NASA Contractor Report 191025

Laser-Saturated Fluorescence Measurements in Laminar Sooting Diffusion Flames

Changlie Wey *Sverdrup Technology, Inc. Lewis Research Center Group Brook Park, Ohio*

Prepared for Lewis Research Center Under Contract NAS3-24105

 $\sigma_{\rm{eff}}$ and $\sigma_{\rm{eff}}$ $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 \bullet

 \mathbf{r}

 \bullet .

LAMINAR SOOTING DIFFUSION FLAMES

Changlie Wey Sverdrup Technology, Inc. Lewis Research Center *Group* **Brook Park,** Ohio **44142**

SUMMARY

The hydroxyl *radical* **is** known to **be one of** the most **important intermediate** species in the **combustion processes. The** hydroxyl radical has also **been** considered a **dominant oxidizer of** soot particles **in flames.** In this **investiga**tion the **hydroxyl** concentration profiles in sooting **diffusion** flames were **measured** by the **laser-saturated fluorescence (LSF)** method. The temperature **distributions** in the **flames** were measured **by** the two-line **LSF** technique and by **thermocouple.** In the sooting **region** the OH **fluorescence** was too **weak to** make accurate temperature **measurements.** The **hydroxyl fluorescence** profiles **for** all **four flames** presented herein show that the OH **fluorescence intensities** peaked near the **flame front.** The OH **fluorescence intensity dropped** sharply toward the **dark** region **of** the **flame** and continued **declining** to the sooting **region. The** OH **fluorescence** profiles also **indicate** that the OH **fluorescence decreased** with **increasing** height **in** the flames **for** all **flames investigated. Varying** the **oxidizer** composition resulted **in** a **corresponding variation in** the **maximum** OH concentration and the **flame** temperature. Furthermore, **it** appears that the maximum OH concentration **for** each **flame** increased **with increas**ing flame temperature.

INTRODUCTION

The **hydroxyl** radical **is** known to **be one of** the **most** important **intermediate** species in the **combustion** proc**ess.** The hydroxyl radical has also been considered a dominant oxidizer of soot particles in flames (Fenimore and Jones, 1967; Neoh **et** al., 1981). Because **of** its importance the hydroxyl radical has been studied **extensively** in a wide **variety of experiments** including shock *tubes,* photolysis, and **flames.** Laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) and laser-saturated **fluorescence** (LSF) techniques have been developed to measure the hydroxyl radical concentration in **flames.** The saturated **fluorescence** method has several advantages over the linear fluorescence method: The **fluorescence** signal is insensitive to both eollisional quenching and laser power **fluctuations,** and the fluorescence signal is maximized for complete saturation.

Since LSF was proposed **by** Piepmeier **(1972)** and **Daily (1977) as a combustion diagnostics** tool, it **has been** used to measure the flame radicals CH (Bonczyk and Shirley, 1979), C₂ (Baronavski and McDonald, 1977), NH **(Salmon et** al., 1984), and OH **(Lucht et** al., 1983; **Carter et** al., 1987; Kohse-HOinghaus **et** al., 1984) in **both** atmospheric and **low-pressure flames,** but surprisingly almost all **of** this work has **been** carried **out** in **nonsooting** premixed **flames. Some of** those **experiments** showed **discrepancies of factors of** two to **five** when the fluorescence measurements were compared with the absorption measurements. These **large errors** are partly **due** to **oversimplified** assumptions and partly due to **only** partial saturation. **Later,** however, **Lucht et** al. **(1985)** devel**oped** the so-called **balanced-cross-rate model,** which states that the population **in** the laser-coupled **levels** remains approximately constant and **equal** to the **initial** population **of** the **lower level because** the rates **of** transfer into and **out of** the coupled level are **balanced. Lucht et** al. **used** this **model** to **obtain better results.** The **objective of** this study was to use the **LSF** technique to **measure** the hydroxyl **(OH)** concentration profile and temperature distribution in sooting **diffusion flames** at atmospheric pressure.

