INFLUENCE OF BACKUP BEARINGS AND SUPPORT STRUCTURE DYNAMICS ON THE BEHAVIOR OF ROTORS WITH ACTIVE SUPPORTS \int_{a}^{b} \rightarrow 5

 \bullet

Ü,

Annual Status Report for Research Grant Number NAG3-1507

submitted to:

INFLUENCE OF **BACKUP BEARINGS** AND **SUPPORT STRUCTURE DYNAMICS** ON **THE BEHAVIOR** OF **ROTORS WITH ACTIVE SUPPORTS**

Annual Status Report for Research **Grant Number NAG3-1507**

submitted to:

National Aeronautics and **Space** Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135

by

George T. Flowers, Ph.D **Assistant** Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering **Auburn** University Auburn University, AL 36849-5341 Phone: (205) 844-3330

June, 1994

Progress**to Date**

This report documents the progress that has been made in the proposed research work over the past year. The goals for year one have been completed. In addition, substantial progress has been made toward fulfilling the goals of year two. Work has also begun on additional areas that have been identified.

The work that has been completed to date is:

Completed before senti-annual report

- . A simplified rotor model with a flexible shaft and backup bearings has been developed. The model is based upon the work of Ishii and Kirk. Parameter studies of the behavior of **this** model are currently being conducted.
- . A simple rotor model which includes a flexible disk and bearings with clearance has been developed and the dynamics of the model investigated. The study consists of simulation work coupled with experimental verification. The work is documented in the attached paper. A copy of the paper is included in the Appendix.
- 3. A rotor model based upon the T-501 engine has been developed which includes backup
bearing effects. The dynamics of this model are currently being studied with the The dynamics of this model are currently being studied with the objective of verifying the conclusions obtained from the simpler models.
- **,** Parallel simulation runs are being conducted using an ANSYS based finite element model of the T-501 developed by Dr. Charles Lawrence.

Progress since semi-annual **report**

- 1. The magnetic bearing test rig is currently floating and dynamics/control tests are currently being conducted. Photographs of the various test rigs that have been assembled for experimental testing for this project are shown in Figures 1 - 3.
- **.** A paper has been written that documents the work using the T-501 engine model. The paper has been accepted for presentation at the Symposium on Nonlinear and Stochastic Dynamics, to be held at the 1994 ASME Winter Annual Meeting, November 13-18, 1994, Chicago, Illinois. A copy of the paper is included in the Appendix.
- 3. Work has continued with the simplified model. A paper that fully documents this work is currently being written and will be submitted to the ASME Turbo Expo, June 5-8, 1995, Houston, Texas.
- 4. The finite element model is currently being modified to include the effects **of** foundation dynamics.
- 5. A literature search for material on foil bearings has been conducted.
- 6. A finite element model is being developed for a magnetic bearing in series with a foil backup bearing.

Bibliography

- ° Flowers, G.T., and Wu, Fangsheng, "Disk/Shaft Vibration Induced by Bearing Clearance Effects: Analysis and Experiment," to be presented at the Second Biennial European Joint Conference **on** Engineering Systems, Design, **and** Analysis **(ESDA),** July 4-7, London, England; submitted to *ASME Journal of Vibration and Acoustics,* February, 1994.
- 2. Flowers, G.T., Xie, Huajun, and Lawrence, C. "Steady-State Dynamic Behavior **of** an Auxiliary Bearing Supported Rotor System," accepted for presentation at the Symposium on Nonlinear and Stochastic Dynamics, to be held at the 1994 ASME Winter Annual Meeting, November 13-18, 1994, Chicago, Illinois.
- 3. Flowers, G.T., Xie, Huajun, and *Lawrence,* C., "Dynamics of a Rotor Supported by a Beating With Clearance," abstract submitted to the ASME Turbo Expo, June 5-8, 1995, Houston, Texas.
- $\overline{4}$. Flowers, G.T., and Lawen, J., "Influence of Rubbing on a Magnetic Bearing Supported Rotor System," abstract submitted to the ASME Turbo Expo, June 5-8, 1995, Houston, Texas.

Figure 1: Magnetic Bearing **Test** Rig

Figure 2: Flexible Disk Test Rig

Figure 3: Transient Drop Rotordynamics Test Rig

Appendix

Second Biennial European **Joint Conference** on **Engineering Systems, Design & Analysis** July 4-7, 1994 **London, England**

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$

DISK/SHAFT VIBRATION INDUCED BY BEARING CLEARANCE EFFECTS:

ANALYSIS AND EXPERIMENT

George T. Flowers Fangsheng Wu

Department of Mechanical Engineering Auburn University Auburn, AL

Abstract

This study presents an investigation of the dynamics of a rotor system with bearing clearance. Of particular interest is the influence of such effects on coupled shaft/disk vibration. Experimental results for a rotor system with a flexible disk are presented and compared to predictions from a simulation model. Some insights and conclusions are obtained with regard to the conditions under which such vibration may be significant.

Nomenclature

 $e =$ imbalance eccentricity

- f_{n1} , f_{n2} = nonlinear bearing forces
- r_1 = gyroscopic mass influence ratio
- r_2 = disk mass influence ratio
- $x_1, x_2 =$ shaft degrees of freedom
- x_3 , x_4 = disk degrees of freedom
- Δ = bearing clearance
- Ω = rotor speed
- ω_1 = natural frequency of rotor support in x_1 direction
- ω_2 = natural frequency of rotor support in x₂ direction
- ω_3 = natural frequency of rotor disk
- $\tau = \Omega t$
- ξ_1 = damping ratio of rotor support in x_1 direction
- ξ_2 = damping ratio of rotor support in x₂ direction
- ξ_3 = damping ratio of rotor disk

$$
(\dot{\ })=\frac{d}{d\tau}
$$

Background **and** Motivation

Rotor systems typically consist of a shaft with one or more bladed disks attached. Disk and blade vibration are issues of important concern for stress analysts. Complex finite element models are developed to assess the dynamic stresses in such components and insure the integrity of the design. However, models for rotordynamical analyses are typically developed assuming that disk flexibility effects are negligible. It is generally presumed that the disk is sufficiently rigid so as to not significantly impact rotor vibration over the operating region.

There is a fairly large body of work documented in the literature *concerning* studies of disk flexibility on rotors and turbomachinery. A discussion of this previous work with regard to linear rotor systems is presented in Flowers and Ryan (1991). An excellent source for a comprehensive review of work in this area is presented by Davis (1989).

