N94-23884

AN APPROACH TO THE DESIGN OF OPERATIONS SYSTEMS

Roy L. Chafin and Patrick S. Curran Space Flight Operations Section NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, Calif. 91106 818/354-325 818/393-4110 (FAX)

1. BACKGROUND

The MultiMission Control Team (MMCT) consists of mission controllers which provides Real-Time operations support for the Mars Observer project. The Real-Time Operations task is to insure the integrity of the ground data system, to insure that the configuration is correct to support the mission, and to monitor the spacecraft for the Spacecraft Team. Operations systems are typically developed by adapting operations systems from previous projects. Problems tend to be solved empirically when they are either anticipated or observed in testing. This development method has worked in the past when time was available for extensive Ops testing. In the present NASA budget environment a more cost conscious design approach has become necessary. Cost is a concern because operations is an ongoing, continuous activity. Reducing costs entails reducing staff. Reducing staffing levels potentially increases the risk of mission failure. Therefore, keeping track of the risk level is necessary.

2. INTRODUCTION

The role of the MMCT is to interact with the process (Mars Observer mission) to accomplish required tasks. The organizations design discussed here is to develop an organization of people, equipment, software, and procedures that will accomplish these tasks. The goal is to provide a design technique that can produce operations an organization that will meet the requirements placed on it, with minimum costs and with the understanding of the risks involved.

The design approach is based on considering the Mars Observer mission as a process. The Mars Observer mission is a rather linear process. The spacecraft is launched; then, it goes through a well specified sequence of actions until the end of the mission.

The following Operations System design approach was developed for the design of the MMCT to support the Mars Observer mission.

The design technique consists of:

Identifying the Mars Observer Mission process.

Modeling the process.

Identifying the requirements imposed by the Mars Observer Project on the MMCT.

Synthesizing the MMCT scenarios that respond to the mission requirements, both imposed and implied requirements.

Derive requirements for support from other parts of the operations organization.

Analyzing scenarios for staffing requirements, training requirements, workload problems, etc.

Reviewing the imposed requirements from the Project for feasibility. Requirements that cannot be accommodated are negotiated.

Developing staffing plans, training plans, test plans, etc.

The Mission sequence model is documented in the MMCT Design Document. The Project requirements and the MMCT operating scenarios are integrated into the design document.

This approach to the design of the provides a complete MMCT more understanding of the mission processes and a cost effective method of the tailoring MMCT of the operations system to support the Mars Observer mission. The purpose of this paper is to present this approach and discuss its merits.

3. APPROACH

The Mars Observer mission process is identified from the Mars Observer Mission Sequence Plan (Ref. 1). This document identifies the spacecraft activities that are to be supported the MMCT. The Mars Observer bv Mission Operations specification Volume 3: Operations (Ref. 2) present the requirements that the MMCT must meet to support the project.

The mission sequence is modeled in a form that allows for hierarchic refinements. То facilitate this effort, the commercial computer program SDDL was chosen. SDDL is a Pseudo English language intended for software program design. The Mars Observer Mission Sequence Plan was the basis for decomposing the mission process from an overall description through subprocesses to elementary processes. Typically, these elementary processes were sufficiently simple to be described on a single page. SDDL provided the capability to reference subprocesses through CALL statements in the manner of a software subroutine. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate the decomposition of the mission process.

Verification of the process model is provided by joint reviews between the Mars Observer MMCT design team and the Spacecraft Control Team (SCT). The requirements presented by the Mars Observer Project are analyzed in terms of their impact on the Mars Observer MMCT organization system. The requirements are clarified so that they are consistent for both the originating and the responding The organizations. imposed requirements are integrated into the

design document where they apply. SDDL has the capability of indexing the requirements and placing the index at the end of the design document. Figure 3 illustrates the requirements and the requirements index.

MMCT operations scenarios are developed to accomplish the required tasks. They are written into the Mars Observer process model to form the MMCT Design Document. Scenarios that satisfy the imposed requirements are integrated with the requirements to provide requirements traceability. Operations scenarios are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3.

The requirements are then negotiated between the Mars Observer MMCT and the Mars Observer Project. Requirements are accepted, waived, or when problems exist workaround The solutions are identified. refined and requirements are documented in the design document.

The operating scenarios are reviewed by experienced mission controllers. Experience from prior missions is used to test the validity of the scenarios. A person with actual experience usually can tell whether a task (scenario) can be accomplished in the time required and with the resources allowed.

the Mission Controller Team From scenarios, the resources required to support the Mars Observer mission are identified. These resources include staffing, data, hardware, software, work- station displays, procedures, reports, management and logs, Displays that are interactions. required to support specific MMCT tasks are identified, specified, and indexed in the design document.

