# **N95- 32723**

/

#### 4 HEAVY ION INDUCED DNA-DSB IN YEAST AND MAMMALIAN CELLS (STATUS REPORT)

## **M. Liibrich, S. Ikpeme,** J. *Kiefer*

Molecular **changes** at **the** DNA are assumed to **be the** main **cause** for radiation effects in a number of orgamisms. During the **course** of the last decades techniques have been developed for measuring DNA double-strand breaks (dsb), generally assumed to be the most **critical** DNA lesions. The outcome of all those different approaches portray a collection of data useful for a theoretical description of radiation action mechanisms. However, in the **case** of heavy ion induced DNA dsb the picture is not quite **clear** yet and further projects and strategies have to be developed.

The biological systems studied in our group are yeast and mammalian **cells.** While in the **case** of yeast **cells** technical and methodical **reasons** highlight these organisms mam**malian cellsreach greaterimportance when dsb repair studiesare performed. In both** types of organisms the technique of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is applied, although with differentmodificationsand **evaluation**procedures**mainly** due to **the** different **genome** sizes.

### -Yeast **cells**

Yeast chromosomes are in the size range that can be resolved by PFGE-technique. After **the gel** run the DNA **molecules are** labelled**with the** aid of a **fluorescent**dye, and the signal is recorded by a CCD camera system. The single bands, representing the different chromosomes of the yeast strains used, can be quantitated by a dedicated software and the **intensity**ofthe uppermost band, which **represents**thelargest**chromosome, can** be used for the determination of the dsb induction frequency. It is assumed in this evaluation procedure that a decrease**in** band **intensity**to**37** resembleson **average**one break per molecule. Table I summarizes the resultsof several**experiments** performed at the **UNILAC-facility in** Darmstadt. The ions used were in the LET range of 100 to 11500  $keV/\mu m$  and had **energies**between **3 and** 18 MeV/n. So **far** no **experiments with the** much **fasterions**at the SIS facility have been performed. Figure 1 shows the dsb induction cross section of allthe **experiments** (with yeastand mammalian **cells)**as a **function**of LET. Clearly,the **cross**sectionand hence the probability**for**dsb **induction**rises**for**valuesup **to** about **300**  $keV/\mu$ m. This region is followed by a plateau in the LET range between 300 and 1500  $keV/\mu m$ , while for even higher values the cross sections increase again. This second rise probably reflects the importance of the far reaching delta-electrons, which build up the so **called**"ion-penumbra'. The results**fit**almost perfect**into**thepicture**which was generated** in the last years in our group by means of the sedimentation technique.

## Mammalian **cells**

**The** mammalian **chromosomes** are too largeto be **resolved**by **PFGE** technique.In **ordor** to circumvent this problem the chromosomes are treated with rarely cutting restriction **enzymes** prior to electrophoresis. The endonucleases cut the DNA at specific sequences yielding for all cells the same restriction pattern which appears after electrophoresis as a restriction fragment distribution. In the described experiments the enzyme NotI was used which delivered fragments in the size range of about 0.2 to 5 Megabasepairs (Mbp) To examine only one single fragment instead of the whole distribution (analogous to the



Figure 1: DNA-DSB versus LET: boxes: PFGE (Yeast), open circles: Sedimentation (Yeast), x: PFGE (Mammalian cells).

Table 1: Cross-sections for DNA-DSB for various ions, measured by means of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.



Ion	Energy MeV/u	<b>LET</b> $keV/\mu m$	$\sigma_{dsb}$ $\mu$ m	<b>RBE</b>	% remaining (PFGE)	% remaining (Elution)
$(X-ray)$		0.3	٠		32	15
Ne	425	32	0.034	0.76	41	31
Fe	600	190	0.24	0.48	70	68
Fe	400	240	0.16	0.48		
Fe	250	350	0.13	0.48		

Table 2: Data for DSB-induktion in mammalian **cells.** Cross-sections are normalized to a DNA-mass of  $10^9$ g/mol as in yeast.

**situation with yeast cells)** the **method of** "Southern **hybridization" with radioactively** labelled "single copy" DNA-probes was applied. Those probes bind to a DNA sequence which appears only once in the human genome and therefore only on one restriction fragment. Consequently, the restriction fragment size distribution can be reduced to a single band by analyzing the radioactive hybridization signal. The decrease of this band delivers the dsb induction rate analogous to the yeast method.

Experiments for dsb induction have been performed at the BEVALAC facility in Berkeley, CA with Ne and Fe ions inside the energy range of 250 to 600 MeV/n with the corresponding LET values of 30 to 350  $keV/\mu m$  (see table 2). The relative biological **effectiveness** (RBE) for **dsb** induction **was** always found to be **smaller** than unity **(com**pared to X-rays) what **could** be explained by dsb-"cluster" inside the "ion-core" where an extremely high energy density occurs. Inside this region close to the ion trajectory the breaks **are** induced too **close** to each other to be resolved as different breaks **and** hence counted by all available techniques only as one. Since **approximately** only have of the energy is deposited inside the "core" region, the RBE is not expected to decrease below 0.5.

For dsb repair experiments the described method (called PFGE in the table) was **compared with** the **elution** approach that measures **only** a **change** in molecular weight and therefore cannot distinguish between correct and incorrect dsb rejoining events. Since the PFGE method registers only the correct rejoining and hence the real repair events (since the band with the correct molecular **weight** has to reappear after a certain repair time to contribute to rejoining) the respective values for remaining breaks always lie above the values for the elution approach (see table 2). As the differences between the two methods are most **significant** for **sparsely** ionizing radiation, mis-repalr events take place in that case that probably serve as a "life-saving" mechanism. The fact that the proportion of unrepaired/unrejoined breaks increases with **LET** again reflects most likely the appearance of dsb-"clusters", since in this **case** all breaks of a "cluster" have to be rejoined in order to register a rejoining event.