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## SUMMARY

The present report deals with the flow past an infinite screen of thin airfoil (two-dimensional problem). The vortex distribution across the profile is established with appropriate expansion in series and the velocity distribution lift, moment, and profile shape deduced. Inversely, the distribution is deduced from the vorticity.

The method is the extension of the Birnbaum-Glauert method for the isolated wing.

## INTRODUCTION

The present report describes a method for computing infinite screens of airfoils.

This method is the natural but not immediate extension of the Birnbaum-Glauert method for isolated airfoils. By this is meant that the object of the present study involves screens of thin and slightly curved airfoils.

For convenience the screen whose axis (straight line connecting the median points of the airfoil chord) is at right angle to the chord, is called straight screen; that whose axis contains the profile chords, screen in tandem; and that whose axis is oblique with the chordi, oblique screen. By the same definition the obliquity of the screen is the angle of the screen axis with the direction at right angle to the chord.

[^0]The term "chord" is employed in a broad sense and it signifies a straight ine a little distance from the profile in reference to which the ordinates of the several points of the profile itself are measured, without imposing that it connect the extreme points or other conditoons of the kind.

## I. THE STRAIGHT SCREFN

1. An infinite screen of equal and equidistant vortices disposed on axis $y$, with circulation $\Gamma$ and spacing $h$ induces in a point $P$ of the coordinate $z=\ldots$ $x+i y$ the velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 \Gamma}{2 \pi(z-n i h)}=-\frac{i \Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi z}{h} . \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to a complex potential

$$
\Phi=\frac{1 \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \sinh \frac{\pi z}{h}
$$

(the axes are orientated as in fig. 1).
On a point of axis $x$. we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-\frac{i \Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi x}{\dot{h}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the components of the induced velocities by $u$ and $\nabla$, we put

$$
w=u-i v
$$

whence it is readily seen that

$$
u=0 \quad \nabla=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi x}{h}
$$

Assume now that the vortex distribution follows a law $\quad \gamma=f(x)$ along the chord of the airfoils of the straight screen.

Simply suppose that the wing chord is of length 2 ?
and contains between $x=-1$ and $x=1$ (fig. 2). Then the induced velocity of the whole screen on axis $x$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2 h} \int_{-\eta}^{\eta} \gamma d x^{\prime} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)}{h} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh \frac{\pi x}{h}=\xi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which readily yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\pi x}{h}-\frac{\pi x^{\prime}}{h}\right)=\frac{1-\xi \xi^{\prime}}{\xi-\xi^{\prime}} \\
d x=\frac{h}{\pi} \frac{1}{1-\xi^{2}} d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \gamma \frac{1-\xi \xi^{\prime}}{\xi-\xi^{\prime}} \frac{1}{1-\xi^{\prime 2}} d \xi^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\tanh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\xi}{\lambda}=-\cos \theta \quad \alpha \xi=\lambda \sin \theta d \theta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1-\lambda^{2} \cos \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}} \gamma d \theta^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{c}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta} \gamma d \theta^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta^{\prime}}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta^{\prime}} X^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta^{\prime}} \gamma \theta^{\prime}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{1+\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}}\right) \gamma d \theta^{\prime}\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note that the first of the two integrals of equation ( 7 ) is a function of $\theta$, and consequently of $x$, while the second is independent or represents a variation of the constant incidence.
ie then adopt for $\gamma=f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)$, in Glauert's example, the series expansion

$$
\gamma=V\left(a_{0} \cot \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{2}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sin n \theta^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $V$ indicates the asymptotic velocity along the positive direction of axis $x$, and $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{n} \ldots$, the single terms of the preceding series, and $\nabla_{0} \nabla_{1} \ldots \nabla_{n} \ldots$ the corresponding values of $\nabla$, so that $\nabla=\sum_{0}^{\infty} \nabla_{n}$.

In addition, $\nabla_{n}=\nabla_{n}{ }^{\prime}+\nabla_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ where $\nabla_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ is the part related to $\theta, \nabla_{n} "$ the part not related to $\theta$.

We have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}^{\prime}=V \frac{a_{0}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \cot \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{2}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta} \theta^{\prime}=V \frac{a_{0}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1+\cos \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta} \theta^{\prime}=\frac{V a_{0}}{2} \\
& v_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\nabla a_{0} \lambda}{4 \pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1+\cos \theta^{\prime}}{1-\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}} d^{\prime}-\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1+\cos \theta^{\prime}}{1+\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}} d \theta^{\prime}\right\}= \\
& =\frac{V a_{0} \lambda}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tanh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}=\frac{V a_{0}}{2}\left(\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]whence
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{0}=\nabla_{0}^{1+v_{0}}=\frac{\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi}{h}}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Similarly,
$v_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{V a_{n}}{2 \pi} \int^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin n \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta} d \theta^{\prime}=-\frac{V a_{n}}{2} \cos n \theta$
$v_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{V a_{n} \lambda^{0}}{4 \pi}\left\{\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin n \theta^{\prime}}{1-\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}} d \theta^{\prime}-\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \sin n \theta^{\prime}}{1+\lambda \cos \theta^{\prime}} d \theta^{\prime}\right\}$
$=\frac{V a_{n} \lambda}{4 \pi} \pi \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q}{h}\left\{\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}+\left(-\tanh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right)^{n}\right\}=\frac{0 \quad(n \quad \text { is odd) }}{\frac{V}{2} \operatorname{anh}^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h} \text { ( } n}$
is even)
and hence

$$
v_{n}=\frac{V a_{n}}{2}\left(-\cos n \theta+\frac{0}{\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}}\right) \quad \begin{align*}
& n  \tag{9}\\
& n \quad \text { is odd }
\end{align*}
$$

And finally, using $\Sigma^{\prime}$. to indicate that the sum is extended to odd values of $n$ and $\sum^{\prime \prime}$ to indicate the extension to include even; values:
$v^{\prime}=\Sigma v_{n}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{V}{2}\left(a_{0}-\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n \theta\right)$
$\nabla^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i} \nabla_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\nabla}{2}\left[2 a_{0} \frac{\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{1-\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}}+\sum_{2}^{\infty} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right]$
or, by expansion in series:

$$
v^{\prime \prime}=\frac{V}{2} \cdot \sum_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{n}+2 a_{0}\right) \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi\}}{2 h}
$$

2. Now the circulation $\Gamma$ n corresponding to the variaoust terms $\gamma_{n}$ and hence the complete circulation $\Gamma=\Sigma \Gamma_{n}$ can be computed.

