0 6

CORE

N94-22307

CIMSS FIRE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

W. L. Smith, S. A. Ackerman R. O. Knuteson, X. L. Ma, and H. E. Revercomb, Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an overview of the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies' FIRE research activities. The paper focuses on analysis of the High-resolution Interferometer Sounder (HIS) made from the ER-2 as well as ground based measurements made by the Atmospheric Emitted Radiance Interferometer (AERI) prototype. Details are covered in companion papers.

ER-2 HIS OBSERVATIONS

The HIS aircraft instrument (Smith et al 1989) is a Michelson interferometer with a spectral resolving power ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda$) of approximately 2000 covering the spectral range from approximately 3.5-16.7 μ m. The HIS spectra have an unapodized resolution of approximately 0.35 cm^{-1} from 600-1100 cm⁻¹, and 0.7 cm^{-1} resolution from 1100-2700 cm⁻¹. A cycle of HIS interferograms consists of four cold blackbody views, four hot blackbody views and 12 earth views. The on board high emissivity, temperature controlled reference blackbody views are used for the calibration of the earth views. The HIS has a noise equivalent temperature and reproducibility of about 0.1-0.2°C over much of the spectrum (Revercomb et al., 1988). Recent upgrades to the HIS have significantly improved instrument performance in the 3.5-5.0 μ m spectral region.

The HIS flew aboard the NASA ER-2 during FIRE Phase I (Oct-Nov 1986). The most significant result of these observation was that cirrus clouds do not emit radiation like blackbodies, irregardless fo their optical thickness. Figure 1 has become an historical example of the FIRE I HIS finding that the spectral variation of the radiating temperature of cirrus has a large spectral variation across the climatolically important 8-12 μ m "window" region of the thermal infrared.

Another very important finding from FIRE-I HIS radiance spectra was that the water phase of clouds could be diagnosed from simultaneous infraed window measurements at 8, 11 and 12 μ m (Smith et al 1988, Ackerman et al 1990). Figure 2 shows a time sequence of 8.3, 11.1 and 12.0 µm HIS brightness temperature together with simultaneous Lidar cloud backscatter observations with the CALS (Spinhirne, 1990). It can be seen that for the case of ice particle cirrus (high altitude backscatter) the 8.3 μ m brightness temperature is significantly larger than the 11 and 12 μ m brightness temperature whereas for the case of liquid water droplet lower clouds (low altitude backscatter) both the 8 and 11 µm brightness temperature are larger than 12 µm. These results correspond to the different spectral absorption properteis of ice and water (Figure 3).

For FIRE II, HIS data analysis has focused on the determination of the radiative and microphysical properties of cirrus clouds. Table 1 below lists the days and times of available HIS data during FIRE II. Our research objectives under the FIRE Phase II program include: 1) to improve our understanding of the relationship between the microphysical and radiative properties of cirrus clouds; 2) to quantify the capabilities and limitations of various cirrus cloud satellite retrieval techniques; and 3) to improve our capabilities of describing cirrus cloud properties utilizing passive radiometric observations. Since the last FIRE team meeting, we have focused our activities on objectives 1) and 3).

A doubling/adding model has been developed to simulate high-spectral resolution measurements from ground and ER-2 aircraft. This model has been used to study the sensitivity of the spectral observations to various cloud conditions and then to develop and test cloud retrieval algorithms using such observations (Smith et al 1990, 1992, 1993). A time section of cloud ice particle size and ice water content deduced from HIS spectra from the ER-2 aircraft are shown in Figure 4. The cloud altitude and thickness were set at the height levels observed by the CALS. The cloud ice water content varies between 2.3 g m⁻³ and effective radius is about 20 μ m. Cloud heights are accurately determined using HIS carbon kioxide channel information even for relatively low effective cloud emissivites. Improvements in cloud properties retrieval from HIS data by incorporating the 3.7-4.0 μ m window and the 4.3 CO₂ radiances are now being investigated.



