brought to you by 🗓 CORE



NASA Technical Memorandum 105756 AIAA-93-1998

Development of Hypersonic Engine Seals: Flow Effects of Preload and Engine Pressures

Zhong Cai, Rajakkannu Mut	harasan	and Frank	k K. Ko			<u></u>
Drexel University	10.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· • •		
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania			· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································	

and

Bruce M. Steinetz NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio S3 001 SPECSER 930426 \$000006 NASA LYNDON B JOHNSON SPACE CENTER TECHNICAL LIBRARY/JM33 HOUSTON TX 77058 Prepared for the 29th Joint Propsulsion Conference and Exhibit cosponsored by the AIAA, SAE, ASME, and ASEE Monterey, California, June 28-30, 1993 N94-2759 DEVELOPMENT OF (NASA-TM-106333) ENGINE SEALS: FLOW FRSGNIC EFFECTS OF PRELOAD AND ENGINE N/Unclas (NASA) 13 p PRESSURES 0000878 G3/37

na na serie de la companya de la com La companya de la comp

n a station and a second station of the second station of the second station of the second station of the second

-----· · · - · · · ----

·____·

n a seine a sinteriori con a serie de la contra de la contr - **फ**ल्ला

· · · · · · ··· · •···

.

HETMIP E. 1400

ERRATA

NASA Technical Memorandum 105768

Zhong Cai, Rajakkannu Mutharasan, and Frank K. Ko Drexel Unviversity Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

and

Bruce M. Steinetz NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

The report number for the aforesaid Technical Memorandum is corrected to NASA Technical Memorandum 106333.

ATTENTION: CHNTHIA BARNES

.

μ. Υ.

.

Development of Hypersonic Engine Seals: Flow Effects of Preload and Engine Pressures

Zhong Cai^{*}, Rajakkannu Mutharasan[†], Frank K. Ko[‡] Drexel University Philadelphia, PA 19104

Bruce M. Steinetz[§] NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, OH 44135

ABSTRACT

A new type of engine seal is being developed to meet the needs of advanced hypersonic engines. A seal braided of emerging high temperature ceramic fibers comprised of a sheath-core construction has been selected for study based on its low leakage rates. Flexible, low-leakage, high temperature seals are required to seal the movable engine panels of advanced ramjet-scramjet engines either preventing potentially dangerous leakage into backside engine cavities or limiting the purge coolant flow rates through the seals. To predict the leakage through these flexible, porous seal structures as a function of preload and engine pressures, new analytical flow models are required. An empirical leakage resistance/preload model is proposed to characterize the observed decrease in leakage with increasing preload. Empirically determined compression modulus and preload factor are used to correlate experimental leakage data for a wide range of seal architectures. Good agreement between measured and predicted values are observed over a range of engine pressures and seal preload.

NOMENCLATURE

Ac	=	Cross sectional area of the seal
Av	=	Yarn cross sectional area
Df	=	Fiber diameter
Esl	=	Seal compression modulus
gc	=	Gravitational constant
L	=	Seal length
м	=	Mass leakage rate
Mw	=	Molecular weight of gas
Nc	=	Number of yarns in the core
Ns	=	Number of yarns in the sheath
Pe	=	Engine pressure differential (psig)
-		$(P_i - P_o)$
Pi	=	Engine pressure upstream of seal (psia)

Po	=	Engine pressure downstream of seal (psia)
Pn	=	Preload pressure (psig)
R	=	Leakage resistance, defined in Eq. (2)
Ro	=	Leakage resistance at zero preload
		pressure
R∞	=	Leakage resistance at infinite preload
		pressure
Rg	Ξ	Universal gas constant
ť	=	Seal dimension
÷		
Greek:		
α	Ξ	Preload factor
3	Ξ	Seal porosity
ε ε ₀		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure
ε ε ₀ εmin		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure Seal minimum porosity at infinite
ε ε ₀ ε _{min}		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure Seal minimum porosity at infinite preload pressure
ε ε _o ε _{min} θ		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure Seal minimum porosity at infinite preload pressure Braiding angle
ε ε _o ε _{min} θ		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure Seal minimum porosity at infinite preload pressure Braiding angle
ε ε _o ε _{min} θ μ		Seal porosity Seal porosity at zero preload pressure Seal minimum porosity at infinite preload pressure Braiding angle gas viscosity

с	=	Fiber core
s	=	Braid sheath
sl	=	Seal

INTRODUCTION

Ramjet-scramjet engines require sliding panel seals to prevent combustion gases from leaking past the articulating engine panels, similar to articulating panel seals of turbojet two-dimensional converging-diverging nozzles [1]. However, new seals are required for advanced hypersonic engines because of higher thermal loads and the need to seal larger engine sidewall distortions. As a point of comparison, turbojet nozzle seals developed under the augmented deflector exhaust nozzle program [2] used superalloy seals that sealed pressure differentials up to 30 psi, sealed sidewall distortions up to 0.030 in., and were cooled to 1200 °F.

