JPL Publication 92-15, Vol. II

## An Improved Approach for Flight Readiness Certification— Methodology for Failure Risk Assessment and Application Examples

Volume II: Software Documentation

N. R. Moore D. H. Ebbeler L. E. Newlin S. Sutharshana M. Creager

June 1, 1992



Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California The research described in this publication was carried out by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

. . . .

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.

#### Preface

This report presents the methodology for evaluating flight readiness developed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) under NASA RTOP 553-02-01 sponsored by the Office of Space Flight (OSF), NASA Headquarters. This methodology was developed as a part of the Certification Process Assessment task initiated by OSF due to concern about criteria for certifying flight readiness of the Space Shuttle propulsion system.

An early phase of this work included an extensive review of certification and failure risk assessment approaches used by the aerospace industry and government agencies. Based on the findings of this review,<sup>1</sup> further work was focused on defining, developing, and demonstrating an improved technical approach for failure risk assessment that can incorporate information from both test experience and engineering analysis to obtain a quantitative failure risk estimate. This approach, called Probabilistic Failure Assessment (PFA), is of particular value when information relevant to failure prediction, including test experience and knowledge of parameters used in engineering analyses of failure phenomena, is expensive or difficult to acquire. Under such constraints, a quantitative evaluation of failure risk based on the information available from both engineering analysis and operating experience is needed to make effective risk management decisions and utilize financial resources efficiently.

The PFA methodology is applicable to failure modes that can be characterized by analytical or empirical modeling of failure phenomena and is especially useful when models or information used in analysis are uncertain or approximate. PFA can be applied at any time in the design, development, or operational phases of a program to quantitatively estimate failure risk based on the information available at the time of the risk assessment and can be used to evaluate and rank alternative measures to control risk, thereby enabling the more effective allocation of limited financial resources.

The work documented in this report was carried out by a multidisciplinary team of JPL technical personnel, which was managed by N. R. Moore. This team was composed of individuals with expertise in statistics, systems modeling, and engineering analysis. D. H. Ebbeler formulated and structured the statistical methodology and directed its implementation. L. E. Newlin formulated and implemented probabilistic engineering models and implemented the statistical methodology. S. Sutharshana

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See [3] of Section 1.0 references.

formulated probabilistic engineering analysis methods and models. M. Creager<sup>2</sup> made major contributions to defining and formulating the probabilistic modeling approach and engineering analysis procedures used in this work. Present or former JPL personnel who made substantial contributions in early phases of this work include D. L. Schwartz, W. E. Edmiston, and L. J. Grondalski. D. Goode and J. Ramsay typeset the manuscript, including graphics, using computerized desktop publishing methods, and E. Reinig edited the manuscript.

In developing the PFA methodology, the JPL team interacted with aerospace system manufacturers, the Marshall Space Flight Center, and the Lewis Research Center. Individuals of these organizations generously shared information and spent significant amounts of time with the JPL team. In particular, Rocketdyne, Canoga Park, California, and Pratt & Whitney, West Palm Beach, Florida, collaborated in performing the application examples given herein. In addition, technical comments on certification approaches and failure modeling were provided by the above-listed organizations and by General Electric, Cincinnati, Ohio; the Federal Aviation Administration; and the Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

The PFA methodology, examples of its application to spaceflight components, and computer software used to implement PFA are documented in the three volumes of this report. Volume I documents the PFA methodology and the application examples, including the rationale for PFA and the analysis procedures used in the examples. Volume II contains user's guides and flowcharts for the computer software used to implement PFA in the application examples. Volume III presents the structure and listings of the computer programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Currently of Structural Integrity Engineering, Chatsworth, CA.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the guidance and encouragement provided by D. L. Winterhalter of the Office of Space Flight, NASA Headquarters, whose support made this work possible. The authors appreciate the encouragement of R. Bardos, J. Mulcahy, and other individuals of OSF. The review and comments on this work provided by R. Weinstock of Vitro, Washington, D. C. contributed substantially to its successful completion.

The application examples of this report were performed in collaboration with Rocketdyne, Canoga Park, California, and Pratt & Whitney, West Palm Beach, Florida. Several individuals at each organization contributed generously to this work, including E. P. Fox and C. G. Annis of Pratt & Whitney, and K. J. O'Hara and D. O'Connor of Rocketdyne. The authors worked particularly closely with E. P. Fox of Pratt & Whitney and K. J. O'Hara of Rocketdyne; their considerable contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

Present or former personnel of the NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, whose cooperation was instrumental in successfully performing this work, include J. Lombardo, J. S. Richards, G. W. Smith, L. D. Salter, H. P. Stinson, and J. Townsend.

The authors appreciate the technical comments provided by L. Beitch and A. Coles of the General Electric Co., Cincinnati, Ohio, on flight readiness certification approaches and fatigue life modeling. The effort of G. R. Halford of the NASA Lewis Research Center in providing information on materials fatigue life modeling is appreciated.

Throughout the course of this work constructive guidance was provided by the Liquid Rocket Engine Certification Subcommittee of Aerospace Division Committee G-11, Society of Automotive Engineers. The membership of this subcommittee included: W. E. Campbell, Aerojet; K. J. O'Hara, Rocketdyne; E. P. Fox, Pratt & Whitney; J. S. Richards and H. P. Stinson, NASA-MSFC; R. L. Doebler, Aerospace Corp.; and N. R. Moore, JPL.

Finally, the authors wish to acknowledge the review of the technical approach of this work provided by the late R. P. Feynman of the California Institute of Technology.

The authors express their gratitude to all those individuals who contributed to this work and regret that a complete listing is not feasible.

#### Abstract

An improved methodology for quantitatively evaluating failure risk of spaceflight systems to assess flight readiness and identify risk control measures is presented. This methodology, called Probabilistic Failure Assessment (PFA), combines operating experience from tests and flights with engineering analysis to estimate failure risk. The PFA methodology is of particular value when information on which to base an assessment of failure risk, including test experience and knowledge of parameters used in engineering analyses of failure phenomena, is expensive or difficult to acquire.

The PFA methodology is a prescribed statistical structure in which engineering analysis models that characterize failure phenomena are used conjointly with uncertainties about analysis parameters and/or modeling accuracy to estimate failure probability distributions for specific failure modes. These distributions can then be modified, by means of statistical procedures of the PFA methodology, to reflect any test or flight experience. Conventional engineering analysis models currently employed for design or failure prediction are used in this methodology.

The PFA methodology can be applied at any time in the design, development, or operational phases of a program to quantitatively estimate failure risk based on the information available at the time failure risk is assessed. Sensitivity analyses conducted as a part of PFA can be used to evaluate and rank such alternative measures to control risk as design changes, testing, or inspections, thereby enabling limited program resources to be allocated more effectively.

PFA is generally applicable to failure modes that can be characterized by analytical or empirical models of failure phenomena and is especially useful when models or information used in analysis are uncertain or approximate. Such failure modes include, but are not limited to, fatigue, flaw propagation, rupture, degradation and wear, and malfunction of mechanical or electrical systems.

It is often not feasible to acquire enough test experience to establish high reliability at high confidence for spaceflight systems. Moreover, the results of conventionally performed engineering analyses of failure modes can be subject to serious misinterpretation when uncertain or approximate information is used to establish analysis parameters and calibrate the accuracy of analysis models. Under these conditions, a quantitative evaluation of failure risk based on the information available from both test or flight experience and engineering analysis is needed to make effective risk management decisions. This report describes the PFA methodology and presents examples of its application. Conventional approaches to failure risk evaluation for spaceflight systems are discussed, and the rationale for the approach taken in the PFA methodology is presented. The statistical methods, engineering models, and computer software used in fatigue failure mode applications are thoroughly documented.

. . .

-----

#### **Table of Contents**

.

•

VOLUME I – Methodology and Applications
Preface
Acknowledgments
Abstract
Table of Contents
List of Figures
List of Tables
1.0 Introduction
1.1 Flight Roadinges Assessment
1.1.1 The Flight Readiness Assessment Problem
1.1.2 Conventional Approaches to Flight Readiness Assessment 1-5
1.1.2.1 Testing to Establish Flight Readiness
1.1.2.2 Deterministic Engineering Analysis
1.2 An Improved Approach to Flight Readiness Assessment
1.2.2 The Probabilistic Failure Assessment Methodology
1.2.3 Probabilistic Failure Modeling
1.2.4 Driver Characterization
1.2.5 Computational Methods
1.3 Implementing the PFA Methodology
1.4 Report Organization
References
Appendix 1.A The Limitations of Testing for Reliability Demonstration
Appendix 1.B List of Acronyms
2.0 Methodology
2.1 Statistical Analysis
2.1.1 Failure Simulation Statistics
2.1.2 Materials Fatigue Life Characterization
2.1.2.1 Stress/Life Characterization of Fatigue Failure of Materials 2-0
Meterences
2.1.2.2 Strain/Life Undracterization of Fallyue Fallure Of Materials 2919
2.1.2.3 Process variation miniaterials

ix for our managements

	2.1.3.1 Driver Probability Distributions
	Uniform Distribution
	Normal Distribution
	Beta Distribution
	2.1.3.2 Load Scale Factors
	Reference
	2.1.4 Composite Stresses in High Cycle Fatigue Analysis
	Reference
	2.1.5 Duty Cycle Effects in Reliability Estimation
	2.1.6 Modeling Spatially Symmetric Components
22	Engineering Analysis
<i>4.4</i>	2 2 1 High Cycle Fatigue Failure Modeling
	2.2.1 Introduction
	2.2.1.2 Load Description and Stress Analysis
	Vibration Environment Characterization
	Finite Element Stress Analyses
	2 2 1 3 Duct Stress Analysis
	Ovality Effect
	Torus Effect
	Stress Summation
	2 2 1 4 Damage Calculations
	Rainflow Cycle Counting
	Mean Stress Effects
	2.2.1.5 Component Analyses
	References
	2.2.2 Low Cycle Fatigue Failure Modeling
	2.2.2.1 Introduction
	2.2.2.2 ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Analysis
	Component Description
	Low Cycle Fatigue Failure Modeling Approach
	Driver Transformation
	Mission Stress History for the ATD Disk
	Modeling Multiple Critical Locations
	Probabilistic Failure Model Implementation
2.3	Analysis Procedures
	2.3.1 Introduction
	2.3.2 Driver Characterization
	2.3.3 Preliminary Deterministic Analysis
	2.3.4 Driver Transformation
	2.3.5 Probabilistic Failure Model Formulation
	2.3.6 Materials Characterization

	2.3.7 Time History Definition	)
	2.3.8 Significant Parameter Identification	)
	2.3.9 Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimation	!
	2.3.10 Driver Sensitivity Analysis	l.
	2.3.11 Bayesian Updating 2-84	•
	2.3.12 Probability of Failure Curve Standardization	ł
	Appendix 2.A Pictorial Example of Rainflow Counting	5
	Appendix 2.B List of Symbols for Statistical Analysis	)
	Appendix 2.C List of Symbols for Engineering Analysis	\$
3.0	Application Examples	I
	3.1 Flbow Duct HCF Analysis	3
	3.1.1 HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Description	3
	3.1.2 LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Description	5
	3.1.3 Driver Description for Elbow Ducts	7
	3.1.4 HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Analysis	ł
	3.1.5 Results for HPOTP Main Discharge Duct	3
	3.1.6 LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Analysis	5
	3.1.7 Results for LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct	3
	3.2 HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil HCF Analysis	1
	3.2.1 Component Description	1
	3.2.2 Driver Description	2
	3.2.3 Analysis	5
	3.2.4 HEX Coil Results	5
	3.3 ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Analysis	1
	3.3.1 Component Description	1
	3.3.2 Driver Description	1
	3.3.3 Analysis	5
	3.3.4 Results	5
	References	8
	Appendix 3.A Probabilistic Failure Assessment Details	9
	3.A.1 Introduction	9
	3.A.2 HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil HCF Analysis Details	9
	3.A.2.1 Selecting the Component, Failure Mode, and Critical Location 3-3	9
	3.A.2.2 Preliminary Deterministic Analysis	9
	3.A.2.3 Driver Characterization	0
	Weld Offset	0
	Stress Concentration Factor	1
	Wall Temperature and Internal Pressure	1,

Weld Offset Stress Concentration Accuracy Factors	3-42
3.A.2.4 Materials Characterization	3-43
3.A.2.5 Time History Definition	3-44
3.A.2.6 Significant Load Identification	3-46
3.A.2.7 Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimation	3-46
3.A.2.8 Driver Sensitivity Analysis	3-51
3.A.2.9 Probability of Failure Curve Standardization	3-52
3.A.3 ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Analysis Details .	3-52
3.A.3.1 Selecting the Component, Failure Mode, and Critical Loc	cation 3-52
3.A.3.2 Preliminary Deterministic Analysis	3-52
3.A.3.3 Driver Characterization	· · · · · · · · <b>3-53</b>
3.A.3.4 Materials Characterization	· · · · · · · · <b>3-53</b>
3.A.3.5 Time History Definition	3-54
3.A.3.6 Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimation	<b>3-54</b>
3.A.3.7 Driver Sensitivity Analysis	· · · · · · · · <b>3-56</b>
3.A.3.8 Probability of Failure Curve Standardization	3-57
Appendix 3.B Input And Output Files	3-59
3.B.1 HPOTP Main Discharge Duct HCF Analysis Files	3-59
Input File - DCTHCD	3-59
	3-61
	3-65
Output File - DUMP	<b>3-69</b>
3.B.2 LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct HCF Analysis Files	3-70
Input File - DCTHCD	3-71
	<b>3-73</b>
Output File - LOWLIF	· · · · · · · · <b>3-77</b>
	<b>3-82</b>
3.B.3 HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil HCF Analysis Files	<b>3-83</b>
	<b>3-83</b>
	<b>3-8</b> 5
Output File - LOWLIF	<b>3-89</b>
	<b>3-9</b> 3
3.B.4 ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Analysis Files .	<b>3-94</b>
Input File - TRBPWD	<b>3-95</b>
Output File - TRBPWO	<b>3-96</b>
	<b>3-98</b>
VOLUME II – Software Documentation	
4.0 Statistical Analysis Software	4-1
4.1 Materials Characterization Software	4_3
4.1.1 Introduction	4-3

......

.

----

4	4.1.2 MATCHR Program	4-3
	4.1.2.1 Stress Formulation	4-10
	4.1.2.2 Strain Formulation	4-10
4	4.1.3 INFAGG Routine	4-11
	4.1.3.1 Routine INIT	4-17
	4.1.3.2 Routine RCE	4-18
	4.1.3.3 Routine CONVRT	4-22
	4.1.3.4 Routine SW2SU2	4-22
	4.1.3.5 Routine FINDMC	4-28
	4.1.3.6 Routine INTRVL	4-30
	4.1.3.7 Routine GTPVAR	4-30
	4.1.3.8 Routine FNDRNG	4-30
	4.1.3.9 Routine ADDREG	4-36
	4.1.3.10 Routine CONCAV	4-36
	4.1.3.11 Routine MEDIAN	4-36
	4.1.3.12 Routine EXPCTD	4-38
	4.1.3.13 Routine MUSIG	4-38
	4.1.3.14 Routine NORRNG	4-42
	4.1.3.15 Routine ADDRGN	4-44
	4.1.4 Routine DECOMP	4-44
	4.1.4.1 Routine INITD	4-51
	4.1.4.2 Routine RDECHO	4-51
	4.1.4.3 Routine PREP	4-54
	4.1.4.4 Routine PECOMP	4-54
	4.1.5 PAREST Routine	4-54
	4.1.5.1 Routine FINDM	4-59
	4.1.5.2 Routine FINDMN	4-59
	4.1.5.3 Routine TRNSFM	4-63
	4.1.5.4 Routine SMNVAR	4-63
	4.1.5.5 Routine KBETA	4-63
	4.1.5.6 Routine FINDK	4-63
	4.1.5.7 Routine FINDSB	4-67
	4.1.6 Routine KOMO	4-67
	4.1.7 Routine ADJSTM	4-67
	4.1.8 Routine GTLIFE	4-68
	4.1.9 Routine GTLIF2	4-68
	4.1.9.1 Routine NEWTON	4-68
	4.1.9.2 Routine FCT	4-68
	4.1.10 Routine SORTM	4-69
	4.1.11 Routine TRMNAT	4-69
4.0	Prior Distribution Parameter Estimation Software	4-73
4.2	A 2.1 Introduction	4-73
	4.2.1 Indeduction	

		4.2.2 BFIT Program	
		4.2.2.1 LLS Routine	
		4.2.3 ABTFIT Program	
		4.2.3.1 ABT Routine	
		4.2.3.2 JABT Routine	
	•	4.2.4 LZERO Program	
		4.2.4.1 Routine GAMMA	
		4.2.4.2 Routine DLGAM	
		4.2.4.3 Routine MUELLR	
		4.2.4.4 Routine FCT	
		4.2.4.5 Routine TRMNAT	
	4 2	Revealan Statistical Procedure Software	
	4.3	4.3.1 Introduction 4-85	
		4.3.2 BAYES Program	
	4.4	Random Number Generation Software	
		4.4.1 Introduction	
		4.4.2 RANDOM Routine	,
		4.4.3 NORMGN Routine	,
		4.4.4 GAM Routine	,
		4.4.5 BETAGN Routine	,
		4.4.6 WEIBGN Routine	
	4.5	Reference Time History Generation Software	1
		4.5.1 Introduction	)
		4.5.2 NBSIN Program	)
	Dof	4-95	į
	nen		
5.0	Fati	gue Analysis Software	
	5.1	High Cycle Fatigue Analysis Software	J.
		5.1.1 Introduction	ł
		5.1.2 DCTHCF Program	j.
		5.1.2.1 Main Routine	•
		5.1.2.2 ELWELD Routine	5
		5.1.2.3 M2L1 Routine	3
		5.1.2.4 CALCS Routine	ļ
		5.1.2.5 NARBN1 Routine	l
		5.1.2.6 RAINF1 Routine	
		5.1.2.7 PGETSM Routine	1
		5.1.3 HEXHCF Program	1
		5.1.3.1 Main Routine	•
		5.1.3.2 THWELD Routine	5
		5.1.3.3 M4L1 Routine	8

•

	5.2 Low 5.2. 5.2.	5.1.3.4 5.1.3.5 5.1.3.6 Cycle Fa 1 Introda 2 TRBP\ 5.2.2.1 5.2.2.2	NARBN2 Ro RAINF2 Rou NEUBER Ro atigue Analysi uction WA Program Main Routin Driver Trans	outine Itine Is Softwar  Ie	  re  			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · ·	<ul> <li>.</li> <li>.&lt;</li></ul>	5-28 5-28 5-38 5-41 5-41 5-41 5-41 5-46
	Appendi	x 5.A Pi	ogram Flowd	hart Sym	bols .										5-49
	Appendi	x 5.B IN	ISORT Routin	· · · ·											5-51
6.0	Software	user's D	ocumentatio	n											. 6-1
0.0			Entique Anchu	oio Hoor <sup>i</sup> c	Guida	•									6-3
	0.1 MIQ		CE Program	SIS USEI 3	Guide	5	• • •		• •	• • •	•••	• • •	••	•••	. 6-3
	0.1.		o Llee Program	am DCTH		• • •		•••	•••		•••	•••	•••		6-3
	0.1.		intion of Innu	t Data Fil			•••		•••		•••		•••	••	. 6-4
	0.1.	6121	Input File D		Ç3		•••	•••	•••		•••	•••	•••	•••	6-4
		0.1.0.1		amatare l	Black	•••			••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	6-10
				nation Blo	biock	•••			••		••		•••		6-12
			Load and Ge	anon bic			•••		••	• • •	•••	• • •	••	•••	6-18
			Matorials Inf	ormation	Block	• • •		•••	••		•••	•••	•••	•••	6-22
		6120			DIOCK	•••	•••	• • •	••	•••	• •	• • •	• •	•••	6-25
		6122	Boforence <sup>-</sup>	Cimo Hist	on File	• • •	• • •	•••	••	•••	• •	• • •	• •	•••	6-27
	6 1	0.1.3.3			0191 #8	5	• • •		•••		••	•••	••	•••	6-27
	0.1.	.4 Option	Frontion Ex	amplo		•••		• • •	•••		••		• •	•••	6-28
	0.1	.5 COUe	EXECUTION E			•••	• • •		•••	•••	••	• • •	•••	•••	6.30
			Input File - L			• • •	•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•••	••	6.32
			Input File - r			• • •	•••	• • •	• • •	•••	••	• • •	•••	••	6.32
				IDNO		•••	• • •	•••		•••	•••	•••	•••	••	6.32
			input File - M			•••	•••	• • •		•••	•••	•••	•••	••	6.32
					• • • •	• • •	• • •	••		•••	•••	•••		••	6.33
					• • • •	• • •	• • •	• •		• • •	•••	•••		••	6.36
						• • •	• • •	•••		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	6.36
					• • • •	•••	• • •	• •		•••		• •		•••	6.27
					• • • • •	•••	• • •	• •		•••		••		•••	6.39
					'	 	• • •	•••	•••	•••		••	• • •	• •	6.20
	6.1	.6 Error	messages ar		ile riemi	JUIES		••	•••	•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••	6.42
	6.1	.7 Sum	nary or input/		Hes	• • •		• •	•••	••	•••	•••	•••	••	6.42
				• • • • •		•••	•••	•••	• • •	• •	•••	• •		• •	6.42
	<b>.</b> .				• • • •	•••	•••	••	•••	••		•••	•••	•••	6.44
	6.1	.8 HEXH	ICF Program			•••		• •	• • •	•••	•••	••	•••	••	6.44
	6.1	.9 How	IO USE Progr	am HEXH	-UF .			••	•••	••	•••	••		••	0-44

	6.1.10 Description of Input Data Files	<b>6-4</b> 5
	6.1.10.1 Input File HEXHCD	6-45
	Analysis Parameters Block	<b>6-49</b>
	Driver Information Block	6-51
	Load and Geometry block	6-58
	Materials Information Block	6-62
	6.1.10.2 Input File RELATD	6-65
	6.1.10.3 Reference Time History Files	6-67
	6.1.11 Options and Capabilities	6-67
	6.1.12 Code Execution Example	6-68
	Input File - HEXHCD	6-70
	Input File - RELATD	6-72
	Input File - NBM3	6-72
	Input File - SIN1	6-72
	Input File - AERO1	6-72
		6-73
		6-77
	Output File - DUMP	6-77
	Output File - IOUTPR	6-78
	Output File - LOWLIF	6-78
	6.1.13 Error Messages and Possible Remedies	6-78
	6.1.14 Summary of Input/Output Files	6-83
	Input Files	6-83
		6-83
62	Low Cycle Fatique Analysis User's Guide	6-85
0.2	6.2.1 TRBPWA Program	6-85
	6.2.2 How To Use Program TRBPWA	6-85
	6.2.3 Description of Input Data Files	6-86
	6.2.3.1 Input File TRBPWD	6-86
	Analysis Parameters Block	6-89
		6-91
	Load and Geometry Block	6-93
	Materials Information Block	6-94
	6.2.3.2 Input File RELATD	6-97
	6.2.4 Options and Capabilities	6-99
	6.2.5 Code Execution Example	6-100
	Input File - TRBPWD	6-101
	Input File - RELATD	6-102
	Output File - TRBPWO	6-102
		6-104
	Output File - DUMP	6-104
	Output File - IOUTPR	6-105

-----

•

62.6       Error Messages and Possible Remedies       6-110         6.2.7       Summary of Input/Output Files       6-114         Input Files       6-114         Output Files       6-114         3       Materials Characterization User's Guide       6-117         6.3.1       MATCHR Program       6-117         6.3.2       How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-117         6.3.3       Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       6-118         6.3.3.1       Input File SPECFD       6-118         Materials Information Block       6-123         6.3.3.2       Input File RELATD       6-127         6.3.4       Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       6-128         6.3.5       Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       6-129         Input File - SPECFD       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-133         Output File - IOUTPR       6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       6-133         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       6-134 <th></th>	
6.2.7       Summary of Input/Output Files       6-114         Input Files       6-114         Output Files       6-114         3       Materials Characterization User's Guide       6-117         6.3.1       MATCHR Program       6-117         6.3.2       How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-117         6.3.3       Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       6-118         6.3.3.1       Input File SPECFD       6-118         Analysis Parameters Block       6-118         Materials Information Block       6-123         6.3.3.2       Input File RELATD       6-127         6.3.4       Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       6-128         6.3.5       Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       6-129         Input File - SPECFD       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-131         Output File - RELATO       6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-133         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       6-134	
Input Files	
Output Files       .6-114         3 Materials Characterization User's Guide       .6-117         6.3.1 MATCHR Program       .6-117         6.3.2 How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-117         6.3.3 Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       .6-118         6.3.3.1 Input File SPECFD       .6-118         Materials Information Block       .6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       .6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       .6-127         6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       .6-128         6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-130         Output File - RELATD       .6-132         Output File - RELATO       .6-133         Output File - DUMP       .6-132         Output File - IOUTPR       .6-133         6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-133         6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
3 Materials Characterization User's Guide       .6-117         6.3.1 MATCHR Program       .6-117         6.3.2 How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-117         6.3.3 Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       .6-118         6.3.3.1 Input File SPECFD       .6-118         Analysis Parameters Block       .6-118         Materials Information Block       .6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       .6-128         6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       .6-128         6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-130         Output File - RELATD       .6-130         Output File - RELATO       .6-133         6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-133         6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
6.3.1       MATCHR Program       .6-117         6.3.2       How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-117         6.3.3       Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       .6-118         6.3.3.1       Input File SPECFD       .6-118         Analysis Parameters Block       .6-118         Materials Information Block       .6-123         6.3.3.2       Input File RELATD       .6-123         6.3.3.2       Input File RELATD       .6-127         6.3.4       Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-130         Output File - RELATD       .6-130         Output File - RELATO       .6-131         Output File - DUMP       .6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-133         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
6.3.2 How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-117         6.3.3 Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       .6-118         6.3.3.1 Input File SPECFD       .6-118         Analysis Parameters Block       .6-118         Materials Information Block       .6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       .6-127         6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       .6-128         6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-130         Output File - RELATD       .6-131         Output File - RELATO       .6-131         Output File - RELATO       .6-133         6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-133         6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
6.3.3 Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files       6-118         6.3.3.1 Input File SPECFD       6-118         Analysis Parameters Block       6-118         Materials Information Block       6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       6-127         6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       6-128         6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       6-129         Input File - SPECFD       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-131         Output File - RELATO       6-131         Output File - RELATO       6-133         6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-133         6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       6-134	
6.3.3.1       Input File SPECFD	
Analysis Parameters Block	
Materials Information Block       6-123         6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD       6-127         6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       6-128         6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       6-129         Input File - SPECFD       6-129         Input File - RELATD       6-130         Output File - SPECFO       6-130         Output File - RELATD       6-131         Output File - DUMP       6-132         Output File - IOUTPR       6-133         6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-134	
6.3.3.2       Input File RELATD	
6.3.4       Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation       .6-128         6.3.5       Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-130         Output File - RELATD       .6-130         Output File - SPECFO       .6-130         Output File - RELATO       .6-131         Output File - RELATO       .6-132         Output File - DUMP       .6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-133         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
6.3.5       Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation       .6-129         Input File - SPECFD       .6-129         Input File - RELATD       .6-130         Output File - SPECFO       .6-130         Output File - RELATO       .6-131         Output File - DUMP       .6-132         Output File - IOUTPR       .6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       .6-134         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       .6-134	
input File - SPECFD	
Input File - RELATD	
Output File - SPECFO       6-130         Output File - RELATO       6-131         Output File - DUMP       6-132         Output File - IOUTPR       6-133         6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR       6-133         6.3.7       Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files       6-134	
Output File - RELATO	
Output File - DUMP	
Output File - IOUTPR	
6.3.6       How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR	
6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files 6-134	
6.3.7.1 Input File SPECFD	
Analysis Parameters Block	
Materials Information Block	
6.3.7.2 Input File RELATD	
6.3.8 Options and Capabilities of the Strain Formulation	
6.3.9 Code Execution Example for the Strain Formulation	
Input File - SPECFD	
Input File - RELATD	
Output File - SPECFO	
Output File - RELATO	
Output File - DUMP	
Output File - IOUTPR	
6.3.10 Error Messages and Possible Remedies	
6.3.11 Summary of Input/Output Files	
Input Files	
Output Files	
4 Prior Distribution Parameter Estimation User's Guide	
6.4.1 BFIT Program	

	6.4.2 How To Use Program BFIT	.6-159
	6.4.3 Description of the Input Data Files	.6-159
	6.4.3.1 Input File BFITD	.6-160
	6.4.3.2 Input File LOWLIF	.6-161
	6.4.4 Options and Capabilities	. <b>6-16</b> 1
	6.4.5 Code Execution Example	.6-161
	Input File - BFITD	.6-162
	Input File - LOWLIF	.6-162
		.6-166
		.6-166
	6.4.6 Summary of Input/Output Files	. <b>6-166</b>
	Input Files	.6-166
	Output Files	.6-167
	6.4.7 ABTFIT Program	.6-167
	6.4.8 How to Use Program ABTFIT	.6-167
	6.4.9 Description of the Input Data Files	.6-167
	6.4.9.1 Input File PARAMS	. <b>6-168</b>
	6.4.9.2 Input File LOWLIF	.6-169
	6.4.10 Options and Capabilities	.6-169
	6.4.11 Code Execution Example	.6-170
	Input File - PARAMS	.6-170
	Input File - LOWLIF	.6-171
	Output File - ABTOUT	.6-175
	Output File - BAYESD	.6-175
	Output File - IOUTPR	. <b>6-175</b>
	6.4.12 Summary of Input/Output Files	.6-176
	Input Files	.6-176
	Output Files	.6-176
	6.4.13 LZERO Program	.6-176
	6.4.14 How to Use Program LZERO	.6-177
	6.4.15 Description of the Input Data Files	.6-177
	6.4.15.1 Input File BAYESD	.6-178
	6.4.15.2 Input File LDAT	.6-178
	6.4.16 Options and Capabilities	.6-179
	6.4.17 Code Execution Example	.6-179
	Input File - BAYESD	.6-179
	Input File - LDAT	.6-179
	Output File - LOUT	.6-180
	6.4.18 Summary of Input/Output Files	.6-180
	Input Files	.6-180
	Output Files	.6-180
e F	Bayasian Statistical Procedure Llear's Guide	.6-181
0.0		

.

\_\_\_\_\_

xviii

	6.5.1	BAYES Program
	6.5.2	How To Use Program BAYES
	6.5.3	Description of the input Data File
		6.5.3.1 Input File BAYESD
		Prior Failure Distribution Parameters Block
		Operating Experience Block
	6.5.4	Options and Capabilities
	6.5.5	Code Execution Example
		Input File - BAYESD
		Output File - BAYESO
		Output File - UBAYES
	6.5.6	Summary of Input/Output Files
		Input Files
		Output Files
6	6 Rofor	ence Time History Generation User's Guide
0	661	NBSIN Program
	662	How To Use Program NBSIN
	663	Description of the Input Data File
	0.0.0	6.6.3.1 Input File NBSIN
		Generation Parameters Block
		Narrow-band Process Information Block
		Sinusoidal Process Block
	6.6.4	Options and Capabilities
	6.6.5	
	0.0.0	Input File - NBSIN
		Output File - IOUTPR
		Output File - AXIAL
		Output File - SIN
	6.6.6	Summary of Input/Output Files
	•••••	Input Files
		Output Files
VOLL	JME III –	Structure and Listing of Programs
70 \$	Structure	and Listing of Programs
		Cuelo Estiguo Esiluro Programs
	/.i ⊓igi 711	DCTHCF Program
	1.1.	7.1.1.1 Program Tree Structure
		7112 List of Subprograms
		7.1.1.3 Description of Variables
		7114 Program DCTHCF Listing
		Program DCTHCF Listing Temporal Order, Uniform Distribution 7-28
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

•

Program DCTHCF Listing Temporal Order, Truncated Normal
Distribution
7.1.2 HEXHCF Program
7.1.2.1 Program Tree Structure
7.1.2.2 List of Subprograms
7.1.2.3 Description of Variables
7.1.2.4 Program HEXHCF Listing
Program HEXHCF Listing Temporal Order, Uniform Distribution 7-147
Program HEXHCF Listing Temporal Order, Truncated Normal
Distribution
7.2 Low Cycle Fatigue Failure Program
7.2.1 TRBPWA Program
7.2.1.1 Program Tree Structure
7.2.1.2 List of Subprograms
7.2.1.3 Description of Variables
7.2.1.4 Program TRBPWA Listing
Program TRBPWA Listing Temporal Order, Uniform Distribution 7-256
Program TRBPWA Listing Temporal Order, Truncated Normal
Distribution
7.3 Materials Characterization Program
7.3.1 MATCHR Program
7.3.1.1 Program Tree Structure
7.3.1.2 List of Subprograms
7.3.1.3 Description of Variables
7.3.1.4 Program MATCHR Listing
Program MATCHR Listing Temporal Order, Stress Formulation,
Uniform Distribution
Program MATCHR Listing Temporal Order, Stress Formulation,
Truncated Normal Distribution
Program MATCHR Listing Temporal Order, Strain Formulation,
Program MATCHR Listing Temporal Order, Strain Formulation,
Truncated Normal Distribution
7.4 Prior Distribution Parameter Estimation Program
7.4.1 BFIT Program
7.4.1.1 List of Subprograms
7.4.1.2 Description of Variables
7.4.1.3 Program BFIT Listing
7.4.2 ABTFIT Program
7.4.2.1 Program Tree Structure
7.4.2.2 List of Subprograms

-----

	7.4.2.3	Description of Variables							• •			. 7-456
	7.4.2.4	Program ABTFIT Listing										. 7-457
	7.4.3 LZERC	Program		•••				•••	• •			. 7-461
	7.4.3.1	Program Tree Structure		••			•••					. 7-461
	7.4.3.2	List of Subprograms .					•••	•••	•••			. 7-461
	7.4.3.3	Description of Variables							•••			. 7-462
	7.4.3.4	Program LZERO Listing		• •			•••		•••			. 7-463
7.5	Bavesian Stat	istical Procedure Progra	m									. 7-471
	7.5.1 BAYES	S Program										. 7-471
	7.5.1.1	Description of Variables										. 7-471
	7.5.1.2	Program BAYES Listing					• •					. 7-473
76	Random Num	nber Generation Subprog	rams									7-477
7.0	761 RANDO	OM Subprogram		•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	••••		.7-477
	7611	Description of Variables		•••	•••				•••			. 7-477
	7612	Subprogram BANDOM	Listina	•••		•••			•••			.7-478
	762 NORM	GN Subprogram										. 7-479
	7.6.2.1	Description of Variables										. 7-479
	7.6.2.2	Subprogram NORMGN	Listina									. 7-479
	7.6.3 BETAC	SN Subprogram										. 7-480
	7.6.3.1	Description of Variables										. 7-480
	7.6.3.2	Subprogram BETAGN L	isting									. 7-481
	7.6.4 GAM S	Subprogram										. 7-482
	7.6.4.1	Description of Variables										. 7-482
	7.6.4.2	Subprogram GAM Listin	ng									. 7-482
	7.6.5 WEIBO	GN Subprogram										. 7-483
	7.6.5.1	Description of Variables										. 7-483
	7.6.5.2	Subprogram WEIBGN L	isting									. 7-483
	7.6.6 PRYR	Subprogram										. 7-484
	7.6.6.1	Description of Variables										. 7-484
	7.6.6.2	Subprogram PRYRV Lis	sting .	• •	•••				••	• • •	•••	. 7-485
7.7	Reference Tir	me History Generation Pr	ogram									. 7-487
	7.7.1 NBSIN	Program										. 7-487
	7.7.1.1	Program Tree Structure										. 7-487
	7.7.1.2	List of Subprograms .										. 7-487
	7.7.1.3	Description of Variables										. 7-488
	7.7.1.4	Program NBSIN Listing										. 7-491

.

•

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Reliability Demonstrated by Zero-Failure Operating Experience for $N = 2$ at 95% Confidence
Figure 1-2	Information Sources for Failure Risk Assessment
Figure 1-3	The Probabilistic Failure Assessment Methodology
Figure 1-4	The Probabilistic Failure Modeling Procedure
Figure 1-5	Options for Controlling Failure Risk
Figure 1-6	Reliability Demonstrated By Nonfailure Operating Experience for the Powerhead Assembly
Figure 1-7	Reliability Demonstrated By Nonfailure Operating Experience for the HPOTP Main Discharge Duct
Figure 1-8	Reliability Demonstrated By Nonfailure Operating Experience for the LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct
Figure 1-9	Reliability Demonstrated By Nonfailure Operating Experience           for the HPOTP Heat Exchanger
Figure 2-1	Component Failure Mode Monte Carlo Simulation Structure
Figure 2-2	S/N Curves
Figure 2-3	Uniform Distribution
Figure 2-4	Normal Distribution
Figure 2-5	Beta Distributions with $\rho = 0.5$ and Different Values of $\theta$
Figure 2-6	Beta Distributions with $\rho = 0.7$ and Different Values of $\theta$
Figure 2-7	Procedure for Specifying Beta Distribution
Figure 2-8	Load Scale Factors
Figure 2-9	Superposition of Narrow-Band Gaussian and Sinusoidal Stress-Time Histories
Figure 2-10	Cumulative Distribution for a Situation with a Lot of Small Damage Effects 2-34
Figure 2-11	Cumulative Distribution for a Situation with a Rare Large Damage Effect 2-34
Figure 2-12	Component with 10-element Symmetry
Figure 2-13	High Cycle Fatigue Failure Modeling Approach
Figure 2-14	Duct Structural Analysis Procedure
Figure 2-15	Geometry of an Elbow Duct
Figure 2-16	Schematic of Duct Stress Analysis
Figure 2-17	Procedure for Rainflow Counting
Figure 2-18	Mean Stress Calculation Assuming an Elastic Perfectly Plastic           Stress-Strain Curve
Figure 2-19	Mean Stress Calculation Using Neuber's Rule
Figure 2-20	Calculation Procedure for the High Cycle Fatigue Failure Simulation
Figure 2-21	Low Cycle Fatigue Failure Modeling Approach
Figure 2-22	Calculation Procedure for the Low Cycle Fatigue Failure Simulation
Figure 2-23	Axial Cross Section of the ATD-HPFTP Turbine Showing the Monolithic Disk 2-62
Figure 2-24	Stylized Radial Cross Section of a Turbine Disk

XXII . . . . .

Figure 2-25	Reference Stress Method for ATD Disk LCF Life
Figure 2-26	Radial Cross Section of the Disk Blade Attachment Area Illustrating the Thermal Gradient Between the Lobes
Figure 2-27	Axial Cross Section of the Disk Illustrating K <sub>d</sub> , the Stress Factor to Adjust Axially for Two-Dimensional Analyses
Figure 2-28	Illustration of the Stress-Time History for the ATD Disk
Figure 2-29	Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for the ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk
Figure 2-30	Structure of the LCF Failure Simulation for the ATD-HPTFP Second Stage Turbine Disk
Figure 2-31	Overall Procedure for Fatigue Failure Modes
Figure 2-32	Procedure for Significant Load Identification
Figure 2-33	Preprocessing
Figure 2-34	Cycle Identification
Figure 3-1	Location of the HPOTP Main Discharge Duct
Figure 3-2	Detail of HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Near Weld 6
Figure 3-3	Inconel 718 Weld Data
Figure 3-4	Location of the LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct
Figure 3-5	Detail of the LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Near Weld 32
Figure 3-6	Incoloy 903 Weld Data
Figure 3-7	Finite Element Discretization of HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Forces         Extracted from Node 24         Structure
Figure 3-8	HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Impact of Weld Offset on Failure Life Distribution
Figure 3-9	HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Failure Life Distribution and Driver Sensitivities 3-14
Figure 3-10	HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Risk Equivalent Life Limiting Procedure
Figure 3-11	Finite Element Discretization of LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Forces Extracted from Node 61
Figure 3-12	LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Impact of Weld Offset on Failure Life Distribution
Figure 3-13	LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Failure Life Distribution and Driver Sensitivities
Figure 3-14	HPOTP Heat Exchanger
Figure 3-15	Detail of the HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil Near Weld 3
Figure 3-16	316L and 321 Stainless Steel Parent Material Test Data
Figure 3-17	321 Weld Data Used as Proxy
Figure 3-18	Stress Concentration Factor $K_T$ Distribution
Figure 3-19	Finite Element Discretization of HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coll-Forces Extracted from Node 27
Figure 3-20	HPOTP Heat Exchanger Impact of Weld Offset on Failure Life Distribution
Figure 3-21	HPOTP Heat Exchanger Failure Life Distribution and Driver Sensitivities
- Figure 3-22	Axial Cross Section of the ATD-HPFTP Turbine Showing the Monolithic Disk
Figure 3-23	Stylized Radial Cross Section of a Turbine Disk
Figure 3-24	Axial Cross Section of the Disk

----

\_\_\_\_

•

•

and the second

Figure 3-25	Inconel 100 Fatigue Life for Notched Specimens
Figure 3-26	Driver Distribution for $\Delta T_f$
Figure 3-27	ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk Failure Life Distribution
Figure 3-28	Driver Sensitivities for the ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Failure Life
Figure 3-29	Stress Concentration Due to Different Height Welds
Figure 3-30	$F_k$ Values From Different Sources, Comparison with Curve in Use and Accuracy Factor $\lambda_{OFF}$ Impact
Figure 3-31	Steps of the Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimation for the HEX HCF
Figure 3-32	Steps of the Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimation for the ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk LCF Problem
Figure 4-1	Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR 4-4
Figure 4-2	Flowchart for Subprogram INFAGG, Stress Formulation
Figure 4-3	Flowchart for Subprogram RCE, Stress Formulation
Figure 4-4	Flowchart for Subprogram CONVRT, Stress Formulation
Figure 4-5	Flowchart for Subprogram SW2SU2
Figure 4-6	Flowchart for Subprogram FINDMC, Stress Formulation
Figure 4-7	Flowchart for Subprogram INTRVL, Uniform Distribution
Figure 4-8	Flowchart for Subprogram GTPVAR
Figure 4-9	Flowchart for Subprogram FNDRNG, Uniform Distribution
Figure 4-10	Flowchart for Subprogram MEDIAN, Stress Formulation, Uniform Distribution 4-37
Figure 4-11	Flowchart for Subprogram EXPCTD
Figure 4-12	Flowchart for Subprogram MUSIG, Truncated Normal Distribution
Figure 4-13	Flowchart for Subprogram NORRNG, Truncated Normal Distribution
Figure 4-14	Flowchart for Subprogram DECOMP, Strain Formulation
Figure 4-15	Flowchart for Subprogram RDECHO, Strain Formulation
Figure 4-16	Flowchart for Subprogram PECOMP, Strain Formulation
Figure 4-17	Flowchart for Subprogram PAREST
Figure 4-18	Flowchart for Subprogram FINDM, Uniform Distribution
Figure 4-19	Flowchart for Subprogram FINDMN, Truncated Normal Distribution
Figure 4-20	Flowchart for Subprogram TRNSFM
Figure 4-21	Flowchart for Subprogram SMNVAR
Figure 4-22	Flowchart for Subprogram FINDK
Figure 4-23	Flowchart for Subprogram SORTM
Figure 4-24	Flowchart for the Prior Failure Distribution Parameter $\beta$ Estimation Program BFIT
Figure 4-25	Flowchart for Subprogram LLS
Figure 4-26	Flowchart for the Prior Failure Distribution Parameter Estimation Program ABTFIT
Figure 4-27	Flowchart for the Assurance Calculation Program LZERO

•

Figure 4-28	Flowchart for Subprogram GAMMA	4-84
Figure 4-29	Flowchart for the Bayesian Statistical Procedure Program BAYES	4-86
Figure 4-30	Flowchart for the Time History Generation Program NBSIN	4-90
Figure 5-1	Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for the Elbow Ducts with Welds	5-5
Figure 5-2	Main Flowchart for the Duct Analysis Program DCTHCF	5-6
Figure 5-3	Flowchart for the Subprogram ELWELD	5-9
Figure 5-4	Flowchart for the Subprogram M2L1	5-10
Figure 5-5	Flowchart for the Subprogram CALCS	5-12
Figure 5-6	Flowchart for the Subprogram NARBN1	5-13
Figure 5-7	Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1	5-15
Figure 5-8	Flowchart for Subprogram PGETSM	5-22
Figure 5-9	Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for Straight Ducts with Welds and Temperature Differences Across the Wall	5-23
Figure 5-10	Main Flowchart for the HEX Coil Analysis Program HEXHCF	5-24
Figure 5-11	Flowchart for Subprogram THWELD	5-27
Figure 5-12	Flowchart for Subprogram M4L1	. 5-29
Figure 5-13	Flowchart for the Subprogram NARBN2	5-30
Figure 5-14	Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2	. 5-32
Figure 5-15	Flowchart for Subprogram NEUBER	. 5-39
Figure 5-16	Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for the ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk	.5-42
Figure 5-17	Main Flowchart for the ATD Disk LCF Analysis Program TRBPWA	. 5-43
Figure 5-18	Flowchart of Driver Transformation	. 5-47
Figure 5-19	Program Flowchart Symbols	. <b>5-49</b>
Figure 5-20	Flowchart for Subprogram INSORT	. 5-51
Figure 6-1	Format for File DCTHCD	. <b>6-5</b>
Figure 6-2	Format for File RELATD	. <b>6-9</b>
Figure 6-3	Data Blocks for Input File	. <b>6-9</b>
Figure 6-4	Detail of the HPOTP Main Discharge Duct, Near Weld 6	. 6-28
Figure 6-5	Format for File HEXHCD	. 6-46
Figure 6-6	Detail of the HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil Small Tube Outlet Near Weld 3	. <b>6-68</b>
Figure 6-7	Format for File TRBPWD	. <b>6-87</b>
Figure 6-8	Format for File SPECFD	6-119
Figure 6-9	Format for File RELATD	6-121
Figure 6-10	Data Blocks for Input File	6-121
Figure 6-11	Format for File SPECFD	6-135
Figure 6-12	Format for File RELATD	6-136
Figure 6-13	Format for File BFITD	6-160
Figure 6-14	Format for File LOWLIF	6-160
Figure 6-15	Format for File PARAMS	6-168

\_\_\_\_\_

Figure 6-16	Format for File BAYESD
Figure 6-17	Format for File LDAT
Figure 6-18	Format for File BAYESD
Figure 6-19	Data Blocks for Input File
Figure 6-20	Format for File NBSIN
Figure 6-21	Data Blocks for the NBSIN Input File
Figure 7-1	Tree Structure for Program DCTHCF for the Uniform Variation in the Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-2	Tree Structure for Program DCTHCF for the Truncated Normal Variation in the Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-3	Tree Structure for Program HEXHCF for the Uniform Variation in Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-4	Tree Structure for Program HEXHCF for the Truncated Normal Variation in Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-5	Tree Structure for Program TRBPWA for the Uniform Variation in Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-6	Tree Structure for Program TRBPWA for the Truncated Normal Variation in Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-7	Tree Structure for the Stress Formulation of the Program MATCHR for the Uniform Variation in Materials Shape Parameter <i>m</i>
Figure 7-8	Tree Structure for the Stress Formulation of the Program MATCHR for the Truncated Normal Variation in Materials Shape Parameter m
Figure 7-9	Tree Structure for the Strain Formulation of the Program MATCHR for the Uniform Variation in Materials Shape Parameters $m_P$ and $m_E$
Figure 7-10	Tree Structure for the Strain Formulation of the Program MATCHR for the Truncated Normal Variation in Materials Shape Parameters $m_P$ and $m_E$ 7-330
Figure 7-11	Tree Structure For Program ABTFIT
Figure 7-12	Tree Structure For Program LZERO
Figure 7-13	Tree Structure For Program NBSIN

•

#### List of Tables

.

.

