F hr/Btu arithmetic average of temperatures measured by two. ta thermocouples located on heater shell near ventilating air inlet (one thermocouple at exhaust gas inlet. the other at exhaust gas outlet), OF arithmetic avorage of temperatures measured by two th thermodouples located on heater shell near ventilating air outlet (one thermocouple at exhaust gas inlet, the other at exhaust gas outlet), oF arithmetic avorage of tomperatures measured by two to thermocouples located on heater shell equidistant from ends (one thermocouple on top, the other on the bottom), OF arithmetic average mixed-mean absolute temperature of Ta either fluid = $\frac{T_1 + T_2}{2}$, in equation (11) only; otherwise arithmetic average mixed-mean absolute temperature of air = $\frac{T_{a_1} + T_{a_2}}{2} + 460$, °R arithmetic average mixed mean absolute tomperature To of exhaust gas = $\frac{Tg_1 + Tg_2}{2} + 460$, or mixed-mean absolute temperature of fluid at entrance TT section (point 1), OR mixed-mean absolute temperature of fluid at exit Ta section (point 2), OR

Tiso mixed-mean absolute temperature of fluid for isothermal pressure drop tests, ^OR mean velocity of fluid, ft/sec un over-all unit thermal conductance. Btu/hr ft oF U UA over-all thermal conductance. Btu/hr °F (UA) total over-all thermal conductance including heater shell conductance, Btu/hr OF weight rate of fluid, 1b/hr W Wa weight rate of air, 1b/hr Wg weight rate of exhaust gas, 1b/hr YI weight density of fluid at entrance to heating section (point 1), 1b/ft³ ΔP non-isothermal pressure drop along heater. 1b/ft2 ΔP_a pressure drop along heater on ventilating air side, 1b/ft² AP'a pressure drop along heater on ventilating air side. inches H.O AP. pressure drop along heater on exhaust gas side. 1b/ft2 AP' pressure drop along heater on exhaust gas side. inches H_0 isothermal pressure drop along inlet and outlet APDICT ducts of the air shroud, 1b/ft² APHTR isothermal pressure drop along heater only. 1b/ft2 AP_{Tiso} isothermal pressure drop along heater and ducts at temperature Tiso, 1b/ft² ξ_{iso} isothermal friction factor defined by $\frac{\Delta P}{\gamma} = \xi_{iso} \frac{L}{D} \frac{u_m}{2\sigma}$ At temperature difference. °F At In logarithmic mean temperature difference. OF

AT a difference between mixed-mean temperatures of venti-- the lating air sections defined by points 1 and 2 = $T_{a_2} - T_{a_1}$, σ_F difference between mixed-mean temperatures of exhaust ΔT gas at sections defined by points 1 and 2 = Tgi Tga, °T viscosity of fluid, 1b sec/ft2 L Tan mixed-mean temperature of ventilating air at entrance section (point 1), °F mixed-mean temperature of ventilating air at exit Tan section (point 2), °F. mixed-mean temperature of exhaust gas at entrance Tgi section (point 1), ""F All the state of the state of a mixed-mean temperature of exhaust gas at exit sec-Tain tion (point 2), °F Nusselt number = $\frac{f_c D}{k}$ Nu Prandtl number = $\frac{3600 \ \mu \ c_p \ g}{2}$ Pr k Reynolds number = $\frac{G D}{3600 \mu g}$ Re Reynolds number = $\frac{GP}{3600 \mu g}$ Reo

6

DESCRIPTION OF STEWART-WARNER SLOTTED-FIN HEATER

AND TESTING PROCEDURE

The Stewart-Warner slotted-fin, exhaust gas and ventilating air heat exchanger is a crossflow-type heater. The slotted fins on the inner or exhaust gas side are placed longitudinally; whereas those on the outer or ventilating air side are placed circumferentially on the heater shell. There are 52 rows of fins on the air side of the heater and 80 rows on the exhaust gas side. Each row on the air side is cut at 1/4-inch intervals so that there are 69 fins per row. On the exhaust gas side each row is slotted at 3/4-inch intervals, yielding 19 fins per row. The fins are constructed of 0.045-inch copper and are spot-welded to a stainless steel shell. The fins on both sides of the heater are 3/4 inch in length measured perpendicularly to the shell.

Y Plan Bars attained at

The first air shroud tested with the Stewart-Warner heater was a diagonal- or semi-crossflow-type shroud obtained from the Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, Calif. The inlet duct contained vanes which tended to direct the air over the heater at right angles. (See figs. 2 and 4.) Another air shroud (hereafter designated as UC-2) was constructed with dimensions equivalent to those of the Ames shroud, but with full crossflow characteristics. (See figs. 2 and 5.) A third air shroud (hereafter designated as UC-1) was constructed with full crossflow characteristics, but with a smaller clearance between the heater shell and the shroud.

In order to force the exhaust gas between the longitudinal slotted fins, a central core was installed on the gas side of the heater. (See figs. 2 and 6.)

The weight rates of exhaust gas and ventilating air were obtained by means of calibrated square-edge orifices.

The <u>exhaust</u> gas temperatures were measured at the inlet and the outlet of the heater by means of shielded traversing thermocouples.

A mixing device was used at the exit of the natural gas furnace to given an approximately uniform temperature distribution at the entrance to the heater. (The measured temperature distribution in degrees Fahrenheit was within ± 3 percent of complete uniformity at the inlet end of the heater.)

No mixing device was used downstream from the heater on the exhaust gas side. The measured temperature distribution in degrees Fahrenheit was thus within ± 3 percent of complete uniformity, which was reduced to $\pm l\frac{1}{2}$ percent when the central core was installed because of the greater mixing encountered when the gas expanded into the outlet exhaust gas duct. (See reference 1 for a description of the test stand and its instrumentation.)

For all shrouds, the exhaust gas temperature traverses were made at points 15 inches upstream and 24 inches downstream from the ends of the heater. Temperatures of the ventilating air before and after passage through the heater were determined from traverses made with unshielded thermocouples. Runs 22 to 51 were made without a mixing device in the ventilating air outlet duct. For these runs the temperature distribution was within ±9 percent of complete uniformity. For runs 52 to 122, a 3-inch diameter orifice was installed in the 5-inch diameter outlet air duct to cause better mixing of the fluid through its sudden expansion downstream from the orifice. The temperature distribution thus obtained was within ±2 percent of complete uniformity.

さが大学 と言葉 しんままの ほしんまた しんしょうかいかい 回来 かかちゃく ようそう なた とうか

For the Ames air shroud, temperature traverses of the ventilating air were made at points 26 inches upstream and 50 inches downstream from the center line of the heater.

The heat losses to the surroundings were reduced to a negligible amount by wrapping the ducts with asbestos sheets.

The second second second 化黄水 网络白衣白花白 Temperatures of the heater surfaces were measured at six points by means of thermocouples. One pair of thermocouples was located on the heater shell near the ventilating air inlet side (one thermocouple near the exhaustigas inlet, the other thermocouple near the exhaust gas outlet). The arithmetic average of these two temperatures is designated as . ta. A second pair of thermocouples was located near the ventilating air outlet side (one thermocouple near the exhaust gas inlet, the other near the exhaust gas. . outlet). The arithmetic average of these two temperatures is designated as the The third pair of thermocouples. was located on the heater shell equidistant from the ends (one thermocouple on top, the other on the botton). The arithmetic average of these two temperatures is designated as t_c. (See fig. 2.)

Static pressure drop measurements were made across the ventilating air and exhaust gas sides of the heater. Two taps, 180° apart, were installed at each pressuremeasuring station. For all shrouds, the pressure taps on the exhaust gas side were placed on the heater shell about $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches from the ends of the heater. For the Ames shroud, the pressure taps on the ventilating air side were placed about 12 inches upstream and downstream from the center line of the heater; whereas, for the UC-1 and UC-2 shrouds, the pressure taps on the ventilating air sides were placed in a 5-inch duct 30 inches upstream and downstream from the center line of the heater.