Previous investigators into the area of coupled rotor/disk vibration have noted that disk flexibility has little effect on critical speeds but that it may significantly influence higher natural frequencies of the rotor system [Chivens and Nelson (1975)]. One can draw the conclusion from these results that svnchronous vibration due to imbalance will be little affected by disk flexibility. However, there are sources of higher frequency excitation that could serve to excite these natural frequencies. Perhaps the most obvious are multi-synchronous effects corresponding to the blade pass frequency (from fluid forces impinging on the rotor blades). Another potential source is from nonlinearities that may be present in the system. For example, nonlinear bearing forces due to clearance effects may result in supersynchronous rotor vibration. There are quite a number of studies in the literature concerning the effects of bearing clearance (and the related phenomena of rubbing) on rotordynamical behavior. Some of the works that have most influenced the current study were conducted by Johnson (1962), Black (1968), Ehrich (1966), and Childs (1978), and Muszynska (1984).

Advanced designs for many types of high speed rotating machinery that use magnetic bearings for support have been proposed and are in the development and construction stages. There are a number of such systems already in commercial use. Rotor systems supported by magnetic bearings must have backup bearings to provide support under overload conditions or if the magnetic bearing fails. Backup bearings are characterized by a clearance between the rotor and the bearing such that contact does not occur under normal operating conditions. As a result, issues related to the effects of bearing clearance on rotordynamical behavior are of current concern. The objective of the present work is to develop an understanding of possible coupling between the dynamics of disk and shaft that may be induced by bearing clearance effects and to provide guidance to designers concerned with such systems.

Experimental Model

In order to investigate whether bearing clearance can lead to significant coupling between rotor and disk vibration, experimental tests were performed with a rotor test rig. A drawing of the test rig is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Experimental Test Apparatus

The rotor used in this study has two basic components: a flexible disk and a shaft. The steel shaft is 0.375 in. diameter and 18 inches long. The flexible disk is a circular aluminum plate 0.0125 inches thick and 14.0 inches in diameter. The natural frequency of its lowest one nodal diameter bending mode is about 40 Hz. It is attached with bolts to a 2.0 inch diameter hub that is fixed on at

Figure 2 Shaft Right End Support Device

the center of a 0.375 inch diameter steel shaft 16.0 inches in length.

The rotor shaft is supported by bushings at two ends. The left end of the shaft is placed directly in the bushing base. This support provides a force but only a minimal couple so that the shaft is effectively free to pivot about this point. The right bearing is supported by a special device, which is designed to provide stiffness and to simulate bearing clearance. A diagram for this device is
shown in figure 2. It has two sets of springs. The softer springs are to support the weight of the rotor, and the harder springs act as the main stiffness for the system. The stiffness in the x and y directions of each spring set may be different.
The clearance is adjustable by turning the inner tapered ring in or out. In this experiment, the spring constant of the soft spring is 1.9 lb/in. The spring constant of the hard spring is 8.1 lb/in. in the x_1 (horizontal) direction and 19.7 lb/in. in the x_2 (vertical) direction.

The rotor is driven by a speed adjustable motor. The speed of the rotor can be controlled by turning the speed control knob on the front panel of the control box. The measurement and analysis system comprises proximity displacement sensors, sensor conditioners and a signal analyzer. \mathbf{A} vertical and a horizontal displacement sensor were mounted to pick up the displacement signals to form orbit plots. A third transducer was used

to sense the disk vibration. During the test, displacement signals of the shaft were sent to the signal analyzer, where the orbit trajectories were recorded and the frequency components of the vibration were analyzed.

Simulation Model

The simulation model is similar to that developed in an earlier study [Flowers and Wu (1993)]. The primary differences are that rotational stiffening of the disk have *been* taken into account and that damping is included in the bearing support forces. A schematic diagram of the model is shown in figure 3. It consists of a rigid shaft, a rigid hub, a flexible disk and a support with a symmetric clearance. The equation development is based on the following considerations:

- (1) The disk is assumed to flex only in the lateral direction.
- (2) Only rotational vibration is considered. This is because only the rotational motion is *coupled* with the lateral disk vibration. One nodal diameter disk vibration is assumed.
- (3) The rotor speed is constant.

Figure 3 Simulation Model

After some mathematical manipulations, the dimensionless equations of motion for the Whole system can be obtained as

$$
x_1 + r_1 \dot{x}_2 + r_2 \ddot{x}_3 + 2 \dot{x}_4 + f_{n1} = \cos \tau \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\ddot{x}_2 - r_1 \dot{x}_1 + r_2 \ddot{x}_4 - 2 \dot{x}_3 + f_{n2} = \sin \tau \qquad (2)
$$

$$
\ddot{x}_3 + 2\dot{x}_4 + 2\xi_3\omega_3\dot{x}_3 + (\omega_3^2 - 1)x_3
$$

= -(\ddot{x}_1 + 2\dot{x}_2) (3)

$$
\ddot{x}_4 - 2\dot{x}_3 + 2\xi_3\omega_3\dot{x}_4 + (\omega_3^2 - 1)x_4
$$

= -(\ddot{x}_2 - 2\dot{x}_1) (4)

$$
f_{n1} = -\phi \left[(1 - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}) (\omega_1^2 x_1) + 2\xi_1 \omega_1 \dot{x}_1 \right]
$$

\n
$$
f_{n2} = -\phi \left[(1 - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}) (\omega_2^2 x_2) + 2\xi_2 \omega_2 \dot{x}_2 \right]
$$

\n
$$
\delta = \frac{\Delta}{e}
$$

\n
$$
\phi = 1 \quad \text{if } \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} > \delta
$$

0 otherwise

In the above equations, x_1 , x_2 , x_3 and x_4 are scaled by *e,* the imbalance eccentricity. Time is scaled by the rotor speed, Ω . f_{n1} and f_{n2} are the nonlinear moments due to contacting between the rotor and the bearings.

The effective stiffness of a spinning disk can be strongly influenced by the spin speed. Based upon earlier work [Wu and Flowers (1992)], the lowest natural frequency of such a disk can be written as

$$
\omega_3^2 = \frac{19 + \nu}{16} + \frac{\omega_{30}^2}{\Omega^2}
$$

where ω_{30} is the natural frequency of the nonspinning disk. This expression is used in equations 3 and 4 to account for spin stiffening effects.

Comparison **of Experiment and** Simulation

A number **of** tests were conducted using the experimental setup described in the previous section. In addition, studies for differing parametric configurations have been conducted using the simulation model described above. The basic results are very similar to those obtained by Flowers and Wu (1993). The results presented here are typical. The following discussion is directed at comparing the predictions of the simulation model with experimentallv observed responses, with the objective of obtaining insight into the behavior of flexible disk rotor systems with bearing clearance effects.