^{*} Research Associate, Materials Engineering Dept.

[†] Professor of Chemical Engineering

[‡] Professor of Materials Engineering

[§] Research Engineer, Structural Dynamics Branch, Member AIAA

Hypersonic engine seals, however, are required to operate at higher temperatures (1800 - 2000 °F), seal high pressure differentials (up to 100 psi), and seal larger sidewall distortions (up to 0.150 in.), as described in [3].

A seal concept that shows promise of meeting these challenging demands is the braided ceramic rope seal being developed at NASA Lewis Research Center. The braided ceramic rope seal structure consists of a highdensity uniaxial core structure overbraided with an outer sheath for structural integrity, as shown in Fig. 1. Braided of emerging high-temperature ceramic fibers, this seal shows promise of operating hot and remaining flexible at temperatures up to 2000 °F. Active preload means, such as the cooled metal bellows as shown, are used to preload the seal against the adjacent sidewall. As one would expect, increasing seal preload increases seal flow resistance thereby limiting leakage flow through the seal.

Accompanying the development of these engine seals, NASA is also developing engine seal flow models to predict the seal leakage through these porous seal structures. These seal flow models can be used during the design process in one of two ways: 1) to predict performance losses associated with parasitic leakage through the seals; and 2) to predict purge coolant flow rates through these seals where ambient engine flow temperatures exceed the seal's operating temperature limit.

In an earlier paper [4] analyzing the seal leakage flow, mathematical models of leakage flow through the braided rope seals based on the Kozeny-Carman equation were proposed. The flow model enables prediction of gas leakage rate as a function of fiber diameter, seal porosity, gas properties, and pressure differential across the seal. Although the model predicts leakage rates satisfactorily, it does not account for changes in leakage rates at various lateral preload pressures.

The purpose of this article is to provide an analytical means of predicting the gas flow through these braided structures as a function of engine and preload pressures.

THEORY

Seal Leakage Resistance. The braided rope seal structure shown in Fig. 1 presents an effective flow barrier between the high pressure (P_i) and low pressure (P_o) sides of the seal. Ref. [4] provided the theoretical basis for modeling the one-dimensional flow through these seals based on the Kozeny-Carman equation with a fixed porosity (ε) , The mass flow for the seal structure was given as

$$\frac{\dot{M}}{L} = \frac{P_i^2 - P_o^2}{300 \ (\mu R_g T/M_w g_c) \ (tL/A_c) \ [(1 - \varepsilon)^2/\varepsilon^3(\phi D)^2]}$$
(1)

The braided seal flow resistance was defined as the rate of the differences in the squares of the pressures (driving potential) to the mass flow rate as

$$R = \frac{P_i^2 - P_o^2}{\dot{M} / L}$$
(2)

For simplicity in [4], R was assumed to be independent of the applied pressure difference and the preload applied to the seal.

Experimental evidence has shown that the effective seal flow resistance is dependent upon both the preload pressure and the engine pressure differential. Using (1) and (2) above, the seal resistance is strongly dependent on the porosity as

$$R = \frac{K}{(\phi D_f)^2} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^3}$$
(3)

where

$$K = 300 \left(\frac{\mu R_g T}{M_w g_c}\right) \left(\frac{tL}{A_c}\right)$$

As will be shown herein, the seal porosity and the seal resistance are dependent upon the engine and preload pressures.

