N94-11407

REVIEW OF BETAVOLTAIC ENERGY CONVERSION

Larry C. Olsen

Washington State University / Tri-Cities 100 Sprout Road Richland, WA 99352

Betavoltaic **energy conversion** refers **to** the generation **of** power by **coupling** a beta source to a semiconductor junction device. This paper briefly reviews the theory of betavoltaic energy conversion and some past studies of the subject. Calculations of limiting efficiencies for semiconductor Cells versus bandgap are presented along with specific studies for Pm-147 and Ni-63 fueled devices. The approach used for fabricating Pm-147 fueled batteries by the author **in** the early 1970's is reviewed. Finally, the potential performance of advanced betavoltaic power sources is considered.

INTRODUCTION

Betavoltaic energy conversion refers to the generation **of power** by coupling a beta source **to a semiconductor junction device. Some interest haS been** shown in **this approach to energy conversion at the last two** SPRAT **meetings. As a result, it seemed timely to review the** subject. **This paper briefly reviews the theory of betavoltaic energy conversion, past studies in the** field **and** discusses **the** potential **performance of betavoltaic** _ystems **based on the availability of beta sources and currently available solar cell materials.**

PAST BETAVOLTAIC STUDIES

The first report of an electron-voltaic effect was **given** by Ehrenberg, el al., in 1951 (ref. 1). They were primarily interested in the current magnification **that** resulted when selenium photocells were bombarded by an electron beam. Rappaport was the first to describe betavoltaic studies, **that** is, investigations involving beta sources coupled **to** semiconductor junction devices (ref.2). He reported in 19S3 on characteristics of silicon alloy junctions coupled to a 50 milliecurie $Sr⁹⁰-Y⁹⁰$ radioactive source. One cell produced 0.8 microwatts with an overall efficiency of 0.2 % being attained. The overall efficiency is based on the total power produced by the radioisotope source. Plan and **Roosbroeck** reported on **similar** studies about the same time (ref. 3). They discussed the general problem of betavoltaics and gave experimental results for Sr^{90} - Y^{90} sources **combined** silicon **and** germanium devices.

A more detailed report on the work by Rappaport and coworkers at RCA was given in 1956 (ref. 4). Further results were described for silicon and germasnium alloy junctions coupled to Sr^{90} - Y^{90} beta sources. In addition, the theory of betavoltaic devices was formulated. The interdependence of beta source parameters such as self absorption **coefficient,** beta energy **spectrum and actitivity,** and **semiconductor parameters such as energy** gap **and minority carrier properties were emphasized. The RCA group also identified the potential of Pm-147 betavoltaics in the 1956 paper. This was partially motivated by the negative results obtained with Sr90-y 90 sources coupled to Si devices. As a result of radiation damage, the maximum power** produced **by** a Si/Sr-90 system was found to decay to one-tenth of its initial value within one week of life. The final contribution of the RCA group is contained in a 1964 paper by Flicker, et al. (ref. 5). Si and **GaAs diffused junction devices were coupled to Pm-147 sources. Beta sources were made by precipitating Pm-147 as the hydrated oxide (Pm203,6H20) onto a substrate. Betavoltaic studies with GaAs cells yielded very poor results. Studies with silicon cells included the fabrication of prototype power sources consisting of a Pm-147 source combined with one or two silicon cells. Overall efficiencies of 0.4 % and 0.77 % were achieved. Lifetime studies with these prototypes showed only a slight effect due to radiation damage.**

The most extensive effort concerning betavoltaic energy conversion **appears to have occurred in a program led by the author at Donald W.** Douglas **Laboratories, Richland, WA, from** 1968 to 1974 (ref. 6 and 7). This effort was based on the use of Pm-147 beta sources combined **with Si n/p cells to produce nuclear batteries that were utilized as power sources for heart pacemakers. A brief description of this effort is discussed in a subsequent section.**