Isothermal pressure drops along the inlet and outlet air-shroud ducts were measured by detaching these ducts from the shroud and placing them together to obtain the total pressure drop across the two ducts. The air shrouds UC-1 and UC-2 utilized the same inlet and outlet ducts. When the inlet and outlet ducts used on the Ames semicrossflow shroud were placed together, a very sharply curved path for the air was formed, and the measured pressure drop for the ducts placed in this manner was as large as the measured isothermal value across both the ducts and the heater when used in the normal arrangement. A truer value of the pressure drops through the ducts alone was obtained by placing a "spacer" equivalent to the width of the air shroud between the inlet and outlet ducts so that the ducts were in the same relative position for these tests and for those tests using both the air shroud and the heater. The pressure drop in this "spacer" was negligible (2 percent) compared with that across the converging and diverging, outlet and inlet air ducts.

CALCULATIONS

Heat Transfer

The thermal output of the heater was determined by the enthalpy changes of the ventilating air:

$$q_{a} = M_{a} c_{p_{a}} (\tau_{a_{g}} - \tau_{a_{1}})$$
 (1)

in which c_{p_a} was evaluated at the arithmetic average ventilating air temperature as a good approximation. A plot of q_a against w_a at constant values of exhaust gas rate W_g and inlet temperature τ_{g_1} is shown in figures 7 to 12.

On the exhaust gas side of the heater:

 $q_g = W_g c_{pg} (\tau_{g_1} - \tau_{g_2})$ (2)

where c_{p_g} is evaluated for air at the temperature at the arithmetic average exhaust gas temperature.

The over-all thermal conductance. UA was evaluated from the expression;

 $q_a = (UA) \Delta t_{1m}$

(3)

18513、唐子专者之 The value of Atin for cross flow is chosen as that for counterflow and then multiplied by a correction factor. (See reference 2.) Inasmuch as this correction factor was always within 1 percent of unity, the Atim used in these calculations was taken to be that for counterflow a of the fluids.

A plot of UA as a function of the wentilating air rate W_a at constant values of the exhaust gas rate W_g is shown in figures 13 to 18.

The thermal output of the bester may be predicted when. used at other values of Atim than those used in these tests by determining UA at the corresponding fluid weight rates from figures 13 to 18 and using these magnitudes in equation (3) with the new values of Atin.

Predictions of the magnitudes of the over-all thernal conductance UA were attempted. The expression (reference 3, equation (28))

$$UA = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{f_{c}A}\right)_{e_{a}} + \left(\frac{1}{f_{c}A}\right)_{e_{g}}}$$
(4)

where $\left(\frac{1}{f_c A}\right)_{e_a}$ and $\left(\frac{1}{f_c A}\right)_{e_g}$ are the effective thermal resistances on the air and exhaust gas sides of the heater, And the second second second respectively, was used.

The effective thermal conductances, (fcA) ea and (fcA)er, are obtained from equations (see reference 3 for the derivation of equations (5) to (8))

$$(f_{c}A)_{e_{a}} = n_{a}\sqrt{f_{c_{a}}P k A_{a}} \tanh L_{a}\sqrt{\frac{f_{c_{a}}P}{k A_{a}}} + f_{c_{a}}A_{u_{a}}$$
 (5)

and

nden al det Randa al de la composition La composition de la c 11 $P k Ag tanh Lg \sqrt{\frac{f_{cg} P}{k A_g}}$ $(f_cA)_{e_g} = n_g \sqrt{f_{c_g}}$ + fcg (6)where A cross-sectional area of one fin k' thermal conductivity of fin material evaluated at an average temperature ata attai P heat transfer perimeter of one fin L length of one fin measured perpendicularly to heater shell na total number of fins on air side of heater n total number of fins on exhaust gas side fc unit thernal conductance along fins and along unfinned area Au of heater For the exhaust gas side fcg is evaluated from the equation $f_{cg} = 5.56 \times 10^{-4} T_g^{0.296} \frac{G_g^{0.8}}{D_g^{0.2}}$ (7) where T_o arithmetic average absolute temperature Gg exhaust gas weight rate per unit cross-sectional area Dg hydraulic diameter of space (channel) between rows of fins on exhaust gas side Because the slots on the exhaust gas side were narrow, their effect on the fluid flow and unit thermal conductance was neglected and f. was calculated by means of equation (7), which is based upon flow in pipes and channels where the characteristic dimension is the hydraulic diameter. On the air side, the circumferential fins were slotted at 1/4-inch intervals. The Reynolds number using the perimeter P of the fin as the significant dimension $Re_P = \frac{GP}{3600 \mu g}$ varies from 10,000 to 25,000, so that the

boundary layer over each fin may be laminar* for a considerable length along the fin. The f_{ca} for the laminar regime is then evaluated from equation (6) of reference 5:

$$f_{c_n} = 0.112 T^{0.5} \left(\frac{u_m Y}{L}\right)^{0.5}$$
 (8)

but since 3600 $u_m^{-\gamma} = 1$ G

$$f_{c_a} = 1.87 \times 10^{-3} T^{0.5} \left(\frac{G}{L}\right)^{0.5}$$
 (9)

For this heater, L is 1/4 inch on the ventilating air side. The magnitude of the unit thermal conductance f_{ca} along the unfinned base areas between the rows of fins is probably not the same as that along the fins.

If the f_{ca} along the unfinned base areas is calculated on the basis of the hydraulic diameter of the channel between the rows of fins, its value is found to be about one-half that of f_{ca} calculated for laminar flow over the fins. The heat transfer along this unfinned area is thus about 10 percent of the total when the f_{ca} based on hydraulic diameter is used and is about 17 percent of the total when the f_{ca} based on laminar flow over the fins is used. The heat transfer from the unfinned area therefore need not be accurately known. The actual value of f_{ca} is probably between the two mentioned.

Pressure Drop

Measurements of the static pressure drops across the air and exhaust gas sides of the heat were made for isothermal $\Delta P_{T_{iso}}$ and non-isothermal conditions ΔP . Also, pressure drops were determined for the air inlet and outlet ducts alone under isothermal conditions. The measured pressure drops on the exhaust gas side did not include the losses in the ducting; so $\Delta P_{T_{iso}} = \Delta P_{HTR}$ where ΔP_{HTR} is the pressure drop along the heater alone.

*The results of R. H. Norris and W. A. Spofford (reference 4) indicate that the boundary layer over groups of flat plates and cylindrical fins is laminar for Rep< 20,000. Equations (8) and (9) are equivalent to equation (1) of reference 4.

a Martin Contra

For the exhaust gas, side the expression

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\rm HTR}}{\gamma} = \zeta_{\rm iso} \frac{L}{D} \frac{u_{\rm m}^2}{2g}$$

was used to determine the dimensionless modulus,

$$\left(\zeta_{1so} \frac{L}{D}\right) = \frac{2g}{u_m^2} \left(\frac{\Delta P_{HTR}}{\gamma}\right)$$

or

$$\left(\zeta_{iso} \frac{L}{D}\right) = 2 g \gamma \left(\frac{\Delta P_{HTR}}{G_g}\right)^2$$
(10)

For the ventilating air side, set

$$\Delta P_{HTR} = \Delta P_{T_{iso}} - \Delta P_{DUCT}$$

thus

$$\left(\zeta_{iso} \frac{L}{D}\right) = 2 g \gamma \frac{\Delta P_{HTR}}{\left(\frac{G_a}{3600}\right)^2}$$
(10a)

The pressure drops through the ducts on the air side for the three air shrouds and the modulus $\begin{pmatrix} L \\ iso D \end{pmatrix}$ for the exhaust gas and air sides of the heater are tabulated in tables VII and VIII.