Resistance Preload Model. Establishing an analytical relationship between compression stress and strain based on the mechanical behavior of the thousands of fibers contained in these seal structures and the seal porosity would be immensely complex. Further the resulting expression may not provide an engineering model useful in predicting the seal leakage dependence on engine pressures and preloads. First, the fiber core and braided sheath should be considered separately. Second, in the seal core, all fibers are supposed to be perfectly aligned in the length direction, and the assumption of "point contact" may not be valid. Third, at the very low porosity level, a high transverse stress will be required to further compress the fiber assembly, and the compression resistance due to deformation of individual fibers may need to be considered. Therefore, a simpler empirical approach is proposed to describe the relationship between the seal leakage resistance, and the preload and engine

pressures. Since both P_p and P_e change the seal resistance, both should be considered in evaluating seal performance. Expressing such an idea quantitatively gives

$$R = R_o + (R_{\infty} - R_o) \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[- \left(\alpha P_p + (1 - \alpha) P_e\right) / E_{sl} \right] \right\}$$

(4)

where R is the leakage resistance at a given preload pressure P_p and engine pressure P_e, R_o is the leakage resistance at zero preload pressure $P_p = 0$ psig and "nearzero" engine pressure $P_e \approx 0$ psig, \vec{R}_{∞} is the maximum resistance at $P_p = \infty$ and $P_e = \infty$. The parameter E_{Sl} is defined as the seal compression modulus, and α is a weighting factor of preload pressure contribution to the seal compression (abbreviated as preload factor). The expression captures the characteristics of the resistancepreload relationship observed in the experiments, namely the leakage resistance increases at a decreasing rate with increasing preload pressure. The leakage response behavior is governed by the seal compression modulus and the preload factor. The seal compression modulus and the preload factor, in turn, are governed by seal structure and the nature of the fiber material, and can be determined experimentally for a particular type of seal.

Letting ε_0 be the seal porosity with zero preload pressure and zero engine pressure, the leakage resistance at $P_p = 0$ psig and $P_e = 0$ psig can be estimated as

$$R_{o} = \frac{K}{(\phi D_{f})^{2}} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{o})^{2}}{\varepsilon_{o}^{3}}$$
(5)

When subjected to a hypothetical infinite preload, the seal is most tightly packed, and its porosity approaches the lowest possible value, denoted as ε_{\min} . An analysis of the seal micro-structure shows that the lowest seal porosity is 0.093, based on the architecture of hexagonal packing of cylindrical fibers. The maximum resistance can then be determined as

$$R_{\infty} = \frac{K}{(\phi D_f)^2} \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_{\min})^2}{\varepsilon_{\min}^3}$$
(6)

With a rearrangement of the terms, equation (4) can be transformed to a linear equation for compressional modulus E_{S1} and the preload factor α as

$$\frac{P_e}{\ln\left(\frac{R_{\infty} - R_o}{R_{\infty} - R}\right)} = \alpha \frac{P_e - P_p}{\ln\left(\frac{R_{\infty} - R_o}{R_{\infty} - R}\right)} + E_{sl}$$
(7)

Therefore a linear regression method can be used to determine E_{sl} and α for the seal samples by using the experimental data (R, P_e, P_p). If the proposed model can describe the seal leakage response correctly, then experimental data will lie on a straight line in a transformed plot using equation (7). Graphical observations indicate there is some extent of data scattering for different seals. Selection of a certain preload pressure range to perform linear regression calculation can give more accurate predictions within the interested pressure range.

In the calculation the initial seal resistance R_0 is obtained from (5) with the K from equation (3). The geometry transformation factor ϕ is chosen as 1.5 [4]. The fiber diameter D_f and the initial seal porosity ε_0 are shown in Table 1. Similarly the maximum resistance R_{∞} is calculated from (6). The minimum seal porosity used in the calculation is $\varepsilon_{min} = 0.093$.

EXPERIMENTS

Seal specimens used for this investigation were fabricated using a dense uniaxial core overbraided with several layers of 2-D braided sheath as indicated in Fig. 1. Seals were made of either E-glass fibers (seals A1, B1, D1, G1) or Nextel ceramic fibers (M6a, M6b, M6c). A summary of properties important to the current investigations are given in Table 1. More detailed architectural information for the E-glass and ceramic seals can be found in [4] and [5] respectively.

Flow Measurement. Seal specimens were mounted in a specially developed test fixture shown in Fig. 2, and were leak tested at room temperature under various inlet pressure conditions in the range of 5 to 80 psig. The pressure upstream of the seal was varied and the resulting leakage of gas (either air or helium) was measured. Lateral preloads were applied uniformly to the back of the seal with an inflatable rubber diaphragm at pressures from 0 to 240 psig. The flow resistance of the seal was computed from the ratio of the difference of squares of absolute pressures over the mass leakage rate using (2).