First, the linear characteristics of the system were examined. Figure 4 shows experimentally obtained data of the natural frequencies for the coupled disk/shaft vibration mode as a function of rotor speed. Using the data from such tests and additional measurements and calculations, the linear stiffness, damping, and mass characteristics of the experimental rotor system were identified. The numerical values are shown in Table 1.

Parameter	Value
r_1	0.5
r ₂	0.1
$\mathbf{\xi}_1$	0.06
$\boldsymbol{\zeta_2}$	0.06
ξ_3	0.01
ω_1	0.55
ω_2	0.85
ω_3	1.8
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	0.3
	1.2

Table 1 Simulation Model Parameters

Figure 4 also shows the natural frequencies as a function of rotor speed **obtained** from the simulation model. These results agree relatively well with the experimentally obtained data and serve to validate the structural parameters selected for the simulation model. Ω , $3\overline{\Omega}$, and 5Ω lines are also shown on the figure. The intersections of these lines with the natural frequency curve provides significant insight into the nonlinear behavior of the flexible disk rotor system, as is discussed below.

Figure 4 Rotor System Natural Frequencies

Next, the nonlinear behavior of the system was investigated. A clearance value was selected and the rotor speed was slowly increased over a range of rotor speeds, with the resulting response amplitudes and frequencies measured and recorded.

It is important to note that many vibration frequencies are possible. During the course of the study, $1\Omega - 6$ Ω frequency components were observed for certain parametric configurations. In addition, frequency components that are fractions of the rotor speed were observed for certain speed ranges and initial conditions. However, for a rotor with a symmetric clearance and minimal frictional effects, the odd integer multiples of the rotor speed appear to generally be the primary supersynchronous frequency components. The current discussion will concentrate on those frequencies. However, the basic conclusions should be directly applicable to other supersynchronous vibration frequencies as well.

Figure 5 shows the experimentally measured amplitudes of the Ω , 3 Ω , and 5 Ω components of the shaft response for various rotor speeds. Simulation results are also shown on figure 5. These results were obtained using the harmonic balance method and were verified at selected points through direct numerical integration of the equations of motion. There is relatively good agreement between the simulation results and the corresponding experimental data. Certainly the basic trends match quite well.

An important observation that can be made from examination of these figures is that the peaks of the respective components correspond to the the intersections of the Ω , 3 Ω , and 5 Ω lines with the natural frequency curves. It appears that the nonlinear effects are serving to excite the coupled modes of the rotor/disk system. This is true for both the forward and backward whirl modes of the rotor system.

The peaks occurring at a rotor speed of 0.51 correspond to the intersections of the 3 Ω line with the natural frequency curve. They relate to a backward whirl mode. The peaks occurring at rotor speeds of 0.33 and 0.485 correspond to the **intersec**tions of the 5 Ω line with the the natural frequency curve. Those occurring at 0.33 relate to a backward whirl mode and those occurring at 0.485 relate to a forward whirl mode. Note that the amplitudes of the supersynchronous components associated with the forward whirl mode of the disk/shaft vibration are much lower than those associated with the backward whirl mode. This result was observed for all the parametric configurations examined in the course of this study.

The Ω , 3 Ω , and 5 Ω components contribute (more or less significantly) to the overall response at the corresponding intersection point. However, it is important to note that the Ω component is

increased rather dramatically at the intersection points as a result of the presence of disk flexibility. Apparently, the nonlinear coupling between the various frequency components serves to produce this effect.

Figure 5.a Ω Component of Shaft Vibration Response

Conclusions

A study of disk/shaft vibration induced by bearing clearance effects has been presented. Both experimental tests and simulation results have been presented. The responses predicted by the simulation model and those observed experiment agree quite well. The behavior of both the experimental test rig and the simulation model was quite sensitive to changes in parametric configuration. As a result it is quite difficult to predict exactly how a certain system is going to behave in the presence of a bearing clearance effect, i.e., whether or not coupled disk/shaft vibration will be significantly excited. However, a few general conclusions and guidelines can be drawn from this study.

1. An understanding of the mechanism for coupled disk/shaft vibration induced by clearance effects has *been* obtained. The nonlinear effects have served to produce superharmonics that excite coupled disk shaft modes. Conversely, the additional degree of freedom pro-

Figure 5.b 3Ω Component of Shaft Vibration Response

Figure 5.c 5Ω Component of Shaft Vibration Response

vided by the disk flexibility has served to exaggerate the frequency components of the response, resulting in higher amplitudes at the rotor speeds corresponding to the respective natural frequency/multi-synchronous line intersection points.

- 2. Bearing clearance effects primarily serve to excite backward whirl modes for coupled disk/shaft vibration. Forward whirl modes may be excited but the associated amplitudes appear to be much lower.
- 3. The bearing support stiffnesses used in this study were not symmetric. These nonsymmetries, together with the clearance, have served to produce the supersynchronous vibration that excites the coupled disk/shaft vibration modes.
- 4. It appears to be relatively difficult to excite coupled disk/shaft vibration with bearing clearance effects. The occurrence of such behavior was very sensitive to rotor speed, as judged by the relative difficulty encountered during the experimental work in **obtaining** the peak amplitude responses. This conclusion is verified by the simulation work that indicates that the behavior occurs only over a very limited ranges of rotor speed. The supersynchronous frequency must almost exactly coincide with a natural frequency in order to excite the behavior.
- 5. From a design perspective, the Campbell diagram is a useful tool to predict when such behavior may occur. The intersections of the corresponding supersynchronous line with the coupled disk/shaft vibration mode frequency curve will indicate at what rotor speeds peak responses for that frequency component are likely to occur. Whether or not such behavior will actually occur and the relative significance of such effects depends very strongly on the imbalance, clearance, damping, and stiffness values.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MSS-9110051 and partially by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant No. NAG3-1507. The Government has certain rights in this material. Special appreciation is expressed to Dr. Charles Lawrence of NASA/Lewis Research Center.

References

Black, H.F., "Forced Subrotative Speed Dynamic Action **of** Rotating Machines," *Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science,* Vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 1-12.

Chivens, D.R., and Nelson, II.D., "The Natural Frequencies and Critical Speed of a Rotating, Flexible Shaft-Disk System," *ASME Journal of Engineering/'or Industry,* Vol. 97, August, 1975, pp. 881-886.

Childs, D.W., "Rub-Induced Parametric Excitation in Rotors," ASME Paper No. 78- WA/DE-14, Presented at the 1978 ASME Winter Annual Meeting.