It is:

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\int_{-q}^{q} \gamma_{n} d x
$$

where

$$
d x=\frac{h}{\pi} \frac{\lambda \sin \theta d \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=\int_{-} \gamma_{0} d x=\frac{V a_{0} \lambda h}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1+\cos \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta=V a_{0} \cdot h \sinh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{n}=\int_{-l}^{l} \gamma_{n} d x & =\frac{V a_{n} \lambda h}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{sinn} \theta \sin \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos { }^{2} \theta} d \theta  \tag{11}\\
& =V a_{n} h\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 \quad \text { is even }) \\
\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}(n \text { is odd) }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
I=\Sigma \Gamma n & =V h\left(a_{0} \sin h \frac{\pi l}{h}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right) \\
& =V h \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+2 a_{0}\right) \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The velocity at infinity (negative for $x=-\infty$, positive for $x=+\infty$, but equal in both cases in magitude) is readily obtained from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\infty}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h}=\frac{V}{2} \sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}+2 a\right) \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the foregoing we can now draw some inferences about the differences between the direction of the tangent to the profile on the trailing edge and the direction of the velocity at $\infty$, a difference which may be termed angular exaggeration of the blade screen.

In effect, the trigonometric tangents of the two angiles are expressed by $v(\pi) / V$. and $\nabla_{\infty} / V$ and their ditference $\delta$ (which, knowing the assumed smallness of these angles, is dissipated in the difference of the angles) is expressed by

$$
\delta=\frac{v(\pi)-\nabla_{\infty}}{V}
$$

where $\nabla(\pi)$ indicates the value of $v$ for $\theta=\pi$.

Likewise, $\delta$ can be considered ans the sum of $\delta_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, each $\delta_{n}$ corresponding to $\Gamma_{n}$; whence follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{0}=\frac{a_{0}}{2}\left[\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}-\sinh \frac{\pi l}{h}\right]=\frac{a_{0}}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi l}{h}} \\
& \delta_{n}= \pm \frac{a_{n}}{2}\left(1-\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right)_{-n}+\frac{\operatorname{lod}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. If the flow velocity has a component $-V$ in $\alpha$ on axis $y$, the shape of the profile remaining the same, ar may change in such a way that the $\nabla_{0}$ do not change. In other words, a change in ac does not change the incadence of the profile.

In the case where there is only $a_{0}$ and all the other terms are nullified, $v$ would also remain constant and the wing would be flat, with an incidence $\alpha$ expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{a}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=2 \alpha \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}} \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the known formula (reference l, p. 96).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=2 \pi V q \alpha \frac{h}{\pi l} \tanh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in comparison with the isolated wing, the circulation, and hence the lift, would be reduced in the ratio $\tanh \frac{\pi l}{h} / \frac{\pi 2}{h}$.

In the general case equation (18) is still applicable, but the absolute incidence is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}+\frac{\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{2 \tanh \frac{\pi l}{h}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now have for lift $P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=p \Gamma V=2 \pi \rho V^{2} q \alpha k \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k$ signifying the reduction ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\tanh \frac{\pi l}{h}}{\frac{\pi l}{h}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Proceeding to the determination of the shape of the wing, we have:

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{V}{V}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi i}{h}+\Sigma^{\prime \prime} \frac{a_{n}}{2} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}-\Sigma \frac{a_{n}}{2} \cos n \theta
$$

and so, as in the case of the isolated wing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}=\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y}{d x} \cos n \theta \cdot d \theta \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is understood that $\frac{d y}{d x}$ should be expressed in relation to $\theta$.

Practically, given $y$ (and hence $\frac{d y}{d x}$ ) as a function of $x$, we can pass from $x$ : to $\theta$ by means of the velalion

$$
\cos \theta=-\frac{\tanh \frac{\pi x}{h}}{\tanh \frac{\pi l}{h}}
$$

Example.- In the majority of cases the evaluation of the first three terms of the series will be sufficient to obtain profiles of single and double camber.

For $n=0$, it is simply

$$
\frac{d y_{0}}{d x}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi 1}{h}
$$

which represents a straight line of inclination $\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}$. For $n=1:$

$$
\frac{d y_{1}}{d \bar{x}}=-\frac{a_{1}}{2} \cos \theta \quad \cdot \dot{y}_{1}=-\int \frac{a_{1}}{2} \cos \theta \frac{h}{\pi} \frac{\lambda \sin \theta d \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos 2} \theta
$$

from which, after integration, follows

$$
\frac{y_{1}}{q}=a_{1} \frac{1}{2 \frac{\pi l}{h} \tanh \frac{\pi l}{h}} \ln \cosh \frac{\pi x}{h}+K
$$

Curve in $\cosh \frac{\pi x}{h}$ is shown in figure 3 . For $n=2:$

$$
\frac{d y_{2}}{d x}=\frac{a_{2}}{2} \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}-\frac{a_{2}}{2} \cos 2 \theta
$$

which, integrated, gives:

$$
y_{2}=a_{2}\left[\frac{\tanh \frac{\pi x}{h}}{\frac{\pi l}{h} \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{h}}-\frac{x}{h} \frac{\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{h}}\right]
$$

The second term represents a constant inclination; the first, proportional to $\tanh \frac{\pi x}{h}$, has the shape of the curve in figure 3 and is repsonsible for double camber of the profile.