<u>Porosity</u>. Calculation of an initial resistance R_0 requires an initial porosity ε_0 . The initial porosity used for the Eglass specimens fabricated for earlier studies were calculated using the following equation derived from fiber packing within braided structures as

$$\varepsilon_{o} = 1 - \frac{A_{y} \left(N_{c} + N_{s}/\cos\theta\right)}{t^{2}}$$
(8)

where N_c and N_s are the number of core and sheath yarns, A_y is the yarn cross sectional area, and t^2 is the cross sectional area of the installed seal.

Porosity for the Nextel ceramic fiber seals were determined using a hybrid approach to better reflect the initial installed porosity of the seal. In this approach, samples of the seals were placed in a 0.5 inch wide channel simulating the seal channel. This assembly was placed open side pointing-up, in an Instron compression tester that applied increasing loads to the seal. Prior to loading, the initial seal height is measured. As the compression bar contacts the seal no significant load is measured. After the compression bar travels down some distance, the compressive load is measured. The position corresponding to this point of initial resistive load is also measured. The initial porosity is then calculated. Porosity determined using the above method are listed for each of the seals in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<u>Leakage Resistance Pressure Dependence</u>. Seal leakage resistance increases with increasing preload pressure and increasing engine pressure. Seal leakage resistance calculated with equation (2) are plotted for seals A1 and G1 in Fig. 3 demonstrating these trends. This behavior is typical of all of the seals examined.

Another observation made from Fig. 3 is that leakage resistance increases at a decreasing rate at high engine and preload pressure. In other words the rate at which resistance increases slows as the seals reaches lower porosity levels. This observation is the basis for the logarithmic form of the resistance preload model used in these current analyses.

<u>Ro and R∞ Calculation</u>. Initial R₀ and the maximum resistance R_∞ are required in using the proposed resistance preload model. In the proposed model the seal resistance at any preload and engine pressure R must be between the two limits of R₀ < R < R_∞. R_∞ is calculated using the minimum porosity $\varepsilon_{min} = 0.093$ in equation (6), for the test gas being considered. The initial resistance R₀ is calculated using ε_0 found using techniques mentioned above and equation (5). The results of these calculations are given in Table 2a for the E-glass seals and Table 3a for the Nextel seals. <u>Correlation in Transformed Coordinates.</u> The two parameters required for the resistance preload model to correlate the leakage data are the preload factor α and the seal compression modulus E_{s1}. These parameters are evaluated by plotting the leakage data on transformed coordinates according to equation (7). Ideally the data should fall on a straight line with a slope corresponding to the preload factor α and an intercept corresponding to the compression modulus E_{s1}.

The results of these calculations are plotted in Fig. 4 for seals A1, B1, D1, and G1. Except for the zero preload pressures, the data fall on a general trend line. Using linear regression, the slope and intercept of this general trend line are the values used for subsequent analyses and are given in Table 2b for the E-glass seals. Similar exercises for the Nextel seals result in preload factors and compression moduli that are given in Table 3b.

In Fig. 4 the zero preload pressure data did not collapse onto the general trend line for the E-glass seals, though the slope of the line agreed reasonably well with the general trend line. This observation indicates that the final correlation is expected to be better at non-zero preloads where the seal is being slightly compacted.

Final Correlation. After determining the required parameters, including R_0 , R_∞ , α , and E_{s1} , one is able to predict the seal leakage as a function of preload pressure, engine pressure, and gas type. The required parameters are substituted into equation (4) to determine the seal resistance R. With this R the mass flow rate can be evaluated for a given pressure differential using equation (2).

The results of these exercises for two E-glass seals A1 and G1 are shown in Figures 5 and 6 for air flow, showing excellent agreement between measured and predicted leakage rates over the range of engine pressure differential examined. Similar agreement was observed for other E-glass seals.

A comparison between predicted and measured leakage results for the Nextel seals M6a, M6b, and M6c are given in Figures 7-9. The agreement between predicted and measured results is again very good for both tested gases, air and helium.

SUMMARY

A semi-empirical model has been presented for predicting leakage rates of braided rope engine seals as a function of preload pressure, engine pressure, and test gas. The model builds on previous work providing for an increasing seal flow resistance with increasing seal preload pressure and engine pressure. The logarithmic form of the resistance preload model characterize the observed variation of the seal leakage resistance with increasing preload and engine pressures using a two term correlation. The preload factor provides a measure of the relative effects of preload and engine pressures on seal leakage. The seal compression modulus gauges the seal compressibility. The higher the compression modulus, the less the seal is deformed by transverse compression, and the less the leakage resistance is affected by the applied pressures. Correlation between the resistance preload model predictions and measured data is excellent for for a wide range of seal types (E-glass and ceramic), preload and engine pressures, and test gases (helium and air) examined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to thank Xiaoming Tao, Susan Marr, Guang-Wu Du, Hon Wong, Dan Luu, and John McKelvie for their help in the seal design, fabrication, and testing. This project is funded by NASA Lewis Research Center

REFERENCES

- Kuchar, A.D., "Variable Convergent-Divergent Exhaust Nozzle Aerodynamics", Aircraft Propulsion System Technology and Design, edited by G.C. Oates, AIAA, Washington, DC, 1989, pp.301-338.
- Anon., "Advanced V/STOL Propulsion Component Development. Vol. 1: Nozzle/Deflector. Final Report", Aircraft Engine Group, General Electric Co., R77AEG441-VOL. 1, Cincinnati, OH, Aug. 1977.
- Steinetz, B.M., "Evaluation of an Innovative High Temperature Ceramic Wafer Seal for Hypersonic Engine Applications", NASA TM-105556, February, 1992.
- Mutharasan, R., Steinetz, B. M., Tao, X. M., and Ko., F., "Development of Braided Rope Seals for Hypersonic Engine Applications, Part II: Flow Modeling", paper presented at 27th Joint Propulsion Conference (AIAA/SAE/ASME), Paper No. AIAA-91-2495, June 1991.

 Steinetz, B.M., DellaCorte, C., Machinchick, M., Mutharasan, R., Du, G., Ko, F., Sirocky, P.J., and Miller, J.H., "High Temperature Dynamic Engine Seal Technology Development", NASA TM-105641, 1992.

		~ .	•		
Table	1	Saal	000000000	INTARMO	1107
Tame	ι.	. ocar	SUCCHICH	monia	mon
	_				

Specimen (Material)	Seal porosity	Fiber diameter (mm)	Fiber modulus (x10 ⁶ psi)
A1 (E-glass)	0.48 ^a	10	10.5
B1 (E-glass)	0.48 a	10	10.5
D1 (E-glass)	0.42 ^a	10	10.5
G1 (E-glass)	0.45 ^a	10	10.5
M6a (Nextel550)	0.562 b	12	27.0
M6b (Nextel 440)	0.572 ^b	12	27.0
M6c (Nextel 312)	0.515 ^b	12	21.7

a: Calculated porosity values [4].

b: Instron measured porosity values.

Table 2	2a:	Resistance	Ro	and	R∞	for	seals	A1,	B1,	D1,
			1	and C	3 1					

Seal	R ₀ (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)	R∞ (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)
A1	13790	
B1	13790	
D1	25609	5768261
G1	18722	

Table 2b: Compression modulus and preload factor for seals A1, B1, D1, and G1

Seal	Preload factor α (air)	Compression Modulus E _{SI} (psi) (air)
A1	0.41	700
B1	0.70	1150
D1	0.58	760
G1	0.61	500

	Seal	R ₀ (air) (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)	R _∞ (air) (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)	R ₀ (helium) (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)	R _∞ (helium) (psia ² ·s·ft/lb)
F	Мба	4233		33375	
Γ	M6b	3834	4005714	30226	31582673
Г	M6c	6745		53180	

Table 3a: Resistance parameters R_0 and R_{∞} for M6 series seals

Table 3b: Compression modulus E_{sl} and preload factor α for M6 series seals

		Air	He	lium
Seal	α	E _{sl} (psi)	α	E _{sl} (psi)
M6a	0.20	2000	0.24	3810
M6b	0.20	1600	0.23	2940
M6c	0.26	1360	0.27	2520



Fig. 1. Cross section of proposed engine seal.



Fig. 2. Schematic of room temperature experimental apparatus.



Fig. 3. Effect of preload and engine pressure on seal leakage resistance (air).



Fig. 4. Seal leakage data plotted on transformed coordinates to obtain preload factor α (slope) and seal compression modulus E_{sl} (intercept).



Fig. 5. Seal A1: Measured air leakage rates versus pressure drops (symbols) compared to predictions (lines).