Davis, R.R., "Practical Nonlinear Simulation of Rotating Machinery Dynamics with Application to Turbine Blade Rubbing ," Ph.D. Dissertati Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Davis June 1989.

Ehrich, F.F., "Subharmonic Vibrations of Rotors in Bearing *Clearance,"* ASME Paper 66- MD-1, Design Engineering Conference and Show, Chicago, Ill, May 9-12, 1966.

Flowers, *G.T.* and Ryan, S.G, "Development of a Set of Equations for Incorporating Disk Flexibility Effects in Rotordynamical Analyses ," *ASME Journal of Engineering l'or Gas Turbines* and *Power,* Vol. 115, No. 2, 1993, pp. 227-233. Flowers, G.T. and Wu, F.S. "A Study of the Influence of Bearing Clearance on Lateral Coupled Shaft/Disk Rotordynamics," Rotordyna ical Analyses ," *ASME* Journal of *Engineeri /'or Gas Turbines* and *Power,* Vol. 115, No. 2, 1993, pp. 279-286.

Johnson, D.C., "Synchronous Whirl of a Vertical Shaft Having a Clearance in One Bearing," Journal *of Mechanical Engineering Science,* Vol. 4, No. 1, 1962, pp. 85-93.

Lamb, H., and Southwell R.V., " The Vibrations of a Spinning Disk," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London,* Series A, Vol. 99, July, 1921, pp. 272-280.

Muszynska, A., "Synchronous and Self-Excited Vibrations *Caused* by Full Annular Rub," presented at *Eigth Machinery Dynamics Seminar,* Halifax, Nova Scotia, *Canada,* Oct. 1-2, 1984.

Wu, F.S., and Flowers, G.T., "A Transfer Matrix Technique for Evaluating the Natural Frequencies and Critical Speeds of a Rotor with Multiple Flexible Disks," *ASME Journal of Vibration* and *Acoustics,* Vol. 114, No. 2, 1992, pp. 242-248.

To be presented at

Symposium on **Nonlinear and Stochastic Dynamics**

to be held at the ¹⁹⁹⁴ **ASME Winter Annual Meeting**

November 13-18, 1994 Chicago, Illinois

STEADY-STATE DYNAMIC BEHAVIOR OF AN AUXILIARY BEARING SUPPORTED ROTOR SYSTEM

Huajun Xie and George T. Flowers

Department of MechanicaJ Engineering **Auburn University Auburn, Alabama**

Charles Lawrence

NASA Lewis Research Center Cleveland, **Ohio**

ABSTRACT

This **paper** investigates **the steady-state responses of** a **rotor system supported by** auxiliary bearings in which **there** is a clearance between the **rotor and the** inner **race of the bearing.** A **simulation** model **based upon** the **rotor** of a **production jet engine is developed and its steady-state behavior is explored over** a **wide range of operating** *conditions* **for various parametric** *configurations.* Specifically, the **influence of rotor imbalance, support stiffness** and **damping is studied. It is** found **that imbalance** may **change** the **rotor responses** dramatically in **terms of frequency** *contents* at **certain oper**ating **speeds.** Subharmonic **responses of 2nd order** through 10th **order are all observed except** the *9th* **order. Chaotic phenomenon is** also **observed..lump phenomena** (or **double- valued responses) of both hard-spring type** and **soft-spring** type **are shown** to **occur at** low **operating speeds** for systems with **low auxiliary** bearing damping **or** large **clearance** even **with relatively** small imbalance. The **effect** of **friction** between **the shaft** and **the inner race of the** bearing is also discussed.

NOMENCLATURE

 C_B = auxiliary bearing support damping, lb.s²/in.

 $C_{B\psi}$ = auxiliary bearing **torsional** damping, lb.in.s

 F_n = **normal** force, **lb**

 $F_t =$ friction force, lb

 \mathbf{F}_X = **external** force **vector** acting on the rotor in X direction

 F_Y = **external** force vector acting on the rotor in Y direction I_a = **rotor inertia matrix**

 J_B = moment of inertia of auxiliary bearing, $l_{\text{b.in.s}}^2$ K_B = **auxiliary** bearing support stiffness, lb/in . K_C = contact stiffness, lb/in . M_B = auxiliary bearing mass, $\frac{1}{5}$, $\frac{2}{\ln A}$. M_k = mass of kth rotor element, $\frac{1}{b}$.s²/in. $N =$ total number of modes considered $NB1$ = node number at auxiliary bearing $#1$ *NB2 =* **node number** at auxiliary **bearing** *#2* Q_X = **rotor** modal coordinate **vector** in X direction Q_Y = **rotor** modal coordinate **vector** in Y direction R_B = **radius** of auxiliary bearing bore, in. R_m = **radius** of auxiliary bearing pitch, in. *RR* **= radius** of rotor **journal, in.** X_R = **rotor** physical coordinate vector in X direction Y_R = rotor physical coordinate vector in Y direction **e =** *rotor* **imbalance eccentricity, in.** $g =$ gravitational acceleration, in./s² $t =$ time, s $\Delta =$ **deformation** at the contact point, in. $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{\Psi}^T \mathbf{I}_a \mathbf{\Psi}$ **= rotor free-free modal rotation** matrix Ω = **rotor** operating speed, rad/s **= rotor** free-free **modal displacement matrix** $\delta = R_B - R_R$, auxiliary bearing clearance, in. $\mu =$ dynamic friction coefficient μ_{ψ} = rolling friction coefficient ψ_B = angular displacement of auxiliary bearing inner-race

 ζ = modal damping coefficient

INTRODUCTION

One of **the most innovative** developments in **the turbo**machinery **field involves the use of active magnetic bearings (AMB) for** rotor **support. This technology provides the po**tential **for** significant **improvements in the dynamic** behavior of rotor **systems,** allowing **for loading, eccentricity,** shaft **po**sition and **vibration to be continuously monitored** and controlled. **In order to protect the soft iron** cores **of the** magnetic bearings and **to provide** rotor **support in the event** of **failure of the** bearing **or during** an **overload** situation, **backup (or** auxiliary) **bearings, with** a **clearance between the rotor** and **the inner race of the bearing, are usually included in the rotor design. This** clearance **introduces** a nonlinear **dynamical feature which** may **significantly impact the behavior of the rotor.**