PRINCIPLES OF BETAVOLTAIC ENERGY CONVERSION

The basic entity in a betavoltaic power source consists **of a beta-emitting material** coupled **to a junction device as depicted in Figure 1. Some of the key aspects of betavoltaic energy conversion are described by Figure 2. An equivalent circuitfor a betavoltaic cell is essentially the same as that for a solar cell, except that the current** source **is due to** collection **of electron-hole pairs generated by high energy beta particles. The importance one places on the series resistance Rs and the shunt resistance Rsh are reversed when comparing betavoltaics and photovoltaics. The value of Rscan be relatively large in the case of betavoltaics since** the **value of Jsc will typically be in the range of 1 i_A/sq.cm.to 100 izA/sq.cm., whereas in photovoltaic** applications J_{SC} is typically in the range of 10 to 40 mA/sq.cm..Thus, R_S can be 100 ohms in a **betavoltaic cell and cause a ptoblem. On the other hand, it is important to minimize the shunt conductance -- that is, maximize the shunt** resistance. **Since a loss current of 1** IJA **may be significant, it is necessary to utilize devices based on single crystal material. In the remainder of this section, a synopsis of the theory of betavoltaics will be presented, and then utilized to calculate the maximum efficiency of betavoltaic power sources versus semiconductor band** gap.

The current supplied to a load by a betavoltaJc **cell is given by**

$$
J = J_{SC} - J_{Loss}(V) \tag{1}
$$

Jscis the short circuit current and JLoss is the loss **current given** by

$$
J_{1.0SS} = J_0 \exp(qV/kT) + J_{0f} \exp(qV/2kT) + J_{0f} \exp(BV) + V/R_{sh}
$$
 (2)

$$
257\,
$$

$$
\mathsf{C}^{\mathsf{\cdot}\mathsf{4}}
$$

where the loss terms refer to minority carder injection, depletion layer recombination, tunneling and current loss through the effective shunt resistance. Since betavoltaic power sources typically provide low current, the dominant loss mechanism is typically tunneling or depletion layer recombination.

The short-circuit current is given by

$$
J_{SC} = (1-r) Q J_{max} \tag{3}
$$

where 'r' **is the reflection coefficient for beta particles from the semionductor surface, Q is the collection** efficiency and J_{max} is the maximum possible current. The beta particle reflection **depends primarily on the atomic number of the semiconductor. The collection efficiency is the fraction of electron-hole (EH) pairs collected as current relative to the total number of EH created by the beta particle flux that enters the semiconductor device. Since the decrease of beta particle** flux within the semiconductor is proportional to $exp(-\alpha x)$, where α is the absorption coefficient, **analytical expressions for Q for a given device structure are essentially the same as those derived for solar cells. In particular,the beta flux** passing **through a material** can be **written as**

$$
N(x) = (1-r) No exp(-\alpha x) \qquad (4)
$$

If the beta particles **penetrate only on** the **order of a minority carder diffusion length, then values of Q can approach 1.0. For example, since** betas **from Pm-147 only penetrate** silicon **to a depth of 60 I_m,Q-values** can **approach 0.8 to 1.0. If Pm-147 is** coupled **to a direct bandgap material, however, the** collection **efficiency will** be **significantly less since the diffusion length will be much smaller. Thus the value of Q depends on properties of both the source and the semiconductor.**

In order to calculate the maximum efficiency of a system, we must know the maximum possible current. The key considerations **concerning the calculation of Jmax are described in Figure 3. One can define an effective ionization energy** ¢ **which is the average amount of energy expended to create one electron-hole pair. An empirical relationship exists which relates** ¢ **to semiconductor bandgap, namely,**

$$
\varepsilon = (2.8) E_g + 0.5 \qquad \qquad \text{eV} \tag{5}
$$

If N_ and E_ are the incident beta flux and the average beta particle energy, respectively, then the maximum possible **current that one can derive** from **a** betavoltaic **device is given by**

$$
J_{\text{max}} = q N_{\beta} (E_{\beta} / \epsilon) \tag{6}
$$

The maximum power delivered by a cell can be **written as**

$$
P_{\text{max}} = J_{\text{SC}} \text{Voc FF} \tag{7}
$$

Once a beta source **and device structure are defined, Jmax, r and Q can be calculated. Finally, Voc and FF can be calculated if the dominant loss current term(s) is identified. The overall efficiency is defined by**

$$
\eta = (P_{\text{max}}/P_{\text{in}}) \times 100
$$

where

$$
P_{in} = q N_0 E \beta
$$

(9)

where No refers to the number of beta **particles emitted by the source per second, per square cm. of device area.**