If the three terms are present, we have

$$
\delta=\frac{a_{0}}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi h}{h}}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}\left(1-\tanh \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right)-\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(1-\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi i}{2 h}\right)
$$

or else

$$
\delta=\frac{a_{0}}{2} e^{-\frac{\pi h}{h}}+a_{1} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi h}{2 h}}}{\cosh \frac{\pi h}{2 h}}-\frac{a_{2}}{2 \cosh ^{2} \frac{\pi h}{2 h}}
$$

for $\quad l=h$, for instance, it is:

$$
\frac{\pi q}{h}=\pi \text { and } e^{-\frac{\pi q}{h}=\frac{1}{23}}
$$

Restricted to the first term, it is:

$$
\delta=\alpha \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi l}{h}}}{\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}}=\because \frac{1}{529} \alpha
$$

The second reaches $0.041 a_{1}$ and the third, $-0.08 a_{z}$.
5. We now make the comparison with the theory of substitution vortices (reference 2).

In the case of the flat wing (excluding self-induced velocity, disposing the vortices in the forward neutral point* and computing the induced velocity in the rear neutral point) it simply gives:

$$
v^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l}{h}-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}-V \alpha
$$

and on the other hand:

$$
\Gamma=-2 \pi i \nabla^{\prime}
$$

Therefore:

$$
-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l}{h}-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}-V \alpha
$$

whence

$$
\Gamma=2 \pi V: \alpha \frac{h}{\pi l} \tanh \frac{\pi l}{h}
$$

which is the same formula previously worked out. From this it is conciuded that the method of substitution vortices gives, for the flat wing, not merely approximate but exact results.

In the case of the cambered wing (single camber)it is observed that the inclination of both the leading and trailing edges $\pm a_{1} / 2$. Now, in the case of the isolated wing, an equal inclination is obtained with an equal value of $a_{1}$ to which corresponds a moment coefficient with respect to the forward neutral point, of $\frac{\pi}{8} a_{1}$ to which
*The term "forward neutral point" and "rear neutral point" for points situated at quarter-chord length from the tip was introduced by Küssner.
corresponds a doublet $\mu=\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot V \cdot a_{1}$. According to the general procedure of substitution vortices, it happens , that we can compute the induced velocity in the rear neutral point of a screen of doublets (exclusive of that on the wing). But it is readily seen that the symmetry of the vortices with respect to the origin is such that the center of gravity of the circulation remains in the same origin for such a wing. In consequence,it is sufficient to consider the induced velocity of a screen of vortices disposed on axis $y$ : We will have:

$$
v_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}-\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{\pi l}
$$

Other than this, the form of the profile produces a change in the inciaenca, or, what amounts to the same thing, a change in zero lift direction, given (for isolated wing) at $\frac{a_{1}}{4}$. As a result:
from which

$$
\Gamma_{1}=V a_{1} h \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tanh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{1-\frac{h}{\pi l} \tanh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}
$$

A comparison with the exact formula

$$
\Gamma_{1}=V a_{1} h \tanh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}
$$

shows the approximation to be no longer satisfactory despite the fact that the ratio $\pi i / h$ becomes of the order of unity or higher.

It is interesting, on the other hand, to notice that the rigorous result can be obtained by assuming the induction of screen vortices to be reduced to half. In effect then we have:

$$
\Gamma_{1}=-\pi \imath \Gamma_{1}\left\{\frac{\operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{2 h}-\frac{1}{\pi q}\right\}+2 \pi i v \frac{a_{2}}{4}
$$

from which the exact value for $\Gamma_{1}$ given above can be readily obtained.
6. A convenient and sufficiently approximate method for the practical prediction of the characteristics of a wing of given shape is that of stopping with the first three terms of the series, and deriving the coefficients of inclination of the tangents to the profile in three points l, 0, , by the Birnbaum method.

Denoting the inclination of the tangent in a generic point $x$, with $\alpha_{x}$ and the inclinations in points $A B C$ (leading edge, trailing edge, and center of profile (fig. 4) with $\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}, \alpha_{C}$, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{A}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}-\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(-1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right) \\
& \alpha_{B}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(-1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right) \\
& \alpha_{C}=\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi!}{h} \quad \frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=\frac{\alpha_{C}}{\cosh \frac{\pi l}{h} \times \cosh \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}+\frac{\alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B}}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{\pi ?}{2 h}} \\
& a_{I}=\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A} \\
& a_{2}=\alpha_{C}-\frac{\alpha_{A}+\alpha_{B}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from equation (12):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=V h\left\{\alpha_{C} \frac{\tanh \frac{\pi q}{h}}{\cosh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}+2 \alpha_{B} \tanh \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, better:

$$
\Gamma=2 \pi q \nabla \frac{\tanh \frac{\pi q}{h}}{\frac{\pi l}{h}}\left\{\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{C}}{2}+\frac{\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{C}}{2} \tanh 2 \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right\}\left(23^{\prime}\right)
$$

In this very simple formula $\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{C}}{2}$ is the angle of lift for the isolated wing. The second term in parenttheses disappears when $h / l \longrightarrow{ }^{\infty}$, while the reduction factor $\tanh \frac{\pi q}{h} / \frac{\pi l}{h}$ tends toward 1.
equation (23) or (23') can be used for all practical cases of the straight screen.

It is noted that in place of equation (23) the following procedure may be adopted: To compute $\Gamma$ as the sum of $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{I}$ each computed by the method of substitution vortices of which at n. 5, allocating to the profile incidence $\alpha_{C}$ in the calculation of $\Gamma_{0}$ and incidence $\frac{\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{C}}{2}$ in the calculation of $\Gamma_{1}$. It is easy to see that the two methods lead to the same results.
7. The prediction of the moment is more complicated. The moment $M$ about origin 0 (center of profile) is expressed by

$$
M=\rho \nabla \int_{-2} Y x d x
$$

but the expression of $x$ obtained from equation (3) and equation (6) is too complicated for direct integration. It is more convenient to expand $x$ in series of cosines.