The non-isothermal pressure drop of either fluid through the heat exchanger was predicted from isothermal measurements by means of equation (6) of reference 1.

$$\Delta P = \Delta P_{T_{iso}} \cdot \left(\frac{T_a}{T_{iso}} \right)^{1.13} + \left(\frac{G}{3600} \right)^a \frac{1}{\gamma_{g}} \left(\frac{T_a}{T_1} - 1 \right)$$
(11)

AP_{Tiso} total measured isothermal pressure drop due to friction at temperature Tiso T₁ and T₂ mixed-mean absolute temperatures of fluid at inlet and exit of heater, respectively

 T_a arithmetic average of T_1 and T_2 . G fluid flow per unit cross-sectional area

 Y_1 unit weight of fluid at inlet to heater evaluated at temperature T_1

A comparison of measured and predicted non-isothermal pressure drops through both sides of the heater is presented in tables IX and X and is shown graphically in figures 19 to 23.

Heat transfer and pressure drop data for the Stewart-Warner heater are presented in tables I and II for the tests using the Ames air shroud, in tables III and IV for those using the UC-1 air shroud, and in tables V and VI for those using the UC-2 air shroud.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS ON THE STEWART-WARNER HEATER*

The enthalpy change of the ventilating air was used to determine the thermal output of the heater, for these measurements were more accurate than those on the exhaust gas side of the heater. The arithmetic average heat balance ratio q_g/q_a of all the tests was 0.78. It can be shown that a 1-percent error in the determination of either exhaust gas temperature $T_g \approx 1400^{\circ}$ F may cause a 20-percent error in the temperature change of the exhaust gas. The low heat balance ratics obtained in these tests may be due to this error in measurement; they also may be due to incomplete combustion of the exhaust gases.

Three air shrouds were used in these tests.

l. Semi- or diagonal-crossflow shroud (designated as Ames air shroud). Clearance between heater shell and air shroud is 15 inches.

*See also report by R. A. Kepner and A. R. Collins (reference 6) on results of tests performed on similar heaters in the Heater Laboratory of the Stewart-Warner Co., Chicago.

- 2. Full crossflow shroud (designated as UC-2 air shroud). Clearance between heater shell and air shroud is $1\frac{5}{16}$ inches (same as Ames air shroud).
- 3. Full crossflow shroud (designated as UC-1 air shroud). Clearance between heater shell and air shroud is 1 inch.

Thus a comparison of the results obtained when using the Ames semi-crossflow and the UC-2 full crossflow air shrouds will reveal the effect, on the heat transfer rate and the pressure drop, of the direction or manner in which the ventilating air is conducted across the heater, since all physical dimensions were identical for these two air shrouds. A comparison of the results obtained when using the air shrouds UC-1 and UC-2 will reveal the effect, on the pressure drop and the rate of heat transfer, of decreasing the cross-sectional area on the ventilating air side of the heater.

During the preliminary tests of the heater, it was discovered that the rate of heat transfer was inappreciably affected by an increase of the exhaust gas rate W_g from 6000 to 7500 pounds per hour. (See figure 7.) This was an indication that the exhaust gases were passing through the center of the heater and not through the channels between the rows of fins. In order to ameliorate this effect, a central core was installed in the exhaust gas side of the heater which forced the gases to flow through the channels between the rows of fins. The measured rates of heat transfer were thus increased and varied appreciably when the exhaust gas weight rate W_g was increased from 6100 to 7100 pounds per hour. (See fig. 8.)

A comparison of figures 13, 15, and 17 reveals that, for the heater without the central core in the exhaust gas side, the over-all thermal conductance UA at $W_{\rm A}$ = 4000 pounds per hour and $W_{\rm g}$ = 6900 pounds per hour was about 137 Btu/hr °F using the Ames air shroud, 142 Btu/hr °F using the UC-1 air shroud, and 128 Btu/hr °F using the UC-2 air shroud,

Since the cross-sectional areas and other dimensions were the same for the Ames semi-crossflow shroud and the UC-2 full-crossflow shroud, the increase of 9 Btu/hr °F when using the Ames shroud must have been due to the greater air turbulence, since the air probably flowed diagonally across the rows of slotted fins and not directly between the fins as with the UC-2 shroud.

The increase of 14 Btu/hr ^oF when using the UC-1 shroud over the result obtained when using the UC-2 shroud was due to the decreased cross-sectional area of the former (1-in. clearance between heater shell and shroud as against $1\frac{5}{16}$ -in. clearance), since all other dimensions and physical characteristics were identical for the two UC air shrouds.

The greater over-all thermal conductance obtained in the runs using the UC-1 air shroud as compared to the runs using the Ames air shroud was due to the decreased cross-sectional area of the former, a factor which outweighed the turbulence-forming characteristics of the diagonal- or semi-crossflow Ames shroud. This greater heat transfer rate when using the smaller, but full-crossflow, UC-1 shroud was obtained with a much smaller static pressure drop.

The measured isothermal pressure drop along the air side of the heater (inlet and outlet air-duct losses subtracted) at an air rate of 3000 pounds per hour was 12.4 pounds per square foot (2.40 in. of water) using the Ames semi-crossflow shroud, 5.45 pounds per square foot (1.05 in. of water) for the UC-2 shroud, and 8.45 pounds per square foot (1.63 in. of water) for the UC-1 air shroud.

Thus more than double the pressure drop is encountered when the ventilating air is not caused to flow directly along the space between the fins, but is allowed to flow somewhat diagonally across the rows of fins (cf. pressure drops using Ames and UC-2 air shrouds (figs. 19 and 21).

The pressure drop was decreased to about 70 percent of the measured value for the Ames shroud by using the UC-1 shroud, which was fully crossflow but had an even smaller cross-sectional area. (See figs. 19 and 20.)

It can be said, therefore, that the increase of the thermal conductance due to the greater turbulence along the slotted fins when using the diagonal crossflow shroud is more than counterbalanced when using the full cross-flow shroud by decreasing the air side clearance from $1\frac{5}{16}$ inches to 1 inch. The isothermal and non-isothermal pressure drops for the latter clearance are only about 70 percent of the value for the diagonal crossflow shroud.

This large increased pressure drop for the semicrossflow shroud would not be found when this shroud is used on other types of heaters, such as pin-fin heaters; although it would be experienced when it is used on all heat exchangers with circumferential, continuous or semicontinuous (slotted) fins. Because the air is deflected to flow over the heater, the pressure drop in the inlet and outlet ducts is about 40 percent of the total pressure drop with the heater installed. This pressure drop through the ducts of the Ames shroud was as much as the total pressure drop along the ducts and across the heater when the UC-2 shroud was used. The pressure drop through the inlet and outlet ducts was, with the heater installed, about 20 percent of the total drop using the UC-2 shroud and about 14 percent using the UC-1 shroud.

When the central core (diam. $2\frac{3}{6}$ in.) was placed in the exhaust gas side of the heater, the net cross-sectional area was decreased by 15 percent. Thus the over-all thermal conductance, with the central core installed (see figs. 14, 16, and 18), for $W_a = 4000$ pounds per hour and $W_g =$ 6900 pounds per hour was about 153 Btu/hr °F for the runs with the semi-crossflow shroud, 157 Btu/hr °F using the UC-1 shroud, and 140 Btu/hr °F using the UC-2 shroud. These results are about 9 to 12 percent higher than those obtained without the central core installed, owing to the

increased value of $G = \frac{W}{area}$ in the space between the fins on the exhaust gas side of the heater. This result was brought about both by decreasing the net cross-sectional area of flow and by forcing the gas to flow through the spaces between the fins rather than through the open central space.

The increase in UA due only to the decrease in the net cross-sectional area (increased G) was calculated to be 5 to 6 percent; thus the remainder of the 9 to 12 percent increase in UA reported above must have been due to forcing the exhaust gases to flow through the spaces between the fins.

The increase in UA by use of the central core would have been much greater at higher exhaust gas rates (say 7500 lb/hr) than the increase reported above for $W_g = 6900$ pounds per hour. An inspection of figure 13 reveals that when the central core was not used UA did not change appreciably when W_g was increased from 6000 to 7500 pounds per hour, but the use of the central core partially remedied this condition. (See fig. 14.*)

The use of the central core doubled the static pressure drop on the exhaust gas side of the heater. At an exhaust gas rate of 6000 pounds per hour, the isothermal pressure drop increased from about 10 pounds per square foot (1.92 in. of water) to about 20 pounds per square foot (3.84 in. of water). The increase in the isothermal pressure drop due merely to the decreased net crosssectional area (increased G) would have been only about 40 percent or 4 pounds per square foot (0.77 in. of water). Thus the remainder of the 10 pounds per square foot pressure drop increase resulting from use of the central core was due to the increased flow in the channels or spaces between the rows of slotted fins. The measured and predicted non-isothermal pressure drops were also about twice as large when the central core was used. (Cf. figs. 22 and 23.)

The arithmetic average of all the slopes of the ΔP against W curves (figs. 19 to 23) is 1.79. This value of the exponent is to be expected, for the isothermal frictional pressure drop is proportional to $\zeta_{iso} W^3$ and because ζ_{iso} , the isothermal friction factor, is proportional to $W^{-0.2}$ ($\zeta_{iso} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{-0.2}$) for the turbulent regime, thus the static pressure drop ΔP is proportional to $W^{2.0-0.2}$ or $W^{1.80}$. However, the pressure drop on the air side of the heater using the semi-crossflow shroud is due not entirely to friction but partly to eddy and wake-formation losses.

An inspection of the pressure drop plots reveals that, for the air side of the heater, the slope of the non-isothermal pressure drop curve is less than that of the isothermal curve. It can be shown that, for the ventilating-air side the <u>slope</u> of the non-isothermal curve must be <u>less</u> than the isothermal one, because the former is a higher value (the temperature of the air is higher) and must coincide with the isothermal value at

*The effect due to the use of the central core is not shown as clearly by the results from tests using the UC-1 and UC-2 air shrouds; since the highest values of exhaust gas rate, where the effect would have been most noticeable were not attainable, owing to the additional resistance caused by the presence of the core. an infinite air rate, for which condition the temperature rise of the air would be zero $(T_{iso} = T_{a_1} = T_{a_2}, i.e., isothermal)$. (See figs. 19, 20, and 21.)

For the exhaust gas side, the non-isothermal curve should have a greater slope than the isothermal curve because the exhaust gas is cooled. The last term in equation (11) is negative for the case of a fluid being cooled and is less negative at high fluid rates, for the change in fluid temperature is then less. Also the first term on the right side of equation (11) is slightly higher for high fluid rates, since the Ta is greater (fluid does not cool as much at high fluid rates for the same heat transfer rate as at low fluid rates). Thus the combination of a term which increases with fluid rate and another term which becomes less negative at high fluid rates yields a sum which increases with the fluid rate, and therefore the slope of the non-isothermal pressure drop curve would be greater than that of the isothermal curve. (See figs. 22 and 23.)

The calculated values of $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{iso} & \frac{L}{D} \end{pmatrix}$ do not indicate any specific correlation of the results obtained with the different air shrouds and on both sides of the heater. (See tables VII and VIII.)

On the exhaust gas side, the value of ξ_{iso} could be predicted within 8 to 30 percent by means of the friction factor against Reynolds number relation for commercial pipes, evaluating Re for the channel or space between the fins. (See reference 3, fig. 7.)

It is very difficult to predict the magnitude of ζ_{iso} for the flow along the narrow fins on the ventilating air side of the heater.

The agreement between the measured and predicted nonisothermal pressure drops along the exhaust gas side of the heater is very good. The corresponding agreement for the air side is not so good since the pressure drop over the narrow fins on the air side is due, to a great extent, to causes other than friction (i.e., eddy and wake-formation losses) especially for the Ames semi-crossflow shroud. The value of $\Delta P_{T_{iso}}$ which is to be substituted in equation (11) to obtain the predicted frictional non-isothermal pressure drop should be that due to friction alone. The average heater surface temperature on the side of the heater where the ventilating air entered was about 750° F; whereas that near the ventilating air outlet was about 1000° F. The temperature of the heater-shell surface at a point intermediate between the entrance and exit air openings was lower in most runs than that at the air entrance or exit. This result is questionable, for the lowest temperature should be found near the point where the cool air impinges on the heater (i.e., near the ventilating air inlet). The thermocouple lead-in wires were conducted through the ventilating air stream and although they were thermally insulated some error in the temperature measurement was to be expected because of the "cooling effect" of the air on the lead-in wires.

The predicted magnitudes of UA were about 80 percent above those derived from laboratory measurements. This discrepancy was probably due to the following two reasons:

1. The value of the weight rate per unit area G of either fluid calculated from the total weight rate and the net cross-sectional area probably did not obtain in the restricted channels between the rows of fins. The actual fluid velocities along the fins or channels were smaller than those in the center of the fluid passages.

2. The external and internal fins were not placed in intimate contact with the heater shell. Only an area of about one-half the total cross-sectional area of the fins was welded to the heater shell by means of small spot welds. The spot welds which were in direct contact with the base metal were placed on the average at approximately 3/4-inch intervals along the base of the fins. The area which was not spot-welded could have been insulated from the base by a small gas film or scale. (See appendix.)

This condition may cause failure owing to excessive local temperatures on the gas side when used in an actual aircraft installation.

If the heater were constructed without fins but operated so that the same values of f_c were obtained as were found along the fins, the magnitude of UA at $W_a =$ 5000 pounds per hour and $W_g = 4490$ pounds per hour would be 47 Btu/hr ^oF. The measured UA for the finned heater (using UC-1 shroud and central core) was 144 Btu/hr ^oF; whereas the predicted value was 262 Btu/hr °F. Hence the magnitude of UA was increased 97 Btu/hr °F by the addition of the fins, but an increase of about 215 Btu/hr °F could be obtained by a more perfect fusing of the fins to the heater shell.

the set of the the set of the set of

The correction to the equations for evaluating the unit thermal conductance f_c due to the variation of f_c near the inlet to a pipe, channel, or space between adjacent fins (see reference 7) is negligible in the computation of the f_c for this heater. On the exhaust gas side the ratio of the hydraulic diameter of the channel or space between the slotted fins to the length of the channel (i.e., D/L) is 0.023; and, since the correction to equation (7) for this "D/L effect" is the multiplier 1 + 1.1 D/L, the corrected f_c would be only about 3 percent greater than that computed by means of equation (7) as written above.

On the ventilating air side the fins are so narrow (1/4 in.) that the boundary layers along these fins are probably laminar, and equation (9) applies without the correction factor mentioned.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The rate of heat transfer of the Stewart-Warner slotted-fin heater utilizing three different air shrouds was nearly the same for each (about a 10 percent difference between the semi- and the full-crossflow air shrouds).

2. The static pressure drops through the air side of the heater were greatly affected by use of the three air shrouds. The semi-crossflow shroud caused twice the pressure drop measured along the similar but fullcrossflow shroud. The pressure drop was greater for the semi-crossflow shroud because of the pressure losses in the angular inlet and outlet ducts and also because the air was not completely deflected so that it flowed over the heater at right angles (i.e., between the rows of fins) but was allowed to flow somewhat diagonally across the rows of fins.