LASER FLUORESCENCE METHODS

In laser-induced fluorescence the molecules initially in **a** rotational energy level within the lower vibrational level v'' of the ground electronic state ($X^2\Pi$ for OH) are excited through photon absorption to a rotational level in the ground vibrational level *v'* of the first upper electronic state $(A^2\Sigma^+$ for OH). In the two-level molecular model once the molecule is in an excited **state** it can decay back to the ground state either through spontaneous emission or collisional energy-transfer (collisional quenching) processes (fig. 1). The rate and conservation equation for populations of the upper laser-coupled level is (Eckbreth, 1988; Lucht et al., 1985)

$$
\frac{dN_2}{dt} = N_1 B_{12} I_{12} - N_2 (B_{21} I_{12} + A_{21} + Q_{21})
$$
\n(1)

where

 N_1 number density of lower laser-coupled level, cm^{-3}

- N_2 number density of upper laser-coupled level, cm^{-3}
- I_{12} laser spectral energy density, erg-sec/cm³
-
- \tilde{B}_{12} Einstein coefficient for absorption, cm³/erg-sec²
 B_{21} Einstein coefficient for stimulated emission, cm³ Einstein coefficient for stimulated emission, cm³/erg-sec²
- A_{21}^{21} spontaneous emission rate constant, sec⁻¹
- \overline{Q}_{21} collisional quenching rate constant, \sec^{-1}

The population of the laser-coupled levels can be related to the unperturbed population N^o of the lower lasercoupled level by

$$
N^o = N_1 + N_2 \tag{2}
$$

Upon laser excitation the **population** peaks **and** the **steady-state** conditions apply, **so** that equation (1) becomes

$$
N_2 = N^o \frac{B_{12}}{B_{21} + B_{12}} \left[1 + \frac{Q_{21} + A_{21}}{(B_{12} + B_{21})I_{12}} \right]^{1}
$$
 (3)

The observed fluorescence signal S_{FL} will be

$$
S_{FL} = N_2 A_{21} v_{21} C_{\text{ref}} \tag{4}
$$

where v_{21} (cm⁻¹) is the transition frequency and C_{ref} is the calibration constant based on measurement at a reference condition.

If the laser excitation is at the unsaturated limit (i.e., $(B_{12} + B_{21})I_{12} \ll (Q_{21} + A_{21})$), equation (3) becomes

$$
N_2 = N^o \frac{B_{12} I_{12}}{Q_{21} + A_{21}}
$$
 (5)

Hence in the linear **fluorescence** region

$$
S_{FL} = N^{o} B_{12} I_{12} \frac{A_{21}}{Q_{21} + A_{21}} \nu_{21} C_{\text{ref}}
$$
 (6)

The fluorescence signal is related to the desired number density N^o , the laser power, and the collisional quenching rate constant Q_{21} . If the characteristic lifetime of the excited state $(A_{21} + Q_{21})^{-1}$ is longer than the laser pulse falltime and the signal detection system can temporally resolve the **fluorescence** signal, the quenching rate might be measured directly. However, variations in the collisional quenching rates, such as gas density, temperature, and composition change through the flame, and **fluctuations** in laser power cause difficulties in determining concentrations in the linear **fluorescence** region.

In the saturated laser power region (i.e., $(B_{12} + B_{21})I_{12}$ >> $(Q_{21} + A_{21})$) equation (3) becomes

$$
N_2 = N_{2S} = N^o \frac{B_{12}}{B_{21} + B_{12}} = N^o \frac{g_2}{g_1 + g_2} \tag{7}
$$

where g_1 and g_2 are the respective degeneracies of the upper and lower laser-coupled levels and $g_1B_{12} = g_2B_{21}$. The fully saturated **fluorescence** signal is now only proportional to the desired number density and is independent of both the laser power and the quenching rate. Equation (3) can be rewritten as

$$
N_2 = N_{2S} \left[1 + \frac{Q_{21} + A_{21}}{(B_{12} + B_{21})I_{12}} \right]^{1} = N_{2S}W_{\text{sat}} = N^o \left(\frac{g_2}{g_1 + g_2} \right) W_{\text{sat}} \tag{8}
$$

