-7

NASA Technical Memorandum 104604

High Degree Gravitational Sensitivity From Mars Orbiters for the GMM-1 Gravity Model

F. J. Lerch, D. E. Smith, J. C. Chan, G. B. Patel, and D. S. Chinn

MAY 1994



(NASA-TM-104604) HIGH DEGREE GRAVITATIONAL SENSITIVITY FROM MARS ORBITERS FOR THE GMM-1 GRAVITY MODEL (NASA. Goddard Space Flight Center) 20 p N94-34992

Unclas





NASA Technical Memorandum 104604

High Degree Gravitational Sensitivity From Mars Orbiters for the GMM-1 Gravity Model

F. J. Lerch D. E. Smith Goddard Space Flight Center Greenbelt, Maryland

J. C. Chan G. B. Patel D. S. Chinn Hughes STX Corporation Lanham, Maryland



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Scientific and Technical Information Program



ABSTRACT

Orbital sensitivity of the gravity field for high degree terms (> 30) is analyzed on satellites employed in the Goddard Mars Model GMM-1, complete in spherical harmonics through degree and order 50. The model is obtained from S-band Doppler data on Mariner 9 (M9), Viking Orbiter 1 (VO1), and Viking Orbiter 2 (VO2) spacecraft, which were tracked by the NASA Deep Space Network on 7 different orbits. Numerical integration theory was used to compute the orbits on approximately 270 orbital arcs with arc lengths varying up to 9 days. The orbits are highly eccentric with periods of one day for VO1 and VO2 and one-half day for M9 and with varying inclinations and periapsis altitudes. Because of these periods and the near daily rotation rate of Mars to Earth, the VO1 and VO2 orbits have resonant perturbations of all orders m and the M9 orbits have even order resonance with periods varying up to 50 days. Also V01 and V02 low orbits (300 km periapsis altitude) have deep resonance of high order with significant side-band perturbations. In our analysis orbital sensitivity is based upon the velocity perturbation of the gravity signal and is compared to the accuracy level (noise) of the Doppler tracking. The gravity signal spectrum used a Kaula power law of 13 x $10^{-5}/l^2$ for coefficients of degree 1, which was derived by Balmino et al.(1982).

Spectral perturbations of orbital velocity are obtained from numerical integration of the variational equations of the gravitational harmonics over 8-day arc lengths. These perturbations are also compared with those obtained from Kaula's analytical theory using a modified eccentricity function and a prorating technique for perturbations with periods greater than 8 days. Using numerical integration the velocity sensitivity for the spectrum by degree (rss for harmonics in a given degree) is obtained for the above orbits. The main sensitivity of the high degree terms is obtained from the VO1 and VO2 low orbits (300 km periapsis altitude), where significant spectral sensitivity is seen for all degrees out through degree 50. The velocity perturbations show a dominant effect at periapsis and significant effects out beyond the semi-latus rectum covering over 180° of the orbital groundtrack for the low altitude orbits. These low orbits also exhibit perturbations that have a spectral pattern of sensitivity for the high degree terms (>30) which vary by order as a function of the argument of periapsis (ω). Because of the wideband of periapsis motion covering nearly 180° in ω and $\pm 39^{\circ}$ in latitude coverage, the VO1 300 km periapsis altitude orbit with inclination of 39° gave the dominant sensitivity in the GMM-1 solution for the high degree terms. Although the VO2 low periapsis orbit has a smaller band of periapsis mapping coverage, it strongly complements the VO1 orbit sensitivity for the GMM-1 solution with Doppler tracking coverage over a different inclination of 80°.

•

•

. , ,

.

1. INTRODUCTION

This report analyzes the orbit sensitivity of Martian satellites with Doppler tracking for the high degree spectrum of spherical harmonics of the gravity field for GMM-1, Goddard Mars Model-1 (Smith et al., 1993), which is complete in harmonics through degree and order 50. It is shown that there exists strong orbital sensitivity for terms below degree 30 and hence attention here is focused on the high degree spectrum to help analyze the truncation level for which the gravity signal in the tracking data is exhausted for GMM-1.

The sensitivity analysis uses an a priori knowledge of the power spectrum of the Mars gravity field. Previous analyses of Mars gravity particularly the later spherical harmonic models (Christensen and Balmino, 1979; Christensen and Williams, 1979; and Balmino et al., 1982) and recent orbital sensitivity studies of Rosborough and Lemoine (1991) and Lemoine (1992) have facilitated the work of the present report.

The gravitational potential at spacecraft altitude, $V_{\rm M}$, is represented in spherical harmonic form as

$$V_{\rm M}(\bar{r}) = \frac{GM_{\rm M}}{r} \sum_{1=0}^{\rm N} \sum_{\rm m=0}^{\rm I} \left[\frac{r_{\rm M}}{r} \right]^{-1} P_{\rm im}(\sin\phi) \left[C_{\rm im} \cos m\lambda + S_{\rm im} \sin m\lambda \right]$$
(1)

where $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ is the position vector of the spacecraft in areocentric coordinates, r is the radial distance from the center of mass of Mars to the spacecraft, ϕ and λ are the areocentric latitude and longitude of the spacecraft, $r_{\rm M}$ is the mean radius of the reference ellipsoid of Mars, $GM_{\rm M}$ is the gravitational constant for Mars, $P_{\rm im}$ are the normalized associated Legendre functions of degree l and order m, $C_{\rm im}$ and $S_{\rm im}$ are the normalized spherical harmonic coefficients which were estimated from the tracking observations to determine the gravitational model, and N is the maximum degree representing the size (or resolution) of the field.