3. The thermal effectiveness of the copper-slotted fins used on this heater was considerably reduced by two factors. First, the fluids did not flow in the spaces between the fins but, for the most part, flowed through the open parts of the exhaust gas and ventilating air passages. Secondly, the thermal resistance to heat transfer was greatly increased, owing to the limited contact area between the slotted fins and the heater shell. It would be advantageous to use a smaller number of more perfectly attached fins and thus obtain equivalent heat transfer rates but with considerably less pressure drop as well as effect a great saving in the weight of the finned heater. There also would be less danger of overheating some metal surfaces, such as the tips of the fins on the exhaust gas side, for the rate of heat transfer through a well-attached fin would be greater and its temperature would be correspondingly lower.

4. An attempt was made to force the exhaust gas to flow in the space between the fins instead of through the open central passage by installing a "central core" in this side of the heater. Without the use of this central core a considerable variation of high mangitudes of exhaust gas weight rates did not cause an appreciable change in the rate of heat transfer. The use of the central core, however, forced the exhaust gas to flow along the slotted fins and, together with the increase in exhaust gas rate per unit of cross-sectional area, caused the heat transfer rate to increase. The static pressure drop, however, was increased at a greater rate.

University of California, Berkeley, Calif.

APPENDIX

The following method was used to predict the additional thermal resistance through the heater, owing to the imperfect contact between the slotted fins and the heater shell.

This additional thermal resistance consisted of three parts:

1. Thermal resistance from base of copper fins on exhaust gas side to point of spot weld

- 2. Thermal resistance through Inconel heater shell at point of spot weld
- 3. Thermal resistance from spot weld to base of copper fins on ventilating air side of heater

By means of a thermal flux plot (reference 8) the magnitudes of the first and third above-mentioned resistances were estimated to be 0.38 x 10-3 °F hr/Btu.

The second thermal resistance (through the spot weld in the Inconel shell) was evaluated from the expression

$$\frac{q}{\Delta t} = \frac{k_s A_{spot-weld}}{L_s} = \frac{1}{resistance}$$

The total area. Aspot-weld, which was spot-welded (assuming one spot weld of 3/16-in. diam. per 3/4 in. measured along the fin base) was 0.153 square foot, the thermal conductivity $k_{\rm S}$ of Inconel was taken to be 15 Btu/hr ft² (°F/ft), and the thickness $L_{\rm S}$ of Inconel shell was 0.047 inch. Thus

Resistance = $\frac{L_s}{k_s A_{spot-weld}} = \frac{0.047/12}{15 \times 0.153} = 1.70 \times 10^{-3} \frac{o_F hr}{Btu}$

The sum of the three thermal resistance was then $(1.70 + 0.38) \ 10^{-3} = 2.08 \times 10^{-3}$ °F hr/Btu = Rtotal. The over-all thermal conductance UA was then obtained from

$$\left(\frac{1}{UA}\right)_{total} = \left(\frac{1}{f_cA}\right)_{e_a} + \left(\frac{1}{f_cA}\right)_{e_{\sigma}} + R_{total}$$

but $\left(\frac{1}{f_c A}\right)_{e_a} + \left(\frac{1}{f_c A}\right)_{e_g}$ was the reciprocal of the over-

all conductance UA previously computed, which neglected the additional resistances through the base of the fins and the heater shell. As mentioned under Discussion, the magnitude of UA at $W_a = 5000$ pounds per hour and $W_g =$ 4490 pounds per hour was calculated to be 262 Btu/hr °F. Thus,

$$\left(\frac{1}{f_{c}A}\right)_{e_{a}} + \left(\frac{1}{f_{c}A}\right)_{e_{g}} = \frac{1}{UA} = \frac{1}{262} = 3.82 \times 10^{-3} \, ^{\circ}F \, hr/Btu$$

Therefore,

 $\left(\frac{1}{UA}\right)_{total} = (3.82 + 2.08) 10^{-3} = 5.90 \times 10^{-3} \, ^{\circ}F \, hr/Btu$ or

(UA)_{total} = 170 Btu/hr °F

The magnitude of UA for this heater derived from laboratory measurements was 144 Btu/hr OF.

At a lower air rate $W_a = 2000 \text{ lb/hr}$ the predicted (UA) total was 148 Btu/hr °F by the method above, and the value derived from laboratory data was 116 Btu/hr °F.

Although the method indicated reveals that the resistance of the shell at the spot weld is one of the determinative resistances, it cannot be used for prediction of the characteristics of this heater. This is due to the fact that the assumptions with respect to the dimensions and the distribution of the spot welds were obtained by examination of two or three rows of fins on the inside and outside of the heater. Also, it was assumed that the heater shell and fins were in contact only at the spot welds.

These assumptions cannot be generalized, and hence the method is of limited utility for prediction of the thermal characteristics of this heater or others of a similar type. Exact knowledge of the dimensions and the number of the spot welds is necessary for accurate prediction, but that can be obtained only by destroying the heater.

Even if it were possible to obtain the necessary data for prediction of the resistance of the spot-welded shell, calculations of the thermal output would still be impeded by a lack of knowledge of the true weight rate per unit of cross-sectional area G of the ventilating air or exhaust gas along the spaces (or channels) between the rows of fins.

The authors wish to express appreciation to the following persons who helped obtain experimental data or prepare this report; Messrs, S. L. Scordelus, D. R ... Ferguson, M. E. McLaughlin, G. T. Rowe, A. G. Guibert, and H. G. Dennison.

REFERENCES

- 1. Boelter, L. M. K., Miller, M. A., Sharp, W. H., Morrin, E. H., Iversen, H. W., and Mason, W. E.: An Investigation of Aircraft Heaters. IX - Measured and Predicted Performance of Two Exhaust Gas-Air Heat Exchangers and an Apparatus for Evaluating Exhaust Gas-Air Heat Exchangers. NACA ARR, March 1943.
- 2. McAdams, W. H.: Heat Transmission. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 2d ed., 1943, p. 147.
- 3. Martinelli, R. C., Weinberg, E. B., Morrin, E. H., and Boelter, L. M. K.: An Investigation of Aircraft Heaters. IV - Measured and Predicted Performance of Longitudinally Finned Tubes. NACA ARR, Oct. 1942.
- 4. Norris, R. H., and Spofford, W. A.: High-Performance Fins for Heat Transfer. Trans., A.S.M.E., vol. 64, no. 5, July 1942, pp. 489-496.
- 5. Martinelli, R. C., Guibert, A. G., Morrin, E. H., and Boelter, L. M. K.: An Investigation of Aircraft Heaters. VIII - A Simplified Method for the Calculation of the Unit Thermal Conductance over Wings. NACA ARR, March 1943.
- 6. Kepner, R. A., and Collins, A. R.: Performance Characteristics of Experimental Cross-Flow Exhaust-Gas Heat Exchangers. Stewart-Warner Corp., Chicago, Ill. Aug. 25, 1942.
- 7. Boelter, L. M. K., Dennison, H. G., Guibert, A. G., and Morrin, E. H.: An Investigation of Aircraft Heaters. X - Measured and Predicted Performance of a Fluted-Type Exhaust Gas and Air Heat Exchanger, NACA ARR, March 1943.
- 8. Boelter, L. M. K., Cherry, V. H., and Johnson, H. A.; Supplementary Heat Transfer Notes. Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley, Calif., 3d ed., 1942, p.IV-30.

