$N94 - 21912$

Role of the Area Postrema in Three Putative Measures of Motion Sickness in the Rat

RICHARD L. **SUTTON,* ROBERT A. Fox,* AND NANCY G. DAUNTONt "l**

***Department** *of Psychology, San Jose State University, San Jose, California 95192, and* fNASA-Ames *Research Center, Moffett Field, CalOrornia 94035*

Alter thermal cauterization of the area **postrema in rats the absence of conditioned taste aversion tosucrose paired with lithium chloride (0.15** *M,* 3.3 ml/kg) **was used as a pharmacologic/behavioral index** of **area postrema damage. In a subsequent** =experiment **the effects of area postrema lesions on three** measures **proposed as species-relevant measures of motion sickness were studied, using off.vertical rotation at 150°/s for either** 30 **or 90** rain. **Lesions of area postrema did not alter postrotational suppression of drinking or amount of defecation during motion. The initial acquisition of conditioned taste aversion to a novel cider vinegar solution paired with motion was not affected by lesioning of the area postrema, but these** taste **aversions extinguished** more **slowly in lesioned rats than in shamoperates or intact controls. Results are discussed in terms of proposed humoral factors which** may **induce motion sickness and in light of recent data on the role of the area** postrema **in similar measures in species** possessing **the complete emetic reflex. © 19u ^¢_lem_** _,_s, **inc.**

Conditioned taste **aversion (CTA)** to **novel-tasting foods paired with** toxicosis is a well-documented behavioral **paradigm which seems** related to the natural tendency of animals to avoid ingestion of toxic **substances (Barker, Best, & Domjan, 1977; Garcia, Hankins, & Rusniak, 1974).** Research on the underlying **physiological** mechanisms of CTA suggests that drug-induced CTA **can** be mediated by at least three neural pathways. For example, aversions resulting from gastrointestinal irritation caused by copper sulfate apparently depend on vagal afferents (Coil, Rogers, Garcia, & Novin, 1978; but, see Rabin, Hunt, & Lee, 1985) and those produced by blood-borne **toxins such as lithium** chloride (LiCI) **depend on the area postrema (ALP) (Ritter, McGlone, & Kelley, 1980). The integrity**

' Supported by San Jose State University Foundation Research Grant 09-01-6800.76 and by **Cooperative Agreement** NCC **2-OR675-801 between NASA-Ames Research Center and San Jose State University. A preliminary** report **of the data was presented at the 13th Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience, Boston, MA, |983. We thank Dr. D.** A. **Hovda for** assisting **with the statistical analyses. The** experiments **were conducted at Ames Research Center and conformed** to **the Center's requirements for the care and** use **of animals. Send requests for reprints to** Dr. **Fox.**

133

0163-1047/88 \$3.00 Copyright $@$ **1988** by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

NOT FILME

i

q

ł,

of the **AP is not a necessary** condition **for** the **formation of** CTA **induced** by **some nontoxic unconditioned stimuli (US), such as amphetamine (Berger, Wise, & Stein, 1973, Rabin, Hunt, & Lee, 1987; Ritter et** al., **1980). However, amphetamine-induced CTA is prevented** by **lesions of** the **dorsolateral tegmentum (Wellman, Mclntosh, & Guidi, 1981).**

Lesioning of the **AP, a circumventricular organ located on** the **floor of the fourth ventricle,** has **implicated** this **structure in mediation of the emetic response to drugs (Borison, 1974; Borison &** Wang, **1953) as well** as **to X-irradiation (Brizzee, Neal, & Williams, 1955; Wang, Renzi, & Chinn, 1958). In addition, the AP** has been **proposed** to be **a critical structure in the motion sickness reflex arc (Brizzee, Ordy,** & **Mehler, 1980; Wang & Chinn, 1954). Studies in the rat** have **shown that AP lesions attenuate or abolish CTA induced by** many **drugs including LiCl and** methylscopolamine **(Berger et al., 1973; McGlone, Ritter, & Kelley, 1980; Ossenkopp, 1983; Ritter et al., 1980) as well** as **CTA caused** by **X-irradiation (Ossenkopp & Giugno, 1985; Rabin, Hunt, & Lee, 1983). Since the rat is incapable of vomiting (Hatcher, 1924) it** has **been sugge.sted** that CTA produced by rotational stimulation in the rat (Braun & McIntosh, 1973) may be a species-specific manifestation of motion sickness (Mitchell, Krusemark, **& Harrier, 1977). This pro_s__** _ _A **in nonemetlc=species** may rc_tl-ec-(mot|onsickness **Seems feaSible s_nc¢ whole'body motion produces** CTA to novel food in the squirrel monkey (Roy & Brizzee, **1979). If** CTA **induced by motion is to** be **considered a measure of motion sickness,** then **it is expected that common neural pathways should mediate** both **CTA and the emetic reflex. However, contrary** to **expectations from studies on** the **role of** the AP in **dog (Wang** & **Chinn, 1954) and squirrel monkey (Brizzee et** al._ **1980), ossenkopp (1983) found that iesi0ning Of** the **AP in** rat *enhanced* rather than **prevented development of motioninduced** CTA. _ **"**

Haroutunian, Riccio, and Gans (1976) proposed that **the suppression of** drinking followi_rotaiion **is** another **use_|_index of m0ti0fi sickness: in the** rat. **These authors reported that the degree of suppression of** postrotational **intake of** water **by thirsty** rats was **directly related to the duration of treatment, consistent with the** finding **that the** magnitude **of** motion-induced **CTA increases with longer periods of rotation (Green** & **Rachlin, 1976). Thus, both motion-induced CTA** and **suppression of drinking** are **sensitive to the** magnitude **(or dose) of rotation, in a manner similar to the dose-dependent effects reported for drug-induced CTAs (Nachman & Ashe, 1973; Rabin et all., 1987; Rauschenberger,** _1979)_: an salah s

The importance of defecation as **a symptom of motion sickness in man (Money, 1970)** has **led to its inclusion** into **scales rating the severity of motion sickness in cat (Suri, Crampton, & Daunton, 1979) and monkey (lgarashi,**..... **lsago, O_Uchi, Kulecz, Homick, & Reschke, 1983).** Ossenkopp and **Frisken (1982) reported that rats subjected to motion exl_'bit significant**

AREA POSTREMA AND MOTION SICKNESS IN RAT 135

increases in defecation during motion compared to sham-rotated rats and concluded that defecation was a species-relevant indicator **of** motion sickness in the rat.