This report gives a more complete account of the sensitivity analysis than what was presented in the GMM-1 paper. It is organized in subsequent sections to describe the following material: (2) Satellite Orbital Characteristics and Doppler Tracking Data in GMM-1, (3) Spectral Sensitivity of the Gravity Signal, and (4) Summary.

2. SATELLITE ORBITAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DOPPLER TRACKING DATA IN GMM-1

2.1 Satellite orbit characteristics

The Mariner 9, Viking 1 (VO1) and Viking 2 (VO2) spacecraft were in highly eccentric orbits with periods of approximately 1 day for Viking 1 and 2 and 1/2 day for Mariner 9. The satellite orbit characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The orbital periods are nearly commensurate with the rotational period of Mars (24.623 hr) which produce dominant resonant perturbations (Kaula 1966) for all orders m of the Viking spacecraft (24 hour period) and for the even orders of Mariner 9 (12 hour period). The resonant periods range mostly from about 1 to 50 days for shallow resonant terms and also include deep (very long) resonant periods. The beat period, or fundamental resonant period, identifies the shift (or "walk") in successive ground tracks and is useful in mapping the orbital coverage over Mars (a plus sign represents an eastward "walk" and a negative sign for a westward "walk"). The beat period changes after each maneuver of the Viking 1 and 2 spacecraft (Snyder 1979). For example (as noted in Table 1) orbital trimming, producing significant orbital period changes, have occurred on Viking 1 for a close approach to Phobos on Jan. 22, 1977 and on Viking 2 for a close approach to Deimos on Sept. 26, 1977. Orbit maneuvers were made on VO2 on March 2, 1977 producing a very slow walk to synchronize with the Viking Lander (VL2). Strong resonant perturbations of long period were produced on VO1 commencing on Dec. 2, 1978 to provide a slow walk around the planet. Mission events such as leakages, attitude control jetting, and other phenomena that cause variations in the orbital periods are described by Snyder (1977; 1979).

The 300 km periapsis altitude orbits of VO1 and VO2 (hereafter simply referred to as VO1 and VO2 low orbits) provide the strongest contribution of data to the solution for the higher degree terms, particularly the VO1 low orbit with a range of about 180° for the argument of periapsis (ω) as compared to 28° for the VO2 low orbit. The observing period for the VO1 low orbit shown in Table 1 covers almost 2 years from 77-03-12 to 79-01-27, and the periapsis point varies in latitude from +39° to -39° during this period. The VO1 low orbit provides about a 9° ground track "walk" per revolution for the 1 1/2 year period from 77-07-01 to 78-12-02. This corresponds to a "near repeat" of the ground track for a 39 day period, except for the small motion of periapsis. After the 39 day near repeat period, the orbital ground track shifts by 1.8° from the previous repeat track which corresponds to a deep orbital resonance with a period of about 200 days. This produces, over a 200 day coverage, a global grid ($\pm 39^\circ$ latitude) with approximately 1.8° ground track separations and provides for a high resolution recovery of the gravity field.

2.2. Data summary and characteristics

The data set consisted of 270 orbital arcs representing over 1100 days of S-band Doppler tracking data from the Mariner-9 and Viking-1 and -2 spacecraft, collected by the Deep Space Network between 1971-1978. These data, grouped by satellite periapsis altitude and inclination, are summarized in Table 2. In total over 230,000 observations were included in the GMM-1 solution.

The data consist of two-way S-band (2.2 GHz) Doppler measurements compressed to 60 seconds (1 minute data points). These 1 minute points have a precision of 1 mm/sec. Data far removed from periapsis, approximately greater than 12,000 km altitude, were compressed to 10 minute intervals and correspond to a precision of approximately 0.3 mm/sec.

All observations were collected by three DSN sites located at Goldstone (California), Madrid (Spain), and Canberra (Australia). They were processed in the differenced-range Doppler formulation taking into account relativistic bending due to the Sun (Moyer, 1971). Observations near satellite periapsis are most valuable for determining the gravity field and periapsis is generally observable by at least one of the DSN sites except when occulted by Mars.