Model Pp=130 psig

0.015

0.01

0.005

0

0



20

40

50

30





Fig. 8: Seal M6b-1: Measured leakage rates versus pressure drops (symbols) compared to predictions (lines).



Fig. 9: Seal M6c-1: Measured leakage rates versus pressure drops (symbols) compared to predictions (lines).

	OCUMENTATION PA	GE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of infor gathering and maintaining the data needed, and collection of information, including suggestions fo Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202	mation is estimated to average 1 hour per re completing and reviewing the collection of int r reducing this burden, to Washington Heado 2-4302, and to the Office of Management and	sponse, including the time for rev iormation. Send comments regard juarters Services, Directorate for I Budget, Paperwork Reduction Pr	ewing instructions, searching existing data sources, ling this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson oject (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVERED
	April 1993	Te	chnical Memorandum
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Development of Hypersonic 1 and Engine Pressures	Engine Seals: Flow Effects of I	Preload	5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Zhong Cai, Rajakkannu Muth	narasan, Frank K. Ko, and Bruc	e M. Steinetz	WU763-22-41
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAI	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
National Aeronautics and Spa Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135–319	ace Administration		E-7400
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN	CY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
National Aeronautics and Spa Washington, D.C. 20546–00	ace Administration 001		NASA TM- /06333
and Bruce M. Steinetz, NASA L		A DATCOD HENCE MA NIENDE	17 (716) 433-3302
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 37	TATEMENT	e person, Bruce M. Steine	tz, (216) 433–3302.
 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 37 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A new type of engine seal is 	FATEMENT	e person, Bruce M. Steine eds of advanced hypers	tz, (216) 433-3302. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE sonic engines. A seal braided of
 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 37 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) A new type of engine seal is emerging high temperature of its low leakage rates. Flexib advanced ramjet-scramjet en limiting the purge coolant flo structures as a function of pr resistance/preload model is p cally determined compression range of seal architectures. In engine pressures and seal pro- 	being developed to meet the ne eramic fibers comprised of a sh le, low-leakage, high temperatu gines either preventing potentia bw rates through the seals. To p eload and engine pressures, new proposed to characterize the obs on modulus and preload factor a Good agreement between measu eloads.	eds of advanced hypers teds of advanced hypers teath-core construction ally dangerous leakage is predict the leakage throu w analytical flow model served decrease in leaka re used to correlate exp ured and predicted valu	tz, (216) 433–3302. 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE conic engines. A seal braided of has been selected for study based on seal the movable engine panels of into backside engine cavities or ngh these flexible, porous seal s are required. An empirical leakage ge with increasing preload. Empiri- erimental leakage data for a wide es are observed over a range of
 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 37 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words, A new type of engine seal is emerging high temperature of its low leakage rates. Flexib advanced ramjet-scramjet en limiting the purge coolant flo structures as a function of pr resistance/preload model is p cally determined compression range of seal architectures. (engine pressures and seal pro- 14. SUBJECT TERMS Seal; Leakage; Fluid flow; H 	TATEMENT being developed to meet the net reramic fibers comprised of a sh le, low-leakage, high temperatu gines either preventing potentia ow rates through the seals. To p eload and engine pressures, new proposed to characterize the obs on modulus and preload factor a Good agreement between meass eloads.	eds of advanced hypers teath-core construction and the leakage through analytical flow model served decrease in leaka re used to correlate exp ured and predicted valu	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13c. NUMBER of pages 12c. DISTRIBUTION code 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE A03
 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 37 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words), A new type of engine seal is emerging high temperature of its low leakage rates. Flexib advanced ramjet-scramjet en limiting the purge coolant flo structures as a function of pr resistance/preload model is p cally determined compression range of seal architectures. (engine pressures and seal pro- 14. SUBJECT TERMS Seal; Leakage; Fluid flow; F 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified 	TATEMENT D being developed to meet the network of the second sec	e person, Bruce M. Steine eeds of advanced hypers neath-core construction are seals are required to ally dangerous leakage in oredict the leakage throw w analytical flow model served decrease in leaka re used to correlate exp ured and predicted valu	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE tonic engines. A seal braided of has been selected for study based on seal the movable engine panels of nto backside engine cavities or nigh these flexible, porous seal s are required. An empirical leakage ge with increasing preload. Empirierimental leakage data for a wide es are observed over a range of 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 16. PRICE CODE A03 ATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

.

•

.

.

Ŧ

.