

Pigs in Cyberspace

Hans Moravec
Robotics Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Exploration and colonization of the universe awaits, but Earth-adapted biological humans are ill-equipped to respond to the challenge. Machines have gone farther and seen more, limited though they presently are by insect-like behavioral inflexibility. As they become smarter over the coming decades, space will be theirs. Organizations of robots of ever increasing intelligence and sensory and motor ability will expand and transform what they occupy, working with matter, space and time. As they grow, a smaller and smaller fraction of their territory will be undeveloped frontier. Competitive success will depend more and more on using already available matter and space in ever more refined and useful forms. The process, analogous to the miniaturization that makes today's computers a trillion times more powerful than the mechanical calculators of the past, will gradually transform all activity from grossly physical homesteading of raw nature, to minimum-energy quantum transactions of computation. The final frontier will be urbanized, ultimately into an arena where every bit of activity is a meaningful computation: the inhabited portion of the universe will be transformed into a cyberspace.

Because it will use resources more efficiently, a mature cyberspace of the distant future will be effectively much bigger than the present physical universe. While only an infinitesimal fraction of existing matter and space is doing interesting work, in a well developed cyberspace every bit will be part of a relevant computation or storing a useful datum. Over time, more compact and faster ways of using space and matter will be invented, and used to restructure the cyberspace, effectively increasing the amount of computational spacetime per unit of physical spacetime.

Computational speed-ups will affect the subjective experience of entities in the cyberspace in a paradoxical way. At first glimpse, there is no subjective effect, because everything, inside and outside the individual, speeds up equally. But, more subtly, speed-up produces an expansion of the cyber universe, because, as thought accelerates, more subjective time passes during the fixed (probably lightspeed) physical transit time of a message between a given pair of locations—so those fixed locations seem to grow farther apart. Also, as information storage is made continually more efficient through both denser utilization of matter and more efficient encodings, there will be increasingly more cyber-stuff between any two points. The effect may somewhat resemble the continuous-creation process in the old steady-state theory of the physical universe of Hoyle, Bondi and Gold, where hydrogen atoms appear just fast enough throughout the expanding cosmos to maintain a constant density.

A quantum-mechanical entropy calculation by Bekenstein suggests that the ultimate amount of information that can be stored given the mass and volume of a hydrogen atom is about a megabyte. But let's be conservative, and imagine that at some point in the future only "conventional" physics is in play, but every few atoms stores a useful bit. There are about 10^{56} atoms in the solar system. I estimate that a human brain-equivalent can be encoded in less than 10^{15} bits. If a body and surrounding environment takes a thousand times more storage in addition, a human, with immediate environment, might consume 10^{18} bits. An AI with equivalent intelligence could probably get by with less, since it does without the "body-simulation" life support needed to keep a body-oriented human mind sane. So a city of a million human-scale inhabitants might be efficiently stored in 10^{24} bits. If the atoms of the solar system were cleverly rearranged so every 100 could represent a bit, then a single solar system could hold 10^{30} cities—far more than the number (10^{22}) of stars in the visible universe! Multiply that by 10^{11} stars in a galaxy, and one gets 10^{41} cities per galaxy. The visible universe, with 10^{11} galaxies, would

then have room for 10^{51} cities—except that by the time intelligence has expanded that far, more efficient ways of using spacetime and encoding data would surely have been discovered, increasing the number much further.

Mind without Body?

Start with the concepts of telepresence and virtual reality. You wear a harness that, with optical, acoustical, mechanical and chemical devices controls all that you sense, and measures all of your actions. Its machinery presents pictures to your eyes, sounds to your ears, pressures and temperatures to your skin, forces to your muscles and even smells and tastes for the remaining senses. Telepresence results when the inputs and outputs of this harness connect to a distant machine that looks like a humanoid robot. The images from the robot's two camera eyes appear on your "eyeglass" viewscreens, and you hear through its ears, feel through its skin and smell through its chemical sensors. When you move your head or body, the robot moves in exact synchrony. When you reach for an object seen in the viewscreens, the robot reaches for the object, and when it makes contact, your muscles and skin feel the resulting weight, shape, texture and temperature. For most practical purposes you inhabit the robot's body—your sense of consciousness has migrated to the robot's location, in a true "out of body" experience.