where W_{sat} is the degree of saturation. By combining equations (4) and (7), the saturated fluorescence signal becomes

$$
S_{FL} = N^{o} \frac{g_2}{g_1 + g_2} A_{21} v_{21} C_{\text{ref}} W_{\text{sat}}
$$
\n(9)

and

$$
N^{o} = \left(\frac{g_1 + g_2}{g_2}\right) \frac{S_{FL}}{A_{21} v_{21} C_{\text{ref}} W_{\text{sat}}}
$$
 (10)

The total species number density N_T is related to N^o through the Boltzmann fraction F_t as

$$
N_T = \frac{N^o}{F_t} \tag{11}
$$

and

$$
F_t = \frac{g_1}{Q} \exp\left(-\frac{hcE_1}{kT_f}\right)
$$
 (12)

where h is Planck's constant, c is the speed of light in vacuum, k is Boltzmann's constant, E_1 (cm⁻¹) is the level energy, $Q = Q_{\text{vib}}Q_{\text{rot}}Q_{\text{el}}$ is the total molecule partition function, and T_f is the flame temperature. To determine species **concentration, local flame** temperature **must be** measured **or** estimated. **However,** the **lower laser-coupled level can be chosen** such that *Ft* is a **weak** function **of** temperature **in order** to **reduce** the temperature effect **on concentration determination.**

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

Wolfhard-Parker Burner

A two-dimensional **Wolfhard-Parker slot diffusion flame burner was** selected **for** this **investigation because it** produces a pair of vertical, flat flame sheets that spatially separate the flame pyrolysis zone from the soot nucle**ation zone. This burner can yield more accurate absorption measurements without** the **need for deconvolution** techniques to **obtain local extinction coefficients as is necessary for cylindrically symmetric** flames. The **burner consists of** three **parallel slots 50.8** mm **in length.** The **outer slots, which** carry the **oxidizer, are each** 22.2 mm wide; the inner (fuel) slot is 6.4 mm wide. The burner is surrounded by a nitrogen shroud to exclude room air **drafts** and **to prevent end-flamelets from forming across** the **width of** the fuel **slot. Further flame stabilization is assured by** the **use of screens near** the **tips of** the **flame.**

The **fuel** and **oxidizer passages each contain a section filled with 2-** to 3-ram-diameter **Pyrex glass beads** to **provide a uniform exit flow profile. A** Hastalloy **honeycomb section is used** as the **final section of** the **fuel and oxidizer passages.** The **flow conditions chosen for** these **studies resulted** in **underventilated flames (Gaydon and Wolfhard, 1979).**

The openings that permit the **passage of the incident,** transmitted, and **scattered beams are placed at preselected positions** in the **outer shield. The scattering opening is covered by an optically flat,** fused **quartz window. Scat**tered **light** is **collected over a fixed** solid **angle** and **passed** through this **optical glass** to the **detector system.** The **incident and** transmitted **openings are** each **covered by a high-energy** laser **window.**

The **burner is cooled by water to ensure a** consistent **flame over extended running periods without overheating** the **facility. The burner** can **be** displaced **in** the **vertical** and **horizontal directions** to **enable measurements** to **be** c carried out in different parts of the flame without disturbing the optical system. The movement of the burner is **controlled by a Velmax 8300 controller** that **is programmed by** a **DEC** PDP-11/23 **computer.**