Table 1 SATELLITE ORBIT CHARACTERISTICS Including Beat Periods and Ground Track Walks

; ;

Satellike	Periapaia altitudo (tem)	Epoch * (Yr-Mo-Da)	Inclination (degrees), Eccentricity	Orbit period (bours)	Periapais argmat. ("), rate ("(day)	Nodal rate ('day)	Beat period (days)	Walk per revolution (degrees)	Comments
Mariner 9	1500	71-11-16 72-04-19	64, 0.60	11.97	-0.02	-0.18	18	10	New orbit End of data
Viking 1	1500	76-06-21 76-09-13	38, 0.75	24.63 21.87	47, 0.17 56	-0.13	> 1000	⊽ ;	Synchronous
		76-09-24		24.63	888		000 > 1000	t <u>^</u> ×	Over lander
	300	77-03-12	39, 0.80	21.92	99,0.27	-0.21		1 2	New orbit
		7-03-24		23.50	102		21	17	New walk
		10-11-11		23.97	22		38	10	Dual station
		12-10-61		(0·14)	5. 10 10 10 10		6Z1-	ņ	Near synch. End of data
Viking 2	1400	76-08-07	55, 0.76	16.12	72 ,0.05	-0.09	-10	SĖ	New orbit
		76-08-28		24.63	£		>1000	1	Synchronous
	008	76-12-21	75, U.SU 80, 0.80	26.79 26.48	88, 0 .05	800	ť, z	6 , 7	New inclin.
	750	77-03-05		24.73	22	2	-15	9 <i>i</i>	Over lander
	002	77-04-18		22.72	51		12	- R	
		77-09-26		24.29	¥.		78	Ś	Near Deimon
	0 0	77-10-09		24.20	33		99	9	
	300	77-10-25		23.98	32, -0.10	-0.04	39	6	Low periant.
		78-07-25			2				End of data

Ŧ

* Start epoch of the orbit parameters cited ** Secondary walk is about 2 degrees over approximately 200 day duration

					ARCS			
Satellite	Altitude	Inclination	Total	Average	Average	Average	Total	Total no.
	km.	degree		Arc-Length	Input RMS	No. of	Number of	of Days
				(days)	Residuals	Obs.	Observations	•
					(cm/sec)			
Viking-1	1500	38.2	29	4.2	0.446	1082	31393	122
Viking-1	300	39.1	95	4.8	2.844	673	11689	425
Viking-2	1400	55.4	12	3.8	0.256	066	11878	46
Viking-2	1500	75.1	11	4.7	0.507	952	10467	52
Viking-2	778	80.1	54	3.8	0.210	655	35375	204
Viking-2	300	80.2	37	4.2	6.282	793	29355	155
Mariner-9	1500	64.4	32	4.3	0.212	1559	49878	138
Total			270	·			232323	1142

.

Table 2 Summary of Data Used in Mars Gravity Model GMM-1 DSN Doppler Tracking Data (土0.1 cm/sec)

•

.

.

3. SPECTRAL SENSITIVITY OF GRAVITY SIGNAL

The spectral sensitivity of the gravity field is analyzed for the Mariner 9, VO1 and VO2 orbits. Velocity perturbation sensitivity for the high degree terms (>30) is the main area of interest and these perturbations are compared with a threshold level corresponding to the precision of the DSN Doppler signal. The DSN Doppler tracking when compressed to 1 minute data points has a precision of 1 mm/sec. The gravity signal for sensitivity analysis employed a form of Kaula's rule, namely $13 \times 10^{5}/1^{2}$ for terms of degree 1, which was obtained by Balmino et al. (1982) for the power spectrum of Mars. Sensitivity studies for the above Mars orbiters have been made by Rosborough and Lemoine (1991) and Lemoine (1992) for terms through degree 20. Analysis for the high degree terms is given here.

GMM-1 (Smith et al., 1993) employs numerical integration theory for the orbit computation over arc lengths varying up to 9 days. Arc lengths were restricted to 9 days because of errors in non-conservative force modeling and other possible systematic effects. Hence, our sensitivity analysis was also based upon gravitation perturbations obtained from numerical integration theory where we employed an 8 day orbital arc length as a basis for the analysis. However, some comparison of the numerical integrated perturbations will be made below with those obtained from the analytical perturbation theory.

3.1. Analytical Perturbation Theory

The theory is very useful to us for obtaining knowledge of the periods of the orbital perturbations. Kepler perturbations from Kaula's theory (1966) were computed by modifying the eccentricity function because of the highly eccentric orbits (Wagner, 1990). The periods are derived for the Kepler spectral terms. A total velocity perturbation was obtained by a simplified projection of the rss of the amplitudes of the Kepler spectral terms for each harmonic of a given degree and order. This analysis represents perturbations over the lifetime repeat period of the orbit, thus long period spectral perturbations will require adjustment for short arcs as indicated below.

Since the orbital periods of Viking 1 and 2 are close to the near daily rotational period of Mars, the orbits have dominant resonant perturbations for all orders m with periods ranging from greater than 50 days for the low orders down to less than one day for the higher order terms. Similarly, with a one-half day period, M9 orbits have only even order resonant perturbations. The theory also shows on the VO1 and VO2 low orbits significant sidebands associated with long period (>200 days) deep resonant perturbations of order 38 with sideband periods varying up to 50 days. Generally perturbations with longer periods have larger amplitudes. Hence, in order to compare with numerical integrated perturbations over an 8-day arc length, analytical perturbations with periods greater than 8 days have been prorated to this length with a factor of 8/period. Also periods greater than 40 days have been excluded to avoid inflated perturbations for long period terms, particularly for deep resonance.