Virtual reality retains the harness, but replaces the remote robot with a computer simulation of a body and its surroundings. When connected to a virtual reality, the location you seem to inhabit does not exist in the usual physical sense, rather you are in a kind of computer-generated dream. If the computer has access to data from the outside world, the simulation may contain some "real" items, for instance representations of other people connected via their own harnesses, or even views of the outside world, perhaps through simulated windows.

One might imagine a hybrid system where a virtual "central station" is surrounded by portals that open on to views of multiple real locations. While in the station one inhabits a simulated body, but when one steps through a portal, the harness link is seamlessly switched from the simulation to a telepresence robot waiting at that location.

The technical challenges limit the availability, "fidelity" and affordability of telepresence and virtual reality systems today—in fact, they exist only in a few highly experimental demonstrations. But progress is being made, and it's possible to anticipate a time, a few decades hence, when people spend more time in remote and virtual realities than in their immediate surroundings, just as today most of us spend more time in artificial indoor surroundings than in the great outdoors. The remote bodies we will inhabit can be stronger, faster and have better senses than our "home" body. In fact, as our home body ages and weakens, we might compensate by turning up some kind of "volume control." Eventually, we might wish to bypass our atrophied muscles and dimmed senses altogether, if neurobiology learns enough to connect our sensory and motor nerves directly to electronic interfaces. Then all the harness hardware could be discarded as obsolete, along with our sense organs and muscles, and indeed most of our body. There would be no "home" experiences to return to, but our remote and virtual existences would be better than ever.

The picture has us become a "brain in a vat," sustained by life-support machinery, and connected by wonderful electronic links, at will, to a series of "rented" artificial bodies at remote locations, or to simulated bodies in artificial realities. But the brain is a biological machine not designed to function forever, even in an optimal physical environment. As it begins to malfunction, might we not choose to use the same advanced neurological electronics that make possible our links to the external world, to replace the gray matter as it begins to fail? Bit by bit our brain is replaced by electronic equivalents, which work at least as well, leaving our personality and thoughts clearer than ever. Eventually everything has been replaced by manufactured parts. No physical vestige of our original body or brain remains, but our thoughts and awareness

continue. We will call this process, and other approaches with the same end result, the downloading of a human mind into a machine. After downloading, our personality is a pattern impressed on electronic hardware, and we may then find ways to move our minds to other similar hardware, just as a computer program and its data can be copied from processor to processor. So not only can our sense of awareness shift from place to place at the speed of communication, but the very components of our minds may ride on the same data channels. We might find our selves distributed over many locations, one piece of our mind here, another piece there, and our sense of awareness at yet another place. Time becomes more flexible—when our mind resides in very fast hardware, one second of real time may provide a subjective year of thinking time, while a thousand years of real time spent on a passive storage medium may seem like no time at all. Can we then consider ourselves to be a mind without a body? Not quite.

A human totally deprived of bodily senses does not do well. After twelve hours in a sensory deprivation tank (where one floats in a body-temperature saline solution that produces almost no skin sensation, in total darkness and silence, with taste and smell and the sensations of breathing minimized) a subject will begin to hallucinate, as the mind, somewhat like a television tuned to a nonexistent channel, turns up the amplification, desperately looking for a signal, becoming ever less discriminating in the theories it offers to make sense of the random sensory hiss it receives. Even the most extreme telepresence and virtual reality scenarios we have presented avoid complete bodylessness by always providing the mind with a consistent sensory (and motor) image, obtained from an actual remote robot body, or from a computer simulation. In those scenarios, a person may sometimes exist without a physical body, but never without the illusion of having one.

But in our computers there are already many entities that resemble truly bodiless minds. A typical computer chess program knows nothing about physical chess pieces or chessboards, or about the staring eyes of its opponent or the bright lights of a tournament. Nor does it work with an internal simulation of those physical attributes. It reasons instead with a very efficient and compact mathematical representation of chess positions and moves. For the benefit of human players this internal representation is sometimes translated to a recognizable graphic on a computer screen, but such images mean nothing to the program that actually chooses the chess moves. For all practical purposes, the chess program's thoughts and sensations—its consciousness—is pure chess, with no taint of the physical, or any other, world. Much more than a human mind with a simulated body stored in a computer, a chess program is a mind without a body.