Derived requirements identified above are placed in the Design Document at their point of application and again are indexed with the SDDL indexing capability. Derived requirements are illustrated in Figures 2 and 4. Derived requirements are requirements that are derived from the exposition of the operating scenarios. They are the data, procedures, equipments, support, etc. that are recognized as needed to accomplish the required MMCT tasks. Discrepancies discovered in the process of developing and analyzing scenarios are recorded as unresolved issues. Unresolved issues are identified and indexed. This allows unresolved issues to be tracked. The unresolved issues index is illustrated in Figure 5.

Scenarios are analyzed and workload studies are performed. These workload studies are used to identify when controllers are overloaded. They also identify when one controller may be available for additional mission responsibilities, thereby improving multimission operation.

The detailed workload studies and requirements analyses indicate when a specific spacecraft sequence overloads the mission controller, or when resources are not adequate to support the mission operations. This provides an understanding of specific risks of failure. Tt. provides the basis for the MMCT development team to negotiate additional staffing, specific workstation displays, software tools, data validation programs, additional spacecraft or mission information, or if required additional time accomplish specific tasks.

The MMCT Design Document then provides the basis for staffing and training plans. The design document provides the basis for determining whether the mission controller will be operating the Knowledge based mode or the Procedural based mode.

One of the basic parameters of designing an operations system is the whether operation will be Knowledge based or Procedural based. That is, will the normal operation be based on the operators knowledge of the process or will the operator preplanned normally be guided by procedures. The advantage of Procedural based operations design is that the skill requirements on the less than operator is for а Knowledge based operations system. We can expect the operator costs to be less for Procedural based system than for Knowledge based system. Procedural based system design can be used when the basic process is well known and relatively simple (i.e. procedures can be written), and the

basic system is stable (i.e. procedures are continuously valid).

When the basic system process is not understood or the process well changes, adequate procedures are difficult, therefore the system must be operated as a Knowledge based This requires that the system. operator be sufficiently knowledgeable of the system process that he can recognize when problems occur and can formulate plans to resolve the problems. The advantage of a Knowledge based system is that preplanning is minimized, and the operator responds to problems when they occur. If a Procedural based operation is appropriate, then the necessary procedures are identified and plans for developing them are generated. If a Knowledge based operations is more appropriate, then the necessary training plans are identified and developed.

4. CONCLUSION

The Mars Observer MMCT Design Document, as presented in the SDDL format, serves as the repository for the Mars Observer mission process model, the imposed requirements, the synthesized Mars Observer Controller responsibilities, the derived requirements, and unresolved issues.

The Mars Observer MMCT Design Document provides the basis for developing operations procedures, staffing plans, and training plans.

MMCT The Mars Observer Design Document provides a clear basis for the negotiation of resources with other organizations. It also provides the tracking of derived requirements and unresolved issues. It provides a tool for working out the details of the implementation. It provides the structure on which details of the the operations scenarios are analyzed to uncover problems and inconsistencies.

The design techniques presented for the MMCT Operations design provide a clear, rational, cost effective design process.

With a better understanding of the Operations System development come better cost control and risk management.

5. REFERENCES

1. Mars Observer Mission Sequence Plan, Vol 1: Mission Sequencing Scenarios, 642-313, Vol. 1. November, 1991. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, JPL D-3826, Vol 1.

2. Mars Observer Mission Operations Specifications, Vol 3: Operations Encounter Version, 642-315, Vol 3. September, 1991. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, JPL D-3822.