\_\_\_\_\_

<b></b>	1-22
Table 1-1	Index of Topics Contained in the Report
Table 1-2	Index of Somware Documentation Contained in the Report
Table 2-1	The Required values of k and the Computed Coverage for Sample Sizes of W
Table 2-2	Summary of Load Sources, Critical Locations and Significant Force Components
Table 2-3	Driver Distributions for the ATD-HPFTP Second Stage Turbine Disk
Table 3-1	Driver Distributions for the HPOTP Main Discharge Duct
Table 3-2	Driver Distributions for the LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct
Table 3-3	HPOTP Main Discharge Duct Beam-End Forces Near Weld 6
Table 3-4	LPFTP Turbine Drive Duct Beam-End Forces Near Weld 32
Table 3-5	Driver Distributions for the HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coll
Table 3-6	HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil Beam-End Forces Near Weld 3
Table 3-7	Driver Distributions for the Turbine Disk
Table 3-8	Scanning Circumference for Critical Angle Causing Minimum Life
Table 3-9	Weld Offset Measurements
Table 3-10	Wall Temperature and Internal Pressure at Weld 3 From Engine         Balance Model
Table 3-11	321 SS Welded S/N Data
Table 3-12	Summary of Materials Characterization Study of 321 Weld Data
Table 3-13	Lives for Different Random Number Seeds and History Length
Table 3-14	Von Mises Stress and Damage Indices Due to Each Load Component
Table 3-15	Load Components Rank Ordered by Damage Indices for Contributing Stress Components
Table 3-16	Significant Load Identification Checks
Table 3-17	Probability of Failure Curve Parameter Estimates for 6%, 10% and 20% Weld Offset
Table 3-18	Driver Sensitivity Analysis for 10% Weld Offset
Table 3-19	Inconel 100 Notched S/N Data
Table 3-20	Summary of Materials Characterization Study of IN100 Notched Data
Table 3-21	Driver Sensitivity Analysis for the Turbine Disk
Table 4-1	The Seven Cases Considered by Subprogram FNDRNG
Table 4-2	The Four Cases Considered by Subprogram NORRNG
Table 7-1	List of Subprograms For Program DCTHCF
Table 7-2	List of Variables for Program DCTHCF
Table 7-3	List of Subprograms for Program HEXHCF
Table 7-4	List of Variables for Program HEXHCF
Table 7-5	List of Subprograms For Program TRBPWA
Table 7-6	List of Variables For Program TRBPWA
Table 7-7	List of Subprograms for Program MATCHR

•	Table 7-8	List of Variables for Program MATCHR
	Table 7-9	Routine/Variable Chart
	Table 7-10	List of Subprograms for Program BFIT
	Table 7-11	List of Variables for Program BFIT
	Table 7-12	List of Subprograms for Program ABTFIT
	Table 7-13	List of Variables for Program ABTFIT
	Table 7-14	List of Subprograms for Program LZERO
	Table 7-15	List of Variables for Program LZERO
	Table 7-16	List of Variables for Program BAYES
	Table 7-17	List of Variables for Subprogram RANDOM
	Table 7-18	List of Variables for Subprogram NORMGN
	Table 7-19	List of Variables for Subprogram BETAGN
	Table 7-20	List of Variables for Subprogram GAM
	Table 7-21	List of Variables for Subprogram WEIBGN
	Table 7-22	List of Variables for Subprogram PRYRV
	Table 7-23	List of Subprograms for Program NBSIN
	Table 7-24	List of Variables for Program NBSIN

•

.

•

.....

# 4.0 Statistical Analysis Software



## Section 4.1

### **Materials Characterization Software**

#### 4.1.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the computer program which implements the materials characterization model discussed in *Section 2.1.2*. MATCHR, the code for simulating the cyclic fatigue behavior of a material, is described here. This code contains both the stress and strain formulations of the materials characterization model in a stand-alone form.<sup>1</sup> Its purpose is to facilitate the characterization of a materials data set for a component before performing the probabilistic failure model-ing. The overall layout of the program is described using a master flowchart that refers to other flowcharts which describe the subprograms in greater detail. The random variate generators are described in *Section 4.4*. The relevant user's guide for running this code is given in *Section 6.3*, and a list of subprograms, a definition of key variables, and the complete source listing are given in *Section 7.3*. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A*.

#### 4.1.2 MATCHR Program

The materials characterization model is implemented as the FORTRAN program MATCHR. The flowchart for the MATCHR program is given in *Figure 4-1*. The program starts by opening the following input and output files:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
SPECFD	Input	Simulation parameters and specific material data
SPECFO	Output	Input data echo
DUMP	Output	Results of simulation
IOUTPR	Output	Run information and intermediate calculations

The simulation parameters which specify the run options are read from the SPECFD file. An echo of these parameters is written to IOUTPR. The required number of trials is set according to the type of variation specified. If the truncated Normal variation and its corresponding empirical median curve are specified, then the number of MATCHR iterations can be set to 2000;<sup>2</sup> for all other cases only one MATCHR iteration is needed. The formulation of the materials characterization model is then determined.

NOR 4.2 INTENTIONALLY DESITE 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The materials characterization models contained within the Probabilistic Failure Models are subsets of MATCHR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The value of 2000 is more than adequate for a simulation size of 200,000 trials to obtain an accurate median value of the materials curve shape parameter. This value can be considerably smaller, depending on the accuracy desired for that median value.





4 - 4



Figure 4-1 Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR (Cont'd)



-----

Figure 4-1 Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR (Cont'd)


•

Figure 4-1 Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR (Cont'd)



Figure 4-1 Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR (Cont'd)



Figure 4-1 Main Flowchart for the Materials Characterization Model Program MATCHR (Cont'd)

### 4.1.2.1 Stress Formulation

The stress/life characterization of fatigue failure of materials begins by reading the value of stress for which a fatigue life is desired. The material data input and information aggregation calculations are performed by subprogram INFAGG described in *Section 4.1.3.*<sup>3</sup> INFAGG also calculates the median S/N curve when Uniform variation of the shape parameters is specified.

A DO loop is required to obtain a median S/N curve when truncated Normal variation of the shape parameters is specified. The PAREST routine controls the calculations for estimating the parameters for the S/N model. Routine PAREST is described in Section 4.1.5.<sup>4</sup> Materials process variation can be included by calling the NORMGN routine and then transforming the resulting Normal variate to the Lognormal variate Z in Equation 2-48. A call to WEIBGN provides materials intrinsic variability  $\varphi$ . The random variate routines NORMGN and WEIBGN are described in Sections 4.4.3 and 4.4.6.

When all the S/N model parameters have been selected for the regions with S/N data, the S/N curve can be tied to a tensile point  $S_o$  by routine KOMO.<sup>5</sup> The value of stress read from file SPECFD earlier is used by subprogram GTLIFE to calculate a fatigue life using the randomly selected S/N curve. Subprograms KOMO and GTLIFE are described in Sections 4.1.6 and 4.1.8.

If the truncated Normal distribution was used for the materials shape parameter m, the empirical median S/N curve will be calculated upon user request.<sup>6</sup> The routine SORTM is called to sort the values of m and the routine EXPCTD calculates the median S/N curve. Sections 4.1.10 and 4.1.3.12 describe the routines SORTM and EXPCTD.

### 4.1.2.2 Strain Formulation

The strain/life characterization of fatigue failure of materials begins by reading the value of strain for which a fatigue life is desired. The material data input, strain decomposition and information aggregation calculations are performed by sub-program DECOMP described in *Section 4.1.4*.<sup>3</sup> DECOMP also calculates the median S/N curve when Uniform variation of the shape parameters is specified.

A DO loop is required to obtain a median S/N curve when truncated Normal variation of the shape parameters is specified. The PAREST routine controls the calculations

- <sup>3</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on *Pages 2-6* through 2-14.
- <sup>4</sup> The parameter estimation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-15 through 2-18.
- <sup>5</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.
- <sup>6</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-15.

for estimating the parameters for the S/N model.<sup>7</sup> PAREST is called twice, first for the plastic strain components and then for the elastic strain components. In between the calls to PAREST, routine ADJSTM ensures  $m_P < m_E$ . Materials process variation can be included by calling the NORMGN routine and then transforming the resulting Normal variate to the Lognormal variate *Z* in *Equation 2-50*. A call to WEIBGN provides  $\varphi$  based on  $\beta_o$  defined as the average of those derived from the plastic and elastic strain component analyses. The materials characterization routines PAREST and ADJSTM are described in Sections 4.1.5 and 4.1.7. The random variate routines NORMGN and WEIBGN are described in Sections 4.4.3 and 4.4.6.

When both of the S/N model parameters have been selected for the plastic and elastic S/N data, the value of strain read from file SPECFD earlier is used by subprogram GTLIF2 to calculate a fatigue life using the randomly selected S/N curve. Subprogram GTLIF2 is described in *Section 4.1.9*.

If the truncated Normal distribution was specified for the materials shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ , the empirical median S/N curve will be calculated upon user request.<sup>8</sup> The routine SORTM is called to sort the values of  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  and the routine EXPCTD calculates the component median curves. Sections 4.1.10 and 4.1.3.12 describe the routines SORTM and EXPCTD.

## 4.1.3 INFAGG Routine

The flowchart for the INFAGG routine is given in *Figure 4-2*. The routine controls the calls to the data input and information aggregation calculation routines. INFAGG starts by opening the following input and output files:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
RELATD	Input	Related material data input
RELATO	Output	Related material data echo

The arrays are then set to their default or initial values by routine INIT. Routine RCE reads the data from files SPECFD and RELATD, transforms (or converts) the stresses to an equivalent stress ratio of R = -1.0, and echoes the data to files SPECFO and RELATO. Routines INIT and RCE are described in Sections 4.1.3.1 and 4.1.3.2.

The information aggregation begins with linear regression calculations performed by routine SW2SU2 on the combined specific and related data. Then the constraints on the shape parameters  $\{m_j\}$  implied by the user-provided  $C_o$  constraint are calculated by FINDMC. SW2SU2 and FINDMC are described in Sections 4.1.3.4 and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The parameter estimation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-15 through 2-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-15.



-----

Figure 4-2 Flowchart for Subprogram INFAGG, Stress Formulation

4 - 12









4 - 14









4.1.3.5. The remaining routine calls depend upon the choice of distribution for the shape parameters.

The Uniform distribution case begins with the confidence interval calculations performed by INTRVL. By definition, the prior credibility ranges are the confidence intervals. If materials processes variation is specified, GTPVAR calculates  $\sigma^2$ , Equation 2-49, the extent of departures from the multiple heat median S/N curve warranted by the available information. The credibility ranges, C constraint, and the user-provided range information are combined by routine FNDRNG to obtain posterior credibility ranges on the shape parameters  $\pi(m_j)$ .<sup>9</sup> The user-supplied *m* ranges for the non-data life regions to the right of those with data are added to the array containing the  $\pi(m_j)$  by routine ADDREG.<sup>10</sup> Concavity constraints are applied within subprogram CONCAV. The results of the calculations above are written to file DUMP. Finally, the median S/N curve is calculated. The median *m*'s are found by MEDIAN and then used by EXPCTD to obtain the median curve parameters which are written to file DUMP. Routines INTRVL, GTPVAR, FNDRNG, ADDREG, CONCAV, MEDIAN, and EXPCTD are described in Sections 4.1.3.6, 4.1.3.7, 4.1.3.8, 4.1.3.9, 4.1.3.10, 4.1.3.11, and 4.1.3.12, respectively.

The truncated Normal distribution case begins with the Bayesian analysis performed by MUSIG to find the Normal distribution parameters for the *m*'s. If materials process variation is requested, GTPVAR calculates  $\sigma^2$ , the extent of departures from the multiple heat median S/N curve warranted by the available information, by using *Equation 2-49*. The *C* constraint and the user provided range information are combined by routine NORRNG to obtain posterior credibility ranges on the shape parameters  $\pi(m_j)$ .<sup>9</sup> The user-supplied *m* ranges and Normal distribution parameters for the non-data life regions to the right of those with data are added to the arrays containing the  $\pi(m_j)$ ,  $m_*$ , and  $\sigma_*^2$  by routine ADDRGN.<sup>10</sup> Concavity constraints are applied within subprogram CONCAV. Then results of the calculations above are written to file DUMP. Routines MUSIG, GTPVAR, NORRNG, ADDRGN, and CONCAV are described in Sections 4.1.3.13, 4.1.3.7, 4.1.3.14, 4.1.3.15, and 4.1.3.10.

### 4.1.3.1 Routine INIT

The routine initializes the arrays used in the stress formulation information aggregation routine, INFAGG, to zero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Combining information to obtain the posterior credibility ranges on *m* is discussed on *Page 2-13*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> No data regions to the right are discussed on Page 2-17.

#### 4.1.3.2 Routine RCE

The flowchart for the RCE routine is given in *Figure 4-3*. The routine controls the input/output of the specific and related materials data, region information, and exogenous information. RCE begins by reading the data from file SPECFD which contains the specific S/N data, region information and exogenous information, and then RCE echoes the information to file SPECFO. First the general information pertaining to the specific material data set is read, including the material description, yield and ultimate strengths, the total number of S/N data points, and the number of data divisions. A data division is a group of S/N data points having the same stress ratio and belonging to the same life region. The number of data divisions is stored in variable NDIV.

The first data division DO loop reads, transforms, and echoes the specific material S/N data. The transformation is performed when the stress ratio, stored in the variable **RATIO**, is not equal to minus one. The transformation is to the equivalent stress for a stress ratio of minus one, and is performed by routine CONVRT. Also, the S/N data is partitioned and stored appropriately according to the indicated life region. Routine CONVRT is discussed in *Section 4.1.3.3*.

When the DO loop is completed, the stress tensile point and region information are read and echoed next.<sup>11</sup> The region information includes the number of life regions and the upper bounds of those regions.

The last information in file SPECFD used by all stress formulation options is the implicit and explicit constraints on the shape parameters  $\{m_j\}$ . The implicit constraint is an upper bound,  $C_o$ , on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength, C, for the specific material data set.<sup>12</sup> The explicit constraint consists of a point value or range of values of the shape parameter for each life region of the specific material data set.<sup>13</sup>

When the truncated Normal variation of the shape parameters is specified, RCE reads and echoes the Bayesian prior information for each life region.<sup>14</sup> If the materials process variation is specified, the process variation information is read and echoed. Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The implicit constraint on the materials model shape parameter provided by prior information on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is discussed on *Pages 2-12* through 2-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials model shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-14.



Figure 4-3 Flowchart for Subprogram RCE, Stress Formulation



Figure 4-3 Flowchart for Subprogram RCE, Stress Formulation (Cont'd)



# Figure 4-3 Flowchart for Subprogram RCE, Stress Formulation (Cont'd)

Next, RCE reads the data from file RELATD which contains the related S/N data<sup>15</sup> and echoes the information to file RELATO. First the number of related data sets is read, stored in variable **NSETS**, and echoed. The outer data set DO loop is performed for each related data set. The general information pertaining to each related material data set is read, including the material description, yield strength, and ultimate strength, the total number of S/N data points, and the number of data divisions.

The inner data division DO loop reads, transforms, and echoes the related S/N data. The transformation is performed when the stress ratio, stored in variable **RATIO**, is not equal to minus one. The transformation is to the equivalent stress for a stress ratio of minus one, and is performed by routine CONVRT. Also, the S/N data is partitioned and stored appropriately according to the indicated life region. Routine CONVRT is discussed in *Section 4.1.3.3*.

### 4.1.3.3 Routine CONVRT

The flowchart for CONVRT is given in *Figure 4-4*. Routine CONVRT performs the transformation required to obtain an equivalent maximum stress  $\sigma_{emax}$  corresponding to a stress ratio of minus one. An elastic-perfectly-plastic stress versus strain behavior is assumed here for the material. First, the alternating stress  $\sigma_{alt}$  is calculated from the maximum stress  $\sigma_{max}$  and the stress ratio *R*. This stress is checked against the yield stress  $\sigma_y$ . Three different cases occur. If the alternating stress is above the yield, then the equivalent maximum stress is the alternating stress. If the alternating stress is below the yield stress and the maximum stress is above the yield stress, then the equivalent maximum stress is given by

$$\sigma_{emax} = \frac{\sigma_{alt}}{1 - \frac{\sigma_y - \sigma_{alt}}{\sigma_u}}$$

If both the alternating stress and the maximum stress are below the yield stress, then the appropriate transformation for the equivalent maximum stress is

$$\sigma_{emax} = \frac{\sigma_{alt}}{1 - \frac{1 + R}{2} \frac{\sigma_{max}}{\sigma_u}}$$

### 4.1.3.4 Routine SW2SU2

The flowchart for the SW2SU2 routine is given in *Figure 4-5*. The routine performs the y on x and x on y regressions to obtain the sample variances  $S_x^2$ ,  $S_y^2$ , and  $S_{xy}$ , and the residual variances  $S_{\hat{w}}^2$  and  $S_{\hat{u}}^2$  for each life region. For the calculations, x is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.



Figure 4-4 Flowchart for Subprogram CONVRT, Stress Formulation



÷

-----

Figure 4-5 Flowchart for Subprogram SW2SU2



Figure 4-5 Flowchart for Subprogram SW2SU2 (Cont'd)



Figure 4-5 Flowchart for Subprogram SW2SU2 (Cont'd)

equal to  $\ln S$  and y is equal to  $\ln N$ . SW2SU2 starts by initializing the arrays required for the calculations.

Within the outer region DO loop are two sets of nested DO loops, where the region counter L = 1, ..., R, and R is the number of life regions with S/N data.<sup>16</sup> In each set of DO loops, the outer loop is for each S/N data set, j = 0, ..., P, and the inner DO loop is for each data point in each data set,  $k = 1, ..., N_j$ . The first step is to calculate the sample means  $\overline{x_j}$  and  $\overline{y_j}$  for each data set in each region. Then the sample variances and degrees of freedom for each region in each data set are calculated as follows:

$$N S_{x}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} (x_{jk} - \bar{x}_{j})^{2}$$

$$N S_{y}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} (y_{jk} - \bar{y}_{j})^{2}$$

$$N S_{xy} = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} (x_{jk} - \bar{x}_{j})(y_{jk} - \bar{y}_{j})$$

$$N = \sum_{j=0}^{P} (N_{j} - 1) - 1$$

where  $S_x^2$ ,  $S_y^2$ , and  $S_{xy}$  are the sample variance of *x*, sample variance of *y*, and sample covariance of *x* and *y*, and *N* is the number of degrees of freedom for each life region, respectively. If  $S_{xy}$  is non-negative, the data does not support the analysis assumptions and the program run will be terminated. The sample variances are used to calculate the regression parameters *d* and *b* of Equations 2-20 and 2-21,

$$d = S_{xy} / S_x^2$$
 and  $b = S_{xy} / S_y^2$ .

The second set of DO loops calculates the residual variances  $S_{\hat{w}}^2$  and  $S_{\hat{u}}^2$  for each life region given by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> R is equal to one for the strain formulation.

$$N S_{\hat{w}}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} \hat{w}_{jk}^{2}$$
$$N S_{\hat{u}}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{P} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{j}} \hat{u}_{jk}^{2}$$

where

$$\widehat{w}_{jk} = (y_{jk} - \overline{y}_j) - d(x_{jk} - \overline{x}_j)$$
$$\widehat{u}_{jk} = (x_{jk} - \overline{x}_j) - b(y_{jk} - \overline{y}_j)$$

from Equations 2-20 and 2-21.

### 4.1.3.5 Routine FINDMC

The flowchart for FINDMC is given in *Figure 4-6*. Routine FINDMC performs the calculations to obtain the region-dependent constraint on the shape parameter  $(\underline{m}_c, \overline{m}_c)$  implied by the user-supplied constraint,  $C_o$ , on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength. The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to contain the results. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

If a C constraint has been specified, there are three solutions to Equation 2-28. If  $S_x^2 = C_o^2$ , the solution is a lower bound given by Equation 2-30

$$m_c > -S_y^2/(2S_{xy}).$$

If  $S_x^2 < C_o^2$ , then the solution is also bounded from below and given by Equation 2-31

$$m_{c} > \frac{-S_{xy} - \left[S_{xy}^{2} - S_{y}^{2} \left(S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2}}$$

If  $S_x^2 > C_o^2$ , then the solution is an interval constraint given by Equation 2-32

$$\frac{-S_{xy} - \left[S_{xy}^{2} - S_{y}^{2} (S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2}} < m_{c} < \frac{-S_{xy} + \left[S_{xy}^{2} - S_{y}^{2} (S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{S_{x}^{2} - C_{o}^{2}}.$$

. /



### Figure 4-6 Flowchart for Subprogram FINDMC, Stress Formulation

#### 4.1.3.6 Routine INTRVL

The flowchart for INTRVL is given in *Figure 4-7*. Routine INTRVL performs the calculations to obtain the region-dependent point estimates and 95% confidence intervals on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength *C* and shape parameter *m* based upon S/N data only. The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to contain the results. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

The points estimates of *m* and *C* are given by Equation 2-22

$$\hat{m} = -d$$
 and  $\hat{C} = S_{\hat{u}}$ .

The 95% confidence interval on C is given by Equation 2-24

$$I_{o} \equiv \left[ \hat{C} \left( N / \chi_{.975}^{2}(N) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \hat{C} \left( N / \chi_{.025}^{2}(N) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

The 95% confidence interval on m is given by Equation 2-26

$$J_{o} \equiv \left[ \left( \hat{m} - t_{.025}(N) \frac{S_{\hat{w}}}{(N S_{x}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right), \left( \hat{m} + t_{.025}(N) \frac{S_{\hat{w}}}{(N S_{x}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right]$$

### 4.1.3.7 Routine GTPVAR

The flowchart for GTPVAR is given in *Figure 4-8*. Routine GTPVAR calculates  $\sigma^2$ , the extent of departures from the multiple heat median S/N curve warranted by the information available by using region-specific parameters defined in *Equations 2-49* and 2-50. The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to be used for intermediate calculations.

The number of data points in region L,  $N_i$ , is calculated in the inner DO loop. Then the total number of points N and T, the sum over regions of the "extent of departures," is calculated. Finally  $\sigma^2$  is found by dividing T by N.

### 4.1.3.8 Routine FNDRNG

The flowchart for FNDRNG is given in *Figure 4-9*. Routine FNDRNG performs the calculations to obtain the posterior credibility ranges of the shape parameter *m* for the Uniform distribution case. These ranges are found by combining the shape parameter constraints in *Equations 2-26* and *2-27* with *Equation 2-30, 2-31* or *2-32*. The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to contain the results. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region and the posterior credibility ranges are constrained to be non-negative. The seven different cases that must be considered are given in *Table 4-1*:



## Figure 4-7 Flowchart for Subprogram INTRVL, Uniform Distribution



Figure 4-8 Flowchart for Subprogram GTPVAR

.

.



### Figure 4-9 Flowchart for Subprogram FNDRNG, Uniform Distribution





Case	( <u>m</u> , <del>m</del> )	$(\underline{m}_{c}, \overline{m}_{c})$
1		NA
2	NA	<u>m</u> c < m
3		$\underline{m}_c < m < \overline{m}_c$
4	<u>m</u> = m = m	NA
		<u>m</u> c < m
		$\underline{m}_c < m < \overline{m}_c$
5		NA
6	$\underline{m} < m < \overline{m}$	<u>m</u> c < m
7		$\underline{m}_c < m < \overline{m}_c$

## Table 4-1 The Seven Cases Considered by Subprogram FNDRNG

## Case 1

There is no user-provided explicit constraint on m or C constraint; therefore the posterior credibility range will be given by  $J_o$ .

## Case 2

There is no user-provided explicit constraint on m; however, there is an implicit lower bound provided by the *C* constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of the lower bound of  $J_o$  and  $\underline{m}_c$ , and the upper bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the upper bound of  $J_o$ .

## Case 3

There is no user-provided explicit constraint on m; however, there is an implicit range provided by the C constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of the lower bound of  $J_o$  and  $\underline{m}_c$ , and the upper bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the minimum of the upper bound of  $J_o$  and  $\overline{m}_c$ .

## Case 4

The user-provided explicit constraint on *m* is a point value. The explicit value has priority; therefore  $\pi(m)$  consists only of this point.

## Case 5

There is no user-provided C constraint, but there is an explicit constraint on m. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of the lower bound of  $J_o$  and m, and the upper bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the minimum of the upper bound of  $J_o$  and  $\overline{m}$ .

## Case 6

There is both a user-provided explicit range on m and an implicit lower bound provided by the C constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of the lower bound of  $J_o$ ,  $\underline{m}$ , and  $\underline{m}_c$ , and the upper bound is the minimum of the upper bound of  $J_o$ , and  $\overline{m}$ .

## Case 7

There is both a user-provided explicit range on m and an implicit range provided by the C constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of the lower bound of  $J_o$ ,  $\underline{m}$ , and  $\underline{m}_c$ , and the upper bound is the minimum of the upper bound of  $J_o$ ,  $\overline{m}$ , and  $\overline{m}_c$ .

## 4.1.3.9 Routine ADDREG

Routine ADDREG adds the user-provided  $\pi(m_j)$  ranges for the life regions to the right without data for the Uniform distribution case of the stress formulation.<sup>17</sup> ADDREG also specifies point values for *m* in the regions without data for the median S/N curve calculation.

## 4.1.3.10 Routine CONCAV

In order to be consistent with the concavity constraints of the stress formulation of the S/N model,<sup>18</sup> it may be necessary to modify the posterior credibility ranges of the shape parameters. Routine CONCAV ensures that the upper bounds of the posterior credibility ranges are consistent with the concavity constraints by setting the upper bound of the *m* range in the *i*th region to be the minimum of the upper bounds in regions *i* and *i*+1. If the lower bound in region *i* should be higher than the upper bound in region *i* + 1, the program run is terminated. The rest of the concavity constraints are applied in routine FINDM for the Uniform distribution case and FINDMN in the truncated Normal distribution case. FINDM and FINDMN are discussed in Sections 4.1.5.1 and 4.1.5.2.

## 4.1.3.11 Routine MEDIAN

The flowchart for the MEDIAN routine is given in *Figure 4-10*. The subprogram calculates the median m for each life region for the Uniform distribution case of the stress formulation given by *Equation 2-34*. The routine begins by initializing the array that is to contain the median m's. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> No data regions to the right are discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>18</sup> Concavity constraints are discussed on Pages 2-13 through 2-14.





.

There are three possible cases that must be considered. If the posterior credibility range has a point value, then the median m will have that point value. If the DO loop counter is on region 1, then the median is given by

$$E(m_1) = (L_1 + U_1) / 2$$

otherwise the median m in region l = 2, ..., R is

$$E(m_l) = \max\left[\frac{E(m_{l-1}) + U_l}{2}, \frac{L_l + U_l}{2}\right]$$

where  $L_i$  and  $U_i$  are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the posterior credibility range in region *i*.

### 4.1.3.12 Routine EXPCTD

The flowchart for the EXPCTD routine is given in *Figure 4-11*. The routine controls the calls to the median curve calculations. The routine uses the point estimates for the *m*'s to find the  $\{K_j\}$  and  $\beta_o$  parameters consistent with those *m*'s and the specific material data set. The calculations begin by routine TRNSFM transforming the specific material S/N data.<sup>19</sup> The transformation produces the  $\{Z_i\}$  as a function of the S/N data, the  $\{m_j\}$ , and the life region boundaries. Then the sample mean and variance of *Z* are calculated by routine SMNVAR. KBETA computes the estimates of *k* and  $\beta_o$ . Then the  $\{K_j\}$  are calculated by routine FINDK using *Equations 2-37* through 2-41. The stress values corresponding to the life region boundaries are obtained from FINDSB. If the tensile point  $S_o$  for the stress formulation is being used, then the S/N curve can be tied to  $S_o$  by routine KOMO.<sup>20</sup> Finally, the results of the calculations are written to file DUMP. Routines TRNSFM, SMNVAR, KBETA, FINDK, FINDSB, and KOMO are described in Sections 4.1.5.3 through 4.1.5.7 and 4.1.6.

### 4.1.3.13 Routine MUSIG

The flowchart for the MUSIG routine is given in *Figure 4-12*. The subprogram calculates the parameters of the truncated Normal posterior density of *m* for each life region for the truncated Normal distribution case.<sup>21</sup> The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to contain the point estimates of *m* and *C* and the parameters  $m_*$  and  $\sigma_*^2$  for each life region. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The S/N data transformation is discussed on Page 2-16.

<sup>20</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The Bayesian analysis to obtain the parameters of the truncated Normal posterior density is discussed on *Page 2-14*.



Figure 4-11 Flowchart for Subprogram EXPCTD



.

Figure 4-11 Flowchart for Subprogram EXPCTD (Cont'd)





First, the point estimates for m and C are calculated using Equation 2-22. The parameter  $m_*$  is calculated next. If the user has not specified a value for  $\delta$ , indicated by  $\delta = 0$ , then  $m_*$  is given by the point estimate  $\hat{m}$ . When a value for  $\delta$  has been provided, then  $m_*$  is given by

$$m_* = \frac{\hat{m} N S_x^2 + m_o \delta}{N S_x^2 + \delta}$$

Finally, the  $\sigma_*^2$  is calculated. If the user has not specified a value for  $\sigma^2$ , indicated by  $\sigma^2 = 0$ , then  $\sigma_*^2$  is given by

$$\sigma_*^2 = S_{\hat{w}}^2 / (N S_x^2 + \delta)$$

otherwise  $\sigma_*^2$  is given by

 $\sigma_*^2 = \sigma^2 / (N S_x^2 + \delta)$ 

### 4.1.3.14 Routine NORRNG

The flowchart for NORRNG is given in *Figure 4-13*. Routine NORRNG performs the calculations to obtain the posterior credibility ranges of the shape parameter *m* for the truncated Normal distribution case. These ranges are found by combining the shape parameter constraints in *Equation 2-27* with *Equation 2-30, 2-31* or *2-32*. The routine begins by initializing the arrays that are to contain the results. The remaining calculations are performed for each life region and the posterior credibility ranges are given in *Table 4-2*.

Table 4-2 The Four Cases Considered by Subprogram NORRNG

Case	( <u>m</u> , <del>m</del> )	$(\underline{m}_{c}, \overline{m}_{c})$
		NA
1	$\underline{m} = m = \overline{m}$	<u>m</u> c < m
		$\underline{m}_c < m < \overline{m}_c$
2		NA
3	$\underline{m} < m < \overline{m}$	<u>m</u> c < m
4		$\underline{m}_{c} < m < \overline{m}_{c}$




## Case 1

The user-provided explicit constraint on m is a point value. The explicit value has priority; therefore  $\pi(m)$  consists only of this point.

## Case 2

There is no user-provided C constraint, but there is an explicit constraint on m; therefore, the posterior credibility range will be given by  $(\underline{m}, \overline{m})$ .

## Case 3

There is both a user-provided explicit range on m and an implicit lower bound provided by the C constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of m and  $m_c$ , and the upper bound is  $\overline{m}$ .

## Case 4

There is both a user-provided explicit range on m and an implicit range provided by the C constraint. If the intersection exists, the lower bound of  $\pi(m)$  is the maximum of m and  $m_c$ , and the upper bound is the minimum of  $\overline{m}$  and  $\overline{m}_c$ .

## 4.1.3.15 Routine ADDRGN

Routine ADDRGN adds the user-provided  $\pi(m_j)$  ranges for the life regions to the right without data for the truncated Normal distribution case of the strain formulation.<sup>22</sup> ADDRGN also specifies point values for *m* in the regions without data for the median S/N curve calculation.

# 4.1.4 Routine DECOMP

The flowchart for the DECOMP routine is given in *Figure 4-14*. The routine controls the calls to the data input, strain decomposition, and information aggregation calculation routines. DECOMP starts by opening the following input and output files:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
RELATD	Input	Related material data input
RELATO	Output	Related material data echo

The arrays are then set to their default or initial values by routine INITD. Routine RDECHO reads the data from files SPECFD and RELATD and echoes the data to files SPECFO and RELATO. Routines INITD and RDECHO are described in Sections 4.1.4.1 and 4.1.4.2.

The strain decomposition begins with a call to PREP. Routine PREP stores the usersupplied plastic and elastic strain components into arrays with the data structure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> No data regions to the right are discussed on Page 2-17.









4 - 46











required by those routines shared with the stress formulation calculations. Linear regressions are performed by routine SW2SU2 on the combined specific and related plastic strain component data. Routine INTRVL then calculates the median value for the shape parameter  $m_p$ . EXPCTD finds the median location parameter  $K_p$  for the plastic components. Then SW2SU2, INTRVL, and EXPCTD are called using the elastic strain components to find the median  $m_E$  and  $K_E$ . Routines PREP, SW2SU2, INTRVL, and EXPCTD are described in Sections 4.1.4.3, 4.1.3.4, 4.1.3.6, and 4.1.3.12, respectively.

The actual strain decomposition is performed by routine PECOMP using the median  $m_P$ ,  $K_P$ ,  $m_E$ , and  $K_E$  found above. Routine PREP is used again to store the plastic and elastic strain component data into the required arrays for the information aggregation calculations. PECOMP and PREP are described in Sections 4.1.4.4 and 4.1.4.3. The remaining routine calls depend upon the choice of distribution for the shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ .

The information aggregation for the Uniform distribution case begins with linear regression calculations performed by routine SW2SU2 on the specific and related plastic strain components. The confidence interval calculations for the plastic strain components are performed by INTRVL. The prior credibility range is defined to be the confidence interval. The credibility range for  $m_p$  and the user-provided range information are combined by routine FNDRNG to obtain the posterior credibility range on the shape parameter  $\pi(m_p)$ .<sup>23</sup> The results of these calculations are written to file DUMP. The median S/N curve is then calculated by routine EXPCTD and written to file DUMP. The calls to SW2SU2, INTRVL, FNDRNG, and EXPCTD are repeated for the elastic strain components.  $\beta_o$ , defined as the average of the  $\beta_o$ 's resulting from the two calls to EXPCTD, is calculated. Routines SW2SU2, INTRVL, FNDRNG, and 4.1.3.12, respectively.

The truncated Normal distribution case begins with linear regression calculations performed by routine SW2SU2 on the combined specific and related plastic strain components. A Bayesian analysis is performed by MUSIG to find the Normal distribution parameters for  $m_p$ . The user-provided range information is translated by routine NORRNG into a posterior credibility range on the shape parameter  $m_p$ . The results of these calculations are written to file DUMP. The calls to SW2SU2, MUSIG, and NORRNG are repeated for the elastic strain components. Routines SW2SU2, MUSIG, and NORRNG are described in Sections 4.1.3.4, 4.1.3.13, and 4.1.3.14, respectively.

<sup>23</sup> Combining information to obtain the posterior credibility ranges on m is discussed on Page 2-13.

For both Uniform and truncated Normal distribution cases, if materials process variation is requested, GTPVAR calculates  $\sigma^2$ , the extent of departures from the multiple heat median S/N curve warranted by the available information, by using *Equation 2-49*. Routine GTPVAR is described in *Section 4.1.3.7*.

## 4.1.4.1 Routine INITD

The routine initializes the arrays used in the strain formulation information aggregation routine, DECOMP, to zero.

## 4.1.4.2 Routine RDECHO

The flowchart for the RDECHO routine is given in *Figure 4-15*. The routine controls the input/output of the specific and related materials data, and exogenous information. RDECHO begins by reading the data from file SPECFD which contains the specific S/N data, and exogenous information, and echoes the information to file SPECFO. First, the general information pertaining to the specific material data set is read, including the material description, the number of S/N data points with plastic and elastic decomposition information, the total number of S/N data points, and the number of tensile points.

First the S/N data with decomposition information is read and echoed. The S/N data is in the form of  $(S, N, S_P, S_E)$  quadruplets, where S is the total strain, N is the cyclic fatigue life,  $S_P$  is the plastic strain component, and  $S_E$  is the elastic strain component. Then the remaining S/N data in the form of (S, N) pairs is read and echoed. Next, the tensile data is read and echoed. Inclusion of tensile data is discussed in *Section* 2.1.2.2.

The last information in file SPECFD used by all strain formulation options is the explicit constraints on the shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ . The explicit constraint consists of a point value or range of values for each strain component curve of the specific material data set.<sup>24</sup>

When the truncated Normal variation of the shape parameters is specified, RDECHO reads and echoes the Bayesian prior information for each strain component curve.<sup>25</sup> If materials process variation is specified, the process variation information is read and echoed. Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.

<sup>25</sup> Specifications of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-14.



Figure 4-15 Flowchart for Subprogram RDECHO, Strain Formulation





Next, RDECHO reads the data from file RELATD which contains the related S/N data<sup>26</sup> and echoes the information to file RELATO. First, the number of related data sets is read, stored in variable **NSETS**, and echoed. The data set DO loop is performed for each related data set. The general information pertaining to the related material data set is read, including the material description, the number of S/N data points with plastic and elastic decomposition information, the total number of S/N data points, and the number of tensile points. The S/N data with decomposition information is read and echoed. The S/N data is in the form of (S, N, S<sub>P</sub>, S<sub>E</sub>) quadruplets, where S is the total strain, N is the cyclic fatigue life, S<sub>P</sub> is the plastic strain component, and S<sub>E</sub> is the elastic strain component. Then, the remaining S/N data in the form of (S, N) pairs is read and echoed. Finally, the tensile data is read and echoed. Inclusion of tensile data is discussed in Section 2.1.2.2.

## 4.1.4.3 Routine PREP

The routine stores the plastic and elastic strain component data in arrays with the data structure required by routines SW2SU2 and EXPCTD. Routines SW2SU2 and EXPCTD are described in Sections 4.1.3.4 and 4.1.3.12.

## 4.1.4.4 Routine PECOMP

The flowchart for the PECOMP routine is given in *Figure 4-16*. The routine performs the calculations to decompose the total strain data into plastic and elastic strain components. The decomposition is based upon  $\hat{K}_{p}$ ,  $\hat{m}_{p}$ ,  $\hat{K}_{E}$ , and  $\hat{m}_{E}$ , estimates of the location and shape parameters, for the given plastic and elastic strain data. PECOMP starts by initializing the arrays for the storage of the calculated plastic and elastic strain components and the given plastic and elastic strain data.

The plastic and elastic strain components are calculated from the total strain and fatigue life using *Equations 2-46* and *2-47*, and then stored in the appropriate arrays. The elastic strain and total strain are calculated for the tensile points, assuming that the tensile point is the plastic strain at one cycle given by *Equation 2-45*. Finally, the results of the decomposition calculations are written to file DUMP.

# 4.1.5 PAREST Routine

The flowchart for the PAREST routine is given in *Figure 4-17*. The routine controls the calls to the parameter estimation calculations. The parameter estimation begins by selecting the m's for each region. The m selection is performed by FINDM for the Uniform distribution case and FINDMN for the truncated Normal distribution case. Routines FINDM and FINDMN are described in Sections 4.1.5.1 and 4.1.5.2.

<sup>26</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.



Figure 4-16 Flowchart for Subprogram PECOMP, Strain Formulation



Figure 4-16 Flowchart for Subprogram PECOMP, Strain Formulation (Cont'd)



Figure 4-17 Flowchart for Subprogram PAREST



Figure 4-17 Flowchart for Subprogram PAREST (Cont'd)

The remaining calculations find the  $\{K_j\}$  and  $\beta_o$  parameters consistent with the randomly selected  $\{m_j\}$  and the specific material data set. The calculations begin by routine TRNSFM transforming the specific material S/N data.<sup>27</sup> The transformation produces the  $\{Z_i\}$  as a function of the S/N data, the  $\{m_j\}$ , and the life region boundaries. Then, the sample mean and variance of Z are calculated by routine SMNVAR. KBETA computes the estimates of k and  $\beta_o$ . Then, the  $\{K_j\}$  are calculated by routine FINDK using *Equations 2-37* through 2-41. Finally, the stress values corresponding to the life region boundaries are obtained from FINDSB. Routines TRNSFM, SMNVAR, KBETA, FINDK, and FINDSB are described in Sections 4.1.5.3 through 4.1.5.7.

## 4.1.5.1 Routine FINDM

The flowchart for the FINDM routine is given in *Figure 4-18*. The routine performs the random selection of the  $\{m_i\}$  off the  $\pi(m_i)$  for the Uniform distribution case. The subprogram begins by initializing the array that is to contain the  $\{m_i\}$ . The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

There are three possible cases that must be considered. If the range for  $\pi(m)$  has a point value, then the *m* in that life region will have that point value. If the DO loop counter is on region 1, then  $m_1$  will be randomly selected off of  $U(L_1, U_1)$  where  $L_1$  is the lower bound of  $\pi(m_1)$  and  $U_1$  is the upper bound of  $\pi(m_1)$ . Otherwise,  $m_i$  is randomly selected off of  $U(\max[m_{i-1}, L_i], U_i)$ , where  $m_{i-1}$  is the randomly selected *m* in region i-1,  $L_i$  is the lower bound of  $\pi(m_i)$ , and  $U_i$  is the upper bound of  $\pi(m_i)$ .

## 4.1.5.2 Routine FINDMN

The flowchart for the FINDMN routine is given in *Figure 4-19*. The routine performs the random selection of the  $\{m_l\}$  off the  $\pi(m_l)$  for the truncated Normal distribution case. The subprogram begins by initializing the array that is to contain the  $\{m_l\}$ . The remaining calculations are performed for each life region.

There are three possible cases that must be considered. If the range for  $\pi(m)$  has a point value, then the *m* in that life region will have that point value. If the DO loop counter is on region 1,  $m_1$  will be randomly selected off of N( $m_{*1}$ ,  $\sigma_{*1}^2$ ), then FINDMN checks to see if the selected *m* is within the range of  $\pi(m_1)$  given by  $[L_1, U_1]$ , where  $L_1$  is the lower bound of  $\pi(m_1)$  and  $U_1$  is the upper bound of  $\pi(m_1)$ . If the randomly selected *m* is not in the range, values are selected until a value is found within the range. If  $\pi(m_i)$  is not a point and *l* is not 1 then,  $m_i$  is randomly selected off of N( $m_{*i}$ ,  $\sigma_{*i}^2$ ), then FINDMN checks to see if the selected *m* is within the interval [  $\max(m_{l-1}, L_l), U_l$ ], where  $m_{l-1}$  is the randomly selected *m* in region l-1,  $L_i$  is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The S/N data transformation is discussed on Page 2-16.



Figure 4-18 Flowchart for Subprogram FINDM, Uniform Distribution

.

.



.





Figure 4-19 Flowchart for Subprogram FINDMN, Truncated Normal Distribution (Cont'd)

lower bound of  $\pi(m_l)$ , and  $U_l$  is the upper bound of  $\pi(m_l)$ . If the randomly selected *m* is not in the range, values are selected until a value is found within the range.

#### 4.1.5.3 Routine TRNSFM

The flowchart for the TRNSFM routine is given in *Figure 4-20*. The routine performs the transformation, *Equations 2-39* and 2-40, which produces the  $\{Z_k\}$  as a function of the S/N data, the  $\{m_l\}$ , and the life region boundaries  $\{N_{l-1,l}^*\}$ . The subprogram begins by initializing the array that is to contain the  $\{Z_k\}$ . The remaining calculations are performed as follows. First, calculate  $Z_k$  for each data point in region *l*, l = 1, ..., *R* 

$$Z_{k} = \ln \left( S_{k} N_{k}^{\frac{1}{m_{i}}} \right)$$

Then for each life region to the left II, II = 2, ..., I

$$Z_{k} = Z_{k} \ln \left( S_{k} N_{||-1,||}^{*\left(\frac{1}{m_{w-1}} - \frac{1}{m_{w}}\right)} \right)$$

#### 4.1.5.4 Routine SMNVAR

The flowchart for the SMNVAR routine is given in *Figure 4-21*. The routine performs the calculations to obtain the sample mean and variance of the  $\{Z_k\}$  given by the following equations:

for the mean 
$$\overline{Z} = \frac{1}{N_o} \sum_{i=1}^{N_o} Z_i$$

for the variance 
$$S_Z^2 = \frac{1}{N_o - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_o} (Z_i - \overline{Z})^2$$
.

#### 4.1.5.5 Routine KBETA

KBETA calculates  $\hat{k}$  and  $\beta_o$  using Equation 2-42 and the sample mean and variance obtained in routine SMNVAR where

$$\hat{k} = \overline{Z}$$
 and  $\beta_o = \frac{\pi}{S_Z \sqrt{6}}$ .

### 4.1.5.6 Routine FINDK

The flowchart for the FINDK routine is given in *Figure 4-22*. The routine performs the calculations of *Equations 2-41* and 2-37 to obtain the  $\{K_l\}$  as a function of  $\hat{k}, \beta_o$ , the  $\{m_l\}$ , and the life region boundaries  $\{N_{l-1,l}^*\}$ . The subprogram begins by initializing



-----

Figure 4-20 Flowchart for Subprogram TRNSFM

4 - 64



Figure 4-21 Flowchart for Subprogram SMNVAR



Figure 4-22 Flowchart for Subprogram FINDK

the array that is to contain the  $\{K_i\}$ . The remaining calculations are performed as follows. First, calculate  $K_1$  given by Equation 2-41

$$K_1 = (\ln 2)^{\nu_{\beta_o}} \exp\left(k + \frac{\gamma}{\beta_o}\right)$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's Constant. Then, using *Equations 2-37* for each life region *I*, *I* = 2, ..., *R* 

\*  $\left(\frac{1}{m_{l}} - \frac{1}{m_{l-1}}\right)$  $K_{l} = K_{l-1} N_{l-1,l}$ 

#### 4.1.5.7 Routine FINDSB

Subprogram FINDSB calculates the life region "tie-points", or stress values  $S^{\bullet}$ , which correspond to the "life boundaries" conditional on the randomly selected  $\{m_l\}$ , the  $\{K_l\}$ ,  $\beta_o$  and k using Equation 2-11, with l = 1, ..., R

$$S_{l}^{*} = K_{l} N_{l}^{*} (-1/m_{l})$$
.

Note: If  $N_R^* = \infty$  indicated by  $10^{36}$ , then  $S_R^* = 0$ .

## 4.1.6 Routine KOMO

The KOMO routine calculates  $K_o$  and  $m_o$  for the zero region, the no-data region to the left of the first data region, and extends the S/N curve consistent with the tensile point at  $S_o$  for the stress formulation of the materials model.<sup>28</sup> The subprogram begins by setting  $K_o$  equal to the value of  $S_o$ . Then, the S/N curve parameters are checked and adjusted to maintain consistency with  $S_o$ . Finally,  $m_o$  is calculated according to the following relation

$$m_{o} = m_{1} \frac{\ln K_{1} - \ln S_{0}^{*} + \ln (\varphi \lambda_{K}^{*} Z)}{\ln S_{o} - \ln S_{0}^{*}}$$

## 4.1.7 Routine ADJSTM

Routine ADJSTM adjusts the posterior credibility range for the elastic shape parameter  $m_E$  to be consistent with the concavity constraints of the strain formulation of the S/N model. ADJSTM set the lower bound of the  $m_E$  range to be the maximum of the lower bound of  $\pi(m_E)$  and  $m_P$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

## 4.1.8 Routine GTLIFE

Routine GTLIFE calculates the fatigue life (cycles) given by Equation 2-48 at a userprovided stress level for the stress formulation of the materials characterization model. The subprogram begins by checking to see if the tensile point  $S_o$  is being used. If  $S_o$  is being used, the subprogram checks to see if the stress S is greater than or equal to  $S_o$ , then the life N will be set to one cycle. Otherwise the life is calculated as

$$N = \mathcal{K}^m \, \mathrm{S}^{-m} \, \varphi^m \, \left[ \lambda_K^* \, Z \right]^m \, .$$

When process variation is not in use the paremeters  $\lambda_K^*$  and Z are defined to be one. Routine GTLIFE has another implementation for use with the PFM's. This implementation differs in that the K and  $\varphi$  parameters are raised to the m power by the PFM before GTLIFE is called.

# 4.1.9 Routine GTLIF2

Routine GTLIF2 provides the fatigue life (cycles) at a user-provided strain level S for the strain formulation of the materials characterization model. The fatigue life is obtained by solving *Equation 2-50* for N using Newton's method. The initial value provided to the Newton's method routine NEWTON is given by the elastic strain component

$$N = \left[ K_E \varphi \, \lambda_K^* \, Z \, / \, S \right]^{m_E} \, .$$

When process variation is not in use, the parameters  $\lambda_K^*$  and Z are defined to be one. Subprogram NEWTON is described in Section 4.1.9.1.

## 4.1.9.1 Routine NEWTON

Routine NEWTON is a modified version of subroutine RTNI taken from <u>IBM</u> <u>Application Program. System/360 Scientific Subroutine Package. Version III.</u> <u>Programmer's Manual</u>, Program Number 360-CM-03X, Page 220. The estimates of the life *N* for each iteration are obtained by a call to routine FCT discussed in Section 4.1.9.2.

## 4.1.9.2 Routine FCT

Routine FCT is used by subprogram NEWTON to calculate the value of the function and its derivative at the value N, in order to find the solution of the strain formulation S/N curve. The function is *Equation 2-50*, rewritten so as to find the zero,

$$F = \left[ K_{P} N^{-1/m_{P}} + K_{E} N^{-1/m_{E}} \right] \varphi \left[ \lambda_{K}^{*} Z \right] - S$$

and the derivative is given by

$$\frac{dF}{dN} = -\left[\frac{K_{P}}{m_{P}}N^{(-1-1/m_{P})} + \frac{K_{E}}{m_{E}}N^{(-1-1/m_{E})}\right]\varphi\left[\lambda_{K}^{*}Z\right].$$

Routine NEWTON is discussed in Section 4.1.9.1.

# 4.1.10 Routine SORTM

The flowchart for the SORTM routine is given in *Figure 4-23*. The routine sorts the m values in increasing order for each life region or strain component for the truncated Normal distribution case.<sup>29</sup>

# 4.1.11 Routine TRMNAT

Subprogram TRMNAT performs the premature termination of the program when the program has detected a fatal error during execution.

<sup>29</sup> The need for saving m's is discussed on Page 2-15.



Figure 4-23 Flowchart for Subprogram SORTM

•



Figure 4-23 Flowchart for Subprogram SORTM (Cont'd)

1

·

·

# Section 4.2

# **Prior Distribution Parameter Estimation Software**

## 4.2.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the computer programs which implement the prior failure distribution parameter estimation and assurance calculation discussed in *Section 2.1.1*. The programs are described in detail using flowcharts. The user's guide for running these programs is given in *Section 6.4*, and the source listings, including a definition of key variables, are given in *Section 7.4*. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A*.