The experiments reported here were conducted to investigate further the role of the AP in the formation of motion-induced CTA and to evaluate the usefulness of defecation and suppression of drinking as measures of motion sickness. Before initiating conditioning procedures using motion as the US, conditioning using LiC! as the US was **conducted** in order to identify animals with effective lesions of the AP and to facilitate the assignment of animals to motion conditions. Both "moderate" (30 min) and "severe" (90 min) motion conditions were used in the experiment. Although it has *been* shown that the magnitude of CTA and the degree of suppression of drinking are directly related to the duration or severity of rotation, most studies on motion-induced CTA have used **only** severe motion conditions [cf. Ossenkopp (1983) who reported that ablation of the AP did not block CTA]. The duration of motion was varied in this experiment to investigate whether the role of the *AP* in motion-induced CTA depends upon the intensity of the US as is the case with drug-induced CTA, which is mediated in a dose-dependent manner with high, but not low, doses of LiCI (Rauschenberger, 1979) and amphetamine (Rabin et al., 1987) inducing CTA even after complete ablation of the AP.

METHODS

Subjects

A total of 120 hooded rats of the Long-Evans strain were used in the experiments. Animals were housed in individual wire mesh cages (18 \times 25×20 cm) on a 12:12 h light:dark schedule with lights on at 0700. Both food and water were available ad libitum until conditioning procedures were initiated.

Apparatus and Materials

The rotation apparatus consisted of a holding cage on an aluminum disk mounted on a gear reduction box driven by a variable-speed motor. To produce off-vertical rotation, the aluminum platform was tilted 20* from earth vertical and was rotated at 150°/s. The duration of rotation was for 30 min in the "moderate" condition and 90 min in the "severe" rotation condition. A holding cage bolted to the rotation **platform** was constructed of clear Plexiglas and contained five tiers of four compartments, each measuring $18 \times 19 \times 14$ cm. The bottom of each compartment was **fitted** with hardware **cloth** which **contained grids large** enough **to allow fecal** boll to **fall to the floor of** the **compartment. Each animal was unrestrained** within **a compartment and** was **able to orient toward or away from** the **axis of rotation. Thus, depending on** body **orientation, a** t

ij.

centrifugal force **of** up **to 0.16g could** be **present at the** head **of** an animal during **rotation.** A similar compartmentalized box **made of** Plexiglas was used for confining animals **for** no-motion control conditions.

The flavored solutions used **in** the experiments were 10% (w/v) sucrose and a 4% (v/v) cider **vinegar** solution (Heinz; **pH =** 3.75). Solutions were **provided to** animals **in standard water** bottles **fitted with rubber** stoppers holding stainless steel **drinking tubes** which contained steel **balls** to **minimize leakage. The** amount **of** fluid consumed **by** each animal **during** all **drinking** periods was **determined** by weighing **the** water bottles **before** and after **each** period **of** drinking.

Procedures

Surgery. Animals were 132 to 134 days old at the time surgery was performed and were **randomly** assigned so **that** 25% were intact controls, 25% were sham-operated controls, and **50%** were subjected **to** lesion of **the** AP. The sham procedures and AP **lesions** were performed while animals were anesthetized by a l ml/kg im injection of a mixture of Ketamine (50%), Rompun (25%), Acepromazine (10%), and physiological saline (15%). After mounting animals in a stereotaxic holder with **the** head in a ventroflexed position **the** occipital bone was **exposed** and **the** foramen magnum was carefully enlarged with a **rongeur** instrument, The *:_* area of the **obex** was visualized with a dissecting microscope (Zeiss, Model **30-06-02)** and the posterior **medullary** velum was cut to allow cerebrospinal fluid to **escape from the fourth ventricle. The** cerebellum was **gently lifted rostrally to** allow access **to the** AP. A **loop-tip cautery** (Accu-Temp, Concept, Inc., **Model 4400) formed to** the shape and size **of** the AP **was used to** *make* **thermal** ablations. **The** neck *muscles* and scalp **were** then sutured closed. Sham-lesioned control animals **were** subjected **to the** same surgical procedures but the AP was not **cauterized.** Of the 90 rats **in** the **lesion** and sham control **groups, two** animals died shortly after surgery and three were euthanized in the postsurgical recovery period when they showed signs **of** neurological pathology **reflecting** brainstem damage. Thus, at **the** time conditioning **procedures** began **there** were **30 intact** controls, **28** sham controls, and **57 lesioned** animals.

Animals were weighed **once every third day over** a 34-day period **of recovery to determine the effects of** AP **lesions** and/or surgery **on** body weight before **initiating** conditioning **procedures.** At **the end of** this postsurgical **recovery** period animals were **randomly** assigned **to one of** nine **groups formed** by **the factorial** combination **of** three motion **conditions** and three **lesion** conditions.

Drinicing *schedules.* Access **to** water was **limited to 20** rain **per day** during conditioning **procedures,** with **two 10-rain drinking** periods used **in** each **experiment.** All animals **were** adapted **to** a **restricted drinking** schedule which allowed **10 min of** access to tap water **in the** home cage

Fr

AREA POSTREMA AND MOTION **SICKNESS IN** RAT 137

every 24 h for 6 **days.** Additionally, **one** half **of the** animals received **10** min of access to water in the home cage 1 h after the first drinking period and **the** other animals **received** a second 10-rain access **to tap** water 2 h after **their** first daily access period. This **second** period of access **to** water was provided **to** ensure **that** animals were adequately hydrated and **to** allow for measures of drinking suppression after conditioning with rotation. The second daily drinking periods were scheduled to allow 30 min for **transferring** animals **to** and from **the** rotation apparatus and **the** home cages on **the** rotation conditioning day.

Conditioning with LiCl. After 6 days of adaptation **to the** restricted drinking **schedule** all animals were given access **to** a novel-tasting 10% sucrose solution during the first 10-min access period on Day 7 (LiCl conditioning day). Immediately following **removal** of **this** sucrose **solution the** animals were injected with 0.15 *M* LiCi (3.3 ml/kg, ip). Tap water was again provided during the first 10-min drinking period on **the eighth** and ninth days and on Day l0 (test day) **the** animals were given a second opportunity **to ingest the** sucrose solution.