			Т	ABLE	I S	STEW	ART -	- WAR	NER	#	SLOT	TED-	FIN	TYPE	HE	ATER			
					AMES	AIF	R - SHE	ROUD -		- CENT	RAL	CORE	NOT	IN	GAS	SIDE	•		26
																	OVE	RALL	
	•		AIR	SIDE -			•	EX	HAUS	T - GAS	S SID	E	9a	HEAT	ER T	EMPS.	PERF	ORMAN	NCE
Run	Ta,	Taz	ΔTa	Wa	ΔP_{a}	80	Tg,	Tg2	∆Tg	Wg	ΔP_{g}	89	ga	ta	tь	tc	∆t_m	(UA)	
No.	°F	°F	°F	lbs	Inches H2O	hr	°F	°F	°F	hr	Inches H20	<u>KBt</u> u hr	·	°F	°F	°F	۴F	Btu hr °F	
22	102	303	201	2560	4.08	125	1415	1325	90	4230	3.10	105	0.84	TEM	PERATU	IRES	1170	107	
23	100	263	163	3510	6.97	138	1437	1325	112	4280	3.15	132	0.96		NOT	ED	1200	115	
24	95	239	144	4190	9.60	146	1420	1312	108	4280	3.15	127	0.87				1200	122	
25	97	225	128	4900	12.6	152	1437	1303	134	4280	3.15	158	1.04				1205	126	
26	95	212	117	5650	15.9	160	1424	1308	116	4280	3.10	137	0.86	1			1210	132	
27	96	228	132	5650	15.0	180	1419	1338	81	5965	6.20	133	0.74				1220	147	
28	97	248	151	4920	13.1	180	1455	1356	99	5965	6.25	163	0.90				1230	146	
29	97	255	158	4180	10.1	160	1446	1356	90	5965	6.2,5	148	0.92				1225	131	
30	97	282	185	3510	6.57	157	1464	/373	91	5915	6.30	148	0.94				1225	128	
31	97	322	225	2600	4.49	141	1428	1377	51	5915	6.40	83.0	0.59		•		1195	118	
32	110	333	223	2620	4.85	141	1415	1368	47	7010	8.80	90.5	0.64				1170	120	
33	113	298	185	3490	7.05	156	1433	1381	52	7020	8.80	100	0.64				1200	130	
34	104	272	168	4230	10.6	172	1411	1343	68	7010	8.65	131	0.76				1190	144	NA
35	108	254	146	4900	13.2	173	1407	1343	64	7020	8.70	124	0.72				1195	145	CA
36	108	245	137	5700	16.5	189	1411	/343	68	6950	8.60	130	0.69				1200	158	

1. .

51-M

a ,

(CONTINUED)

t

TABLE I.- STEWART - WARNER *I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER AMES AIR - SHROUD ----- CENTRAL CORE NOT IN GAS SIDE

.

	•		- AIR	SIDE		•	ЕХНА	UST-	GAS	SIDE -		0	HEAT	ER T	PERFORMANCE				
Run	Ta,	Ta,	ΔTa	Wa	ΔPa	8a	Tg,	Tg2	ΔTg	Wg	ΔPg	99	- ga	ta	tı	tc	Atem	(UA)	
No.	°F	°F	°F	1bs hr	Inches H2O	<u>KBtu</u> hr	°F	°F	°F	1bs hr	Inches H ₂ O	KBtu hr	0	°F	°F	°F	°F	Btu hr °F	

37 104 242 138 5600 15.8 187 1398 1299 99 7530 9.30 205 1.10 1175 159 TEMPERATURES 104 254 150 4980 13.2 181 1403 1291 112 7530 9.35 232 1.28 NOT 38 1170 155 MEASURED 104 266 162 410 9.75 161 1390 1295 95 7530 9.50 197 1.22 39 1160 139 104 282 178 3460 7.40 149 1394 1308 86 7590 9.50 179 1.20 40 1155 129 105 335 230 2480 4.31 138 1394 1316 78 7590 9.70 163 1.18 41 1130 122

 44
 92
 211
 119
 5650
 15.3
 162
 1433
 1304
 129
 4330
 3.40
 154
 0.95
 1210
 134

 45
 90
 228
 138
 5550
 16.4
 185
 1428
 1360
 68
 6060
 6.70
 114
 0.62
 1230
 150

 46
 90
 223
 133
 5580
 15.8
 179
 1403
 1321
 81
 6970
 8.80
 155
 0.87
 1205
 148

 47
 89
 225
 136
 5640
 15.7
 185
 1420
 1338
 82
 7580
 9.80
 171
 0.92
 1220
 152

W-15

TABLE II - STEWART - WARNER *I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER

28

t r

AMES AIR - SHROUD - CENTRAL CORE IN GAS SIDE

	•		AIR	SIDE -		•	•	ЕХНА	UST -	GAS	SIDE		0	HEAT	ER	TEMPS.	PERF	ORMA	NCE
Run	Ta.	Taz	A Ta	Wa	ΔPa	9a	Tg.	Tgz	ΔT_{g}	Wg	AP3	989	89 ga	ta	tь	te	∆tem	(UA)	
No.	°F	°F	°F	<u>Ibs</u> hr	Inches H2O	hr	°F	°F	۰F	1bs hr	Inches H2O	<u>KBtu</u> hr	0	°F	°F	°F	°F	Btu hrof	
480	98	252	154	5800	15.4	216	1437	1330	107	6880	16.0	203	0.94	TEM	PERAT	URES	1215	178	
49D	98	258	160	4950	13.0	192	1442	1373	69	6880	16.1	130	0.68	M	EASUR	ED	1230	156	
50D	98	289	191	4220	10.2	195	1428	1373	55	6880	16.1	104	0.53				1205	162	
51D	98	320	222	3020	5.88	162	1428	1381	47	6920	16.3	89.4	0.56				1200	135	
52D	89	265	176	4600	5.95	196	1424	1364	60	7110	16.8	117	0.60				1210	162	
53D	88	293	205	3770	4.70	187	1434	1390	43	7120	16.9	84.0	0.45				1220	153	
54D	87	327	240	2830	3.14	/64	1424	1368	56	6870	17.1	106	0.65				1240	132	
55D	83	249	166	4600	5.85	185	1424	/334	90	6160	13.8	153	0.83				1215	152	
56D	83	277	194	3790	4.57	178	1428	1347	81	6090	13.8	136	0.76				1205	148	
570	88	322	234	2780	2.93	157	1424	1368	56	6090	13.8	93.8	0.60				1190	132	
58D	93	248	155	4480	6.27	168	1437	1299	138	4520	7.69	171	1.02				1200	140	
590	94	266	172	3930	5.24	164	1424	1308	116	4490	7.64	143	0.87				1180	139	NA
600	95	285	190	3260	4.07	150	1415	1299	116	4500	7.60	144	0.96				1105	129	CA
610	100	326	226	2430	2.75	133	1420	1330	90	4380	7.26	109	0.82				1160	115	

. .

\$1-M

x.

TABLE III. - STEWART - WARNER *I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER UC *I AIR-SHROUD - CENTRAL CORE NOT IN GAS SIDE i

NAC.