Flame Conditions

The **burner was operated at atmospheric pressure. Flow** rates and **flame** conditions **for several flames are listed in** table **I.** The **structure of** the **flame used in** this **investigation** is **similar** to that **described in** the **literature (Wolfhard** and **Parker, 1952; Haynes and Wagner, 1980; Wey et al., 1984).** The **diffusion flame consists of an oxidant side** and **a** fuel **side** that **are** separated **by a reaction zone.** The **flame stabilizes itself** toward the **oxidant** side of the burner. Because one unit volume of fuel requires more than one unit volume of oxidant for complete **combustion,** the **stoichiometric fuel-oxidant interface** moves **outward** into the **oxidant side. A** thin **band of blue emission locates** the main **reaction zone. The sooting zone** occurs **some millimeters** to the fuel **side of** the **reac**tion **zone. it is characterized by its familiar yellow luminosity. Between** the **sooting** zone and the **reaction zone** there **is a** thin **dark region** that may **be attributed** to the **absence of suitable emitters (C-aydon and Wolfhard, 1979).** The **yellow luminous** region **first becomes visible at a height of** *5* to **10** mm **above** the **burner depending on** the **flow conditions.**

Temperature Measurements

The temperature distribution of the flame in this study was measured by the two-line LSF and thermocouple methods. The two-line LSF temperature measurements were performed by exciting the $Q_1(7)$ and $Q_1(15)$ lines and measuring the fluorescence intensity of the $P_1(8)$ and $P_1(16)$ lines, respectively. The rotational temperature *Tro*t is calculated from the ratio of the fluorescence intensities from two different excitation-emission pairs. For most flame conditions the OH rotational temperature T_{rot} is equal to the flame temperature T_f .

Combining equations (8) and (10) yields a working equation

$$
S_{FL} = \frac{N_T}{Q} \left(\frac{g_1 g_2}{g_1 + g_2} A_{21} v_{21} \right) C_{\text{ref}} W_{\text{sat}} \exp \left(-\frac{hcE_1}{kT_f} \right)
$$
(13)

$$
S_{FL} = \frac{N_T}{Q} C_f C_{\text{ref}} W_{\text{sat}} \exp \left(-\frac{hcE_1}{kT_f} \right)
$$

$$
C_f = \frac{g_1 g_2}{g_1 + g_2} A_{21} v_{21}
$$

where the constant C_f for each line pair can be calculated from Boltzmann statistics. Writing equation (13) for two given initial levels *m* and *n* and solving the resulting equations give

$$
R = \frac{S_{FL,m}}{S_{FL,n}} = \frac{C_{f,m}C_{\text{ref},m}}{C_{f,n}C_{\text{ref},n}} \exp\left[-\frac{hc(E_{1,m} - E_{1,n})}{kT_f}\right]
$$
(14)

Let

$$
\beta = \left(\frac{C_{fn}}{C_{fn}}\right) \left(\frac{C_{\text{ref},n}}{C_{\text{ref},m}}\right), \quad \Delta E_1 = E_{1,n} - E_{1,m} \tag{15}
$$

Then

$$
T_f = \frac{hc \Delta E_1}{k \ln(\beta R)}\tag{16}
$$

The thermocouple measurements were made with 75-um-diameter Pt/Pt-13%Rh (type R) thermocouples. The thermocouple readings were uncorrected for radiation heat loss and were processed by the PDP-11/23 computer.

Fluorescence Measurements

The optical layout for the fluorescence measurements is shown in figure 2. The second harmonic of a Quanta-Ray DCR-2A Nd:YAG laser (at 532 nm) was used to pump a PDL-1 dye laser, which included a transversely pumped preamplifier and a longitudinally pumped amplifier. The laser dye Sulforhodamine 640 (SR640), whose