The purpose of this LiCI conditioning experiment was **to** assess behaviorally **the** success of **the** AP **lesions** since previous **studies** have **shown that** AP **lesions** block *CTA* induced by LiCI at **this** dose range. **In** this experiment, **the** ratio of sucrose intake on **the test** day **to** intake on **the** conditioning day was used **to** measure the degree of conditioning. If an animal drank at **least** 20% less sucrose on **the test** day **than** on **the** conditioning day, **this** was taken as evidence that a CTA **to sucrose** had been acquired. Thus, if an animal had an aversion ratio of 0.80 or less the AP **lesion** was assumed **to** be incomplete. On **the** basis of **these** ratios **several** lesioned animals were **shifted** from **their** original motion group assignments **to** different conditions of rotation in an attempt **to equate the** number of **successfully** lesioned animals in **each** of the **experimental** conditions. (Three sham-operated controls with **low** aversion **ratios** were also assigned to motion conditions different from those originally determined by random assignment procedures.)

Conditioning with rotation. **Following** conditioning with LiCI animals were given **tap** water during both drinking periods for 4 **days.** On **the** motion conditioning day (Day 15) a **4% solution** of cider vinegar was **substituted** for **tap** water during **the** first drinking session. **Following this** drinking session animals were placed into **the** Plexiglas holding chambers for appropriate **treatments.** Rotation began 15 min after **removal** of **the** cider solution, allowing **time** for **transfer** of animals from **the home** cages **to the** Plexiglas chambers. Animals assigned to motion **treatment** conditions were **rotated** at 150°/s for **either 30** or 90 rain. Animals in corresponding no-motion control conditions were confined in Plexiglas compartments placed adjacent **to the rotation** device so **that they** were subjected **to similar** noises and vibrations as were rotated animals for **either 30** or 90

'1

min. Data on the acquisition and extinction rate of CTA were obtained by providing the cider vinegar **solution** during **the** first drinking period on Day 18 (test day) and on Day 21 and Day 24 (extinction trials). Only **tap** water was offered during either drinking period on all other days. On **the** conditioning day **tap** water was presented **15** min after **rotation,** allowing **time** for **the transfer** of animals **from the** Plexiglas compartments back **to their** home cages.

After completion of **the** conditioning **tests,** animals were deeply an**esthetized** with sodium pentobarbitol and peffused **transcardially** with isotonic **saline** followed by 10% formalin. **Brains** were **stored** in **10%** formalin for at **least** 7 days and **then transferred to** a **30%** sugar solution for 2 **to 3** days prior **to** sectioning on a freezing microtome. Coronal sections of 50 μ m were cut at the level of the AP, mounted onto gelled **slides,** and stained with cresyl violet for microscopic examination.

RESULTS

Histology

The extent of each lesion was rated on a 5-point scale with the following descriptive markers: 1 and 2 = incomplete lesions; $3 =$ subpostrema intact but AP destroyed; $4 =$ precise lesion of the AP and subpostrema; 5 = AP destroyed but surrounding tissue also damaged (e.g., damage to the nucleus of the solitary tract and/or the fasciculus gracilis). By **these**criteria**lesions**were **incompletein 19 animals;**data from these animals were not utilized in further analyses. Of the 38 remaining animals, thearea **subpostrema**was **leftintactin**8 animals,precise**lesions**of **the** AP and subpostrema were found **in** 23 **animals,and** damage **to** areas bordering the AP was observed in 7 animals. No evidence of damage to AP was found for rats in the sham control group. Coronal sections of **the** brainstemshowing **the** AP as seen **in** a **sham-operatedanimal** and **inanimals**with **lesion**ratingsof 2,**4,**or 5 **are** presentedin Fig.**I.**

Adaptation to Restricted Drinking Schedules

The average consumption of tap water for animals in the three lesion conditions during the 4 days preceding conditioning with LiCI (upper panel) and with motion (lower panel) is presented in Fig. 2. Effects of the experimental variables on the consumption of water during each **heriod** of adaptation to the restricted drinking regimen were assessed by computing separate 3 (Lesion Group) \times 2 (Drinking Periods) \times 4 (Con**secutive Days)** mixed **analysis of** Variance **(ANOVA)** with **repeated** mea **._** = **sures on the last two factors.**

As expected, **animals consumed more water in the first drinking** period **than** in the second during both baseline phases $[F(s(1, 93)) > 477.94$, *p*'s **<** .001]. **The animals** exhibited **a significant increase in consumption during the adaptation** period **preceding conditioning with LiCi [F(3,279)**

AREA POSTREMA AND MOTION SICKNESS **IN RAT 139**

. (

caus
ation tli है _दू है Fig. 1. Coronal sections through the brainstem at the level of the obex showing (A) the AP from a rat in the sham-operated control group;
(B) a representative section from an animal with sparing of the rostral AP (lesion _ |._ ين
العالم
2011
2011 _'_ _ _ _• _. *,,* ._ **_** _ ,._-_ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$ $\frac{1}{9}$

;l

 $\pmb{\mathfrak{f}}$

ł

 \int

ł

ŧ

ſ

140 SUTTON, FOX, AND DAUNTON

Fro. 2. Mean **tap** water **consumption** for **animals in the three lesion conditions during the last 4** days **of adaptation to limited water access prior to conditioning with LiC! (upper** panel) **and prior to conditioning with motion (lower panel). The average intake of tap water** for **each lesion** group **is shown** for **the first and second 10-rain drinl6ng periods** for **both the LiCI and motion baseline phases of the experiment.**

 $=$ 8.93, $p \lt 0.001$ as they became adjusted to the restricted drinking **regimen** (upper panel, Fig. 2). The interaction of Drinking Periods with Days $\overline{F(3, 279)} = 8.76$, $p < .001$ in this baseline phase suggests that this **increase is due** primarily to **increased** consumption during **the** first **drinking** period, as **reflected** in Fig. 2. In the baseline period preceding conditioning **with motion** as **the US** (lower **panel, Fig. 2),** there was no_ reliable change in consumption over days ($F < 1$) and there was no **interaction of Drinking Periods** with **Days** (F < **I), indicating that** the animals were **fully** adapted to the **drinking** regimen by this point **in** the **experiment.**

In both baseline phases there was a **reliable** interaction **of Lesion** Groups with Drinking Periods $[F s(2, 93) > 8.76, p's < .001]$. These effects reflect the **different** drinking pattern **of the lesioned** animals compared **to that of the** control and sham animals. The **total intake of the three lesion** groups **did** not **differ** (F's < **1),** but the average consumption **of lesioned** animals **was** consistently **less than that of** control and sham animals **in** the first **drinking** session and consistently **more** than control and sham

n

b

animals consumption in the second drinking session (Fig. 2). This lower **fluid** consumption by lesioned animals in the first daily drinking session, combined with their compensatory increased intake in the second daily drinking sessions, suggests that ablation of the AP may interfere with physiological mechanisms involved in the initiation of drinking or with regulation of water balance.