OVERALL AIR SIDE ------ EXHAUST-GAS SIDE -----HEATER TEMPS. PERFORMANCE <u>99</u> 9a Te. Ta, ATa DPa Tg, Run Wa Ta, DTa Wa DPg' 89 ta Δt, (UA) 9a tь te 1b hr Inches KBtu Btu. hroF °F °F °F °F °F 1b hr Inches °F °F °F K Btu °F No. °F H2O hr H2O hr 258 168 4320 529 176 1426 1375 51 6860 9.76 961 0.55 684 922 598 1220 144 90 99 100 90 202 3450 3.70 /67 /434 /39/ 43 6880 982 8/4 0.49 744 98/ 650 /2/5 292 1.37 323 229 2730 2.52 148 1410 1364 46 6870 9.63 87.0 0.59 783 1003 684 1170 126 101 94 363 271 2170 1.65 140 1405 1371 34 6900 9.72 64.5 0.46 825 1053 735 1160 121 92 102 246 157 4320 5.21 164 1403 1339 64 5890 7.30 104 0.63 750 884 563 1205 136 89 10.3 285 195 3430 3.60 162 1420 1374 46 5920 7.35 74.8 0.46 727 956 624 1205 134 104 .90 3/6 225 2690 2.44 147 1424 1380 44 5910 7.31 71.5 0.49 778 1011 672 1195 123 105 91 359 267 2150 1.65 139 1427 1394 33 5920 7.35 53.6 0.39 825 1057 727 1175 118 106 92 231 2140 1.57 120 1390 1329 61 4490 4.13 75.4 0.63 723 1015 650 1145 105 107 98 329 292 198 2740 2.35 131 1408 1328 80 4500 4.15 99.0 0.76 680 960 594 1175 111 108 94 258 164 3470 3.54 138 1401 1296 105 4500 4.12 130 0.94 628 905 545 1170 .94 10.9 118 110 94 230 136 4400 5.14 145 1400 1295 105 4500 4.13 130 0.90 576 855 502 1180 123 9

W-15

×

,

TABLE IV.- STEWART - WARNER *I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER

UC *I AIR-SHROUD ---- CENTRAL CORE IN GAS SIDE

OVERALL HEATER TEMPS. PERFORMANCE -AIR SIDE -EXHAUST - GAS SIDE ----> 99 99 Δt. (UA) Tq. Tq. AT9 Wg AP. 9,9 ta t_b tc Wa ΔPa Run Ta. Ta. ATa ga °F Btu 1b hr Inches Btu Inches Btu IЬ °F °F °F °F °F °F °F °F No °F hr hr H2O H=O hr

702 958 776 1220 156 185 4250 520 190,000 1420 - -6800 16.55 282 ----____ 82 D 97 6800 16.66 88000 490 748 1007 775 1195 151 216 34.50 3.78 180000 1420 1373 47 83 D 97 313 6770 16.65 87.500 .537 796 1046 860 1175 139 246 2740 261 163,000 1424 1377 47 84D 100 346 100 380 280 2150 1.77 145,000 1424 1390 34 6770 1682 63,400 437 896 1085 902 1200 121 85D

272 2170 1.75 143.000 1415 1360 55 5800 13.40 88.000 .613 754 1064 757 1140 125 86 D .9.9 371 237 2750 264 158,000 1424 1368 56 5800 13.46 89.500 565 772 1028 684 1180 134 87D 96 333 204 3450 3.77 170,000 1407 1338 69 5810 13.45 110,000 .646 714 974 663 1175 145 88D 95 299 268 174 4250 5.23 179.000 1404 1331 73 5840 1350 117,000 655 667 926 622 1180 152 89D 94

4490 8.08 143000 852 622 897 574 1180 142 116 161 4320 516 168,000 1415 1299 900 .94 255 289 193 3400 3.59 159,000 1424 1321 103 4490 8.05 127,000 .800 676 955 624 1175 135 96 91D NAC 4480 7.97 100,000 .670 723 1006 672 1170 127 324 225 2740 245 149,000 1424 1343 81 99 92D 364 263 2130 163 136,000 1424 1351 73 4470 8.01 89,700 .660 780 1055 727 1150 118 P 93D 101

.

SI-M

TABLE V - STEWART - WARNER *I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER UC *2 AIR - SHROUD - CENTRAL CORE NOT IN GAS SIDE

W-15

x x

OVERALL EXHAUST - GAS SIDE AIR SIDE -----HEATER TEMPS. PERFORMANCE 89 ΔPa Ta, Ta, ATa Wa Tg. Tgz Wg AP. tc (UA)Run 80 ΔTg 99 ta th Atom/ KBtu hr 1b hr Inches KBtu 1b hr Inches Btu hr °F °F No °F °F °F °F °F °F °F oF °F H2O hr H2O 111 90 242 152 4280 3.06 158 1411 1360 51 6920 9.83 96.9 0.61 688 962 663 1220 129 112 180 3420 2.14 149 1405 1369 36 6900 9.87 68.2 0.46 763 1020 .91 271 714 1200 124 298 209 2670 1.40 135 1409 1372 37 6920 9.75 70.4 0.52 790 1063 113 89 763 1195 113 329 237 2140 0.96 123 1402 1364 38 6900 9.80 72.0 0.59 842 1106 114 92 823 1180 104 319 226 2120 1.00 116 1398 1361 37 5850 7.02 59.5 0.51 808 1074 788 1180 98 115 93 195 2740 1.40 129 1392 1352 40 5850 7.02 116 93 288 63.2 0.49 759 1028 733 1200 107 259 167 3470 2.15 140 1393 1340 53 5850 7.02 117 92 852 0.61 701 977 672 1190 118 226 136 4590 3.25 151 1384 1330 54 5850 7.00 86.8 0.58 643 920 609 1200 126 118 90 91 221 130 4600 3.28 145 1416 1344 72 4500 431 87.1 0.60 611 901 581 1220 119 119 248 158 3590 2.20 137 1422 1358 64 4510 4.37 90 120 79.4 0.58 665 970 63.9 1215 113 280 187 2780 1.45 126 1430 1374 56 4500 4.31 68.5 0.54 721 1020 701 1215 104 121 93 766 1200 9.5 -95 314 219 2150 0.90 114 1429 1381 48 4390 4.32 57.9 0.51 778 1078 122

TABLE VI.-STEWART - WARNER ^{*}I SLOTTED-FIN TYPE HEATER

UC #2 AIR-SHROUD ---- CENTRAL CORE IN GAS SIDE

OVERALL - AIR SIDE -EXHAUST - GAS SIDE------HEATER TEMPS. PERFORMANCE 99 ΔPg Atem (UA) DTe ΔPa Tg. Tq. ΔTg Wg 9,9 ta tc Ta. Wa ga tb Run Ta. 1bs hr Inches H2O 1bs hr Inches KBtu KBtu ۰F °F °F °F °F °F °F °F °F No. °F H₂O hr hr 261 168 4190 3.85 170 1413 1374 39 6820 17.0 73.1 0.43 765 1017 724 1210 140 700 .03 270 178 3900 3.50 168 1412 1372 40 6840 17.5 75.8 0.45 782 1024 736 1210 139 TID 92 292 198 3250 2.58 156 1420 1368 52 6820 17.4 97.5 0.62 822 1062 782 1200 130 72D 94 334 239 2420 1.58 140 1420 1390 30 6810 175 56.2 0.40 891 1122 852 1190 118 730 95 251 168 4230 3.80 172 1424 1343 81 5810 131 129 0.75 718 986 699 1220 141 83 74D 262 171 3940 3.45 163 1435 1351 84 5810 13.1 134 0.82 736 1001 708 1220 134 91 7.50 285 190 3200 2.45 147 1420 1356 64 5800 13.1 102 0.69 786 1044 740 1195 123 76D 95 770 93 323 230 24.50 1.60 1.36 1.428 1.360 60 5830 1.3.1 96.2 0.71 848 1.094 822 1.180 1.15 238 145 4330 3.80 152 1415 1326 89 4430 7.95 108 0.71 690 955 674 1205 126 780 93

