

CFD ANALYSIS OF MODULAR THRUSTERS PERFORMANCE

Ronald J. Ungewitter, James Beck, Andrew Ketchum Rocketdyne Division, Rockwell International Mail Code IB39, 6633 Canoga Avenue Canoga Park, CA 91303

<u>ABSTRACT</u>

The effective performance of modular thrusters in an aerospike configuration is difficult to determine. Standard analytical tools are applicable to conventional nozzle shapes, but are limited when applied to an aerospike nozzle (An aerospike nozzle is an altitude compensating external nozzle). Three baseline nozzle shapes are derived using standard analytical procedures. The baseline nozzles sizes are restricted to fill a volume envelope. The three shapes are an axi-symmetric round nozzle, a 2D planar square exit nozzle, and a super elliptic round to nearly square nozzle. The integrated (thruster /aerospike) performance of the three nozzles is determined through the use of 3-D viscous CFD calculations where complex features of the flowfield can be accurately captured. The resulting installed performance is then used to evaluate the efficiency of these nozzle shapes for aerospike applications.

The determination of effective performance of a thruster nozzle integrated into an aerospike nozzle requires the solution of the three dimensional turbulent Navier-Stokes equations. The model used in this study consisted of two zones; one of the upstream thruster cowl surface so freestream conditions can be accurately predicted, and two, the aerospike surface beginning with with thruster outflow and extending to the end of the aerospike surface. The numerical grid consisted of over 120,000 nodes and used symmetry on the thruster centerline and edge. A two species non-reacting chemistry model was used to capture the variation of fluid properties between the hot plume gas and freestream air.

From the results of the three baseline nozzle aerospike calculations, the effective performance of the nozzle was determined. The flowfield of these calculations do show some variation between the cases. Recirculation zones on the cowl surface is predicted for the 2D planar nozzle and a smaller one for the super elliptic nozzle. The recirculation is caused by the strong pressure gradient between the plume and freestream flows. The axi-symmetric nozzle results indicates recirculation zones on the thruster face. These recirculation zones smooth the pressure gradient between the plume and freestream flow limiting the formation of recirculation on the cowl surface. Thruster to thruster interaction is evident for the axi-symmetric and super elliptic calculation while the 2D planar nozzle did not have any lateral expansion in the nozzle so thruster to thruster interaction is limited. The integrated performance results, at the altitude choosen, shows very little variation between the three thruster shapes. This result allows for nozzle shape determination to based on additional considerations (thermal, structural, weight) besides performance.

BASELINE COMPARISONS

- GOAL
- TO EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF THREE BASELINE NOZZLE SHAPES INDIVIDUALLY AND INTEGRATED INTO AEROSPIKE
- APPROACH
- USE MOC AND CFD CODES TO DETERMINE THE Isp OF THE INDIVIDUAL BASELINE NOZZLES
- COMPARE THE MOC AND CFD RESULTS FOR CONSISTENCY
- USE 3D CFD MODEL TO DETERMINE THE INSTALLED BASELINE NOZZLE
 / AEROSPIKE PERFORMANCE

A Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne

BASELINE NOZZLE DEFINITIONS

3 UNIQUE SHAPES

- AXISYMMETRIC
- 2-D PLANAR
- 3-D SUPER-ELLIPSE
- CONSTRAINTS
- SAME NOZZLE LENGTH
- SQUARE EXIT
- SAME MASS FLOW (THROAT AREA)
- EACH SHAPE OPTIMIZED FOR Isp

Rockmell Aerospace Rocketdyne **BASELINE NOZZLE DESIGNS**

		T	
Nozzle Area Ratio	11.8	15.0	14.8
Exit Dimension (in)	D = 7.519	H = W = 7.519	H = W = 7.519
Nozzle Length (in)	11.585	11.585	11.585
Throat Area (in2)	3.7688	3.7688	3.7688
Schematic			
Baseline Thrust Cell Nozzle	Axisymmetric	2-D Planar	3-D Super-Elliptic

A Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne

 MOC AND CFD CALCULATIONS WERE MADE OF EACH BASELINE **NOZZLE SHAPE**

NOZZLE SHAPE	EXIT AREA RATIO	MOC INVISCID	CFD INVISCID	CFD VISCOUS
AXISYMMETRIC	11.8:1	409.0	410.6	406.1
2-D PLANAR	15.0:1	414.2	417.0	411.4
3-D SUPER ELLIPTIC	14.8:1	412.9	414.6	409.1*