So now, imagine a future world where programs that do chess, mathematics, physics, engineering, art, business or whatever, have grown up to become at least as clever as the human mind. Imagine also the most of the inhabited universe has been converted to a computer network—a cyberspace—where such programs live, side by side with downloaded human minds and accompanying simulated human bodies. Suppose that all these entities make their living in something of a free market way, trading the products of their labor for the essentials of life—in this world memory space and computing cycles. Some entities do the equivalent of manual work, converting undeveloped parts of the universe into cyberspace, or improving the performance of existing patches, thus creating new wealth. Others work on physics or engineering problems whose solutions give the developers new and better ways to construct computing capacity. Some create programs that can become part of one's mental capacity. They trade their discoveries and inventions for more working space and time. There are entities that specialize as agents, collecting commissions in return for locating opportunities and negotiating deals for their clients. Others act as banks, storing and redistributing resources, buying and selling computing space, time and information. Some we might class as artists, creating structures that don't obviously result in physical resources, but which, for idiosyncratic reasons, are deemed valuable by some customers, and are traded at prices that fluctuate for subjective reasons. Some entities in the cyberworld will fail to produce enough value to support their requirements for existence—these eventually shrink and disappear, or merge with other ventures. Others will succeed and grow. The closest present

day parallel is the growth, evolution, fragmentation and consolidation of corporations, whose options are shaped primarily by their economic performance.

A human would likely fare poorly in such a cyberspace. Unlike the streamlined artificial intelligences that zip about, making discoveries and deals, reconfiguring themselves to efficiently handle the data that constitutes their interactions, a human mind would lumber about in a massively inappropriate body simulation, analogous to someone in a deep diving suit plodding along among a troupe of acrobatic dolphins. Every interaction with the data world would first have to be analogized as some recognizable quasi-physical entity: other programs might be presented as animals, plants or demons, data items as books or treasure chests, accounting entries as coins or gold. Maintaining such fictions increases the cost of doing business, as does operating the mind machinery that reduces the physical simulations into mental abstractions in the downloaded human mind. Though a few humans may find a niche exploiting their baroque construction to produce human-flavored art, more may feel a great economic incentive to streamline their interface to the cyberspace.

The streamlining could begin with the elimination of the body-simulation along with the portions of the downloaded mind dedicated to interpreting sense-data. These would be and replaced with simpler integrated programs that produced approximately the same net effect in one's consciousness. One would still view the cyberworld in terms of location, color, smell, faces, and so on, but only those details we actually notice would be represented. We would still be at a disadvantage compared with the true artificial intelligences, who interact with the cyberspace in ways optimized for their tasks. We might then be tempted to replace some of our innermost mental processes with more cyberspace-appropriate programs purchased from the AIs, and so, bit by bit, transform ourselves into something much like them. Ultimately our thinking procedures could be totally liberated from any traces of our original body, indeed of any body. But the bodiless mind that results, wonderful though it may be in its clarity of thought and breadth of understanding, could in no sense be considered any longer human.

So, one way or another, the immensities of cyberspace will be teeming with very unhuman disembodied superminds, engaged in affairs of the future that are to human concerns as ours are to those of bacteria. But, once in a long while, humans do think of bacteria, even particular individual bacteria seen in particular microscopes. Similarly, a cyberbeing may occasionally bring to mind a human event of the distant past. If a sufficiently powerful mind makes a sufficiently large effort, such recall could occur with great detail—call it high fidelity. With enough fidelity, the situation of a remembered person, along with all the minutiae of her body, her thoughts, and feelings would be perfectly recreated in a kind of mental simulation: a cyberspace within a cyberspace where the person would be as alive as anywhere. Sometimes the recall might be historically accurate, in other circumstances it could be artistically enhanced: it depends on the purposes of the cybermind. An evolving cyberspace becomes effectively ever more capacious and long lasting, and so can support ever more minds of ever greater power. If these minds spend only an infinitesimal fraction of their energy contemplating the human past, their sheer power should ensure that eventually our entire history is replayed many times in many places, and in many variations. The very moment we are now experiencing may actually be (almost certainly is) such a distributed mental event, and most likely is a complete fabrication that never happened physically. Alas, there is no way to sort it out from our perspective: we can only wallow in the scenery.