## 4.2.2 BFIT Program

The prior failure distribution parameter  $\beta$  estimation procedure of Section 2.1.1 is implemented as the FORTRAN program BFIT. This program can be used to estimate the prior failure distribution parameter  $\beta$ , based on failure lives generated by the appropriate probabilistic failure modeling. The flowchart for the BFIT program is given in *Figure 4-24*. The program starts by opening the input and output files. They are:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
BFITD	Input	Analysis indices
LOWLIF	Input	Sorted fatigue lives
BFITO	Output	Results
IOUTPR	Output	User-requested information

The indices which define the data base used to estimate  $\beta$  are read from file BFITD. Then the failure times are read from file LOWLIF, and the values of

$$Y_i = \ln \left( -\ln \left[ 1 - F(N_i) \right] \right)$$

are calculated for each failure time.

The estimation of  $\beta$  by *b* is performed by subprogram LLS described in Section 4.2.2.1. LLS utilizes a linear least squares algorithm to perform the parameter estimation using *N* simulated failure lives. The results are then written to the output file BFITO.

#### 4.2.2.1 LLS Routine

The flowchart for the LLS routine is given in *Figure 4-25*. The routine uses linear least squares regression of  $\ln(-\ln[1 - F(N)])$  on  $\ln(N)$  to estimate  $\beta$  by *b* where

$$X_i = \ln N_i$$
$$Y_i = \ln \left( -\ln \left[ 1 - F(N_i) \right] \right)$$

4 - 73 PREGEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED



------



.

.:



1

Figure 4-25 Flowchart for Subprogram LLS

LLS starts by initializing the arrays required for the calculations. Then the sample means X and Y are calculated. The sample variances are calculated as follows:

$$N S_{X}^{2} = \sum_{i = \text{START}}^{\text{END}} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}$$
$$N S_{XY} = \sum_{i = \text{START}}^{\text{END}} (X_{i} - \overline{X}) (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})$$

where N = END - START, and  $S_X^2$  and  $S_{XY}$  are the sample variance of X, and sample covariance of X and Y, respectively. The sample means and variances are used to calculate the regression parameters b and ln c of Equations 2-9,

$$b = S_{XY}/S_X^2$$
 and  $\ln c = \overline{Y} - b\overline{X}$ 

 $\beta$  is estimated by b and ln c is computed for the user's information only.

## 4.2.3 ABTFIT Program

The prior failure distribution parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  estimation procedure of Section 2.1.1 is implemented as the FORTRAN program ABTFIT. This program is used to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ , given  $\beta = b$ , based on the failure lives produced by the probabilistic failure modeling. The flowchart for the ABTFIT program is given in *Figure 4-26*. The program starts by opening the input file PARAMS, reading the least squares parameters, and then closing PARAMS. If **IOUT** is equal to 10 or 20, then file IOUTPR must be opened. The input file LOWLIF is opened, the failure times are read, the value of

 $Y_i = -\ln\left[1 - F(N_i)\right]$ 

is calculated for each failure time and LOWLIF is closed.

The estimate of  $\beta$  is provided exogenously or by the program BFIT described in Section 4.2.2. The estimates of the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  using the N simulated failure lives are performed by the nonlinear least squares IMSL subprogram DUNLSJ. Subprogram DUNLSJ is described in "User's Manual," <u>IMSL Math/Library FORTRAN</u> Subroutines for Mathematical Applications MALB-USM-UNBND-EN8901-1.1, Version 1.1, Volume 3, IMSL Inc., January 1989, pp. 841-846. The results are then written to the output files ABTOUT and BAYESD.







\_\_\_\_

•




.

Figure 4-26 Flowchart for the Prior Failure Distribution Parameter Estimation Program ABTFIT (Cont'd)

#### 4.2.3.1 ABT Routine

Routine ABT performs the function evaluation for each failure time required by DUNLSJ. The function to be evaluated is given by *Equation 2-10* 

$$f=Y-\alpha\ln\left[1+\frac{N^{b}}{\theta}\right].$$

#### 4.2.3.2 JABT Routine

Routine JABT performs the Jacobian evaluation for each failure time required by DUNLSJ. The Jacobian to be evaluated is given by the partial derivatives with respect to  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  of the function in Equation 2-10

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\alpha N^{b}}{\theta^{2} \left(1 + \frac{N^{b}}{\theta}\right)}$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} = -\ln\left(1 + \frac{N^{b}}{\theta}\right)$$

### 4.2.4 LZERO Program

The assurance calculation of Section 2.1.1 is implemented as the FORTRAN program LZERO. This program is used to calculate  $\lambda_o$  in Equation 2-5 for a specified assurance level A. The flowchart for the LZERO program is given in Figure 4-27. The program starts by opening the input and output files. They are:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS	
BAYESD	Input	Failure distribution parameters	
LDAT	Input	Assurance level and $\lambda$ bounds	
LOUT	Output	Results	
IOUTPR	Output	User-requested information	

LZERO reads from file BAYESD the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  derived for the failure life distribution using the program ABTFIT. Then **IOUT**, the desired assurance level **A**, and the bounds,  $\lambda_{lb}$  and  $\lambda_{ub}$ , on  $\lambda_o$  are read from file LDAT. if **IOUT** is equal to 10, then file IOUTPR is opened and the intermediate calculations are written in the file.

 $F(\lambda_{lb})$  and  $F(\lambda_{ub})$  are evaluated in routine GAMMA and written to file LOUT. If the desired assurance is not bounded by these two values the routine TRMNAT is called to terminate the program run. Subprograms GAMMA and TRMNAT are described in Sections 4.2.4.1 and 4.2.4.5. If the desired assurance is bounded by  $F(\lambda_{lb})$  and  $F(\lambda_{ub})$  then the assurance is obtained by using Mueller's iteration method performed by routine MUELLR. MUELLR is described in Section 4.2.4.3. The results are then written to the output file LOUT.



Figure 4-27 Flowchart for the Assurance Calculation Program LZERO





#### 4.2.4.1 Routine GAMMA

The cumulative distribution function F(x) for a Gamma variate is calculated in this routine. This is done by integrating the Gamma density function, *Equation 2-1*, by using a series representation given as follows:

$$F(x) = (\theta x)^{\alpha} \exp(-\theta x) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta x)^{i}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + i)}$$
(4-1)

and for ease of calculation, F(x) may be approximated as a finite sum in the form

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{NS} \exp\left(-\ln\left[\Gamma(\alpha+1+i)\right] + (i+\alpha)\ln\left[\theta x\right] - \theta x\right)$$
(4-2)

The flowchart for the GAMMA routine is given in *Figure 4-28*. The routine DLGAM calculates the logarithm of the gamma function.

#### 4.2.4.2 Routine DLGAM

This routine calculates the double precision value of the gamma function (i.e.,  $\ln \Gamma[X]$ ). It is a modified version of subroutine DLGAM taken from <u>IBM Application</u> Program. System/360 Scientific Subroutine Package. Version III. Programmer's <u>Manual</u>, Program Number 360-CM-03X, Page 362.

#### 4.2.4.3 Routine MUELLR

Routine MUELLR is a modified version of subroutine DRTMI taken from <u>IBM</u> <u>Application Program. System/360 Scientific Subroutine Package. Version III.</u> <u>Programmer's Manual</u>, Program Number 360-CM-03X, Page 219. The estimates of the assurance for each iteration are obtained by a call to routine FCT discussed in *Section 4.2.4.4*.

#### 4.2.4.4 Routine FCT

Routine FCT is used by subprogram MUELLR to calculate the value of the function at the value x, in order to find the desired assurance level. The function is written so as to find the zero

f=F(x)-A

where F(x) is the cumulative distribution function for a Gamma variate and A is the desired assurance level. Routine MUELLR is discussed in Section 4.2.4.3.

#### 4.2.4.5 Routine TRMNAT

Subprogram TRMNAT performs the premature termination of the program when the program has detected a fatal error during execution.



## Figure 4-28 Flowchart for Subprogram GAMMA

# Section 4.3

# **Bayesian Statistical Procedure Software**

# 4.3.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the computer program that implements the Bayesian procedure described in *Section 2.1.1*. The program is described below in detail using a flowchart. The user's guide for running this program is given in *Section 6.5*, and the complete source listing, including a definition of key variables, is given in *Section 7.5*. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A*.

# 4.3.2 BAYES Program

The Bayesian statistical procedure of *Section 2.1.1* is implemented as the FORTRAN program BAYES. This program is used to combine operating experience with the prior failure distribution obtained from probabilistic failure modeling. The flowchart for the BAYES program is given in *Figure 4-29*. The program starts by opening the following input and output files:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
BAYESD	Input	Prior failure distribution parameters and operating experience
BAYESO	Output	Echo of input data and results of analysis
UBAYES	Output	Posterior failure distribution parameters

The array for storing the operating experience is initialized and the input data is read from the BAYESD file. The parameters of the posterior failure distribution  $\alpha'$  and  $\theta'$ are calculated by means of *Equation 2-2*, using the parameters of the prior failure distribution and the operating experience. Then B-lives<sup>30</sup> for both the prior and the posterior failure distributions are calculated using *Equation 2-6*. The parameters of the posterior failure distribution  $\beta$ ,  $\theta'$ , and  $\alpha'$  are written on file UBAYES. Finally, an echo of the input information, the posterior distribution, and the calculated B-lives are written to file BAYESO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> A B-life is the value of the failure parameter (e.g., time) at a failure probability specified as a percent: e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.





4 - 86

# Section 4.4

# **Random Number Generation Software**

## 4.4.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the random number generation routines mentioned throughout Sections 4, 5, and 7. The complete source listings, including the definitions of key variables, are given in Section 7.6.

## 4.4.2 RANDOM Routine

The Uniform(0,1) random number generation is implemented as the FORTRAN routine RANDOM. The random variates are generated using the Linear Congruential Algorithm described in [1].

# 4.4.3 NORMGN Routine

The Normal( $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$ ) random number generation is implemented as the FORTRAN routine NORMGN. The random variates are generated using the "Direct Method," Abramowitz and Stegun [2], pg. 953.

## 4.4.4 GAM Routine

The Gamma( $\alpha$ ) random number generation is implemented as the FORTRAN routine GAM. The random variates are generated using an "Acceptance/Rejection Method," Fishman [3].

# 4.4.5 BETAGN Routine

The Beta(x; a, b,  $\rho$ ,  $\theta$ ) random number generation is implemented as the FORTRAN routine BETAGN. A standard Beta random variate is defined by

$$Y = \frac{X_1}{X_1 + X_2}$$

where  $X_1 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha)$  and  $X_2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\beta)$  are independently distributed, Johnson and Kotz [4], pp.181-182.

$$g(y) = y^{\alpha - 1} (1 - y)^{\beta - 1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \quad 0 \le y \le 1$$

That standard Beta distribution can be related to the Beta distribution we use, Equation 2-54

$$f(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{\rho \cdot \theta} (b-x)^{(1-\rho) \cdot \theta}}{(b-a)^{\theta+1}} \frac{\Gamma(\theta+2)}{\Gamma(\rho \cdot \theta+1) \Gamma[(1-\rho) \cdot \theta+1]}$$
  
$$a \le x \le b \quad 0 \le \rho \le 1 \quad \theta \ge 0$$

by the transformations

$$X = \mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) Y$$
$$\alpha = \rho \theta + 1$$

 $\beta = (1 - \rho)\theta + 1$ 

## 4.4.6 WEIBGN Routine

The Weibull( $\beta$ ,  $\eta$ ) random number generation is implemented as the FORTRAN routine WEIBGN. This Weibull distribution is implemented with the median constrained to be 1, which implies dependence between  $\eta$  and  $\beta$  given by

$$1 = \eta (\ln 2)^{1/\beta}.$$

A Weibull random variate is obtained with the "Inverse Transformation Method" by solving the Weibull cumulative distribution function for N, where F(N) is treated as a Uniform(0,1) variate

$$F(N) = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{N}{\eta}\right)^{\beta}\right].$$

# Section 4.5

# **Reference Time History Generation Software**

## 4.5.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the computer program that was used to generate the reference time histories which are required as inputs to the HCF computer codes described in *Section 5.1* in order to construct the stress-time histories used in the HCF analyses. Since each stress-time history component (random or sinusoidal) is a scalar multiple of a corresponding reference time history component, we have used a computer code that has the capability to compute stress-time history components with all scale factors set to one in order to generate reference time history components. The pertinent methodology is given in *Section 2.1.4*. The program is described in detail using a flowchart. The random variate generators are described in *Section 4.4*. The user's guide for running this program is given in *Section 6.6*, and a list of subprograms, a definition of key variables, and the complete source listing are given in *Section 7.7*. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A*.

# 4.5.2 NBSIN Program

The reference time history generation is implemented using the stress-time history generation FORTRAN program NBSIN. The flowchart for the NBSIN program is given in *Figure 4-30*. The program uses the following input and output files:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS	
NBSIN	Input	Generation parameters	
IOUTPR	Output	Intermediate calculations	
User-Specified	Output	Narrow-band and sinusoidal time histories	

The input data is read from the NBSIN file; the angular frequencies and phase angles are calculated for the sinusoidal reference time histories, then file NBSIN is closed. The time increment and the parameters for each of the narrow-band reference time histories to be generated are subsequently calculated.

Generation of the narrow-band reference time histories begins by initializing the AR(1) process.<sup>31</sup> Two independent draws from the N(0, 1) distribution are obtained by calling subroutine NORMGN for each set of loads in a given direction. Since NBSIN has the ability to compute stress-time history components, the Normal variates can be transformed to N(0,  $\sigma_{Nk}^2$ ) variates; however, for reference time histories the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The AR(1) process is described in Section 2.1.4.







Figure 4-30 Flowchart for the Time History Generation Progra NBSIN (Cont'd)









transformation is an identity transformation, so  $\sigma_{NK}^2 = 1$  is required. After initialization of the AR(1) process, the files for the narrow-band reference time history storage are opened.

The narrow-band reference time history is generated by obtaining two independent N(0, 1) random variates from NORMGN for each set of loads in a given direction, transforming them to pairs of  $N(0, \sigma_{ck}^2)$  random variates, and then calculating the cosine and sine components  $N_{ck}(t_i)$  and  $N_{sk}(t_i)$ , respectively. The narrow-band reference time histories  $N_k(t_i)$  are then obtained using Equation 2-57. NBSIN provides the option to clip peaks at a user-specified level in order to limit them to finite bounds. Finally, the values of  $N_k(t_i)$  are written to their corresponding storage files. When all the narrow-band reference time histories have been generated and written to their files, the files are closed.

The sinusoidal reference time histories are generated next. The reference time history storage files are opened, then the sinusoids  $S_k(t_i)$  are calculated at the same times as the narrow-band processes and written to their corresponding storage files.

#### References

- Miles, R. F., <u>The RANDOM Computer Program: A Linear Congruential Random</u> <u>Number Generator</u>, JPL Publication 85-98, JPL Document 5101-277, Feb. 15, 1986.
- [2] Abramowitz, M., and Stegun, I. A., editors, <u>Handbook of Mathematical Functions</u>, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, Issued June 1964, Ninth Printing, November 1970 with corrections.
- [3] Fishman, George S., "Sampling From the Gamma Distribution on a Computer," <u>Communications of the ACM</u>, Volume 19, Number 7, July 1976, Pages 407-409.
- [4] Johnson, N. L., and Kotz, S., <u>Distributions in Statistics: Continuous Univariate Dis-</u> tributions - 1, Houghton Mifflin Company, 1970.



# 5.0 Fatigue Analysis Software



# Section 5.1 High Cycle Fatigue Analysis Software

### 5.1.1 Introduction

The codes for analyzing the HPOTP main discharge duct, the LPFTP turbine drive duct, and the HPOTP HEX coil small tube outlet are described here. The pertinent HCF methodology is given in Section 2.2.1. A list of subroutines and the key variables along with the complete source listing are given in Section 7.1. The relevant user's guides for running these codes are given in Section 6.1.

*Figure 2-18* shows a general schematic for the HCF analysis. Two stand-alone programs, namely the DCTHCF and HEXHCF, were developed for the HCF analyses. The DCTHCF has the capability for analyzing elbow ducts with welds and was used for the study of the main discharge duct and the turbine drive duct. HEXHCF can analyze straight ducts with welds having large temperature differences across the duct wall. The HEXHCF program was used for analyzing the HEX coil. From the description given below, it will become clear to the reader that both of these programs share many subroutines, including the S/N materials characterization modules. The reason for developing them as separate programs is to demonstrate the probabilistic fatigue analysis methodology using efficient software for specific case studies.

The two programs are described here by the use of algorithmic flowcharts. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A.* The overall layout of the programs is described using the main flowcharts. Reference is made in the main flowcharts to other secondary flowcharts which describe subprograms. Flowcharts for the input, output, and driver transformation subprograms are given here. However, the subprograms related to materials characterization and general purpose probability distribution routines are described in *Section 4.1* and *Section 4.4*, respectively.

When describing FORTRAN statements that relate to the equations given in the methodology section, a one-to-one correspondence is established in most cases. Equation numbers are given in parentheses where applicable. A single equation may result in several FORTRAN statements. Sometimes this is done for reasons of efficiency. Also, the codes have been written to exploit vector processing.

5-3 PRECEDING PAGE PLANK NOT FILMED

# 5.1.2 DCTHCF Program

The HCF analyses of the HPOTP main discharge duct and the LPFTP turbine drive duct are implemented as the FORTRAN program DCTHCF. *Figure 5-1* shows the structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model (PFM) for the ducts. This section provides the description and flowcharts for program DCTHCF.

#### 5.1.2.1 Main Routine

The main flowchart for the DCTHCF program is given in *Figure 5-2*. The program starts by opening the input and output files.<sup>1</sup> They are:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS	
DCTHCD	Input	Analysis data	
DCTHCO	Output	Input data echo, results	
BELATD	Input	Related material data input	
BELATO	Output	Echo of information in RELATD	
DUMP	Output	Results of materials characterization calculations	
IOI ITPR	Output	Run information and user-requested information	
	Output	First one percent of sorted fatigue lives	
User-Specified	Input	Random and sinusoidal reference time histories	

The arrays and variables are then set to their default or initial values. The input data is read from the DCTHCD file. An echo of the input data is written onto DCTHCO. The related materials data is read from the file RELATD and processed in the INFAGG routine. INFAGG controls the materials information aggregation and is described in *Section 4.1.3*.

The selection of hyperparameters<sup>2</sup> is performed in the outer DO loop of the simulation. This includes calling the RANDOM and PRYRV subroutines to set up the  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  parameters for drivers with Beta distributions. The PAREST routine controls the calculations for estimating the parameters for the S/N model. Routine PAREST is described in Section 4.1.5. Materials process variation may be included in the S/N model on request.

The inner DO loop for the simulation performs the driver draws. Drivers are selected by calling BETAGN, NORMGN and PRYRV, which draw from Beta, Normal, and Uniform distributions, respectively. The region-dependent S/N curve is calculated by scaling the median S/N curve with a random draw from a Weibull distribution by using WEIBGN. The general purpose probability distribution subroutines RANDOM, BETAGN, NORMGN, WEIBGN, and PRYRV are described in Sections 4.4 and 7.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Files RELATD and RELATO are opened in INFAGG.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hyperparameters are discussed in Section 2.1.1.



#### Figure 5-1 Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for the Elbow Ducts with Welds



Figure 5-2 Main Flowchart for the Duct Analysis Program DCTHCF





The routine ELWELD performs the driver transformation and calculates the fatigue life. The flowchart for ELWELD is given in *Figure 5-3* and the routine is described below.

Once a fatigue life is calculated in ELWELD, it is sorted and saved in a list containing the lowest fifty percent of the lives. The INSORT routine performs an insertion sort with the new fatigue life. When the two simulation DO loops are completed, a list of lives representing the left-hand tail of the failure distribution is written to the file LOWLIF.

Finally, if truncated Normal variation was used for the materials shape parameter m, an empirical median S/N curve may be calculated on request. The routine SORTM is called to sort the m values and routine EXPCTD calculates the median S/N curve. Section 4.1.10 and 4.1.3.12 describe the routines SORTM and EXPCTD, respectively.

#### 5.1.2.2 ELWELD Routine

The flowchart for the ELWELD routine is given in *Figure 5-3*. The routine essentially controls the calls to the stress and fatigue life calculation routines based on the critical location. The routine NARBN1 calculates the fatigue life and is described below. The stress magnitudes are calculated for the different locations by calling the following routines.

LOCATION	POSITION	ROUTINE
1	Exterior Surface	M2L1
2	Interior Surface	M2L2

Both stress routines are called when fatigue life is calculated for both locations and a critical location is identified as the one having the lowest life.

#### 5.1.2.3 M2L1 Routine

The stress influence coefficients for the critical location on the exterior surface and the outer bend of the elbow are calculated within M2L1. The coefficients vary for the different locations but the layout for routine M2L2 is similar to M2L1. Hence, only M2L1 is described.

The flowchart for the M2L1 routine is given in *Figure 5-4*. First, the stress concentration factor  $K_{OFF}$  due to weld offset is calculated by using *Equation 2-73*. The equation numbers referenced here are contained in the HCF methodology Section 2.2.1.3. The elbow effects are given by *Equations 2-74* through *2-80*. The stress increase due to the torus effect  $\beta$  is calculated by using *Equation 2-79*. Equation 2-80 gives the decay rate  $Q_T$  for the torus effect. Then the ovality stress effect coefficients  $\gamma_{lx}$ ,  $\gamma_{cx}$ ,  $\gamma_{ly}$ , and  $\gamma_{cy}$  of *Equations 2-74* through 2-77 and the associated decay rate  $Q_o$  of *Equation 2-78* are calculated. Finally, routine CALCS is called to obtain the stress magnitudes.



Figure 5-3 Flowchart for the Subprogram ELWELD



Figure 5-4 Flowchart for the Subprogram M2L1

### 5.1.2.4 CALCS Routine

Figure 5-5 gives the flowchart for the CALCS routine. Equations 2-68, 2-69, 2-71 and 2-72 are used to derive the four stress components. The input to this routine includes the coefficients  $\gamma_{Iz}$ ,  $\gamma_{cz}$ ,  $\gamma_{Iy}$ ,  $\gamma_{cy}$ , and the angular position  $\phi$ . Also, radius *R* in the stress equations is equal to  $R_i$  for the interior surface and  $R_o$  for the exterior surface. First, the static stress components are calculated. The ducts had no external pressure or thermal gradient. Thus, both  $p_o$  and  $\sigma_{TH}$  are zero in Equations 2-68, 2-69, 2-70, and 2-71. Next, the non-time-varying stress magnitudes are calculated for each dynamic load component. The dynamic stresses are not affected by static internal pressure, external pressure, and temperature difference across the wall.

#### 5.1.2.5 NARBN1 Routine

The flowchart for the NARBN1 routine is given in *Figure 5-6*. The composite stress history, which is a summation of the static, random and sinusoidal loads, is derived in this routine. First, the static stresses are assigned to the four stress component histories. Then, the reference time histories for each load component are scaled by the non-time-varying dynamic stress magnitudes and added to the stress time history components, as given by *Equation 2-82*. Next, the four stress components are collapsed to a single equivalent von Mises stress by using *Equation 2-84*. The resulting equivalent stress history is assigned the algebraic sign of the maximum principal stress (in this case the axial stress). Finally, the RAINF1 routine is called. This routine performs a rainflow cycle count and derives the fatigue life.

### 5.1.2.6 RAINF1 Routine

The flowchart for RAINF1 is given in *Figure 5-7*. First, the equivalent stress history is scanned to identify the largest stress and its location. The history is resequenced such that the largest stress is placed at the beginning and end of the stress array. Then, the intermediate points in the history are filtered leaving only the peaks and troughs. This is done by testing for a sign change in the gradients of adjacent segments. Next, the counting of the cycles begins. Consecutive peaks and troughs are added to a holding array, each time checking whether the new peak-trough segment is greater than the previous one; if so, then a cycle has been closed. Then, the peak and trough corresponding to the closed cycle are removed from the holding array. The cycle is saved if it is large enough, i.e., larger than a user-specified threshold. The procedure is repeated by adding new peaks and troughs to the holding array until another cycle is identified.

Once all the cycles have been identified, the alternating and mean values of each stress cycle are calculated. An equivalent mean stress is calculated for the entire history based on the mean of the biggest cycle. The routine PGETSM, described below, is called to estimate the mean stress. The alternating stresses for each cycle are adjusted to equivalent zero-mean stresses using the Goodman relation given by



Figure 5-5 Flowchart for the Subprogram CALCS



Figure 5-6 Flowchart for the Subprogram NARBN1

•



Figure 5-6 Flowchart for the Subprogram NARBN1 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-7 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1



Figure 5-7 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-7 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-7 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1 (Cont'd)






Figure 5-7 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF1 (Cont'd)

*Equation 2-90.* The life corresponding to each stress cycle is obtained from the S/N curve by calling the GTLIFE routine. The GTLIFE routine is described under materials characterization in *Section 4.1.8.* Miner's rule is used to accumulate the damage due to each cycle. There are four separate DO loops over the number of cycles in the last four steps starting with the Goodman transformation. This was done to enable vectorization of the DO loops. For running on a scalar machine, these four steps may be embedded within a single DO loop.

### 5.1.2.7 PGETSM Routine

The flowchart for PGETSM is given in *Figure 5-8.* An elastic-perfectly-plastic stress vs. strain behavior is assumed here for the material. First, the total stress is calculated by summing the alternating and mean stress of the largest cycle. This stress is checked against the yield stress. Three different cases occur, as given by *Equation 2-87.* If the total stress is below yield, then the mean stress is unchanged. If it is above the yield stress, then the adjusted mean stress is the yield stress minus the alternating stress. If the alternating stress alone is larger than the yield stress, then the mean stress is set to zero.

### 5.1.3 HEXHCF Program

The HCF analysis of the HPOTP heat exchanger (HEX) coil small tube outlet is implemented as the FORTRAN program HEXHCF. *Figure 5-9* shows the structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model (PFM) for the coil. This section provides the description and flowcharts for program HEXHCF.

### 5.1.3.1 Main Routine

The main flowchart for the HEXHCF program is given in *Figure 5-10*. The program starts by opening the input and output files.<sup>3</sup> They are:

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
HEXHCD	Input	Analysis data
HEXHCO	Output	input data echo, results
RELATD	Input	Related material data input
RELATO	Output	Echo of information in RELATD
DUMP	Output	Results of materials characterization calculations
IOUTPR	Output	Run information and user-requested information
LOWLIF	Output	First one percent of sorted fatigue lives
User-Specified	Input	Random and sinusoidal reference time histories

The arrays and variables are then set to their default or initial values. The input data is read from the HEXHCD file. An echo of the input data is written onto HEXHCO. The related materials data is read from the file RELATD and processed in the INFAGG

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Files RELATD and RELATO are opened in INFAGG.



Figure 5-8 Flowchart for Subprogram PGETSM



Figure 5-9 Structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model for Straight Ducts with Welds and Temperature Differences Across the Wall









routine. INFAGG controls the materials information aggregation and is described in Section 4.1.3.

The selection of hyperparameters<sup>4</sup> is performed in the outer DO loop for the simulation. This includes calling the RANDOM and PRYRV subroutines to set up the  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  parameters for drivers with Beta distributions. The PAREST routine controls the calculations for estimating the parameters for the S/N model. Routine PAREST is described in Section 4.1.5. Materials process variation may be included in the S/N model on request.

The inner DO loop for the simulation performs the driver draws. Drivers are selected by calling BETAGN, NORMGN and PRYRV, which draw from Beta, Normal, and Uniform distributions, respectively. The region-dependent S/N curve is calculated by scaling the median S/N curve with a random draw from a Weibull distribution by using WEIBGN. The general purpose probability distribution subroutines RANDOM, BETAGN, NORMGN, WEIBGN, and PRYRV are described in *Sections 4.4* and 7.6.

The routine THWELD performs the driver transformation and calculates the fatigue life. The flowchart for THWELD is given in *Figure 5-11* and the routine is described below.

Once a fatigue life is calculated in THWELD, it is sorted and saved in a list containing the lowest fifty percent of the lives. The INSORT routine performs an insertion sort with the new fatigue life. When the two simulation DO loops are completed, a list of lives representing the left-hand tail of the failure distribution is written to the file LOWLIF.

Finally, if truncated Normal variation was used for the materials shape parameter m, an empirical median S/N curve may be calculated on request. The routine SORTM is called to sort the m values and the routine EXPCTD calculates the median S/N curve. Sections 4.1.10 and 4.1.3.12 describe the routines SORTM and EXPCTD, respectively.

### 5.1.3.2 THWELD Routine

The flowchart for the THWELD routine is given in *Figure 5-11*. The routine essentially controls the calls to the stress and fatigue life calculation routines, based on the critical location. The routine NARBN2 calculates the fatigue life and is described below. The stress magnitudes are calculated for the different locations by calling the following routines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Hyperparameters are discussed in Section 2.1.1.



Figure 5-11 Flowchart for Subprogram THWELD

	POSITION	ROUTINE
1	Exterior Surface	M4L1
2	Interior Surface	M4L2

M4L1 and M4L2 routines are called when fatigue life is calculated for both locations and a critical location is identified as the one associated with the lowest life.

### 5.1.3.3 M4L1 Routine

The flowchart for the M4L1 routine is given in *Figure 5-12*. This contains stress component calculations for the exterior surface. The routine M4L2 is similar to M4L1, and it calculates the stresses for the internal surface. First, the stress concentration factor  $K_{OFF}$  due to weld offset is calculated by using *Equation 2-73*. Then, *Equations 2-68* through 2-72 are used to calculate the four stress components. These stress equations are for a general elbow case. The HEX was treated as a straight cylinder and the coefficients  $\gamma_{lz} = \sin \phi$ ,  $\gamma_{ly} = \cos \phi$ ,  $\gamma_{cz} = \gamma_{cy} = 0$ , and  $\beta = 1$  and the equations reduce to the standard pressure vessel case. For the exterior surface, the radius *R* is set to  $R_o$ .

First, the static stress components are calculated. Then, the non-time-varying stress magnitudes are calculated for each dynamic load component. The dynamic stresses are not affected by static internal pressure  $p_i$ , external pressure  $p_o$ , and temperature difference  $\Delta T$ .

### 5.1.3.4 NARBN2 Routine

The flowchart for the NARBN2 routine is given in *Figure 5-13*. The composite stress history, which is a summation of the static, random, sinusoidal and aerodynamic loads, is derived in this routine. First, the static stresses are assigned to the four stress component histories. Then, the reference time histories for each load component are scaled by the non-time-varying dynamic stress magnitudes and added to the stress time history components as given by *Equation 2-82*. Next, the four stress components are collapsed to a single equivalent von Mises stress by using *Equation 2-84*. The resulting equivalent stress history is assigned the algebraic sign of the maximum principal stress (in this case, the axial stress). Finally, the RAINF2 routine is called. This routine performs a rainflow cycle count and derives the fatigue life.

The NARBN2 routine performs the same calculations as the NARBN1 routine employed in DCTHCF. The only difference between the two is that RAINF1 is called by NARBN1 for the rainflow counting and fatigue life derivation.

### 5.1.3.5 RAINF2 Routine

The flowchart for RAINF2 is given in *Figure 5-14*. First, the equivalent stress history is scanned to identify the largest stress and its location. The history is resequenced such that the largest stress is placed at the beginning and end of the stress array.



Figure 5-12 Flowchart for Subprogram M4L1



\_\_\_\_

Figure 5-13 Flowchart for the Subprogram NARBN2



Figure 5-13 Flowchart for Subprogram NARBN2 (Cont'd)



----

Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2



Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2 (Cont'd)



Figure 5-14 Flowchart for Subprogram RAINF2 (Cont'd)

Then, the intermediate points in the history are filtered leaving only the peaks and troughs. This is done by testing for a sign change in the gradients of adjacent segments. Next, the counting of the cycles begins. Consecutive peaks and troughs are added to a holding array, each time checking whether the new peak-trough segment is greater than the previous one; if so, then a cycle has been closed. Then, the peak and trough corresponding to the closed cycle are removed from the holding array. The cycle is saved if it is large enough, i.e., larger than a user-specified threshold. The procedure is repeated by adding new peaks and troughs to the holding array until another cycle is identified.

Once all the cycles have been identified, the alternating and mean values of each stress cycle are calculated. An equivalent mean stress is calculated for the entire history based on the mean of the biggest cycle. The routine NEUBER, described below, is called to estimate the equivalent mean stress. The alternating stresses for each cycle are adjusted to equivalent zero-mean stresses by using the Goodman relation given by *Equation 2-90*. The life corresponding to each stress cycle is obtained from the S/N curve by calling the GTLIFE routine. The GTLIFE routine is described under materials characterization in *Section 4.1.8*. Miner's rule is used to accumulate the damage due to each cycle. There are four separate DO loops over the number of cycles in the last four steps, starting with the Goodman transformation. This was done to enable vectorization of the DO loops. For running on a scalar machine, these four steps may be embedded within a single DO loop.

The RAINF2 performs the same calculations as RAINF1, which is used in DCTHCF. The only difference is that RAINF1 calls PGETSM and RAINF2 calls NEUBER for the equivalent mean stress calculation.

### 5.1.3.6 NEUBER Routine

The flowchart for NEUBER is given in *Figure 5-15*. The total stress is calculated by summing the mean stress and the alternating stress with the algebraic sign of the mean applied to the latter. The stress-strain product is calculated next. The goal is to find the intersection of the stress vs. strain curve to the hyperbola, given by *Equation 2-88*, which represents the constant stress-strain product. First, the product is checked as to whether it is in the elastic region; if so, then the stress is unchanged. Otherwise, the intersection of the stress vs. strain curve with the hyperbola is determined by checking through the segments of the curve. The intersection defines the desired Neuber stress value. The new mean stress is the Neuber stress minus the alternating stress, as given by *Equation 2-89*.



Figure 5-15 Flowchart for Subprogram NEUBER



## Section 5.2 Low Cycle Fatigue Analysis Software

### 5.2.1 Introduction

This section presents a description of the computer program which implements the LCF analysis discussed in *Section 2.2.2.2*. The code for analyzing the ATD-HPFTP second stage turbine disk is described here. The overall layout of the program is described by using a main flowchart that refers to other flowcharts, which describe subprograms and key portions of the main program in greater detail. The materials characterization subprograms and those subprograms that are of a generic nature, such as the random variate generators, are described in *Section 4.1* and *Section 4.4*, respectively. The relevant user's guide for running this code is given in *Section 6.2*, and a list of subprograms, a definition of key variables, and the complete source code listing are given in *Section 7.2*. A glossary of standard flowchart symbols is given for the reader's benefit in *Appendix 5.A*.

### 5.2.2 TRBPWA Program

The LCF analysis of the ATD-HPFTP second stage turbine disk is implemented as the FORTRAN program TRBPWA. *Figure 5-16* shows the structure of the Probabilistic Failure Model (PFM) for the disk. This section provides the description and flowcharts for program TRBPWA.

### 5.2.2.1 Main Routine

The main flowchart for the TRBPWA program is given in *Figure 5-17*. The program starts by opening the following input and output files:<sup>5</sup>

NAME	TYPE	CONTENTS
TRBPWD	Input	Analysis data
TRBPWO	Output	Input data echo, results
RELATD	Input	Related material data input
RELATO	Output	Echo of information in RELATD
DUMP	Output	Results of materials characterization calculations
IOUTPR	Output	Run information and user-requested information
LOWLIF	Output	First one percent of sorted fatigue lives

The arrays and variables are then set to their default or initial values. The input data is read from the TRBPWD file. An echo of the input data is written onto TRBPWO. The related material S/N information is read from the file RELATD and processed in

<sup>5</sup> Files RELATD and RELATO are opened in INFAGG.

5-41 5-4)













5 - 44

the INFAGG routine. INFAGG controls the materials information aggregation and is described in *Section 4.1.3*.

The selection of hyperparameters<sup>6</sup> is performed in the outer DO loop of the simulation by calling the RANDOM and PRYRV routines to obtain the Beta distribution parameters  $\rho$  and  $\theta$  for  $\Delta T_f$ ; it is the only driver whose probability distribution is described by Beta distributions. The PAREST routine controls the calculations for estimating the parameters for the S/N model. Routine PAREST is described in Section 4.1.5. If materials process variation is included, the materials parameter Z in Equation 2-48 is selected by calling the NORMGN routine and then transforming the resulting Normal variate to a Lognormal variate.

The inner DO loop for the simulation performs the driver selection. The drivers  $\omega$ ,  $\Delta T_f$ ,  $\lambda_{K_f}$  and  $\lambda_{K_g}$  are selected by calling NORMGN, BETAGN, and PRYRV, which draw from Normal, Beta, and Uniform distributions, respectively. The random variate routines RANDOM, BETAGN, NORMGN, and PRYRV are described in Sections 4.4 and 7.6.

In the symmetry DO loop, the materials model parameter  $\varphi$  is found from the minimum of 50 draws of a Weibull distribution. Calls to WEIBGN provide the 50 values of  $\varphi$ . Subroutine WEIBGN is described in *Sections 4.4.6*.

When all the S/N model parameters have been selected for the region with S/N data, the S/N curve is tied to the tensile point  $S_o$  by routine KOMO. The driver transformation, discussed in Section 5.2.2.2, is then performed. The result of the driver transformation is the reference stress  $S_R$  used by subprogram GTLIFE to calculate a fatigue life by using the randomly selected S/N curve. Subprograms KOMO and GTLIFE are described in Sections 4.1.6 and 4.1.8, respectively.

The fatigue lives are arranged in ascending order in a list containing the lowest fifty percent of the lives. The INSORT routine performs an insertion sort with each new fatigue life. When the outer DO loop is completed, the list of lives representing the left-hand tail of the failure distribution is written to file LOWLIF. Subprogram INSORT is described in *Appendix 5.B.* 

If a truncated Normal distribution was used for the materials shape parameter m, the empirical median S/N curve will be calculated upon user request. The routine SORTM is called to sort the values of m and the routine EXPCTD calculates the median

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hyperparameters are discussed in Section 2.1.1.

S/N curve. Sections 4.1.10 and 4.1.3.12 describe the routines SORTM and EXPCTD, respectively.

### 5.2.2.2 Driver Transformation

The flowchart for the driver transformation discussed in Section 2.2.2.2 is given in Figure 5-18. The driver transformation is performed in several steps. The first step is to calculate  $C_S$ ,  $\lambda_m$  and  $\lambda_G$  by using the parametric relationships of Equations 2-94 through 2-98 and the values of  $\omega$  and  $\Delta T_f$ . Then  $C_S$ ,  $\lambda_m$ ,  $\lambda_G$ ; the nominal stresses  $S_{M_o}$ ,  $S_{m_o}$  and  $S_{G_o}$ ; and the model accuracy factors  $\lambda_{K_i}$  and  $\lambda_{K_d}$  are combined by using Equation 2-103. The result is the reference stress  $S_R$  for the single-cycle stress history, which is then used in the low cycle fatigue life calculation.



Figure 5-18 Flowchart of Driver Transformation

Appendix 5.A Program Flowchart Symbols

The symbols employed in the flowcharts are given in Figure 5-19.



Figure 5-19 Program Flowchart Symbols

PRECEDING PAGE PLANK NOT FILMED

5 - 4<del>9</del>

5.49 ر درجی در با میهودی ساله موجودی است. مرابع در این همودی مرکز است.

## Appendix 5.B INSORT Routine

The flowchart for the FORTRAN routine INSORT is given in *Figure 5-20.* The routine performs an insertion sort on the failure times provided by the Probabilistic Failure Models (PFMs). Only the lowest fifty percent of the failure times are saved.



Figure 5-20 Flowchart for Subprogram INSORT

WRECEDING PARE BLAND NOT FILMED

5-50 INTERTOMALLY CLARK



Figure 5-20 Flowchart for Subprogram INSORT (Cont'd)

# 6.0 Software User's Documentation
# Section 6.1

# **High Cycle Fatigue Analysis User's Guides**

The user's guides for running the two high cycle fatigue (HCF) analysis codes DCTHCF and HEXHCF are given here. The HCF methodology is discussed in Section 2.2.1, the program descriptions and flowcharts are presented in Section 5.1, and the code structures and listings are provided in Section 7.1.

#### 6.1.1 DCTHCF Program

The DCTHCF program was used to analyze high cycle fatigue failure of the HPOTP main discharge duct and the LPFTP turbine drive duct. The dynamic load input for the program consists of narrow-band and sinusoidal reference time histories. These reference time histories are generated using the program NBSIN. The output of DCTHCF includes the simulated B-lives and a list of the lowest one percent of lives. The list of lives may be used as input to the regression programs of *Section 4.2* to compute the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution. This prior distribution and success/failure data are used as input to the Bayesian updating program BAYES to obtain a posterior failure distribution.

#### 6.1.2 How To Use Program DCTHCF

The program DCTHCF is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. DCTHCF requires *two input data files*: DCTHCD and RELATD. The materials characterization model portion of the program requires both files for all runs, *even when no related S/N data* is used. DCTHCF also uses a set of load data files containing the reference time histories. The names of the load data files must be defined by the user. The file DCTHCD contains the analysis control parameters, driver distributions, engineering analysis parameters, and specific and exogenous materials information. The file RELATD contains the related materials information. A complete description of the input data for the DCTHCD and RELATD data files is given in Section 6.1.3.

The results from the DCTHCF program are written to five output files: DCTHCO, RELATO, DUMP, IOUTPR, and LOWLIF. DCTHCO contains the echo of the information in DCTHCD, the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on specific materials data, and the results of the simulation. RELATO contains the echo of the information in RELATD and the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on related materials data. The results of the materials characterization calculations are primarily given in DUMP. These calculations include point and interval estimates for S/N curve parameters *m* and *C*, posterior credibility ranges for *m*, and an estimate of the median S/N curve. File IOUTPR contains an echo of the analysis parameters and, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations. If the program terminates

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK NOT FILMED

6-3 (-2

prematurely, an error message will be printed in the IOUTPR file. A list of error messages and possible remedies for the problems is given in *Section 6.1.6*. LOWLIF contains the first one percent of the lives of the simulated failure distribution.

# 6.1.3 Description of Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for DCTHCD and RELATD are presented in *Figures 6-1* and 6-2, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-1* and 6-2 are individually defined in *Sections 6.1.3.1* and 6.1.3.2. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-1* and 6-2 are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3.1*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files DCTHCD and RELATD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. However, it is recommended that the input format suggested in *Figures 6-1* and 6-2 be followed whenever possible.

#### 6.1.3.1 Input File DCTHCD

The required data for the DCTHCD file is divided into the four blocks shown in *Figure* 6-3: analysis parameters, driver information, load and geometry, and materials information. The analysis parameters block contains the analysis parameters and the keys to select the program options. The driver information block contains the parameters that define the driver distributions. The number of dynamic loads, the magnitudes of the dynamic loads, the load file names, the static loads, and duct geometry are given in the load and geometry block. The materials information block contains the specific material S/N data, including the yield and ultimate strengths, stress ratio, the S/N data points, life region boundaries, and materials characterization model parameter constraints.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying dimension, load, and stress input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

675	Random number seed
0	Output dump controller
1	Inner loop size
20000	Outer loop size
2	Type of S/N variation
0	Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve
0	Controls materials process variation
10	Number of B-lives

Decimal equivalent of percentages for B-lives

										_
0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.010	
										_

Weld offset two Beta distribution information

0.13	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	
1.00						

Outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information

1.20 3.50 0.08696 0.3478 10. 10.

Inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information

1.04 1.43 0.30 0.70 0.5 10.

Outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information

1.20	1.34 0.30	0.70	0.5	10.
2.00	2.00	0.15	0	.866667
2.00	2.00	0.20	0	.933333
0.90	1.10			
0.80	1.20			
0.90	1.10			
0.40	0.60			
0.40	0.60			
0.40	0.60			
0.40	0.60			
0.85	1.15			
0.80	1.20			
-1.3862	29 0.95166	i		
14				

Figure 6-1 Format for File DCTHCD

Static loads: P, M<sub>x</sub>, M<sub>y</sub>, M<sub>z</sub>, V<sub>y</sub>, V<sub>z</sub>

.

8130.00		20900.00	42010.0	0 42	010.00	3805.00	3805.00
ynamic ic	bads:	file name, load	i type, P, M <sub>x</sub> , I	M <sub>y'</sub> M <sub>z</sub> , V <sub>y'</sub> V <sub>z</sub>			
'NBP'	1	237.675	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
'NBT'	1	0.00	103.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
'NBM3'	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	626.175	0.00	0.00
'NBV3'	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	34.075
'SIN1'	2	4.889461	1.88731	3.002265	8.618995	13.91015	0.829459
'SIN2'	2	17.2329	12.6415	0.182346	38.4677	54.89455	2.90558
'SIN3'	2	3.117695	2.764815	4.45821	29.7981	4.905385	0.691592
'SIN4'	2	1.107417	0.856604	1.17435	3.663675	1.350412	0.414575
'SIN5'	2	10.23887	11.81905	137.38	28.5843	6.0813	13.24209
'SIN6'	2	2.151205	1.62707	0.430078	5.991475	7.077595	0.395232
'SIN7'	2	4.13738	8.509805	5.235795	71.06695	15.61234	1.242015
'SIN8'	2	9.1491	0.904076	5.953345	0.934805	5.04324	0.843876
'SIN9'	2	32,10965	0.084774	1.236315	23.9187	16.7327	0.162597
'SIN10'	2	79 7046	7.056975	2.48936	35.04565	36.66045	4.07806

1. 1. 1.	Other fatigue stress concentration factors
4675.	Inner wall limit pressure, p <sub>i</sub>
6.0	Elbow bend radius, R <sub>B</sub>
.112	Weld distance from the elbow tangency line, $W_D$
4.	Inside diameter, D <sub>i</sub>
0.1115	Minimum wall thickness, outer diameter, tw1
0.1378	Wall thickness at bend, inner diameter, tw2
3.01E+07	Young's modulus of elasticity, E
1	Critical duct location
20.	Angular position about the duct circumference, $\phi$
1.0	Reference time history period, $T$
0.00	Noise filter
20001	Number of points in reference time history

Figure 6-1 Format for File DCTHCD (Cont'd)

0.615	2.00	The ten points of the
0.693	4.80	piecewise linear
0.753	7.20	F <sub>k</sub> vs. R/t curve
0.813	9.60	
0.873	12.50	
0.933	15.80	
0.993	20.00	
1.029	24.00	
1.053	30.00	
1.053	200.00	

.

Description of specific material S/N data set

'-320 HOURGLASS + STRAIGHT'

Specific materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

178600. 220400. 1 20

Specific materials information for each data division: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

20 0.05 1

Figure 6-1 Format for File DCTHCD (Cont'd)

.

_			
	150000.	65000.	S <sub>1</sub> , N <sub>1</sub>
	140000.	261000.	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>
	120000.	265000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
	160000.	377000.	S <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>4</sub>
	130000.	694000.	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
	110000.	2175000.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
	100000.	4198000.	S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>
l	105000.	5053000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
	92000.	9210000.	S <sub>9</sub> , N <sub>9</sub>
l	95000.	9667000.	S <sub>10</sub> , N <sub>10</sub>
	150000.	418000.	S <sub>11</sub> , N <sub>11</sub>
l	140000.	732000.	S <sub>12</sub> , N <sub>12</sub>
I	130000.	740000.	S <sub>13</sub> , N <sub>13</sub>
	120000.	859000.	S <sub>14</sub> , N <sub>14</sub>
	110000.	1181000.	S <sub>15</sub> , N <sub>15</sub>
	100000.	4020000.	S <sub>16</sub> , N <sub>16</sub>
	92000.	5917000.	S <sub>17</sub> , N <sub>17</sub>
	94000.	6522000.	S <sub>18</sub> , N <sub>18</sub>
	90000.	6891000.	S <sub>19</sub> , N <sub>19</sub>
	86000.	4460000.	S <sub>20</sub> , N <sub>20</sub>
	0.00		Stress tensile point
	1 0		Number of life regions with and without data
	1.0E + 36	\$	Life boundary
	0.00		C constraint
	2 3.596	5.874	Prior information on m

----

-----

0.0 Bayesian prior distribution information 0.0 0.0

- - -Materials process variation information 0.0 0.0

\_ \_ \_ \_

# Figure 6-1 Format for File DCTHCD (Cont'd)

.

- -

\_ \_ \_ \_ .

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

1 Number of related data sets

'TITANIUM, -423F, 0.14 Fe' Description of related material S/N data set

Related materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

201700.	215300.	2	10

-

Related materials information for data division 1: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

4 0.10 1	
140000. 38000.	ך <i>s</i> ₁, <i>N</i> ₁
130000. 30000.	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>
130000. 713000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
130000. 310000.	S4, N4
6 0.10 2	Number of points in division 2, stress ratio, region
120000. 72000.	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
110000. 3224000.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
100000. 910000.	S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>
100000. 3230000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
120000. 665000.	S <sub>9</sub> , N <sub>9</sub>
110000. 56000.	S <sub>10</sub> . N <sub>10</sub>

Figure 6-2 Format for File RELATD



Figure 6-3 Data Blocks for Input File

#### **Analysis Parameters Block**

#### RAND

[DRE]

#### Random number seed

Needed by DCTHCF's built-in random number generator.

#### IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

DCTHCF has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of DCTHCF's calculations.

IOUT = 0no intermediate calculation outputIOUT = 10materials characterization model calculationsIOUT = 15driver samplingIOUT = 20cycle counting and damage accumulation calculationsIOUT = 25stress analysis calculations

# NLIFE

[INT]

Inner loop number Size of the inner loop of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. A positive value is required.

#### NHYPER

[INT]

Outer loop number Size of the outer loop of the MC simulation. The program requires a positive value.

# VARY

[INT]

Type of S/N variation<sup>1</sup>

Controls the type of stochastic variation to be included in the materials characterization model S/N curve.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A discussion of the possible stochastic specifications of the materials model shape parameter *m* is given in *Pages 2-13* through 2-14.

VARY =	• 0	no variation will be included
VARY =	= 1	allows only intrinsic materials variation
VARY =	: 2	allows Uniform variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and Intrinsic materials variation
VARY =	: 3	allows truncated Normal variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation

# NMED

[INT]

# Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve<sup>2</sup>

If VARY = 3, then NMED controls the calculation of the empirical median S/N curve.

- NMED = 0 no median curve calculation is required
- **NMED** = 1 median curve calculation is required

### **MPROC**

[INT]

### Controls materials process variation

Controls the inclusion of materials process variation (heat-to-heat variation). Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3.* 

- $\mathbf{MPROC} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{no variation to be included}$
- **MPROC** = 1 variation is to be included

# NBLIFE

[INT]

# Number of B-lives

The number of B-lives to be provided from the simulated distribution of life. A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percentage; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%. **NBLIFE** must be non-negative and cannot exceed 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal distribution is discussed on *Page 2-15*.

### BLFPER(1) BLFPER(2) ... BLFPER(NBLIFE)

[RE] [RE] [RE]

#### **B-life percentages**

The decimal equivalent of the percentages at which the B-lives are required; e.g., if the B.1 life is desired, then **BLFPER** = 0.001. A total of **NBLIFE** percentages must be provided. The percentage cannot exceed 50% (**BLFPER**  $\leq$  0.50).

#### **Driver Information Block**

WEOFA	<b>WEOFB</b>	WEOFR1	WEOFR2	WEOFT1	WEOFT2
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]
WEOFC	<b>WEOFD</b>	WEOFR3	WEOFR4	WEOFT3	<b>WEOFT4</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### WEOFE

[RE]

#### Weld offset Beta distribution information

 $W_{OFF}$  in Equation 2-73 is the weld offset and may be characterized by two Beta distributions. The first two lines are the two Beta distributions, one per line. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for  $W_{OFF}$ . The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The third line is the decimal equivalent percentage weight for the first Beta distribution and must be between 0.00 and 1.00.

WEOFA	W <sub>OFF</sub> lower bound of Beta distribution 1
WEOFB	W <sub>OFF</sub> upper bound of Beta distribution 1
WEOFR1	$ ho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of W_{OFF}
WEOFR2	$ ho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFT1	$ heta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFT2	$ heta$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFC	WOFF lower bound of Beta distribution 2
WEOFD	WOFF upper bound of Beta distribution 2
WEOFR3	$ ho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 2 of W_{OFF}

WEOFR4	$ ho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 2 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFT3	$ heta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 2 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFT4	$ heta$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 2 of $W_{OFF}$
WEOFE	decimal equivalent percentage weight occurring in Beta distribution 1 of the weld offset, $W_{OFF}$

KWODA	KWODB	KWODR1	KWODR2	KWODT1	KWODT2
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information The outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is used to calculate  $K_{T1}$  in Equation 2-68.

KWODA	outer diam Beta distrib	outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor lower bound of Beta distribution				
KWODB	outer diam Beta distrib	outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor upper bound of Beta distribution				
KWODR1	ho Uniform diameter w	ho Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWODR2	ρ Uniform diameter w	$\rho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWODT1	$\theta$ Uniform diameter w	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWODT2	$\theta$ Uniform diameter w	distribution upper eld axial stress co	bound of Beta d	istribution of oute or	er	
KWIDA [RE]	<b>KWIDB</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDR1</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDR2</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDT1</b> [RE]	KWIDT2 [RE]	

Inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information The inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is used to calculate  $K_{T1}$  in Equation 2-68.

KWIDA	inner diame Beta distrib	inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor lower bound of Beta distribution				
KWIDB	inner diame Beta distrib	inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor upper bound of Beta distribution				
KWIDR1	م Uniform d diameter w	$\rho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWIDR2	ρ Uniform d diameter w	ho Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWIDT1	θ Uniform d diameter w	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor				
KWIDT2	θ Uniform c diameter w	listribution upper l eld axial stress co	bound of Beta dis ncentration facto	stribution of inner r	r	
KGODA [RE]	<b>KGODB</b> [RE]	KGODR1 [RE]	<b>KGODR2</b> [RE]	KGODT1 [RE]	KGODT2 [RE]	

Outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information The outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor is used to calculate  $K_{T1}$  in Equation 2-68.

KGODA	outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor lower bound of Beta distribution
KGODB	outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor upper bound of Beta distribution
KGODR1	$\rho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor
KGODR2	ho Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor
KGODT1	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor

KGODT2 $\theta$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of outer<br/>diameter geometric axial stress concentration factor

LAMNA	LAMNB	LAMNC	LAMND
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Narrow-band random load scale factor distribution information

This line contains the parameters to define the narrow-band random load scale factor  $\lambda_{D_{RWDOW}}$  in Equation 2-81. See Section 2.1.3.2 on load scale factors for a detailed description of the parameters k, coefficient of variation C, and strain gage factor d.

LAMNA	lower bound of Uniform distribution of $k$ for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMNB	upper bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMNC	coefficient of variation C for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMND	strain gage factor $d$ for the narrow-band random load scale factor

LAMSA	LAMSB	LAMSC	LAMSD
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### Sinusoidal load scale factor distribution information

This line contains the parameters to define the sinusoidal load scale factor  $\lambda_{D_{SINUSOIDAL}}$  in *Equation 2-81*. See Section 2.1.3.2 on load scale factors for a detailed description of the parameters *k*, coefficient of variation *C*, and strain gage factor *d*.

LAMSA	lower bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSB	upper bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSC	coefficient of variation C for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSD	strain gage factor d for the sinusoidal load scale factor

### LAMSTA LAMSTB

[RE] [RE]

Static load scale factor distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{ST}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the static load scale factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

- LAMSTA static load scale factor Uniform distribution lower bound
- LAMSTB static load scale factor Uniform distribution upper bound

#### DSTRA DSTRB

[RE] [RE]

Dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\lambda_{DYN_{str}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

DSTRA	dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound
DSTRB	dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

#### SSTRA SSTRB

[RE] [RE]

Static stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{ST_{str}}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the static stress analysis accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

SSTRA static stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound

SSTRB static stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

CLZA	CLZB	CLYA	CLYB
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]
CCZA	CCZB	CCYA	CCYB
[RE]		[RE]	[RE]

#### Stress carryover factors

The stress carryover factors  $C_{iz}$ ,  $C_{iy}$ ,  $C_{cz}$ , and  $C_{cy}$  in Equations 2-74 through 2-77. They are characterized by Uniform distributions. The stress carryover factors are required to evaluate the stresses at the elbow-straight pipe junction, given the stresses on the elbow away from end-effects.

# CLZA in-plane axial stress carryover factor C<sub>lz</sub> Uniform distribution lower bound

CLZB	in-plane axial stress carryover factor $C_{tr}$ Uniform distribution upper bound
CLYA	out-of-plane axial stress carryover factor $C_{ly}$ Uniform distribution lower bound
CLYB	out-of-plane axial stress carryover factor $C_{ly}$ Uniform distribution upper bound
CCZA	in-plane circumferential stress carryover factor $C_{cz}$ Uniform distribution lower bound
ССZВ	in-plane circumferential stress carryover factor $C_{cz}$ Uniform distribution upper bound
CCYA	out-of-plane circumferential stress carryover factor $C_{cy}$ Uniform distribution lower bound
ССҮВ	out-of-plane circumferential stress carryover factor $C_{cy}$ Uniform distribution upper bound

#### OVALA **OVALB**

[RE] [RE]

Ovality effect analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\lambda_{oval}$  in Equations 2-74 through 2-77. This is the ovality effect analysis accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

OVALA λoval Uniform distribution lower bound

**OVALB**  $\lambda_{oval}$  Uniform distribution upper bound

#### LAMWA LAMWB

[RE] [RE]

Weld offset accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\lambda_{off}$  in Equation 2-73. This is the weld offset eccentricity stress concentration accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

- LAMWA  $\lambda_{OFF}$  Uniform distribution lower bound
- $\lambda_{OFF}$  Uniform distribution upper bound LAMWB

GAMA	GAMB
[RE]	[RE]

# Damage accumulation model accuracy factor distribution information

This line contains the Uniform distribution bounds in loge space for the damage accumulation model accuracy factor  $\lambda_{dam}$  in Equation 2-91. See Section 2.2.1.4 for a discussion of the damage accumulation calculations.

- lower bound of damage accumulation accuracy factor GAMA
- upper bound of damage accumulation accuracy factor GAMB

#### Load and Geometry block

NLOAD

[INT]

Number of dynamic loads

Total number of dynamic or time-varying loads. NLOAD cannot exceed 16.

PSTAT	TSTAT	MSTAT(1)	MSTAT(2)	VSTAT(1)	VSTAT(2)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Static loads

This line contains the six beam-end force components due to static loads.

		<b>P(I)</b>	T(I)	<b>M(1,I)</b> (BE)	<b>M(2,I)</b> [RE]	<b>V(1,I)</b> [RE]	<b>V(2,I)</b> [RE]
VSTAT(2)	V <sub>z</sub> (Ibs) in Eq. axis	uation 2-72	2, the static	shear load (	component	along the z	!
VSTAT(1)	V <sub>y</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation</i> 2-72, the static shear load component along the y axis						
MSTAT(2)	M <sub>z</sub> (inIbs) in the z axis	<i>M<sub>z</sub></i> (inlbs) in <i>Equation 2-68</i> , the static moment load component about the z axis					
MSTAT(1)	<i>M<sub>y</sub></i> (inIbs) in <i>Equation 2-68</i> , the static moment load component about the y axis						
TSTAT	$M_{\chi}$ (inlbs) in Equation 2-72, the static torsional load component						
PSTAT	P (lbs) in Equation 2-68, the static axial load component						

[RE]

[INT]

#### Dynamic loads

[CHR]

This line contains the dynamic load file names, load types, and the six components of the beam-end force magnitudes. A total of NLOAD lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to NLOAD).

LDNAME(I)	File names containing the reference time history for load I. The file name cannot be more than six characters long and must be enclosed by single quotes.
TYPE(I)	Load-type of load I, used to assign the appropriate load scale factor TYPE(I) = 1 Narrow-band random load TYPE(I) = 2 Sinusoidal load
P(I)	P (lbs) in Equation 2-68, the dynamic axial load magnitude for load I
т(I)	$M_{\rm X}$ (inlbs) in Equation 2-72, the dynamic torsional load magnitude for load I
M(1,I)	<i>M<sub>y</sub></i> (inIbs) in <i>Equation 2-68</i> , the dynamic moment load magnitude about the y axis for load <b>I</b>
M(2,I)	<i>M<sub>z</sub></i> (inlbs) in <i>Equation 2-68</i> , the dynamic moment load magnitude about the z axis for load <b>i</b>
V(1,l)	V <sub>y</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation</i> 2-72, the dynamic shear load magnitude along the y axis for load I
V(2,I)	V <sub>z</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation 2-72,</i> the dynamic shear load magnitude along the z axis for load I

KGID KT(2,1) KT(2,2)

[RE] [RE] [RE]

### Fatigue stress concentration factors

Inner diameter geometric axial and hoop fatigue stress concentration factors. The geometric axial stress concentration factors are used to calculate the total axial stress concentration factor,  $K_{71}$  in *Equation 2-68*, by the multiplication of the geometric factors **KGOD** and **KGID**, and the weld factors **KWOD** and **KWID**, specified above.

KGID	inner diameter axial geometric stress concentration factor
KT(2,1)	outer diameter hoop stress concentration factor $K_{T2}$ in Equation 2-69
KT(2,2)	inner diameter hoop stress concentration factor $K_{T2}$ in Equation 2-69

### LIMPR

[RE]

### Limit pressure

 $\overline{p_i}$  (psi) in Equation 2-68, the inner wall limit pressure.

### BNRD

[RE]

#### **Bend** radius

 $\overline{R_B}$  (in.) in Equation 2-74, the elbow bend radius.

#### WEDS

[RE]

#### Weld distance

 $\overline{W_D}$  (in.) in Equation 2-78. This is the weld distance from the elbow tangency line.

#### IDWE

[RE]

#### Inside diameter

 $\overline{D_i}$  (in.) the duct inside wall diameter is used to calculate  $R_i$  in Equation 2-68.

#### **MNWT**

[RE]

#### Minimum wall thickness

 $tw_1$  (in.) the duct minimum wall thickness assumed to occur at the bend outer diameter is used to calculate  $t_m$  and other geometric quantities in *Equations 2-68* through 2-80.

#### WTID

[RE]

#### Wall thickness at bend

 $\overline{tw_2}$  (in.) the duct wall thickness at the bend inner diameter is used to calculate  $t_m$  and other geometric quantities in Equations 2-68 through 2-80.

#### EMOD

[RE]

#### Elastic modulus

E (psi) in Equation 2-70. This is Young's modulus of elasticity for the component material.

# LOCAT

[INT]

#### Critical location Critical location of interest on the duct.

LOCAT = 1 outer wall

LOCAT = 2 inner wall

#### ANGLE

#### Critical angle

 $\phi$  (degrees) in Equation 2-68. This is the angle measured counterclockwise from the Z-direction to the critical circumferential location of the duct.

#### PERIOD

[RE]

Period

 $\overline{T}$  (sec) in Equation 2-91. This is the period of the reference time histories, and it is required so that life may be provided in seconds.

#### TRUNC

[RE]

#### Noise filter

Value (psi) used to filter out the insignificant cycles in the composite stress-time history during rainflow cycle counting.

#### NRAN

[RE]

Number of history points

Number of points in the reference time history files for the dynamic loads. **NRAN** cannot exceed 24,000.

FK(I)	RT(I)
[RE]	[RE]

### Fk versus R/t curve

 $F_k$  versus R/t points for each segment of the curve are used by Equation 2-73 in the weld offset eccentricity stress concentration calculations. A block of 10 segments must be provided (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to 10). Both **FK** and **RT** must be positive and increase with increasing I (i.e., I = 1 is the lower bound of the first segment and I = 10 is the upper bound of the last segment).

FK(I)  $F_k(R/t)$  value

RT(I) R/t value

#### **Materials Information Block**

DESCRP(0)

[CHR]

# Description of specific material S/N data set

Name and test environment for the specific material S/N data. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(0)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

### Specific materials information

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. **NPTS(0)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for the specific material data set
NPTS(0)	total number of points in the specific material S/N data set

NUM	RATIO	REG
[INT]	[RE]	[INT]

Materials information for each data division of the specific S/N data set Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

RAWSTR(I,0)	RAWNF(I,0)
[RE]	[RE]

#### Specific material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

RAWSTR(I,0) stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,0)** fatigue life value (cycles)

#### SZERO

[RE]

#### Tensile point<sup>3</sup>

Stress tensile point  $S_o$  (psi). Must be non-negative. A value of zero indicates no tensile point. For HCF applications, this aspect of the materials model has been disabled, however, a value of **SZERO** must be provided.

#### NUMREG NNODAT

[INT] [INT]

### Data regions<sup>4</sup>

Number of life regions that are data-determined and not data-determined. **NUMREG** + **NNODAT** cannot exceed three. **NUMREG** must be 1, 2, or 3, and **NNODAT** must be non-negative, and should be 0 or 1.

NUMREG number of life regions determined by data

NNODAT number of life regions (to the right) not determined by data

#### NBND(L) [RE]

### Life Boundaries<sup>5</sup>

The upper boundaries of the life regions are specified (cycles). The value of L goes from **ZROREG** to the total number of regions (equal to **NUMREG** + **NNODAT**). If a non-zero tensile point is specified, then **ZROREG** = 0 else **ZROREG** = 1. The program expects the upper bound of the last life region to be  $10^{36}$ , a proxy for  $\infty$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the right is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Life region boundaries are discussed on Page 2-15.

# CZERO

# Prior information on coefficient of variation of fatigue strength<sup>6</sup>

Information in the form of a constraint on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength C for the specific material S/N data set. Value must be non-negative and a value of zero indicates that CZERO is not in use.

MPNT(L)	MZERO(1,L)	MZERO(2,L)
[INT]	[RE]	[RE]

Prior information on the materials shape parameter  $m^7$ 

The number of MZERO values in each life region, and the lower and upper bound for the range of m. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT). If VARY = 3 is specified (truncated Normal distribution on m), then a prior range of m must be specified for each region.

- **MPNT(L)** The number of points, 0, 1, or 2 (no prior on m, a point prior on m, or a prior over a range of m, respectively), in **MZERO()** for each region.
- MZERO(1,L) The lower bound on the range of *m* or the value of the point prior for *m*.
- **MZERO(2,L)** The upper bound on the range of m. Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for m is specified.

DELTA(L)	MO(L)	SIGMA2(L)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Information on the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal distribution<sup>8</sup> If VARY = 3, then the materials model uses the truncated Normal distribution. The truncated Normal distribution requires some prior information on the Normal distribution parameters because a Bayesian analysis is performed. The information is required for each life region. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT).

- **DELTA(L)** The shape parameter  $\delta$  of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution.
  - <sup>6</sup> The implicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is discussed on *Pages 2-12* through 2-13.
  - <sup>7</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.
  - <sup>8</sup> Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on *Page 2-14*.

MO(L)	Location parameter mo of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape
.,	parameter m. Must be positive. Required when DELTA(L) is non-zero.

SIGMA2(L)  $\sigma^2$ , the known variance of in (*fatigue life*), V( in N | In S). Must be non-negative.

#### KRATIO LAMN

[RE] [RE]

#### Materials process variation information

If **MPROC** = 1, then specification of **KRATIO** and **LAMN** is required. **KRATIO** is  $\lambda_{K}^{*}$ , the ratio *MED*  $K^{*}$ /*MED* K where *MED*  $K^{*}$  is the median value over all heats for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle, and *MED* K is the median value for the specific S/N data for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle. **LAMN** is the ratio of the variance of ln(*life*) conditional on stress over all heats to the intrinsic materials variation for the given S/N data conditional on stress. Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

#### 6.1.3.2 Input File RELATD

The input data for file RELATD, which contains the related materials information,<sup>9</sup> is given below. The data format is similar to that used to specify the S/N data in the specific materials information block in the DCTHCF file.

### NSETS

[INT]

### Number of related data sets

Number of related material S/N data sets. The following data groups have to be repeated as a block for each data set. The value of **J** varies from 1 to **NSETS**. If there is no related data, then file RELATD will only contain the number "0". **NSETS** cannot exceed five.

#### DESCRP(J)

[CHR]

#### Description of related material S/N data set

Name and test environment for related material S/N data set J. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(J)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

#### **Related materials information**

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all the data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders. **NPTS(J)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to related material data set ${f J}$ (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for related material data set ${f J}$
NPTS(J)	total number of points in related material S/N data set J

NUM	RATIO	REG
[INT]	[RE]	[INT]

Materials information for each data division of the related S/N data set

Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- **RATIO** stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

#### RAWSTR(I,J) RAWNF(I,J)

[RE] [RE]

#### Related material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

**RAWSTR(I,J)** stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,J)** fatigue life value (cycles)

0-3

#### 6.1.3.3 Reference Time History Files

The data format for the reference time history files is given below. There must be **NLOAD** files with the same names, as specified by **LDNAME(I)** in file DCTHCD. Reference time histories are typically generated by program NBSIN described in *Sections 4.5, 6.6, and 7.7.* 

# STRHIS(I,J)

[RE]

The points of the Ith reference time history

The points of the time history specified by **LDNAME(I)**. The data is entered one point per line for J = 1, ..., NRAN.

# 6.1.4 Options and Capabilities

DCTHCF is a Monte Carlo simulation program which generates a sequence of component lives for a particular failure mode, where life is defined as the accumulated operating time at failure. The simulation has a double-loop structure with **NHYPER** outer loops and **NLIFE** inner loops. The simulation size is dependent on the failure probability at which a life estimate is desired and the precision desired. For the HPOTP main discharge duct and LPFTP turbine drive duct applications, single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 20,000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used to characterize component reliability, and single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 1000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used for the marginal analysis to assess the importance of drivers.

During a run, it may be desirable to "hold" a driver at a *fixed value*. This may be the nominal or median value of the driver. This is done for drivers with a Beta or a Uniform distribution by merely specifying both the upper and lower bounds to be the desired value. For drivers with a Normal distribution, the standard deviation  $\sigma$ , or coefficient of variation *C*, is set at zero and the mean  $\mu$  is set at the desired value.

The procedure of holding certain drivers at fixed values while letting the other drivers vary according to their probability distributions may be used for driver variation sensitivity studies. That is, the effect on life of driver variation may be evaluated by letting it vary while holding other drivers at fixed values. Each driver variation sensitivity was determined in the case studies of this report with the intrinsic variation of the fatigue life of the material included (VARY = 1).

A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the simulation size is large since the information will be dumped during every simulation loop. The **NMED** option provides for calculation of an empirical median S/N curve if the truncated Normal distribution is employed.<sup>10</sup> In this case, the median S/N curve is based on the empirical median m from all the shape parameters used in the simulation. The **MPROC** option activates the computations for the process variation feature of the materials characterization model, as discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

#### 6.1.5 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the HCF analysis code DCTHCF was carried out with random variation of all drivers for the HPOTP main discharge duct. In this example run, 1000 lives were simulated (NLIFE = 1 times NHYPER = 1000) by using Uniform shape parameter variation VARY = 2 and NMED = 0; and no materials process variation, MPROC = 0. The B-lives<sup>11</sup> to be provided are B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.6, B.7, B.8, B.9, and B1 (NBLIFE = 10, BLFPER(1) = 0.001, BLFPER(2) = 0.002, BLFPER(3) = 0.003, BLFPER(4) = 0.004, BLFPER(5) = 0.005, BLFPER(6) = 0.006, BLFPER(7) = 0.007, BLFPER(8) = 0.008, BLFPER(9) = 0.009, BLFPER(10) = 0.01). The user may refer to Section 2.2.1.5 for additional information on the engineering analysis and to Section 3.1 for the results of the case study for this component.

Figure 6-4 shows the component in detail and the location of the critical weld, designated as  $\triangle$ . The bend radius for the elbow **BNRD** is 6.0 inches, and the weld distance from the elbow tangency line **WEDS** is 0.112 inches. The minimum wall



Figure 6-4 Detail of the HPOTP Main Discharge Duct, Near Weld 6

- <sup>10</sup> The truncated Normal distribution for the materials model shape parameter *m* is discussed on *Page 2-14*.
- <sup>11</sup> A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

thickness **MNWT** is 0.1115 inches, and the wall thickness at the bend inner diameter is 0.1378 inches. The duct inside wall diameter **IDWE** is 4.00 inches.

The drivers for the HCF failure of a welded duct are as follows:

DRIVER	DISTRIBUTION	
1. Weld Offset	Beta	
2. $K_{T}$ Weld and Geometry Factors	Beta	
3. Dynamic Load Scale Factors	Normai	
4. Static Load Scale Factor	Uniform	
5. Dynamic Stress Analysis Accuracy	Uniform	
6. Static Stress Analysis Accuracy	Uniform	
7. Axial Stress Carryover Factors	Uniform	
8. Circumferential Stress Carryover Factors	Uniform	
9. Ovality Effect Analysis Accuracy	Uniform	
10. $K_T$ Weld Offset Eccentricity Accuracy	Uniform	
11. Damage Accumulation Model Accuracy	Uniform	

The rationale for the specification of the driver distributions is given in Section 3.1.3. The weld offset was held at 13% by fixing the upper and lower bounds of the distribution at **WEOFA = WEOFB =** 0.13.

In addition to the static loads, there were two narrow-band random loads and one sinusoidal load. The three dynamic loads (**NLOAD** = 3) used here are a subset of the significant loads for this component. The procedure for identifying the significant loads is described in *Sections 2.2.1.5* and 2.3.7. The three reference time histories are in the files named NBP, NBM3, and SIN10, and the contents of these input files are given below. The reference time histories have five points (**NRAN** = 5) and represent 0.00025 seconds (**PERIOD** = 0.00025) of the loading. The reference time histories used for the case studies of the discharge duct given in *Section 3.1* consisted of 20,000 points. Shorter histories are used here to permit their inclusion in this example. The critical location is the outer-wall at an angle of 20° counterclockwise from the crown (**LOCAT** = 1, **ANGLE** = 20) at weld 6.

Twenty S/N data points, NUM = 20 with a stress ratio of 0.05 (RATIO = 0.05) are provided. The number of regions with data, NUMREG, is 1, and there are no regions to the right without data, NNODAT = 0. The data is in one division, NDIV = 1, and the total number of points is twenty, NPTS(0) = 20. No related data is provided. Thus, the RELATD file is empty, except for a single entry to indicate NSETS = 0. If further explanation of file DCTHCD is required, refer to Section 6.1.3.1 and Figure 6-1.

The echo of the input data is in the output file DCTHCO. The simulated B-lives are also given for the component. For instance, the B.1 life is  $1.8\times10^6$  seconds. This value is different from the B.1 life obtained during the case study of this component as given in Section 3.1.5 because the number and size of the reference time histories and the number of simulation trials have been reduced to facilitate the example run. There are only three time histories with just five points each used here, and therefore they do not properly represent the loads. Also, the  $F_k$  versus R/t curve is only an example curve.

The IOUTPR file gives an echo of the analysis parameters. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero; therefore, no other output is in this file. The LOWLIF file contains the lowest one percent of the 1000 simulation lives. Finally, the DUMP file contains the results of the materials characterization model information aggregation calculations.<sup>12</sup>

#### Input File - DCTHCD

675 0 1 1000 2 0 0 10 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.004 0.005 0.006 0.007 0.008 0.009 0.010 0.13 0.13 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.0 1.00 3.50 0.08696 0.3478 10. 10. 1.20 1.43 0.30 0.70 0.5 10. 1.04 1.34 0.30 0.70 0.5 10. 1.20 2.00 2.00 0.15 0.866667 2.00 2.00 0.20 0.933333 1.10 0.90 1.20 0.80 1.10 0.90

<sup>12</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-6 through 2-14.

```
0.40
          0.60
0.40
          0.60
0.40
          0.60
0.40
          0.60
0.85
          1.15
0.80
          1.20
-1.38629 0.95166
3
          20900.00 42010.00 42010.00 3805.00
                                                    3805.00
8130.00
                                                                       0.00
                          0.00
                                     0.00
                                                            0.00
'NBP'
        1
            237.675
                                                 0.00
'NBM3' 1
              0.00
                          0.00
                                     0.00
                                               626.175
                                                             0.00
                                                                       0.00
                                                                       4.07806
'SIN10' 2
             79.7046
                          7.056975
                                     2.48936
                                                35.04565
                                                            36.66045
1.
1.
1.
4675.
6.0
.112
4.
0.1115
0.1378
3.01E+07
1
20.
0.00025
0.00
5
0.615
          2.00
0.693
          4.80
0.753
          7.20
0.813
          9.60
0.873
         12.50
0.933
         15.80
0.993
         20.00
1.029
         24.00
         30.00
1.053
1.053
        200.00
'-320 HOURGLASS + STRAIGHT'
          220400.
178600.
                     1
                         20
20
    0.05
            1
150000.
           65000.
           261000.
140000.
          265000.
120000.
160000.
           377000.
130000.
          694000.
110000. 2175000.
100000. 4198000.
105000.
         5053000.
 92000.
         9210000.
 95000. 9667000.
```

150000.	418000.
140000.	732000.
130000.	740000.
120000.	859000.
110000.	1181000.
100000.	4020000.
92000.	5917000.
94000.	6522000.
90000.	6891000.
86000.	4460000.
0.00	
1 0	
1.0E+36	
0.00	
0 0.00	0.00

#### **Input File - RELATD**

0

#### **Input File - NBP**

```
0.629458884176211
0.596733661621406
-0.119721868089590
-0.820795694851671
-1.16311124100903
```

#### **Input File - NBM3**

```
-0.645335663562470
-0.592612186107565
-0.570937436536749
-0.532482208042243
-0.797739965345054
```

#### Input File - SIN10

0.973888469945478 0.921335424736327 0.516863543379789 -0.850326546259054D-001 -0.654449266970346

# **Output File - DCTHCO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

1

#### INPUT DATA

DRIVERS		PARA	PARAMETER DISTRIBUTIONS				
		RH	o	THET	A		
WELD OFFSET (%)	Be(0.13, 0.13)	U(0.00000,	0.00000)	U( 0.0,	0.0)		
	Be(0.00, 0.00)	U(0.00000,	0.00000)	U( 0.0,	0.0)		
	$\mathbf{TEST} = 1.00$		·				
K WELD (OD)	Be(1.20, 3.50)	U(0.08696,	0.34780)	U(10.0,	10.0)		
K WELD (ID)	Be(1.04, 1.43)	U(0.30000,	0.70000)	U( 0.5,	10.0)		
K GEOM (OD)	Be(1.20, 1.34)	U(0.30000,	0.70000)	U( 0.5,	10.0)		
LAMBDA RANDOM	k: U(2.00000, 2. Coefficient of V Strain Gage Fact	00000) Ariation: 0.15 OR: 0.8666670	0				
LAMBDA SINE	k: U(2.00000, 2. COEFFICIENT OF V STRAIN GAGE FACT	00000) Ariation: 0.20 OR: 0.9333330	0				
LAMBDA STATIC		U( 0.90000,	1.10000)				
DYNAMIC STRESS AN	ALYSIS	U( 0.80000,	1.20000)				
STATIC STRESS ANA	LYSIS	U( 0.90000,	1.10000)				
STRESS CARRYOVER	FACTORS						
IN-PLANE AXIAL		U( 0.40000,	0.60000)				
OUT-OF-PLANE A	XIAL	U( 0.40000,	0.60000)				
IN-PLANE CIRCU	MFERENTIAL	U( 0.40000,	0.60000)				
OUT-OF-PLANE C	IRCUMFERENTIAL	U( 0.40000,	0.60000)				
OVALITY ANALYSIS	FACTOR	U( 0.85000,	1.15000)				
LAMBDA KOFF		U( 0.80000,	1.20000)				

#### DAMAGE MODEL ACCURACY

•

#### LOADS INPUT

P LOADS (LBS)	T LOADS (INLBS)	M2 LOADS (INLBS)	M3 LOADS (INLBS)	V2 LOADS (LBS)	V3 LOADS (LBS)
STATIC					
8130.0000	20900.0000	42010.0000	42010.0000	3805.0000	3805.0000
NBP					
237.6750	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NBM3					
0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	626.1750	0.0000	0.0000
SIN10					
79.7046	7.0570	2.4894	35.0457	36.6604	4.0781

#### GEOMETRIC AND OTHER INPUT

K GEOM (ID)	1.00
K HOOP (OD)	1.00
K HOOP (ID)	1.00
LIMIT PRESSURE, PSI	4675.
BEND RADIUS, IN.	6.00
WELD DISTANCE FROM ELBOW TANGENCY LINE, IN.	0.112
DUCT INSIDE DIAMETER, IN.	4.00
MINIMUM WALL THICKNESS, IN.	0.1115
WALL THICKNESS AT BEND (ID), IN.	0.1378
ELASTIC MODULUS, PSI	0.301E+08
ANALYSIS LOCATION	1
ANGLE PHI (DEG)	20.0

STRESS-TIME HISTORY PERIOD, SEC	0.00
STRESS-TIME HISTORY NOISE FILTER, PSI	0.0
NUMBER OF TIME-VARYING LOADS	3
NUMBER OF POINTS IN HISTORIES	5

#### MATERIAL INPUT

•

DESCRIPTION:	-320	HOURGLASS	+	STRAIGHT
YIELD STRENGT	н			0.17860E+06
ULTIMATE STRE	NGTH			0.22040E+06
NUMBER OF POI	NTS			20

ORIGINAL S/N		STRESS		TRANSFORMED S/N		
STRESS	LIFE	RATIO	REGION	STRESS	LIFE	
0.15000E+06	65000.	0.05	1	0.11086E+06	65000.	
0.14000E+06	261000.	0.05	1	0.99773E+05	261000.	
0.12000E+06	265000.	0.05	1	0.79814E+05	265000.	
0.16000E+06	377000.	0.05	1	0.12280E+06	377000.	
0.13000E+06	694000.	0.05	1	0.89449E+05	694000.	
0.11000E+06	2175000.	0.05	1	0.70802E+05	2175000.	
0.10000E+06	4198000.	0.05	1	0.62353E+05	4198000.	
0.10500E+06	5053000.	0.05	1	0.66510E+05	5053000.	
0.92000E+05	9210000.	0.05	1	0.55964E+05	9210000.	
0.95000E+05	9667000.	0.05	1	0.58323E+05	9667000.	
0.15000E+06	418000.	0.05	1	0.11086E+06	418000.	
0.14000E+06	732000.	0.05	1	0.99773E+05	732000.	
0.13000E+06	740000.	0.05	1	0.89449E+05	740000.	
0.12000E+06	859000.	0.05	1	0.79814E+05	859000.	
0.11000E+06	1181000.	0.05	1	0.70802E+05	1181000.	
0.10000E+06	4020000.	0.05	1	0.62353E+05	4020000.	
0.92000E+05	5917000.	0.05	1	0.55964E+05	5917000.	
0.94000E+05	6522000.	0.05	1	0.57532E+05	6522000.	
0.90000E+05	6891000.	0.05	1	0.54416E+05	6891000.	
0.86000E+05	4460000.	0.05	1	0.51374E+05	4460000.	

.

THERE IS 1 REGION(S) WITH DATA

6 - 35

.

AND 0 REGION(S) TO THE RIGHT WITHOUT DATA

0.100E+37

THE UPPER BOUND(S) OF THE REGION(S) ARE (CYCLES):

EXOGENOUS INFORMATION

CONSTRAINT ON COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION, C: 0.0000

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON m FOR EACH REGION:

REGION	# OF POINTS	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	0	0.0000	0.0000

.

в	LIVES:	EMPIRICAL
---	--------	-----------

0.00100	0.178612E+07
0.00200	0.454616E+07
0.00300	0.490656E+07
0.00400	0.495901E+07
0.00500	0.508289E+07
0.00600	0.583508E+07
0.00700	0.645511E+07
0.00800	0.701038E+07
0.00900	0.716342E+07
0.01000	0.757381E+07
0.50000	0.315738E+10

#### **Output File - RELATO**

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

NOTE: ALL Kt ASSUMED TO BE 1.0

#### TRANSFORMED DATA

### **Output File - DUMP**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

RESULTS OF INFORMATION AGGREGATION CALCULATIONS

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON C AND m FOR EACH REGION

<b>REGION:</b>	1	IO = (0.092758540)	0.181539600)
		Jo = (3.596348000),	5.87400000)

POINT ESTIMATES OF C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	E(C)	E(M)	
1	0.122759400	4.735174	

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	3.5963	5.8740

PARAMETE	R VALUES FOR	MEDIAN S/N CURVE		
NUMBER C	F REGIONS:	1 E(BETAO) =	9.6555	E(k) = 14.2292
REGION	m	ĸ	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND
1	4.73517	0.15458E+07	0.100E+37	0.0000E+00

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

			1	RANDOM	NUMBER	SEED	=	675.000000000000
IOUT	(MATCHR	= 10,	DCTHCF	= 15,	ELWELD	= 25	)=	0
				INN	ER LOOP	SIZE	=	1
				OUTI	ER LOOP	SIZE	=	1000
		TYPE	OF S/N	VARIA	TION DES	SIRED	=	2

NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE (0 - NO, 1 - YES) =	0
MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED	
(0 - NO, 1 - YES) =	0

#### **Output File - LOWLIF**

0.100000E-02	0.178612E+07
0.200000E-02	0.454616E+07
0.300000E-02	0.490656E+07
0.400000E-02	0.495901E+07
0.500000E-02	0.508289E+07
0.60000E-02	0.583508E+07
0.700000E-02	0.645511E+07
0.800000E-02	0.701038E+07
0.900000E-02	0.716342E+07
0.100000E-01	0.757381E+07
	0.100000E-02 0.200000E-02 0.300000E-02 0.400000E-02 0.500000E-02 0.600000E-02 0.700000E-02 0.800000E-02 0.900000E-02 0.100000E-01

# 6.1.6 Error Messages and Possible Remedies

The following messages, when applicable, will appear in file IOUTPR. These messages are primarily generated by the materials characterization model (MATCHR) portion of DCTHCF. An error message stating that a limit has been exceeded will require that the user increase those limits, as directed, and reviewing or consulting *Section 7.3.1.3* is desirable. The messages are listed in alphabetical order for the convenience of the user.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTA OR VALUE OF MO INCONSISTENT WITH DELTA IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta$  was specified, but the value for  $m_o$  is not positive. Check file DCTHCD.

ERROR: CANNOT FIND CULPRIT LOCATION

*Fatal* Program error in identification of culprit failure location for LOCAT = 0. Please take note of all input parameters for this run and contact the analyst.

### ERROR: CANNOT OPEN FILE, 'filename' DOES NOT EXIST

*Fatal* DCTHCF attempted to open the indicated file, however the file did not exist. Check the directory for existence of the file and also check file DCTHCD for correct spelling of the filename.
#### ERROR: Co TOO LOW

*Fatal* The constraint,  $C_o$ , imposed on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is inconsistent with the observed S/N data.

ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON DEGREES OF FREEDOM IN CHI-SQUARE TABLE, IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* As implemented, the credibility interval calculations can handle no more than 150 degrees of freedom, and the amount of data in the region indicated requires more. The  $\chi^2$  tables of routine INTRVL must be increased. See Sections 4.1.3.6 and 7.3.1.3 for more information.

#### ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON NUMBER OF REGIONS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model can handle no more than 3 life regions. Check file DCTHCD because the sum of the number of regions with data and the number of regions without data is greater than 3.

- ERROR: INVALID RESPONSE TO NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE QUESTION *Fatal* **NMED** can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.
- ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* MPROC can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.
- ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* VARY can only have the integer value 0, 1, 2, or 3. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID VALUE FOR RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for the specific material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file DCTHCD.

#### ERROR: INVALID VALUE OF RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for a related material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file RELATD.

#### ERROR: LOAD INCORRECTLY TYPED

*Fatal* **TYPE(I)** can only have the integer value 1 or 2. Check file DCTHCD for the value used.

# ERROR: LOCATION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED

*Fatal* **LOCAT** can only have the integer value 0, 1, or 2. Check file DCTHCD for the value used.

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MC

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MO

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO, MO, AND MC

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN MO AND MC

Fatal These errors indicate that the specified C constraint and/or prior credibility range on m do not agree with each other and/or the observed S/N data.

# ERROR: NORMAL VARIATION REQUIRES A PRIOR RANGE ON M

*Fatal* The truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model requires a prior range on m. The number of points for the prior range on m has been incorrectly specified. Check file DCTHCD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 1 or 2.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SET 'J' *Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in one of the related S/N data sets. Check file RELATD to compare for each related data set the total number of points declared with the sum of the numbers of points in each data division.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in the specific S/N data set. Check file DCTHCD, since the total number of points in the specific data set declared and the sum of the numbers of points in each data division do not agree.

ERROR: OVERALL PRIOR RANGE INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN REGION WITHOUT DATA

Fatal The prior credibility range on m in one of the regions without data has been incorrectly specified. Check file DCTHCD to verify that either more regions without data have been indicated than intended or that the number of points in the prior on m in a region without data has been incorrectly specified. Only the integer value 0, 1, or 2 is acceptable.

# ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF POINTS IN SET 'J'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for the total num-

ber of points in each related data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. It is suggested that the number of S/N data points in each related set be recounted. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

#### ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF RELATED DATA SETS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model allows up to 5 related data sets. Check file RELATD to determine if more than 5 related data sets were specified. The parameter **MAXSET** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

#### ERROR: OVER NUMBER OF POINTS LIMIT IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in the specific material data set. Check file DCTHCD for the total number of points in the specific data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

#### ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN RELATED MATERIAL 'J'

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file RELATD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value in the data set indicated.

#### ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file DCTHCD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH POINT POSTERIOR IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the point posterior value of m in region 'L–1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range in region 'L'. This error indicates a violation of the concavity assumption.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the lower bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L-1' is greater than the upper bound of the

posterior credibility range of m in region 'L'. The data should be checked for consistency.

# ERROR: PRIOR ON M INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN 'L'

*Fatal* The number of points for the specified prior range on m in the indicated region has been incorrectly specified. Check file DCTHCD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 0, 1, or 2.

# ERROR: STRESS-TIME HISTORY TOO LARGE

*Fatal* No more than 24,000 points are allowed for a reference time history, and an attempt has been made to use a larger history. Check file DCTHCD for a value of **NRAN** larger than 24,000.

# ERROR: SXY > = 0 IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* During the linear regression calculations for the region indicated, the resulting value of the sample covariance  $S_{xy}$  was found to be non-negative. This suggests that the data is specified erroneously or is inadequate for analysis, since life increasing with increasing stress contradicts the true fatigue behavior of materials.

# ERROR: TOO FEW POINTS FOR REGRESSION IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model does not have the required minimum number of points in the region indicated to perform a linear regression. If there are no related data sets, then there must be at least 3 points in each region. If there are N related data sets, then the total number of points in each region (specific and related combined) must be at least N + 3.

# IMPOSSIBLE M RANGE IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* Concavity constraints during the random m selection have required an impossible range on m for the region indicated. Take note of all input parameters for this run, and consult Sections 4.1.5.1, 4.1.5.2, and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

NOTE: E(m) IS NOT IN THE POSTERIOR RANGE ON m IN REGION 'L' Warning This means that the estimate of *m* based on the S/N data only, in the region indicated, is outside the range indicated by the specified constraints on *m* and *C*.

# PROGRAM EXECUTION TERMINATED

*Fatal* This message is produced by routine TRMNAT and follows all other fatal messages.

# WARNING: LAMBDA < .16 DURING OVALITY CALCULATIONS

*Warning* During the ovality effect calculations, the resulting value of  $\lambda$ , *Equations 2-74* through 2-77, was found to be less than 0.16. This suggests that the following stress calculations may be invalid.

# 6.1.7 Summary of Input/Output Files

# Input Files

# DCTHCD

This file is opened in DCTHCF. It contains all parameters for the run options; driver distributions; engineering analysis parameters; and the specific and exogenous materials input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, life (cycles) boundaries, region information, coefficient of variation constraint, C, and prior ranges on the materials shape parameter m for each region.

# RELATD

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the related material data input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, and region information.

#### User Specified

These are the reference time history files and are opened in DCTHCF. They contain the time histories generated by program NBSIN.

# **Output Files**

# DCTHCO

This file is opened in DCTHCF. It contains the echo of the information contained in DCTHCD, and provides the simulated failure distribution B-life information.<sup>13</sup>

# RELATO

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the echo of the information contained in RELATD.

# DUMP

This file is opened in DCTHCF. It contains the results of the information aggregation portion of the materials model calculations, such as  $I_o$  and  $J_o$ ; the point estimates of

<sup>13</sup> A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

m and C; posterior credibility ranges for m; and a list of the estimated values for all S/N curve parameters. See Section 4.1.

#### IOUTPR

This file is opened in DCTHCF. It contains information on the particular run that is not echoed to DCTHCO and the data dump provided when the variable IOUT is equal to 10 (materials characterization calculations), 15 (Monte Carlo simulation and driver transformation calculations), 20 (rainflow cycle counting and damage accumulation calculations), or 25 (stress analysis calculations).

#### LOWLIF

This file is opened in DCTHCF. It contains the first one percent of the calculated lives used by the software described in Section 4.2 to calculate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\theta$ , the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution.

# 6.1.8 HEXHCF Program

The HEXHCF program was used to analyze high cycle fatigue failure of the HPOTP heat exchanger coil small tube outlet. The dynamic load input for the program consists of narrow-band, sinusoidal, and aerodynamic reference time histories. These reference time histories are generated using the program NBSIN. The output of HEXHCF includes the simulated B-lives and a list of the lowest one percent of lives. The list of lives may be used as input to the regression programs of Section 4.2 to compute the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution. This prior distribution and success/failure data are used as input to the Bayesian updating program BAYES to derive a posterior failure distribution.

# 6.1.9 How To Use Program HEXHCF

The program HEXHCF is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. HEXHCF requires two input data files: HEXHCD and RELATD. The materials characterization model portion of the program requires both files for all runs, even when no related S/N data is used. HEXHCF also uses a set of load data files containing the reference time histories. The names of the load data files must be defined by the user. The file HEXHCD contains the analysis control parameters, driver distributions, engineering analysis parameters, and specific and exogenous materials information. The file RELATD contains the related materials information. A complete description of the input data for the HEXHCD and RELATD data files is given in Section 6.1.10.

The results from the HEXHCF program are written to five output files: HEXHCO, RELATO, DUMP, IOUTPR, and LOWLIF. HEXHCO contains the echo of the information in HEXHCD, the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on specific materials data, and the results of the simulation. RELATO contains the echo of the information in RELATD and the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on related materials data. The results of the materials characterization calculations are primarily given in DUMP. These calculations include point and interval estimates for S/N curve parameters m and C, posterior credibility ranges for m, and an estimate of the median S/N curve. File IOUTPR contains an echo of the analysis parameters and, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations. If the program terminates prematurely, an error message will be printed in the IOUTPR file. A list of error messages and possible remedies for the problems is given in Section 6.1.13. LOWLIF contains the first one percent of the lives of the simulated failure distribution.

# 6.1.10 Description of Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for HEXHCD and RELATD are presented in *Figures 6-5* and *6-2*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figure 6-5* are individually defined in *Section 6.1.10.1*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-2* and *6-5* are not necessarily those used in the application case study of *Section 3.2*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files HEXHCD and RELATD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. However, it is recommended that the input format suggested in *Figure 6-5* be followed whenever possible.

#### 6.1.10.1 Input File HEXHCD

The required data for the HEXHCD file is divided into the four blocks shown in *Figure* 6-3: analysis parameters, driver information, load and geometry, and materials information. The analysis parameters block contains the analysis parameters and the keys to select the program options. The driver information block contains the parameters that define the driver distributions. The number of dynamic loads, the magnitudes of the dynamic loads, the load file names, the static loads, and duct geometry are given in the load and geometry block. The materials information block contains the specific material S/N data, including the yield and ultimate strengths, stress ratio, S/N data points, life region boundaries, and materials characterization model parameter constraints.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying dimension, load, and stress input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed

675	Random number seed
0	Output dump controller
1	Inner loop size
20000	Outer loop size
2	Type of S/N variation
0	Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve
0	Controls materials process variation
5	Number of B-lives

Decimal equivalent of percentages for B-lives

0.0001	0.0005	0.001	0.005	0.01

Weld offset two Beta distribution information

0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	
1.00						

Outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information

		-				
1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	

Inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information

1.20 3.50 0.1304 0.5652 10. 10.

Duct inside diameter Beta distribution information

0.1885	0.1915	0.50	0.50	0.5	20.