Magnetic bearing systems appear **to provide particularly great promise for use in** aerospace applications. **There** are active **programs** at **many of the** major jet **engine** manufac**turers** to **develop engines supported by magnetic bearings.** Safety **is** a major concerns in any **aeronautical design. Toward this end, it is desirable to design the rotor system to take maximum** advantage **of the backup bearings** and use **them as true** auxiliary **bearings to provide** support **during** critical **situations in** a **safe** and consistent **manner. An important** concern **in this regard is the dynamic behavior of the rotor when it** comes **into** contact **with the** auxiliary **bearing. If safe** and **effective operation of the engine is to** be **ensured during these periods, it is** essential **that designers have** a **very good understanding of the steady-state dynamics of rotor** systems **with** clearance **effects.**

There are a **number of studies in the literature** concerned **with the dynarnics of rotors with** clearance **effects.** Y_ mamoto **(1954)** conducted a **systematic** study **of rotor** responses **involving bearing** clearance **effects. Black (1968) studied the rotor/stator interaction with** a clearance. **He** concluded **that rotor/stator interactions** may **occur in** a **variety of forms** and circumstances, **including jump phenomena. Ehrich (1966) reported the first identification of** a **second order subharmonic vibration phenomenon in** a rotor system **associated with bearing** clearance **(1966). Bently (1974) published experimental observations of** second and **third order** subharmonic **vibration in** a **rotor system. Later,** Muszynska **(1984)** cited **the occurrence of second, third,** and **fourth order subharmonic responses in** a **rotor rubbing** case and **Ehrich (1988** and 1991) **observed eighth** and **ninth order** subharmonic **vibration** as **well as** chaotic **vibration in** a **high speed** turbomachine. **Childs (1979** and **1982) published two papers to explain the** mechanism **for the second** and **third order** subharmonic **responses** noted above. **He** stated, **with great insight, that " motion due to nonsymmetric** clearance **effects** is a fractional-frequency phenomenon."

While those studies have greatly enhanced the understand-

FIG. 1 DIAGRAM OF THE FEM ROTOR **MODEL**

ing of clearance effects on rotor dynamics, a **more detailed understanding of the dynamical behavior of such systems** is **needed. The perspective of much of this earlier work** is **that the clearance exists as** a **result of** manufscturing **error or ndsfitting. That is, it is due to** an **abnormal situation. However,** in a rotor system fitted with magnetic bearings and auxiliary bearings, **the clearance becomes a design parameter rather than** an *irregularity.* **From this point of view, it** is **important to develop** a **detailed quantitative understanding of the dynamic responses that** are **to be expected. Such knowledge will provide guidelines for the selection of** auxiliary **bearing parameters.**

It seems that there have been little work to date that is specifically concerned with auxiliary bearings *in* magnetic **bearing supported rotor systems. Two papers that are directly related to research on auxiliary** bearings **were both focused on transient responses. Gelin et** al. **(1990) studied the transient dynam/c behavior of rotors on auxiliary bearings during the coast down.** Ishil and **Kirk (1991) investigated the transient responses of** a **flexible** rotor **during the rotor drop** after **the magnetic bearings become inactive. In both papers, ideaJized rotor** models are **used** and **it** is **assumed that once the magnetic bearings fai/, the torque is cut off** _nd consequently **the rotor speed** approaches **zero.**

In this paper, simulation results are **presented for** a complex **rotor system supported by** auxiliary **bearings** with clearance at **each end of the rotor. This work** is **specifically** concerned **with systems in which the** clearances are **quite** small **(on the order of** a **few** mils), **which is** appropriate **for jet engine** applications **in which the backup bearing** is acting **to provide rotor support on** a consistent **basis. The influence of rotor imbalance, support stiffness** and **support damping** are **investigated using direct numericaJ integration of the governing equations of motion** and **the** harmonic balance **method.** Some **insights** are **obtained** with **regard to the frequency and** amplitude **behavior of the steady-state vibration of such** a **system.**

FIG. 2 AUXILIARY BEARING MODEL

SIMULATION MODEL

The **rotor is modelled using free-free normal mode shapes** and **natural frequencies obtained through finite element analysis. The model data is representative for the rotor of a jet engine. Fig.** 1 **shows a schematic** diagram **of the FEM rotor model. Parametric information** about **the model is listed in Table 1. The torsional motion of the shaft is not** considered **in this paper. Using state space representation** and **modal coordinates, the equations of motion for the rotor** are **expressed a_**

$$
\ddot{Q}_X + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{Q}_X + \Omega \Gamma \dot{Q}_Y + \omega_n^2 Q_X \n+ 2\Omega \zeta \omega_n Q_Y = \Phi^T F_X, \qquad (1.a) \n\ddot{Q}_Y + 2\zeta \omega_n \dot{Q}_Y - \Omega \Gamma \dot{Q}_X + \omega_n^2 Q_Y \n- 2\Omega \zeta \omega_n Q_X = \Phi^T F_Y, \qquad (1.b)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{F}_X = \{F_{X1}, F_{X2}, \dots, F_{Xm}\}^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{F}_Y = \{F_{Y1}, F_{Y2}, \dots, F_{Ym}\}^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{Q}_X = \Phi^{-1} \mathbf{X}_R,
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{Q}_Y = \Phi^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_R,
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{X}_R = \{X_{R1}, X_{R2}, \dots, X_{Rm}\}^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{Y}_R = \{Y_{R1}, Y_{R2}, \dots, Y_{Rm}\}^{-1}.
$$

\n(*m* = total number of nodes)

The physical displacements of the rotor at the two aux**iliaxy** bearing **locations can be obtained using the following** **coordinate transformation:**

N

$$
X_{Rk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{ik} Q_{Xi},
$$

\n
$$
Y_{Rk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{ik} Q_{Yi},
$$
 (k = NB1, NB2)

The **equations of motion for the auxiliary bearings** axe **derived** using the **model shown in Fig. 2**

$$
M_{Bk}\ddot{X}_{Bk} + C_{Bk}\dot{X}_{Bk} + K_{Bk}X_{Bk}
$$

= $F_{nk}\cos\alpha_k - F_{tk}\sin\alpha_k + M_{Bk}g$, (2.a)

$$
M_{Bk}\ddot{Y}_{Bk} + C_{Bk}\dot{Y}_{Bk} + K_{Bk}Y_{Bk}
$$

$$
= F_{nk} \sin \alpha_k + F_{tk} \cos \alpha_k, \qquad (2.b)
$$

$$
J_{Bk} \ddot{\psi}_{Bk} + C_{B\psi k} \dot{\psi}_{Bk}
$$

$$
= F_{ik} R_{Bk} - \mu_{\psi} F_{nk} R_{mk}, \qquad (2.c)
$$

where

$$
\alpha_k = \tan^{-1} \frac{Y_{Rk} - Y_{Bk}}{X_{Rk} - X_{Bk}}.
$$

$$
(k = NB1, NB2)
$$

At **this point, the rotor** and **the back-up bearings appear to be uncoupled. However, the force vectors F** *x* and **Fy on the right hand sides of** equations **(1)** are **partially due to rotor/auxiliary** bearing interaction. In fact, we have

$$
F_{Xk} = - F_{nk} \cos \alpha_k + F_{tk} \sin \alpha_k
$$

+ $M_k g + M_k e \Omega^2 \cos (\Omega t)$,
 $F_{Yk} = - F_{nk} \sin \alpha_k - F_{tk} \cos \alpha_k$
+ $M_k e \Omega^2 \sin (\Omega t)$.

The rotor/bearing interaction **is represented with the normal** force F_{nk}

$$
F_{nk} = \begin{cases} K_C \delta_k, & \Delta_k < 0, \\ 0, & \Delta_k \ge 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.a}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_k = (X_{Rk} - X_{Bk}) \cos \alpha_k + (Y_{Rk} - Y_{Bk}) \sin \alpha_k - \delta_k
$$

and the **Coulomb** friction force *Ftk.* As long as **there exists** slip at the contact point, the **friction force obeys**

$$
F_{tk} = \mu F_{nk}.\tag{3.b}
$$

However, when there is no slip at **the contact point, the friction** forces axe **solved** from equations **(1)** and **(2) using** the **kinematic constraint that** the **circumferential velocities of the rotor** and **the inner-race of the back-up** bearing at the contact point equal to each **other.** At the same time, if this solved **friction force** exceeds the **maximum** static **friction** force $(=\mu_s F_{nk})$, equation (3.b) applys again.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The **rotor is** modeled **with 34** stations **(as** shown **in** Fig. 1) and the **first four modes (two rigid body and two** flexible modes) are **included** in the simulation **model.** The two auxiliary **bearings** are located at nodes *3* and **33, respectively.** This arrangement **is taken** to **represent one of the most** technically **feasible** configurations **in** that **it greatly simplifies** bearing **maintenance.** It **is** assumed that the two auxiliary bearings are identical in terms **of stiffness, damping** and friction characteristics. Some nominal system parameters used for the simulation study are $K_C=2.855e+6$, $\xi=0.03$, $R_{mk}=1.1 R_{Bk}$, $\mu_s=0.5$ and $\mu_\psi=0.002$. To avoid excessive cluttering **of** plots, **all the results that** are presented **in** this paper correspond to **node 3,** the **location of bearing #1.**

Since the total system **which includes** two **bearings** and **associated** friction forces as well as the **inner-race** motions **is rather** complicated and **requires** considerable amount **of** computer **time** for the **solutions** to converge, the **friction** effect is examined first to see **if** the model can be **further** simplified. It **turns out that the steady-state results obtained** with and without **friction** are **virtually identical. Even** the **differences in transient responses** are quite **small,** as can **be** seen in **Fig. 3(a)** and **3(b). The only remarkable** effect is **on** the transient **responses of the** inner-race as **shown in Fig.3(c). This observation is** confirmed **by numerous runs** using **different** system parameters and **rotor** speeds. **The lack of** significance **of friction may be** attributed to several factors. **First,** the **inertia of the inner-race** is quite small **in** comparison to **the rotor** mass, **so vibration of** the bearing has **little** influence **on** the **rotor vibration.** Second, **ball** bearings exhibit quite **negligible torsional resistance under** normal conditions. As a **result, the** terms **that** are **related** to **the friction forces** and the rotational motion **of the** inner race are **not included in** the simulations **that** are **discussed** in **the following** paragraphs.

The steady-state **response** characteristics **of the** system are **obtained** through **numerical** integration **of** the **simplified version of governing** equations (1) **and** (2). **Near-zero** initial *conditions* are **used,** simulating **situations** where the AMBs are **functioning** properly prior to a **system failure.** Multiple solutions with **other initial** conditions are **not sought** at **present.**

It is well known from linear analyses that imbalance **greatly** affects the steady **state vibration** amplitudes **of** a **rotor** system. **However,** it **is observed** from **the** current work **that** imbalance **may** also **influence frequency** content **of** **the rotor responses** quite **dramatically at certain operating** speeds. A typical case with such an imbalance effect **is shown** in Figs.4 and 5, where **orbits** and corresponding **frequency** spectra **of** the **rotor** for **different values of imbalance** at the speed of $\Omega = 1000$ are plotted. For this particular case, there exist eight **ranges of** imbalance **values** that **result in** eight **different** types **of** rotor **responses.**

For $e \le 0.0009$, the rotor rotates near the bottom of auxiliary **beatings** and the **responses** are predominantly **syn**chronous (Figs. 4(a)-4(b) and **5(a)-5(b)).** As imbalance increases, the 2Ω superharmonic component approaches the magnitude **order of the synchronous** component (Figs.4(b) and **5(b)).** However, the **responses** are **of small** amplitude. For $0.0010 \le e \le 0.0013$, the responses are dominated by $\Omega/2$ subharmonic components (Figs. 4(c) and $5(c)$). In other words, the amplitude of $\Omega/2$ component is greater than that of the synchronous. For $0.0014 \le e \le 0.0016$, the $\Omega/2$ subharmonics disappear and the $\Omega/3$ subharmonics become **dominant** (Figs. 4(d) and **5(d)).** So **far,** the **overall** amplitude **of the responses** are not **large,** the **rotor** just **bounces** near the **bottom** of the auxiliary bearings. For $0.0017 \le e \le 0.0027$, the **orbits** become chaotic-looking (Fig.4(e)) **and** the **spec**trum contains a lot **of** noise (Fig. **5(e)).** In this **range of imbalance,** the **rotor** changes **from bouncing** near the **bot**tom to **bouncing** around **the full** clearance **of** the **bearing** as **imbalance increases.** In the *middle* **of this transition range,** true chaos is **observed.** The **Poincare map shown in Fig. 6(a)** and the **frequency spectrum shown** in Fig. **6(b) demoutrate** that the **response** has all the characteristics **of** a chaotic phenomenon. It **should be** noted that even though the **orbits** are chaotic **looking,** the amplitudes are not the **largest** among all **the** cases **for** this particular parametric configuration. For $0.0028 \le e \le 0.0034$, the orbits orbits are no longer chaotic-looking (Fig. $4(f)$). The spectrum shows they are $\Omega/5$ subharmonic responses (Fig. 5(f)). Notice the amplitudes are **the** largest **for** this **parametric** configuration. **For** $e = 0.0035$, the amplitude suddenly becomes smaller even though the **imbalance** has **become larger** (Fig. **4(g)).** And the **rotor bounces** near the **bottom of** the auxiliary **bearings** again. The frequency spectrum shows it is $\Omega/8$ subharmonic **response** (Fig. 5(g)). For $0.0036 \le e \le 0.0042$, the orbits **become** chaotic-looking again (Fig. **4(h)).** But the **frequency** spectra are **very** similar to the subharmonic cases (Fig. **5(h)),** only with some discrete noise. Finally, for $e \geq 0.0043$, the responses **become** predominantly **synchronous** again (Figs. **4(i)- 4(j)** and 5(i)-5(j)). **But** this time as imbalance increases, the 2Ω superharmonic component become smaller and smaller **(Figs. 4(j)** and **50)).**