VALUE BASED ON LAMINAR CFD PREDICTION WITH SKIN FRICTION ESTIMATED BASED ON PREVIOUS CALCULATIONS AND WETTED SURFACE AREA

CONCLUSIONS:

- MOC AND CFD PREDICT CONSISTENT RESULTS
- MOC CODES PROVIDE RAPID ANALYSIS CAPABILITY
- CFD CODE PROVIDES RANGE OF ANALYSIS OPTIONS

BASELINE COMPARISONS 3-D CFD MODEL

- FULL NAVIER-STOKES SOLUTIONS
- BALDWIN-LOMAX TURBULENCE MODEL
- TWO SPECIES (FREESTREAM, PLUME) NONREACTING CHEMISTRY MODEL
- TWO ZONE, 125,350 NODE GRID
- ZONE ONE INCLUDES FLOW OVER COWL

819

- ZONE TWO SIMULATES INFINITE ARRAY OF THRUSTERS AND AEROSPIKE SURFACE
- FREESTREAM INLET CONDITIONS AT 50,000 FT (MACH NUMBER = 1.83), REPRESENTATIVE OF MIDPOINT OF FLIGHT ENVELOPE •

A Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne



Rncketdune

BASELINE COMPARISONS FLOW FEATURES COMMON TO ALL SOLUTIONS	 NORMAL SHOCK UPSTREAM OF THRUSTERS ON COWL SURFACE, DECREASING IN STRENGTH FROM COWL SURFACE 	MODULE TO MODULE INTERACTION CAUSES THREE DIMENSIONAL PLUME SHAPE	RECIRCULATION REGIONS ON COWL SURFACE AND/OR ON THRUSTER FACE	MODULE TO MODULE INTERACTIONS ON AEROSPIKE SURFACE	AEROSPIKE EXPANDS FLOW TO SIMILAR PRESSURE VALUES		
--	--	---	--	--	---	--	--

S Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne

THRUST CELL TECHNOLOGIES: INTEGRATED THRUST CELL / AEROSPIKE ANALYSIS **BASELINE INTEGRATED SUPER ELLIPTIC NOZZLE RESULTS:**

MACH NUMBER CONTOURS IN THE CROSS PLANES



CED-95-009-006/D1/HJU

Rncketdvne

CFD-95-009-007/D1/RJU

Rocketdyne

C Rockwell Aerospace

RED: PLUME FLOW LIGHT BLUE: FREESTREAM FLOW : RECIRCULATION FLOW



LOUINCECCIEC INTEGRATED INTUDI CELL / AEHUSPIKE ANALYSIS

j

BASELINE NOZZLE COMPARISON



01013414 3111000134 / / **BASELINE NOZZLE COMPARISON**

PRESSURE PROFILES ALONG AEROSPIKE SURFACE



S Rockwell Aerospace Rocketdyne

INSTALLED BASELINE NOZZLE / AEROSPIKE PERFORMANCE BASELINE COMPARISONS

L VALUES LBS	· AL					
429.8	16024	129	3660	< 1.0	12493	3-D SUPER ELLIPTIC
429.0	15995	125	3554	ł	12566	2-D PLANAR
430.2	16037	129	3748	15	12403	AXISYMMETRIC
lsp (SEC)	TOTAL THRUST*	AEROSPIKE FRICTION*	AEROSPIKE THRUST*	FACE PdA*	NOZZLE THRUST*	BASELINE SHAPE

- · CONCLUSIONS:
- PREDICTED VALUES OF INSTALLED PERFORMANCE ARE EFFECTIVELY EQUIVALENT
- SIMILARITY OF PERFORMANCE ALLOWS FOR OTHER DESIGN ASPECTS (EG. THERMAL, STRUCTURAL) TO BE CONSIDERED IN NOZZLE SHAPE SELECTION

BASELINE COMPARISONS TASK CONCLUSIONS

- CFD AND MOC PREDICT CONSISTENT RESULTS
- MOC CODES PROVIDE RAPID ANALYSIS CAPABILITY
- CFD CODE PROVIDE RANGE OF ANALYSIS OPTIONS
- PREDICTIONS FOR THREE NOZZLE SHAPES EFFECTIVELY THE INSTALLED BASELINE NOZZLE / AEROSPIKE PERFORMANCE SAME
- **ASPECTS (EG. THERMAL, STRUCTURAL) TO BE CONSIDERED IN** SIMILARITY OF PERFORMANCE ALLOWS FOR OTHER DESIGN NOZZLE SHAPE SELECTION •

Rockwell Aerospace