85 * This module is the top level of the mission activity hierarchy. 86 87 88 + At any point in the MO mission activity the MCT has 89 90 At any point in the NO Mission activity the ACI the capability to: * Transmit required commands to spacecraft * Verify spacecraft receipt of commands * Identify GDS conditions that interrupt or 91 92 Å 93 94 4 * Identify GDS conditions that interrupt or
 degrade command transmissions
 * Assure continued acquisition, safeing of required data
 * Verify accomplishment of the SOE
 * Identify unexpected interruptions or degradations
 * Identify unexpected interruptions or degradations
 * Initiate troubleshooting procedures when data product,
 * Initiate troubleshooting procedures when data product,
 * Coordinate GDS recovery from data product and
 * Command interruptions and degradation
 * Develop, analyze real-time S/C, GDS trends
 * Respont to and coordinate real-time SOE changes
 * * Note: A success-oriented mission activity is assumed in the 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 SELECT Mission Phase 112 113 114 CASE Launch 115 CALL Launch_Phase----->(3) 116 117 CASE Inner Cruise CALL Inner_Cruise----->(118 119 4) ---> 120 121 122 123 CASE Outer Cruise CALL Outer Cruise-----5) **CASE** Orbit Insertion CALL Orbit_Insertion----->(124 125 6) 126 127 128 129 CASE Mapping CALL Mapping----7) PACE . 130 142 PROGRAM Inner_Cruise 131 132 * Kission actaivities in the Inner Cruise Phase 133 144 145 134 ENI 146 147 SELECT Inner cruise phase sequence 149 CASE Spacecraft checkout (C1) ---->(8) CALL Spacecraft_Checkout--151 CASE TCH_1 (C2) CALL TCH_1--153 ·(23) 154 155 CASE Payload Checkout (C3) -------->(25) PAGE 8 ---->(32) 192 PROGRAM Spacecraft Checkout 193 194 * ID C1, Duration 13 days, Start I+2 days, End I+15 days ---->(34) Continuous DSN coverage
 exection of the second se 195 196 197 198 199 ---->(2) 200 201 202 203 204 LOOP for duration of Cl 205 CALL Monitor------>(55) 207 208 Do the C1 activities as they are required Figure 2 ----> 209 CALL C1_Activities---9) 210 IF DHILL CHANGE CALL Shift_Change---->(48) ENDIF IF Shift change 211 212 213 214 215 IF Station transfer 216 CALL Station_Transfer----->(51) 217 219 ENDLOOP 220 221 222 Return to CALL Inner_Cruise----->(4)

PAGE

2



226 PROGRAM C1_Activities 227 SELECT 228 CASE Launch playback, load A Send command load ClA (1+1/12:00:00)229 230 CALL Commanding----->(46) CALL ClA_Activities---->(11) 231 232 233 234 CASE REDMAN load Send command REDMAN load CALL Commanding-----236 _____ 461 CALL REDMAN_Activities---->(13) 238 239 CASE Propulsion priming, MAG & GRS boom extensions, load B Send command load C1B (I+3/12:00:00) CALL Commanding------>{ 46} CALL C1B_Activities---->{ 14} 240 241 242 243 244 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 Send command load C1C (1+5/12:00:00) 253 CALL Commanding----->(46) CALL ClC_Activities---->(17) 254 255 256 257 CASE Tank Pressurization, load D Send command load C1D to open valve 7 (1+11/12:00:00) 258 259 CALL CALL Commanding----->(46) CALL ClD Activities---->(18) 260 261 262 CASE Tank Pressurization, Load E IF valve 7 was successfully opened Send command load C1-E(P) to open valve 5 (I+13/04:00:00) CALL Commanding------>{ 46} CALL C1EP_Activities---->{ 20} 263 264 265 266 267 SE Send command load C1-E(B) to open both valves 5 and 8 (I+13/04:00:00) CALL Commanding----->(46) CALL C1EB_Activities---->(21) ELSE 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 ENDIP CASE Tank Pressurization, Load F IF valve 5 was not successfully opened Send command load C1F to open valve 6 (I+13/12:00:00) CALL Commanding----->(46) CALL C1F Activities----->(22) 275 276 277 278 279 ENDIF 280 ENDSELECT 281 282 L--PAGE 11 289 290 * This is the scenario for the mission controller to handle * the CIA activities 291 292 | C1A activities scenario | [IN.01.1 C1A activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT] Derived Requirement Callup the -C1A display-'D.3.1 C1A display' ----> Confirm USO selected(L0013/L0020)(I+2/16:00:00)Confirm Ranging enabled(L0009/L0016)(I+2/16:00:00)Confirm RPA 2 fliment is off(L0029)(I+2/16:00:30)Callup -DTR display-(C0016)(I+2/16:01:00)Confirm that DTR1 is active(C0016)(I+2/16:01:00)Confirm Sun Monitor disabled (7)(I+2/16:02:00)[IN.01.2 What is the Sun Monitor disabled channel number] <----</td>Unresolved Issue 300 301 302 **MMCT Scenario** 303 304 305 306 307 308 Conf long-term gyro recovery enabled (F4064) (I+2/16:03:00) 310 311 312 313 Confirm new battery charge rate Charge rate 1: E0501 2: E0503 Voltage limits 1: E0301(H) 2: E0303(H) (1+2/16:04:00) 314 315 Playback DTR3 at 8 kbps (1+2/16:30:00)316 317 ->(41) 318 (1+2/20:00:00) 319 CALL DTR Playback----->(41) Return to 2 kbps ENG telemetry (I+2/23:00:30) 320 321 322