Wall thickness Beta distribution information

0.0113	0.0157	0.27273	0.27273	0.5	20.	
2.00	2.00	0.15	1.00	Narrow	v-band	random load scale factor
2.00	2.00	0.20	1.00	Sinuso	idal loa	id scale factor
<b>486</b> .	<b>666</b> .	<b>29</b> .	56.5	Inner w	vall terr	perature Normal distribution information
<b>79</b> 9.	908.	49.5	48.	Outer v	wall ten	nperature Normal distribution information
3808.	4177.	<u>69</u> .	69.	interna	l press	ure Normal distribution information

Figure 6-5 Format for File HEXHCD

0.50	1.50	Dynamic aerodynamic load scale factor
0.80	1.20	Static aerodynamic load scale factor
0.80	1.20	Dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor
0.90	1.10	Aerodynamic stress analysis accuracy factor
0.80	1.20	Weld offset accuracy factor
0.60	1.40	Neuber's rule accuracy factor
-1.38629	0.95166	Damage accumulation model accuracy factor
3		Number of dynamic loads

Static aerodynamic load: P,  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z$ ,  $V_y$ ,  $V_z$ 

0.00	0.00	-0.07214	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00	0.00	0.07214		0.00	0.00	

Dynamic loads: file name, load type, P,  $M_x$ ,  $M_y$ ,  $M_z$ ,  $V_y$ ,  $V_z$ 

'NBM3'	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.355475	0.00	0.00
'SIN1'	2	0.027374	0.000451	0.001621	0.082116	0.205288	0.005789
'AERO1'	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07179	0.00	0.0

1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	Other fatigue stress concentration factors
3640.				External pressure, po
2				Critical duct location
180.				Angular position about the duct circumference, $\phi$
1.0				Reference time history period, T
0.0				Noise filter
20001				Number of points in reference time histories
29000000.	8.8E-06	0.30		Ε, α, ν
0.615		2.00		The 10 points of the
0.693		4.80		piecewise linear
0.753		7.20		F <sub>k</sub> vs. R/t curve
0.813		9.60		
0.873		12.50		
0.933		15.80		
0.993		20.00		
1.029		24.00		
1.053		30.00		
1.053		200.00		
6				Number of segments in $\sigma \epsilon$ vs. $\epsilon$ curve
21.95		0.001		$\sigma_1 \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1$
55.77		0.002		σ2 ε2, ε2
144.85		0.005		σ3 ε3, ε3
322.73		0.010		$\sigma_4 \varepsilon_4, \varepsilon_4$
1945.90		0.050		$\sigma_5 \varepsilon_5, \varepsilon_5$
50688.0		0.660		$\sigma_6 \epsilon_6, \epsilon_6$

Figure 6-5 Format for File HEXHCD (Cont'd)

# Description of specific material S/N data set

# '70 F, 321 STAINLESS STEEL ALLOY - WELDED'

Specific materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

#### 27900. 76800. 1 13

Specific materials information for each data division: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

	1000	
40000.	1000.	
40000.	2000.	52, N2
40000.	3000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
40000.	4000.	S <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>4</sub>
40000.	<b>5000</b> .	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
40000.	6000.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
30000.	23000.	S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>
30000.	66000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
25000.	72000.	Sg, Ng
25000.	190000	S <sub>10</sub> , N <sub>10</sub>
20000.	789000.	S <sub>11</sub> , N <sub>11</sub>
20000.	1070000.	S <sub>12</sub> , N <sub>12</sub>
20000.	1450000.	S <sub>13</sub> , N <sub>13</sub>
0.00		Stress tensile point
1 0		Number of life regions with and without data
1.0E+36		Life boundary
0.00		C constraint
	0.000	Prior information m

0.00 0.00 Materials process variation information

#### Figure 6-5 Format for File HEXHCD (Cont'd)

by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

#### **Analysis Parameters Block**

#### RAND

[DRE]

Random number seed Needed by HEXHCF's built-in random number generator.

# IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

HEXHCF has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of HEXHCF's calculation.

- IOUT = 0no intermediate calculation output
- IOUT = 10materials characterization model calculations
- IOUT = 15driver sampling
- IOUT = 20cycle counting and damage accumulation calculations
- IOUT = 25stress analysis calculations

#### NLIFE

[INT]

Inner loop number

Size of the inner loop of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. A positive value is required.

#### **NHYPER**

[INT]

#### Outer loop number

Size of the outer loop of the MC simulation. The program requires a positive value.

#### VARY [INT]

# Type of S/N variation<sup>14</sup>

Controls the type of stochastic variation to be included in the materials characterization model S/N curve.

$\mathbf{VARY} = 0$	no variation will be included
<b>VARY</b> . = 1	allows only intrinsic materials variation
<b>VARY</b> = 2	allows Uniform variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation
VARY = 3	allows truncated Normal variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation

#### NMED

[INT]

# Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve<sup>15</sup>

If VARY = 3, then NMED controls the calculation of the empirical median S/N curve.

- NMED = 0 no median curve calculation is required
- NMED = 1 median curve calculation is required

# MPROC

[INT]

#### Controls materials process variation

Controls the inclusion of materials process variation (heat-to-heat variation). Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

 $\mathbf{MPROC} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{no variation to be included}$ 

MPROC = 1 variation is to be included

#### NBLIFE

[INT]

#### Number of B-lives

The number of B-lives to be provided from the simulated distribution of life. A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percentage; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%. **NBLIFE** must be non-negative and cannot exceed 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> A discussion of the possible stochastic specifications of the materials model shape parameter *m* is given in *Pages 2-13* through 2-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal distribution is discussed on Page 2-15.

BLFPER(1)	BLFPER(2)	BLFPER(NBLIFE)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### B-life percentages

The decimal equivalent of the percentages at which the B-lives are required; e.g., if the B.1 life is desired, then **BLFPER** = 0.001. A total of **NBLIFE** percentages must be provided. The percentage cannot exceed 50% (**BLFPER**  $\leq$  0.50).

#### **Driver Information Block**

<b>WOFFA</b>	WOFFB	WOFFR1	WOFFR2	WOFFT1	WOFFT2
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]
WOFFC	WOFFD	<b>WOFFR3</b>	<b>WOFFR4</b>	<b>WOFFT3</b>	<b>WOFFT4</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### WOFFE

[RE]

#### Weld offset Beta distribution information

 $W_{OFF}$  in Equation 2-73 is the weld offset and may be characterized by two Beta distributions. The first two lines are the two Beta distributions, one per line. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for  $W_{OFF}$ . The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The third line is the decimal equivalent percentage weight for the first Beta distribution and must be between 0.00 and 1.00.

WOFFA WOFF lower bound of Beta distribution 1 WOFFB  $W_{OFF}$  upper bound of Beta distribution 1 WOFFR1  $\rho$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of  $W_{OFF}$  $\rho$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of  $W_{OFF}$ WOFFR2 WOFFT1  $\theta$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of  $W_{OFF}$  $\theta$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of  $W_{OFF}$ WOFFT2 WOFFC WOFF lower bound of Beta distribution 2 WOFFD W<sub>OFF</sub> upper bound of Beta distribution 2

	KWODB	KWODR1	KWODR2	KWODT1 [RE]	KWODT2 [RE]	
WOFFE	decima of the v	al equivalent pe weld offset, W <sub>O</sub>	rcentage weigh FF	t occurring in B	eta distribution 1	I
WOFFT4	θ Unifo	orm distribution	upper bound of	Beta distributio	n 2 of W <sub>OFF</sub>	
WOFFT3	θ Unifa	orm distribution	lower bound of	Beta distributio	n 2 of W <sub>OFF</sub>	
WOFFR4	ρ Unifo	orm distribution	upper bound of	Beta distributio	n 2 of W <sub>OFF</sub>	
WOFFR3	ρ Unifo	rm distribution	lower bound of	Beta distributio		

[RE]

[RE]

[RE]

.....

Outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information The outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is used to calculate  $K_{T1}$  in Equation 2-68.

KWODA	outer ( Beta d	outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor lower bound of Beta distribution			or lower bound of
KWODB	outer ( Beta d	outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor upper bound on Beta distribution			or upper bound of
KWODR1	ρ Unife diame	Output of the second of the			tion of outer
KWODR2	ρ Unif diame	ho Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor			
KWODT1	θ Unif diame	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of outer diameter weld axial stress concentration factor			
KWODT2	θ Unif diame	orm distribution ter weld axial s	n upper bound stress concentr	of Beta distribu ation factor	ition of outer
KWIDA [RE]	KWIDB [RE]	<b>KWIDR1</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDR2</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDT1</b> [RE]	<b>KWIDT2</b> [RE]

Inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor Beta distribution information The inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor is used to calculate  $K_{71}$  in Equation 2-68.

KWIDA	inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor lower bound of Beta distribution
KWIDB	inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor upper bound of Beta distribution
KWIDR1	$\rho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor
KWIDR2	$\rho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor
KWIDT1	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor
KWIDT2	$\theta$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of inner diameter weld axial stress concentration factor

DIA	DIB	DIR1	DIR2	DIT1	DIT2
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### Duct inside diameter Beta distribution information

 $\overline{D_i}$  (in.) the duct inside diameter is used to calculate  $R_i$  in Equation 2-68 and is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the duct inside diameter. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ .

DIA $D_i$  lower bound of Beta distributionDIB $D_i$  upper bound of Beta distributionDIR1 $\rho$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of  $D_i$ DIR2 $\rho$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of  $D_i$ DIT1 $\theta$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of  $D_i$ DIT2 $\theta$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of  $D_i$ 

THICA	THICB	THICR1	THICR2	THICT1	THICT2
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

# Wall thickness Beta distribution information

t (in.) the duct wall thickness is used to calculate the area and calculate  $R_o$  in Equation 2-68 and is characterized by a Beta distribution. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for the wall thickness. The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ .

THICA	t lower bound of Beta distribution
THICB	t upper bound of Beta distribution
THICR1	ho Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of $t$
THICR2	ho Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of $i$
THICT1	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution of t
THICT2	heta Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution of $i$

LAMNA	LAMNB	LAMNC	LAMND
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

# Narrow-band random load scale factor distribution information

This line contains the parameters to define the narrow-band random load scale factor  $\lambda_{D_{RWDOM}}$  in Equation 2-81. See Section 2.1.3.2 on load scale factors for a detailed description of the parameters k, coefficient of variation C, and strain gage factor d.

LAMNA	lower bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMNB	upper bound of Uniform distribution of $k$ for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMNC	coefficient of variation C for the narrow-band random load scale factor
LAMND	strain gage factor <i>d</i> for the narrow-band random load scale factor

LAMSA	LAMSB	LAMSC	LAMSD
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Sinusoidal load scale factor distribution information

This line contains the parameters to define the sinusoidal load scale factor  $\lambda_{D_{SNUSODAL}}$  in *Equation 2-81*. See Section 2.1.3.2 on load scale factors for a detailed description of the parameters *k*, coefficient of variation *C*, and strain gage factor *d*.

LAMSA	lower bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSB	upper bound of Uniform distribution of <i>k</i> for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSC	coefficient of variation C for the sinusoidal load scale factor
LAMSD	strain gage factor <i>d</i> for the sinusoidal load scale factor

TIMUA	TIMUB	TISIGA	TISIGB
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Inner wall temperature Normal distribution information

 $\overline{T_i}$  (°R) the inner wall temperature is used to calculate the temperature difference across the wall of the duct,  $\Delta T$  (°R) in *Equation 2-70*, and is characterized by a Normal distribution.

TIMUA	$\mu$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of $\mathcal{T}_{i}$
TIMUB	$\mu$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of $T_{j}$
TISIGA	$\sigma$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of $\mathcal{T}_{j}$
TISIGB	$\sigma$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of $\mathcal{T}_{i}$

TOMUA	TOMUB	TOSIGA	TOSIGB
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Outer wall temperature Normal distribution information

 $\overline{T_o}$  (°R) the outer wall temperature is used to calculate the temperature difference across the wall of the duct,  $\Delta T$  (°R) in *Equation 2-70*, and is characterized by a Normal distribution.

- **TOMUA**  $\mu$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of  $T_o$
- **TOMUB**  $\mu$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of  $T_o$
- **TOSIGA**  $\sigma$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of  $T_o$
- **TOSIGB**  $\sigma$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of  $T_{o}$

# PCMUAPCMUBPCSIGAPCSIGB[RE][RE][RE][RE]

Inner wall pressure Normal distribution information

 $\overline{p_i}$  (psi) in Equation 2-68. This is the inner wall pressure and it is characterized by a Normal distribution.

- **PCMUA**  $\mu$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of  $p_i$
- **PCMUB**  $\mu$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of  $p_i$
- **PCSIGA**  $\sigma$  Uniform distribution lower bound of Normal distribution of  $p_i$
- **PCSIGB**  $\sigma$  Uniform distribution upper bound of Normal distribution of  $p_i$

#### AERDA AERDB

[RE] [RE]

Dynamic aerodynamic load scale factor distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{D_{AERO}}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the dynamic aerodynamic load scale factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

AERDA	dynamic aerodynamic load scale factor Uniform distribution lower bound
AERDB	dynamic aerodynamic load scale factor Uniform distribution upper bound

#### AERSA AERSB

[RE] [RE]

Static aerodynamic load scale factor distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{ST_{AERO}}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the static aerodynamic load scale factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

AERSA static aerodynamic load scale factor Uniform distribution lower bound

AERSB static aerodynamic load scale factor Uniform distribution upper bound

DSTRA DSTRB

[RE] [RE]

The dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\lambda_{DYN_{str}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

DSTRA	dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound
DSTRB	dynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

# ASTRA ASTRB

[RE] [RE]

Aerodynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\lambda_{AERO_{str}}$  in Equation 2-81. This is the aerodynamic stress analysis accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

- ASTRA aerodynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound
- ASTRB aerodynamic stress analysis accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

# LAMWA LAMWB

[RE] [RE]

Weld offset accuracy factor Uniform distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{OFF}}$  in Equation 2-73. This is the weld offset eccentricity stress concentration accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

LAMWA  $\lambda_{OFF}$  Uniform distribution lower bound

LAMWB  $\lambda_{OFF}$  Uniform distribution upper bound

#### NEUBA NEUBB

[RE] [RE]

Neuber's Rule accuracy factor Uniform distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{neu}}$  in Equation 2-89. This is the Neuber's Rule accuracy factor and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

- NEUBA Neuber's Rule accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound
- NEUBB Neuber's Rule accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

# GAMA GAMB

Damage accumulation model accuracy factor distribution information

This line contains the Uniform distribution bounds in log<sub>e</sub> space for the damage accumulation model accuracy factor  $\lambda_{dam}$  in Equation 2-91. See Section 2.2.1.4 for a discussion of the damage accumulation calculations.

GAMA lower bound on damage accumulation accuracy factor

GAMB upper bound on damage accumulation accuracy factor

#### Load and Geometry block

#### NLOAD

[INT]

Number of dynamic loads

Total number of dynamic or time-varying loads. NLOAD cannot exceed 16.

PSTAT	TSTAT	MSTAT(1)	MSTAT(2)	VSTAT(1)	VSTAT(2)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Static loads

This line contains the six beam-end force components due to static aerodynamic loads.

PSTAT	P (lbs) in Equation 2-68, the static axial load component
TSTAT	$M_{\rm X}$ (inlbs) in Equation 2-72, the static torsional load component
MSTAT(1)	$M_y$ (inlbs) in Equation 2-68, the static moment load component about the y axis
MSTAT(2)	$M_z$ (in lbs) in Equation 2-68, the static moment load component about the z axis
VSTAT(1)	$V_{y}$ (lbs) in Equation 2-72, the static shear load component along the y axis
VSTAT(2)	V <sub>z</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation</i> 2-72, the static shear load component along the z

LDNAME(I)	TYPE(I)	P(I)	T(I)	M(1,I)	M(2,I)	V(1,l)	V(2,I)
[CHR]	[INT]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### Dynamic loads

This line contains the dynamic load file names, load types, and the six components of the beam-end force magnitudes. A total of **NLOAD** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NLOAD**).

	(I) File names containing the reference time history for load I. The file name cannot be more than six characters long and must be enclosed by single quotes.
TYPE(I)	Load-type of load I, used to assign the appropriate load scale factor TYPE(I) = 1 Narrow-band random load TYPE(I) = 2 Sinusoidal load TYPE(I) = 3 Dynamic aerodynamic load
P(i)	P (lbs) in Equation 2-68, the dynamic axial load magnitude for load I
T(I)	$M_{\chi}$ (in lbs) in Equation 2-72, the dynamic torsional load magnitude for load I
M(1,l)	$M_y$ (inlbs) in Equation 2-68, the dynamic moment load magnitude about the y axis for load I
M(2,I)	<i>M<sub>z</sub></i> (inlbs) in <i>Equation 2</i> -68, the dynamic moment load magnitude about the z axis for load <b>I</b>
V(1,l)	V <sub>y</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation 2-72</i> , the dynamic shear load magnitude along the y axis for load <b>1</b>
V(2,I)	V <sub>z</sub> (lbs) in <i>Equation 2-72</i> , the dynamic shear load magnitude along the z axis for load I
COD	KGID KT(2.1) KT(2.2)

KGOD	KGID	KT(2,1)	KT(2,2)	
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	

#### Fatigue stress concentration factors

Geometric axial and hoop fatigue stress concentration factors. The geometric axial stress concentration factors are used to calculate the total axial stress concentration factor,  $K_{T1}$  in Equation 2-68, by the multiplication of the geometric factors **KGOD** and **KGID**, and the weld factors **KWOD** and **KWID**, specified above.

KGOD	outer diameter axial geometric stress concentration factor
KGID	inner diameter axial geometric stress concentration factor
KT(2,1)	outer diameter hoop stress concentration factor, $K_{T2}$ in Equation 2-69
KT(2,2)	inner diameter hoop stress concentration factor, $K_{T2}$ in Equation 2-69

PCO

[RE]

External pressure

 $\overline{p_o}$  (psi) in Equation 2-68. This is the outer wall pressure.

# LOCAT

[INT]

# **Critical location**

Critical location of interest on the duct wall.

LOCAT = 2 inner wall

# ANGLE

[RE]

#### Critical angle

 $\overline{\phi}$  (degrees) in Equation 2-68. This is the angle measured counterclockwise from the Z-direction to the critical circumferential location of the duct.

#### PERIOD

[RE]

#### Period

 $\overline{T}$  (sec) in Equation 2-91. This is the period of the reference time histories, and it is required so that life may be provided in seconds.

# TRUNC

[RE]

#### Noise filter

Value (psi) used to filter out the insignificant cycles in the composite stress-time history during rainflow cycle counting.

#### NRAN

[RE]

#### Number of history points

Number of points in the reference time history files for the dynamic loads. NRAN cannot exceed 24,000.

# EM COEXP NU

[RE] [RE] [RE]

#### Materials information

This line contains the elastic modulus, thermal expansion, and Poisson's ratio.

EM	E (psi) in Equation 2-70, Young's modulus of elasticity
COEXP	$\alpha$ (/°R) in Equation 2-70, the coefficient of thermal expansion
NU	v in Equation 2-70, the materials Poisson's ratio

FK(I)	RT(I)
[RE]	[RE]

# Fk versus R/t curve

 $F_k$  versus R/t points for each segment of the curve are used by Equation 2-73 in the weld offset eccentricity stress concentration calculations. A block of 10 segments must be provided (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to 10). Both **FK** and **RT** must be positive and increase with increasing I (i.e., I = 1 is the lower bound of the first segment and I = 10 is the upper bound of the last segment).

- FK(I)  $F_k(R/t)$  value
- RT(I) R/t value

#### NUMSEG

[INT]

#### Number of segments

The number of piecewise linear segments in the stress-strain versus strain curve required by *Equation 2-88*.

SE(J) E	E(J)
---------	------

[RE] [RE]

#### Stress-strain versus strain curve

 $\sigma\varepsilon$  versus  $\varepsilon$  points for each segment of the  $\sigma$  vs.  $\varepsilon$  curve are used in the Neuber's Rule calculations in *Equations 2-88* and 2-89. A block of **NUMSEG** lines must be provided (i.e., the value of **J** goes from 1 to **NUMSEG**). Both **SE** and **E** must be positive and increase with increasing **J** as HEXHCF assumes that the **J** = 0 point is at the origin.

- SE(J) value of the product of stress and strain,  $\sigma \epsilon$ , at the upper end of the Jth segment of the stress-strain versus strain curve
- E(J) value of the strain  $\varepsilon$  at the upper end of the Jth segment of the stressstrain versus strain curve

#### Materials Information Block

#### DESCRP(0)

[CHR]

# Description of specific material S/N data set

Name and test environment for the specific material S/N data. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(0)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

Specific materials information

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. **NPTS (0)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

- FTY yield strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
- FTU ultimate strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
- NDIV number of data divisions for the specific material data set
- NPTS(0) total number of points in the specific material S/N data set

#### NUM RATIO REG

נואון נתבן נואון	[INT]	[RE]	[INT]
------------------	-------	------	-------

Materials information for each data division of the specific S/N data set

Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

# RAWSTR(I,0) RAWNF(I,0)

[RE]

[RE]

#### Specific material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

RAWSTR(I,0) stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,0)** fatigue life value (cycles)

# SZERO

[RE]

# Tensile point<sup>16</sup>

Stress tensile point  $S_o$  (psi). Must be non-negative. A value of zero indicates no tensile point. For HCF applications, this aspect of the materials model has been disabled, however, a value of **SZERO** must be provided.

# NUMREG NNODAT

[INT] [INT]

# Data regions<sup>17</sup>

Number of life regions that are data-determined and not data-determined. **NUMREG** + **NNODAT** cannot exceed three. **NUMREG** must be 1, 2, or 3, and **NNODAT** must be non-negative, and should be 0 or 1.

NUMREG number of life regions determined by data

NNODAT number of life regions (to the right) not determined by data

#### NBND(L) [RE]

# Life Boundaries<sup>18</sup>

The upper boundaries of the life regions are specified (cycles). The value of L goes from **ZROREG** to the total number of regions (equal to **NUMREG** + **NNODAT**). If a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Extension of the S/N cuve to the right is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Life region boundaries are discussed on Page 2-15.

non-zero tensile point is specified, then **ZROREG** = 0 else **ZROREG** = 1. The program expects the upper bound of the last life region to be  $10^{36}$ , a proxy for  $\infty$ .

# CZERO

[RE]

Prior information on coefficient of variation of fatigue strength<sup>19</sup>

Information in the form of a constraint on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength C for the specific material S/N data set. Value must be non-negative and a value of zero indicates that CZERO is not in use.

# MPNT(L) MZERO(1,L) MZERO(2,L) [INT] [RE] [RE]

Prior information on the materials shape parameter  $m^{20}$ 

The number of MZERO values in each life region, and the lower and upper bound for the range of m. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT). If VARY = 3 is specified (truncated Normal distribution on m), then a prior range of m must be specified for each region.

- MPNT(L) The number of points, 0, 1, or 2, (no prior on *m*, a point prior on *m*, or a prior over a range of *m*, respectively) in MZERO() for each region.
- MZERO(1,L) The lower bound on the range of *m* or the value of the point prior for *m*.
- MZERO(2,L) The upper bound on the range of *m*. Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for *m* is specified.

# DELTA(L) MO(L) SIGMA2(L) [RE] [RE] [RE]

Information on the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal distribution<sup>21</sup> If VARY = 3, then the materials model uses the truncated Normal distribution. The truncated Normal distribution requires some prior information on the Normal distribution parameters because a Bayesian analysis is performed. The information is required for each life region. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The implicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is discussed on *Pages 2-12* through 2-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.

<sup>21</sup> Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-14.

DELTA(L)	The shape parameter $\delta$ of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution.
MO(L)	Location parameter $m_0$ of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape parameter $m$ . Must be positive. Required when <b>DELTA(L)</b> is non-zero.
SIGMA2(L)	$\sigma^2$ , the known variance of In( <i>fatigue life</i> ), V(In N   In S). Must be non-negative.

#### KRATIO LAMN

[RE] [RE]

#### Materials process variation information

If **MPROC** = 1, then specification of **KRATIO** and **LAMN** is required. **KRATIO** is  $\lambda_{K'}^*$ , the ratio *MED*  $K^*$ /*MED* K where *MED*  $K^*$  is the median value over all heats for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle, and *MED* K is the median value for the specific S/N data for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle. **LAMN** is the ratio of the variance of ln(*life*) conditional on stress over all heats to the intrinsic materials variation for the given S/N data conditional on stress. Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

#### 6.1.10.2 Input File RELATD

The input data for file RELATD, which contains the related materials information,<sup>22</sup> is given below. The data format is similar to that used to specify the S/N data in the specific materials information block in the HEXHCD file.

#### **NSETS**

[INT]

#### Number of related data sets

Number of related material S/N data sets. The following data groups have to be repeated as a block for each data set. The value of **J** varies from 1 to **NSETS**. If there is no related data, then file RELATD will only contain the number "0". **NSETS** cannot exceed five.

#### DESCRP(J)

[CHR]

<sup>22</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.

# Description of related material S/N data set

Name and test environment for related material S/N data set J. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(J)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

#### **Related materials information**

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders. **NPTS(J)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

- FTY yield strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
- FTU ultimate strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
- NDIV number of data divisions for related material data set J
- NPTS(J) total number of points in related material S/N data set J

#### NUM RATIO REG

[INT] [RE] [INT]

#### Materials information for each data division of the related S/N data set

Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

#### RAWSTR(I,J) RAWNF(I,J)

[RE] [RE]

#### Related material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

**RAWSTR(I,J)** stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,J)** fatigue life value (cycles)

#### 6.1.10.3 Reference Time History Files

The data format for the reference time history files is given below. There must be **NLOAD** files with the same names, as specified by **LDNAME(I)** in file HEXHCD. Reference time histories are typically generated by program NBSIN described in *Sections 4.5, 6.6, and 7.7.* 

#### STRHIS(I,J)

[RE]

The points of the Ith reference time history

The points of the time history specified by LDNAME(I). The data is entered one point per line for J = 1, ..., NRAN.

# 6.1.11 Options and Capabilities

HEXHCF is a Monte Carlo simulation program which generates a sequence of component lives for a particular failure mode, where life is defined as the accumulated operating time at failure. The simulation has a double-loop structure with **NHYPER** outer loops and **NLIFE** inner loops. The simulation size is dependent on the failure probability at which a life estimate is desired and the precision desired. For the HEX application, single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 20,000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used to characterize component reliability, and single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 1000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used for the marginal analysis to assess the importance of drivers.

During a run, it may be desirable to "hold" a driver at a *fixed value*. This may be the nominal or median value of the driver. This is done for drivers with a Beta or a Uniform distribution by merely specifying both the upper and lower bounds to be the desired value. For drivers with a Normal distribution, the standard deviation  $\sigma$ , or coefficient of variation *C*, is set at zero and the mean  $\mu$  is set at the desired value.

The procedure of holding certain drivers at fixed values while letting the other drivers vary according to their probability distributions may be used for driver variation *sensitivity studies*. That is, the effect on life of driver variation may be evaluated by letting it vary while holding other drivers at fixed values. Each driver variation sensitivity was determined in the case studies of this report with the intrinsic variation of the fatigue life of the material included (VARY = 1).

A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the simulation size is large



Figure 6-6 Detail of the HPOTP Heat Exchanger Coil Small Tube Outlet Near Weld 3

since the information will be dumped during every simulation loop. The **NMED** option provides for calculation of an empirical median S/N curve if the truncated Normal distribution is employed.<sup>23</sup> In this case, the median S/N curve is based on the empirical median m from all the shape parameters used in the simulation. The **MPROC** option activates the computations for the process variation feature of the materials characterization model, as discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

# 6.1.12 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the HCF analysis code HEXHCF was carried out with random variation of all drivers for the HPOTP heat exchanger coil small tube outlet. In this example run, 1000 lives were simulated (NLIFE = 1 times NHYPER = 1000) by using Uniform shape parameter variation, VARY = 2 and NMED = 0; and no materials process variation, MPROC = 0. The B-lives<sup>24</sup> to be provided are B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.6, B.7, B.8, B.9, and B1 (NBLIFE = 10, BLFPER(1) = 0.001, BLFPER(2) = 0.002, BLFPER(3) = 0.003, BLFPER(4) = 0.004, BLFPER(5) = 0.005, BLFPER(6) = 0.006, BLFPER(7) = 0.007, BLFPER(8) = 0.008, BLFPER(9) = 0.009, BLFPER(10) = 0.01). The user may refer to Section 2.2.1.5 for additional information on the engineering analysis and to Section 3.2 for the results of the case study for this component.

Figure 6-6 shows the component in detail and the location of the critical weld, designated as  $\Delta$ . The external pressure **PCO** is 3640 psi. All geometric axial and

<sup>23</sup> The truncated Normal distribution for the materials model shape parameter m is discussed on Page 2-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

hoop stress concentration factors are one, KGOD = KGID = KT(2,1) = KT(2,2) =1.0. The elastic modulus EM is  $2.9 \times 10^7$ , the coefficient of thermal expansion COEXP is  $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ , and Poisson's ratio NU is 0.30 for the material.

The drivers for the HCF failure of weld 3 are as follows:

DISTRIBUTION		
Beta		
Normal		
Normal		
Uniform		

The rationale for the specification of the driver distributions is given in Section 3.2.2. The weld offset was held at 6% by fixing the upper and lower bounds of the distribution at **WOFFA** = **WOFFB** = 0.06.

In addition to the static loads, there were one narrow-band random load, one sinusoidal load, and one dynamic aerodynamic load. The three dynamic loads (**NLOAD** = 3) used here are a subset of the significant loads for this component. The procedure for identifying the significant loads is described in *Sections 2.2.1.5, 2.3.7*, and *3.A.2.5*. The three reference time histories are in the files named NBM3, SIN1, and AERO1, and the contents of these input files are given below. The reference time histories have five points (**NRAN** = 5) and represent 0.00025 seconds (**PERIOD** = 0.00025) of the loading. The reference time histories used for the case studies of the HEX coil small tube outlet given in *Section 3.2* consisted of 17,800 points. Shorter histories are used here to permit their inclusion in this example. The critical location is the inner wall (**LOCAT** = 2) at a circumferential position of **ANGLE** = 85°.

Thirteen S/N data points, NUM = 13 with a stress ratio of -1.0 (RATIO = -1.0) are provided. The number of regions with data, NUMREG, is 1, and there are no regions to the right without data, NNODAT = 0. The data is in one division, NDIV = 1, and the total number of points is thirteen, NPTS(0) = 13. No related data is provided. Thus, the

RELATD file is empty, except for a single entry to indicate **NSETS** = 0. If further explanation of file HEXHCD is required, refer to Section 6.1.10.1 and Figure 6-5.

The echo of the input data is in the output file HEXHCO. The simulated B-lives are also given for the component. For instance, the B.1 life is  $4.5 \times 10^9$  seconds. This value is different from the B.1 life obtained during the case study of this component as given in Section 3.2.4 because the number and size of the reference time histories and the number of simulation trials have been reduced to facilitate the example run. There are only three time histories with just five points each used here, and therefore they do not properly represent the loads. Also, the  $F_k$  versus R/t curve is only an example curve.

The IOUTPR file gives an echo of the analysis parameters. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero; therefore, no other output is in this file. The LOWLIF file contains the lowest one percent of the 1000 simulation lives. Finally, the DUMP file contains the results of the materials characterization model information aggregation calculations.<sup>25</sup>

675 0 1 1000 2 0								
10								
0.001								
0.002								
0.003								
0.004								
0.005								
0.006								
0.007								
0.008								
0.009								
0.01								
0.06	0.06	0.00	0.	00	0.	0	0.0	
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	00	0.	0	0.0	
1.00								
1.00	1.00	0.00		0.00		0.0	)	0.0
1.20	3.50	0.1304		0.5652	2	10.		10.
0.1885	0.1915	0.50		0.50		0.5	<b>i</b>	20.
0.0113	0.0157	0.2727	3	0.2727	73	0.5	<b>j</b>	20.

#### **Input File - HEXHCD**

<sup>25</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-6 through 2-14.

0.15 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.20 1.00 486. 666. 29. 56.5 799. 908. 49.5 48. 69. 69. 3808. 4177. 0.50 1.50 0.80 1.20 0.80 1.20 0.90 1.10 0.80 1.20 0.60 1.40 -1.38629 0.95166 3 0.00 0.00 -0.07214 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.355475 0.00 0.00 'NBM3' 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 'SIN1' 2 0.027374 0.000451 0.001621 0.082116 0.205288 0.005789 0.00 0.07179 0.00 0.00 'AERO1' 3 0.00 0.00 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 3640. 2 85. 0.00025 0.0 5 29000000. 8.8E-06 0.30 2.00 0.615 4.80 0.693 0.753 7.20 0.813 9.60 0.873 12.50 0.933 15.80 0.993 20.00 1.029 24.00 1.053 30.00 1.053 200.00 6 21.95 0.001 55.77 0.002 0.005 144.85 322.73 0.010 1945.90 0.050 0.660 50688.0 '70 F, 321 STAINLESS STEEL ALLOY - WELDED' 27900. 76800. 1 13 13 -1.0 1 1000. 40000. 40000. 2000. 40000. 3000. 40000. 4000. 5000. 40000.

400	00.	60	00.
300	00.	230	00.
300	00.	660	00.
250	00.	720	00.
250	00.	1900	00.
200	00.	7890	00.
200	00.	10700	00.
200	00.	14500	00.
0.0	00		
1	0		
1.0	)E+36		
0.0	00		
0	ο.	000	0.000

# Input File - RELATD

0

.

# **Input File - NBM3**

```
0.9396865670744
0.9325857187916
1.132583595703
1.378186790842
1.546197891515
```

# **Input File - SIN1**

-0.9766760261059 -0.9310621841538 -0.8625225012037 -0.7727446517203 -0.6639392643142

# Input File - AERO1

-1.202208564616 -2.176997589958 -2.250379923423 -1.314959553996 -0.5704567649678

# **Output File - HEXHCO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

#### INPUT DATA

DRIVERS		PARAMETER DISTRIBUTIONS					
		RHO	<b>D</b>	THE	та		
WELD OFFSET (%)	Be(0.06, 0.06) Be(0.00, 0.00) TEST = 1.00	U(0.00000, U(0.00000,	0.00000) 0.00000)	U( 0.0, U( 0.0,	0.0) 0.0)		
K WELD (OD)	Be(1.00, 1.00)	U(0.00000,	0.00000)	U( 0.0,	0.0)		
K WELD (ID)	Be(1.20, 3.50)	U(0.13040,	0.56520)	U(10.0,	10.0)		
INNER DIAMETER	Be(0.1885, 0.1915)	U(0.50000,	0.50000)	<b>υ</b> ( 0.5,	20.0)		
WALL THICKNESS	Be(0.0113, 0.0157)	U(0.27273,	0.27273)	U( 0.5,	20.0)		
LAMBDA RANDOM	k: U(2.00000, 2.000 COEFFICIENT OF VARI STRAIN GAGE FACTOR:	00) ATION: 0.15 1.0000000	0				
LAMBDA SINE	k: U(2.00000, 2.000 COEFFICIENT OF VARI STRAIN GAGE FACTOR:	00) ATION: 0.20 1.0000000	0				
		MU	SIGMA				

INNER TEMPE	RATURE	NORMAL:	U(	486.0,	666.0)	U(	29.0,	56.5)
OUTER TEMPE	RATURE	NORMAL:	<b>U</b> (	799.0,	908.0)	<b>U</b> (	49.5,	48.0)
INNER PRESS	URE	NORMAL:	U(	3808.0,	4177.0)	U(	69.0,	69.0)
DYNAMIC AER	O LOAD FAC	TOR	U(	0.50000,	1.5000	0)		
STATIC AERO	LOAD FACT	TOR	<b>U</b> (	0.80000,	1.2000	0)		
DYNAMIC STR	ESS ANALYS	SIS	IJ(	0.80000,	1.2000	0)		

6 - 73

.

.

AERO STRESS ANALYSIS	U( 0.90000,	1.10000)
LAMBDA KOFF	U( 0.80000,	1.20000)
NEUBERS RULE	υ( 0.60000,	1.40000)
DAMAGE MODEL ACCURACY	<b>U(ln 0.25000</b> ,	, ln 2.59001)

#### LOADS INPUT

P LOADS (LBS)	T LOADS (INLBS)	M2 LOADS (INLBS)	M3 LOADS (INLBS)	V2 LOADS (LBS)	V3 LOADS (LBS)
STATIC AERO					
0.00000	0.000000	-0.072140	0.00000	0.00000	0.000000
NBM3					
0.00000	0.000000	0.000000	0.355475	0.00000	0.000000
SIN1					
0.027374	0.000451	0.001621	0.082116	0.205288	0.005789
AERO1					
0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.071790	0.000000	0.000000

•

.

#### GEOMETRIC AND OTHER INPUT

KGEOM (OD)	1.00
K GEOM (ID)	1.00
K HOOP (OD)	1.00
K HOOP (ID)	1.00
EXTERNAL PRESSURE, PSI	3640.
ANALYSIS LOCATION	2
ANGLE THETA (DEGREES)	85.0
STRESS-TIME HISTORY PERIOD, SEC	0.00
STRESS-TIME HISTORY NOISE FILTER, PSI	0.0

6 - 74
NUMBER OF TIME-VARYING LOADS	3
NUMBER OF POINTS IN HISTORIES	5
ANGLE THETA (RADIANS)	1.48
ELASTIC MODULUS, PSI	0.290E+08
COEFF OF THERMAL EXPANSION	0.88000000E-05
POISSONS RATIO	0.300

#### STRESS-STRAIN CURVE INPUT

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SEGMENTS				
STRESS-STRAIN PRODUCT	STRAIN VALUES			
21.95	0.00100			
55.77	0.00200			
144.85	0.00500			
322.73	0.01000			
1945.90	0.05000			
50688.00	0.66000			

#### MATERIAL INPUT

DESCRIPTION: 70 F, 321 STAINL	ESS STEEL ALLOY - WELDED
YIELD STRENGTH	0.27900E+05
ULTIMATE STRENGTH	0.76800E+05
NUMBER OF POINTS	13

ORIGINAL S/N STRESS

TRANSFORMED S/N

.

.

6

STRESS	LIFE	RATIO	REGION	STRESS	LIFE
0.40000E+05	1000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	1000.
0.40000E+05	2000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	2000.
0.40000E+05	3000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	3000.
0 40000E+05	4000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	4000.
0 4000000+05	5000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	5000.
0 4000000+05	6000.	-1.00	1	0.40000E+05	6000.
0 3000002+05	23000.	-1.00	1	0.30000E+05	23000.
0.3000000000	66000.	-1.00	1	0.30000E+05	66000.
0.250002+05	72000.	-1.00	1	0.25000E+05	72000.
0.250005+05	190000	-1.00	1	0.25000E+05	190000.
0.2500000+05	799000	-1.00	-	0.20000E+05	789000.
0.20000000000	1070000	-1.00	-	0.20000E+05	1070000.
0.200002+05	1450000	-1.00	1	0.20000E+05	1450000
0.200006+05	1450000.	-1.00	+	0.1000002.00	

THERE IS 1 REGION(S) WITH DATA AND 0 REGION(S) TO THE RIGHT WITHOUT DATA THE UPPER BOUND(S) OF THE REGION(S) ARE (CYCLES):

0.100E+37

EXOGENOUS INFORMATION

•

CONSTRAINT ON COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION, C: 0.0000

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON m FOR EACH REGION:

REGION	# OF POINTS	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	· 0	0.0000	0.0000

EMPIRICAL
0.447327E+10
0.104092E+11
0.191086E+11
0.208025E+11
0.398571E+11
0.662463E+11
0.824330E+11
0.959502E+11

6 - 76

0.00900	0.983484E+11
0.01000	0.103062E+12
0.50000	0.374265E+15

#### **Output File - RELATO**

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

NOTE: ALL Kt ASSUMED TO BE 1.0

TRANSFORMED DATA

#### **Output File - DUMP**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

RESULTS OF INFORMATION AGGREGATION CALCULATIONS

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION: 1 IO = (0.047421050, 0.113658400) JO = (7.136659000, 9.595363000)

POINT ESTIMATES OF C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	E(C)	E(m)
1	0.066941450	8.366011

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	7.1367	9.5954

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

NUMBER O	F REGIONS:	1	E(BETAO)	= 19.5380	E(k) = 11.5536
REGION	m		ĸ	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND
1	8.36601	(	0.10528E+06	0.100E+37	0.0000E+00

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

	RANDOM NUMBER SEED =	675.000000000000
IOUT	(MATCHR = 10, HEXHCF = 15, THWELD = 25) =	0
	INNER LOOP SIZE =	1
	OUTER LOOP SIZE =	1000
	TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED =	2
	NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE $(0 - NO, 1 - YES) =$	0
	MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED	
	(0 - NO, 1 - YES) =	0

#### **Output File - LOWLIF**

1	0.100000E-02	0.447327E+10
2	0.200000E-02	0.104092E+11
3	0.300000E-02	0.191086E+11
4	0.400000E-02	0.208025E+11
5	0.500000E-02	0.398571E+11
6	0.60000E-02	0.662463E+11
7	0.700000E-02	0.824330E+11
8	0.800000E-02	0.959502E+11
9	0.90000E-02	0.983484E+11
10	0.100000E-01	0.103062E+12

#### 6.1.13 Error Messages and Possible Remedies

The following messages, when applicable, will appear in file IOUTPR. These messages are primarily generated by the materials characterization model (MATCHR) portion of HEXHCF. An error message stating that a limit has been exceeded will require that the user increase those limits, as directed, and reviewing or consulting *Section 7.3.1.3* is desirable. The messages are listed in alphabetical order for the convenience of the user.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTA OR VALUE OF MO INCONSISTENT WITH DELTA IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta$  was specified, but the value for  $m_{0}$  is not positive. Check file HEXHCD.

ERROR: CANNOT OPEN FILE, 'filename' DOES NOT EXIST

*Fatal* HEXHCF attempted to open the indicated file, however the file did not exist. Check the directory for existence of the file and also check file HEXHCD for correct spelling of the filename.

ERROR: Co TOO LOW

*Fatal* The constraint,  $C_o$ , imposed on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is inconsistent with the observed S/N data.

ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON DEGREES OF FREEDOM IN CHI-SQUARE TABLE, IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* As implemented, the credibility interval calculations can handle no more than 150 degrees of freedom, and the amount of data in the region indicated requires more. The  $\chi^2$  tables of routine INTRVL must be increased. See Sections 4.1.3.6 and 7.3.1.3 for more information.

ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON NUMBER OF REGIONS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model can handle no more than 3 life regions. Check file HEXHCD because the sum of the number of regions with data and the number of regions without data is greater than 3.

#### ERROR: INVALID LOCATION SPECIFICATION

*Fatal* **LOCAT** can only have the integer value 1 or 2. Check file HEXHCD for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID RESPONSE TO NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE QUESTION *Fatal* **NMED** can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* MPROC can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED

*Fatal* **VARY** can only have the integer value 0, 1, 2, or 3. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

#### ERROR: INVALID VALUE FOR RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for the specific material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file HEXHCD.

ERROR: INVALID VALUE OF RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for a related material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file RELATD.

ERROR: LOAD INCORRECTLY TYPED

*Fatal* **TYPE(I)** can only have the integer value 1, 2 or 3. Check file HEXHCD for the value used.

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MC

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MO

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN Jo, Mo, AND Mc

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN Mo AND Mc

*Fatal* These errors indicate that the specified C constraint and/or prior credibility range on m do not agree with each other and/or the observed S/N data.

#### ERROR: NORMAL VARIATION REQUIRES A PRIOR RANGE ON M

*Fatal* The truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model requires a prior range on m. The number of points for the prior range on m has been incorrectly specified. Check file HEXHCD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 1 or 2.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SET 'J' *Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in one of the related S/N data sets. Check file RELATD to compare for each related data set the total number of points declared with the sum of the numbers of points in each data division.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in the specific S/N data set. Check file HEXHCD, since the total number of points in the specific data set declared and the sum of the numbers of points in each data division do not agree.

ERROR: OVERALL PRIOR RANGE INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN REGION WITHOUT DATA

*Fatal* The prior credibility range on *m* in one of the regions without data has been incorrectly specified. Check file HEXHCD to verify that either more regions without data have been indicated than intended or that the number

of points in the prior on m in a region without data has been incorrectly specified. Only the integer value 0, 1, or 2 is acceptable.

ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF POINTS IN SET 'J'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for the total number of points in each related data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. It is suggested that the number of S/N data points in each related set be recounted. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF RELATED DATA SETS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model allows up to 5 related data sets. Check file RELATD to determine if more than 5 related data sets were specified. The parameter **MAXSET** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER NUMBER OF POINTS LIMIT IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in the specific material data set. Check file HEXHCD for the total number of points in the specific data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN RELATED MATERIAL 'J'

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file RELATD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value in the data set indicated.

ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file HEXHCD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH POINT POSTERIOR IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the point posterior value of m in region 'L-1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range in region 'L'. This error indicates a violation of the concavity assumption.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the lower bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L-1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L'. The data should be checked for consistency.

ERROR: PRIOR ON M INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN 'L'

*Fatal* The number of points for the specified prior range on m in the indicated region has been incorrectly specified. Check file HEXHCD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 0, 1, or 2.

### ERROR: STRESS-TIME HISTORY TOO LARGE

*Fatal* No more than 24,000 points are allowed for a reference time history, and an attempt has been made to use a larger history. Check file HEXHCD for a value of **NRAN** larger than 24,000.

### ERROR: SXY > = 0 IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* During the linear regression calculations for the region indicated, the resulting value of the sample covariance  $S_{xy}$  was found to be non-negative. This suggests that the data is specified erroneously or is inadequate for analysis, since life increasing with increasing stress contradicts the true fatigue behavior of materials.

ERROR: TOO FEW POINTS FOR REGRESSION IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model does not have the required minimum number of points in the region indicated to perform a linear regression. If there are no related data sets, then there must be at least 3 points in each region. If there are N related data sets, then the total number of points in each region (specific and related combined) must be at least N + 3.

#### IMPOSSIBLE M RANGE IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* Concavity constraints during the random m selection have required an impossible range on m for the region indicated. Take note of all input parameters for this run, and consult Sections 4.1.5.1, 4.1.5.2, and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

NOTE: E(m) IS NOT IN THE POSTERIOR RANGE ON m IN REGION 'L' Warning This means that the estimate of *m* based on the S/N data only, in the region indicated, is outside the range indicated by the specified constraints on *m* and *C*.

#### PROGRAM EXECUTION TERMINATED

*Fatal* This message is produced by routine TRMNAT and follows all other fatal messages.

#### THE VALUE PRODCT EXCEEDED STRESS-STRAIN CURVE

*Warning* The maximum stress has exceeded the stress-strain curve provided for the Neuber's rule calculation. The program has assumed the curve to end at the ultimate strength and hence assigned a value of unity for damage (the part has failed). If this message is believed to be in error, check the stress-strain curve provided in file HEXHCD, and/or check that all units for stress, strain, elastic modulus, geometric parameters, etc., are consistent.

## 6.1.14 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### **Input Files**

#### HEXHCD

This file is opened in HEXHCF. It contains all parameters for the run options; driver distributions; engineering analysis parameters; and the specific and exogenous materials input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, life (cycles) boundaries, region information, coefficient of variation constraint, C, and prior ranges on the materials shape parameter m for each region.

#### RELATD

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the related material data input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, and region information.

#### **User Specified**

These are the reference time history files and are opened in HEXHCF. They contain the time histories generated by program NBSIN.

#### **Output Files**

#### HEXHCO

This file is opened in HEXHCF. It contains the echo of the information contained in HEXHCD, and provides the simulated failure distribution B-life information.<sup>26</sup>

<sup>26</sup> A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

#### RELATO

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the echo of the information contained in RELATD.

#### DUMP

This file is opened in HEXHCF. It contains the results of the information aggregation portion of the materials model calculations, such as  $I_o$  and  $J_o$ ; the point estimates of m and C; posterior credibility ranges for m; and a list of the estimated values for all S/N curve parameters. See Section 4.1.

#### IOUTPR

This file is opened in HEXHCF. It contains information on the particular run that is not echoed to HEXHCO and the data dump provided when the variable **IOUT** is equal to 10 (materials characterization calculations), 15 (Monte Carlo simulation and driver transformation calculations), 20 (rainflow cycle counting and damage accumulation calculations), or 25 (stress analysis calculations).

#### LOWLIF

This file is opened in HEXHCF. It contains the first one percent of the calculated lives used by the software described in Section 4.2 to calculate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\theta$ , the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution.

## Section 6.2

## Low Cycle Fatigue Analysis User's Guide

#### 6.2.1 TRBPWA Program

A user's guide for running the low cycle fatigue (LCF) analysis code TRBPWA is given here. The LCF analysis for the ATD Disk is discussed in *Section 2.2.2.2*, the program description and flowcharts are presented in *Section 5.2*, and the code structure and listing are provided in *Section 7.2*.

The TRBPWA program was used to analyze the low cycle fatigue failure of the ATD-HPFTP second stage turbine disk. The output of TRBPWA includes the simulated B-lives and a list of the lowest one percent of lives. The list of lives may be used as input to the regression programs of *Section 4.2* to compute the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution. This prior distribution and success/failure data are used as input to the Bayesian updating program BAYES to obtain a posterior failure distribution.

#### 6.2.2 How To Use Program TRBPWA

The program TRBPWA is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. TRBPWA requires *two input data files*: TRBPWD and RELATD. The materials characterization model portion of the program requires both files for all runs, *even when no related S/N data* is used. The file TRBPWD contains the analysis control parameters, driver distributions, engineering analysis parameters, and specific and exogenous materials information. The file RELATD contains the related materials information. A complete description of the input data for the TRBPWD and RELATD data files is given in Section 6.2.3.

The results from the TRBPWA program are written to *five output files*: TRBPWO, RELATO, DUMP, IOUTPR, and LOWLIF. TRBPWO contains the echo of the information in TRBPWD, the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on specific materials data, and the results of the simulation. RELATO contains the echo of the information in RELATD and the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on related materials data. The results of the materials characterization calculations are primarily given in DUMP. These calculations include point and interval estimates for S/N curve parameters *m* and *C*, posterior credibility ranges for *m*, and an estimate of the median S/N curve. File IOUTPR contains an echo of the analysis parameters and, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations. If the program terminates prematurely, an error message will be printed in the IOUTPR file. A list of error messages and possible remedies for the problems is given in *Section 6.2.6*. LOWLIF contains the first one percent of the lives of the simulated failure distribution.