 $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$



Figure 3. Absorption Coefficient as a function of wavelength

| DATE | TARGET | TIME H | IIS DATA |
|---|--|---|--|
| | AREA | (UTC) STUDY | OBJECTIVE |
| 4 Nov 91 8 Nov 91 22 Nov 91 24 Nov 91 25 Nov 91 25 Nov 91 26 Nov 91 3 Dec 91 4 Dec 91 5 Dec 91 | Kansas Kansas Gulf Mex Oklahoma Kansas Gulf Mex Gulf Mex Kansas | 14:01-18:00 20:56-23:30 18:30-20:30 17:00-21:10 16:00-20:00 15:00-17:50 15:30-18:00 18:30-20:30 15:00-19:00 | Cirrus Clear sky Cirrus Cirrus Cirrus Cirrus Cirrus Corpus Christi / Gulf of Mexico Cirrus |

AERI OBSERVATIONS

The AERI instrument is a goundbased HIS system for the accurate and continuous measurement of downwelling infrared radiation from the atmosphere. The observed spectra are being used for many diverse functions, including identification and elimination of absolute errors in calculated spectra for known atmospheric states: evaluation and improvement of cloud radiation calculations: characterization of the distribution and evolution of effective cloud radiative properties: and studies of the state parameter changes associated with cloud formation, evolution, and dissipation. Table 2. is a summary of when the AERI prototype was operational during the DOE Spectral Radiance Experiment (SPECTRE.) in association with FIRE II, conducted in Coffeyville, Kansas in the Fall of 1991. Observations of the atmosphere were collected approximately every 10 minutes.

Examples of cloudy brightness temperature spectra during FIRE II are shown in Figure 5. As found with HIS aircraft observations, clouds do not behave as "blackbodies" for which the brightness temperature would be constant in the regions between absorption lines in the atmospheric window between 8 and 13 μ m (770-1250 cm⁻¹). The low cloud spectrum in the figure is close to that of a blackbody cloud, but the middle cloud shows major deviations from that simple behavior. The deviations from blackbody behavior are being used to estimate cloud base microphysical properties in much the same way cloud top microphysical propeties are being estimated from ER-2 HIS spectra (Smith, et al., 1993). The AERI data have been used to assess the capabilities of radiative transfer model results,

analyze the evolution of the boundary layer, and retrieve cloud properties. These applications are discussed in a companion paper.

FUTURE ACTIVITIES

Ground-based interferometer observations, at a resolution similar to the aircraft HIS, were collected at Coffeyville KS as part of FIRE II and SPECTRE. ER-2 over-flights of the site will enable assessment of the spectral infrared effects of clouds on the radiance distribution at the ground and at the top of the atmosphere. Cloud base properties will also be derived from the ground-based interferometer for comparison with the ER-2 based derived cloud top properties. This study is crucial for the characterization of the downwelling radiance of a cloud, based on satellite observations of the upwelling radiance as needed for use in global climate studies.

Upgrades to the HIS prior to the FIRE II field experiment improved instrument performance in the 2000-2700 cm⁻¹ regime. This spectral region is also measured by the AERI. The 3.7 μ m region has been employed in cloud retrieval techniques applicable to satellite observations. Radiance in the 3.5-4.6 μ m region are sensitive to the particle size, shape and water phase of the cloud. We plan to enhance our previously developed capabilities of inferring cloud microphysical characteristics from the 8-12 μ m spectral region using radiance from the 3.5-4.5 μ m spectral region. Inferences of the cloud particle phase and effective size will be based on the spectral variability in the 3.5-4 μ m and 8-12 μ m regions.

REFERENCES

Ē

Ackerman, S. A., W. L. Smith, J. D. Spinhirne, and H. E. Revercomb, 1990: The 27-28 October 1986 FIRE cirrus case study: spectral properties of cirrus clouds in the 8-12 µm window. Mon. Wea. Rev., 118, 2377-2388.

Revercomb, H.E., H. Buijs, H.B. Howell, D.D. LaPorte, W.L. Smith, and L.A. Sromovsky, 1988: Radiometric Calibration of IR Fourier Transform Spectrometers: Solution to a Problem with the High Resolution Interferometer Sounder. Applied Optics, 27, 3210-3218.

