

E-270

MR No. E5J03

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

# WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

October 1945 as  
Memorandum Report E5J03

ENDURANCE TESTS OF A 22-INCH-DIAMETER PULSE-JET ENGINE  
WITH A NEOPRENE-COATED VALVE GRID

By Eugene J. Manganiello, Michael F. Valerino, and John H. Breisch

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory  
Cleveland, Ohio



WASHINGTON

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

MEMORANDUM REPORT

for the

Air Technical Services Command, Army Air Forces

and the

Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department

ENDURANCE TESTS OF A 22-INCH-DIAMETER PULSE-JET ENGINE

WITH A NEOPRENE-COATED VALVE GRID

By Eugene J. Manganiello, Michael F. Valerino  
and John H. Breisch

SUMMARY

Thrust-stand tests were conducted at high thrust outputs to determine the operating life of a 22-inch-diameter pulse-jet engine equipped with a neoprene-coated valve grid. The results of the endurance tests show that through the use of the neoprene-coated grid the operating life of the pulse-jet engine, as limited by valve deterioration, was extended to more than 164 minutes, as compared with 30 minutes for the standard uncoated grid. The average jet thrust (not deducting the momentum drag of the entering air) developed by the engine was 855 pounds at a simulated ram pressure of 58 inches of water and a fuel flow of 2800 pounds per hour; no decrease in thrust was obtained during the entire 164 minutes of operation. This jet-thrust value represents a slight reduction in performance from the average 890 pounds of thrust obtained with the standard valve grid under similar operation conditions.

INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Air Technical Service Command, Army Air Forces, and of the Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department, an investigation is being conducted at the NACA Cleveland laboratory to improve the performance and extend the operating life of the pulse-jet engine. As a part of this investigation, thrust-stand tests were conducted to determine the sea-level performance of a

22-inch-diameter pulse-jet engine at simulated ram pressures of 0, 13, 40, and 53 inches of water over the entire fuel-flow operating range of the jet engine (reference 1).

The results of reference 1 and of tests conducted at Wright Field (reference 2) indicate that the operating life of the pulse-jet engine, as limited by valve deterioration caused by the repeated impact forces imposed on the valves in closing during operation, is approximately 30 minutes, after which a rapid reduction in engine-thrust output is obtained.

Attempts to increase valve life through change of valve material and thickness have been unsuccessful; oral reports from Wright Field, obtained subsequent to the tests reported herein indicate, however, that the time of satisfactory operation can be increased to about 1 hour by careful selection, honing, and finishing of the valves.

The method of reducing valve deterioration investigated in the present tests consists in diminishing the valve shock forces by cushioning the valves through use of an energy-absorbent material on the valve seats. The entire grid surface of a production flapper-valve assembly was coated with a thin layer of neoprene by means of a process developed by the B. F. Goodrich Company of Akron, Ohio. Thrust-stand endurance tests of this modified valve assembly installed in a pulse-jet engine was conducted at high thrust outputs during July 1945 and the results are presented herein.

#### APPARATUS AND METHODS

The 22-inch-diameter (maximum) pulse-jet engine used in the tests is described in references 1 and 2. The principal dimensions of the engine shell and the positions of the valve-grid assembly and the venturi are shown in figure 1. The thrust test stand and the method of simulating ram pressure and other installation and instrumentation details are essentially the same as those described in reference 1. In order to obtain a better indication of the valve-grid operating temperatures, the thermocouples previously installed on the upstream face of the grid (see reference 1) were transferred to the downstream face. The process used by the B. F. Goodrich Company to neoprene-coat the valve grid is outlined as follows:

(a) The grid sections are degreased, painted with a primer, brushed with neoprene cement, dipped in a coagulant and then in neoprene latex, washed with water, and drained with the trailing edge up.

(b) After drainage the grid sections are dried for 4 hours at a temperature of about 160° F.

(c) The neoprene coating is then cured for 2 hours at a temperature of 210° F. The resulting coating, which varies in thickness from approximately 0.010 to 0.015 inch, is distributed over the entire grid surface.