Examining all **the orbits** in terms **of** amplitudes as **imbal**ance increases, we can see the characteristics **of** a jump-type phenomenon (Cunniagham, 1958). **The** jump-down **takes** place around $0.0034 < e < 0035$ where the rotor jumps

from**full-clearance** bouncing **to near-bottom** bouncing. **Fur**ther **investigation is needed to better understand this type of** change.

Imbalance **responses** at **some other operating** speeds **and** for other parametric configurations exhibit similar changes a.s **imbalance varies, though** the **imbalance ranges** and **corresponding response** types **may not be** as **well defined** as in the above cases. In **fact,** subharmonic **responses from** $\Omega/2$ through $\Omega/10$ are all observed except $\Omega/9$ as shown in **Figs. 7.** Surprisingly, those subharmonics are **not directly related** to **the** system's **natural frequencies** as **were** the cases with **other researchers' findings** (such as **Ehrich,** 1988). **Moreover,** several types **of** subhermonic **responses may occur** at a single **operating** speed. It **should be** noted **that Chert et** al. (1993) also **reported occurrence of** three stable subharmonic **responses** at a **single rotor speed in** a SFD supported **rotor system but did not provide** any **explanation for their** findings. In their case, even **the imbalance did not vary.** Apparently, further research is needed to find a mechanism to explain this **multi--subharmonic vibration** phenomenon. On the **other** hand, it should **be pointed out that** these subharmonic **responses** are **not** typical **cases. While** some **of them** aze **observed** to **exist within** a certain **range of parameters,** the majority **of them occur only for** some specific **parametric** configurations.

Due to **space limitaxions, results for other parametric** configurations are not **systematically plotted.** A general summation **of the observations** is **presented instead.** A common feature among all **the responses** is **that for very** small imbalance, the **responses** are always synchronous. *The* **imbalance range that result in synchronous dominated responses depend on several system parameters.** For **small** back-up bearing stiffness (such as $K_B = 0.213e+6$) and normal damping $(C_B = 157.0)$, the responses are almost always synchronous. Only $\Omega/2$ subharmonic are observed at a few **operating** speeds **with** a **very narrow range of** imbalance. It should **be** noted **that even** though a **lower** *KB* **may leads** to a **better system response, it** may also **fail** to protect the magnetic **bearings due** to the **fact** that it could **result in** a **larger rotor orbit-center offset. However,** the **dramatic response** changes **discussed** above **may occur** again if the damping becomes small (such as $C_R = 57.0$) even though the **stiffness still remains small.** On the **other** hand, increasing the damping C_B alone may not be able to eliminate those **dramatic** changes. It is **observed** that those changes can **still occur for** C'a **being** as **large** as 700.0. Reducing the size of clearance δ may not eliminate the **response** changes at certain **speeds. But it** can narrow the **operating speed** range where **those** changes **occur. For** example, response changes are eliminated for $\Omega \ge 1500$ when δ is reduced from 0.002 to 0.001 with all other parameters **remaining the same, but response** changes **still**

occur for Ω < 1400.

It is obvious that rotor responses involving nonsymmetric **bearing** clearance effect are **very** complex problems and **numerical integration** alone is **not** a **su/ficient** tool to **obts_ia** a global **picture of the system responses. The** harmonic **balance** method **is** then **used for** the **investigation of** global system behavior. **However,** it **is ouly** attempted **for situations** with very small imbalance values. In addition, only the 1Ω harmonic is considered. The complex frequency contents **associated** with medium and **large imbalance values mskes it** a **formidable** task to apply the **harmonic balance method for other cases.** Igevertheleas, some **useful** information **can be drawn from these results.** After all, an adequately **balanced rotor** system **should** have **very** small **imbalance under** normal conditions.

Fig. 8(a) show= that **nonsymmetric clearance effect** is **equivalent** to asymmetric stiffness **effect** with **regards** to **critical speeds. The clearance** actually **splits** the **first critical speed into** two **pseudo-critical speeds.** In the **,¥ direction,** the **gravity force** tends to **keep** the **rotor in contact** with the **bearing** at **low operating speed. Thus, the** apparent **stiffness** is almost **the** same **u** *KB* and **the pseudo-critical speed is nearly the** same am the **critical** speed **for the** linear case $(\delta = 0)$. But in the Y direction, the clearance results in a lower apparent stiffness and, consequently, an additional **lower-value pseudo-critical** speed. **It is seen several** higher **order additional pseudo-critical speeds** are created **in the operating speed range** in addition to **the 1st additional pseudo-critical speed. It** is noted **that the response in the** X **direction** also **departs from the linear** cane at **hish operating speed. This** is **because the im_ force becomes dominant** at high **rotor speed which in turn makes** the **gravity force less significant** and **the** clearance **effect more important. Fig. 8(b) shows that changin s the** auxiliary bearing stiffness has little effect on the pseudocritical **speeds of the system.** However, **for** a **larger value** of **imbalance**, a higher K_B does leads to a greater tendency **of double-valued responses. In each direction, for eider** a **stiffness increase or an** *imbalance* increase, **the** Ist **pesado-** critical peak tend to become a hard-spring type jump and **the 2nd one tend** to **develop into** a soft-spring type **jump,** with **the** tendency **decreasing** as the **pseudo-critical's order increases**

Fig. $9(a)$ shows double-valued responses in the Y direction **for four different values of clearance. It is seen that** agarger clearance **results in** wider **rotor speed range of double-valued** responses. It is also observed that as clearance increases, the apparent stiffness **decreases** and the **first** pseudo-critical speed shifts to a **lower value. Fig. 9(b)** shows the **doublevalued responses** in the X direction. Even though the jumps themselves are smaller in magnitude, **they** are **more obvious in** trend. Notice how **little the** change is **for** the **first pseudo-** critical **speed** in **the** *X* direction.