Conditioning with LiCl as the US

As a pharmacologic/behavioral method for identifying animals with incomplete lesion of the AP, the strength of CTA produced by LiCi toxicity was examined. The relationship between CTA and the extent of damage to the *AP* was assessed by computing correlations between the histological ratings for the extent of lesions and the aversion ratios calculated to determine the strength of LiCl-induced CTA. The correlation obtained for these ratios and the 5-point histological ratings for extent of damage to the AP for all 57 of the lesioned animals suggested that there was a weak inverse relationship between CTA to **sucrose** and the extent of the lesions $[r(50) = 0.32, p < .05]$. However, when the analysis was restricted to those 38 animals with lesion ratings of 3, 4, or 5 there was no reliable correlation between the sucrose aversion ratios and the extent of the lesions. This finding indicates that complete ablation of the *AP* (rating 3) was sufficient to block LiCI-induced CTA (resulting in aversion ratios **greater** than 0.80) and further damage incorporating the subpostrema (rating 4) or adjacent areas (rating 5) did not reliably alter this effect.

Sucrose intake in the first drinking period: CTA. The average **fluid** consumption for animals in the three lesion conditions during **conditioning** with LiCI as the US is presented in Fig. 3. To control for the different drinking pattern induced by AP lesions, fluid consumption was analyzed using repeated measures analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). TO determine the reactions of animals to the novel-tasting sucrose solution a 3 (Lesion Group) \times 2 (Days 6 and 7) ANCOVA, with repeated measures on the second factor and water consumption on Day 5 as the covariate, was computed. *As* reflected in the upper panel of Fig. 3, the consumption of sucrose on conditioning day (CD) was not reliably different from consumption of tap water on Day $6(Fs \le 1$ for Days and the Lesion \times Days interaction). The pattern of reduced fluid intake by lesioned animals compared with control and sham animals in the first drinking **period** was **present on** the **CD,** when the animals **consumed** sucrose solution $[F(1, 92) = 9.434, p < .01]$, as it was during baseline when the animals drank tap water.

Overall analyses of the **conditioning** data (upper right panel of Fig. 3) were conducted using a 3 (Lesion Group) \times 2 (Days 7 and 10) ANCOVA, with repeated measures **on** the second factor and water **consumption on**

Fla. 3, Mean **fluid** consumption **for** animals **in** the **three lesion conditions** in **both drinking periods of** the **LiCI conditioning experiment. The data on** the **left of each panel (reproduced from Fig. 2) represent intake of tap water over the** 4ast **4 days of** the **6-day adaptation to limited water access during** the **first (upper panel) and second (lower panel) 10-rain drinking periods. The data on** the right **of** the **figure** represent **intake of sucrose (upper panel) or tap water (lower panel) on** the **LiCI conditioning day (CD) and** test **day (TD).**

Day 6 **as the covariate. This** analysis revealed **a significant effect for** the **interaction** of Lesion Group with Days $[F(2, 92) = 28.770, p < .001]$, **reflecting the increased** consumption **of** sucrose **from** CD **to test day** (TD) by the AP-lesioned animals and the **decreased intake of** sucrose **from** CD to **TD** by the **control** and sham animals. **There** was also a reliable effect of Lesion Group $[F(2, 92) = 4.219, p < .05]$, but the main effect of Days was not significant $[F(1, 92) = 3.479, p > .05]$. Conditioning effects were **examined further** by **computing** the simple **effects of Lesion,** and the **Lesion** Group **with Days interaction. There** was a reliable **decrease in** the **intake of** sucrose solution **from Day** 7 (the CD) to **Day 10** (the **TD)** by the control animals ($p < .001$) and the sham animals ($p < .001$), **reflecting** CTA produced **by pairingtheinjection of LiCI** With **the** initial **consumption of** sucrose. **The** small **increase in the** average **intake of** sucrose **from Day 7 to Day 10 by the** AP-lesioned animals was not

statistically reliable $(p > .05)$. Thus, lesioned animals failed to associate **the** novel-tasting sucrose solution with **toxicosis** induced by LiCI, **thereby** confirming **the role** of **the** AP in this form of conditioning. As **expected,** both control animals and **sham** animals drank less **sucrose than** did APlesioned animals on the TD $(p's < .01)$. Although the average intake of **sucrose** was **not** different for sham and control animals on **the** CD (F < I) consumption of sucrose by these **two** groups was reliably different on TD (p < .01), reflecting the **stronger** CTA **to** Sucrose by animals in **the** intact control group.

ļ

÷,

Water intake following injection with LiCi. The overall analysis for the intake of tap water in the second drinking period during conditioning (see Fig. 3, lower right panel) was conducted using a 3 (Lesion Group) x 2 **(Days** 7 and 10) ANCOVA, with repeated measures on the second factor and water consumption on Day 6 as the covariate. This analysis indicated reliable effects for Lesion Groups $[F(2, 92) = 8.764, p < .001]$ and for the interaction of Lesion Groups with Days $[F(2, 92) = 18.380,$ *p* < .001]. The interaction of Lesion Groups with Days was examined by computing the simple effects. The consumption of water in this drinking period increased **from** Day 7 to Day 10 for the sham and control groups $(p, s < .01)$, but did not change for the lesioned animals $(F < 1)$. Thus, changes in **the** consumption of water in this second drinking period mirror changes in sucrose intake in **the** first drinking session; whereas **the** animals **that** formed CTA and reduced intake in **the** first session on Day l0 compensated by increasing intake in **the second** drinking period, animals which did not form a CTA did not alter intake of water in **this** second drinking session.

Conditioning with *Motion as the US*

The average fluid consumption during **the two** drinking periods in **the rotation** experiment is shown in Fig. 4. The analyses of data for the two baseline phases and from conditioning with LiCI (presented above) indicated **that** fluid intake in **the two** drinking periods is not independent, because animals compensate for variations in consumption in **the** first period by altering **their** intake in **the** second period. Since successful conditioning with **rotation** would cause decreased consumption in **the** first drinking period which would lead **to** increased drinking during the second period, **the** fluid consumption data for the two drinking periods were analyzed separately. To control for **the** different consumption pattern exhibited by lesioned animals, data were analyzed using repeated measures ANCOVA followed by analyses of simple **effects.**

Cider intake in the first drinking period: CTA. The conditioning **effects** of motion are **shown** in **the** data **reflecting** consumption of cider vinegar in **the** first drinking period, in the left column of **Fig. 4.** A marked neophobic **response to this solution** was seen in all groups on Day **15.**