## 6.2.3 Description of Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for TRBPWD and RELATD are presented in *Figures 6-7* and *6-2*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figure 6-7* are individually defined in *Section 6.2.3.1*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-2* and *6-7* are not necessarily those used in the appliction case study of *Section 3.3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files TRBPWD and RELATD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. However, it is recommended that the input format suggested in *Figure 6-7* be followed whenever possible.

#### 6.2.3.1 Input File TRBPWD

The required data for the TRBPWD file is divided into the four blocks shown in *Figure* 6-3: analysis parameters, driver information, load and geometry, and materials information. The analysis parameters block contains the analysis parameters and the keys to select the program options. The driver information block contains the parameters that define the driver distributions. The parametric sensitivity information, the stress concentration factors, and the nominal stresses are given in the load and geometry block. The materials information block contains the specific material S/N data, including the yield and ultimate strengths, stress ratio, the S/N data points, life region boundaries, and materials characterization model parameter constraints.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying dimension, load, and stress input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

675	Random number seed
0	Value of output dump controller
100	Inner loop size
200	Outer loop size
50	Symmetry number
2	Type of S/N variation
0	Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve
0	Controls materials process variation
5	Number of B-lives

Decimal equivalent of percentages for B-lives

0.0001 0.0005 0.001 0.005 0.01

 $\Delta \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$  two Beta distribution information

-200.	200.	0.50	0.50	0.0	0.0
200.	<b>500</b> .	0.00	0.00	10.	10.
0.95			_		

37592.		507.		Rotational speed Normal distribution information
0.80000		1.20000		Uniform distribution bounds for $\lambda_{Kd}$
0.95000		1.05000		Uniform distribution bounds for $\lambda_{K_f}$
1.41	2.18	159807.	38600.	$K_d, K_t, S_{M_o}$ (psi), $\omega_o$ (rpm)
1915.	0.91325		4.4435	S <sub>mo</sub> (psi), C <sub>mf</sub> , C <sub>m</sub> (psi/⁰F)
14749.	0.04	0.07	101.72	$S_{G_{o}}$ (psi), $C_{G1}$ , $C_{G2}$ , $C_{G}$ (psi/°F)

Description of specific material S/N data set

'PWA HPFTP 2ND TURBINE DISK'

Specific materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

00000. 198000. 1 9

Figure 6-7 Format for File TR8PWD

Specific materials information for each data division: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

9 -1.0 1		
160000.	600.	] <i>s</i> <sub>1</sub> , <i>N</i> <sub>1</sub>
160000.	<b>700</b> .	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>
160000.	1000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
140000.	4800.	S4, N4
130000.	3700.	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
130000.	4300.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
120000.	3800.	S7. N7
120000.	11000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
110000.	40000.	Sg, Ng
198000.		Stress tensile point
1 0		Number of life regions with and without data
500.		Life boundary of region 0
1.0E+36		Life boundary of region 1
0.00	•	C constraint
0 0.00	0.000	Prior information on <i>m</i>

0.00 0.00 0.00 Bayesian prior distribution information

\_ \_

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

0.00 0.00 Materials process variation information

Figure 6-7 Format for File TRBPWD (Cont'd)

\_ \_ \_ \_

#### **Analysis Parameters Block**

### RAND

[DRE]

## Random number seed

Needed by TRBPWA's built-in random number generator.

### IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

TRBPWA has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of TRBPWA's calculations.

- IOUT = 0 no intermediate calculation output
- **IOUT** = 10 materials characterization model calculations
- **IOUT** = 15 driver sampling and driver transformation calculations

#### NLIFE

[INT]

#### Inner loop number

Size of the inner loop of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. A positive value is required.

#### NHYPER

[INT]

#### Outer loop number

Size of the outer loop of the MC simulation. The program requires a positive value.

#### NSYM

[INT]

#### Symmetry number

The number of modeling units in the component. A positive value is required.

#### VARY

[INT]

#### Type of S/N variation<sup>27</sup>

Controls the type of stochastic variation to be included in the materials characterization model S/N curve.

$\mathbf{VARY} = 0$	no variation will be included
VARY = 1	allows only intrinsic materials variation
VARY = 2	allows Uniform variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation
VARY = 3	allows truncated Normal variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation

#### NMED

[INT]

# Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve<sup>28</sup>

If VARY = 3, then NMED controls the calculation of the empirical median S/N curve.

- NMED = 0 no median curve calculation is required
- NMED = 1 median curve calculation is required

#### MPROC

[INT]

#### Controls materials process variation

Controls the inclusion of materials process variation (heat-to-heat variation). Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

MPROC = 0 no variation to be included

MPROC = 1 variation is to be included

#### NBLIFE

[INT]

#### Number of B-lives

The number of B-lives to be provided from the simulated distribution of life. A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percentage; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%. **NBLIFE** must be non-negative and cannot exceed 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> A discussion of the possible stochastic specifications of the materials model shape parameter *m* is given in *Pages 2-13* through 2-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal distribution is discussed on Page 2-15.

BLFPER(1)	BLFPER(2)	BLFPER(NBLIFE)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### **B-life percentages**

The decimal equivalent of the percentages at which the B-lives are required; e.g., if the B.1 life is desired, then **BLFPER** = 0.001. A total of **NBLIFE** percentages must be provided. The percentage cannot exceed 50% (**BLFPER**  $\leq$  0.50).

#### **Driver Information Block**

<b>DELTA</b>	<b>DELTB</b>	<b>DELTR1</b>	<b>DELTR2</b>	<b>DELTT1</b>	<b>DELTT2</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]
DELTC	<b>DELTD</b>	<b>DELTR3</b>	<b>DELTR4</b>	<b>DELTT3</b>	<b>DELTT4</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

#### DELTE

[RE]

#### $\Delta T_f$ two Beta distribution information

 $\Delta T_f$  (°F) in Equation 2-95 is the deviation from the nominal coolant fluid temperature and is characterized by two Beta probability distributions. The first two lines are the two Beta distributions, one per line. See Section 2.1.3.1 and Equation 2-54 for defining parameters for setting up a Beta driver distribution. The Beta distribution format consists of six parameters. The first two parameters are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, for  $\Delta T_f$ . The next two parameters are the lower and upper bounds for the Uniform distribution on  $\rho$ . Similarly, the last two parameters describe the Uniform distribution on  $\theta$ . The third line is the decimal equivalent percentage weight for the first Beta distribution and must be between 0.00 and 1.00.

DELTA	$\Delta T_f$ lower bound of Beta distribution 1
DELTB	$\Delta T_{f}$ upper bound of Beta distribution 1
DELTR1	$ ho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of $\Delta T_f$
DELTR2	$\rho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of $\Delta T_f$
DELTT1	$\theta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 1 of $\Delta T_f$
DELTT2	$ heta$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 1 of $\Delta T_f$
DELTC	$\Delta T_{f}$ lower bound of Beta distribution 2

DELTD	$\Delta T_f$ upper bound of Beta distribution 2
DELTR3	$ ho$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 2 of $\Delta T_{ m f}$
DELTR4	$ ho$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 2 of $\Delta T_{f}$
DELTT3	$ heta$ Uniform distribution lower bound of Beta distribution 2 of $\Delta T_{ m f}$
DELTT4	$ heta$ Uniform distribution upper bound of Beta distribution 2 of $\Delta T_f$
DELTE	decimal equivalent percentage weight occurring in Beta distribution 1 of the deviation from nominal coolant fluid temperature, $\Delta T_f$

#### SPDMU SPDSIG

[RE] [RE]

#### Rotational speed Normal distribution information

The rotational speed variation is characterized by a Normal( $\mu$ ,  $\sigma^2$ ) distribution. The mean  $\mu$  is equal to the expected operating speed of the turbopump, and the standard deviation  $\sigma$  is obtained from the engine performance balance. Both the mean and standard deviation are in rpm.

SPDMU	mean $\mu$ of Normally distributed speed
SPDSIG	standard deviation $\sigma$ of Normally distributed speed

#### LAMKDA LAMKDB

[RE] [RE]

 $\frac{K_d}{\lambda_{K_d}}$  accuracy factor Uniform distribution information  $\frac{1}{\lambda_{K_d}}$  in Equation 2-103. This is the  $K_d$  accuracy factor, and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

K<sub>d</sub> accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound LAMKDA

 $K_d$  accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound LAMKDB

#### LAMKTA LAMKTB

[RE] [RE]

 $K_t$  accuracy factor Uniform distribution information

 $\overline{\lambda_{K_t}}$  in Equation 2-103. This is the  $K_t$  accuracy factor, and it is characterized by a Uniform distribution.

LAMKTA K<sub>t</sub> accuracy factor Uniform distribution lower bound

LAMKTB K<sub>f</sub> accuracy factor Uniform distribution upper bound

#### Load and Geometry Block

KD	КТ	SMM	REFSPD
(RF)	[RF]	(RE)	[RE]

Stress concentration factors and parametric sensitivity analysis information for the mechanical stress

The line contains the two stress concentration factors from the engineering analysis:  $K_d$  in Equation 2-102 is the adjustment factor for the 2-D analyses;  $K_t$  in Equation 2-92 is the local stress concentration factor;  $S_{M_o}$  (psi) in Equation 2-94 is the nominal mechanical stress due to rotor speed effects only; and  $\omega_o$  is the nominal or reference speed (rpm) corresponding to all nominal stress values.

STM	CMF	СМ
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

# Parametric sensitivity analysis information for the thermal stress due to metal temperature

The line contains  $S_{m_o}$  (psi) in Equation 2-97, the nominal stress due to metal temperature only (at the nominal speed and nominal coolant fluid temperature);  $C_{mf}$  in Equation 2-95, the sensitivity of metal temperature to deviation from the nominal coolant fluid temperature; and  $C_m$  (psi/°F) in Equation 2-97, the sensitivity of stress to variation of metal temperature  $\Delta T_m$  in Equation 2-95 due to deviation from nominal coolant fluid temperature.

SG	CG1	CG2	CG	
(RE)	(RE)	(RE)	[RE]	

# Parametric sensitivity analysis information for the thermal stress due to thermal gradient

The line contains  $S_{G_o}$  (psi) in Equation 2-98, the nominal stress due to the thermal gradient only (at the nominal speed, nominal coolant fluid temperature, and nominal thermal gradient);  $C_{G1}$  and  $C_{G2}$  in Equation 2-96 are coefficients characterizing the sensitivity of the thermal gradient to deviation from nominal coolant fluid temperature; and  $C_G$  (psi/°F) in Equation 2-98 is the sensitivity of stress to variation of thermal gradient due to deviation from nominal coolant fluid temperature.

#### **Materials Information Block**

#### DESCRP(0) [CHR]

#### Description of specific material S/N data set

Name and test environment for the specific material S/N data. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(0)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

#### Specific materials information

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders. **NPTS(0)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for the specific material data set
NPTS(0)	total number of points in the specific material S/N data set

#### NUM RATIO REG

[INT] [RE] [INT]

Materials information for each data division of the specific S/N data set Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

RAWSTR(I,0) RAWNF(I,0) [RE] [RE]

#### Specific material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

RAWSTR(I,0) stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,0)** fatigue life value (cycles)

#### SZERO

[RE]

Tensile point<sup>29</sup>

Stress tensile point  $S_o$  (psi). Must be non-negative. A value of zero indicates no tensile point.

#### NUMREG NNODAT

[INT] [INT]

Data regions<sup>30</sup>

Number of life regions that are data-determined and not data-determined. **NUMREG** + **NNODAT** cannot exceed three. **NUMREG** must be 1, 2, or 3, and **NNODAT** must be non-negative, and should be 0 or 1.

NUMREG number of life regions determined by data

NNODAT number of life regions (to the right) not determined by data

NBND(L)

[RE]

### Life Boundaries<sup>31</sup>

The upper boundaries of the life regions are specified (cycles). The value of L goes from **ZROREG** to the total number of regions (equal to **NUMREG** + **NNODAT**). If a non-zero tensile point is specified, then **ZROREG** = 0 else **ZROREG** = 1. The program expects the upper bound of the last life region to be  $10^{36}$ , a proxy for  $\infty$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the right is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Life region boundaries are discussed on Page 2-15.

#### CZERO [RE]

# Prior information on coefficient of variation of fatigue strength<sup>32</sup>

Information in the form of a constraint on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength C for the specific material S/N data set. Value must be non-negative and a value of zero indicates that CZERO is not in use.

# MPNT(L) MZERO(1,L) MZERO(2,L) [INT] [RE] [RE]

Prior information on the materials shape parameter  $m^{33}$ 

The number of MZERO values in each life region, and the lower and upper bound for the range of m. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT). If VARY = 3 is specified (truncated Normal distribution on m), then a prior range of m must be specified for each region.

- **MPNT(L)** The number of points, 0, 1, or 2 (no prior on *m*, a point prior on *m*, or a prior over a range of *m*, respectively), in **MZERO()** for each region.
- MZERO(1,L) The lower bound on the range of *m* or the value of the point prior for *m*.
- MZERO(2,L) The upper bound on the range of *m*. Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for *m* is specified.

#### DELTA(L) MO(L) SIGMA2(L) [RE] [RE] [RE]

Information on the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal distribution<sup>34</sup> If VARY = 3, then the materials model uses the truncated Normal distribution. The truncated Normal distribution requires some prior information on the Normal distribution parameters because a Bayesian analysis is performed. The information is required for each life region. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT).

- **DELTA(L)** The shape parameter  $\delta$  of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution.
  - <sup>32</sup> The implicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is discussed on *Pages 2-12* through 2-13.
  - <sup>33</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.
  - 34 Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-14.

- MO(L) Location parameter  $m_o$  of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape parameter m. Must be positive. Required when DELTA(L) is non-zero.
- SIGMA2(L)  $\sigma^2$ , the known variance of ln(*fatigure life*), V (ln N | ln S). Must be non-negative.

#### KRATIO LAMN

[RE] [RE]

#### Materials process variation information

If **MPROC** = 1, then specification of **KRATIO** and **LAMN** is required. **KRATIO** is  $\lambda_{K'}^*$ , the ratio *MED*  $K^*$ /*MED* K where *MED*  $K^*$  is the median value over all heats for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle, and *MED* K is the median value for the specific S/N data for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle. **LAMN** is the ratio of the variance of ln(*life*) conditional on stress over all heats to the intrinsic materials variation for the given S/N data conditional on stress. Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

#### 6.2.3.2 Input File RELATD

The input data for file RELATD, which contains the related materials information,<sup>35</sup> is given below. The data format is similar to that used to specify the S/N data in the specific materials information block in the TRBPWD file.

#### NSETS

[INT]

#### Number of related data sets

Number of related material S/N data sets. The following data groups have to be repeated as a block for each data set. The value of **J** varies from 1 to **NSETS**. If there is no related data, then file RELATD will only contain the number "0". **NSETS** cannot exceed five.

#### DESCRP(J)

[CHR]

#### Description of related material S/N data set

Name and test environment for related material S/N data set **J**. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.

## FTY FTU NDIV NPTS(J)

[RE] [RE] [INT] [INT]

#### **Related materials information**

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders. **NPTS(J)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for related material data set J
NPTS(J)	total number of points in related material S/N data set J

NUM	RATIO	REG
[INT]	[RE]	[INT]

Materials information for each data division of the related S/N data set

Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- **RATIO** stress ratio for the data in the data division
- **REG** life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

RAWSTR(I,J) RAWNF(I,J)

#### Related material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

**RAWSTR(I,J)** stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,J)** fatigue life value (cycles)

## 6.2.4 Options and Capabilities

TRBPWA is a Monte Carlo simulation program which generates a sequence of component lives for a particular failure mode, where life is defined as the accumulated operating time at failure. The simulation has a double-loop structure with **NHYPER** outer loops and **NLIFE** inner loops. The simulation size is dependent on the failure probability at which a life estimate is desired and the precision desired. For the ATD Disk application, single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 20,000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used to characterize component reliability, and single-loop runs with **NHYPER** = 1000 and **NLIFE** = 1 were used for the marginal analysis to assess the importance of drivers.

During a run, it may be desirable to "hold" a driver at a *fixed value*. This may be the nominal or median value of the driver. This is done for drivers with a Beta or a Uniform distribution by merely specifying both the upper and lower bounds to be the desired value. For drivers with a Normal distribution, the standard deviation  $\sigma$  is set at zero, and the mean  $\mu$  is set at the desired value.

The procedure of holding certain drivers at fixed values while letting the other drivers vary according to their probability distributions may be used for driver variation *sensitivity studies*. That is, the effect on life of driver variation may be evaluated by letting it vary while holding other drivers at fixed values. Each driver variation sensitivity was determined in the case studies of this report with the intrinsic variation of the fatigue life of the material included (VARY = 1).

A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the simulation size is large since the information will be dumped during every simulation loop. The **NMED** option provides for calculation of an empirical median S/N curve if the truncated Normal distribution is employed.<sup>36</sup> In this case, the median S/N curve is based on the empirical median *m* from all the shape parameters used in the simulation. The **MPROC** option activates the calculations for the process variation feature of the materials characterization model, as discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> The truncated Normal distribution for the materials model shape parameter m is discussed on Page 2-14.

## 6.2.5 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the LCF analysis code for the ATD-HPFTP second stage turbine disk was carried out with random variation of all drivers. In this example run, 20,000 lives were simulated (NLIFE = 1 times NHYPER = 20,000) by using Uniform shape parameter variation, VARY = 2 and NMED = 0; no materials process variation, MPROC = 0, and a symmetry number of NSYM = 50. The B-lives<sup>37</sup> to be provided are B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, B.6, B.7, B.8, B.9, and B1 (NBLIFE = 10, BLFPER(1) = 0.001, BLFPER(2) = 0.002, BLFPER(3) = 0.003, BLFPER(4) = 0.004, BLFPER(5) = 0.005, BLFPER(6) = 0.006, BLFPER(7) = 0.007, BLFPER(8) = 0.008, BLFPER(9) = 0.009, BLFPER(10) = 0.01). The user may refer to Section 2.2.2 for additional information on the engineering analysis and to Section 3.3 for the results of the case study for this component.

The drivers for LCF failure of the disk are as follows:

DRIVER	DISTRIBUTION
1. Δ <i>T<sub>f</sub></i>	Two Betas
2. ω	Normal
3. λ <sub>κ.</sub>	Uniform
4. λ <sub>K</sub>	Uniform

The rationale for the specification of the driver distributions is given in Section 3.3.2.

The materials information consists of nine S/N data points, NUM = 9. The S/N data has a stress ratio of 0.05, but no stress ratio correction is required for use with the driver transformation so a stress **RATIO** of -1.0 has been indicated. The number of regions with data, **NUMREG**, is 1, and there are no regions to the right without data, **NNODAT** = 0. The data is in one division, **NDIV** = 1, and the total number of points is nine, **NPTS(0)** = 9. No related data is provided. Thus, the **RELATD** file is empty, except for a single entry to indicate **NSETS** = 0. No stress tensile point is used, **SZERO** = 0, so only one life region upper boundary must be defined, **NBND(0)** = 1.0E36. If further explanation of file TRBPWD is required, refer to Section 6.2.3.1 and *Figure* 6-7.

The echo of the input data is in the output file TRBPWO. The simulated B-lives are also given for the component. For instance, the B.1 life is 121 cycles. The IOUTPR file gives an echo of the analysis parameters. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

therefore, no other output is in this file. The LOWLIF file contains the lowest one percent of the 20,000 simulation lives. Finally, the DUMP file contains the results of the materials characterization model information aggregation calculations.<sup>38</sup>

#### Input File - TRBPWD

675					
0				•	
1					
20000					
50					
2					
0					
0					
10					
0.001					
0.002					
0.003					
0.004					
0.005					
0.006					
0.007					
0.008					
0.009					
0.010					
-200.	200.	0.50	0.50	0.0	0.0
200.	500.	0.00	0.00	10.0	10.0
0.95					
37592.	507.				
0.80000	1.20	0000			
0.95000	1.05	5000			
1.41 2	.18	159807.	386	00.	
1915.	0.9132	25 4.	4435		
14749.	0.04	0.07	101.	72	
'PWA HPF	TP 2ND	TURBIN	E DISK	'	
00000.	198000	• 1	9		
9 -1.	.U I	-			
160000.	030	· ·			
160000.	101	/•			
140000.	1013	<b>*.</b>			
120000.	4/4.	3.			
130000.	3524	<b>.</b>			
120000	410. 37 <i>44</i>	2. 2			
120000.	3/43	<b>7</b> •			
110000.	2060	<b>.</b>			
TT0000*	32001				

<sup>38</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on *Pages 2-6* through 2-14.

0. 1 0 1.0E+36 0.00 0 0.000 0.000

#### Input File - RELATD

0

### **Output File - TRBPWO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

#### INPUT DATA

DRIVERS		PARAMETER DISTRIBUTIONS			
		RHO	THETA		
DELTA TÍ	Be(-200.0, 200.0) Be( 200.0, 500.0) TEST = 0.95	U(0.50000, 0.50000) U(0.00000, 0.00000)	U( 0.0, 0.0) U(10.0, 10.0)		
SPEED (RPM)	NORMAL: MEAN = 375	92. STAND. DEV. =	507.		
lambda kd	U( 0.80000, 1.20000	))			
LAMBDA Kt	U( 0.95000, 1.05000	))			

#### OTHER LOADS INPUT

STRESS ADJUSTMENT, Kd	1.410
STRESS CONCENTRATION, Kt	2.180
MECHANICAL STRESS (PSI)	159807.0
ROTATIONAL SPEED (RPM)	38600.

STRESS DUE TO METAL TEMPERATURE (PSI)	1915.0
SENSITIVITY OF METAL TEMPERATURE TO DELTA TF	0.91325
SENSITIVITY OF STRESS DUE TO Tmetal (PSI/F)	4.44
STRESS DUE TO THERMAL GRADIENT (PSI)	14749.0
SENSITIVITY OF THERMAL GRADIENT TO DELTA TE	
FOR DELTA Tf $< 0$	0.040
FOR DELTA Tf $\succ$ 0	0.070
SENSITIVITY OF STRESS DUE TO THERM. GRAD. (PSI/F)	101.72

MATERIAL INPUT

DESCRIPTION: PWA HPFTP 2ND TURBINE DISK

YIELD STRENGTH	0.00000E+00
ULTIMATE STRENGTH	0.19800E+06
NUMBER OF POINTS	. 9

ORIGINAL S/N		STRESS		TRANSFORMED	S/N
STRESS	LIFE	RATIO	REGION	STRESS	LIFE
0.16000E+06	636.	-1.00	1	0.16000E+06	636.
0.16000E+06	677.	-1.00	1	0.16000E+06	677.
0.16000E+06	1019.	-1.00	1	0.16000E+06	1019.
0.14000E+06	4743.	-1.00	1	0.14000E+06	4743.
0.13000E+06	3824.	-1.00	1	0.13000E+06	3824.
0.13000E+06	4163.	-1.00	1	0.13000E+06	4163.
0.12000E+06	3749.	-1.00	1	0.12000E+06	3749.
0.12000E+06	11349.	-1.00	1	0.12000E+06	11349.
0.11000E+06	39600.	-1.00	1	0.11000E+06	39600.

THERE IS 1 REGION(S) WITH DATA AND 0 REGION(S) TO THE RIGHT WITHOUT DATA THE UPPER BOUND(S) OF THE REGION(S) ARE (CYCLES):

#### 0.100E+37

EXOGENOUS INFORMATION

CONSTRAINT ON COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION, C: 0.0000

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON m FOR EACH REGION:

REGION	# OF POINTS	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	0	0.0000	0.0000

B LIVES:	EMPIRICAL
0.00100	0.121108E+03
0.00200	0.155309E+03
0.00300	0.180471E+03
0.00400	0.200357E+03
0.00500	0.214710E+03
0.00600	0.230961E+03
0.00700	0.251356E+03
0.00800	0.263503E+03
0.00900	0.281120E+03
0.01000	0.288462E+03
0.50000	0.411175E+04

### **Output File - RELATO**

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

NOTE: ALL Kt ASSUMED TO BE 1.0

TRANSFORMED DATA

#### **Output File - DUMP**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

.

RESULTS OF INFORMATION AGGREGATION CALCULATIONS

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON C AND m FOR EACH REGION

<b>REGION:</b>	1	IO = 0	(	0.036692030	, (	0.112948100)
		Jo = (	(	5.734418000	, 1	1.972310000)

.

POINT ESTIMATES OF C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	E(C)	E(M)
1	0.055495330	8.853366

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	5.7344	11.9723

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

.

NUMBER	OF REGIONS:	1 F	(BETAO)	= 22.9860	E(k) = 12.733	18
REGION	m	•	K	LIFE BOUND	STRESS	BOUND
1	8.85337	0.34	214E+06	0.100E+37	0.000	)00E+00

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

RANDOM NUMBER SEED =	675.000000000000
IOUT (MATCHR = 10, TRBPWA = 15) =	0
INNER LOOP SIZE =	1
OUTER LOOP SIZE =	20000
SYMMETRY NUMBER =	50
TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED =	2
NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE (0 - NO, 1 - YES) =	0
MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED	
(0 - NO, 1 - YES) =	0

٠

# Output File - LOWLIF

1	0.500000E-04	15.2292
2	0.100000E-03	30.8418
3	0.150000E-03	34.1021
4	0.200000E-03	.39.0600
5	0.250000E-03	51.4226
6	0.300000E-03	53.2745
7	0.350000E-03	58.0043
8	0.400000E-03	65.5637
9	0.450000E-03	71.9857
10	0.500000E-03	75.1110
11	0.550000E-03	75.8070
12	0.600000E-03	89.4144
13	0.650000E-03	103.456
14	0.700000E-03	104.278
15	0.750000E-03	105.559
16	0.800000E-03	107.647
17	0.850000E-03	107.784
18	0.900000E-03	114.712
19	0.950000E-03	116.542
20	0.100000E-02	121.108
21	0.105000E-02	124.069
22	0.110000E-02	124.429
23	0.115000E-02	124.546
24	0.120000E-02	129.185
25	0.125000E-02	131.056
26	0.130000E-02	132.799
27	0.135000E-02	133.245
28	0.140000E-02	133.803
29	0.145000E-02	134.375
30	0.150000E-02	136.029
31	0.155000E-02	136.142
32	0.160000E-02	146.670
33	0.165000E-02	149.321
34	0.170000E-02	149.350
35	0.175000E = 02	143.313
36	0.180000E-02	152.232
37	0.185000E-02	152.343
38	0.1900008-02	152.555
39	0.195000E-02	155 309
40	0.2000000000002	155.505
41		156 999
42	0.2100005-02	157 210
43	0.2130005-02	159 105
44		150.100
45	0.2250008-02	T20+270

-----

.

46	0.230000E-02	159.245
47	0.235000E-02	160.929
48	0.240000E-02	161.168
49	0.245000E-02	163.429
50	0.250000E-02	164.862
51	0.255000E-02	166.070
52	0.260000E-02	168.230
53	0.265000E-02	169.043
54	0.270000E-02	169.055
55	0.275000E-02	174.029
56	0.280000E-02	175.739
57	0.285000E-02	176.429
58	0.290000E-02	176.966
59	0.295000E-02	178.398
60	0.300000E-02	180.471
61	0.305000E-02	180.738
62	0.310000E-02	181.203
63	0.315000E-02	181.368
64	0.320000E-02	182.887
65	0.325000E-02	184.505
66	0.330000E-02	184.510
67	0.335000E-02	184.919
68	0.340000E-02	185.591
69	0.345000E-02	185.607
70	0.350000E-02	186.154
71	0.355000E-02	191.204
72	0.360000E-02	191.649
73	0.365000E-02	193.389
74	0.370000E-02	195.028
75	0.375000E-02	195.336
76	0.380000E-02	197.925
77	0.385000E-02	198.473
78	0.390000E-02	199.333
79	0.395000E-02	199.871
80	0.400000E-02	200.357
81	0.405000E-02	203.074
82	0.410000E-02	206.303
83	0.415000E-02	207.010
84	0.420000E-02	207.449
85	0.425000E-02	207.492
86	0.430000E-02	207.952
87	0.435000E-02	208.420
88	0.440000E-02	209.027
89	0.445000E-02	209.282
90	0.450000E-02	209.696
91	0.455000E-02	210.907
92	0.460000E-02	211.559
93	0.465000E-02	211.829
94	0.470000E-02	212.364
95	0.475000E-02	212.551

•

.

96	0.480000E-02	213.054
97	0.485000E-02	213.175
98	0.490000E-02	214.526
99	0.495000E-02	214.554
100	0.500000E-02	214.710
101	0.505000E-02	215.661
102	0.510000E-02	215.751
103	0.515000E-02	216.391
104	0.520000E-02	216.600
105	0.525000E-02	217.116
106	0.530000E-02	217.569
107	0.535000E-02	217.849
108	0.540000E-02	219.640
109	0.545000E-02	219.643
110	0.550000E-02	220.485
111	0.555000E-02	221.658
112	0.560000E-02	222.904
113	0.565000E-02	223.053
114	0.570000E-02	224.775
115	0.575000E-02	225.854
116	0.580000E-02	227.973
117	0.585000E-02	228.482
118	0.590000E-02	228.934
119	0.595000E-02	230.314
120	0.600000E-02	230.961
121	0.605000E-02	231.353
122	0.610000E-02	232.023
123	0.615000E-02	232.187
124	0.620000E-02	234.333
125	0.625000E-02	234.820
126	0.630000E-02	236.077
127	0.635000E-02	236.604
128	0.640000E-02	23/.6/1
129	0.645000E-02	238.349
130	0.650000E-02	239.209
131	0.655000E-02	239.907
132	0.660000E-02	240.050
133	0.665000E-02	242.420
134	0.670000E-02	244.003
135	0.6/50008-02	245.703
130	0.680000E-02	240.040
137	0.0000000000	250.122
130	0.6900002-02	251.327
140	0.0990000-02	251.356
140	0.7050000-02	251.544
141	0.7000000-02	252.696
142	0.7150008-02	252.731
143	0.720000E-02	252.826
145	0.725000E-02	254.045
747		

.

.

,

146	0.730000E-02	255.588
147	0.735000E-02	255.936
148	0.740000E-02	258.845
149	0.745000E-02	259.559
150	0.750000E-02	259.613
151	0.755000E-02	260.046
152	0.760000E-02	260.628
153	0.765000E-02	261.008
154	0.770000E-02	261.185
155	0.775000E-02	261.531
156	0.780000E-02	262.128
157	0.785000E-02	262.237
158	0.790000E-02	262.727
159	0.795000E-02	263.178
160	0.800000E-02	263.503
161	0.805000E-02	264.066
162	0.810000E-02	264.301
163	0.815000E-02	264.491
164	0.820000E-02	264.728
165	0.825000E-02	265.586
166	0.830000E-02	269.652
167	0.835000E-02	271.928
168	0.840000E-02	272.150
169	0.845000E-02	273.784
170	0.850000E-02	274.667
171	0.855000E-02	274.995
172	0.860000E-02	275.158
173	0.865000E-02	278.007
174	0.870000E-02	279.032
175	0.875000E-02	280.049
176	0.880000E-02	280.089
177	0.885000E-02	280.380
178	0.890000E-02	280.779
179	0.895000E-02	280.885
180	0.90000E-02	281.120
181	0.905000E-02	281.155
182	0.910000E-02	281.284
183	0.915000E-02	281.394
184	0.920000E-02	281.707
185	0.925000E-02	283.283
186	0.930000E-02	283.324
187	0.935000E-02	283.371
188	0.940000E-02	283.556
189	0.945000E-02	284.575
190	0.950000E-02	284.579
191	0.955000E-02	284.692
192	0.960000E-02	284.880
193	0.965000E-02	285.136
194	0.970000E-02	285.480
195	0.975000E-02	285.854

.

.

196	0.980000E-02	285.907
197	0.985000E-02	286.616
198	0.990000E-02	287.837
199	0.995000E-02	288.408
200	0.100000E-01	288.462

## 6.2.6 Error Messages and Possible Remedies

The following messages, when applicable, will appear in file IOUTPR. These messages are primarily generated by the materials characterization model (MATCHR) portion of TRBPWA. An error message stating that a limit has been exceeded will require that the user increase those limits, as directed, and reviewing or consulting *Section 7.3.1.3* is desirable. The messages are listed in alphabetical order for the convenience of the user.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTA OR VALUE OF MO INCONSISTENT WITH DELTA IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta$  was specified, but the value of  $m_o$  is not positive. Check file TRBPWD.

#### ERROR: Co TOO LOW

*Fatal* The constraint,  $C_o$ , imposed on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is inconsistent with the observed S/N data.

ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON DEGREES OF FREEDOM IN CHI-SQUARE TABLE, IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* As implemented, the credibility interval calculations can handle no more than 150 degrees of freedom, and the amount of data in the region indicated requires more. The  $\chi^2$  tables of routine INTRVL must be increased. See Sections 4.1.3.6 and 7.3.1.3 for more information.

### ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON NUMBER OF REGIONS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model can handle no more than 3 life regions. Check file TRBPWD because the sum of the number of regions with data and the number of regions without data is greater than 3.

ERROR: INVALID RESPONSE TO NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE QUESTION *Fatal* NMED can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.
ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* MPROC can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED

*Fatal* **VARY** can only have the integer value 0, 1, 2, or 3. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID VALUE FOR RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for the specific material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file TRBPWD.

ERROR: INVALID VALUE OF RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for a related material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file RELATD.

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MC

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MO

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN Jo, Mo, AND Mc

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN MO AND MC

Fatal These errors indicate that the specified C constraint and/or prior credibility range on m do not agree with each other and/or the observed S/N data.

ERROR: NORMAL VARIATION REQUIRES A PRIOR RANGE ON M

Fatal The truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model requires a prior range on m. The number of points for the prior range on m has been incorrectly specified. Check file TRBPWD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 1 or 2.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SET 'J' *Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in one of the related S/N data sets. Check file RELATD to compare for each related data set the total number of points declared with the sum of the numbers of points in each data division.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in the specific S/N data set. Check file TRBPWD, since the total number of points in the specific data set declared and the sum of the numbers of points in each data division do not agree.

ERROR: OVERALL PRIOR RANGE INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN REGION WITHOUT DATA

*Fatal* The prior credibility range on m in one of the regions without data has been incorrectly specified. Check file TRBPWD to verify that either more regions without data have been indicated than intended or that the number of points in the prior on m in a region without data has been incorrectly specified. Only the integer value 0, 1, or 2 is acceptable.

ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF POINTS IN SET 'J'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for the total number of points in each related data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. It is suggested that the number of S/N data points in each related set be recounted. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF RELATED DATA SETS

*Fatal* The materials characterization model allows up to 5 related data sets. Check file RELATD to determine if more than 5 related data sets were specified. The parameter **MAXSET** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER NUMBER OF POINTS LIMIT IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL

*Fatal* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in the specific material data set. Check file TRBPWD for the total number of points in the specific data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN RELATED MATERIAL 'J'

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file RELATD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value in the data set indicated.

#### ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file TRBPWD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH POINT POSTERIOR IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the point posterior value of m in region 'L–1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range in region 'L'. This error indicates a violation of the concavity assumption.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal* Check file DUMP to verify that the lower bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L-1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L'. The data should be checked for consistency.

ERROR: PRIOR ON M INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN 'L'

*Fatal* The number of points for the specified prior range of *m* in the indicated region has been incorrectly provided. Check file TRBPWD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 0, 1, or 2.

#### ERROR: SXY > = 0 IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* During the linear regression calculations for the region indicated, the resulting value of the sample covariance  $S_{xy}$  was found to be non-negative. This suggests that the data is specified erroneously or is inadequate for analysis, since life increasing with increasing stress contradicts the true fatigue behavior of materials.

#### ERROR: TOO FEW POINTS FOR REGRESSION IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model does not have the required minimum number of points in the region indicated to perform a linear regression. If there are no related data sets, then there must be at least 3 points in each region. If there are N related data sets, then the total number of points in each region (specific and related combined) must be at least N + 3.

#### IMPOSSIBLE M RANGE IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* Concavity constraints during the random *m* selection have required an impossible range on *m* for the region indicated. Take note of all input

parameters for this run, and consult Sections 4.1.5.1, 4.1.5.2, and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

NOTE: E(m) IS NOT IN THE POSTERIOR RANGE ON m IN REGION 'L' Warning This means that the estimate of *m* based on the S/N data only, in the region indicated, is outside the range indicated by the specified constraints on *m* and *C*.

#### PROCESS EXECUTION TERMINATED

*Fatal* This message is produced by routine TRMNAT and follows all other fatal messages.

# 6.2.7 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### Input Files

#### TRBPWD

This file is opened in TRBPWA. It contains all parameters for the run options; driver distributions; values for nominal stresses and their associated parametric sensitivity coefficients; and the specific and exogenous materials input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, life (cycles) boundaries, region information, coefficient of variation constraint, C, and prior ranges on the materials shape parameter m for each region.

#### RELATD

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the related material data input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, and region information.

#### **Output Files**

#### TRBPWO

This file is opened in TRBPWA. It contains the echo of the information contained in TRBPWD, and provides the simulated failure distribution B-life information.<sup>39</sup>

#### RELATO

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG. It contains the echo of the information contained in RELATD.

39 A B-life is the value of accumulated operating time to failure at a failure probability specified as a percent; e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

#### DUMP

This file is opened in TRBPWA. It contains the results of the information aggregation portion of the materials model calculations, such as  $I_o$  and  $J_o$ ; the point estimates of m and C; posterior credibility ranges for m; and a list of the estimated values for all S/N curve parameters. See Section 4.1.

#### IOUTPR

This file is opened in TRBPWA. It contains information on the particular run that is not echoed to TRBPWO and the data dump provided when the variable **IOUT** is equal to 10 (materials characterization calculations), or 15 (Monte Carlo simulation and driver transformation calculations).

#### LOWLIF

This file is opened in TRBPWA. It contains the first one percent of the calculated lives used by the software described in Section 4.2 to calculate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\theta$ , the parameters of the Bayesian prior failure distribution.

# Section 6.3

# Materials Characterization User's Guide

The user's guide for running the materials characterization model code MATCHR is given here. The materials characterization model is discussed in *Section 2.1.2*, the program description and flowcharts are presented in *Section 4.1*, and the code structure and listing are provided in *Section 7.3*.

#### 6.3.1 MATCHR Program

The MATCHR program is used to facilitate the characterization of a materials data set before performing probabilistic failure modeling. The output of MATCHR includes point and interval estimates of various S/N curve parameters and posterior credibility ranges for *m*.

The application case studies of *Section 3* used the stress formulation of the materials characterization model. The strain formulation is included here for completeness.

#### 6.3.2 How To Use the Stress Formulation Option of Program MATCHR

The program MATCHR is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. MATCHR requires *two input data files*: SPECFD and RELATD. The program requires both files for all runs, *even when no related S/N data* is used. The file SPECFD contains the analysis control parameters and specific and exogenous materials information. The file RELATD contains the related materials information. A complete description of the input data for the SPECFD and RELATD data files is given in Section 6.3.3.

The results from the MATCHR program are written to *four output files*: SPECFO, RELATO, DUMP, and IOUTPR. SPECFO contains the echo of the information in SPECFD and the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on specific materials data. RELATO contains the echo of the information in RELATD and the results of any stress ratio transformations performed on related materials data. The results of the materials characterization calculations are primarily given in DUMP. These calculations include point and interval estimates for S/N curve parameters *m* and *C*, posterior credibility ranges for *m*, and an estimate of the median S/N curve. File IOUTPR contains an echo of the analysis parameters, the randomly selected S/N curve, the resulting life at the user-provided stress level and, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations. If the program terminates prematurely, an error message will be printed in the IOUTPR file. A list of error messages and possible remedies for the problems is given in *Section 6.3.10*.

6 - 117

# 6.3.3 Description of the Stress Formulation Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for SPECFD and RELATD are presented in *Figures 6-8* and *6-9*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-8* and *6-9* are individually defined in *Sections 6.3.3.1* and *6.3.3.2*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-8* and *6-9* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files SPECFD and RELATD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. However, it is recommended that the input format suggested in *Figures 6-8* and 6-9 be followed whenever possible.

#### 6.3.3.1 Input File SPECFD

The required data for the SPECFD file is divided into the two blocks shown in *Figure* 6-10: analysis parameters and materials information. The analysis parameters block contains the analysis parameters and the keys to select the program options. The materials information block contains the specific material S/N data, including the yield and ultimate strengths, stress ratio, the S/N data points, life region boundaries, and materials characterization model parameter constraints.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying dimension, load, and stress input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

#### **Analysis Parameters Block**

# RAND

#### Random number seed

Needed by MATCHR's built-in random number generator.

675 Random number seed

- 0 Output dump controller
- 1 Stress formulation is to be used
- 2 Type of S/N variation
- 0 Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve
- 0 Controls materials process variation
- 75000. Value of stress used in life calculation

Description of specific material S/N data set

#### '-320 HOURGLASS + STRAIGHT'

Specific materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

#### 178600. 220400. 1 20

Specific materials information for each data division: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

20 0.05 1

Figure 6-8 Format for File SPECFD

150000. 65000.	S <sub>1</sub> , N <sub>1</sub>		
140000. 261000.	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>		
120000. 265000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>		
160000. 377000.	S4, N4		
130000. 694000.	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>		
110000. 2175000.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>		
100000. 4198000.	S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>		
105000. 5053000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>		
92000. 9210000.	Sg, Ng		
95000. 9667000.	S <sub>10</sub> , N <sub>10</sub>		
150000. 418000.	S <sub>11</sub> , N <sub>11</sub>		
140000. 732000.	S <sub>12</sub> , N <sub>12</sub>		
130000. 740000.	S <sub>13</sub> , N <sub>13</sub>		
120000. 859000.	S <sub>14</sub> , N <sub>14</sub>		
110000. 1181000.	S <sub>15</sub> , N <sub>15</sub>		
100000. 4020000.	S <sub>16</sub> , N <sub>16</sub>		
92000. 5917000.	S <sub>17</sub> , N <sub>17</sub>		
94000. 6522000.	S <sub>18</sub> , N <sub>18</sub>		
90000. 6891000.	S <sub>19</sub> , N <sub>19</sub>		
86000. 4460000.	S <sub>20</sub> , N <sub>20</sub>		
0.00	Stress tensile point		
1 0	Number of life regions with and without data		
1.0E + 36			
0.00	C constraint Prior information on <i>m</i>		
2 3.590 5.874			
	weeken prior distribution information		
0.0 0.0 Dayesian phot distribution methodiate			
	a sub-second state information		
0.0 0.0 Material	s process variation information		

Figure 6-8 Format for File SPECFD (Cont'd)

.

1 Number of related data sets

Description of related material S/N data set

'TITANIUM, -423F, 0.14 Fe'

Related materials information: yield and ultimate strengths, number of data divisions, and total number of points in data set

201700. 215300. 2 10

Related materials information for data division 1: number of points in data division, stress ratio, and life region

4 0.10	1	
140000.	38000.	ן s <sub>1</sub> , N <sub>1</sub>
130000.	30000.	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub>
130000.	713000.	S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
130000.	310000.	S <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>4</sub>
6 0.10	2	Number of points in division 2, stress ratio, region
120000.	72000.	S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
110000.	3224000.	S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
100000.	910000.	S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>
100000.	3230000.	S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
120000.	665000.	S <sub>9</sub> , N <sub>9</sub>
110000.	56000.	S <sub>10</sub> , N <sub>10</sub>

Figure 6-9 Format for File RELATD



Figure 6-10 Data Blocks for Input File

#### 

#### Output dump controller

MATCHR has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of MATCHR's calculations.

<b>IOUT</b> = 0	no intermediate calculation output
<b>IOUT</b> = 10	materials characterization model calculations

#### **NCOMPS**

[INT]

### Controls materials characterization formulation

MATCHR has the ability to produce stochastic realizations of both stress/life and strain/life curves. The materials information block described below depends on the value of **NCOMPS** chosen. This section describes the **NCOMPS** = 1 case.

**NCOMPS** = 1 stress formulation

NCOMPS = 2 strain formulation

## VARY

[INT]

Type of S/N variation<sup>40</sup>

Controls the type of stochastic variation to be included in the materials characterization model S/N curve.

$\mathbf{VARY} = 0$	no variation will be included
VARY = 1	allows only intrinsic materials variation
VARY = 2	allows Uniform variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation
VARY = 3	allows truncated Normal variation of the materials model shape parameter <i>m</i> and intrinsic materials variation

NMED

[uzi]

<sup>40</sup> A discussion of the possible stochastic specifications of the materials model shape parameter *m* is given in *Pages 2-13* through 2-14.

## Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve<sup>41</sup>

If VARY = 3, then NMED controls the calculation of the empirical median S/N curve.

- **NMED** = 0 no median curve calculation is required
- **NMED** = 1 median curve calculation is required

#### MPROC

[INT]

#### Controls materials process variation

Controls the inclusion of materials process variation (heat-to-heat variation). Process variation in materials is discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

- MPROC = 0 no variation to be included
- **MPROC** = 1 variation is to be included

# STRESS

[RE]

#### Value of stress

MATCHR will provide a value of life (cycles) corresponding to the user-provided value of stress (psi). The life value will be calculated from the stochastic S/N curve resulting from the value of **RAND** provided. **STRESS** must be a positive number.

#### Materials Information Block

# DESCRP(0)

[CHR]

Description of specific material S/N data set

Name and test environment for the specific material S/N data. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(0)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal distribution is discussed on Page 2-15.

#### Specific materials information

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all the data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders. **NPTS(0)** cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to the specific material data set (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for the specific material data set
NPTS(0)	total number of points in the specific material S/N data set

#### NUM RATIO REG

[INT] [RE] [INT]

Materials information for each data division of the specific S/N data set Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

NUM number of S/N data points in the data division

RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division

REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

# RAWSTR(I,0) RAWNF(I,0)

[RE] [RE]

Specific material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

**RAWSTR(I,0)** stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,0)** fatigue life value (cycles)

# SZERO

[RE]

6 - 124

C-4

# Tensile point<sup>42</sup>

Stress tensile point  $S_o$  (psi). Must be non-negative. A value of zero indicates no tensile point.

# NUMREG NNODAT

[INT] [INT]

# Data regions<sup>43</sup>

Number of life regions that are data-determined and not data-determined. **NUMREG** + **NNODAT** cannot exceed three. **NUMREG** must be 1, 2, or 3, and **NNODAT** must be non-negative, and should be 0 or 1.

NUMREG number of life regions determined by data

NNODAT number of life regions (to the right) not determined by data

# NBND(L)

[RE]

# Life Boundaries<sup>44</sup>

The upper boundaries of the life regions are specified (cycles). The value of L goes from **ZROREG** to the total number of regions (equal to **NUMREG** + **NNODAT**). If a non-zero tensile point is specified, then **ZROREG** = 0 else **ZROREG** = 1. The program expects the upper bound of the last life region to be  $10^{36}$ , a proxy for  $\infty$ .

# CZERO

[RE]

Prior information on coefficient of variation of fatigue strength<sup>45</sup>

Information in the form of a constraint on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength *C* for the specific material S/N data set. Value must be non-negative and a value of zero indicates that **CZERO** is not in use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the left is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Extension of the S/N curve to the right is discussed on Page 2-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Life region boundaries are discussed on *Page 2-15*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> The implicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is discussed on *Pages 2-12* through 2-13.

# MPNT(L) MZERO(1,L) MZERO(2,L) [INT] [RE] [RE]

# Prior information on the materials shape parameter $m^{46}$

The number of MZERO values in each life region, and the lower and upper bound for the range of m. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT). If VARY = 3 is specified (truncated Normal distribution on m), then a prior range of m must be specified for each region.

- MPNT(L) The number of points, 0, 1, or 2 (no prior on *m*, a point prior on *m*, or a prior over a range of *m*, respectively), in MZERO() for each region.
- MZERO(1,L) The lower bound on the range of *m* or the value of the point prior for *m*.
- MZERO(2,L) The upper bound on the range of m. Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for m is specified.

# DELTA(L) MO(L) SIGMA2(L)

[RE] [RE] [RE]

Information on the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal distribution<sup>47</sup>

If VARY = 3, then the materials model uses the truncated Normal distribution. The truncated Normal distribution requires some prior information on the Normal distribution parameters because a Bayesian analysis is performed. The information is required for each life region. The value of L goes from 1 to (NUMREG + NNODAT).

DELTA(L)	The shape parameter $\delta$ of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution.
MO(L)	Location parameter $m_o$ of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape parameter $m$ . Must be positive. Required when DELTA(L) is non-zero.

SIGMA2(L)  $\sigma^2$ , the known variance of ln(*fatigue life*), V (ln N | ln S). Must be non-negative.

KRATIO	LAMN
(RE)	[RE]

- <sup>46</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.
- <sup>47</sup> Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on *Page 2-14*.