Fig. 10(a) shows the influence of auxiliary bearing damping **on the** double-valued responses in **the** _" **direction. It** is **observed that the** damping **has** to **be** quite **large to eliminate the double-valued responses** associated **with the** first **pseudo**critical speed. **Fig.** 10(b) *shows* **the influence of C'B on the** double-valued **responses in the** *X* **direction. In both figures,** it **should be noted that** as *CB* **decreases, the second pseudo**critical **speed peak** will **develop into a soft-spring type jump** and **the third pseudo--critical** speed **peak will evolve into** a hard-spring **type jump.**

The system behaviors for higher operating speed **range** are not **shown in Figs. (9)** and **(10) so that the jump phenomena** cam **be more clearly illustrated.** It is also **because that the** system's **responses at high operating** speed **range with the** same **parameters are more or less regular, in other words,** mainly arnplitude **changes.**

CONCLUSIONS

As a **summary of the results discussed** above, **the** following conclusions **can be drawn:**

- I. Imbalance may **change the** rotor responses **dramatically in terms of frequency contents** at **certain operating speeds, especially under** conditions **of large** clearance, high **bearing stiffness** and **low** bearing **damping. With imbalance changing, as many** as **eight different types of** responses **may occur for** a **particular parametric** configuration at a **single operating** speed.
- **2. Subharmonic responses of second order through tenth order** are **all observed except for the** ninth **order case. However, the majority of them** are **not typical** cases, and **were observed only for quite particular parametric** configurations.
- 3. **Chaotic phenomenon is observed to occur** occasionally. **However, the** amplitudes **associated with such motion** are **not** among **the** largest.
- **4.** Nonsymmetric clearance **effects influence the** critical **speeds in a manner similar to asymmetric stiffness effects.**
- *5.* Double-valued responses in **the** form **of both** hard-spring **type jump and** soft-spring **type jump are observed to** be **possible at low operating speeds with low auxiliary bearing damping or** high **imbalance. With** large **clearances or high bearing stiffness,** the **jump phenomenon** may **occur** for **even relatively small imbalances.**
- 6. **The effect** of **friction between the shaft and the** inner race **of** a rolling **element** auxiliary **bearing on the dynamics of the rotor is** quite **small and can reasonably be neglected for steady-state** analyses.

discussions and practical advice.

This work was supported by NASA under **Grant** No. NAG3-1507. **The Government has certain rights in this** material.

REFERENCES

Bently, D. E., 1974, "Forced Subrotative *Speed* **Dynamic** Action of Rotating Machinery," ASME Paper No. 74-PET-16.

Black, H. F., 1968, **"Interaction of** a **Whirling** Rotor **With** a **Vibrating Stator Across** a *Clearance* **Annulus,"** *Journal of Engineering Sci* ence, **Vol.** 10, No. 1, **pp.** 1-12.

Chen, P. Y. **p, Hahn, E.** J., and **Wang, G.** Y., 1993, "Subharmonic **Oscillations in Squeeze** Film **Damped Rotor Bearing Systems Without** *Centralizing* **Springs," ASME** Paper **93-GT-428.**

Childs, **D. W.,** 1979, "Rub-Induced **Parametric Excitation in** Rotors," **ASME** *Journal of Mechanical Design,* Vol. **101, pp. 640-644.**

Childs, D. W., 1982, "Fractional-Frequency **Rotor Motion** Due **to Nonsymmetric Clearance Effects," ASME** *Journal of Engineering for Power,* **Vol. 104, pp. 533-541.**

Cunningham, W. J., 1958, *Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis,* **McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, NY.**

Ehrich, F. F., 1966, "Subharmonic **Vibration of** Rotors in **Bearing Clearance," ASME Paper 66-MD-1.**

Ehrich, F. F., 1988, "High **Order Subharmonic** *Response* **of High Speed** Rotors **in Bearing** Clearamce," **ASME** *Journal of Vibration, Acoustics, Stress, and Reliability in Design,* **Vol. 110, pp. 9-16.**

Ehrich, F. F., 1991, "Some Observations **of** *Chaotic* **Vibration Phenomena in High-Speed** Rotordynamics," **ASME** *Journal of Vibration, Acoustics,* Slress, *and Reliability* in *Design,* **Vol. 113, pp.** 50-57.

Gelin, A., Pugnet, J. **M., and Hagopian, J. D.,** 1990, "Dynamic **Behavior** of Flexible **Rotors with Active** Magnetic **Bearings on Safety Auxiliary Bearings,"** *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Rotordynamics,* **Lyon,** France, **pp. 503-508.**

Ishii, *T.,* **and Kirk, R. G.,** 1991, "Transient **Response Technique Applied to Active Magnetic Bearing Machinery During** Rotor **Drop,"** *DE- Vol. 35,* Rotating *Machinery and Vehicle Dynamics, ASME,* pp.191-199.

Mussynska, A., 1984, "Partial Lateral **Rotor** to **Stator** Rubs, *"* IMechE Paper No. *C281/84.*

Yamamoto, T. T., 1954, "On **Critical Speeds of** a **Shaft,"** *Memoirs of* the *Faculty of Engineering,* Nagoya University **(Japan),** *Vol.* **6, No.** 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would llke to express their gratitude to S. Kinsman of Allison Gas Turbines, Inc. for many helpful

TABLE 1. FEM MODEL DATA

Modulus of elasticity: E=2.80e+7 psi Shear Modulus of elasticity: G=1.08e+7 psi

FIG. 3 EFFECTS OF BEARING FRICTION (C_B =157.0,
 K_B =0.313e+6, Ω=1400, δ=0.002, e=0.0002)

FIG. 5 IMBALANCE RESPONSES - SPECTRA
(δ =0.002, K_B =0.313e+6, C_B =157.0)

(a)
$$
\delta = 0.001
$$
, $\epsilon = 0.0007$. $C_B = 157.0$.

(e)
$$
\delta = 0.002
$$
, e=0.0025, $C_B = 157.0$,

(b) $\delta = 0.001$, e=0.0029, $C_B = 157.0$,

$$
(1) \quad b=0.002, e=0.0032, C_B=157.0,
$$

FIG. 9 CLEARANCE EFFECT ON JUMP PHENOMENA
(e=0.0001, K_B =0.313e+6, C_B =300.0)

FIG. 10 EFFECTS OF BACK-UP BEARING DAMPING
(δ =0.002, e=0.0001, K_B =0.313e+6)

FIG. 6 CHAOTIC RESPONSES ($e = 0.0023$, $\delta = 0.002$, $K_B = 0.313e+6$, $C_B = 157.0$)