PAGE 53

1891 PROGRAM Station_Data_Recall 1892 *** 1893 1894 * The following procedure is used to recall recorded data * from the station that for some reason did not get into the PDB. 1895 ******** 1896 1897 Requirement "R 5.2.2.1.7 Telemetry data gaps, playback" Lange an 1898 1899 | Telemetry data playback from DSCC scenario | [IN.29.1 TLM data playback from DSCC scenarion needs validation] MMCT Scenario Request DSCC to playback required telemetry data Configure SFOC for DSCC data playback Le con con con 1907 1908 Confirm DSCC playback Confirm telemetry playback data received at PDB 1909 1910 Log telemetry playback 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 Return to CALL Outgoing_Station---->(52) 1916 ENDPROGRAM PAGE 56 1979 PROGRAM Imposed_Requirements 1980 1981 1982 All the imposed requirements are obtained from the
 Mission Operations Specification Volume 3: Operations 1983 1984 1985 1986 "R 2.3.1.3 Spacecraft and Ground Health Monitoring" 1987 1988 The PPSO/MCT shall monitor the spacecraft and GDS data when 1989 1990 provided with valid spacecraft/ground predicts, standards, and limits. The following monitoring scenario supports this requirement. CALL Monitor----1991 ----->(55) **Requirements Definition** "R 5.2.2.1.7 Telemetry data gaps, playback" In the event of telemetry data gaps that need to be filled to meet Project requirements, the MCT shall coordinate with DSOT and DAT to asure that telemetry data is recalled from the GIP or DSCC as soon as possible after the end of the tracking pass but not to exceed 12 hours. 1 195. 2000 CALL Station_Data_Recall----->(53) 2001 2002 2003 ENDPROGRAM Imposed Requirements CROSS REFERENCE LISTING PAGE 71 R 2.3.1.3 Spacecraft and Ground Health Monitoring PAGE 56 PROGRAM Imposed Requirements 1986 R 5.2.1.3 61 PROGRAM Unallocated Requirements 2213 PAGE 2.1.4 R 5 2206 61 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.1.6.1 2218 61 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.1.6.2 61 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements 2233 PAGE R 5.2.1.6.3 2239 61 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.1.7.2 61 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements 2245 PAGE R 5.2.1.7.3 2252 61 PROGRAM Unallocated Requirements PAGE R 5.2.2.1.1.1 62 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements 2259 PAGE R 5.2.2.1.1.2 22.64 62 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.2.1.1.3 2270 62 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.2.1.5.2 62 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements 2275 PAGE R 5.2.2.1.6 2281 62 PROGRAM Unallocated_Requirements PAGE R 5.2.2.1.7 2291 62 PROGRAM Unallocated Requirements PAGE R 5.2.2.1.7 Telemetry data gaps, playback PAGE 53 PROGRAM Station_Data Recall PAGE 56 PROGRAM Imposed_Requirements 1898 **Requirements Index** <----

Figure 3

1994

		NOCRAM Derived_Requirements	
	2008	the state of the lateral standards	
	2009 2010	'D.1 A top level display is required'	
	2010	This display provides a GO/NOGO indication of the configuration	
	2012	and performance of each of the S/C and GDS system.	
	2012	CALL Monitor>{	551
	2014		551
	2015	'D.2.1 DTR playback display'	
	2016		
	2017	A display is required to support the DTR activities scenarios.	
	2018	CALL DTR Playback	41)
	2019	CALL DTR Repack>(42)
	2020	CALL DTR End of Record>(43)
	2021		
		'D.2.4 Spacecraft Maneuver display'	
Derived Require	ments		
Deningen undenne		A display is required to support the spacecraft maneuver	
Definition	2025	scenario.	
Doman	· ×	CALL Maneuver>(24)
	N		
	÷	'D.3.1 ClA display'	
	20. 4		
	2030	A display is required to support the C1A mission segment.	
	2031	CALL CIA Activities>(11)
	2032	CALL REDMAN_Activities>(13)
	2033		
	2034 2035	'D.J.2 C1B display'	
	2035	A display is required to support the CIB mission segment.	
	2030	CALL CIB Activities	
	2038		147
	2039	'D.3.3 ClC display'	
	2040	prote dropadi	
	2041	A display is required to support the CIC mission segment.	
	2042	CALL C1C Activities>(17)
	2043		/
	2044	'D.3.4 C1D display'	
	2045		
	2046	A display is required to support the CID mission segment.	
	2047	CALL CID Activities>(18)
	2048	CALL CIEP Activities>(
	2049	CALL CIEB_Activities>(21)
	2050	CALL CIF_Activities>(22)
	2051		
	2052	'D.3.6 C3A display'	
	2053		
	2054	A display is required to support the C3A mission segment.	
	2055		
	2056	CALL C3A>(26)
	2057		

Derived Requirements CROSS REFERENCE LISTING	PAGE 72	
****************	+++++++++++	******
D.1 A top level display is required		
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2009	
D.2.1 DTR display		
PAGE 41 PROGRAM DTR Playback	1522	
PAGE 42 PROGRAM DTR Repack	1570	
PAGE 43 PROGRAM DTR End of Record	1629	
D.2.1 DTR playback display		
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2015	
D.2.4 Spacecraft Maneuver display		
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2022	
D.2.5 Spacecraft Maneuver display		
PAGE 24 PROGRAM Maneuver	822	
D.3.1 ClA display		
PAGE 11 PROGRAM C1A Activities	<	Derived Requirements Index
PAGE 57 PROGRAM DerIved_Requirements		
D.J.10 C5 display		
PAGE 34 PROGRAM Outer Cruise Transition	1269	
PAGE 58 PROGRAM Derived Reguirements	2075	
D.3.2 ClB display		
PAGE 14 PROGRAM C1B Activities	403	
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2034	
D.3.3 C1C display		
PAGE 17 PROGRAM C1C Activities	509	
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2039	
D.3.4 C1D display		
PAGE 18 PROGRAM C1D Activities	543	
PAGE 57 PROGRAM Derived Requirements	2044	

Figure 4

Unresolved Taguag CROSS REFERENCE LISTING PAGE 71 ***** ************** IN.01.1 C1A activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 11 PROGRAM CIA_Activities IN.01.2 What is the Sun Monitor disabled channel number <---- Unresolved Issue Index 11 PROGRAM CIA Activities PAGE IN.01.4 How to confirm that heads are parked PAGE 11 PROGRAM C1A_Activities 345 IN.02.2 What is the battery temp cont channel number PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities 364 IN.02.3 What is the battery charge contr channel number PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities 36 IN.02.4 Is E0001 the correct CN for battery backup charge? 367 PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities 371

 IN.02.5 How is the contingency alert enable confirmed
 374

 PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities
 374

 IN.02.6 How is the telemetry verification enable confirmed
 PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities

 PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities
 374

374 377 IN.02.8 What parameters and thresholds are used in REDMAN PAGE 13 PROGRAM REDMAN Activities IN.03.1 C1B activities scenarIo needs validation 386 PAGE 14 PROGRAM C1B Activities IN.03.2 How is Biprop system vented and primed confirmed PAGE 14 PROGRAM C1B Activities 400 411

 IN.03.3 Do we need to look at the HGA GDE on signal?
 411

 IN.03.3 Do we need to look at the HGA GDE on signal?
 9AGE 14 PROGRAM CIB Activities
 416

 IN.05.1 C1C activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT
 PAGE 17 PROGRAM C1C Activities
 506

 IN.05.1 C1C activities control to the structure of IN.06.1 C1D activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 18 PROGRAM C1D Activities 540 IN.07.1 C1-E(P) activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 20 PROGRAM CLEP Activities 608 IN.08.1 C1-E(B) activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 21 PROGRAM CLEB_Activities 662 IN.09.1 C1-F activities scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 22 PROGRAM C1F_Activities 718 IN.10.1 TCM-1 Operational scenario should be reviewed with SCT PAGE 23 PROGRAM TCM 1 IN.11.1 Maneuver scenario should be reviewed with SCT 772 PAGE 24 PROGRAM Maneuver 817 IN.11.2 What is MCT responsibility during manuevers PAGE 24 PROGRAM Maneuver 819 IN.11.3 How do we confirm spacecraft momentum unload PAGE 24 PROGRAM Maneuver 825 IN.11.4 What does MCT do on a faulty manuever PAGE 24 PROGRAM Maneuver 863 IN.12.1 Payload checkout scenario should be reviewed with SCT 26 PROGRAM C3A PAGE 936 IN.12.2 What is the PDS write protect on/off channel number PAGE 26 PROGRAM C3A 949 IN.12.3 How are three redundant PDS memory readouts confirmed PAGE 26 PROGRAM C3A 960 IN.12.4 How is command PDS to RAM confirmed PAGE 26 PROGRAM C3A 963

IN.12.5 On switch to S4E-1 MBR, is there any effect on GDS

Figure 5