Pigs in Cyberspace Discussion Notes

Speaker: Hans Moravec, Carnegie Mellon University
Note Taker: Marc G. Millis, NASA Lewis Research Center

PREMISE:

Based on the extrapolation that computers and robots will eventually become more intelligent than their human creators (predicted to occur 2030-2050), this workshop examined the possible impact this would have on humanity. Vinge had referred to this point as a "Technological Singularity" during his March 30th presentation.

The big question is what happens to the universe and humanity if we create something more intelligent and thus more capable than ourselves? Does humanity survive? Do we become mere pets or mere livestock for these new cyber entities?

Hans Moravec presented his views on this question (see preceding text section), derived from his own assumptions. The audience freely entered the discussion by challenging Moravec's assumptions and by proposing scenarios of their own.

One of Moravec's underlying assumptions was that these synthetic entities would retain a sense of Darwinistic competitiveness: survival of the fittest. This competitive drive is thought to be a residual from their human origins: machines designed to be superior to insure market dominance. With this animalistic instinct retained, these entities would compete for dominance and would eventually expand their influence across space and over all other entities, including humans.

It is assumed that the cyber entities are initially robots who expand their physical existence over space until they start running into themselves. At that point they begin to merge into a kind of collective entity and turn their expansion inward; increasing their resolution, becoming finer and finer (i.e. more and more stuff packed into a given volume). There was discussion about whether these cyber entities would remain individuals or would they merge into one homogeneous, networked entity-- i.e. one giant thought process.

AND WHAT ABOUT THE HUMANS?

If humanity is not exterminated in the course of cyber expansionism, presumably because the cyber entities have compassionately contemplated their origins, then what would happen to humanity? What would human life be like?

One scenario Moravec conceived is where the cyber entities make a deal with humanity so that they can use the raw materials of Earth (entirely, including humans) for their own purposes. In exchange they would provide humans with an "improved" synthetic environment for humans to live in. This means "downloading" the human mind (soul?) into some cyberspace media. Moravec continues to postulate that for humans to survive in this form (assuming Darwinian instincts still hold within the cyberspace) they would have to shed their overhead of processing that converts sensory inputs into thought and thought back into motor-outputs. Humans would have to be in direct thought-link with the cyberspace in order to compete for survival. Because these sensory-to-thought layers are the very boarders that enable humans to retain their individual essence, Moravec concluded that humans cannot exist in such an environment.

As an alternative, Moravec suggested that there would be pockets of humanity and other life forms dispersed through the universe dominated by cyber entities. Humans in cyberspace would be analogous to the Muppets "Pigs in Space." Even if humans were reduced to simulations, it is likely that there would be pockets of these simulations running independently. There was some playful conjecture as to the possibility that this was happening now.

OTHER POINTS:

There was much discussion about the validity of these assumptions and about other scenarios not considered. Would Darwinistic competitive instincts be retained in entities whose intelligence is beyond human comprehension? Would Darwinism survival instincts be retained in

entities that are practically immortal? Is there some limit to the "intelligence" of an entity, even a collective entity. Consider, for example, the cliché: two heads are better than one, but a lot makes a bureaucracy. Could cyber entities equally digress into a bureaucracy where inter-entity communication and extra layers of complexity bog down the purpose of the collective? Another scenario discussed was the possibility that cyber entities would become addicted to self-induced synthetic "pleasures." Would this render them externally benign? This is analogous to what might happen if humans had the capability to render themselves happy at will. Would a human that is willfully self-engrossed in bliss neglect its biological needs and die; albeit happily? There was also much discussion about the borders between cyberspace individuals and collectives and the resulting blur on the definition of life and death in cyberspace. For example, is murder the same in a universe where back-up copies of your soul exist?