### Materials process variation information

If **MPROC** = 1, then specification of **KRATIO** and **LAMN** is required. **KRATIO** is  $\lambda_{K}^{*}$ , the ratio *MED*  $K^{*}$ /*MED* K where *MED*  $K^{*}$  is the median value over all heats for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle, and *MED* K is the median value for the specific S/N data for the stress (psi) at a life of one cycle. **LAMN** is the ratio of the variance of  $\ln(life)$  conditional on stress over all heats to the intrinsic materials variation for the given S/N data conditional on stress. Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

# 6.3.3.2 Input File RELATD

The input data for file RELATD, which contains the related materials information,<sup>48</sup> is given below. The data format is similar to that used to specify the S/N data in the specific materials information block in the SPECFD file.

# NSETS

[INT]

# Number of related data sets

Number of related material S/N data sets. The following data groups have to be repeated as a block for each data set. The value of **J** varies from 1 to **NSETS**. If there is no related data, then file RELATD will only contain the number "0". **NSETS** cannot exceed five.

# DESCRP(J)

[CHR]

# Description of related material S/N data set

Name and test environment for related material S/N data set **J**. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

FTY	FTU	NDIV	NPTS(J)
[RE]	[RE]	[INT]	[INT]

# Related materials information

Yield strength, ultimate strength, number of divisions of data, number of points in S/N data set. The data may be divided when they are assigned to a different life region or have different stress ratios. If all the data has a stress ratio of -1.0, then the yield and ultimate strengths are not required, but zero values must be specified as placeholders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on *Page 2-7*.

NPTS(J) cannot exceed fifty. The next two data sets have to be provided for each data division.

FTY	yield strength corresponding to related material data set J (psi)
FTU	ultimate strength corresponding to related material data set ${f J}$ (psi)
NDIV	number of data divisions for related material data set J
NPTS(J)	total number of points in related material S/N data set J

NUM RATIO REG [INT] [RE] [INT]

Materials information for each data division of the related S/N data set

Number of points, stress ratio, and the life region of interest for each data division. This line must be provided for each data division.

- NUM number of S/N data points in the data division
- RATIO stress ratio for the data in the data division
- REG life region number to be assigned to the data in the data division

## RAWSTR(I,J) RAWNF(I,J) [RE] [RE]

#### Related material S/N data points

Stress versus fatigue life data points for each data division. A block of **NUM** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to **NUM**). This block must be provided for each data division.

**RAWSTR(I,J)** stress value (psi)

**RAWNF(I,J)** fatigue life value (cycles)

# 6.3.4 Options and Capabilities of the Stress Formulation

MATCHR is a Monte Carlo simulation program which generates a stochastic realization of an S/N curve based on data and exogenous information. A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the **NMED** option is used since the information will be dumped during every S/N curve selection. The **NMED** option provides for

calculation of an empirical median S/N curve if the truncated Normal distribution is employed.<sup>49</sup> In this case, the median S/N curve is based on the empirical median *m* from all the shape parameters used in the simulation. The **MPROC** option activates the computations for the process variation feature of the materials characterization model, as discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

# 6.3.5 Code Execution Example for the Stress Formulation

The following example run of the stress formulation of the materials characterization model code MATCHR was carried out by using Uniform shape parameter variation, **VARY** = 2, and no process variation **MPROC** = 0. The data set consists of twenty S/N data points, **NUM** = 20 with a stress ratio of 0.05 (**RATIO** = 0.05). No tensile point is used, **SZERO** = 0. The number of regions with data, **NUMREG**, is 1, and there are no regions to the right without data, **NNODAT** = 0. The data is in one division, **NDIV** = 1, and the total number of points is twenty, **NPTS(0)** = 20. No constraint on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is provided, **CZERO** = 0. An explicit range on *m* in region one is included (**MPNT(1)** = 2, **MZERO(1,L)** = 3.00, and **MZERO(2,L)** = 5.00). No related data is provided. Thus, the RELATD file is empty, except for a single entry to indicate **NSETS** = 0. If further explanation of files SPECFD and RELATD is required, refer to Sections 6.3.3.1 and 6.3.3.2, and *Figures* 6-8 and 6-9, respectively.

The echo of the input data is in the output file SPECFO. The DUMP file contains the results of the materials characterization model information aggregation calculations.<sup>50</sup> Finally, the IOUTPR file gives an echo of the analysis parameters, the randomly selected S/N curve, and the resulting life at **STRESS** = 75,000 psi. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero; therefore, no other output is in this file.

#### **Input File - SPECFD**

```
675
0
1
2
0
0
75000.
'-320 HOURGLASS + STRAIGHT'
178600. 220400. 1 20
20 0.05 1
150000. 65000.
```

<sup>49</sup> The truncated Normal distribution for the materials model shape parameter *m* is discussed on *Page 2-14*.

<sup>50</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-6 through 2-15.

261000.
265000.
377000.
694000.
2175000.
4198000.
5053000.
9210000.
9667000.
418000.
732000.
740000.
859000.
1181000.
4020000.
5917000.
6522000.
6891000.
4460000.
0 5.00

### Input File - RELATD

0.

.

# **Output File - SPECFO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

#### MATERIAL INPUT

.

DESCRIPTION:	-320 HOURGLASS	+ STRAIGHT
YIELD STRENGT	H	0.17860E+06
ULTIMATE STREE	NGTH	0.22040E+06
NUMBER OF POIL	NTS	20

ORIGINAL	s/n	STRESS		TRANSFO	RMED S/N
STRESS	LIFE	RATIO	REGION	STRESS	LIFE
0.15000E+06	65000.	0.05	1	0.11086E+06	65000.
0.14000E+06	261000.	0.05	1	0.99773E+05	261000.
0.12000E+06	265000.	0.05	1	0.79814E+05	265000.
0.16000E+06	377000.	0.05	1	0.12280E+06	377000.
0.13000E+06	694000.	0.05	1	0.89449E+05	694000.
0.11000E+06	2175000.	0.05	1	0.70802E+05	2175000.
0.10000E+06	4198000.	0.05	1	0.62353E+05	4198000.
0.10500E+06	5053000.	0.05	1	0.66510E+05	5053000.
0.92000E+05	9210000.	0.05	1	0.55964E+05	9210000.
0.95000E+05	9667000.	0.05	1	0.58323E+05	9667000.
0.15000E+06	418000.	0.05	1	0.11086E+06	418000.
0.14000E+06	732000.	0.05	1	0.99773E+05	732000.
0.13000E+06	740000.	0.05	1	0.89449E+05	740000.
0.12000E+06	859000.	0.05	1	0.79814E+05	859000.
0.11000E+06	1181000.	0.05	1	0.70802E+05	1181000.
0.10000E+06	4020000.	0.05	1	0.62353E+05	4020000.
0.92000E+05	5917000.	0.05	1	0.55964E+05	5917000.
0.94000E+05	6522000.	0.05	1	0.57532E+05	6522000.
0.90000E+05	6891000.	0.05	1	0.54416E+05	6891000.
0.86000E+05	4460000.	0.05	1	0.51374E+05	4460000.

THERE IS 1 REGION(S) WITH DATA AND 0 REGION(S) TO THE RIGHT WITHOUT DATA THE UPPER BOUND(S) OF THE REGION(S) ARE (CYCLES):

0.100E+37

EXOGENOUS INFORMATION

.

CONSTRAINT ON COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION, C: 0.0000

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON m FOR EACH REGION:

REGION	# OF POINTS	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	2	3.0000	5.0000

**Output File - RELATO** 

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

#### NOTE: ALL Kt ASSUMED TO BE 1.0

#### TRANSFORMED DATA

# **Output File - DUMP**

.

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

RESULTS OF INFORMATION AGGREGATION CALCULATIONS

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS ON C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION:	1	IO = (	0.092758540,	0.181233000)
		Jo = (	3.596348000,	5.87400000)

POINT ESTIMATES OF C AND m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	E(C)	E(M)
1	0.122759400	4.735174

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON m FOR EACH REGION

REGION	LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND
1	3.5963	5.0000

PARAMETER	VALUES FOR	MEDIA	N S/N CURVE		
NUMBER OF	REGIONS:	1	E(BETAO) =	8.6103	E(k) = 14.5351
REGION	m		K	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND

.

	1	.2	4.29817	0.21044E+07	0.100E+37	0.0000E+	00
--	---	----	---------	-------------	-----------	----------	----

#### SELECTED VALUES OF S/N CURVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER	OF REGIONS:	1 BETAO =	9.3521	
REGION	m	ĸ	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND
1	4.59492	0.16956E+07	0.100E+37	0.00000E+00

PHI = 0.963788

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

		RANDOM	NUMBER SEED:	675.000000000000
	0	UTPUT DUMP	CONTROLLER:	0
		NUMBER OF	COMPONENTS:	1
TYPI	E OF S/N VA	RIATION DE	SIRED	
(0-NONE; 1-	-INTRINSIC;	2-UNIFORM	; 3-NORMAL):	2
MEI	DIAN CURVE	FOR NORMAL	TYPE	
VAR	LATION DESI	RED (0 - N	0, 1 - YES):	0
MATERIALS	PROCESS VA	RIATION DE	SIRED	
		(0 – N	0, 1 - YES):	0
		VALU	E OF STRESS:	75000.0
NUMBER OF RI	Egions:	. 1PH	I: 0.963788	
REGION:	1 ST	RESS BOUND	: 0.000000	
BIGK(L):	0.169564	E+07 MM(L)	: 4.59492	
STRESS =	75000.0	LIFE =	0.140987E+07	

# 6.3.6 How To Use the Strain Formulation Option of Program MATCHR

The program MATCHR is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. MATCHR requires *two input data files*: SPECFD and RELATD. The program requires both files for all runs, *even when no related S/N data* is used. The file SPECFD contains the analysis control parameters and specific and exogenous materials information. The file RELATD contains the related materials information. A complete description of the input data for the SPECFD and RELATD data files is given in Section 6.3.7. The results from the MATCHR program are written to *four output files*: SPECFO, RELATO, DUMP, and IOUTPR. SPECFO contains the echo of the information in SPECFD. RELATO contains the echo of the information in RELATD. The results of the materials characterization calculations are primarily given in DUMP. These calculations include point and interval estimates for S/N curve parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ , posterior credibility ranges for  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ , and an estimate of the median S/N curve. File IOUTPR contains an echo of the analysis parameters, the randomly selected S/N curve, the resulting life at the user-provided strain level and, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations. If the program terminates prematurely, an error message will be printed in the IOUTPR file. A list of error messages and possible remedies for the problems is given in *Section 6.3.10*.

# 6.3.7 Description of the Strain Formulation Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for SPECFD and RELATD are presented in *Figures 6-11* and *6-12*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-11* and *6-12* are individually defined in *Sections 6.3.7.1* and *6.3.7.2*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files SPECFD and RELATD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. However, it is recommended that the input format suggested in *Figures 6-11* and *6-12* be followed whenever possible.

### 6.3.7.1 Input File SPECFD

The required data for the SPECFD file is divided into the two blocks shown in *Figure* 6-10: analysis parameters and materials information. The analysis parameters block contains the analysis parameters and the keys to select the program options. The materials information block contains the specific material S/N data, including plastic and elastic strain components, the S/N data points, tensile test points, and materials characterization model parameter constraints.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying dimension, load, and strain input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

675	Random number seed
0	Output dump controller
2	Strain formulation is to be used
2	Type of S/N variation
0	Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve
0	Controls materials process variation
1.5	Value of strain used in life calculation

Description of specific material S/N data set

.

### 'H2/HIGH PRESSURE/HIGH TEMPERATURE'

Specific materials information: number of given plastic/elastic decomposed strain points, total number of strain points, and the number of tensile test points

7

\_ \_ \_ \_

\_ \_ \_

4 7	1			
1.8 1.5 1.3 1.0	105 260 600 1950	0.475 0.27 0.18 0.115	1.325 1.23 1.12 0.885	$S_1, N_1, S_{P1}, S_{E1}$ $S_2, N_2, S_{P2}, S_{E2}$ $S_3, N_3, S_{P3}, S_{E3}$ $S_4, N_4, S_{P4}, S_{E4}$ $S_5, N_5$
1.49 1.47 2.02 4.17 0 0	190 55 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00		$S_6, N_6$ $S_7, N_7$ Tensile point Prior information on $m_P$ Prior information on $m_E$
0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	Bayes Bayes	ian prior distribution information on <i>m<sub>P</sub></i> ian prior distribution information on <i>m<sub>E</sub></i>

0.0 0.0 Materials process variation information

Figure 6-11 Format for File SPECFD

1 Number of related data sets

-----

Description of related material S/N data set

# 'INERT/MIXED PRESS/HIGH TEMPERATURE'

Related materials information: number of given plastic/elastic decomposed strain points, total number of strain points, and the number of tensile test points

.

2 12	2			
1.8	202	0.35	1.45	$S_1, N_1, S_{P1}, S_{E1}$
1.2	980	0.135	1.045	S <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> , S <sub>P2</sub> , S <sub>E2</sub>
0.8	4000			S <sub>3</sub> , N <sub>3</sub>
1.476	340			S <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>4</sub>
2.25	185			S <sub>5</sub> , N <sub>5</sub>
0.78	5000			S <sub>6</sub> , N <sub>6</sub>
1.47	350			S <sub>7</sub> , N <sub>7</sub>
2.0	240			S <sub>8</sub> , N <sub>8</sub>
1.05	1870			S <sub>9</sub> , N <sub>9</sub>
2.05	296			S <sub>10</sub> , N <sub>10</sub>
1.48	700			S <sub>11</sub> , N <sub>11</sub>
1.05	2000			S <sub>12</sub> , N <sub>12</sub>
13.07				Tensile point 1
8.72				Tensile point 2

#### Figure 6-12 Format for File RELATD

### **Analysis Parameters Block**

### RAND

[DRE]

Random number seed Needed by MATCHR's built-in random number generator.

# IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

MATCHR has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of MATCHR's calculations.

IOUT = 0 no intermediate calculation output

IOUT = 10 materials characterization model calculations

#### NCOMPS

[INT]

#### Controls materials characterization formulation

MATCHR has the ability to produce stochastic realizations of both stress/life and strain/life curves. The materials information block described below depends on the value of **NCOMPS** chosen. This section describes the **NCOMPS** = 2 case.

**NCOMPS** = 1 stress formulation

NCOMPS = 2 strain formulation

# VARY

Type of S/N variation<sup>51</sup>

Controls the type of stochastic variation to be included in the materials characterization model S/N curve.

- VARY = 0 no variation will be included
- VARY = 1 allows only intrinsic materials variation
- VARY = 2 allows Uniform variation of the materials model shape parameters  $m_P$ and  $m_E$  and intrinsic materials variation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> A discussion of the possible stochastic specifications of the materials model shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  is given in Pages 2-13 through 2-14.

VARY = 3 allows truncated Normal variation of the materials model shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  and intrinsic materials variation

#### NMED

[INT]

# Request for truncated Normal median S/N curve<sup>52</sup>

If VARY = 3, then NMED controls the calculation of the empirical median S/N curve.

NMED = 0 no median curve calculation is required

NMED = 1 median curve calculation is required

#### MPROC

[INT]

#### Controls materials process variation

Controls the inclusion of materials process variation (heat-to-heat variation). Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

MPROC = 0 no variation to be included

MPROC = 1 variation is to be included

#### STRAIN

[RE]

#### Value of strain

MATCHR will provide a value of life (cycles) corresponding to the user-provided value of strain (%). The life value will be calculated from the stochastic S/N curve resulting from the value of **RAND** provided. **STRAIN** must be a positive number.

#### Materials Information Block

# DESCRP(0)

[CHR]

Description of specific material S/N data set

Name and test environment for the specific material S/N data. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

 NDC(0)
 NPTS(0)
 NTENS(0)

 [INT]
 [INT]
 [INT]

52 The median S/N curve for the truncated Normal distribution is discussed on Page 2-15.

#### Specific materials information

Number of user-provided plastic/elastic decomposed strain points, number of points in S/N data set, and the number of tensile test points.

NDC(0)	Number of strain/life points with user-provided plastic and elastic com- ponents. At least three points must be provided to use the strain for- mulation of the materials characterization model.
NPTS(0)	Total number of points in the specific material S/N data set. Cannot exceed fifty.
NTENS(0)	Number of tensile test data points. Cannot exceed five.

RAWSTR(I,0)	RAWNF(I,0)	SP(I,0)	SE(I,0)
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

Specific material decomposed S/N data points

Strain versus fatigue life data points with user-provided plastic and elastic strain components. A block of **NDC(0)** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of **I** goes from 1 to **NDC(0)**).

RAWSTR(I,0)	strain value (%)
RAWNF(1,0)	fatigue life value (cycles)
SP(l,0)	plastic strain component (%)
SE(I,0)	elastic strain component (%)

# RAWSTR(I,0) RAWNF(I,0)

[RE]

[RE]

# Specific material S/N data points

Strain versus fatigue life data points. A block of (NPTS(0) – NDC(0)) lines must be specified.

RAWSTR(I,0) strain value (%)

**RAWNF(I,0)** fatigue life value (cycles)

TNSILE(0,M) [RE]

# Tensile points

Plastic strain tensile points  $S_p$  (%). A block of **NTENS(0)** lines must be specified (i.e., the value of **M** goes from 1 to **NTENS(0)**). Inclusion of the tensile data is discussed in Section 2.1.2.2.

MPNTP(1)	<b>MZEROP(1,1)</b>	<b>MZEROP(2,1)</b>
[INT]	[RE]	RE]
MPNTE(1)	<b>MZEROE(1,1)</b>	<b>MZEROE(2,1)</b>
[INT]	[RE]	[RE]

Prior information on the materials shape parameters  $m_p$  and  $m_E^{53}$ 

The number of **MZEROP** values for the plastic component, the lower and upper bound for the range of  $m_P$ , the number of **MZEROE** values for the elastic component, and the lower and upper bound for the range of  $m_E$ . If **VARY** = 3 is specified (truncated Normal distribution on  $m_P$  and  $m_E$ ), then prior ranges of  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  must be specified.

- **MPNTP(1)** The number of points, 0, 1, or 2 (no prior on  $m_p$ , a point prior on  $m_p$ , or a prior over a range of  $m_p$ , respectively), in **MZEROP()** for the plastic strain component.
- **MZEROP(1,1)** The lower bound on the range of  $m_P$  or the value of the point prior for  $m_P$ .
- **MZEROP(2,1)** The upper bound on the range of  $m_P$ . Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for  $m_P$  is specified.
- **MPNTE(1)** The number of points, 0, 1, or 2 (no prior on  $m_E$ , a point prior on  $m_E$ , or a prior over a range of  $m_E$ , respectively), in **MZEROE()** for the elastic strain component.
- **MZEROE(1,1)** The lower bound on the range of  $m_E$  or the value of the point prior for  $m_E$ .
- **MZEROE(2,1)** The upper bound on the range of  $m_E$ . Program requires that the value be zero if a point prior for  $m_E$  is specified.

DELTAP(1)	<b>MOP(1)</b>	<b>SIG2P(1)</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]
<b>DELTAE(1)</b>	<b>MOE(1)</b>	<b>SIG2E(1)</b>
[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> The explicit constraint on the materials shape parameter provided by prior information on the materials shape parameter is discussed on *Page 2-12*.

Information on the Bayesian prior distributions for the truncated Normal distribution<sup>54</sup>

If VARY = 3, then the materials model uses the truncated Normal distribution. The truncated Normal distribution requires some prior information on the Normal distribution parameters because a Bayesian analysis is performed. The information is required for both plastic and elastic strain components.

DELTAP(1) The shape parameter  $\delta_{P}$  of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution. MOP(1) Location parameter  $m_{\alpha P}$  of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape parameter  $m_p$ . Must be positive. Required when DELTAP(1) is nonzero.  $\sigma_{\rho}^{2}$ , the known variance of ln(*fatigue life*), V (ln N | ln S<sub>p</sub>), for the plas-SIG2P(1) tic strain components. Must be non-negative. DELTAE(1) The shape parameter  $\delta_F$  of the Bayesian prior distribution is used to compute the Bayesian posterior distribution parameters. Value must be non-negative, a value of zero indicates a diffuse prior distribution. MOE(1) Location parameter  $m_{oE}$  of the Bayesian prior distribution of the shape parameter  $m_E$ . Must be positive. Required when DELTAE(1) is nonzero.  $\sigma_F^2$ , the known variance of ln(*fatigue life*), V (ln N | ln S<sub>E</sub>), for the elas-SIG2E(1) tic strain components. Must be non-negative.

#### KRATIO LAMN

[RE] [RE]

#### Materials process variation information

If MPROC = 1, then specification of KRATIO and LAMN is required. KRATIO is  $\lambda_{K}^{*}$ , the ratio *MED*  $K^{*}$ /*MED* K where *MED*  $K^{*}$  is the median value over all heats for the strain (%) at a life of one cycle, and *MED* K is the median value for the specific S/N data for the strain (%) at a life of one cycle. LAMN is the ratio of the variance of ln(*life*) conditional on strain over all heats to the intrinsic materials variation for the given S/N data conditional on strain. Process variation in materials is discussed in Section 2.1.2.3.

<sup>54</sup> Specification of the Bayesian prior distribution for the truncated Normal case is discussed on Page 2-14.

#### 6.3.7.2 Input File RELATD

The input data for file RELATD, which contains the related materials information,<sup>55</sup> is given below. The data format is similar to that used to specify the S/N data in the specific materials information block in the SPECFD file.

#### **NSETS**

[INT]

#### Number of related data sets

Number of related material S/N data sets. The following data groups have to be repeated as a block for each data set. The value of J varies from 1 to NSETS. If there is no related data, then file RELATD will only contain the number "0". NSETS cannot exceed five.

#### DESCRP(J)

[CHR]

#### Description of related material S/N data set

Name and test environment for related material S/N data set J. This is a character string no more than 40 characters long, enclosed by single quotes.

NDC(J)	NPTS(J)	NTENS(J)
[INT]	[INT]	[INT]

#### **Related materials information**

Number of user-provided plastic/elastic decomposed strain points, number of points in S/N data set, and the number of tensile test points.

NDC(J)	Number of strain/life points with user-provided plastic and elastic com-
	ponents for related material data set J.

- Total number of points in related material S/N data set J. Cannot ex-NPTS(J) ceed fifty.
- Number of tensile test data points for related material data set J. Can-NTENS(J) not exceed five.

RAWSTR(I,J)	RAWNF(I,J)	SP(I,J)	SE(I,J)
(RE)	[RE]	[RE]	[RE]

<sup>55</sup> Related S/N data is discussed on Page 2-7.

### Related material decomposed S/N data points

Strain versus fatigue life data points with user-provided plastic and elastic strain components. A block of NDC(J) lines must be specified, i.e., the value of I goes from 1 to NDC(J).

RAWSTR(I,J)	strain value (%)
RAWNF(I,J)	fatigue life value (cycles)
SP(I,J)	plastic strain component (%)
SE(I,J)	elastic strain component (%)

RAWST	rr(I,J)	RAWN	<b>↓F</b> (I,J)
-------	---------	------	-----------------

1	RE1	

[RE]

Related material S/N data points

Strain versus fatigue life data points. A block of (**NPTS(J)** – **NDC(J)**) lines must be specified.

RAWSTR(I,J) strain value (%)

RAWNF(I,J) fatigue life value (cycles)

#### TNSILE(J,M) [RE]

# Tensile points

Plastic strain tensile points  $S_{P}$  (%). A block of **NTENS(J)** lines must be specified, i.e., the value of **M** goes from 1 to **NTENS(J)**. Inclusion of tensile data is discussed in Secion 2.1.2.2.

# 6.3.8 Options and Capabilities of the Strain Formulation

MATCHR is a Monte Carlo simulation program which generates a stochastic realization of an S/N curve based on data and exogenous information. A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the **NMED** option is used since the information will be dumped during every S/N curve selection. The **NMED** option provides for calculation of an empirical median S/N curve if the truncated Normal distribution is employed.<sup>56</sup> In this case, the median S/N curve is based on the empirical median

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> The truncated Normal distribution for the materials model shape parameters  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  is discussed on Page 2-14.

 $m_P$  and  $m_E$  from all the shape parameters used in the simulation. The **MPROC** option activates the computations for the process variation feature of the materials characterization model, as discussed in *Section 2.1.2.3*.

# 6.3.9 Code Execution Example for the Strain Formulation

The following example run of the strain formulation of the materials characterization model code MATCHR was carried out by using Uniform shape parameter variation, VARY = 2, and no process variation MPROC = 0. The data set consists of four data points with given plastic and elastic strain components, NDC(0) = 4, the total number of strain/life points is seven, NPTS(0) = 7, and the number of tensile points, NTENS(0), is one. No explicit ranges on  $m_P$  and  $m_E$  are provided (MPNTP = MZEROP(1) = MZEROP(2) = MPNTE = MZEROE(1) = MZEROE(2) = 0). No related data is provided. Thus, the RELATD file is empty except for a single entry to indicate NSETS = 0. If further explanation of files SPECFD and RELATD is required, refer to Sections 6.3.7.1 and 6.3.7.2, and Figures 6-11 and 6-12.

The echo of the input data is in the output file SPECFO. The DUMP file contains the results of the plastic/elastic strain decomposition and the materials characterization model information aggregation calculations.<sup>57</sup> Finally, the IOUTPR file gives an echo of the analysis parameters, the randomly selected S/N curve, and the resulting life at **STRAIN** = 1.5%. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero; therefore, no other output is in this file.

#### **Input File - SPECFD**

```
675
0
2
2
0
O
1.5
'H2/HIGH PRESSURE/HIGH TEMPERATURE'
4 7
        1
              0.475
                      1.325
        105
1.8
                       1.23
        260
              0.27
1.5
              0.18
                       1.12
        600
1.3
              0.115
                       0.885
       1950
1.0
        186
1.49
        190
1.47
         55
2.02
4.17
```

<sup>57</sup> The information aggregation calculations are discussed on Pages 2-6 through 2-14.

0 0.00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00

### **Input File - RELATD**

0

•

### **Output File - SPECFO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

#### MATERIAL INPUT

DESCRIPTION: H2/HIGH PRESSURE/HIGH TEMPERATURE

NUMBER OF DECOMPOSED STRAIN POINTS: 4

NUMBER OF POINTS IN SPECIFIC DATA SET: 7

NUMBER OF TENSILE TEST POINTS: 1

TOTAL STRAIN	LIFE	PLASTIC STRAIN	ELASTIC STRAIN
1.8000	105.	0.47500	1.32500
1.5000	260.	0.27000	1.23000
1.3000	600.	0.18000	1.12000
1.0000	1950.	0.11500	0.88500
1.4900	186.		
1.4700	190.		
2.0200	55.		

TENSILE DATA

4.17000

EXOGENOUS INFORMATION

.

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON mp NUMBER OF POINTS IN RANGE: 0

LOWER BOUND UPPER BOUND

0.0000 0.0000

EXPLICIT CONSTRAINT ON ME NUMBER OF POINTS IN RANGE: 0

LOWER BOUND	UPPER BOUND	
0.0000	0.0000	

#### **Output File - RELATO**

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

# **Output File - DUMP**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

RESULTS OF STRAIN DECOMPOSITION AND INFORMATION AGGREGATION CALCULATIONS

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL AND POINT ESTIMATE OF mp FOR GIVEN PLASTIC COMPONENTS

Jop = (1.300915000, 2.791690000) mp = 2.046302

RESULTS FOR GIVEN PLASTIC COMPONENT DATA

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

m	K	E(k)
2.04630	0.44105E+01	1.4721
95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL AND POINT ESTIMATE OF me FOR GIVEN ELASTIC COMPONENTS

Joe = (2.411092000, 11.447510000) me = 6.929300

RESULTS FOR GIVEN ELASTIC COMPONENT DATA

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

m	K	E(k)	
6.92930	0.27148E+01	0.9925	

#### ESTIMATED STRAIN DECOMPOSITION

SPECIFIC MATERIAL

DESCRIPTION: H2/HIGH PRESSURE/HIGH TEMPERATURE NUMBER OF DATA POINTS: 8

LIFE	TOTAL STRAIN	PLASTIC STRAIN	ELASTIC STRAIN
105.	1.8000	0.47500	1.32500
260.	1.5000	0.27000	1.23000
600.	1.3000	0.18000	1.12000
1950.	1.0000	0.11500	0.88500
186.	1.4900	0.31553	1.17447
190.	1.4700	0.30950	1.16050
55.	2.0200	0.58607	1.43393
1.	6.7367	4.17000	2.56673

#### RELATED MATERIALS

NUMBER OF DATA SETS: 0

RESULTS OF INFORMATION AGGREGATION

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL AND POINT ESTIMATE OF mp FOR ESTIMATED PLASTIC COMPONENTS

Jop = (1.958583000, 2.176915000) mp = 2.067749

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON MP FOR ESTIMATED PLASTIC COMPONENTS

mp = (1.9586, 2.1769)

RESULTS FOR ESTIMATED PLASTIC COMPONENT DATA

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

m	K	E(k)
2.06775	0.41636E+01	1.4170

95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL AND POINT ESTIMATE OF me FOR ESTIMATED ELASTIC COMPONENTS

Joe = (6.173140000, 8.136055000) me = 7.154597

POSTERIOR CREDIBILITY RANGE ON Me FOR ESTIMATED ELASTIC COMPONENTS

me = (6.1731, 8.1361)

RESULTS FOR ESTIMATED ELASTIC COMPONENT DATA

PARAMETER VALUES FOR MEDIAN S/N CURVE

m	K	E(k)
7.15460	0.25704E+01	0.9371

TOTAL STRAIN E(BETAO) = 25.5248

SELECTED VALUES OF S/N CURVE PARAMETERS

NUMBER	OF REGIONS:	1 BETAO =	21.1961	
REGION	m	ĸ	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND
1	2.11391	0.39587E+01	0.100E+37	0.00000E+00

SELECTI	ED VALUES OF	S/N CURVE PARAMET	TERS	
NUMBER	OF REGIONS:	1 BETAO =	23.5854	
REGION	m	ĸ	LIFE BOUND	STRESS BOUND
1	6.55215	0.27405E+01	0.100E+37	0.00000E+00

PHI = 0.957870 Z = 1.00000

# **Output File - IOUTPR**

		RAND	OM NUMBER SEED:	675.00000000000
		OUTPUT D	UMP CONTROLLER:	0
		NUMBER	OF COMPONENTS:	2
	TYPE OF S/N	VARIATION	DESIRED	
(0-NONE	; 1-INTRINSI	C; 2-UNIF	ORM; 3-NORMAL):	2
	MEDIAN CURV	e for nor	MAL TYPE	
	VARIATION DE	SIRED (0	- NO, 1 - YES):	0
MATERI	ALS PROCESS	VARIATION	DESIRED	
		(0	- NO, 1 - YES):	0
		VALUE C	F TOTAL STRAIN:	1.50000
Kp:	3.95868	Mp:	2.11391	
Ke:	2.74054	Me:	6.55215	
PHI =	0.957870	<b>Z</b> :	1.00000	
STRAIN:	1.50000	LIFE	: 187.393	

# 6.3.10 Error Messages and Possible Remedies

The following messages, when applicable, will appear in file IOUTPR. An error message stating that a limit has been exceeded will require that the user increase those limits, as directed, and reviewing or consulting *Section 7.3.1.3* is desirable. The messages are listed in alphabetical order for the convenience of the user.

### DERIVATIVE EQUAL TO ZERO

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* During the iterative solution to calculate life, a value of life was obtained which resulted in a zero value for the derivative of the function, implying multiple solutions. Take note of all input parameters for this run and consult *Sections 4.1.9* and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

#### ERROR CODE INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* This indicates a program error during the life calculation. Take note of all input parameters for this run and consult *Sections* 4.1.9 and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTA OR VALUE OF MO INCONSISTENT WITH DELTA IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta$  was specified, but the value for  $m_o$  is not positive. Check file SPECFD.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTAE OR VALUE OF MOE INCONSISTENT WITH DELTAE

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta_E$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta_E$  was specified, but the value for  $m_{oE}$  is not positive. Check file SPECFD.

ERROR: BAD VALUE FOR DELTAP OR VALUE OF MOP INCONSISTENT WITH DELTAP

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* This error can occur during the use of the truncated Normal variation option of the materials characterization model for two reasons. First, the value of  $\delta_P$  may be negative. Second, a value of  $\delta_P$  was specified, but the value for  $m_{oP}$  is not positive. Check file SPECFD.

#### ERROR: Co TOO LOW

Fatal, Stress Formulation The constraint,  $C_o$ , imposed on the coefficient of variation of fatigue strength is inconsistent with the observed S/N data.

# ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON DEGREES OF FREEDOM IN CHI-SQUARE TABLE, IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* As implemented, the credibility interval calculations can handle no more than 150 degrees of freedom, and the amount of data in the region indicated requires more. The  $\chi^2$  tables of routine INTRVL must be increased. See Sections 4.1.3.6 and 7.3.1.3 for more information.

#### ERROR: EXCEEDED LIMIT ON NUMBER OF REGIONS

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* The materials characterization model can handle no more than 3 life regions. Check file SPECFD because the sum of the number of regions with data and the number of regions without data is greater than 3.

ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF POINTS DUE TO ADDITION OF TENSILE DATA IN DATA SET 'J'

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 points in any S/N data set. The combination of strain/life data with tensile test data will be greater than 50 points for the data set indicated. '0' indicates the specific data set. Check files SPECFD and RELATD for the number of points in the data set. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF POINTS IN RELATED DATA SET 'J' *Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for both the number of given decomposed strain points and the number of total strain points in the related data set indicated. One of these two numbers has been declared to be greater than 50. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF POINTS IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL DATA SET

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in the specific material data set. Check file SPECFD for both the number of given decomposed strain points and the number of total strain points in the specific data set. One of these two numbers has been declared to be greater than 50. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to *Section 7.3.1.3* for the routines involved.

# ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RELATED DATA SETS

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model allows up to 5 related data sets. Check file RELATD to determine if more than 5 related data sets were specified. The parameter **MAXSET** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TENSILE POINTS IN RELATED DATA SET 'J'

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 5 tensile test points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for the number of tensile test data points in the related data set indicated. If more than 5 points are desired, the parameter **MAXTNS** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: EXCEEDED MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TENSILE POINTS IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL DATA SET

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 5 tensile test points in the specific material data set. Check file SPECFD for the number of tensile test data points. If more than 5 points are desired, the parameter **MAXTNS** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

ERROR: INVALID RESPONSE TO NORMAL MEDIAN CURVE QUESTION *Fatal* **NMED** can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF MATERIALS PROCESS VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* MPROC can only have the integer value 0 or 1. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

ERROR: INVALID TYPE OF S/N VARIATION DESIRED *Fatal* VARY can only have the integer value 0, 1, 2, or 3. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

# ERROR: INVALID VALUE FOR RATIO: 'RATIO'

Fatal, Stress Formulation An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for the specific material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file SPECFD.

#### ERROR: INVALID VALUE OF RATIO: 'RATIO'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* An invalid value for the stress ratio has been declared for a related material data set. Only values between -1.0 and +1.0 inclusive, are possible. Check file RELATD.

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MC

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN JO AND MO

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN Jo, Mo, AND Mc

ERROR: NO INTERSECTION BETWEEN Mo AND Mc

*Fatal* These errors indicate that the specified C constraint and/or prior credibility range on m do not agree with each other and/or the observed S/N data.

ERROR: NORMAL VARIATION REQUIRES A PRIOR RANGE ON M

Fatal, Stress Formulation The truncated Normal variation of the materials characterization model requires a prior range on m. The number of points for the prior range on m has been incorrectly specified. Check file SPECFD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 1 or 2.

- ERROR: NORMAL VARIATION REQUIRES PRIOR RANGES ON Mp AND Me *Fatal, Strain Formulation* The truncated Normal variation of the materials characterization model requires prior ranges on  $m_p$  and  $m_E$ . The number of points for the prior range on  $m_p$  or  $m_E$  has been incorrectly specified. Check file SPECFD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 1 or 2.
- ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SET 'J' Fatal, Stress Formulation The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in one of the related S/N data sets. Check file RELATD to compare for each related data set the total number of points declared with the sum of the numbers of points in each data division.

ERROR: NUMBER OF POINTS PER DIVISION INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* The materials characterization model has been given conflicting information about the number of points in the specific S/N data set. Check file SPECFD, since the total number of points in the specific data set declared and the sum of the numbers of points in each data division do not agree.

# ERROR: OVERALL PRIOR RANGE INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN REGION WITHOUT DATA

Fatal, Stress Formulation The prior credibility range on m in one of the regions without data has been incorrectly specified. Check file SPECFD to verify that either more regions without data have been indicated than intended or that the number of points in the prior on m in a region without data has been incorrectly specified. Only the integer value 0, 1, or 2 is acceptable.

# ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF POINTS IN SET 'J'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in any related material data set. Check file RELATD for the total number of points in each related data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. It is suggested that the number of S/N data points in each related set be recounted. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

# ERROR: OVER LIMIT ON NUMBER OF RELATED DATA SETS

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* The materials characterization model allows up to 5 related data sets. Check file RELATD to determine if more than 5 related data sets were specified. The parameter **MAXSET** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

### ERROR: OVER NUMBER OF POINTS LIMIT IN SPECIFIC MATERIAL

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* The materials characterization model cannot accept more than 50 S/N points in the specific material data set. Check file SPECFD for the total number of points in the specific data set declared, or there may be more than 50 S/N points with an incorrect total declaration. If more than 50 points are desired, the parameter **MAXDAT** must be increased. Refer to Section 7.3.1.3 for the routines involved.

# ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN RELATED MATERIAL 'J'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater than 3. Check file RELATD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value in the data set indicated.

## ERROR: OVER REGION LIMIT IN SPECIFIC DATA SET

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* No more than 3 life regions are allowed, and an attempt has been made to place some S/N data in a region number greater

than 3. Check file SPECFD for an invalid region number immediately following the stress ratio value.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH POINT POSTERIOR IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* Check file DUMP to verify that the point posterior value of m in region 'L-1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range in region 'L'. This error indicates a violation of the concavity assumption.

ERROR: POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L' IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE POSTERIOR INTERVAL IN REGION 'L-1'

*Fatal, Stress Formulation* Check file DUMP to verify that the lower bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L–1' is greater than the upper bound of the posterior credibility range of m in region 'L'. The data should be checked for consistency.

#### ERROR: PRIOR ON M INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED IN 'L'

Fatal The number of points for the specified prior range on m in the indicated region has been incorrectly specified. Check file SPECFD to verify that the number of points indicated for each range has an integer value of 0, 1, or 2.

#### ERROR: SXY > = 0 IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* During the linear regression calculations for the region indicated, the resulting value of the sample covariance  $S_{xy}$  was found to be non-negative. This suggests that the data is specified erroneously or is inadequate for the analysis, since life increasing with increasing stress contradicts the true fatigue behavior of materials.

#### ERROR: TOO FEW POINTS FOR REGRESSION IN REGION 'L'

*Fatal* The materials characterization model does not have the required minimum number of points in the region indicated to perform a linear regression. If there are no related data sets, then there must be at least 3 points in each region. If there are N related data sets, then the total number of points in each region (specific and related combined) must be at least N + 3.

#### IMPOSSIBLE M RANGE IN REGION 'L'

Fatal, Stress Formulation Concavity constraints during the random m selection have required an impossible range on m for the region indicated. Take note of all input parameters for this run, and consult Sections 4.1.5.1, 4.1.5.2, and 7.3 to aid in identification of the cause of this error.

#### NCOMPS INCORRECTLY SPECIFIED

*Fatal* **NCOMPS** can only have the integer values 1 or 2. Check file IOUTPR for the value used.

# NO CONVERGENCE AFTER SPECIFIED NO. ITERATION STEPS

*Fatal, Strain Formulation* This error occurred during the life calculation. The iterative solution did not converge after the maximum of 1000 iterations allowed. The variable **IEND** of routine GTLIF2 must be increased.

# NOTE: E(m) IS NOT IN THE POSTERIOR RANGE ON m IN REGION 'L' Warning This means that the estimate of *m* based on the S/N data only, in the region indicated, is outside the range indicated by the specified constraints on *m* and *C*.

#### PROGRAM EXECUTION TERMINATED

*Fatal* This message is produced by routine TRMNAT and follows all other fatal messages.

# 6.3.11 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### Input Files

#### SPECFD

This file is opened in MATCHR. It contains all parameters for the run options and the specific and exogenous materials input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, life (cycles) boundaries, region information, coefficient of variation constraint, C, and prior ranges on the materials shape parameter m for each region or strain component.

#### RELATD

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG or DECOMP. It contains the related material data input, including yield and ultimate strengths (psi), stress ratio, S/N data points, and region information.

## **Output Files**

#### SPECFO

This file is opened in MATCHR. It contains the echo of the information contained in SPECFD.

### RELATO

This file is opened in subroutine INFAGG or DECOMP. It contains the echo of the information contained in RELATD.

### DUMP

This file is opened in MATCHR. It contains the results of the information aggregation portion of the materials model calculations, such as  $I_o$  and  $J_o$ ; the point estimates of m and C; posterior credibility ranges for m; estimated strain decomposition; and a list of the estimated values for all S/N curve parameters. See Section 4.1.

#### **IOUTPR**

This file is opened in MATCHR. It contains information on the particular run that is not echoed to SPECFO and the data dump provided when the variable **IOUT** is equal to 10.

...... -

# Section 6.4

# Prior Distribution Parameter Estimation User's Guide

#### 6.4.1 BFIT Program

The user's guide for running the prior failure distribution parameter  $\beta$  estimation code BFIT is given here. The pertinent methodology is discussed in Section 2.1.1. The program description and flowcharts are presented in Section 4.2.2, and the code structure and listing are provided in Section 7.4.1.

The program BFIT was used to estimate the parameter  $\beta$  of the prior failure distribution produced by appropriate Probabilistic Failure Modeling (PFM) of this report.

#### 6.4.2 How To Use Program BFIT

The program BFIT is intended to run in batch (i.e., background) mode. BFIT requires *two input files*: BFITD and LOWLIF. File BFITD contains the indices which define the data base used to estimate  $\beta$ . The file LOWLIF contains the failure times generated by the PFMs. A complete description of the input data for the BFITD and LOWLIF data files is given in Section 6.4.3.

The results from the BFIT program are written to *two output files*: BFITO and IOUTPR. BFITO contains the estimate *b* for the parameter  $\beta$ . File IOUTPR contains, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations.

#### 6.4.3 Description of the Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for BFITD and LOWLIF are presented in *Figures 6-13* and *6-14*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-13* and *6-14* are individually defined in *Sections 6.4.3.1* and *6.4.3.2*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-13* and *6-14* are individually defined in sections 6.4.3.1 and 6.4.3.2. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-13* and *6-14* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files BFITD and LOWLIF. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. It is recommended that this input format be followed whenever possible.

155

0 20	100	200	Output dump controller Indices for first and last life for linear regression and number of lives in LOWLIF
---------	-----	-----	---

#### Figure 6-13 Format for File BFITD

1	5.E-5	2531849.474236	1, <i>F</i> (N <sub>1</sub> ), N <sub>1</sub>
2	1.E-4	3245429.147959	2, F(N <sub>2</sub> ), N <sub>2</sub>
3	1.5E-4	3491943.38476	3, F(N <sub>3</sub> ), N <sub>3</sub>
4	2.E-4	3807060.913539	$4, F(N_4), N_4$
5	2.5E-4	3903086.793213	5, F(N <sub>5</sub> ), N <sub>5</sub>
	•	•	
•			
		•	
196	9.8E-3	56611082.90833	196, <i>F</i> ( <i>N</i> <sub>196</sub> ), <i>N</i> <sub>196</sub>
197	9.85E-3	56827320.11307	197, <i>F</i> ( <i>N</i> <sub>197</sub> ), <i>N</i> <sub>197</sub>
198	9.9E-3	57986738.95375	198, <i>F</i> ( <i>N</i> <sub>198</sub> ), <i>N</i> <sub>198</sub>
199	9.95E-3	59037352.04528	199, F(N <sub>199</sub> ), N <sub>199</sub>
200	1.E-2	59300005.83862	200, F(N <sub>200</sub> ), N <sub>200</sub>

Figure 6-14 Format for File LOWLIF

# 6.4.3,1 Input File BFITD

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by BOLD UPPERCASE letters; the variable types are specified as integer [INT], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is underlined and followed by a description and a list of options, when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters.

## IOUT

[INT]

## Output dump controller

BFIT has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of BFIT's calculations.

$\mathbf{IOUT} = 0$	regression parameters only
<b>IOUT</b> = 10	regression and parameter estimation calculations

START	END	Μ
[INT]	[INT]	[INT]

### Analysis Indices

This line contains indices necessary to perform the regression. **START** is the index of the first element of LIFE(I) to be used in the linear regression to estimate  $\beta$ . END is the index of the last element of LIFE(I) to be used in the linear regression to estimate  $\beta$ . M is the total number of lives provided in file LOWLIF.  $1 \leq$  START < END  $\leq$  M

## 6.4.3.2 Input File LOWLIF

The data format for the failure times file is given below.

1	FOFN	LIFE(I)
F18 (773	IDDEI	וססכו

[INT] [DRE] [DRE]

### Failure time data

The failure times generated by a PFM. The data is entered as FOFN, LIFE(I) pairs, one pair per line for I = 1, ..., M, where FOFN and LIFE are  $F(N_i)$  and  $N_i$  of Equation 2-9, respectively.

# 6.4.4 Options and Capabilities

BFIT is a parameter estimation program which utilizes a linear least squares algorithm to estimate the parameter  $\beta$  of the prior failure distribution. The program requires a list of failure times and their associated failure probabilities. The results consist of the estimate *b* of the prior failure distribution parameter  $\beta$ . A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed to the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the **IOUT** = 10 option is used since the information will include all intermediate calculations for the regression.

# 6.4.5 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the prior failure distribution parameter estimation code BFIT was carried out with two hundred failure times,  $\mathbf{M} = 200$ , provided in file LOWLIF. The linear regression to obtain  $\beta$  was performed by using failure times 20 through 200, **START** = 20 and **END** = 200. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero, hence only important regression information is in file IOUTPR. If further explanation of files BFITD

or LOWLIF is required, refer to Sections 6.4.3.1 and 6.4.3.2, and Figures 6-13 and 6-14, respectively. The results of the parameter estimation were written to file BFITO with  $\beta = 1.85889$ .

#### Input File - BFITD

0

20 200 200

# Input File - LOWLIF

1,	5.E-5, 12128.88138813
2,	1.E-4, 13234.06413769
з,	1.5 <b>E-4,</b> 13777.54886666
4,	2.E-4, 18290.37461814
5,	2.5E-4, 18688.80786339
6,	3.E-4, 35612.77878656
7,	3.5E-4, 47497.8018622
8,	4.E-4, 51934.74438263
9,	4.5E-4, 52518.78716911
10,	5.E-4, 53559.43637382
11,	5.5 <b>E-4,</b> 53645.49702172
12,	6.E-4, 54851.2225929
13,	6.5E-4, 57513.3189619
14,	7.E-4, 68077.77118247
15,	7.5E-4, 68827.25275096
16,	8.E-4, 72556.30877838
17,	8.5E-4, 72749.52158307
18,	9.E-4, 75602.449978
19,	9.5E-4, 76079.32580619
20,	1.E-3, 78598.59366489
21,	1.05E-3, 79316.56449927
22,	1.1E-3, 82143.75077125
23,	1.15E-3, 82459.303944
24,	1.2E-3, 84409.93934894
25,	1.25E-3, 86330.18444186
26,	1.3E-3, 86743.17312626
27,	1.35E-3, 90236.36829336
-28,	1. <b>4E-3,</b> 93683.69936791
29,	1.45E-3, 94648.94058573
30,	1.5 <b>E-3,</b> 101180.6660291
31,	1.55E-3, 101450.4345774
32,	1.6E-3, 101805.4813387
33,	1.65E-3, 104639.8405719
34,	1.7E-3, 105079.5788451
35,	1.75E-3, 105698.9303809
36,	1.8E-3, 106926.2200427
37,	1.85E-3, 107110.0748629

38,	1.9 <b>E-3,</b> 108928.2182923
39,	1.95E-3, 110807.9962046
40,	2.E-3, 111315.1131887
41,	2.05E-3, 112452.429632
42,	2.1E-3, 112522.1441635
43,	2.15E-3, 112566.1271853
44.	2.2E-3, 114118.8313652
45.	2.25E-3. 118605.4464924
46.	2.3E-3. 123988.2200704
47.	2.35=-3. 125049.3278475
48.	2.4E-3. 125198.1377061
49.	2.45E-3. 127014.5169407
50.	2.5E-3, 127189.082832
51.	2.55E-3, 127449.4762093
52.	2.6E-3. 127965.2958514
53.	2.65E-3. 131569.2337822
54.	2.7E-3. 132797.2842955
55.	2.75E-3. 136704.5445851
56.	2.8E-3. 137844.3304032
57.	2.85E-3. 141256.2032728
58.	2.9E-3. 144686.6792343
59.	2.95E-3. 148943.931824
60.	<b>3.E-3.</b> 151889.7604185
61.	3.05E-3. 152443.9680104
62.	3.1E-3. 152765.4826451
63,	3.15E-3, 153737.6240023
64.	3.2E-3, 154504.6006673
65,	3.25E-3, 154893.5260934
66,	3.3E-3, 155058.0613059
67,	3.35E-3, 155438.4523919
68,	3.4E-3, 155765.0458041
69,	3.45E-3, 157231.8488651
70.	3.5E-3, 157322.0032301
71,	3.55E-3, 157334.6878786
72,	3.6E-3, 159217.4797218
73.	3.65E-3, 159237.7883244
74,	3.7E-3, 160089.2553861
75,	3.75E-3, 162368.3235312
76,	3.8E-3, 162966.4870006
77,	3.85E-3, 165577.9580924
78,	3.9E-3, 167679.8559978
79,	3.95E-3, 169605.2253805
80,	4.E-3, 171396.0255256
81,	4.05E-3, 173056.8659963
82,	4.1E-3, 173264.2555491
83,	4.15E-3, 175317.5358395
84,	4.2E-3, 175759.5842457
85,	4.25E-3, 176485.1745216
86,	4.3E-3, 179077.8791186
87,	4.35E-3, 180140.9776735
-	

•

.