W-15

TABLE VII

STEWART-WARNER SLOTTED-FIN HEATER

Isothermal Pressure Drop Data(a) Ventilating-Air Side

*****	TAB	LE	IIIV
-------	-----	----	------

STEWART-WARNER SLOTTED-FIN HEATER

Isothermal Pressure Drop Data(b) Exhaust-Gas Side

Wa 1b/hr	G _a lb/ft ² hr	Δ _P _{DUCT} 1b/ft ²	ΔP _{HTR} lb/ft ²	ΔP _{Tiso} lb/ft ²	$(f_{iso}, \frac{L}{D_a})$	Wg lb/hr	Gg lb/ft ² hr	$\Delta P_{T_{iso}} = \Delta P_{HTR}$ lb/ft ²	(Jiso :
1. Using Ames 2000 3000 4000 5000	Air Shroud 7,380 11,100 14,800 18,400	2.60 6.40 10.2 19.8	6.50 12.4 21.3 27.2	9.10 18.8 31.5 47.9	7.03 5.84 5.78 4.71	1. <u>Without Central Core</u> 4000 6000 10000	19,700 29,500 49,200	4.60 9.70 25.0	0.699 0.658 0.610
 Using UC-1 2000 3000 4000 5000 Using UC-2 	Air Shroud 9,860 14,800 19,700 24,600 Air Shroud	0.73 1.55 2.70 4.15	4.02 8.4 14.8 22.6	4.75 10.0 17.5 26.8	2.45 2.128 2.27 2.20	2. With Central Core 4000 6000 10000 (b)Pressure drops obtained	23,200 34,800 58,100 from plots of ∆1	9.40 19.9 51.3 P versus Wg	1.03 0.956 0.889
2000 3000 4000 5000 (a)Pressure dro	7,380 11,100 14,800 18,400 pps obtained from	0.73 1.55 2.70 4.15 plots of <u>A</u> P v	2.52 5.45 9.5 14.6 ersus W _a	3.25 7.00 12.2 18.8	2.73 2.63 2.53 2.54	$\Delta P_{T_{iso}} = \text{Overall pressure}$ $\Delta P_{HTR} = \text{Pressure drop ac}$ $\left(\int_{iso}^{i} dt \right)$	e drop, $1b/ft^2$ pross heater only, $\frac{1}{D_{q}}$ = 2 g	$\frac{1b/ft^2}{\left(\frac{G_R}{2000}\right)^2}$	

 ΔP_{DUCT} = Pressure drop in air shroud ducts (entrance and exit sections), lb/ft^2

 ΔP_{HTR} = Pressure drop in heater, lb/ft²

 $\Delta P_{T_{iso}} = 0$ verall pressure drop = $\Delta P_{DUCT} + \Delta P_{HTR}$, $1b/ft^2$

$$\left(\int_{iso} \cdot \frac{L}{D_{a}}\right) = 2 \cdot g \cdot \delta' \frac{\Delta P_{HTR}}{\left(\frac{G_{a}}{3600}\right)^{2}}$$
(10a)

· L)

(10)

TABLE IX

STEWART-WARNER SLOTTED-FIN HEATER

Non-Isothermal Pressure Drop Data Ventilating-Air Side

TABLE X

STEWART-WARNER SLOTTED-FIN HEATER

Non-Isothermal Pressure Drop Data Exhaust-Gas Side

Run No .	W _a lb /hr	G _a lb/hr ft ²	Measured isothermal pressure drop(c) $\Delta P_{T;so}$ lb /ft ²	Predicted non- isothermal pressure drop ΔP lb/ft ²	Measured non- isothermal pressure drop ΔP lb /ft ²	T ₁ °R	T ₂ o _R	T _a o _R	Run No•	Wg lbs/hr	Gg lbs/hrft ²	Measured isothermal pressure drop(d) $\Delta P_{\tau;ss}$ lbs/ft2	Predicted non- isothermal pressure drop ΔP lbs/ft2	Measured non- isothermo pressure drop ΔP lbs/ft2	I T ₁ o _R	™2 °R	T _a °R
1. 31 40 24 38 46	Ames Air 2600 3460 4190 4980 5580	Shroud 10,700 14,200 17,200 20,500 22,900	T _{iso} 558°R 14.0 23.5 33.5 45.5 56.0	18.8 29.9 40.7 56.0 67.9	23.•3 37.4 50.5 74.5 82.0	557 564 555 564 550	782 742 699 714 683	669 653 627 639 616	1. 24 28 35 39	Without (4280 5970 7020 7530	20,500 27,500 33,600 36,000	T _{iso} 561°R 5.20 9.60 13.0 14.7	17 •2 33 •0 44 •6 47 •1	16•3 32•4 45•1 49•3	1880 1915 1867 1850	1772 1816 1803 1755	1826 1865 1835 1802
2. 77D 76D 75D 74D 3.	UC-2 Air 2450 3200 3940 4230 UC-1 Air	Shroud 8,800 11,600 14,300 15,300 Shroud	T _{iso} 552°R 4.80 7.90 11.8 13.7 T _{iso} 551°R	7.00 11.2 15.9 18.5	8.30 12.7 17.9 19.7	55 3 555 551 553	783 745 722 711	668 650 636 632	2. 61D 60D 55D 48D	With Cen 4380 4500 6160 6880	24,600 25,300 34,600 38,700	T _{iso} 551 [°] R 11.0 11.7 21.0 25.1	39•3 40•3 75•4 89•6	37.7 39.4 71.6 83.1	1880 1875 1884 1897	1790 1759 1794 1790	1835 1817 1839 1843
85D 84D 83D 82D	2150 2740 3450 4250	10,300 13,100 16,500 20,300	5 • 45 8 • 60 13 • 3 19 • 7	8.73 13.3 19.7 27.9	8.90 13.4 19.5 27.3	560 560 557 557	840 806 773 742	700 683 670 650	(d) _{Obtained} from plot of $\Delta P_{T_{iso}}$ versus W_{g} .								

.

.

19.7 27.9 19.5 27.3 670 650 557 713 557 742

(c)_{Obtained} from plot of $\Delta P_{T_{iso}}$ versus W_{a}

٠

x

$$\Delta P = \Delta P_{T_{150}} \left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{150}} \right)^{1/3} + \left(\frac{G_{a}}{3600} \right)^{2} \frac{1}{\delta_{1}^{2} \cdot g} \left(\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}} - 1 \right)$$
(11)

GI-M

$$\Delta P = \Delta P_{T_1 So} \left(\frac{T_a}{T_{1So}} \right)^{1/3} + \left(\frac{G}{3600} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\delta_1 g} \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1} - 1 \right)$$
(11)

NACA

.



Figure 1.- Photograph of heater test stand.





. . .

UC *1 and UC *2 air shrouds

Section - A-A

Stewart-Warner slotted-fin heater with central core

wt. - 32.5 lbs (without core)



	Air side	Gas side
	UC [#] I UC [#] 2 Ames	without with core core
Cross-sect. area , ft ²	0.203 0.271 0.271	0.203 0.172
Total wetted perim., ft	18.6 18.7 18.7	11.7 12.3
Hydraulic diameter,ft	0.0436 0.0580 0.058	0 0.0694 0.0559

Ames air shroud wt. - 6.0 lbs

Fig. 2. - Schematic Diagram of Stewart-Warner Slotted-Fin Heater with Central Core and Ames and UC Air Shrouds.

Fig. 2

1

×.

S.C.







Figure 4.- Photograph of Stewart-Warner heater using semi-cross-flow (Ames) air shroud. (Taken before installation of traversing, shielded thermocouple at exhaust-gas outlet.)





Figure 5.- Photograph of Stewart-Warner heater using UC-1 or UC-2 air shroud.



Figure 6.- Photograph showing central core installed in exhaust-gas side of Stewart-Warner heater.



* *



÷ *

Fig. 7. - Thermal output of Stewart - Warner heater without ^{Fi} central core, using Ames air shroud, as a function of ventilating - air rate.







function of ventilating-air rate.

ventilating - air rate.

* *



· · · W-15







\$



é

•









*



)









4

V



Fig. [7.- Overall thermal conductance of Stewart-Warner heater Fig. without central core, using UC #2 air shroud, as a function of ventilating - air rate.

Fig.18.- Overall thermal conductance of Stewart-Warner heater with central core, using UC #2 air shroud, as a function of ventilating-air rate.

Figs. 17,18



. . .













Figs. 21,22



NACA

51-M



Figure 23.- Pressure drop on exhaust-gas side of Stewart-Warner heater, with central core, as a function of exhaust-gas rate.