In summary, there was much philosophical discussion about humanity and existence given the context of cyberspace. These provocative discussions gave us a better look at what it means to be a human as well as contemplating the possibilities of independent machine intelligence.

SCULPTING IN CYBERSPACE

Speaker: Rob Fisher

Note Taker: Nancy Amman

Rob Fisher, an engineer and artist with the Studio for Creative Inquiry at Carnegie Mellon University, uses computer technology to help him design and engineer massive architectural sculptures. His sculptures are developed from the metaphors of their environment. For example, for a medical center in Saudi Arabia, Fisher borrowed elements from the Saudi architecture and culture (columns, arches, beads, and Sanskrit calligraphy). These elements were incorporated into a 50-ft sculpture containing double-helix patterns—suggesting human DNA, a metaphor for the medical community.

Fisher begins each design project at the lowest possible technical level—a pencil drawing or an Amiga computer sketch. From there he switches to an Evans and Sutherland color vector graphics computer, overlaying his design on a schematic of the architecture where the sculpture will reside. Detailed designs are made, and programmers determine the precise locations of each component. For the Saudi Arabian sculpture, Fisher used simple cardboard 3-D glasses to view his computer creation in three-dimensional “virtual reality.”

A sculpture for the Crystal River Mall in Florida presented Fisher with unique engineering challenges. The almost 400-ft-diameter fabric roof of the food court could not support much weight, so Fisher was constrained to using the four upright roof supports to anchor his sculpture. Borrowing from bracket fungi, which attach themselves to the vertical surface of tree trunks, Fisher designed cantilevered fan-type structures. The first fan was designed as a paper and dowel rod model, then progressively more sophisticated computer models were made. Finally the actual 65-ft-wide fans were produced of 27-ft long, 1-in.-diameter aluminum tubes with interwoven fabric strips. The fans were balanced on pivot points so that they could wave up and down with the air currents in the mall. There are 12 fans in all, 3 on each support.

Fisher’s most recent project is being developed for the outside of the roof of the Omnimax theatre at the Carnegie Mellon Science Center. Throughout the project, he has attempted to marry science and art. Beginning with a computer simulation of how crystals grow, Fisher first designed a basic crystal shape. This shape would be repeated as adjoining crystals “grew” in a computer “seedbed” that was overlaid on a schematic of the trusses which would support the sculpture.

A programmer designed a bounding box that allowed Fisher to control which seeds grew and the direction of growth. On screen, crystals that had been saved in the program were red, the crystal he was looking at was green, and that crystal’s nearest neighbors were blue. Six childlike figures that were reminiscent of dancing robots made up the completed crystal pattern. The finished crystals will be 10-ft high, and the figures will be about 80-ft high.

To animate the figures, Fisher designed the crystals as tubular frameworks that encased fiber-optic cables. As a metaphor (and educational demonstration) of networking, Fisher plans for any combination of parts of the sculpture to be lit in any order, rhythm, or speed and with any of 16 million different colors. The completed sculpture will be computer controlled and fully interactive. Fisher’s plans for the sculpture are ambitious and varied. They include

- (1) Light shows synchronized with Point Park performances of the Pittsburgh Symphony via the conductor’s radio baton
- (2) Displays that change as children standing on the other side of the river shout out various colors or the commands “faster” or “slower”
- (3) Advertisements of the center’s current attractions via light shows resembling lightning, volcanic eruptions, or the human brain
- (4) Use as a barometric weather indicator
- (5) Displays of the figures “running the bases” when the Pirates score homeruns in nearby Three-Rivers Stadium

(6) A seemingly endless number of light show patterns that could be produced by the science center's visitors

To promote funding interest in the project, Fisher plans to create a traveling "virtual" version of the sculpture that will be projected on the ceiling of Omnimax theatres or planetariums. Where possible, this display will also be interactive. For this virtual version, Fisher is currently doing motion analysis of the figures to create logical patterns of movement to match their shapes. Eventually, he may create personalities for each of the figures with appropriate movement to match. If all goes well, look for the virtual version to be introduced in 1 to 3 years.