Smith W. L., H. E. Revercomb, H. B. Howell, and M. -X. Lin; 1988: Multi-spectral window radiance observations of cirrus from satellite and aircraft - November 2, 1986 "Project FIRE", FIRE Science Results 1988, Proceeding of a conference held in Vail, CO July 11-15, pp 89-93.

Smith W. L., H. E. Revercomb, D. D. LaPorte, H. M. Woolf, H. B. Howell, R. O. Knuteson, H. L. Huang, L. A. Sromovsky and S. Silverman, 1989: GHIS, the Geostationary High-resolution Interferometer Sounder. CIMSS View, Vol. V, No.1, available from the Space Science and Engineering Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706.

Smith W.L., Xia Lin Ma, S.A. Ackerman, H.E. Revercomb, and R.O. Knuteson, 1993: Remote Sensing Cloud Properties from High Spectral Resolution Infrared Observations. J. Appl. Met, in press, 1993.

Smith, W. L. and R. Frey, 1990: On cloud altitude determinations from high resolution interferometer sounder (HIS) observations. J. Appl. Meteor., 29, 658-662.

Smith, W. L., and R. Frey, 1991: Altitude specification of cloud motion winds. Workshop on Wind Extraction from Operational Meteorological Satellite Data, Washington, D.C. September 17-19.

WISCONSIN AERI INSTRUMENT OPERATIONS Table 2.

LOCATION: COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS

YEAR: 1991

REMARKS: (1) Observations are at 10 minute intervals betweenstated STARI and END times. (2) The letter H indicates that the ER-2 HIS was overhead. (3) OP # refers to an AERI operating period.

_ _ _

| OP # | DATE | TIME PERIOD | CONDITIONS FROM VISUAL OBS |
|------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 11 NOV | 17:06 - 17:30 | low overcast |
| 2 | 12 NOV | 23:26 - 02:29 | cirrus |
| | 13 NOV | 02:53 - 04:28 | cirrus/clear |
| 3 | 13 NOV | 18:18 - 01:26 | cirrus |
| | 14 NOV | 02:13 - 03:41 | thin cirrus |
| 4 | 17 NOV | 17:58 - 21:12 | mixed cirrus to clear |
| | 18 NOV | 01:29 - 24:00 | clear |
| | 19 NOV | 00:00 - 05:57 | clear/cirrus/low thick cloud |
| 5 | 20 NOV | 17:20 - 23:33 | clear |
| | 21 NOV | 00:12 - 24:00 | clear |
| | 22 NOV | 00:00 - 19:07 | cirrus/clear/rain |
| 6 | 23 NOV | 16:28 - 24:00 | clear/mixed/overcast |
| | 24 NOV | 00:47 - 23:29 | overcast/clear |
| | 25 NOV | 00:37 - 05:48 | overcast |
| 7 | 25 NOV | 16:19 - 23:52 | alto-cumulus/scatter cirrus |
| | 26 NOV | 00:29 - 24:00 | clear/cirrus/mixed |
| 8 | 27 NOV | <u> 14:01 - 17:21 </u> | low cloud |
| 9 | 28 NOV | 14:40 - 22:35 | cirrus/overcast stratus |
| 10 | 29 NOV | / 15:00 - 24:00 | overcast/clear |
| | 30 NOV | 00:00 - 17:34 | clear/overcast |
| 11 | 03 DEC | 00:25 - 23:08 | overcast/ clear/ cold |
| | 04 DEC | 23:55 - 06:41 | clear |
| 12 | 04 DEC | 17:16 - 24:36 | clear/ aerosol |
| | 05 DEC | 01:23 - 24:00 | clear/cirrus |
| | 06 DEC | 00:00 - 05:31 | thin cirrus |
| 13 | 06 DEC | 14:52 - 20:33 | mixed cirrus/alto cu |
| | 07 DEC | 00:54 - 05:52 | clear/ aerosol/low cloud |
| 14 | 07 DEC | 14:49 - 21:23 | low overcast/broken low |
| | | | |



Figure 4. HIS observation near Coffeyville KS on Dec 5, 1991. (a) Cirrus ice water content and effective particle size and (b) deduced cloud altitude and effective emissivity



Figure 5. AERI measurements of the downwelling spectra of clouds showing deviations from black body emission.