Some comparison of the analytical perturbations with those obtained from numerical integration will be presented in Section 3.3 showing the important effects of the periods of resonant perturbations on the arc lengths.

3.2. Numerically Integrated Perturbations

Numerically integrated perturbations have been obtained from the integration of the variational equations of the gravitational harmonics over a given length of orbital arc by use of our computer program Geodyn (Putney, 1977). The integration yields the partial derivatives of the vector position and velocity components with respect to the harmonic coefficients and when scaled by the coefficient signal (Kaula's rule) they will give the linear perturbations. However these perturbations are referred to a reference orbit with osculating parameters at epoch (time at the start of the orbital arc). Hence the perturbations are adjusted to refer to a mean reference orbit over the arc by use of our computer program Erodyn (Englar et al., 1993). The mean reference orbit is obtained through a least squares orbit adjustment and this provides a reference orbit with a minimum rms velocity perturbation over the orbital arc length. Our velocity perturbation refers to the total vector value.

The spectrum by degree (rss of harmonics for a given degree) for the numerically integrated velocity perturbations is presented in Table 3 for orbits from Mariner 9, VO1 and VO2. Only the VO1 and VO2 low orbits at 300 km periapsis altitude in this table show significant sensitivities for terms above degree 30. The velocity spectrum by degree for each of these low orbits exceeds the DSN Doppler noise level of 1 mm/sec for all terms out through degree 50. This shows the signal is not exhausted at degree 50, and hence in order to account for the complete signal above the noise level additional harmonics are needed beyond degree 50 for the VO1 and VO2 low altitude orbits.

Sensitivity for the Mariner 9 and Viking 1 orbits both at 1500 km periapsis altitude shows that Mariner 9 has stronger perturbations than Viking 1 (at 1500 km altitude) because of its closer proximity on average to Mars with twice per day revolution and smaller eccentricity. Sensitivity for the Viking 2 orbits at 800 km periapsis altitude clearly shows that the lower altitude orbit of 800 km for VO2 has significantly larger perturbations for the higher degree spectrum and falls short of the DSN noise level of 1 mm/s at degree 26. Also the velocity perturbations for the low orbits of VO1 and VO2 in the 8-day arc length include only very small effects due to the deep resonant perturbations of order 38. However, the sidebands of the deep resonant order 38 also have appreciable perturbations with periods ranging from 5 to 40 days and this results in the upturn in the spectrum at degree 34 (as shown in Table 3) for the high degree terms of the VO2 low orbit.

Analytical perturbations give similar results for Table 3. For the VO2 low orbit the eccentricity function required expansion for a maximum q of 45 (Kaula, 1966). A result with significantly smaller perturbations was obtained using only a maximum q of 12 and was given previously in the GMM-1 gravity report. Analytical and numerical perturbations are compared in the next section.

PERIAPSIS	VKG1	VKG2	VKG2	VKG1	MRN9
ALTITUDE (KM): 300	300	800	1500	1500
DEGREE	EPOCH	EPOCH	BPOCH	EPOCH	EPOCH
	78-01-15	77-12-17	77-04-19	77-02-05	72-04-10
2	392.340	1384.119	463.300	182.252	870.212
3	513.386	646.823	121.944	75.628	148.293
4	291.038	352.520	51.552	31.407	121.843
5	188.431	201.811	24.616	13.240	46.511
6	124.383	119.637	13.308	5.654	22.566
7	84.726	72.912	7.888	2.722	10.294
8	58.478	45.987	5.084	1.549	4.611
9	40.855	30.242	3.657	0.842	2.202
10	28.804	20,753	2.984	0.410	1.083
11	20.512	14.752	3.128	0.242	0.548
12	14.778	10,764	3.585	0.161	0.286
13	10.801	8.023	3.595	0.087	0.151
14	8.027	6.112	3.156	0.045	0.081
18	2.910	2.560	1.112	0.007	0.008
22	1.297	1.404	0.315	0.001	0.001
26	0.676	0.989	0.092	0.000	0.000
30	0.401	0.945	0.041	0.000	0.000
34	0.268	1.359	0.019	0.000	0.000
36	0.230	1.527	0.012	0.000	0.000
38	0.204	1.510	0.007	0.000	0.000
40	0.187	1.324	0.005	0.000	0.000
42	0.180	1.047	0,003	0.000	0.000
46	0.187	0.518	0.001	0.000	0.000
50	0.199	0.213	0.000	0.000	0.000
e (DEG)	: 175	29	51	80	332
BASED ON 8	DAY ARC L	engths			

USING A POWER RULE OF 13E-05/L**2 MARINER 9, VIKING 1 & 2 SAMPLED ORBITS * VELOCITY PERTURBATIONS IN CM/SEC

3.3. Perturbations As a Function of Orbital Arc Length

The rms velocity perturbation for the harmonic spectrum of the VO1 low orbit is obtained for different arc lengths and the rss components by degree and order are plotted respectively in Figures 1a and 1b. The sensitivity for the one-day arc length is very small. These results are compared to the analytical perturbations (prorated to 8-days as indicated above). The analytical perturbation spectrum by degree and order is expected to follow the corresponding numerically integrated result for the 8-day arc length but tends to be conservatively smaller for degrees less than 30. The perturbation spectrum by order in Figure 1b shows that the numerical results tend to follow the analytical characteristic for the deep resonant sidebands (significant terms with periods of 5 to 50 days) of order 38 as the arc length increases to a 25-day span. The deep resonant perturbation with a period of 200 days would appear mostly as a secular rate over 25 days and hence would be absorbed in the mean motion during orbit convergence. These results show significant sensitivity for the high degree terms for arc lengths greater than 3 days when compared to the 1 mm/sec noise level of the Doppler signal.