peak**output** is at 604.5 nm, **was** used for this measurement. To achieve high-energy output at **620** nm, the **dye** laser used a mixture of SR640 and DCM dye, whose peak output is at 640 nm. The DCM was added to the SR640 in 50-ml increments while monitoring the laser energy with the PDL-1 grating set at 620 nm. This procedure was continued until the laser energy reached the peak. Applying this procedure to both oscillator and amplifer resulted in a dye laser energy of about 40 mJ/pulse at 620 nm, with an input energy of about 190 mJ/pulse at 532 nm. The dye laser output was frequency doubled by a wavelength extension system (WEX-1A). A Pellin-Broca prism was used to disperse the visible and ultraviolet (UV) laser beams. A small portion of visible laser was then picked off by a quartz beam splitter and directed to the fast photodiode (Scientech 301-020). The output of the photodiode was used to trigger the detection electronics system. A 7-nsec laser pulse with 4 mJ of energy at a wavelength of 310 nm was directed by an antireflection-coated, fused-silica mirror. The laser beam was then focused into the flame zone parallel to the burner slots by using a 500-mm-focal-length, high-energy, antireflection-coated UV lens, which gave an approximate focal diameter of 0.6 mm. The fluorescence was collected at right angles to the laser beam by a 50-mm-diameter, 200-mm-focallength coated-quartz lens. The fluorescence image was rotated from the horizontal to the vertical plane by a beam-steering instrument. The vertical fluorescence image was then focused by a 50-mm-diameter, 300-mmfocal-length coated lens onto the entrance **slit** of a computer-controlled SPEX model 1720 0.75-m spectrometer. For all the LSF measurements the entrance and exit slit widths were set at 50 µm. The bandpass of the spectrometer was therefore less than 1 **A..** The fluorescence intensity was detected by an EMI 9954QB photomultiplier **tube** with nonuniform dynode-voltage distribution to obtain a 1-nsec rise time. The photomultiplier signal was then processed by the Stanford Research System boxcar integrator. The **boxcar system** includes two gated integrators, two fast samplers, an analog processor, a gate scanner, and a computer interface module. A Scientech 365 power and energy meter with a **surface** absorbing head was used to measure the average laser pulse energy after the beam had passed through the flame. The photodiode **signal** was also processed by the boxcar integrator. The output of the **boxcar** integrator was then digitized and stored by a DEC PDP-11/23 computer through a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter to determine the fluorescence intensity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Saturation Measurements

The **degree of** saturation **by** the laser **was determined** by **adding calibrated neutral density** (ND) filters into the laser beam path and measuring the corresponding fluorescence voltages. The fluorescence signal was averaged by boxcar integration for 2 min at each condition. From the known ND filter values and the averaged fluorescence voltage, a saturation curve was generated. Figure 3 shows a typical saturation curve for a measured $C_3H_8/O_2/N_2$ flame. Complete saturation of the OH fluorescence was nearly achieved. Because of the high degree of saturation achieved, hydroxyl number densities were calculated from the fluorescence induced by the unattenuated UV laser beam. The difference between the theoretical complete saturated fluorescence signal and the measured fluorescence signal was less than **the** experimental uncertainty in these LSF fluorescence measurements.

Temperature **Profiles** and OH Number *Density*

The $Q_1(7)$ rotational line of the $A^2\Sigma^+$ - $X^2\Pi(0,0)$ band of the hydroxyl was excited by the laser pulse. The $Q_1(7)$ line was identified with laser fluorescence excitation spectra measured in a flame. The dye laser was scanned near the $Q_1(7)$ line and the fluorescence was detected at $P_1(8)$. In the fluorescence measurements the wavelength of the dye laser was positioned at the peak of the $Q_1(7)$ line by maximizing the fluorescence signal. The same procedure was applied to the second excited rotational line $Q_1(15)$ for temperature measurement. The LSF **system** was calibrated by Rayleigh **scattering** from gas molecules (Salmon and Laurendeau, 1985). Three gases (nitrogen, methane, and ethylene) were used as the calibration scatterers. The ratios of the scattering cross

sections for the three gases were measured **and compared** with the **calculated** values. Nitrogen gas was used for the reference because it has the smallest cross-sectional area $(5.40 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ at } 310 \text{ nm})$ and is easily handled. The Einstein coefficients and fundamental data for the hydroxyl radical were found from Goldman and Gillis (1981) and Dieke and Crosswhite (1962).

The gas temperature in the flames was determined both by the two-line LSF method and by a thermocouple. The temperature near the flame front measured by the two-line LSF was about 100 K below the calculated adiabatic flame temperature. In the sooting flame region the OH fluorescence signal was too weak to measure accurately. The two-line LSF temperature measurement, however, was limited by the difficulty of spatial alignment for our current single-laser system. When the dye laser grating was tuned to the second excitation line, the position of the focused UV beam spot was always different from that of the first excitation line. The optical alignment and calibration for the second excitation line also had to be carried out. Several iris diaphragms were placed in the laser beam path before and after the burner optical entry and exit ports to help in optical alignment. The UV laser beam was directed through the center of each iris diaphragm to ensure that the laser beam passed through the burner center. An argon ion laser was used to help align the UV beam focal point. The green laser beam was directed from the burner opposite port and focused at the burner center by a lens. Both the UV beam and the green laser beam were coincident at the burner center. The same procedure was applied when the excitation laser line was tuned to the second wavelength. However, the two UV-focused points, which were formed at two different times, were not completely coincident even with the alignment laser. Because the displacements of these two UV-focused points are three-dimensional and in the submillimeter range, they need to be determined by a precise instrument. The two-line LSF will be a versatile technique for measuring temperature distribution in flames if two dye lasers are simultaneously used and a precision optical alignment instrument is available.

In this study the OH fluorescence profiles were measured by exciting the $Q_1(7)$ and $Q_1(15)$ lines and measuring the fluorescence intensity of the $P_1(8)$ and $P_1(16)$ lines, respectively. Carefully comparing those two profiles shows that the temperature distribution can be calculated only near the flame front where the higher fluorescence readings were measured. Figure 4 shows the temperature profile near the flame front for flame A. The adiabatic flame temperature was calculated at the flame front, where the highest fluorescence reading occurred. The two-line LSF method has limitations in determining the temperature distribution in the flame as discussed earlier. However, the flame front temperature can be easily determined by this method from two peak fluorescence readings. Figure 5 shows the temperature profile measured by the thermocouple in flame B, which was the only flame temperature measured by the thermocouple. The temperatures in the flame front for flames A, C, and D were greater than the melting point of platinum (1755 °C), causing the thermocouple to break. The flame front temperatures of those flames were then determined by two-line LSF. Table II lists the comparison of measured flame temperature with calculated adiabatic temperature.

Figure 6 shows the OH fluorescence profile for flame A at different heights above the burner. The OH fluorescence peaked at the flame front and then decreased toward the sooting region. In the sooting region the soot scattering signal interfered with the fluorescence signal. In this region the fluorescence reading from 306 nm to 314 nm was much lower than that at the flame front. In the burner center the fluorescence was also low relative to the flame front. The fluorescence profile also shows that the local maximum fluorescence decreased with increasing height above the burner (fig. 7). Figure 8 shows the OH relative fluorescence profiles for flames B, C, and D, respectively. Each profile indicates the same trends: that the OH peaked at the flame front and that the maximum local value decreased with increasing height above the burner. The relative fluorescence profiles were converted to concentration measurements by using equation (10) with the calibration constant measured from the Rayleigh scattering in nitrogen. Figure 9 shows the concentration profiles for all investigated flames. Figure 10 shows the OH concentration profiles for all four flames measured at 5 mm above the burner. The concentration profiles were calculated up to the sooting region, where the error in temperature measurements by the two-line LSF method had a significant effect on the hydroxyl number density measurement.

In table II the measured hydroxyl concentrations and measured temperatures are compared with the **calculated values.** *The* **adiabatic flame** temperatures **and hydroxyl mole fractions were calculated by using a NASA computer code (Gordon** and **McBride, 1971). The measured** temperatures **were** all **lower** than the **calculated values, and** the measured **hydroxyl concentrations were** all **higher** than the **calculated** concentrations. **However, the measured and** calculated temperatures **show the same** trend; **flame B had** the **lowest** temperature. The **concentration meas**urements **also** show the **same trend.** The concentration **profiles** show that the **visible** soot **emission began after** the **OH concentration dropped** sharply.