The first 43.6 minutes of endurance testing were conducted at simulated ram pressures of 18, 40, and 58 inches of water (average total pressures above atmospheric pressure of the inlet combustion air as measured at the entrance to the jet-engine diffuser) for various fuel flows within the cycling range of the engine. The rest of the testing time (120 min) was accumulated at an average simulated ram pressure of 58 inches of water and a fuel flow of 2800 pounds per hour, which represents operating conditions at about the maximum thrust obtainable with the test installation. The testing was conducted in time intervals ranging from about 3 to 30 minutes. Measurements of thrust, air flow, fuel flow, fuel pressure, and ram pressure were recorded after each change and stabilization of operating conditions and at periodic intervals during operation at constant conditions. Shell temperatures were observed throughout the tests and grid temperatures were recorded during a few of the runs. The jet thrust, which is calculated from the test measurements by the method described in reference 1, represents the thrust of only the leaving jet, that is

$$F_j = \frac{W}{g} V_j \quad (1)$$

where

$F_j$  jet thrust of unit, pounds

$W$  combustion-air weight flow through unit, pounds per second

$g$  acceleration of gravity, 32.2 feet per second per second

$V_j$  effective jet velocity, feet per second

The sea-level flight thrust, excluding external drag, that would be developed by the unit is obtained (as in reference 1) by subtracting the momentum of the entering air from the jet thrust as follows:

$$F = \frac{W}{g} (V_j - V_o) = F_j - \frac{W}{g} V_o \quad (2)$$

where

- F predicted sea-level flight thrust, pounds
- $V_o$  free-stream flight velocity corresponding to the simulated ram pressure at which  $F_j$  is obtained, feet per second

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the data obtained during the first 43.6 minutes of testing at variable operating conditions is presented in table I. A similar summary of the data subsequently obtained at constant operating conditions (simulated ram pressure, 58 in. water; fuel flow, 2800 lb/hr) is presented in table II. The run numbers listed in the tables indicate periods of continuous operation of the engine. Values of both the jet thrust  $F_j$  (see equation (1)) and the corresponding predicted sea-level flight thrust  $F$  (see equation (2)) are included in the tables.

The jet thrust and the combustion-air weight flow for the constant operating conditions (data from table II) are plotted against total operating time in figures 2 and 3, respectively. Inspection of these figures indicates that the engine apparently operates between a low-power and a high-power level at irregular intervals; during the tests this change in power level was accompanied by a very noticeable change in noise intensity and in the amplitude of the induced vibrations. The explanation for the sporadic variation in power level is not known. The average jet thrust obtained during the constant operating condition (fig. 2) is 855 pounds and the average combustion-air flow (fig. 3) is 10.75 pounds per second. During the tests of reference 1 a standard uncoated valve-grid assembly operating at the same ram pressure and fuel-flow conditions developed an average jet thrust of approximately 890 pounds at a combustion-air flow rate of 11 pounds per second. This result would indicate a slightly adverse effect of the neoprene-coated valve-grid assembly on the performance of the pulse-jet engine, which is attributed to the reduction in free-flow area of the grid caused by the neoprene coating.

The jet thrust and the combustion-air weight flow show no tendency to drop (figs. 2 and 3) even after 163.6 minutes of operation, indicating that the valve deterioration at this point is of insufficient magnitude to affect performance. The condition of the flapper-valve grid assembly after a total operating time of 51.6 minutes and 163.6 minutes is shown in figures 4 and 5, respectively. After 51.6 minutes of operation no deterioration of the valves was visible except for slight discolorations, which apparently were not harmful. After 163.6 minutes of operation, one valve was

completely broken off near the rivet holes, evidently due to fatigue in flexure, and three other valves were beginning to split and fray near the trailing edges. Although the valve assembly could possibly have been operated for additional time without appreciable loss in performance, 163.6 minutes is taken as a conservative estimate of the life of the neoprene-coated flapper-valve grid assembly for the operating condition at high thrust output. This value of valve life represents an appreciable increase over the 30-minute life of a standard unit. Observations of the temperature of the downstream face (flame side) of the valve grid during the last part of the tests indicated a maximum grid temperature of 280° F, which is well below the 380° F safe limit specified for the neoprene coating.

Inspection of the grid at intervals during the course of the tests revealed a slightly adhesive or tacky condition of the rubber coating, which caused the valves to stick to the grid. Although this condition apparently did not affect the performance of the engine, it might possibly have an adverse effect on static starting of the engine. Representatives of the B. F. Goodrich Company attribute the tacky condition to the presence of excess quantities of the coagulant used in the coating process and not to the characteristics of the neoprene itself, which tends to harden with prolonged exposure to heat. They believe that careful washing of the coating prior to the drying and curing phases of the process will give the desired results.

#### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The results of thrust-stand tests at high thrust outputs of a 22-inch-diameter pulse-jet engine equipped with a neoprene-coated valve-grid indicate that:

1. The operating life of the pulse-jet engine, as limited by valve deterioration, was longer than 164 minutes as compared with 30 minutes for the standard valve assembly.
2. The average jet thrust developed by the engine was 855 pounds at simulated ram pressure of 58 inches of water and fuel flow of 2800 pounds per hour and did not depreciate during the 164 minutes of operation. This value of thrust represents a 4-percent reduction in performance from the average 890 pounds of thrust obtained with the standard valve grid under similar operating conditions.

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory,  
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,  
Cleveland, Ohio, October 3, 1945.

## REFERENCES

1. Magnaniello, Eugene J., Valerino, Michael F., and Essig, Robert H.: Sea-Level Performance Tests of a 22-Inch-Diameter Pulse-Jet Engine at Various Simulated Ram Pressures. NACA MR No. E5J02, 1945.
2. Bogert, R. C.: Life Test of Ford MX-544 Intermittent Jet Engine. Memo, Rep. Ser. No. TSEPL-5-673-56, Eng. Div., Army Air Forces, Dec. 13, 1944.

TABLE I - SUMMARY OF TEST DATA AT VARIABLE OPERATING TEST CONDITIONS

Run	Total operating time of flapper valve at end of run (min)	Simulated ram pressure (in. water)	Fuel flow (lb/hr)	Fuel-nozzle pressure (lb/sq in. gage)	Combustion-air weight flow (lb/hr)	Combustion-air temperature (°F)	Jet thrust (lb)	Predicted sea-level flight thrust (lb)
1	3.1	58.5	3400	58	39,960	80	842	668
		58.7	3200	53	40,320	77	883	707
		59.0	2800	41	37,800	78	866	700
		58.6	2400	31	36,360	79	805	646
2	6.0	59.1	3400	59	39,600	128	753	579
		58.5	3200	53	38,880	128	858	688
		55.5	2800	40	37,440	131	786	627
		59.3	2400	32	36,000	133	692	533
3	9.0	38.4	3000	37	35,640	139	728	602
		36.4	2800	33	32,400	137	699	588
		39.9	2400	24	30,960	138	599	488
		40.8	2200	20	30,240	137	551	440
4	12.0	37.6	3000	46	36,360	88	758	631
		38.0	2800	40	35,280	86	731	607
		40.0	2400	30	32,040	87	699	583
		40.2	2200	25	31,320	86	652	538
5	13.6	58.8	3400	58	40,320	86	867	691
		58.9	2800	51	37,800	86	847	682
6	16.4	38.8	3000	-----	33,840	135	709	589
		37.8	2800	40	35,280	135	738	614
		37.8	2400	31	31,320	136	650	540
		40.1	2200	27	30,960	136	639	528
7	17.3	19.1	2400	-----	30,960	135	531	454
8	19.9	57.4	3200	-----	39,600	85	794	623
		57.6	2800	-----	37,800	84	843	679
9	22.4	59.0	2400	31	36,000	85	743	585
		58.0	3200	51	38,160	130	774	608
		59.1	2800	40	39,960	132	800	624
		58.7	2400	31	35,640	133	745	589
10	25.0	38.7	2800	37	33,480	134	725	606
		38.8	2400	30	34,920	134	661	537
		38.9	2200	25	30,960	135	640	530
11	27.5	37.6	2800	39	35,280	94	761	638
		36.7	2400	30	32,040	93	723	612
		40.3	2200	25	31,320	93	646	532
12	30.1	15.8	2400	25	28,080	79	644	581
		20.4	2000	21	26,640	79	558	490
		20.5	1600	-----	24,120	79	363	301
13	32.7	18.7	2400	25	28,080	126	586	513
		19.3	2000	21	27,360	128	509	440
		19.8	1600	-----	24,120	128	429	368
14	35.4	38.5	3000	43	33,480	134	703	584
		38.7	2800	39	34,200	135	737	616
		37.6	2400	31	31,680	136	696	585
15	38.2	37.5	3000	42	35,640	91	773	649
		37.1	2800	40	34,920	89	764	642
		37.4	2400	31	32,040	89	702	590
16	40.9	57.9	3200	50	39,960	85	781	608
		57.2	2800	41	37,440	84	821	659
		60.3	2400	-----	36,000	83	749	589
17	43.6	58.4	3200	50	38,520	135	788	610
		57.4	2800	41	37,800	136	784	620
		60.3	2400	31	35,640	137	694	536

E-270



TABLE II - SUMMARY OF TEST DATA AT CONSTANT  
OPERATING TEST CONDITIONS

[Nominal simulated ram pressure, 58 in. water; fuel flow, 2800 lb/hr; fuel-nozzle pressure, 41 lb/sq in. gage; combustion-air temperature, 94° F]

Run	Total operating time of flapper valve at end of run (min)	Simulated ram pressure (in. water)	Combustion-air weight flow (lb/hr)	Jet thrust (lb)	Predicted sea-level flight thrust (lb)
18	46.8	58.4	36,720	766	605
19	49.9	59.2	36,720	766	605
20	51.6	59.0	36,360	702	540
21	59.1	58.0	39,960	876	702
		56.8	37,800	814	651
		57.3	37,440	838	676
22	74.1	60.0	38,520	867	696
		57.9	38,520	851	683
		57.5	38,160	868	703
		57.6	38,160	837	672
		58.6	38,160	863	696
		57.6	39,600	812	640
		57.8	39,600	794	621
		58.5	37,080	860	698
		57.2	37,800	879	716
		57.8	38,160	897	732
		57.2	37,440	868	706
		56.9	37,800	853	690
		58.1	37,440	820	657
58.0	38,520	838	671		
23	87.0	57.0	37,440	843	682
		57.6	37,800	853	689
		57.2	38,520	875	708
		57.7	39,600	867	696
		58.3	38,160	894	728
		57.9	39,600	944	772
		58.5	37,800	873	708

National Advisory Committee  
for Aeronautics

E-270

TABLE II - SUMMARY OF TEST DATA AT CONSTANT

OPERATING TEST CONDITIONS - Continued

Run	Total operating time of flapper valve at end of run (min)	Simulated ram pressure (in. water)	Combustion-air weight flow (lb/hr)	Jet thrust (lb)	Predicted sea-level flight thrust (lb)		
24	112.1	58.2	39,960	841	667		
		58.1	37,800	872	707		
		58.4	37,800	857	692		
		57.4	39,240	910	740		
		58.4	37,440	820	657		
		57.2	37,800	861	698		
		57.3	37,800	840	677		
		55.3	37,800	907	746		
		58.0	37,440	845	682		
		58.0	38,520	843	675		
		56.8	37,440	865	704		
		25	142.1	58.0	39,600	839	667
				59.2	39,960	851	675
60.1	39,960			859	682		
57.1	39,960			914	741		
59.0	39,960			926	750		
57.3	39,960			788	615		
57.0	38,880			861	693		
56.3	38,880			788	622		
57.6	39,960			872	698		
57.7	38,880			890	721		
57.0	38,520			890	723		
59.0	37,800			862	696		
58.0	39,600			897	725		
58.0	37,440			839	676		
58.0	39,240			886	716		
58.0	39,960			865	691		
58.0	37,800			860	696		
59.0	39,600	900	727				

National Advisory Committee  
for Aeronautics


E-270

TABLE II - SUMMARY OF TEST DATA AT CONSTANT  
OPERATING TEST CONDITIONS - Concluded

Run	Total operating time of flapper valve at end of run (min)	Simulated ram pressure (in. water)	Combustion-air weight flow (lb/hr)	Jet thrust (lb)	Predicted sea-level flight thrust (lb)
26		58.0	39,960	847	673
		58.0	40,320	870	695
		58.0	39,960	858	684
		59.0	39,960	866	690
		58.0	39,960	872	698
		59.0	39,960	909	734
		58.0	38,880	893	724
		58.0	39,600	876	704
		58.0	39,600	841	699
		58.0	39,600	824	652
		58.0	39,600	839	667
		58.0	39,600	862	690
	163.6	58.0	39,600	795	623

National Advisory Committee  
for Aeronautics

E-270



NATIONAL ADVISORY  
COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

Figure 1. - Dimensions of 22-inch-diameter pulse-jet engine.




Figure 2.- Variation of measured jet thrust with flapper-valve operating time. Average simulated ram pressure, 58 inches of water; fuel flow, 2800 pounds per hour.




Figure 3.- Variation of combustion-air weight flow with flapper-valve operating time. Average simulated ram pressure, 58 inches of water; fuel flow, 2800 pounds per hour.




Figure 4. - Neoprene-coated flapper-valve grid assembly after 51.6 minutes (after run 20) operating time.

NACA MR No. E5J03




Figure 5. - Neoprene-coated flapper-valve grid assembly after 163.6 minutes operating time.

NACA  
C-11061  
7-4-45