It is convenient to write the overall efficiency as a product of three terms, or efficiencies,

$$
\eta = \eta \beta \eta \text{cm s} \tag{10}
$$
\n
$$
\eta \beta = N_{\beta} N_{0}
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{\text{C}} = (1 \cdot \eta) \text{C} \tag{12}
$$

$$
\eta_{\rm S} = \left[\text{Voc FF} / \epsilon \right] \times 100 \qquad \text{\%} \tag{13}
$$

(8)

 \overline{a}

The **term** 11_ **expresses the** fraction **of all** betas **created that are actually emitted** from **the source and directed towards the device, and is therefore referred to as the** beta **source effidency.** 11 **c is a coupling efficiency since it involves properties of both beta source and the semiconductor device. The term is designated as the semiconductor efficiency, since it determines the maximum possible efficiency that can be attained with a given semiconductor coupled to a particular beta source.**

The maximum **possible efficiency of a given** betavoltaic **system as a** function of **bandgap if one assumes that the semiconductor device is an ideal hornojunction. In this case, the current loss term is dictated by minority carrier injection. Following Green (ref.8), we estimate that Jo can be written as**

$$
J_0 = 1.5 \times 105 \exp\left(-E_0/kT\right) \qquad \text{A/sq.cm.} \tag{14}
$$

The fill-factor **can** be **accurately calculated as** follows **(ref.5)**

$$
FF = [v_{OC} \cdot \ln(v_{OC} + 0.72)] / [v_{OC} + 1], \qquad \text{voc} = \text{Voc}/kT \tag{15}
$$

Thus, **once a given beta source is selected** and **an ideal** device **is assumed, the semiconductor efficiency** (11**s) becomes a** fuction **only of bandgap. The potential efficiency of some systems will be examined after possible beta sources are considered. One can calculate an upper limit to betavoltaic device efficiency that is independent of the** beta **source, however. In particular, it can** be **shown that**

$$
\eta_S \leq \text{ Eg}/\epsilon(\text{Eg}) \tag{16}
$$

This limiting value of ns is plotted in Figure 4 **versus bandgap. Due to the** functional **dependence of the effective ionization energy, the limiting value of efficiency dses with bandgap and then levels off at a value slightly over 30 %. Thus, in principle, it is advantageous to utilize large bandgap devices. One must remember, however, that ideal cell behavior is being assumed.**

BETA SOURCE CONSIDERATIONS

The process of selecting a beta emitter involves simultaneous consideration of isotope, haft-life and the effects of radiation damage of semiconductor devices. To fabricate long lived power sources, it is clearlly desirable to utilize isotopes with long **haft-lives. On the other hand, since the beta flux derivable from a source material is inversely proportional to the haft-life, the value of Jmax and thus Pmax are inversely proportional to the haft-life. One must also consider the beta particle energies relative to the semiconductor radiation damage threshold (Eth). In general, it is preferable to have the maximum** beta **particle energy (Emax) less than Eth. Typically, Eth is on the order of 200 keV to 400 keV. Other key considerations are the availability of the radioisotope and the potential dose rate that** might **exist near the power** source. **Table 1 listssome possible beta emitters that meet some of the criteria that have** been **identified. Availability has** become **a key issue. The only isotopes that are readily available are tritium and Kr-85. Both are available in gaseous** form, **and tritium** can **be obtained in the** form **of tritiated** 13foils. **If one were interested in one of the other isotopes, the Department of Energy would need to be** consulted.