CONCLUSIONS

Some researchers have doubted that the **hydroxyl** radical **is** the **dominant oxidizer of** the **soot** particles **in flames. In** this **investigation OH concentration** profiles **in sooting diffusion flames were measured by** the **lasersaturated fluorescence (LSF) method.** The temperature **distributions in** the **flames were measured by** the **two-line LSF technique and by a** thermocouple. **In** the **sooting** region the **OH fluorescence was** too **weak to** measure **accurately.** The **hydroxyl fluorescence** profiles **for all four** flames presented **here show** that the **OH fluorescence intensities peaked near** the **flame front.** The **OH fluorescence intensity dropped sharply toward the dark** region **of** the **flame** and **continued declining** to the **sooting** region. The **OH fluorescence** profiles **also indicate** that the **OH fluorescence decreased with increasing height in** the **flames for all flames investigated. Varying** the **oxidizer composition resulted in a corresponding variation in** the maximum **OH concentration** and **flame temperature.** Furthermore, **it appears that** the maximum **OH** concentration **for** each **flame** increased **with increasing flame temperature.**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This **work was sponsored by** the **NASA Lewis Research Center under contract NAS3-24105, which was** monitored **by Dr. Edward J. Mularz.**

REFERENCES

- **Baronavski, A.P., and McDonald, J.R., 1977,** "Measurement **of C2 Concentrations** in **an Oxygen-Acetylene Flame: An Application of Saturation Spectroscopy,** _ **Journal of Chemical Physics, vol. 66, pp.** 3300-3301.
- **Bonczyk,** P.A., and **Shirley,** J.A., **1979,** "Measurement **of CH** and **CN Concentration in Flames by Laser-Induced Saturated** Fluorescence, **Combustion and Flame,** _ **vol.** 34, **pp.** 253-264.
- **Carter, C.D., et al., 1987,** _Feasibility **of Hydroxyl** Concentration **Measurements by Laser** Saturated Fluorescence in **High** Pressure **Flames," Applied Optics, voi.** *26,* **pp. 4551-4561.**
- **Daily, LW., 1977,** "Saturation Effects in **Laser Induced Fluorescence Spectroscopy," Applied** Optics, **vol. 16, pp.** 568-571.
- **Dieke, G.H.,** and **Crosswhite,** H.M., 1962, _The **Ultraviolet Bands of** OH, _ **Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy** and **Radiative Transfer, vol. 2, pp.** 97-199.
- Eckbreth, A.C., 1988, Laser Diagnostics for Combustion Temperature and Species, Abacus Press, Cambridge, **MA.**
- Fenimore, C.P., and Jones, C.W., 1967, "Oxidation of Soot by Hydroxyl Radicals," Journal of Physical Chemistry, vol. 71, pp. 593-597.
- Gaydon, A.G., and Wolfhard, H.G., 1979, Flames: Their Structure, Radiation and Temperature, Fourth Edition, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Goldman, A., and Gillis, J.R., 1981, "Spectral Line Parameters for the $A^2\Sigma X^2\Pi(0,0)$ Band of OH for Atmospheric and High Temperatures," Journal of Quantitative and Radiative Transfer, vol. 25, pp. 111-135.
- Gordon, S., and McBride, B.J., 1971, "Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions, Rocket Performance, Incident and Reflected Shocks, and Chapman-Jouguet Detonations," NASA SP-273.
- Haynes, B.S., and Wagner, H.G., 1980, "Sooting Structure in a Laminar Diffusion Flame," Berichte der Bunsen-Gesellschaft fuer Physikalische Chemic, vol. 84, pp. 499-506.
- Kohse-Höinghaus, K., Perc, W., and Just, Th., 1984, "Determination of Absolute OH and CH Concentrations in a Low Pressure Flame by Laser-Induced Saturated Fluorescence," Nineteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 1177-1185.
- Lucht, R.P., Sweeney, D.W., and Laurendeau, N.M., 1983, "Laser Saturated Fluorescence Measurements of OH Concentration in Flames," Combustion and Flame, vol. 50, pp. 189-205.
- Lucht, R.P., Sweeney, D.W., and Laurendeau, N.M., 1985, "Laser Saturated Fluorescence Measurements of OH in Atmospheric Pressure CH₄/O₂/N₂ Flames Under Sooting and Nonsooting Conditions," Combustion Science and Technology, vol. 42, pp. 259-281.
- Neoh, K.G., Howard, J.B., and Sarofim, A.F., 1981, *"Soot* Oxidation in Flames," Particulate Carbon--Formation During Combustion, *D.C.* Seigla and G.W. Smith, eds., Plenum Press, New York, pp. 261-282.
- Piepmeier, E.H., 1972, "Theory of Laser Saturated *Atomic* Resonance Fluorescence," Spectrochimica Acta, vol. 27B, pp. 431-443.
- Salmon, J.T., et al., 1984, "Laser Saturated Fluorescence Measurements of NH in a Premixed Subatmospheric CH4/N20/Ar Flame," Twentieth **Symposium** (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 1187-1193.
- Salmon, J.T., and Laurendeau, N.M., 1985, "Calibration of Laser Saturated Fluorescence Measurements Using Rayleigh Scattering," Applied Optics, vol. 24, pp. 65-73.
- Wey, C., Powell, E.A., and Jagoda, J.I., 1984, "The Effect on Soot Formation of Oxygen in the Fuel of a Diffusion Flame," Twentieth Symposium (International) on Combustion, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 1017-1024.
- Wolfhard, H.G., and Parker, W.G., 1952, "A Spectroscopic Investigation of the Structure of Diffusion Flame," Proceedings of the Physical Society, Sec. A, vol. 65, pp. 2-19.