FIRST DRINKING PERIOD CONDITIONING EFFECTS

SECOND **DRINKING PERIOD SUPPRESSION EFFECTS**

FIG. **4. Mean** fluid consumption **during** both **10-min drinking** periods **by animals in** the **nine groups of** the **rotation conditioning experiment. Data in the left column represent baseline water intake over** the **4 days between the LiCI experiment and conditioning with rotation and the cider vinegar intake on conditioning day (CD or Day 15), test day** (TD **or Day 18), and** the **extinction (EXT)** trials (Days **21 and 24) during** the **first drinking period. Data** in **the right column represent water intake during** the **second drinking periods on these same days. Data for control (upper row), sham (middle row), and lesioned (lower row) animals assigned to each of the three rotation conditions arc represented by separate curves in each panel of** the figure. **The arrows signify that motion occurred after** the **first (left column) and preceding** the **second (right column) drinking** period **on Day 15.**

A **3** (Lesion Group) x 2 (Days **14 and** 15) ANCOVA, with repeated measures on the second factor and water intake on Day 13 used as **the** covariate, was computed to evaluate this effect. Significant neophobia was reflected in a reliable effect for Days $[F(1, 92) = 476.165, p < .001]$. In addition, there was a reliable difference for Lesion Group **[F(2,** 92) **=** 4.563, *p* < .05] due **to** the fact that lesioned animals consumed less cider vinegar than did control or sham animals on Day 15 (p 's < .001).

The effects of conditioning with motion on cider vinegar consumption on Day 18 (the TD) were first analyzed using a 3 (Lesion Group) \times 3 (Motion Duration) ANCOVA, with **consumption** on Day 15 (the CD) as **the** covariate. The overall analysis **reflected** a significant main effect for Motion Duration $[F(2, 86) = 112.849, p < .001]$, but no reliable effect for Lesion Group and no reliable interaction of Lesion Group \times Motion Duration $(F_s < 1)$. Thus, the acquisition of CTA reflected in reduced intake by the groups exposed to rotation was not different for the three lesion groups. Subsequent analyses of the simple effects of Motion Duration indicated that both the 30- and 90-rain rotation groups developed significant CTA **to** the **cider** vinegar as compared with the no-motion animals (p's **<** .001; see the left panels of Fig. 4). However, the magnitude of CTA to the cider vinegar solution, reflected by consumption on Day 18, did not differ for the 30-min and 90-min motion duration groups $(p > .10)$. These results indicate that off-vertical rotation at 150°/s for either 30 or 90 min is an adequate US for producing CTA and, it is also clear that lesioning of the AP does not block acquisition of CTA induced by these **conditions** of motion. These results also suggest that the intitial acquisition of motion-induced CTA is not affected by the intensity of the motion US.

Cider intake in the first drinking period: Extinction. To evaluate the effects of lesion condition and motion duration on the rate of extinction of CTAs a 3 **(Lesion Group)** \times 2 (30- or 90-min Motion Duration) \times 2 (Days) mixed ANCOVA, with repeated measures on the last factor and Day 15 used as the covariate, was computed for cider vinegar intake on Days 21 and 24. The overall analysis indicated **that** the effect of Motion Duration was reliable $[F(1, 61) = 43.505, p < .001]$, reflecting the slower rate of extinction of animals rotated for 90 min as compared to those in the 30-rain rotation groups. There was also a significant effect for Lesion Groups $[F(2, 61) = 7.876, p < .001]$, but no reliable effect for Days (F) < 1) and **no** significant interactions. Analysis of the simple effects for Lesion Groups indicated that the rates of CTA extinction did not differ significantly for animals in the intact control and sham-lesioned groups (p > .17). However, **the** AP-lesioned **animals** had **reliably slower** rates of extinction of CTAs than did the sham or intact **control** groups (p,s < .05). Thus, in **contrast** to results obtained from the analyses of CTA acquisition as **reflected by consumption** of cider on Day 18 only, the

i;

÷

results from **this** analysis suggest **that** the magnitude of **the** motion US does significantly **affect the rates** of **CTA extinction. Furthermore,** lesioning of **the** AP also **slows the** rate at which animals extinguish motion-induced CTAs **to** a cider vinegar **solution** (see **the** left panels of **Fig. 4).** This latter finding is compatible with **the** notion **that** AP lesions **enhance the** magnitude of CTAs induced by motion in rats (Ossenkopp, 1983).

Suppression of Postrotationai Drinking

The suppressive **effects** of motion on water consumption on Day 15 are shown in **the** right column of Fig. **4.** To evaluate postrotationai suppression of drinking on Day 15, a 3 (Lesion Group) \times 3 (Motion Duration) ANCOVA was computed, using water intake in **the** second drinking period **on Day** 14 **as the covariate. This analysis** revealed a **significant** effect for Motion Duration $[F(2, 86) = 7.438, p < .001]$. The effect of Lesion Group $[F(2, 86) = 2.196, p < .20]$ and the Lesion \times Motion Duration interaction (F < l) were not significant. Simple **effects computed** for Motion Duration indicated **that,** compared with no-motion animals, both 30 min ($p < .01$) and 90 min ($p < .001$) of rotation at 150°/sec were sufficient US for producing a significant postrotational suppression of drinking. The magnitude of **this** postrotational **suppression** of drinking was not reliably different for animals rotated for 30 min versus **those** rotated for **90 min (F** < **I).** *These* **overall** findings **support** the **proposal** that drinking suppression can be produced in the rat by rotary stimulation, although the duration of the motion US did not affect this measure. It is also apparent from **these** results **that** AP lesions in rats do not **prevent the** suppression of postrotational drinking.

Fecal Boll during Rotation

The data for boll counts were first **evaluated** by computing a **3 (Lesion** Group) x **3** (Motion Duration) ANOVA. This analysis revealed no **reliable** effects of either Lesion Condition $(F < 1)$ or Motion Duration $[F(2, 87)]$ **=** 2.680, *p* < .10]. There was **also** no **significant** Lesion x Motion Duration interaction $[F(4, 87) = 1.148, p > .25]$. With the exception of the intact control group which was simply confined for 90 min, **the** meannumber of fecal-boli-was always higher for animals in the confinement**plus rotation** conditions **than** for **animals** which **were confined** in the rotation **apparatus** but not rotated. Although **these** results suggest **that** defecation in **the** rat may be increased by **rotational stimulation, the** numerical increases in fecal boll in **response to** motion were very small. To further evaluate **these** data, animals in **the** no-motion conditions were subdivided into **two** groups, depending on whether **they** were **confined** in **the Plexiglas** containers for **30** or 90 min. This resulted in **the** formation of 12 groups **formed** by **the factorial combination** of **three lesion conditions (control, sham, or lesioned), two motion conditions (no-motion or motion),**

lip

L,

and two **confinement** durations **(30 or** 90 rnin). **A** 3 **(Lesion** Condition) \times 2 (Motion Condition) \times 2 (Confinement Duration) ANOVA was then computed on the boli data. The only reliable effect found with **this** analysis was for the Confinement Condition $[F(1, 84) = 13.238, p <$.001], indicating that boll counts increased as time of confinement in the Plexiglas holding cages increased. These results indicate *that* the AP in the rat does not mediate **the** response of defecation during motion and weaken the validity of the claim that increased production of fecal boli during rotation is a reliable index of motion sickness in the rat.

DISCUSSION

The results **of** the LiCI experiment in this study confirm prior reports that thermal cauterization of the AP disrupts CTAs induced by LiCI (Hartley, 1977; McGIone et al., 1980; Rabin, Hunt, & Lee, 1983; Rauschenberger, 1979; Ritter et al., 1980). The consistency of this finding indicates that this procedure can serve as a useful pharmacologic validation of successful lesion of the AP, such as was done for screening APlesioned animals in **this** study for later use in the rotation experiment. Furthermore, results of the correlational data between strength of aversions and extent of damage to the AP suggest that it is the AP and not immediately adjacent structures which mediates development of LiCI-induced CTA.

We also found that lesioning of the AP in rats resulted in a long-term reduction in body weight (presurgical weights were never attained in the 34 days postsurgery before conditioning procedures began), which is in agreement with results reported by other investigators (Berger et al., 1973; Carlisle & Reynolds, 1961; Coil & Norgren, 1981). Similar effects of AP lesions are reported for species in which emesis occurs, although recovery of appetite and interest in food occurs within 2-3 days in monkey (Brizzee et al., 1980) and within a week or so in cats (Borison & Borison. 1986). Although food intake was not monitored in this experiment, the chronic **weight** reduction in AP-lesioned rats seems more likely to be due to alterations in food consumption than to altered fluid intake. Although lesioned rats consistently drank less water in the first daily drinking periods and more water in the second drinking period than did animals with an intact AP, the AP-lesioned, sham, and control rats did drink comparable overall amounts of water on baseline days. The reasons for **this** alteration in **the** pattern **of** drinking are not **clear,** but previous **investigators** have also suggested **that** the AP plays a **role in** the regulation **of** drinking behavior (Edwards & Ritter, 1982).

In contrast to the findings **on LiCl-induced** CTA, **lesions of** the AP had no effect **on** conditioning, as measured **by** the strength **of initial** CTA acquisition, **when** motion served as the **US. The** AP-lesioned **rats in this** experiment developed CTA to cider which in magnitude was comparable **to that** acquired **by** sham-lesioned and **intact** control animals. **However,**

ħ

as assessed by the rate **of** extinction **of** CTAs **induced** by rotation, lesions of the AP do affect **the** duration of motion-induced CTA. The CTAs to cider extinguished more slowly for lesioned animals than they did for sham or intact control animals. This finding is generally in agreement with that of Ossenkopp (1983), who **reported** that AP lesions enhanced formation of motion-induced CTAs. The finding that animals rotated for 90 rain developed more enduring CTAs, as measured by **rates** of extinction, than did those rotated for 30 min also supports the notion that CTAs induced by this US are dose-dependent (Green & Rachlin, 1976), as **they** are when drugs are used as the US (Nachman & Ashe, 1973; Rabin et al., 1987; Rauschenberger, 1979). Overall, it seems quite **clear** from the results of this study and the studies by Hartley **(1977)** and Ossenkopp (1983) that the AP is not a **critical** neural structure mediating motioninduced CTA in the rat. Furthermore, the failure of AP lesions to block CTA induced by any of the four motion parameters used in these studies indicates that the AP does not mediate motion-induced CTA in a dose**response** fashion as this structure appears to do for drug-induced CTA (Rabin et al., 1987; Rauschenberger, 1979).

Analysis of data of drinking suppression **confirms** the previous report that **rotary** stimulation **causes suppression** of postrotational drinking (Haroutunian et al., 1976). However, as **revealed** by the lack of any differential suppression between animals rotated for 30 versus 90 min, **this** measure may not be **sensitive** to the duration or intensity of vestibular stimulation. Results from the **current** experiment also indicate that AP lesions do not attenuate suppression of postrotational drinking.

Results obtained on defecation accompanying **confinement** and/or motion in this experiment **raise** questions about the reliability and validity of this measure as an index of motion sickness in the rat (Ossenkopp & Frisken, 1982). Since animals confined in the holding apparatus for 90 min exhibited more defecation than did animals **confined** for 30 min, **regardless** of whether or not they were rotated, it seems reasonable to suggest **that** increased levels **of** defecation may simply reflect **emotionality** (Hall, 1934) from the stress of confinement. Clearly, the AP does not play a role in the elaboration of this **response** to motion since lesioned, sham, and intact **control** animals did not differ in their levels of defecation across either of the two **rotation conditions** used in this study. This finding is **consistent** with those found in AP-ablated **cats,** where subemetic signs of motion sickness including salivation, **panting,** urination, and defecation were all **present** after lesioning of the AP (Borison & Borison, 1986).

The overall results of the present studies indicate that AP lesions in the rat do not **prevent** (l) formation of CTA to a **cider** solution **paired** with motion, (2) the suppression of drinking following exposure to motion, or (3) amount of defecation during exposure to motion; three measures

Ŧ,

į

proposedas species-relevant measures**of** motion sickness in the rat. Although additional postrotational behavioral measures, including pica (Mitchell et al., 1977) and reduction of bar pressing for food reward (Riccio & Thach, 1963), have been proposed as pertinent indices of "illness" or "motion sickness" in the rat, we know of no studies showing that these measures depend upon physiological mechanisms or have neural pathways in common with those involved in frank motion sickness. Reduction of spontaneous locomotor activity after rotation has also been proposed as a behavioral index of motion sickness in the rat (Eskin & Riccio, 1966). Vestibular damage reduces the effects of motion on spontaneous activity (Riccio, lgarashi, & Eskin, 1967), **consistent** with **findings** from similar studies in species capable of emesis (Meek, Graybiel, Beischer, & Riopelle, 1962). However, spontaneous activity as a measure **of** motion sickness in rats is still questionable since there is no way to ensure that decreases in activity are due to sickness per se, or due to a lack of muscle coordination or dizziness which may be produced by rotation independent of other physiological effects comprising the prodromal symptoms of motion sickness.

At the time these studies were initiated conventional wisdom held that the AP was the locus of the chemoreceptor trigger zone which mediated the emetic response to both motion and drugs (Borison, 1974; Borison, Borison, & McCarthy, 1984; Borison & Wang, 1951, 1953; Wang & Borison, 1950; Wang & Chinn, 1954), as well as to X-irradiation (Brizzee, Neal, & Williams, 1955, Wang, Renzi, & Chin, 1958). Similarly, CTA induced by blood-borne toxins (Berger et al., 1973; Coil & Norgren, 1981; McGIone et al., 1980; Rauschenberger, 1979; Ritter et al., 1980) or by X-irradiation (Ossenkopp & Giugno, 1985; Rabin et al., 1983) are attenuated or abolished by lesion of the AP and data suggest thai a humoral factor resulting from exposure to radiation may mediate formation of CTA (Hunt, Carroll, & Kimeldorf, 1965, 1968). Although the possible chemical substances eliciting vomiting have remained elusive, it has been proposed that a humoral factor released during motion triggers the emetic reflex (Crampton & Daunton, 1983; Wang & Chinn, I956). Hence, the idea that humoral factors released during rotational stimulation in the rat might underly formation of motion-induced CTA and be mediated by the AP was considered.

The data reported here as well as those of Ossenkopp (1983) suggest that if a humoral factor is produced by motion in the rat resulting in formation of CTA, the AP is not the site of chemoreceptors mediating this response. Recent experiments in the cat (Borison & Borison, 1986; Corcoran, Fox, Brizzee, Crampton, & Daunton, 1985) have also reported contradictory findings from earlier work in dog (Wang & Chinn, 1954) and monkey (Brizzee et al., 1980) regarding the function of the AP in mediation of motion sickness. Both of these studies **found** that while *AP*

150 SUTTON, FOX, AND DAUNTON

lesions made cats refractory to drug-induced emesis, ablation of the AP did not prevent motion-induced vomiting. Thus, it appears that for both cat and rat, if a pathophysiologic humoral factor is being released by motion, the *AP* is not the neural structure mediating the resultant adverse consequences.

Further research is needed to determine which, if any, of the neural mechanisms known to mediate drug-induced CTA are involved in motioninduced CTA. One likely candidate is the vagus, since any internal aversive state produced in the rat by rotational stimulation could be acting through peripheral gastrointestinal mechanisms, much like intragastric copper sulfate. This peripherally acting emetic produces strong CTA in the rat (Nachman & Hartley, 1975), produces emesis in animals with lesions of the AP (Borison & Wang, 1953), but is ineffective for producing CTA in vagotomized rats when **given** orally or intragastrically (Coil et al., 1978; Rauschenberger, 1979). However, although a study on motioninduced CTA in vagotomized rats would be informative, *even* if such intervention prevents acquisition of motion-induced CTA any analogy with "motion sickness" will be equivocable, since nausea and vomiting produced by motion are still present after either *gut* denervation or total abdominal evisceration (Borison & Wang, 1953; Wang, Chinn, & Renzi, 1957).

REFERENCES

Barker, L. M., Best, M. R., & Domjan. **M. (Eds.), (1977).** *Learning mechanisms in food selection.* Waco, TX: **Baylor** Univ. Press.

Berger, **B.** D., Wise, *C.* D., & Stein, L. (1973). Area **postrema** damage and bait **shyness.** *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology, 82, 475-479.*

- Borison, H. L. (1974). Area postrema: Chemoreceptor trigger zone for vomiting-Is that all? *Life Sciences,* 14, 1807-1817.
- Borison, H. L., & Borison, R. **(1986).** Motion sickness reflex arc bypasses the area **postrema** in **cats.** *Experimental Neurology,* **92,** 723-737.
- Borison. H. L., Borison, R., & McCarthy, L. E. **(1984).** Role of the area **postrema** in vomiting and related functions. *Federation Proceedings.* **43,** 2955-2958.
- Borison, **H.** L.. & Wang. S, C. (1951). Locus of the central emetic action of **cardiac glycosides.** *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine,* **76, 335-338.**
- Borison, H. L., & Wang, S. C. (1953). Physiology and pharmacology of vomiting. Phar*macological Reviea's.* **5,** 193-230.
- Braun, J. J., & McIntosh, H. (1973). Learned taste aversions induced by rotational stimulation. *Physiological Psychology.* **4,** 512-534.
- Bdzzee, **K.** R., Neal, *L.* M., & Williams, P. M. **(1955).** *The* chemoreceptor trigger zone **for** emesis in the monkey. *American Journal of Physiology.* 180, 659-662.
- Brizzee, K. R., Ordy, J. M., & Mehler, W. R. **(1980).** Effect of ablation **of** area **postrema on** frequency and latency of motion **sickness-induced** emesis in the squirrel *monkey. Physiology and Behavior,* **24, 849-853.**

Carlisle, H. *L,* & Reynolds, R. W. **(1961). Effect** of amphetamine **on** food intake in **rats** with brain **stem** lesions, *American Journal of Physiology,* **201,** 965-967.

Coil, J. D., & Norgren, R. **(1981).** *Taste* aversions conditioned with intravenous **copper**

sulphate: Attenuation by ablation of the area postrema. *Brain Research*, 212, 425-433.