THEORETICAL EFFICIENCY OF Pm-147 AND NI-63 BETAVOLTAICS

Considerable attention has been given to the use of Pm-147 and Ni-63 in betavoltaic systems. As noted above Pm-147 fueled **batteries were actually reduced to practice. Ni-63 has been** considered **in the past because of its long haft-life. The use of both of these isotopes is hindered because of the complex processes required to generate the isotope. Calculated efficiencles are considered here because of interest shown in these** materials **in the past, and** for **the purpose of illustration.**

Figure 5 gives a plot of theoretical efficiency of Pm-147 fueled **devices versus bandgap assuming ideal semiconductor junctions and bidirectional sources. By bidirectional sources, it is** implied that the beta flux from both sides of a slab of beta emitting material is utilized. Due to the **ideal cell assumption, the efficiency vs bandgap curve has a similar shape as the limiting efficiency curve given in Figure 4.**

Figure 6A abd 6B describe calculated results for **Ni-63 fueled cells. The device efficiencies are much lower in this case** because **of the** beta **source efficiency. As a result of the low beta energy, Ni-63 sources would suffer from effects of self absorption. The low values of current and power are results of the long half-life and low** beta **particle energy. Similar results are obtained when one considers properties of tritium** fueled **betavoltaic devices.**

Pm-147 FUELED BETAVOLTAIC BATTERIES

The **author led a** program **to** develop Pm-147 fuelled **betavoltaic** batteries **at the** Donald **W. Douglas Laboratories, Richland, WA,** from **1968 to 1974. Pm-147 was available in the** form **of Pm203** from **the U.S. Government. Custom made silicon cells were obtained** from **Heliotek (now Spectrolab) and** from **Centralab (now ASEC). The cells had n/p** junctions **with a mesa around the** device **periphery to minimize leakage currents at low voltages. The author benefited** from **interactions with Gene Ralph at Heliotek and Peter Isles at Centralab.**

The basic **approach to** battery **construction** Is **illustrated by Figure** 7. The **n/p cells and beta sources were stacked in tandem so that the devices were** connected **in series. The Pm-147 sources actually consisted of Pm203 deposited onto Ta sheet. Thus the sources were unidirectional. Self-standing bidirectional Pm203 sources were under development when the program was terminated. Properties of a typical silicon cell** coupled **to a unidirectional source are described in Figures 8A and 8B. Batteries were typically designed with 5 mg/cm2 Pm203 sources. Figure 9 shows a picture of three of the batteries that were made in reasonable quantities. Their properties are summarized in Table 2. These batteries were referred to as Betacel batteries.and were nominally 2** % **efficient (overall efficiency). With bidirectional sources, they would have had efficiencies of 4** %. **The Model 400 Betacel was** considered **seriously** for powering **heart pacemakers by companies in the United States and** Germany. **The short-circuit current and maximum power versus time** for **a typical Model 400 Betacel are** plotted **in Figure 10. Since the** power **required by the pacemaker circuitry was approximately 10 i_watts, the potential lifetime was ten years.** Over **100 people received Betacel** powered pacemakers, **and many of the units lasted 10 years. Although the** potential **use of Betacel batteries for pacemakers appeared very promising, the lithium battery was developed about the same time. Lithium batteries lasted only 7 years, but since they were non-nuclear they were** preferred **by the pacemaker industry. The Betacel batteries were also utilized to a limited extent** for **military purposes.**

CONCLUSIONS

Interest in the use of betavoltaic energy conversion seems to 'pop **up' every** few **years. When the right application emerges, it may** finally **be utilized extensively. Many more choices are now available** for **the semiconductor cell than were available when the Pm-147** fuelled **batteries were developed. Unfortunately, the choice of beta emitting material would appear to be more limited. To place the potential use of betavoltaic** power **sources in perspective, it is useful to estimate the power density versus time** for some possible **advanced systems. Figure 11 descnbes results of calculated properties of some advanced concepts. The thin** film **AIGaAs cells are** assumed **to be self standing devices. There are many other devices that one could consider. For example, GaP with an indirect bandgap, and thus** potentially long **diffusion length, would certainly be of interest** for coupling **to Pm--147. inP with its radiation resistant properties** could **be interesting** for **coupling to high energy beta emitters such as TI-204. Nevertheless, the** power **density curves shown in Figure 11 can** be **used to** make **a** few **key points. Betavoltaic sytems should only** be considered for **low power applications. For example, if one is intersted in** power levels on the order of one watt for ten years, it is clear that on the order of 1000 cm³ of Pm-147 **fueled devices must be considered. The size** may **not be a problem, but the cost might be**