TABLE **I.--FLOW CONDITIONS FOR** ATMOSPHERIC PRESSURE **DIFFUSION FLAMES** ON

A 5.08-cm-LONG **THREE-SLOT** WOLFHARD-PARKER **BURNER**

[Fuel, propane at 580 **ml/min** (3.0 **cm/sec); oxidizer, total at 8120 ml/min (6.0 cm/sec);** oxygen **index,** O[**=** oxygen/total **oxidizer.]**

Flame	Oxidizer	ОI	Equilibrium mole fraction						
			н,	H ₂ O	O ₂	CO	CO ₂	N_2	Ar
A в С D	$O_2 + N_2$ $O_2 + CO_2$ $O_2 + Ar$ $O_2 + N_2$	0.28 .28 .28 .31	0.009 .001 .015 .012	0.18 .196 .163 .191	0.016 .012 .028 .02	0.035 .025 .055 .045	0.112 .763 .089 .114	0.627 ------ .588	0.616

TABLE H.--COMPARISON OF **HYDROXYL CONCENTRATION AND FLAME**

TEMPERATURE DATA FROM LASER-SATURATED FLUORESCENCE

MEASUREMENTS WITH CALCULATED VALUES

aTwo-line LSF method.

bThermocouple.

 ϵ

 \bar{z}

H

Figure 1. -- OH energy level structure and population transfer scheme used in fluorescence measurements of hydroxyl concentration. B_{12} and B_{21} are the Einstein coefficients for absorption and stimulated emission, respectively. Q_{21} and A_{21} are the rate coefficients for collisional quenching and spontaneous emission, respectively.

Figure 2.-Schematic of experimental setup and Wolfhard-Parker burner for LSF measurements.

Figure 3.-Saturation curve for flame D. Q_1 (7) excitation; *P]* (8) fluorescence.

Figure 4.--Temperature dis_budon profiles measured by *two-line* LSF method in flame A. The two excited rotational lines are Q_1 (7) **and Q1 (15).**

Figure 5.-Temperature distribution profiles measured by 75- μ m **Pt/Pt-13%Rh thermocouple in flame B.**

Figure 6.---Relative OH fluorescence profiles for flame A.

Figure 8.-Relative OH fluorescence profiles for flames B, C, and D.

Figure 9.—Hydroxyl concentration profiles for flames A, B, C, and D. Q_1 (7) excitation; P_1 (8) detection.

Figure 10. -- OH concentration profiles for flames A, B, C, and D measured at 5 mm above burner.

 \mathbf{H}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac$ $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \mathbf{H}

¥.

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102