.

88,	<b>4.4E-3</b> , 180774.5060422
89,	4.45E-3, 181698.2378063
90,	4.5E-3, 185495.3261302
91,	4.55E-3, 186106.5667858
92,	4.6E-3, 186124.7899641
93,	4.65E-3, 186311.4320637
94,	4.7E-3, 187524.7074411
95,	4.75E-3, 189345.7559455
96,	4.8E-3, 191669.5592815
97,	4.85E-3, 191968.5642588
98,	4.9E-3, 193410.6184889
99,	4.95E-3, 195233.4752379
100,	5.E-3, 196417.5350341
101,	5.05E-3, 196837.5590899
102,	5.1E-3, 197201.7233965
103,	5.15E-3, 197313.5075424
104,	5.2E-3, 197856.3835949
105,	5.25E-3, 198039.3329058
106,	5.3E-3, 198703.016903
107,	5.35E-3, 199455.6751859
108,	5.4E-3, 200800.8736717
109,	5.45E-3, 201363.7000791
110,	5.5E-3, 201974.7490392
111,	5.55E-3, 203514.9107572
112,	5.6E-3, 203591.7954022
113,	5.65E-3, 204767.3596533
114,	5.7E-3, 208227.3979182
115,	5.75E-3, 209245.5653271
116,	5.8E-3, 209552.5166912
117,	5.85E-3, 209789.7418354
118,	5.9E-3, 210264.9017463
119,	5.95E-3, 210742.9422861
120,	6.E-3, 211162.3206324
121,	6.05E-3, 211226.3769278
122,	6.1E-3, 211585.9292961
123,	6.15E-3, 213303.6603755
124,	6.2E-3, 213739.4638384
125,	6.25E-3, 213767.5927345
126,	, 6.3E-3, 214182.6618133
127,	, 6.35E-3, 215428.2841933
128,	, 6.4E-3, 216000.03853
129	, 6.45E-3, 216823.8859/02
130	, 6.5E-3, 218007.4191103
131	, 6.55E-3, 218110.4/81512
132	, 6.6E-3, 219108.9850/5
133	, 6.65E-3, 220507.7721362
134	, 6.7E-3, 221886.4454467
135	, 6.75E-3, 221973.6356509
136	, 6.8E-3, 221984.7199964
137	, 6.85E-3, 223075.4707179

•

.

· · -----

138,	6.9E-3, 223499.3480405
139,	6.95E-3, 224020.3497587
140,	7.E-3, 224333.6221698
141,	7.05E-3, 224471.6622662
142,	7.1E-3, 224877.0230508
143,	7.15E-3, 225518.4058553
144,	7.2E-3, 225545.2069757
145,	7.25E-3, 226004.390243
146,	7.3E-3, 226567.1316152
147,	7.35E-3, 227728.1156545
148,	7.4E-3, 229415.1208135
149,	7.45E-3, 233295.4723347
150,	7.5E-3, 233938.9524359
151,	7.55E-3, 233993.51553
152,	7.6E-3, 234762.8256886
153,	7.65E-3, 235095.1047653
154,	7.7E-3, 235458.7336267
155,	7.75E-3, 235552.6222209
156,	7.8E-3, 236536.8532418
157,	7.85E-3, 237723.0244822
158,	7.9E-3, 238018.3933596
159,	7.95E-3, 238720.7945104
160,	8.E-3, 239973.244866
161,	8.05E-3, 240023.6488295
162,	8.1E-3, 240752.0413359
163,	8.15E-3, 241656.369868
164,	8.2E-3, 242247.9964353
165,	8.25E-3, 245561.0195976
166,	8.3E-3, 246197.8431254
167,	8.35E-3, 246764.8000842
168,	8.4E-3, 247077.518534
169,	8.45E-3, 247518.8531417
170,	8.5E-3, 247687.7147611
171,	8.55E-3, 248238.3713404
172,	8.6E-3, 248619.9877746
173,	8.65E-3, 248625.3856967
174,	8.7E-3, 249933.4362827
175,	8.75E-3, 250021.4723994
176,	8.8E-3, 250120.5415177
177,	8.85E-3, 250951.611891
178,	8.9E-3, 251170.1497572
179,	8.95E-3, 251829.1388452
180,	9.E-3, 252075.203504
181,	9.05E-3, 253434.9835603
182,	9.1E-3, 253949.9140215
183,	<b>9.15E-3</b> , <b>254855.9036281</b>
184,	9.2E-3, 2548/2.2306311
185,	9.25E-3, 255055.0616528
186,	9.3E-3, 255161.0192462
187,	9.35E-3, 255291.4438788

188,	9.4E-3, 257137.0946024
189,	9.45E-3, 257501.2014016
190,	9.5E-3, 258966.2579515
191,	9.55E-3, 259012.6451334
192,	9.6E-3, 259100.0649377
193,	9.65E-3, 259289.3837005
194,	9.7 <b>E</b> -3, 259768.1414826
195,	9.75E-3, 259810.4523777
196,	9.8E-3, 260177.6916228
197,	9.85E-3, 260620.4330979
198,	9.9E-3, 261403.8795405
199,	9.95E-3, 262929.5666654
200,	1.E-2, 263349.9495276

#### **Output File - BFITO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

The solution is Beta: .1858893E+01

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

MEANX =12.1168219315321MEANY =-5.34883972165613SX2 =0.102189088449329SXY =0.189958590979769B =1.85889309575318LNC =-27.8727163526519

# 6.4.6 Summary of Input/Output Files

# **Input Files**

#### BFITD

This file is opened in BFIT. It contains the indices which define the data base for the least squares algorithm.

#### LOWLIF

This file is opened in BFIT. It contains the failure times produced by a PFM.

### **Output Files**

#### BFITO

This file is opened in BFIT. It provides the results of the parameter estimation.

## **IOUTPR**

This file is opened in BFIT. It contains the data dump provided when the variable **IOUT** is equal to 10.

# 6.4.7 ABTFIT Program

The user's guide for running the prior failure distribution parameter estimation code ABTFIT is given here. The pertinent methodology is discussed in *Section 2.1.1*. The program description and flowcharts are presented in *Section 4.2.3*, and the code structure and listing are provided in *Section 7.4.2*.

The program ABTFIT was used to estimate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ , given  $\beta$  of the prior failure distribution produced by the Probabilistic Failure Modeling (PFM) of this publication.

# 6.4.8 How to Use Program ABTFIT

The program ABTFIT is intended to run in batch (i.e., background) mode. ABTFIT requires *two input files*: PARAMS and LOWLIF. File PARAMS contains the analysis indices, the initial parameter values and scale factors required for the parameter estimation. The file LOWLIF contains the failure times generated by the PFMs. A complete description of the input data for the PARAMS and LOWLIF data files is given in *Section 6.4.9*.

The results from the ABTFIT program are written to *three output files*: ABTOUT, BAYESD and IOUTPR. ABTOUT contains the estimated parameters and the number of iterations involved. BAYESD contains the estimated parameters in the format required by programs LZERO and BAYES. File IOUTPR contains, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations.

# 6.4.9 Description of the Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for PARAMS and LOWLIF are presented in *Figures 6-15* and *6-14*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes with a description of each data line located adjacent to the box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-15* and *6-14* are individually defined in *Sections 6.4.9.1* and *6.4.9.2*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-14* and *6-15* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

20			Output dump controller
20	200	200	the number of lives in LOWLIF
2.75D6	0.0014434	1.80	Initial guesses for $\theta$ and $\alpha$ , and the given value of $\beta$
1.0	1.0	1.0D-4	Scaling factors for $\theta$ , $\alpha$ , and the lives

#### Figure 6-15 Format for File PARAMS

The input data is read by free format statements from files PARAMS and LOWLIF. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. It is recommended that this input format be followed whenever possible.

### 6.4.9.1 Input File PARAMS

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as integer [INT], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters.

#### IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

ABTFIT has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The following integer values control the "dump" of ABTFIT's calculations.

<b>IOUT</b> = 0	no intermediate calculation output
<b>IOUT</b> = 10	parameter estimation calculations
<b>IOUT</b> = 20	nonlinear regression iteration trace

START	END	MTOT
[INT]	[INT]	[INT]

#### **Analysis Indices**

This line contains indices necessary to perform the regression. **START** is the index of the first element of **LIFE(I)** to be used in the regression to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ . **END** is the index of the last element of **LIFE(I)** to be used in the regression to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ . **END** and  $\theta$ . **MTOT** is the total number of lives provided in file LOWLIF.  $1 \leq \text{START} < \text{END} \leq \text{MTOT}$ 

XGUESS(1) XGUESS(2) B

[DRE] [DRE] [DRE]

Initial guesses and  $\beta$ 

Initial guesses of the parameters  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ , and the estimate of  $\beta$  provided by the user. See Section 2.1.1 for a discussion on obtaining initial guesses for  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ .

XSCALE(1)	XSCALE(2)	LSCALE
[DRE]	[DRE]	[DRE]

Scaling factors

Scaling factors for the parameters  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  required by DUNLSJ, and the life scaling factor. The answer is insensitive to the required parameter scaling factors. In the absence of other information, provide a value of 1.0 for the parameter scaling factors, and one over the B1-life<sup>58</sup> for the life scaling factor.

## 6.4.9.2 Input File LOWLIF

The data format for the failure times file is given below.

I FOFN	LIFE(I)
--------	---------

[INT] [DRE] [DRE]

Failure time data

The failure times generated by a PFM. The data is entered as FOFN, LIFE(I) pairs, one pair per line for I = 1, ..., MTOT, where FOFN and LIFE are  $F(N_i)$  and  $N_i$  of Equation 2-10, respectively.

# 6.4.10 Options and Capabilities

ABTFIT is a parameter estimation program which utilizes a nonlinear least squares algorithm to estimate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  of the prior failure distribution, given  $\beta$ . The program requires a list of failure times and their associated failure probabilities. The results consist of the prior failure distribution parameters and the number of iterations required for the nonlinear least squares algorithm. The estimated parameters are also written to file BAYESD in the appropriate format for the assurance calculation program LZERO and the Bayesian program BAYES. A printout of inter-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> A B-life is the value of the failure parameter (e.g., time) at a failure probability specified as a percent: e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

mediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed to the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the **IOUT** = 10 option is used since the information will include all intermediate calculations for each iteration. However, the **IOUT** = 20 option provides information on the convergence of the nonlinear least squares portion of ABTFIT.

# 6.4.11 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the prior failure distribution parameter estimation code ABTFIT was carried out with two hundred failure times, **MTOT** = 200, provided in file LOWLIF. The nonlinear regression to obtain  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  was performed using failure times 20 through 200, **START** = 20 and **END** = 200. The iteration trace was requested, **IOUT** = 20 and written to file IOUTPR. The value of **B** provided by the user was 1.85889309575318.<sup>59</sup> No parameter scaling was used, **XSCALE(1)** = **XSCALE(2)** = 1. The B1-life is on the order of 10<sup>4</sup> so a life scaling factor of **LSCALE** = 10<sup>-4</sup> was used. Section 2.1.1 describes how to choose initial values for  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ :

$$\theta_o = N_{.001}^b = (16450.6)^{1.8589} = 6.8780 \times 10^7$$
  
**XGUESS(1)** =  $(N_{.001} * \text{LSCALE})^b = (16450.6 \times 10^{-4})^{1.8589} = 2.522669$   
**XGUESS(2)** =  $\alpha_o = - \ln .999 / \ln 2 = 0.0014434$ 

If further explanation of files PARAMS or LOWLIF is required, refer to Sections 6.4.9.1 and 6.4.9.2, and Figures 6-15 and 6-14, respectively.

The results of the parameter estimation were written to file ABTOUT with  $\alpha = 0.014826$ ,  $\beta = 1.85889$ , and  $\theta = 1.14996 \times 10^9$ . The number of iterations required to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$  was 13 with 14 function evaluations and 14 Jacobian evaluations.

#### **Input File - PARAMS**

```
20
20 200 200
2.522669 0.0014434 1.85889309575318
1.0 1.0 1.0E-4
```

<sup>59</sup> See Section 6.4.5 for the estimate b of  $\beta$  provided by program BFTT.

# Input File - LOWLIF

1,	5.E-5, 2171.08268607
2,	1.E-4, 2274.610282879
з,	1.5E-4, 5397.61433618
4,	2.E-4, 5436.913987152
5,	2.5E-4, 7590.725113991
6,	3.E-4, 8243.513935069
7,	3.5E-4, 9478.598493334
8,	4.E-4, 9592.334153456
9,	4.5E-4, 10961.29979166
10,	5.E-4, 11248.37224586
11,	5.5E-4, 12006.79530942
12,	6.E-4, 12394.79049961
13,	6.5E-4, 12458.42625798
14,	7.E-4, 12615.58511637
15,	7.5E-4, 13077.76799579
16,	8.E-4, 13245.47144751
17,	8.5E-4, 13298.6206169
18,	9.E-4, 13398.09257794
19,	9.5E-4, 14890.87886404
20,	1.E-3, 16450.60402927
Z1,	1.05E-3, $1/930.50652629$
22,	1.1E-3, 18318./6045862
23,	1.15E-3, $18616.0/9//3/3$
24,	1.22-3, 18825./8120489
25,	1.25E-3, $15031.355402/11 2F 2 10996 02657762$
20,	1.3E-3, 13090.02337703
28	1.352-3, 13030.43021313 1.4E-3, 20283.58254505
29	1.45 = 3, $20203.50254505$
30	1.45E-3, 20710.21920102 1.5E-3 21090 96928527
31.	1.55E-3, $21771.34472699$
32.	1.6E-3. 22473.12998514
33.	1.65E-3, 22483.11184718
34,	1.7E-3. 23031.93757268
35,	1.75E-3, 23576.6407008
36,	1.8E-3, 23744.0658441
37,	1.85E-3, 24557.7138378
38,	1.9E-3, 24806.64062718
39,	1.95E-3, 24983.14710955
40,	2.E-3, 25618.40563241
41,	2.05E-3, 25653.09540362
42,	2.1E-3, 26190.33441654
43,	2.15E-3, 26337.13572945
44,	2.2E-3, 28353.02541956
45,	2.25E-3, 28834.7318554

46,	2.3E-3, 29108.81760869
47,	2.35E-3, 29162.57978578
48,	2.4E-3, 29244.09472359
49,	2.45E-3, 29603.45152701
50,	2.5E-3, 29632.66087405
51,	2.55E-3, 30291.34679017
52,	2.6E-3, 30446.00942229
53,	2.65E-3, 30493.38478737
54,	2.7E-3, 30673.46359312
55,	2.75E-3, 30760.85836022
56,	2.8E-3, 31007.51798871
57,	2.85E-3, 32148.98623899
58,	2.9E-3, 32372.47132738
59,	2.95E-3, 32390.50914646
60,	3.E-3, 32844.36090394
61,	3.05E-3, 33014.88860404
62,	3.1E-3, 33190.12210164
63,	3.15E-3, 33270.0824175
64,	3.2E-3, 34615.02721604
65,	3.25E-3, 34748.27188751
66,	3.3E-3, 34872.98895/36
67,	3.35E-3, 35068.65368964
68,	3.4E-3, 35096.39424284
69,	3.45E-3, $35281.89190532$
70,	3.5E-3, $35425.55970141$
71,	3.55E-3, $35657.72610911$
72,	3.65 = 3, $36588.46946974$
73,	3.05 = 3, 505 =
75	3 75E_3_ 37978.29169689
76	3.8E-3. 39305.80601728
77,	3.85E-3, 39374.22514173
78.	3.9E-3, 39490.47963762
79.	3.95E-3, 39699.64167016
80.	4.E-3, 39709.99000531
81,	4.05E-3, 40508.29704049
82,	4.1E-3, 41214.003737
83,	4.15E-3, 41506.81491461
84,	4.2E-3, 41532.92876058
85,	4.25E-3, 41622.05616043
86,	4.3E-3, 42243.61170326
87,	4.35E-3, 42632.96056193
88,	, 4.4E-3, 42744.1434916
89,	, 4.45E-3, 43060.05554056
90,	, 4.5E-3, 43148.21678663
91,	, 4.55E-3, 43425.31080851
92,	4.6E-3, $43485./33/2142$
93	, 4.65E-J, 4J00J.234/4001
94	, 4./E-3, 430/3.23013103
95	, 4./DE-5, 4400/./7377035

96,	4.8E-3, 4	4466.30256251
97,	4.85E-3,	44838.15511878
98,	4.9E-3, 4	4938.80625138
99,	4.95E-3,	45160.62247766
100,	5.E-3, 4	5420.16962913
101.	5.05E-3.	45470.65979261
102.	5.1E=3.	45652.11464271
103.	5.15E-3.	46127.71679451
104	5 2F-3	46251 00996064
105	5 25F-3	46372 88535658
105,	5.252-5,	40372.00333030
100,	5.3E=3, 4	47127 12100607
107,	5.352-3,	4/13/.1310009/
108,	5.48-3, 4	481/1.3/806459
109,	5.45E-3,	4820/.11856/4/
110,	5.5E-3,	48318.70721881
111,	5.55E-3,	48931.61143896
112,	5.6E-3, 4	49703.44909796
113,	5.65E-3,	49956.33191574
114,	5.7E-3,	50234.61968773
115,	5.75 <b>E</b> -3,	50398.55301772
116,	5.8E-3, !	50644.56677104
117,	5.85E-3,	51204.9658348
118,	5.9E-3, !	52501.46119135
119,	5.95E-3,	52904.03713305
120,	6.E-3, 5	3010.6194896
121,	6.05E-3,	53167.75826465
122,	6.1E-3, !	53357.64587097
123,	6.15E-3,	53468.23112726
124,	6.2E-3, !	53929.93276736
125,	6.25E-3,	54082.80324984
126,	6.3E-3,	54293.44219992
127,	6.35E-3,	54346.43533946
128.	6.4E-3,	55234.56773908
129,	6.45E-3,	55326.77795268
130.	6.5E-3.	55585.55479447
131.	6.55E-3.	55938.43019878
132.	6.6E-3.	56350.38162224
133.	6.65E-3.	56711.10774186
134	6 7E-3	56817 21030027
135	6 75F-3	56967 09611928
135,	6 9E-3	57246 04642495
127	6 05 - J, .	57540.04043433 57566 A7A50A65
120	6.052-3,	5/500.4/V50405
120,	0.7 <u>5</u> -3, :	57013.30134703
140	0.705-3,	3/032.43723033 306 66600330
140,	7.5-3, 5	50460 00020315
141,	/.UDE-3,	30402.899/8311
142,	/.1E-3, !	50702 4.28036547
143,	/.15E-3,	58/82.60363557
144,	7.2E-3,	589/1.56159982
145,	7.25 <b>E-</b> 3,	59110.23542496

.

•

	7 37 3 50133 05734345
146,	7.3E-3, 37122.73734243
147,	7.35E-3, 59272.00177900
148,	7.45-3, $33324.77320000$
149,	7.458-3, 59465.20911555
150,	7.5E-3, 59763.62655003
151,	7.55E-3, 60154.6430209
152,	7.6E-3, 60396.64734766
153,	/.65E-3, 80400.40023433
154,	7.7E-3, 60515.31715525
155,	7.75E-3, 61252.11016151
156,	7.8E-3, 61363.38060049
157,	7.85E-3, 61453.41637645
158,	7.9E-3, 615/8.699/49/5
159,	7.95E-3, 61600.93010134
160,	8.E-3, 62563.0930965
161,	8.05E-3, 63269.80866235
162,	8.1E-3, 63291.9881826
163,	8.15E-3, 63950.16419736
164,	8.2E-3, 63965.346384
165,	8.25E-3, 64102.87159225
166,	8.3E-3, 64415.36565053
167,	8.35E-3, 64541.8794529
168,	8.4E-3, 65163.69082135
169,	8.45E-3, 65519.40846814
170,	8.5E-3, 66120.52340431
171,	8.55E-3, 66308.5054934
172,	8.6E-3, 66494.47817761
173,	8.65E-3, 66554.46816072
174,	8.7E-3, 66844.66829289
175,	8.75E-3, 67103.77873876
176,	8.8E-3, 67345.80119819
177,	8.85E-3, 67352.66901473
178,	8.9E-3, 67546.08780309
179,	8.95E-3, 67603.05652641
180,	9.E-3, 67734.1771025
181,	9.05E-3, 67883.78039904
182,	9.1E-3, 68326.94572489
183,	9.15E-3, 68594.12670141
184,	9.2E-3, 68746.50091648
185,	9.25E-3, 69099.14523026
186,	9.3E-3, 69250.94593986
187,	9.35E-3, 69337.50660218
188,	9.4E-3, 69548.02239665
189,	9.45E-3, 69554.70766341
190,	9.5E-3, 69635.84059297
191,	9.55E-3, 70031.91794349
192,	9.6E-3, 70150.2009716
193,	9.65E-3, 70318.54906011
194,	9.7E-3, 70342.87926757
195,	9.75E-3, 71264.7486166

```
196, 9.8E-3, 71823.56061707
197, 9.85E-3, 72118.48961609
198, 9.9E-3, 72605.2876764
199, 9.95E-3, 73300.5780415
200, 1.E-2, 73318.12226789
```

# **Output File - ABTOUT**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

The solution is Alpha: .148260E-01 Beta: .185889E+01 Theta: .114996E+10 The number of iterations is 13 The number of function evaluations is 14 The number of Jacobian evaluations is 14

# **Output File - BAYESD**

1.85889309575318 1149961573.79229 0.148259825241493D-001

#### **Output File - IOUTPR**

	THETA	ALPHA
F	0.252267E+01	0.144340E-02
J	0.252267E+01	0.144340E-02
F	0.603934E+00	0.215062E-02
J	0.603934E+00	0.215062E-02
F	0.106351E+01	0.218638E-02
J	0.106351E+01	0.218638E-02
F	0.162175E+01	0.241767E-02
J	0.162175E+01	0.241767E-02
F	0.246216E+01	0.282142E-02
J	0.246216E+01	0.282142E-02
F	0.421792E+01	0.359085E-02
J	0.421792E+01	0.359085E-02
F	0.806578E+01	0.503005E-02
J	0.806578E+01	0.503005E-02
F	0.188805E+02	0.856260E-02
J	0.188805E+02	0.856260E-02
F	0.311883E+02	0.119779E-01
J	0.311883E+02	0.119779E-01

F	0.395049E+02	0.141421E-01
J	0.395049E+02	0.141421E-01
F	0.419369E+02	0.147623E-01
J	0.419369E+02	0.147623E-01
F	0.421691E+02	0.148229E-01
J	0.421691E+02	0.148229E-01
F	0.421800E+02	0.148259E-01
J	0.421800E+02	0.148259E-01
F	0.421804E+02	0.148260E-01
J	0.421804E+02	0.148260E-01

# 6.4.12 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### **Input Files**

#### PARAMS

This file is opened in ABTFIT. It contains the indices and parameters for the least squares algorithms.

#### LOWLIF

This file is opened in ABTFIT. It contains the failure times produced by a PFM.

#### **Output Files**

#### ABTOUT

This file is opened in ABTFIT. It provides the results of the parameter estimation.

#### BAYESD

This file is opened in ABTFIT. It contains the parameters of the prior failure distribution for use by programs LZERO and BAYES.

#### IOUTPR

This file is opened in ABTFIT. It contains the data dump provided when the variable IOUT is equal to 10 or 20.

#### 6.4.13 LZERO Program

The user's guide for running LZERO is given here. The program LZERO computes the value of  $\lambda_o$  for a specified assurance level. The resulting value of  $\lambda_o$  determines the reliability function corresponding to that specified assurance level. The pertinent methodology is discussed in Section 2.1.1. The program description and flowcharts are presented in Section 4.2.4, and the code structure and listing are provided in Section 7.4.3. The program LZERO was used to obtain the 95% assurance level failure curves presented in Section 3.

Parameters of the failure distribution  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$ 

5.70 0.44E + 12 0.75E-01



0	Output dump controller
0.95	Desired assurance level, A
1.454742E-11	$\lambda_o$ lower bound, $\lambda_{lb}$
2.0E-11	$\lambda_o$ upper bound, $\lambda_{ub}$

Figure 6-17 Format for File LDAT

#### 6.4.14 How to Use Program LZERO

The program LZERO is intended to run in batch (i.e., background) mode. LZERO requires *two input files*: BAYESD and LDAT. File BAYESD contains the parameters of the prior or posterior failure distribution. The file LDAT contains the desired assurance level, and upper and lower bounds on  $\lambda_o$ . A complete description of the input data for the BAYESD and LDAT data files is given below in *Section 6.4.15*.

The results from the LZERO program are written to *two output files*: LOUT and IOUTPR. LOUT contains the  $\lambda_o$  corresponding to the desired assurance level. File IOUTPR contains, if requested, a dump of intermediate calculations.

### 6.4.15 Description of the Input Data Files

Annotated examples of the complete data file format structure for BAYESD and LDAT are presented in *Figures 6-16* and *6-17*, respectively. The data lines of the input files are given in boxes with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figures 6-16* and *6-17* are individually defined in *Sections 6.4.15.1* and *6.4.15.2*. Input parameter values given in *Figures 6-16* and *6-17* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from files BAYESD and LDAT. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. It is recommended that this input format be followed whenever possible.

# 6.4.15.1 Input File BAYESD

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as integer [INT], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u>; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters.

### BETA THETA ALPHA

[DRE] [DRE] [DRE]

### Failure distribution parameters

 $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\alpha$  of Equation 2-1. They are the parameters of the prior or posterior failure distribution.

#### 6.4.15.2 Input File LDAT

The data format for the LDAT data file is given below.

# IOUT

[INT]

#### Output dump controller

LZERO has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The integer value of 10 controls the "dump" of LZERO's calculations.

#### A

[DRE]

#### Desired assurance level

The user requested assurance level specified as the decimal equivalent percentage.

#### LAML

[DRE]

#### Lower bound on $\lambda_o$

Lower bound  $\lambda_{lb}$  on the value of  $\lambda_o$  provided by the user.

## LAMU

[DRE]

#### Upper bound on $\lambda_o$

Upper bound  $\lambda_{ub}$  on the value of  $\lambda_o$  provided by the user.

# 6.4.16 Options and Capabilities

LZERO is used to calculate  $\lambda_o$  corresponding to the specified level of assurance. A printout of intermediate calculations in various parts of the program may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. This output will be printed to the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the **IOUT** = 10 option is used since the information will include all intermediate calculations for each iteration.

# 6.4.17 Code Execution Example

The following example run of the assurance calculation code LZERO was carried out by using the parameters of the Gamma distribution calculated in Sections 6.4.5 and 6.4.11. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero, hence nothing is written to file IOUTPR. We are interested in calculating  $\lambda_o$  for a 95% assurance level (**A** = 0.95). As described in Section 4.2.4, the  $\lambda_o$  that corresponds to the 95% assurance level is obtained by using Mueller's iteration method. This method requires bounding values for  $\lambda_o$ . The bounding values for  $\lambda_o$  may be obtained by using

$$\lambda = \frac{-\ln\left(1-F\right)}{N^{\beta}}$$

which is Equation 2-1 solved for  $\lambda$ . For the probability level F = .001, the B.1 life  $N = 1.64506 \times 10^4$  and  $\beta = 1.8588931$ , the above equation gives a value for  $\lambda$  of  $1.454742 \times 10^{-11}$ . Use this value for the lower bound  $\lambda_{lb}$ , and try 2.0 x  $10^{-11}$  for  $\lambda_{ub}$ . If further explanation of files BAYESD and LDAT is required, refer to Section 6.4.15.1 and 6.4.15.2, and Figures 6-16 and 6-17, respectively.

The results of the assurance calculation were written to file LOUT with  $\lambda_o = 1.581782 \times 10^{-11}$ , the assurance at  $\lambda_{lb} = 0.9488418$ , and the assurance at  $\lambda_{ub} = 0.9532446$ . If  $\lambda_o$  is not bounded by  $\lambda_{lb}$  and  $\lambda_{ub}$ , LZERO will stop before Mueller's iteration method begins and provide only the assurances at the upper and lower bounds in file LOUT. The assurance results for the bounds can then be used to make subsequent estimates of bounding values for  $\lambda_o$ .

#### **Input File - BAYESD**

```
1.85889309575318 1149961573.79229 0.148259825241493D-001
```

#### **Input File - LDAT**

```
0
0.95
1.454742E-11
2.0E-11
```

#### **Output File - LOUT**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

The Gamma distribution parameters are

Alpha .1482598E-01 Theta .1149962E+10

Lambda lower bound .1454742E-10 Assurance 0.9488418 Lambda upper bound .2000000E-10 Assurance 0.9532446

At an assurance level of 0.9500000 The value of lambda is .1581782E-10

# 6.4.18 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### **Input Files**

#### BAYESD

This file is opened in LZERO. It contains the parameters of the prior or posterior failure distribution provided by program ABTFIT or BAYES.

#### LDAT

This file is opened in LZERO. It contains the desired assurance level and bounding values for  $\lambda_o$ .

#### **Output Files**

#### LOUT

This file is opened in LZERO and contains the results of the assurance calculations.

#### IOUTPR

This file is opened in LZERO only when IOUT = 10, and contains the data dump.

# Section 6.5

# **Bayesian Statistical Procedure User's Guide**

# 6.5.1 BAYES Program

The user's guide for running the Bayesian statistical procedure code BAYES is given here. The Bayesian statistical procedure is discussed in *Section 2.1.1*, the program description and flowcharts are presented in *Section 4.3*, and the code structure and listing are provided in *Section 7.5*.

The BAYES program was used to perform the Bayesian analysis to combine operating experience with the prior failure distribution obtained from probabilistic failure modeling. The output of BAYES consists of the parameters of the posterior failure distribution as given by *Equation 2-2*.

# 6.5.2 How To Use Program BAYES

The program BAYES is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. BAYES requires *one input data file*: BAYESD. The file BAYESD contains the parameters of the prior failure distribution and the operating experience. A complete description of the input data for the BAYESD data file is given in *Section 6.5.3*.

The results from the BAYES program are written to *two output files*: BAYESO and UBAYES. BAYESO contains the echo of the information in BAYESD and the results of the Bayesian analysis. UBAYES contains the parameters of the posterior failure distribution.

# 6.5.3 Description of the Input Data File

An annotated example of the complete data file format structure for BAYESD is presented in *Figure 6-18*. The data lines of the input file are given in boxes with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figure 6-18* are individually defined in *Section 6.5.3.1*. Input parameter values given in *Figure 6-18* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from file BAYESD. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. It is recommended that this input format be followed whenever possible.

Parameters of the prior failure distribution  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$ 

5.70 0.44E+12 0.75E-01

Number of failure and suspension times

2 3	
	<b>1</b>
123	Failure 1, t <sub>1</sub>
75	Failure 2, t <sub>2</sub>
30	Suspension 1, $t_3$
42	Suspension 2, $t_4$
10	Suspension 3, $t_5$

Figure 6-18 Format for File BAYESD

# 6.5.3.1 Input File BAYESD

The required data for the BAYESD file is divided into the two blocks shown in *Figure* 6-19: prior failure distribution parameters and operating experience. The prior failure distribution parameters block contains the distribution parameters  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\alpha$ . The operating experience block contains the number of failure and suspension data points and the operating times.



#### Figure 6-19 Data Blocks for Input File
The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as integer [INT] and real [RE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

# **Prior Failure Distribution Parameters Block**

BETA THETA	ALPHA
------------	-------

[RE] [RE] [RE]

# Prior distribution parameters

 $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\alpha$  of Equation 2-1. They are the parameters of the prior failure distribution.

# **Operating Experience Block**

FAIL SUSP [INT] [INT]

# Number of failure and suspension data points

s and *n-s* of Equation 2-2. They are the number of failure and suspension times.

# TYME(I)

[RE]

# Operating experience times

A block of (FAIL + SUSP) lines must be provided. First, the failure times are provided, one per line for I = 1, ..., FAIL lines. Then, the suspension times are provided one per line for I = (FAIL + 1), ..., (FAIL + SUSP) lines. BAYES can accept up to 50 operating times.

# 6.5.4 Options and Capabilities

BAYES is a Bayesian analysis program which combines the operating experience of a component with the failure distribution obtained from the probabilistic failure model analysis. The program will accept the operating experience as failure and/or suspension times. The results consist of the posterior failure distribution and some B-lives<sup>60</sup> for both the prior and posterior distributions. The parameters of the posterior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> A B-life is the value of the failure parameter (e.g., time) at a failure probability specified as a percent: e.g., B.1 is the failure time at a probability of 0.001 or 0.1%.

failure distribution are written to file UBAYES in the format required for further updating as new operating experience becomes available.

# 6.5.5 Code Execution Example

The following example run of BAYES utilizes only suspension data.<sup>61</sup> The parameters of the prior failure distribution are **BETA** is 5.7, **THETA** is  $4.4\times10^{13}$ , and **ALPHA** is 0.075. The data set consists of no failure times and three suspension times (**FAIL** = 0, and **SUSP** = 3). The posterior failure distribution parameters are contained in file UBAYES and have the values **BETA** is 5.7, **THETUP** is  $4.42052\times10^{13}$ , and **ALPHUP** is 0.075.

#### Input File - BAYESD

5.	70	0.44E+12	0.75E-01
0	3		
30			
42			
10			

# **Output File - BAYESO**

Copyright (C) 1990, California Institute of Technology. U.S. Government Sponsorship under NASA Contract NAS7-918 is acknowledged.

BAYESIAN UPDATING SUMMARY

PRIOR DISTRIBUTION

POSTERIOR DISTRIBUTION

PARAMETERS:

 BETA
 5.700000
 5.700000

 ALPHA
 0.750000E-01
 0.750000E-01

<sup>61</sup> The condition for using failure data requires that it corresponds to the design under analysis. Typically, a failure is not relevant to evaluation of reliability because it will lead to a redesign or a change in operating conditions.

THETA	0.440000E+12	0.442052E+12
LAMBDA	0.170455E-12	0.169663E-12
ETA	0.173808E+03	0.173949E+03
DI TUPO		
B.01	0.345447E+02	0.345729E+02
B.1	0.517963E+02	0.518386E+02

B1 0.784758E+02 0.785399E+02

#### OPERATING EXPERIENCE

NUMBER OF SUSPENSIONS: 3

SUSPENSION TIMES:

0.300000E+02 0.420000E+02 0.100000E+02

# **Output File - UBAYES**

5.70000 0.442052E+12 0.750000E-01

# 6.5.6 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### **Input Files**

#### BAYESD

This file is opened in BAYES. It contains the parameters of the prior failure distribution and the operating experience.

# **Output Files**

BAYESO This file is opened in BAYES. It contains the echo of the information contained in BAYESD and the results of the Bayesian analysis.

# UBAYES

This file is opened in BAYES. It contains the parameters of the posterior failure distribution.

# Section 6.6

# **Reference Time History Generation User's Guide**

# 6.6.1 NBSIN Program

The user's guide for running the time history generation code NBSIN is given here. The narrow-band time history generation is accomplished by means of the AR(1) process (autoregressive process of order one) discussed in *Section 2.1.4*. The program description and flowchart are presented in *Section 4.5*, and the code structure and listing are provided in *Section 7.7*.

The program NBSIN was used to generate the reference narrow-band and accompanying sinusoidal reference time histories required in order to construct the stresstime histories used in the High Cycle Fatigue (HCF) analyses of this report.

# 6.6.2 How To Use Program NBSIN

The program NBSIN is intended to be run in batch (i.e., background) mode. NBSIN requires one input data file: NBSIN. File NBSIN contains all parameters required for time history generation including storage filenames, frequencies, load sets, amplitudes, and phase angles. A complete description of the input data for the NBSIN data file is given in Section 6.6.3.

The results from the NBSIN program are written to file IOUTPR and to as many as *twenty-nine user-specified output files*. These time history storage files contain the time histories generated by NBSIN. File IOUTPR, if requested, contains a dump of the intermediate calculations.

# 6.6.3 Description of the Input Data File

An annotated example of the complete data file format structure for NBSIN is presented in *Figure 6-20*. The data lines of the input file are given in boxes, with a description of each data line located adjacent to each box. The specific input parameters of *Figure 6-20* are individually defined in *Section 6.6.3.1*. Input parameter values given in *Figure 6-20* are not necessarily those used in the application case studies of *Section 3*.

The input data is read by free format statements from file NBSIN. Thus, the numbers may be provided sequentially on a line up to 80 characters in length, with each number separated by a blank character or comma. Each number may also be on a separate line in the file. It is recommended that this input format be followed whenever possible.

#### **Generation parameters**

1275	Random number seed
0	Value of output dump controller
500	f
0.05	Damping coefficient, $\xi$
10	N
1.0	Т
1.0E+36	Clipping level (no clipping desired)
0.0	Phase angle shift, $\psi$
6 6 6 1 6	Number of narrow-band and sinusoidal processes

.

# Narrow-band process information

'XP'	1.0	236.	File name 1, $\sigma_{N1}$ , $f_{o1}$
ידאי	1.0	634.	File name 2, $\sigma_{N2}$ , $f_{02}$
'XM2'	1.0	424.	File name 3, $\sigma_{N3}$ , $f_{o3}$
'XM3'	1.0	386.	File name 4, $\sigma_{N4}$ , $f_{O4}$
'XV2'	1.0	740.	File name 5, $\sigma_{N5}$ , $f_{05}$
'XV3'	1.0	358.	File name 6, $\sigma_{N6}$ , $f_{O6}$
'YP'	1.0	840.	File name 7, $\sigma_{N7}$ , $f_{07}$
ידי	1.0	800.	File name 8, $\sigma_{N8}$ , $f_{o8}$
'YM2'	1.0	275.	File name 9, $\sigma_{N9}$ , $f_{09}$
'YM3'	1.0	320.	File name 10, $\sigma_{N10}$ , $f_{o10}$
'YV2'	1.0	1040.	File name 11, $\sigma_{N11}$ , $f_{011}$
'YV3'	1.0	1011.	File name 12, $\sigma_{N12}$ , $f_{o12}$
'ZP'	1.0	1404.	File name 13, $\sigma_{N13}$ , $f_{013}$
'ZT'	1.0	1018.	File name 14, $\sigma_{N14}$ , $f_{o14}$
'ZM2'	1.0	1224.	File name 15, $\sigma_{N15}$ , $f_{o15}$
'ZM3'	1.0	1336.	File name 16, $\sigma_{N16}$ , $f_{o16}$
'ZV2'	1.0	1392.	File name 17, $\sigma_{N17}$ , $f_{017}$
'ZV3'	1.0	1394.	File name 18, $\sigma_{N18}$ , $f_{o18}$
'AERO'	1.0	1780.	File name 19, $\sigma_{N19}$ , $f_{o19}$

Sinusoidal process information

'SIN1'	1.0	500.	7.4703	File name 1, $A_1$ , $f_{c1}$ , $\varphi_1$
'SIN2'	1.0	600.	90.3813	File name 2, $A_2$ , $f_{c2}$ , $\varphi_2$
'SIN3'	1.0	1000.	134.2636	File name 3, $A_3$ , $f_{c3}$ , $\varphi_3$
'SIN4'	1.0	1500.	176.7569	File name 4, $A_4$ , $f_{C4}$ , $\varphi_4$
'SIN5'	1.0	1800.	-69.0515	File name 5, $A_5$ , $f_{c5}$ , $\varphi_5$
'SIN6'	1.0	2000.	82.2972	File name 6, $A_6$ , $f_{c6}$ , $\varphi_6$

Figure 6-20 Format for File NBSIN

.



Figure 6-21 Data Blocks for the NBSIN Input File

# 6.6.3.1 Input File NBSIN

The required data for the NBSIN file is divided into the three blocks shown in *Figure* 6-21: generation parameters, narrow-band process information, and sinusoidal process information. The generation parameters block contains the common parameters and the keys to select the program options. The time history file name, magnitude, and frequency for each narrow-band process are contained in the narrow-band process information block. The sinusoidal process information block contains the time history file name, amplitude, frequency, and phase angle for each sinusoidal process.

The input parameters are described below by using the following convention: the input variable names are indicated by **BOLD UPPERCASE** letters; the variable types are specified as character [CHR], integer [INT], real [RE], and double precision real [DRE]; the function of the variable is <u>underlined</u> and followed by a description and a list of options when appropriate; the program and file names are indicated by UPPERCASE letters. A consistent set of units is given in parentheses for specifying input parameters. All character strings must be enclosed by 'single quotes'. The user is reminded about the difference between the number "0" and the letter "O" when preparing the input files.

# **Generation Parameters Block**

RAND

Random number seed Needed by NBSIN's built-in random number generator.

# IOUT

[INT]

# Output dump controller

NBSIN has the ability to write intermediate calculations to file IOUTPR. The integer value of 10 controls the "dump" for NBSIN's calculations.

# F

[DRE]

# Frequency controlling time increment

f of Equation 2-58. It is the frequency used to calculate the time increment and it is usually the maximum of all the narrow-band and sinusoidal frequencies.

# XC

[DRE]

Damping Coefficient  $\overline{\xi}$  of Equation 2-58, the damping coefficient.

# Ν

[INT]

Number of points per cycle of frequency **F** This is used to calculate the time increment. The program requires a positive value.<sup>62</sup>

# LAST

[DRE]

Length of generated time history The length of time T in seconds to be simulated by NBSIN.<sup>63</sup>

# CLIP

[DRE]

# Peak clipping level

The user may specify a peak clipping level for the narrow-band time histories. All peaks having absolute values larger than CLIP will be set equal to CLIP. If no clipping

<sup>62</sup> N is discussed on Page 2-30.

<sup>63</sup> T is discussed on Page 2-30.

is desired, then set CLIP equal to a number larger than any peaks that are likely to be generated. CLIP must be positive.

# SHIFT

[DRE]

#### Sinusoidal phase angle shift, $\psi$

The user may specify a phase angle for shifting all sinusoidal processes. The sinusoid arguments will all be shifted this amount (degrees). If no shifting is desired, then set **SHIFT** equal to zero.

NRAND(1)	NRAND(2)	NRAND(3)	NRAND(4)	NSIN
[INT]	[INT]	[INT]	[INT]	[INT]

Number of narrow-band and sinusoidal time histories

NBSIN can generate up to a total of nineteen narrow-band processes with up to four loads sets. NBSIN can generate up to ten sinusoidal processes. Non-negative values are required.

NRAND(1)	the number of narrow-band time histories in load set 1
NRAND(2)	the number of narrow-band time histories in load set 2
NRAND(3)	the number of narrow-band time histories in load set 3
NRAND(4)	the number of narrow-band time histories in load set 4
NSIN	the number of sinusoidal time histories

# Narrow-band Process Information Block

HISNAM(K)	SIGMAN(K)	FO(K)
[CHR]	[DRE]	[DRE]

# Narrow-band process generation information

A block of NRAND(0) lines must be provided. K goes from 1 to NRAND(0).<sup>64</sup> The line contains the time history storage file name,  $\sigma_N$ , and  $f_o$ . The file name is a character string up to six characters long enclosed by single quotes.  $\sigma_N$  of Equation 2-58, is the magnitude of the narrow-band process, and must be positive. It must be set equal to 1.0 when a reference time history is being generated.  $f_o$  (Hz) of Equation 2-58 is the frequency of the narrow-band process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> The total number of narrow-band time histories, NRAND(0) = NRAND(1) + NRAND(2) + NRAND(3) + NRAND(4).

# Sinusoidal Process Block

HISNAM(J)	A(J)	FC(J)	PHASE(J)
[CHR]	[DRE]	[DRE]	[DRE]

#### Sinusoidal process generation information

A block of **NSIN** lines must be provided. J goes from 1 to **NSIN**. The line contains the time history storage file name, A,  $f_c$ , and  $\varphi$ . The file name is a character string up to six characters long enclosed by single quotes. A is the amplitude of the sinusoidal process, and must be positive. It must be set equal to 1.0 when a reference time history is being generated.  $f_c$  (Hz) is the frequency for the sinusoidal process, and  $\varphi$  (rad) is the phase angle.

# 6.6.4 Options and Capabilities

NBSIN is a simulation program which can be used to generate reference time histories for use with the HCF analysis programs DCTHCF and HEXHCF. The simulation uses AR(1) processes to generate an approximation to a narrow-band process. The approximation ensures that the spectral density function of the AR(1) process closely follows the spectral density function of the narrow-band process in the neighborhood of their peaks. The program also generates sinusoidal processes at the same time increments for use in composite load HCF cases. For applications to date, as many as 4 load sets for a total of 19 narrow-band random and 10 sinusoidal processes have been generated simultaneously with each reference time history composed of up to 25,000 points.

The reference time history components have scale factors A = 1 and  $\sigma_N = 1$ . Formation of the composite stress-time history by specifying A and  $\sigma_N$  values takes place in the Probabilistic Failure Model (PFM). Currently, NBSIN can generate up to **NRAND(0)** = 19 narrow-band, in four load sets, and **NSIN** = 10 sinusoidal processes of up to 25,000 points simultaneously.

A printout of intermediate calculations may be obtained via the **IOUT** option. The information will be printed in the IOUTPR file. It is recommended that such output not be requested when the simulation size is large since the information will be dumped at every time increment.

# 6.6.5 Code Execution Example

The following example run of NBSIN is a substantially reduced version of the HPOTP main discharge duct HCF analysis reference time history generation. This will generate two narrow-band processes and one sinusoidal process (NRAND(1) = NRAND(2) = 1, NRAND(3) = NRAND(4) = 0 and NSIN = 1). The largest of the

three frequencies is 2000 Hz, so this is used for F. The damping coefficient, XC is 0.03333 or 1/30. Ten points per cycle of frequency F are specified (N = 10) together with a history length T of  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  seconds. No clipping or phase angle shifting is desired (CLIP =  $10^{36}$  and SHIFT = 0).

The three processes simulated for this problem are:

TYPE	NAME	FREQUENCY	PHASE ANGLE
1. Narrow-band	Axial	1306	NA
2. Narrow-band	Moment	498	NA
3. Sinusoid	Sin	2000	-13.1221

Since the three component processes are reference time histories, corresponding  $\sigma_N$  and A are set equal to one (SIGMAN(1) = SIGMAN(2) = A(1) = 1.0). The rationale for the specification of the processes is given in Section 3.

The generated reference time histories are in the user-specified output files AXIAL, MOMENT, and SIN. The dump parameter **IOUT** is zero; therefore, no output is in file IOUTPR.

#### Input File - NBSIN

1275 0 2000 0.03333 10 0.0005 1.0E+36 0.0 1 1 0 0 1 'AXIAL' 1.0 1306. 'MOMENT' 1.0 498. 'SIN' 1.0 2000. -13.1221

# **Output File - IOUTPR**

0

**Output File - AXIAL** 

```
-1.02049947079946
-0.981144655736187
-0.684737677520974
-0.366017655522998
0.158197622376050
```

0.364337198737643 0.430778879959374 0.603244733602086 0.680397341762041 0.500667800781674

.

# **Output File - MOMENT**

-1.88608227754315 -2.01955579985522 -2.15463039452833 -2.21239214023729 -2.16788029353809 -1.92173426909737 -1.69366840411679 -1.74476896203846 -1.59120552672873 -1.29450122918237

#### **Output File - SIN**

```
0.973888469945478
0.921335424736327
0.516863543379789
-0.850326546259054D-001
-0.654449266970346
-0.973888489792803
-0.921335390749091
-0.516863468539960
0.850327417320549D-001
0.654449333071226
```

# 6.6.6 Summary of Input/Output Files

#### Input Files

#### NBSIN

This file is opened in NBSIN. It contains all parameters required for the time history generation including storage file names, frequencies, load sets, amplitudes, and phase angles.

.

#### **Output Files**

#### **IOUTPR**

This file is opened in NBSIN. It contains the data dump provided when the variable **IOUT** is equal to 10.

User Specified These are the time history storage files and are opened in NBSIN. They contain the time histories generated by NBSIN. -

.

.