- Coil, J. D., Rogers, R, C., Garcia, J., & Novin, D. **(1978).** Conditioned taste aversions: Vagal and circulatory mediation of the toxic unconditioned stimulus. *Behavioral Biology,* 20, 509-519.
- Corcoran, M., Fox, R., Brizzee, K., Crampton, G., & Daunton, N. (1985). *Area* **postrema** ablations in **cats:** Evidence for separate neural routes for motion- and xylazine-induced CTA and **emesis.** *Physiologist.* 28, 330.
- Crampton, G. H.. & Daunton, N. G. **(1983).** Evidence for a motion sickness agent in cerebrospinal fluid. *Brain Behavior and Evolution*, 23, 36-41.
- Edwards, G. L., & Ritter, R. C. **(1982).** *Area* postrema lesions increase drinking to angiotensin and **extracellular** dehydration. *Physiology and Behavior,* 29, 943-947.
- Eskin. *A.,* & Riccio, D. C. (1966). **The** effects of vestibular stimulation on **spontaneous** activity in the rat. *The Psychological Record,* 16, 523-527.
- Garcia, J., Hankins, W. G., & Rusniak, K. W. (1974). Behavioral regulation **of** the milieu interne in man and rat. *Science,* 185, **824-831.**
- Green, L.,'& Rachlin, H. (1976). Learned taste aversions in rats as a function of delay, speed, and duration of rotation. *Learning and Motivation,* 7, 283-289.
- Hall, C. S. 11934). Emotional behavior in the rat: Defecation and urination as measures of individual differences in emotionality. *Journal of Comparative Psychology,* 18, 385- 403.
- Haroutunian, B., Riccio, D. C., & Gans, D. P. (1976). Suppression of drinking following rotational stimulation as an index of *motion* sickness in the rat. *Physiological Psychology,* **4,** 467-504.
- Hartley, P. L. **(1977).** *Motion-induced learned taste aversion in rats and the role of the area* postrema. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of *California,* Riverside, CA.
- Hatcher, R. *A.* (1924). The mechanism of vomiting. *Physiological Review,* 4, 479-504.
- Hunt, E. L., *Carroll,* H. W., & Kimeldorf, D. J. (1965). Humoral mediation of radiationinduced motivation in parabiont rats. *Science,* 150, 1747-1748.
- Hunt, E. L., Carroll, H. W., & Kimeldorf, D. J. **(1968).** Effects of dose and of **partial**body exposure on conditioning through a radlation-induced humoral factor. *Physiology and Behavior,* 3, 809-813.
- Igarashi, M.. lsago, H., O-Uchi, **T,** Kulecz. W. **B.,** Homick, J. L., & Reschke, M. F. **(1983).** Vestibular-visual conflict sickness in the squirrel monkey. *Acta Otolaryngologica.* 95, 193-198.
- McGIone, J. J.. Ritter, S.. & Kelley, K. W. 11980). *The* anti-aggressive effect of lithium is abolished by area postrema lesion. Physiology and Behavior, 24, 1095-1100.
- Meek, J. C., Graybiel, A., Beischer, D. E., & Riopelle, A. J. (1962). Observations of canal sickness and adaptation in chimpanzees and squirrel monkeys in a slow rotating room. *Aerospace Medicine.* **5,** 571-578.
- Mitchell, D., Krusemark, M. L., & Hafner, F. (1977). Pica: A species relevant behavioral assay of motion sickness in the rat. *Physiology and Behavior,* 18, 125-130.

Money. K. E. II970). Motion sickness. *Physiological Review, 50,* 1-39.

- Nachman, M., & Ashe. J. H. **(1973).** *Learned* taste aversions in rats as a function of dosage, concentration, and route of administration of LiCI. *Physiology and Behavior,* 10, 73-78.
- Nachman, M., & Hartley. P. L. (1975). Role of illness in producing learned taste aversions in rats: A comparison of several rodenticides. *Journal of* Comparative *and Physiological Psychology,* 89, 1010-1018.
- Osenkopp, K.-P. **(19831.** *Area* postrema lesions in rats enhance the magnitude of bodyrotation induced taste aversions. *Behavioral and Neural Biology,* 38, **82-96.**

152 SUTTON, FOX, AND DAUNTON

- **Ossenkopp, K.-P.,** & Frisken. **N.** L. **(1982). Defecation as an index of motion** sickness **in** the **rat.** *Physiolo_:ical Psychology.* **10,** 355-360.
- **Ossenkopp, K.-P., & Giugno, L.** (1985). Taste **aversion conditioned with multiple** exposures **to gamma radiation: Abolition by area postrema lesions in rats.** *Brain Research.* **346, I-7.**
- **Rabin, B. M., Hunt, W.** A., **& Lee, J.** (1983). **Attenuation of radiation- and drag-induced conditioned** taste **aversions following area postrema lesions in** the **rat.** *Radiation Research,* **93, 388-394.**
- **Rabin, B. M., Hunt, W. A., & Lee, J. (1985). lntragastric copper sulphate produces a more** reliable **conditioned** taste **aversion in vagotomized** rats than **in intact rats.** *Behavioral and Neural Biology,* **44,** 364-373.
- **Rabin, B. M., Hunt, W.** A., **& Lee, J.** (1987). **Interactions between radiation and amphetamine in** taste **aversion learning and the role of the area** postrema **in** amphetamine-induced **conditioned taste aversions.** *Pharmacology, Biochemistry, and Behavior,* **27, 677-683.**
- **Rauschenberger, J. E. (1979).** *Role of area postrema in learned* taste *aversion: A comparison of several drugs.* **Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Cadifocnia, Riverside, CA.**
- **Riccio, D. C., Igarashi, M., & Eskin,** A. (1967). **Modification** of **vestibular** sensitivity **in** the **rat.** *Annals of Otology, Rhinology. and Laryngology,* **76, 179-189.**
- **Riccio, D. C., &** *Thach,* **J. S.** *0968).* **Response suppression produced by vestibular stimulation in the** rat. *Journal of the* Experimental *Analysis of Behavior,* **11,479-488.**
- **Ritter, S., McGlone, J. J., & Kelley, K. W. (1980). Absence** of **lithium-induced taste aversion after area postrema lesion.** *Brain Research,* **202,** 501-506.
- **Roy,** A., **&** Brizzee, **K. R. (1979). Motion sickness-induced food aversions in** the **squirrel monkey.** *Physiology and Behavior,* **23,** 39-41.
- **Suri, K. B.,** Crampton, **G. H., & Daunton, N. G. (1979). Motion sickness in cats:** A **symptom** rating **scale used in laboratory" and flight** tests. *Aviation Space and Environmental Medicine,* 50, **614-618.**
- **Wang, S. C.. & Borison, H. L. (1950). The vomiting center.** *Archives of* Neurology *and Psychiatry,* **63, 928-944.**
- **Wang, S. C., & Chinn, H. I. (1954). Experimental motion** sickness **in dogs: Functional importance of chemoreceptive** emetic trigger **zone.** *American Journal of Physiology,* **178, 111-116.**
- **Wang, S. C., & Chinn, H. I. (1956). Experimental motion sickness in dogs: Importance of labyrinth and vestibular cerebellum.** *American Journal of Physiology.* **185,** 612- **623.**
- **Wang, S. C., Chinn, H. I., & Renzi, A. A. (1957). Experimental motion sickness in dogs: Role of abdominal visceral afferents.** *U.S. School ofA viation Medicine* **(Report number** 57-112), **Randolph AFB.**
- Wang, **S. C.. Renzi, A. A., & Chinn, H. I. (1958). Mechanism of** emesis following **Xirradiation.** *American Journal of Physiology,* **193, 335-339.**
- **Wellman, P. J., McIntosh, P., & Guidi, E. (1981). Effects of dorsolateral tegmental lesions on amphetamine- and lithium-induced** taste **aversions.** *Physiology and Behavior,* **26,** 341-344.

÷