Figure 1b. Spectral Sensitivity of Gravity Signal (by Order).



3.4. Perturbations As a Function of Argument of Periapsis (ω)

The numerically integrated perturbations over 8-day arc lengths are employed in the present analysis for the VO1 and VO2 low orbits. For the VO1 low orbit, the spectrum of rms velocity perturbations sampled by degree and order are given in Figures 2a and 2b respectively for arc epochs where periapsis is near the equator with $\omega = 175^{\circ}$ and near maximum south latitude (-39°) with $\omega = 261^{\circ}$. The high degree spectrum (degrees 31 to 50) for $\omega \approx 180^{\circ}$ as in Figure 2a shows significant high order terms and for $\omega \approx 270^{\circ}$ as in Figure 2b shows significant low order terms. For $\omega \approx 235^{\circ}$ midway between 180° and 270° the midorder terms as in Figure 2c dominate the sensitivity for high degree coefficients. Hence for a complete spectrum of sensitivity for all orders of the high degree terms we need at least half the coverage (180°) of the argument of periapsis, which corresponds to $\pm 39^{\circ}$ in latitude coverage for the VO1 low orbit. The signals for terms of lower degrees (less than 30), however, are not as dependent on the argument of periapsis.

The high order deep resonant effects in the 8-day orbital arcs are only seen in Figure 2a where the argument of the periapsis (ω) is near the equator but are not seen in Figures 2b and 2c where (ω) is removed from the equator. In Figure 2d the orbital period has changed and as noted in Table 1 the orbit has changed to a slow walk of 3° per day in longitude. Since $\omega = 270^\circ$ we see dominant perturbations again for the low order terms. This result gives a very significant velocity spectrum by degree for all degrees through degree 50.

As indicated in Section 3.1 from the analytical theory, the VO2 low orbit has a similar period with deep resonant effects of order 38 as in the case of VO1 low orbit. Similar spectral results to those in VO1 (Figures 2a, 2b and 2c) occur in the VO2 low orbit but the complete benefit of those in Figures 2b and 2c is not available because of lack of coverage in the argument of periapsis. In the case of the VO2 low orbit, the argument of periapsis (ω) coverage ranges from about 0° to 28° and hence yields significant sensitivities for only the high order terms in the high degree coefficients. However, because of its high inclination (i=80°), VO2 provides stronger sensitivity than VO1 to the sectorial and near sectoral terms (Figure 3a) as well as contributing significantly to greater resolution of gravity features because of the different ground track geometry from VO1 (i=39°).

Assuming a coverage of $\omega = -60^{\circ}$ and -90° as in Figures 3b and 3c, although not available from the actual VO2 low periapsis orbit, the figures show similar spectral sensitivities by order for the high degree perturbations as in Figures 2b and 2c of the VO1 low orbit. The spectral pattern of sensitivity by order as a function of argument of periapsis is associated with the high eccentricity and the ground track geometry of the orbits and the way that the satellite samples the gravitational harmonics near periapsis in a somewhat regular fashion from day to day due to the commensurability of Mars rotational period and the orbital period of the satellite. **Figure 2a** Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO1 Low Orbit ($\omega = 175^\circ$)

Velocity Perturbations In .001 cm/sec RSS' DEG VKG1: 78-01-15 2 *** 8 day arc length 6 ***** 10 469+++++ 14 54+++++156 6 52***843 28 3 10138592237 1 2 7161289 76 - 3 2 20137143 29 1 2 33104 77 1 2 33104 0 1 13 69 ō 77 13 86 29 203 38 Ō Ō Ō ō 4 39 79 48 1 20 63 62 22 9 43 67 41 19 3 22 69 84 67 34 11 ORD: 2 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 34 36 38 40 42 46 50 * RSS taken over all orders, not just sampled orders.

Figure 2b Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO1 Low Orbit ($\omega = 261^\circ$)

Velocity Perturbations In .001 cm/sec RSS' DEG VKG1: 78-12-20 ****** 2 *** 8 day arc length 6 ***** 10 ******104 14 *****233 18 *****145 22 618657153 26 159263112 64 89 62 85 51 29 Ō
 84
 80
 36
 11

 75
 47
 17
 10

 29
 35
 21
 9

 54
 37
 14
 6

 33
 24
 11
 4
 164 38 42 Ó 17 14 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 34 36 38 40 42 ORD : * RSS taken over all orders, not just sampled orders.