prohibitive. If one considers an application for which 1 milliwatt or 10 microwatts are required, tritium or Pm-147 fueled systems seem reasonable.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. Ehrenberg, et al., "The Electron Voltaic Effect," Proc. Roy. Soc. 64, 424(1951).
- $2.$ P.Rappaport, "The Electron-Voltaic Effect in p-n Junctions Induced by Beta Particle Bombardment," Phys. Rev. 93, 246(1953).
- 3. W.G. Pfan and W. Van Roosbroeck, "Radioactive and Photoelectric p-n Junction Power Sources," J.Appl. Phys. 25, 1422 (1954).
- P. Rappaport, J. J. Loferski and E. G. linder, "The Electron-Voltaic Effect in Germanium and 4. Silicon P-N Junctions," RCA Rev. 17, 100 (1956).
- 5. H. Flicker, J. J. Loferski and T. S. Elleman, "Construction of a Promethium-147 Atomic Battery," IEEE Trans, ED-11, 2 (1964).
- 6. L. C. Olsen, "Betavoltaic Energy Conversion," Energy Conversion 13, 117 (1973).
- $7₁$ L.C. Olsen, "Advanced Betavoltaic Power Sources," Proc. 9th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, page 754 (1974).
- 8. Martin A. Green, "Solar Cells," Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1982, Chapter 5.

ISOTOPE	Emax (MeV)	$\tau_{1/2}$ (yr)	Maximum J_{SC} for Si (arbitary units)
H ³	0.018	12.3	3×10^{-3}
Ni ⁶³	0.067	92	10^{-3}
pm^{147}	0.230	2.62	1
T ₁ 204	0.765	3.75	17
Kr ⁸⁵	0.670	10.9	28

TABLE 1 -- POSSIBLE BETA SOURCES

TABLE 2 -- BETACEL CHARACTERISTICS

Characteristic	Model 50	Model 200	Model 400
Performance characteristics?			
Maximum power (μW)	50	200	400
Voltage at maximum power (V)	$1-3$	$3 - 3$	$4-0$
Open circuit voltage (V)	1.7	4.7	4.9
Short circuit current (μA)	45	72	112
Curies Pm ¹⁴⁷	12	73	66
Efficiency $(\%)$	$1 \cdot 0$	0.7	$1 - 7$
Physical characteristics			
Diameter (cm)	1-52	2.03	2.29
(in)	0.60	0.80	0.90
Overall height (cm)	$1-02$	1.65	2.44
(in)	0.40	0.65	0.96
Mass (g)	17	55	98
Radiation dose rate at 2.5 cm from battery center!			
BOL (mrem/h)	2.3	8 - 1	6 - 1
At end of 5 years (mrem/h)	$1-2$	4.3	$3 - 3$
5 year time averaged (mrem/h)	$1 \cdot 7$	5.9	\cdots

Figure 1. Basic Approach To Betavoltaic Energy Conversion.

- **• High energy electrons (beta rays) produce** e lectron/hole pairs in semiconductor cell
- **• Diode characteristics,JLOSS(V), of junction determine the current. I. to external load**
- **JLOSS(V) must be small in order** to **produce useful power**

Electron-hole pairs

$$
N_{EH} = (No electron-hole pairs/cm2/sec)
$$

= $N_{\beta} \left(\frac{\bar{E}_{\beta}}{\epsilon} \right)$

$$
\varepsilon =
$$
 Effective ionization energy

$$
= (2.8) Eq + 0.5 eV
$$

 N_{β} = Beta flux entering junction device

Maximum current

Figure 4. Limiting Betavoltaic Efficiency Versus Semiconductor Bandgap.

Calculated Efficiency For Pm-147 Betavoltaic Systems Versus Bandgap. Figure 5.

Approach Used For Betacel Construction. Figure 7.

 $\ddot{\rm _i}$

Figure 8. Betavoltaic Properties Of Silicon n/p Cells Coupled To Pm-147 Sources.

Betacel Batteries Developed At Donald W. Douglas Laboratories. Left to Right: Figure 9. Model 200, Model 400 and Model 50.

3186

Figure 10.

Short Circuit Current and Maximum Power Versus Time For a Model 400 Battery.

Power Density Versus Time For Advanced Betavoltaic Concepts. Figure 11.