Posted, that is to say:

$$
x=\sum_{1}^{\infty} b_{n} \cos n \theta
$$

we have:

$$
b_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} x \cos n \theta d \theta=-\frac{2}{\pi n} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin n \theta \frac{h \lambda}{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

which is easily obtained by integrating by parts; and, firrally,

$$
b_{n}=\begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{2 h}{\pi n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h} \text { for } n \text { odd } \\
0 & \text { for } n \text { even }
\end{array}
$$

## Then:

$$
x=-\frac{2 h}{\pi} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h} \cos \operatorname{n} \theta
$$

and, consequently, with the usual notation:
$M_{0}=-\frac{2 h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \rho \nabla^{2} \lambda \int_{0}^{\pi} a_{0} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}\left[\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \tanh ^{m} \frac{\pi l}{2 h} \cos m \theta\right] \frac{\sin \theta d \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}$
$M_{n}=-\frac{2 h^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \rho \nabla^{2} \lambda \int_{0}^{\pi} a_{n} \sin n \theta\left[\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \tanh ^{m} \frac{\pi q}{2 h} \cos m \theta\right] \frac{\sin \theta d \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta}$
In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}=-\frac{h^{2}}{\pi} \rho V^{2} a_{0} \cosh \frac{\pi i}{h} \ln \cosh \frac{\pi i}{h} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point of application of the lift is obtained by dividing $M_{0}$ by $P_{0}=\rho V^{2} a_{0} h \sinh \frac{\pi l}{h}$, whence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=-\frac{h}{\pi} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l}{h} \cdot \ln \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

or else:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\tanh \frac{\pi i}{h}}{\frac{\pi i}{h}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \tanh ^{3} \frac{\pi l}{h}+\frac{1}{3} \tanh ^{5} \frac{\pi l}{h}+\ldots\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that $x_{0} \rightarrow-\frac{l}{2}$ for $\frac{l}{h} \rightarrow 0$, whereas it tends toward $-i$ for $\frac{l}{h} \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, the aerodynamic center of the profile for the flat plate is shifted toward the leading edge so as to reduce the spacing (h) of the screen.

As for the moments $M_{n}$, we immediately have $M_{n}=0$ for odd $n$, as is shown by the symmetry with respect to 0 of the flow distribution, while for even $n$ the moment is other than zero, only the complete circulation and the lift being zero.
$M_{n}$ " is easily determined, when bearing in mind that
$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta}{i-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta$ for $n<0$ is identical to that ob-
taine for the same value of $n$ with changed sign, as distinguished from that obtained with the material applycation of the formula that gives the value of the said integral $\left(\pi \cosh \frac{\pi q}{h} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right.$ for even $\left.n\right)$

Therefore:
$M_{n}=-\frac{h^{2}}{2 \pi} \rho V^{2} a_{n} \lambda \sum_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{m}^{m} \tanh ^{m} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\left(J_{m+n-1}+J_{m-n+1}-J_{m+n+1}-J_{m-n-1}\right)$
after posting (see appendix)

$$
J_{n}=\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

Thus we obtain:
$M_{n}=\frac{h^{2}}{\pi} \rho V^{2} a_{n} \Sigma^{\prime} m \tanh ^{m} \frac{\pi q}{2 h} \cdot \frac{1}{m}\left[\tanh ^{\ln +m \mid} \frac{\pi q}{2 h} \pm \tanh ^{n-m} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right]$ (26)
where the + sign is valid for $m \leq n-1$ and the - sign for $m \geq n+1$.

For $n=2$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 \pi} \rho V^{2} a_{2}\left[\left(\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}-\frac{1}{\tanh ^{2 \pi} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}\right) \ln \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}+2\left(1+\tanh ^{2 \pi} \frac{\pi h}{2 h}\right)\right] \\
& M_{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{\pi} \rho V^{2} a_{2} \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}\left[-\frac{2 \ln \cosh \frac{\pi l}{h}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{h}}+\frac{1}{\cosh 2 \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or, in more expressive form:
$M_{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{\pi} \rho V^{2} a_{2} \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\left[1+2 \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\tanh ^{4} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{3 \cdot 5}+\frac{\tanh ^{8} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}}{5 \cdot 7}+\ldots\right)\right]$
For $\frac{\pi q}{2 h} \rightarrow 0, M_{2} \rightarrow \frac{\pi q^{2}}{4} \rho V^{2} a_{2} ;$ the value character-
istics of the isolated wing, while for $\frac{\pi l}{2} \rightarrow \infty, M_{2} \rightarrow 0$.
Since a variation in incidence changes ao only, the point $x_{o}$ previously oitained is such that with respect to it the moment does not change with the incidence. This is; moreover, the aerodynamic center of the profile of the screen.

## II. THE SCREEN IN TANDEM

8. The treatment is wholly similar to that of the straight screen.

The induced velocity of a screen of vortices with equidistant $h$ arranged on axis $x$ (one of the vortices in the origin) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi(z-n h)}=-\frac{i \Gamma}{2 h} \cot \frac{\pi z}{h} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence in a point on axis $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdot v=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \cot \frac{\pi x}{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same notation as before, we post:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad \tan \frac{\pi x}{h}=\xi ; \cot \left(\frac{\pi x}{h}-\frac{\pi x^{1}}{h}\right)=\frac{1+\xi \xi 1}{\xi-\xi^{\prime}} \\
d x=\frac{h}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\xi^{2}} d \xi ; \lambda=\tan \frac{\pi q}{h} ; \frac{\xi}{\lambda}=-\cos \theta
\end{array}
$$

hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1+\lambda^{2} \cos \theta \cos \theta^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{\prime}-\cos \theta^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{\sin \theta 1}{1+\lambda^{2} \cos \theta^{\prime}} \gamma d \theta \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, after simple transformations:

$$
v=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin e^{\prime}}{\cos \theta^{3}-\cos \theta} \gamma d \theta^{\prime}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \theta^{\prime}}{1+\lambda^{2} \cos \theta^{2} \cdot \theta^{\prime}} \gamma d \theta^{\prime}
$$

wherefrom, after posting

$$
\gamma=\nabla\left(a_{0} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sin n \theta\right)
$$

we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{0}^{\prime}=V \frac{a_{2}}{2} \quad \nabla_{0}^{\prime \prime}=V \frac{a_{0}}{2}\left(\cos \frac{\pi l}{h}-1\right) \quad \nabla_{0}=V \frac{a_{0}}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{h} \\
& \nabla_{n}^{\prime}=-V \frac{a_{n}}{2} \cos n \theta \quad v_{n}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}-V \frac{a_{n}}{2} \tan ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h} & \text { for } n \text { even } \\
0 & \text { for } n \text { odd }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and finally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime}=\frac{V}{2}\left(a_{0}-\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n \theta\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in the case of the straight screen

$$
\nabla^{\prime \prime}=\frac{V}{2}\left\{a_{0}\left(\cos \frac{\pi q}{h}-1\right)+\sum_{a}^{\infty} n i^{n} \tan ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}\right\}
$$

or else

$$
\nabla^{\prime \prime}=\frac{V}{2} \sum_{2}^{\infty} n i^{n}\left(a_{n}+2 a_{0}\right) \tan ^{n} \frac{\pi l}{2 h}
$$

As regards the circulation, we have, correspondingly:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\cdot \Gamma_{0}=V a_{0} h \sin \frac{\pi l}{h}  \tag{30}\\
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V a_{n} h i^{n-i} \tan ^{n} \frac{\pi i}{2 h} \text { for } n \text { odd } \\
0 \quad \text { for } n \text { even }
\end{array}\right. \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

and finally, for the flat wing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=2 \pi V i \alpha=\frac{\tan \frac{\pi i}{h}}{\frac{\pi l}{h}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

a formula similar to equation (18).

The tandem arrangement amplifies, as is seen, the circulation considerably.

Similarly, we can study the shape of profiles corresponding to the various terms of the circulation, which is omitted here for the sake of brevity.
9. The comparison with the theory of substitution vortices remains to be made. For the straight wing, the vortices being disposed in the forward neutral point, the induced velocity in the rear neutral point is:

$$
\nabla^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \cot \frac{\pi l}{h}-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}-V \alpha
$$

and

$$
\Gamma=-2 \pi i \nabla^{1}
$$

whence:

$$
-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} \cot \frac{\pi q}{h}-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi q}-V \alpha
$$

from which we obtain equation (32).
In this case also, the results obtained are not merely approximate but exact. And as for the straight screen, exact results are obtained for cambered wing by disposirg a screen of vortices in points in the center of the profile and reducing the induction by one-half.

For the sake of brevity, other similar considerations for the straight screen are omitted inasmuch as regards the practical calculation of the lift in relation to in-. clination $\alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B} \alpha_{C}$, shape of profiles, and calculation of the moment.

## III. THE OBLIQUE SCRTEN

10. Let $\beta$ signify the obliquity of the screen, defined as the angle through which axis $y^{\prime}$ containing the vortices must rotate in order to be superimposed on axis $\quad$ (İig. 6) .

To pass from $w$ referred to axes $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$, to w referred to axes x, it is sufficient to post in the complex potential

$$
z^{\prime}=z e^{i \beta}
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=-\frac{i \Gamma}{2 h} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi z e^{i \beta}}{h} e^{i \beta} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a point on axis $x$, the value of $w$ is simply obtained by posing $x$ at tine position of $z$. The value of $w$ is complex. hence there is a real component, that is to say, along axis $x$, which is neglected, and an imaginary one, that is, along axis $y$, which alone is of interest.

We write:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tanh \frac{\pi x e^{i \beta}}{h}=\xi ; \lambda=\tanh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h} ; \\
\frac{\xi}{\lambda}=-\cos \theta(\theta \operatorname{comple} x) \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

and merely follow the procedure step by step, as for the straight screen: Thus

$$
\therefore \gamma_{0}=\nabla a_{0} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}=\nabla a_{0} \frac{1+\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}
$$

$$
\gamma_{n}=\nabla a_{n} \sin n \theta
$$

with complex $a_{o}$, and $a_{n}$. Besides:

$$
w=-\frac{i e^{i \beta}}{2 h} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \gamma d x^{j} \times \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi\left(x-x^{i}\right) e^{i \beta}}{h}
$$

whence

$$
w=-\frac{i}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1-\lambda^{2} \cos \theta \cos \theta^{1}}{\cos \theta^{1}-\cos \theta} \times \frac{\sin \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos \theta^{2}} \gamma d \theta^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}^{\prime}=-\frac{i V a_{0}}{2} ; w_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{i V a_{0}}{2}\left(\cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h}-1\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, on the whole:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\frac{i \nabla a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=-\frac{i V a_{n}}{2}\left(-\cos n \theta+\frac{0}{\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}}\right)_{n}^{n} \text { oven } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding with the calculation of $\Gamma$ (generally complex), we find:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{0}=V h e^{-i \beta} a_{0} \sinh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}:  \tag{38}\\
& \Gamma_{n}=V h e^{-i \beta} a_{n}\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & n \text { even } \\
\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h} & n \text { odd }
\end{array}\right. \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

The total $\Gamma$ must, of necessity, be real; in effect it contains an imasinary part which may represent a total source other than zero, which is naturally not admissible, forcing the wing profile to be closed. Then we would have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left\{e^{-i \beta}\left(a_{0} \sinh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}+\Sigma^{\prime} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the imaginary coefficient.
11. The presence of a source distribution across the chord prompts us to attribute a certain thickness to the profile (F. Fistolesi- "Theory of Thin Airfoils" - in course of publication). We can, however, obtain the shape of the "dorsal spine" of the profile by the canvertional method, namely, by ascertaining the vertical component of the induced velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla=\frac{V}{2} R\left\{a_{0} \cosh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}-\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n \theta+\Sigma \prime a_{n} \tanh \frac{n_{\pi} l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where. $R$ indicates the real part; and we put $\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{V}{V}$.
 linear profile with incidence $\frac{V_{0}}{\nabla}, \quad \alpha=\frac{l}{2} R\left[a_{0} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right]$; the $y_{n}$ have curved profiles, from which the curve can also be computed; but for simplicity this very complex development is foregone.

If it is the only term in $a_{o}$, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}^{2}=A_{0} \frac{e^{i \beta}}{\sinh \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{0}$ real, and then it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1}{2} A_{o} R\left[e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the inclination of the profile for $\theta=$ $\pi$ (trailing edge) can be calculated. It is:

$$
\frac{\nabla(\pi)}{V}=\frac{1}{2} R\left(a_{0} \cosh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}+\Sigma \prime a_{n}-\Sigma " a_{n}+\Sigma n a_{n} \tanh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right.
$$

For $\infty$, we find: $\nabla_{\infty}=\frac{\Gamma \cos \beta}{2 h}$, or:

$$
\frac{\nabla_{\infty}}{\nabla}=\frac{1}{2} \cos \beta\left\{e^{-i \beta}\left(a_{0} \sinh \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}+\Sigma^{\prime} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\}
$$

or else, since the part in brackets is itself real:

$$
\frac{\nabla_{\infty}}{V}=\frac{1}{2} R\left(a_{0} \sinh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}+\Sigma^{\prime} a_{n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)
$$

whence the "angular exaggeration". $\delta$ follows at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{1}{2} R\left\{a_{o} e^{\frac{i \pi r e^{i \beta}}{h} \pm \sum a_{n}}\left(1-\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\}_{-f o r}+\text { for } n \text { oven } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

12. A method for verifying that equation (40) is satisfactory is to put, as previously done in the case where $a_{0}$ only is other than zero (equation (42)):

$$
a_{0}=A_{0} \frac{e^{i \beta}}{\sinh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}}
$$

and in addition

$$
a_{n}=A_{n} \frac{e^{i \beta}}{\tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}}\left(A_{n}\right. \text { real) }
$$

In this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\nabla h\left(A_{0}+\Sigma^{\prime} A_{n}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the flat wing, the lift reduction factor can be computed much more simply. In fact, posting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=2 \pi 2 \forall a k \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the reduction factor, leaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{A_{0}}{\alpha} \frac{h}{2 \pi i}=\frac{\frac{h}{\pi i}}{\cdot R\left(e^{i \beta} \cdot \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by simple calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\cosh }{} \frac{l}{2}\left(\frac{\pi i}{h} \cos \beta\right) \cosh \left(\frac{\pi l}{h} \cos \beta\right)+\cos 2\left(\frac{\pi l}{h} \sin \beta\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{h} \sin \beta\right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi l}{h} \sin \beta\right) \quad \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

or else:
$k=\frac{h}{\pi l} \frac{\cosh \left(\frac{2 \pi l}{h} \cos \beta\right)-\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi l}{h} \sin \beta\right)}{\cos \beta \sinh \left(\frac{2 \pi l}{h} \cos \beta\right)+\sin \beta \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi l}{h} \sin \beta\right)}$
Formula (49) agrees with that by Numachi (reference 3),
according to a.method previously indicated by Grammel (reference 1 ), with the difference that the NumachiGrammel procedure does not exactly fit the flat wing; but rather one with slight camber.*

We can finally verify, for the case in point, the method of substitution vortices.

The vertical induced velocity in the rear neutral point of the screen of vortices concentrated in the forward neutral point, the self-induced velocity, is

$$
v=\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)-\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi l}
$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$
\Gamma=2 \pi i\left\{V \alpha-\frac{\Gamma}{2 h} R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)+\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi q}\right\}
$$

from which equation (48) follows. Again the method of substitute vortices insures exact.results.

It will be noted that every change in incidence, without change in profile form, results in a change of $a_{0}$; even the $\Delta a_{0}$ corresponding to a change $\Delta \alpha$ must have the form (42), which must correspond to a zero lift source. However, in general, equation (47) holds true for $\Gamma$, or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=2 \pi i V \alpha k \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

the terms $a_{0}, a_{i}, a_{2} \ldots$ (and hence the shape of the profile) affect only the position of the zero lift curve whose angle of lift $\alpha$ must be computed.

The method of substitute vortices can also be applied for obtaining $\Gamma_{I}$, if equation (45) is taken for $a_{1}$,

[^2]with the single condition that the screen of voritices $\Gamma_{I}$ are placed. in the centers of profiles and the induced. velocity is halved.

We have, in fact:

$$
\Gamma_{1}=-\pi i \Gamma_{1}\left\{\frac{1}{2 h} R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi\left\{e^{i \beta}\right.}{2 h}-\frac{1}{\pi q}\right)\right\}+2 \pi i \nabla \alpha_{1}
$$

where $\alpha_{1,}$, the natural incidence of the curved profile, is given by

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla_{1}(0)+\nabla_{1}(\pi)}{V}=\frac{1}{4} R\left(a_{1}\right)
$$

from which follows:

$$
I_{1}=\frac{R\left(a_{1}\right)}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)} \nabla h
$$

or, with equation (45) for $a_{1}$,

$$
\Gamma_{I}=V h A_{I}
$$

conformable to equation (46).
In general, the method of substitute vortices is applacable by computing $\Gamma$ as the sum of $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{i}$; giving the profile an incidence $\alpha_{0}$ (fig. 4) for computing $\Gamma_{0}$ and incidence $\frac{\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{C}}{2}$ for computing $\Gamma_{1}$. It affords
$\Gamma=2 \pi 2 V\left\{\alpha_{c} \frac{\frac{h}{\pi l}}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \cosh \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{B-\alpha_{C}}^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\frac{2 h}{\pi q}}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \cosh \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)}\right\}$
13. The practical calculation of the aerodynamic characteristics of a given airfoil can be carried out by stopping at the first three terms of the expansion of $\gamma$.

Equation (40) supplies, however:

$$
I\left(a_{0} e^{-i \beta} \sinh \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}+a_{1} e^{-i \beta} \tanh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)=0
$$

Moreover, with the notation used for the straight screen, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{A}=R\left\{\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi r e^{i \beta}}{h}-\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(-1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\} \\
& \alpha_{B}=R\left\{\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi z e^{i \beta}}{h}+\frac{a_{1}}{2}+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(-1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\} \\
& \alpha_{C}=R\left\{\frac{a_{0}}{2} \cosh \frac{\pi r e^{i \beta}}{h} \quad+\frac{a_{2}}{2}\left(1+\tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which:
$\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}=R\left(a_{1}\right)$
$\alpha_{C}-\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}=R\left(a_{2}\right)$
$\alpha_{C}\left(1-R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\eta l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{B^{+}} \alpha_{A}}{2}\left(1+R \tanh \frac{{ }^{2} \Pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)=R\left(a_{0} \cosh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)$
The problem generally remains indeterminate; to make it determinate two other conditions prevail (one, represented by equation (40), which naturally must depend upon the profile thickness.

Reserving the treatment of this point for the next paragraph, let us see what the results are from proceeding on the basis of equation (45). We have:

$$
A_{0}=\frac{\alpha_{C}\left(1-R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}\left(1+R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)}
$$

$$
A_{I}=\frac{\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)}
$$

and, substituting in equation (46):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=2 \pi i v\left\{k \alpha_{C}+k_{1} \frac{\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}-2 \alpha_{C}}{4} R\left(\tanh { }^{2} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)\right\} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is expressed by equation (48), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{\frac{2 h}{\pi l}}{R\left(e^{i \beta} \cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

the same $k$ in which $\frac{1}{2}$ i replaces length $?$.
Iquation (53) coincides with (5l) when $a_{z}=0$ and hence $\left(\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{C}\right)+\left(\alpha_{A}-\alpha_{C}\right)=0$. Other forms into which equation (53) can be transformed are omitted for brevity.
14. It will be noted that, with the effected position, we have as a value of the source for $x=0$, or for $\theta=$ $\frac{\pi}{2}$, the imaginary coefficient of $V\left(a_{0} \cot \frac{\pi}{4}+a_{1} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, or:

$$
\epsilon=V I\left(A_{0} \frac{e^{i \beta}}{\sinh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h}}+A_{1} e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)
$$

This source intensity defines an inclination of the tangents to the profile on the top and bottom camber with respect to the tangents to the center line given by $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{V}$, and, in consequence, an angle $\tau$ between the two quoted tangents (fig. 7) given by*

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=A_{0} I\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{cosech} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)+A_{1} I\left(e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such values of T...are termed $T_{0}$. They vary, as is seen, with the incidence, since $A_{0}$ itself varies as the incidence varies.
*Angle $T$ is positive when the nose is to the left.

The ensuing solution is a special case. In general,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=\left(A_{0}+i B_{0}\right) e^{i \beta} \operatorname{cosech} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h} \\
& a_{n}=\left(A_{n}+i B_{n}\right) e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}
\end{aligned}
$$

should replace equation (45).
With these positions, equation (40) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}+\Sigma^{\prime} B_{n}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

while continuing the evaluation of equation (46), or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\nabla h\left(A_{0}+\Sigma^{\prime} A_{n}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stopping,: as usual, with the first three terms, and posting, for simplicity of notation,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}=p+i q \\
e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}=p_{1}+i q_{1} \\
e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}=p_{n}+i q_{n}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(in which $k=\frac{h}{\pi i p}$ e $k_{1} \frac{2 h}{\pi \imath p_{1}}$ ), we obtain in place of equation (52) the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}=A_{1} p_{1}-B_{1} q_{1}  \tag{58}\\
\alpha_{C}-\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}=A_{2} p_{2}-B_{2} q_{2} \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

$a_{C}\left(1-R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}\left(1+R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)=A_{O} p-B_{O} q$

Thess 3 formulas are then supplemented by that obtained by angle $T$, which, if it is considered that
$\operatorname{cosech} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}=\operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}-\operatorname{coth} \frac{\dot{\pi} q e^{i \beta}}{h}$, is written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=A_{0}\left(q_{1}-q\right)+B_{0}\left(p_{1}-p\right)+A_{1} q_{1}+B_{1} p_{1} \\
& \text { From (56) finally follows }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
B_{0}+B_{1}=0
$$

After $-B_{-2}$ is substituted for $B_{0}$, equations (58), (60), and (61) give three equations in $A_{1}, B_{1}$, and $A_{0}$ which, resolved, give:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
A_{0}+A_{1} & =\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)_{0}+B_{1} M  \tag{62}\\
T & =T_{0}+B_{1} N
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)_{0}$ and $T_{0}$ indicate the values of $A_{0}+A_{1}$ and of $T$ for $B_{1}=D_{0}=0$, and, besides:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
M=\frac{q_{i}}{p_{1}}-\frac{q}{p}  \tag{63}\\
N=q_{1} M+p-\frac{q^{2}}{p}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As to $T_{0}$, its value given in (55) can be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau_{0}=\left\{\alpha_{C}\left(1-R \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}\left(1+B \tanh ^{2} \frac{\pi q}{2 h}\right)\right\} \frac{q_{1}-q}{p} \\
+\left(\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}\right) \frac{q_{1}}{p_{1}} \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the profile of single camber, so that $a_{2}=0$ and hence $a_{C}=\frac{\alpha_{B}+\alpha_{A}}{2}$, it simply gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{0}=2 \alpha_{C} \frac{q_{1}-q}{p}+\left(\alpha_{B}-\alpha_{A}\right) \frac{q_{1}}{p_{1}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=(\Gamma)_{0}+V h \frac{M}{N} \cdot \Delta \tau \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we post

$$
\Delta T=T-T_{0}
$$

and ( $\Gamma$ ) indicates the value of $\Gamma$ for $B_{1}=0$, given in equation (53).