Figure 2c Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO1 Low Orbit ($\omega = 235^\circ$)

Figure 2d Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO1 Low Orbit ($\omega = 270^{\circ}$)

23718	10	***	***	379													3109	6 10) *	**8	934	485												
8044	14	***	***	992	25												1322	2 14		***	**	974	4											
3142	18	514	***	709	137	3											659	8 1	•	***	**	349	130	1										
1349	22	155	183	382	162	22	1										362	1 22	9	64*	**	782	71	8	0									
623	26	79	191	187	123	40	4	0									210	6 26	2	406	65	\$12	R4	19	៍រំ	<u>ہ</u>								
307	30	43	81	89	77	41	11	1	0								126	9 70	, -	871	311	117	1 7 5	6	5	ŏ	0							
161	34	20	35	42	44	33	15	3	ŏ	0							78	2 34	1	591	04	91	104	ាត	5	1	ň	•						
119	36	9	22	29	33	27	15	5	1	ō	٥						67	0 30		667	56	512	60	12	7	: †	Ň		•					
89	38	8	15	20	24	22	15	6	ī	ō	ŏ	٥					40	7 7		541	50	20	40	24			Ň	Ň	Ň	•				
68	40	6	10	14	18	18	13	7	5	ō	ō	Ň	٨				40	5 47		221	07	170	20			Ň	Ň	Ň		~	•			
53	42	3	7	10	13	14	12	ż		1	ň	ň	ň	~			21	2 1		121		72	10		- 1			· ×	ž			~		
11	46	1		Ē	- 7			÷	1	-		Ň	Ň	Ň	'n		20		-	767	33	13	10	-17	- 1					, v		v.	-	
22	50	:	1	5	5		2	ć	- 7	1			Š	Ň	Š		20	9 40 		/5	22	12	23		. •			0	U U	0	0	0	0	_
23	50	-	-	4	د	2		0		3	~	+	v	U	U	0	14	0 50	,	40	54	52	27	- 4	3	1	0	0	Q	0	0	Q	0	0
				10				~ ~		~ 4			40																					

Figure 3a Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO2 Low Orbit ($\omega = 28^{\circ}$)

```
Velocity Perturbations In .001 cm/sec
  RSS' DEG
          2 ***
*****
                                     VKG2: 77-11-17
                                     Arg. of periapsis = 28 deg
118572
            6 ******
 20864 10 ********
                                     8 day arc length
  6006 14 153795*****
  2430 18 24116302723***
               24116302723***

4 19 54156391591

1 3 10 33101263387

0 1 2 7 24 78221346

0 0 0 1 6 21 75245475

0 0 0 1 3 10 41156528471

0 0 0 0 1 5 22 93406552386

0 0 0 0 1 3 11 53272438476262

0 0 0 0 1 6 29169301389339
  1280 22
    855 26
743 30
954 34
   1099
         36
   1147
          38
          40
42
   1073
                  0 0 0 0 0 1 6 29169301389339148
    908
                                     0 2 9 58116178206173 32
0 0 2 18 38 65 85 86 43 9
          46
50
                              0 0
0 0
    514
                  0 0
                        0
    235
                  ٥
                      0
                         0
                2 6 10 14 18 22 26 30 34 36 38 40 42 46 50
         ORD:
* RSS taken over all orders, not just sampled orders.
```

Figure 3b Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO2 Low Orbit (ω =-60°)

Figure 3c Signal Sensitivity by Degree and Order for VO2 Low Orbit (ω =-90°)

•	etoc.	Lty P	ertu	IDa	t10	ns	IU	• • •	U I	CI	a/ B (C.							VEI
1	RSS'	DEC	;																R
÷	****	• 2	***					V	KG2	1	77.	-11-	-17						***
	7495	56	***	***				A	ra.		of 1	Der:	iap	sis	-	-60	de	q	69
	1605	1 10	***	***	***			t	not	: 1	cea	L CI	ove	rad	e)			-	16
	505	3 14	***	***	***	412			8 d	la s	/ 8	CC .	len	otĥ	- •				5
	199	1 1 8	587	576	539	438	133			-									2
	9.8	n 22	206	210	229	253	222	7	4										10
	65	26	56	76	- 6 6	130	163	17	- A 7	1									_
	62	30	18	31	- 44	65	99	16	023	i.	21								
	59	n 34	11	14	20	32	56	11	125	1	89	2							
	54	2 36	11	10	14	32	42		121	6	107	6	· 1						
	40	2 20		7		16	11	5	120	21	114	10	5	0					
	42	7 40	č	÷,	ć	11	- 22	5	517		117	17	Ā	1	0				
	76						16		5 1 A	111	0.4	15	Ē	ŝ	1	٥			
	30			د ۱		2	10		4 0	1	60	15		÷.	÷	ĩ	0		
	23	J 40			- 1	-	5	1		÷.	60	12	÷			- 1	ň	٥	
	10	3 30	U	-	-	- 4			• •		20	13	'			-	v	•	
		ord	: 2	6	10	14	18	2	2 2	6	30	34	36	38	40	42	46	50	
•	RSS	take	n ov	er	al l	or	der	а,	nc	ot	ju	st i	s a mj	ple	d o	rde	rs.		* R:

AA1 - /---

				ORD :	2	6	i 10	14	18	22	26	30	34	36	38	40	42	46	50
		2	26	50	2	1	. 0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			39	46	15	6	i 4	3	2	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	_
			57	42	39	16	10	7	5	- 5	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	_	
		7	72	40	52	22	: 13	9	7	6	6	1	0	0	0	0	_		
		5	96	38	64	28	16	11	7	6	6	1	0	0	0	_			
		12	29	36	71	31	17	11	7	5	5	1	0	0	_				
		17	77	34	68	31	16	10	6	4	3	0	0	_					
	-	32	25	30	25	9		3	2	1	0	0	_						
		57	76	26	216	108	55	28	12	- 4	0	-							
	10	07	70	22	678	351	155	62	17	1	_								
	2:	27	78	18	***	759	279	74											
	21	9.4	18	14			293	25	-		as)	- a .,	rc i	raui	jun				
	10		-	10	142	10/	31			(11)	J. 10	-	'			•)			
1	871				7.40	107					9. 4	· ·	i a	rebi			- 30	00	9
1				4						VA	92 I -		- 1 1 -	- 4 /		_	60	-1	-
	R	55	5	DEG															

3.5. Range of Spectral Sensitivity Near Periapsis

We continue to use the numerically integrated perturbations as described above to examine the sensitivity around the orbit. In Figure 4 the velocity sensitivity (perturbations) of the terms from degrees 31 to 50 are plotted as a function of time at 10 minute intervals around one revolution of the VO1 low orbit (300 km periapsis altitude) using the 8-day orbital arc length with the same epoch as in Figures 1a and 2a.





* based on 8 day arc from epoch 78-01-15

Sensitivity near periapsis is very strong at the 6 cm/sec level and does not fall off to the noise level (.1 cm/sec) of DSN Doppler until well beyond the semi-latus rectum as noted in the figure. The rms of the signal around the orbit is about 1 cm/sec well below the level at periapsis. It is the rms signal values which are presented in the previous figures for the harmonic components and hence they show a weaker signal for the velocity sensitivity than near periapsis.

In Figure 5 we plot for the same case just the terms of order 25 for the sensitivity from (a) degrees 31 to 50 and (b) degrees 41 to 50, both as a function of true anomaly and altitude. The signal is still above the noise level beyond the semi-latus rectum, over 180° around the planet, and the signal falls off at the noise level at altitudes of 10,000 km and 2,000 km respectively for cases (a) and (b).

Figure 5 Velocity Perturbation for Viking I 300 km Periapsis Altitude Orbit Due to 25^{the} Order Harmonic Coefficients for (a): Degrees 31-50, (b): Degrees 41-50 *



* based on 8 day arc from epoch 78-01-15 + based on 1 day arc from epoch 78-01-15

For altitudes above 12,000 km, 10 minute normal points are employed in the solution and have a precision of about 0.03 cm/sec. This means significant sensitivity is seen over a wide span of the orbit which contributes toward separability of the terms. Sensitivity is also shown in the figure for a one day orbital arc length for case (a) which shows a greatly reduced signal. It should be pointed out that for the same altitude as the VO1 low orbit, orbits with 800 and 1500 km periapsis will have relatively smaller sensitivity for high degree terms (31-50) since the perturbations do not contain the integrated accelerations at the 300 km altitude level as is the case in the VO1 low orbit.

4. SUMMARY

Sensitivity analysis for the high degree (>30) harmonic spectrum of the gravity field was investigated for the high eccentricity satellite orbits employed in the GMM-1 model (50X50 field). The V01 and V02 300 km low periapsis altitude orbits show significant sensitivity for the velocity spectrum by degree for all degrees out through degree 50. A power law of $13 \times 10^{-5} / l^2$ for coefficients of degree l (Balmino et al., 1982) was employed for the gravity signal. The sensitivity was considered significant when the velocity perturbation of the orbit exceeded a precision level of the DSN Doppler of 1 mm/sec. Both the analytical perturbations (prorated to 8 days) and numerically integrated perturbations for 8-day arc lengths support these results for the V01 low orbits. Numerically integrated perturbations were used as the main method in our study for an 8-day orbital arc length. In Figure 1 it was shown how the numerically integrated perturbations vary using different arc lengths. Sensitivity for the velocity spectrum by degree in this figure was very small for 1-day arc lengths for the high degree field but significant sensitivity is seen for arc lengths of 3 days and greater. Using numerically integrated perturbations for 8-day arc lengths additional analysis has shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively, for the V01 and V02 low orbits that the velocity spectrum by order varies with the argument of periapsis (ω). Again these results show significant sensitivity for the velocity spectrum by degree for the high degree field.