This formula shows that $\Gamma$. depends not only on the form of the "mean line" of the profile, as for the straight screen and the screen in tandem, but also on the airfoil thickness or, if preferred, to the curve of the top and bottom camber.

The problem is, as seen, rather complicated and the practical calculation of the oblique screen wich is the one that involves the propeller and the blades of hydraulic machines, is necessarily laborious.
15. A few words on the moment calculation. The alementary moment. with respect to origin 0 is $\rho V(\gamma x d x)$, and hence

$$
M=\rho \nabla R \int \gamma x d x
$$

To effect this integration, $x$ is expanded in series by posing

$$
x=\sum_{z}^{\infty} b_{n} \cos n \theta
$$

with

$$
b_{n}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} x \cos n \theta d \theta=-\frac{2}{\pi n} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin n \theta \frac{h \lambda}{\pi} e^{-i \beta} \frac{\sin \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

whence

$$
b_{n}= \begin{cases}-\frac{2 h e^{-i \beta}}{\pi n} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h} & \text { for } n \text { odd } \\ 0 & \text { for } n \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

Proceeding as For the straight screen, we find

$$
M_{0}=-\rho \frac{h^{2} V^{2}}{\pi} R\left(a_{0} e^{-2 i \beta} \cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h} \ln \cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)
$$

If it is assumed that

$$
\alpha_{0}=A_{0} e^{i \beta} \operatorname{cosech} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h}
$$

the result is

$$
M_{0}=-p \frac{\dot{h}^{2} V^{2}}{\pi} A_{0} R\left(e^{-i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h} \ln \cosh \frac{\pi l e^{i \beta}}{h}\right)
$$

and now the point of application of the lift is characterizod by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=\frac{M_{0}}{P_{0}}=-\frac{h}{\pi}\left(e^{-i \beta} \operatorname{coth} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{h} \ln \cosh \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{h}\right) \\
& \text { Similarly for } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { : } \\
& M_{n}=\rho \frac{h^{2} \nabla^{2}}{\pi} R\left\{a _ { n } e ^ { - i \beta } \Sigma _ { m } \operatorname { t a n h } ^ { m } \frac { \pi i e ^ { i \beta } } { 2 h } \cdot \frac { 1 } { m } \left[\tanh ^{n+m} \frac{\pi i e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left. \pm \tanh ^{|n-m|} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h} \right\rvert\,\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the + sign applies to $m \leq n-1$, and the - sign to $m \geq n+1$.
For

$$
a_{n}=A_{n} e^{i \beta} \operatorname{coth}^{n} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}
$$

the result is:
$M_{n}=\rho \frac{h^{2} V^{2}}{\pi} A_{n} R\left\{e^{-i \beta} \operatorname{coth}^{n} \frac{\pi z e^{i \beta}}{2 h} \Sigma_{m}^{\prime} \tanh ^{m} \frac{\pi q e^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right.$

$$
\left.x \frac{1}{m}\left[\tanh ^{n+m} \frac{\pi e^{i \beta}}{2 h} \pm \tanh ^{|n-m|} \frac{\pi q^{i \beta}}{2 h}\right]\right\}
$$

## APPENDIX

The integrals used in tine foregoing study are as follows:

1) $\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta}{\cos \theta-\cos \theta_{1}} d \theta=\frac{\pi \sin n \theta_{1}}{\sin \theta_{1}}$

The value of the integral is that expressed by the second term of the preceding formula even if $\theta$ and $\theta_{1}$ are complete because the line along which the integration is made, starting from 0 and terminating at $\pi$, passes through the point $\theta_{1}$.
2) Posting $\quad \lambda=\tanh \alpha$
affords

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta d \theta}{1-\lambda \cos \theta}=\pi \cosh \alpha \tanh ^{n} \frac{\alpha}{2} \\
I_{n} \prime & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta d \theta}{1+\lambda \cos \theta}=\pi \cosh \alpha\left(-\tanh \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above integrals reduced to the form

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta d \theta}{1+p^{2}-2 p \cos \theta}=\frac{\pi p^{n}}{1-p^{2}}
$$

(See Laski, Collection of Formulas, p. 266), by posing

$$
p=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\lambda^{2}}}{\lambda}(p<1)=\tanh \frac{\alpha}{2}
$$

3) 


Division of the integral by two shows how easy it is to verify

$$
J_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{n}+I_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)
$$

4) 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\sin \theta \sin n \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{n-1}-J_{n+1}\right)= \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } n \text { odd } \\
\pi \operatorname{coth} \alpha \tanh ^{n} \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text { for } n \text { odd }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

5) 

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos \theta \cos n \theta}{1-\lambda^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta} d \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{n-1}+J_{n+1}\right) \\
\quad= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } n \text { even } \\
\frac{\pi \cosh ^{2} \alpha}{\sinh \alpha} \tanh ^{n} \frac{\alpha}{2} & \text { for } n \text { odd }\end{cases}
\end{array}
$$

The above formulas for the integrals $I_{n}$, $I^{\prime} n_{n}, J_{n}$, and their derivatives, are equally applicable in the complex field.

Translation by J. Vanier, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

[^3]
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[^0]:    * "Sul calcolo di schiere infinite di ali sottili." Publicazioni della R. Scuola dingegneria di. Pisat (seventh series) no.323, September 1937.

[^1]:    *For the calculus of the integrals in these formulas, see the appendix at the end of the report.
    **For $V_{0}$, the first part $\nabla_{O}{ }^{\prime}$ itself is independent of

[^2]:    *It should be remembered also that Grammel's curved Ine is rather one-half the profile, the other half being formed by the symmetry of the first with respect to the chord; that it involves a flat rather than a curved profile of a certain thickness, which is more clearly shown in the treatment of the present study.

[^3]:    *Probably an error.