The range of spectral sensitivity from periapsis is analyzed for the V01 low orbit in Figures 4 and 5 for a set of harmonics of order 25 for the high degree (>30) spectrum and the results show significant sensitivity out beyond the semi-latus rectum over half-way around the planet. Although V02 with inclination of 80° has greater global coverage than V01 with inclination of 39° in their respective tracking periods, the V01 orbit has a greater span of periapsis subsatellite position covering $\pm 39^{\circ}$ in latitude. In all, the low altitude orbits show strong sensitivity to the velocity spectrum and indicate that the truncation level for exhausting the gravity signal in the Doppler tracking is beyond degree 50.

References

- Balmino, G., B. Moynot, N. Valès, Gravity field model of Mars in spherical harmonics up to degree and order eighteen, J. Geophys. Res., 87, 9735-9746, 1982.
- Christensen, E.J., and G. Balmino, Development and analysis of a twelfth degree and order gravity model for Mars, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 7943-7953, 1979.
- Christensen, E.J., and B.G. Williams, Mars gravity field derived from Viking-1 and Viking -2: The navigation result, J. Guid. Control, 3, 179-183, 1979.
- Lemoine, F.G., Mars: The Dynamics of Orbiting Satellites and Gravity Model Development, Ph.D. Thesis. 413 pp., University of Colorado. Boulder, 1992.
- Kaula, W.M., Theory of Satellite Geodesy, 124 pp., Blaisdell, Waltham, MA, 1966.
- Putney, B.H., General theory for dynamic satellite geodesy, The National Geodetic Satellite Program, NASA Spec. Publ., SP-365, 319-334, 1977.

Rosborough, G.W., and F.G. Lemoine, Sensitivity studies of Mars orbiters for Mars gravity recovery, J. Astron. Sci., 39, 327-358, 1991.

Smith, D.E., F.J. Lerch, R.S. Nerem, M.T. Zuber, G.B. Patel, S.K. Fricke, and F.G. Lemoine, An improved gravity model for Mars: Goddard Mars Model 1, JGR-Planets, 98, 20871, 1993.

Wagner, C A., Gravitational spectra for the tracking of planetary orbiters, J. Geophys. Res., 87, 6891-6908, 1979.

с. . .

•

.

REPORT	DOCUMENTATION	PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188						
Public reporting burden for this collection of in gathering and maintaining the data needed, a collection of information, including suggestion Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222	nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per and completing and reviewing the collection of ns for reducing this burden, to Washington Her 202-4302, and to the Office of Management ar	response, including the time for re information. Send comments reg adquarters Services, Directorate for d Budget, Paperwork Reduction F	aviewing instru arding this bur or Information (Project (0704-0	ctions, searching existing data sources, den estimate or any other aspect of this Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson 0188), Washington, DC 20503.						
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla	nk) 2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES C	OVERED						
	May 1994	Techn	Ical Mem	IORANDUM						
High Degree Gravitationa Gravity Model	l Sensitivity From Mars Orbit	ers for the GMM-1								
6. AUTHOR(S)			1	926						
F. J. Lerch, D. E. Smith	n, J. Chan, G. B. Patel, D. S	. Chinn								
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES)		8. PEFOI REPO	RMING ORGANIZATION RT NUMBER						
Goddard Space Flight C Greenbelt, Maryland 20	lenter 771		9	4B00083						
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A	DGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	(ES)	10. SPO ADG	NSORING / MONITORING ENCY REPORT NUMBER						
National Aeronautics ar Washington, DC 20546	nd Space Administration -0001		NA	SA TM-104604						
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	an a									
F. Lerch and D. Smith: J. Chan, G. Patel, and D	Goddard Space Flight Cen O. Chinn: Hughes STX Cor	ter, Greenbelt, Mary poration, Lanham, N	/land; /laryland	I						
128. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT	YSTATMENT		12b. DIS	TRIBUTION CODE						
Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 46										
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)										
Orbital sensitivity of the gr Mars Model GMIM-1, com S-band Doppler data on M were tracked by the NASA high degree terms is obtain spectral sensitivity is seen at periapsis and significant for the low altitude orbits. latitude coverage, the VO1 the GMIM-1 solution for th periapsis mapping coverage Doppler tracking coverage	ravity field for high degree tern nplete in spherical harmonics to lariner 9 (M9), Viking Orbiter A Deep Space Network on 7 d ned from the VO1 and VO2 lo for all degrees out through deg t effects out beyond the semi-la Because of the wideband of p 1 300 km periapsis altitude orbite he high degree terms. Althoug ge, it strongly complements the e over a different inclination of	ns (> 30) is analyzed of hrough degree and ord 1 (VO1), and Viking (ifferent highly eccentri w orbits (300 km peria gree 50. The velocity p atus rectum covering o eriapsis motion coveri it with inclination of 3 h the VO2 low periaps > VO1 orbit sensitivity '80°.	on satellite ler 50. Th Orbiter 2 ic orbits. apsis altitu certurbation wer 180° ng nearly 9° gave th sis orbit h for the G	es employed in a Goddard he model is obtained from (VO2) spacecraft, which The main sensitivity of the ude), where significant ons show a dominant effect of the orbital groundtrack 180° in w and +39° in he dominant sensitivity in as a smaller band of MM-1 solution with						
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES						
Sensitivity analysis				16. PRICE CODE						
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	CATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL						