brought to you by

NASA-TM-111758

AIAA-94-3895-CP

99488

Analysis of Lean Premised/Prevaporized Combustion with KIVA-II

J. M. Deur* NY**MA,** Inc. Prook **Par**k, Ohio

K. P.**K**undu[†] and D. D. Darling[†] NAS≭ Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

M. C. Cline[‡] Los Aiimos National Laboratory Lo: Alamos, New Mexico

G. J. Micklow[§]M, R. Harper,[¶] and T. A. Simons[¶] Iniversity of Florida

Abstract

Requirements to reduce the emissions of poutants from gas turbines used in aircraft propulsion and ground based power generation have led to consideration of lean premixed/prevaporized (LPP) combustion concept. This paper describes some of the LPP flame tube analyses performed at the NASA Lewis Research Center with KIVA-II, a well-known multi-dimensional CFD code for problems including sprays, turbulence, and combustion. Modifications to KIVA-II's boundary condition and stemistry treatments have been made to meet the news of the present study. The study itself focuses on two key aspects of the LPP concept, low emissions and flame stability (including flashback and lean blowoff).

Background

Nitrogen oxides (NO_x) are serious component of air pollution, and considerable effort is being experiment to reduce their emission from stationary and mobile r_{0} m-

* Supervisor, Combustion and Icing Section. Senior Member AIAA.

† Aerospace Engineer. Member AIAA.

‡ Staff Member, Group T-3. Member AIAA.

§ Assistant Professor, Department of Mectenical Engineering. Member AIAA.

 \P Graduate Research Assistant, Departmentor Me- chanical Engineering.

bustion devices. As NO_x formation is reduced substantially at lower equivalence ratios (ϕ), there is a great deal of interest in lean combustion. An LPP system (Fig. 1) separates the fuel injection and combustion processes to vaporize the fuel and mix it completely with air before it burns, avoiding hot spots to further minimize NO_x emissions. Unfortunately, lean combustion also leads to increased problems with flame stability.¹

Numerical studies of an LPP flame tube are being conducted at Lewis to examine both emissions and $e^{\pm \alpha_{1}}$ bility, with the geometry used by Anderson acc_{eff} permit comparisons to be made with his experimented data. This flame tube was circular in cross section with a diameter of 10.25 cm. Propane was introduced 260 cm upstream of the flameholder into an air stream with a flow velocity of 2500 cm/sec and an inlet pressure of 5.5 atmospheres. Although tests were run with inlet temperatures of 600 and 800 K, the calculations being reported here consider only the latter value. The water-cooled flameholder (Fig. 2) was 1.92 cm thick and pierced with 61 circular holes of 0.635 cm diameter, yielding an open area of 25 percent. NO_x and CO were measured 10, 20, and 30 cm downstream of the flameholder.¹

Numerical Method

The calculations are being performed with KIVA-II, a program developed originally to study the in-cylinder combustion dynamics of internal combustion engines. However, because the code can treat problems combining sprays, turbulence, and combustion, it can also be employed in the analysis of gas turbine combustors, as well as their laboratory analogues, e.g., the LPP flame tube examined in this study.^{2,3}

This paper is declared a work of the U.S. Givernment and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

However, as originally released, KIVA-II cannot treat the flameholder's complicated geometry. To rectify this, the program's boundary condition treatment has been revised to allow an arbitrary obstacle geometry to be incorporated within the mesh. To reduce computational costs, the three-dimensional flameholder geometry has been simplified to allow a two-dimensional axisymmetric analysis to be performed. Two alternative geometries (Fig. 2) have been considered, leading to the two 35x120 grids (Fig. 3) used in this study.

The original KIVA-II is also restricted to laminar kinetics. For this study, a mixing controlled combustion model (that of Magnussen and Hjertager⁴) has been added. Calculations have been performed with both chemistry treatments utilizing two simplified reaction schemes, that of Ying and Nguyen⁵ and that of Kundu and Deur⁵. Although both include NO_x formation, the first mechanism (11 steps) considers thermal NO_x alone, while the latter (23 steps) models both thermal and prompt NO_x.

Results

To date, 24 analyses have been completed, yielding flowfield and emissions information over a range of conditions.

In the first series of calculations, the Ying and Nguyen reaction mechanism was coupled with the mixing controlled combustion model and the 51 percent open area flameholder grid (Fig. 4). Lean blowoff was found to occur at equivalence ratios below 0.6, while flashback took place at ratios greater than 0.9. By comparison, Anderson found that blowoff occurred at equivalence ratios below approximately 0.4, and as data was recorded at equivalence ratios up to 1.01, it can be assumed that flashback took place only at higher ratios. Finally, the calculated NO_x levels were substantially less than those measured, e.g., approximately 25 percent low for the 0.9 equivalence ratio case shown in the figure.^{1,7}

In the next series, the chemistry treatment was the same, but the revised grid reducing the flow area to 35 percent was employed. Blowoff now took place at an equivalence ratio between 0.4 and 0.5, and flashback did not occur until the equivalence ratio exceeded 1.0 (Fig. 5). NO_x predictions did not change appreciably.

To this point, the surfaces of the flameholder had been treated as adiabatic. If the flameholder was watercooled, it might better be modeled as having a constant temperature. Two cases, with 800 and 400 K flameholder temperatures respectively, were run at an equivalence ratio of 1.0, utilizing the above chemistry treatment and the original 51 percent open area grid. At the higher temperature, there was no apparent effect, but some minor changes were noted with the 400 K flameholder (Fig. 6). In the final series of calculations, the Kundu and Deur mechanism was employed with the adiabatic, 51 percent open area flameholder. The mixing controlled combustion model was not utilized. Blowoff occurred at an equivalence ratio of 0.4, but flashback was observed at ratios above 0.7, requiring the use of a setup which artificially eliminates the phenomenon to evaluate emissions at the higher ratios. The calculated NO_x levels more closely followed the experimental values (Fig. 7).

Future Work

Work continues to explore the limits of stable combustion demonstrated in the above analyses, while maintaining the quality of the NO_x predictions obtained with the larger mechanism. Mechanism development has been hampered by a lack of experimental data providing flame propagation speeds at higher equivalence ratios. (It is not surprising that flashback began at ratios greater than 0.7, since that is where the available data stopped.) Work also continues to develop a full three-dimensional analysis, to incorporate a scalar PDF combustion/turbulence model, and to study acoustic effects on flashback.

References

¹Anderson, D. N., "Effect of Equivalence Ratio and Dwell Time on Exhaust Emissions from an Experimental Premixing/Prevaporizing Burner," NASA Lewis Research Center, NASA-TM-X-71592, Cleveland, Ohio, March 1975.

²Amsden, A. A., O'Rourke, P. J., Butler, T. D., "KIVA-II: A Computer Program for Chemically Reacting Sprays with Sprays," LA-11560-MS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, May 1989.

³Cline, M. C., Deur, J. M., Micklow, G. J., Harper, M. R., and Kundu, K. P., "Computation of the Flowfield in an Annular Gas Turbine Combustor," AIAA Paper 93-2074, June 1993.

⁴Magnussen, B. F., and Hjertager, B. H., "On Mathematical Modeling of Turbulent Combustion with Special Emphasis on Soot Formation and Combustion," *Proceedings of the 16th Symposium on Combustion*, The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1977, pp. 719-729.

⁵Ying, S. L., and Nguyen, H. L., "Numerical Simulation of Jet-A Combustion Approximated by Improved Propane Chemical Kinetics," AIAA Paper 91-1859, June 1991.

⁶Kundu, K. P., and Deur, J. M., "A Simplified NO_x Formation Mechanism for CFD Use," AIAA Paper 94-2905, June 1994.

⁷Anderson, D. N., private communications, NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio, May 1994.

<	
<	
<	
<	

Figure 1. Schematic of a Generic LPP Flame Tube.



A. Experiment (25% Open Area). B. Preliminary Analyses (51% Open Area). C. Revised Analyses (35% Open Area).

Figure 2. Experimental and Analytical Flameholder Geometries for Anderson LPP Flame Tube.



A. Preliminary Analyses (51% Open Area Flameholder Geometry).



B. Revised Analyses (35% Open Area Flameholder Geometry).

Figure 3. Axisymmetric KIVA-II Grids for Anderson LPP Flame Tube Analyses.



and the state of the

Figure 4. Typical KIVA-II Results for Anderson LPP Flame Tube Analysis (\emptyset = 0.9).



Figure 5. Equivalence Ratio Effects on Temperature Distribution in Anderson LPP Flame Tube (Revised Grid Geometry).

:

ţ



うちゅうかいで、「ないのないないないないないないないない」、「こうない」、 ちょうしょう



Figure 7. Comparison of KIVA-II Analysis Results (curves) with Anderson LPP Flame Tube Data.¹

06-19**-1995-13:40** 215 433 3954 <u>NASA LezRC BLDG 77</u>

.

. K.=04__

- -

1	TECHNICAL PUBLICA	TION REV	IEW AND A	PPROVA	L RECORD AND PRO	ocessing inf	ORMATIC	N
	Division (Org. and memo) 2700 - Propulsion Systems Division				CONTRACT PROJECT MANAGER(S) (First, middle millel, last name			PABX
	AuthOR(3) Find made have been been been been been been been be		PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (Nume and complete address)			MAL BTC		
						ROOM		
	Michael C. Kline			CONTRACT OR BRANT NO.				
	AUTHORS (OR AUTHORS) AFFILIATION (Sum			REPORT TYPE	DISTRIBUTION CATEGO			
इ	D National Research Council-					CP (High-no.) CB (Low-no.)	TASK NO,	
A No	NABA Research Associate			I TM (Ligh-no. report) D CR (High-no)			4100	
\$	D Army (USAARTA-AVSCOM)	D Army (USAARTA-AVSCOM) D Summer laquity feikow			D TM (Presentation) D CR (Presentation) RTOP NO.			-20
Ě	D NASA/ASEE faculty follow	S Offaite contractor			Journal article	ARMY REPORT NO.		
l S	E NABAUNIVERSITY E Other (Specify) U QT FL			CLASSIFICATION I BECRET D 1L161				
END	Analysis of Lean Premixed/Prevaporized						02AH45	
Т ж	Combustion With KIVA-1	. I .	,		CONFIDENTIAL D 1L18		D 1L1622	2211A47A
Ι¥								
	29th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference in Monterey, CA, on August 7-12, 1994			D Limited Distribute	n			
				FEDD (For Early Domestic Dissemination) Time limitation if otherthan 2 years ITAR (International Traffic in Arms Regulation) EAR (Export Administration Regulation) Other (See FF427)				
	AIAA	cluie heiniction	and Mats)		Form you	BISNATURE		ĵ <i>" 4 </i> 9 ·
KEY	WORDS	PARTEUP BY	COPY OF THE C	NSK REQUES S	TSID FÖR YC DNO			
						NO OF RETR RECIN	ED PAG	
					DEADLINE (For Glossies)	NO. OF BETS REQUI		ELIMIT
					DEADLINE (For Glossies) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE-	SPACED COPY OF TH	E TEXT AND A	
					DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst:	BPACED COPY OF THI	E TEXT AND A you are providi	ELIMIT DISK Ingus, Please
	REMEWS AND AP	PROVALS			DEADLINE (For Glosslee) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst: D 1. Wang VB/DIB D 2. Wang PD	BPACED COPY OF THI ministron on the disketle ;	E TEXT AND A you are provid) [] S. D	E LIMIT DISK ng us. Pieasi leplayWrte SCII
	REVIEWS AND AP	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE	NITIALS	DEADLINE (For Glosslee) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decument forms:	PACED COPY OF THI mmailon on the diskets 0 4. MultiMate 0 5. WordStar	E TEXT AND A you are providi C S. D C 7. A	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Pleas IsplayWite SCII
5	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED A/11/74	NITIALS TH	DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decument forms: 1. Wang VB/OIS 2. Wang PO 2. Wang PO 2. Wang PO 1. Wang Po 2. Wang Po 2. Wang Po 3. WangPortect NOTE: If your document was programs, please con	PACED COPY OF THI Intraction on the diskette 4. MultiMate 5. WordStar not produced on one of those f	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 S. D 0 7. A the first siz work forms or to an A	E LIMIT DIBK ng us, Pisas IsplayWite BCII d processing UBCII file,
NOUR OUT.	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week)	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/16/94	NITIALS GPB VJ-	DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decument formst: 1. Wang VB/OH D. 2. Wang PO D. 3. Wang PO D. 4. W	PACED COPY OF THI MITTAILON ON the diskette 4. MultiNutta 5. Wordstar not produced on one of inces f wert it into one of those f withor must order any vit	E TEXT AND A you are provid a 8. D 7. A the first siz work forms or to an A susta in Graphi	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please socia Socia d processing LBCII file. cs Brench +
Nous our	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 wwek) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks)	PROVALB DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/7/94	NITTALS	DEADLINE (For Glosslee) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst: I. Wang VB/OI8 D. 2. Wang PO J. Wang PO J. Wang Portect NOTE: If your document was programs, please corr IMPORTANT: A DEADLINE FOR PRINTED COPIEB	ACED COPY OF THI ATTALION ON THE diskelle 1 4. MultiMate 2 8. WordBlar not produced on one of work it into one of these f withor must order any vit PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 S. D 0 7. A the first siz wor borns or is an A suaix in Graphi S NCI. EAC	E LIMIT DISK ng us. Please SCII d processing SCII IIe. cs Branch + OF COPIES
DRA Rouse our.	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days)	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/74 5/6/94 5/6/94 6/7/94	NITTALS IN IT I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent forms:	PACED COPY OF THI Intraction on the diskette I 4. MultiMate I 5. WordStar not produced on one of those f work it into one of those f withor must order any vit PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 8. D 0 7. A the first siz work forms or to an J suals in Graphi SUAL In C. EAC	E LIMIT DISK ng us. Please soli d processing ASCII tis. cil Branch + CII Branch + DF COPIES H AUTHOR
HAL DRA Nous out	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 wsek) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days)	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 6/17/94	NITTALS IPS Uf- CmL Rec DAG	DEADLINE (For Glossler) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst: 1. Wang VB/DIS D 2. Wang PO D 3. Wang PO D 5. Wang PO D 6. Wang PO D 7.	PACED COPY OF THI ATTALION ON the disketle 0 4. MultiNate 0 8. WordStar not produced on one of those f which must order any vi PRINTING TOTAL NO. OF COPIE CT DISTRIG 0 Y	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 4. D 0 7. A the first siz work borne or to an A suais in Graphi ES NG. EAC UTION LIST TO ES (E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please soli d processing SCII d processing SCI
FUXIL DRA ROUMS OTT.	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and suithor revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days)	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/11/94	NITTALS INTAL	DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the into the decurrent forms: 1. Wang V8/OIS 2. Wang PO 2. Wang PO 3. Wang PO 4. Wang PO 5. W	PACED COPY OF THI PACED COPY OF THI Intration on the diskets I 4. Mublikata I 5. WordStar not produced on one of these f withor must order any vib PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE CT DISTRIG ICHNECAL REVIEW CO IE	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 S. D 0 7. A the first siz won brins or to an A suals in Graphi ES NCI. EAC UTION LIST TI ES (Materit EE	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please scil d processing NSCII file. cil Branch + OF COPIES H AUTHOR O BE FURNI D NO
FUML DRA Nouse out	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Army Office (Army-authored reports) Palent Counsel	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/94 5/6/94 5/6/94 6/7/94 6/7/94	NITTIALS IT Uf Cm Rec 2XG	DEADLINE (For Observer) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst: 1. Wang VB/OHB D. 2. Wang PO D.	PACED COPY OF THI Intraction on the disketle I 4. MultiMate I 5. Wordflar not produced on one of those f work it into one of those f whor must order any vit PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE CT DISTRIG I Y CHNICAL REVIEW CO	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 8. D 7. A the first siz work forms or to an A susta in Graphi ES NCI. ES C UTION LIST TT ES C Mana TT ES	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please scii d processing VSCII He. ci Brench + of COPIEB H AUTHOR O BE FURNI DIVIBION 7070
EDVAL DRA Rouse aut.	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 wwelk) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (1 wwelk) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days)	PROVALS DATE IN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 (4/17/94 (4/17/94)	NITTALS	DEADLINE (For Glossier) PLEABE BUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent forms: D 1. Wang VB/DIS D 2. Wang PO D 2. Wang PO D 3. Wang PO D 3. Wang Port Programs, please con • IMPORTANT: A DEADLINE FOR PRINTED COPIES NO. OF COPIES FOR PROJEC MANAGER TENAM CHAIR OR REVIEWER CHECKER	PACED COPY OF THI MITISION ON THE diskets 4. MultiMate 4. MultiMate 4. WordStar 5. WordS	E TEXT AND A you are providi C 4. D 0 7. A the first siz work to res or to an A to usin in Graphi ES NO.1 EAC UTION LIST TO EB (ABLETT SI EAC	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please BCII d processing SCII (le. cil Branch + OF COPIES H AUTHOR O BE FURNI D NO DIVISION 7070
	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Army Office (Army-authored reports) Palent Coursei DITING REQUESTED C EDITING WA	PROVALS DATE IN DATE IN NED (High-no. a and Journa	DATE APPROVED 4/11/74 5/6/94 5/6/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/17/94	NITTIALS IT Uf Cm Rec 276 anton The	DEADLINE (For Observer) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent forms: 1. Wang VB/OH D. 2. Wang PO D. 2.	PACED COPY OF THI PACED COPY OF THI Immailan on the disketle I 4. MultiMata I 8. WordBlar not produced on one of these f wind produced on one of these f wind produced on one of these f wind multi order any vib PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE TOTAL NO, OF COPIE I 0 Y CHNICAL REVIEW CO E	E TEXT AND A you are providi are providi 1 8. D 7. A the first siz work forms or to an A susta in Graphi ES NCL EAC UTION LIST TI EB (Addis T) ES E-	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please SCII d processing NSCII file. CII Branch • OF COPIES H AUTHOR O BE FURNI D NO DIVIBION
	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 wook) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 wooks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days)	PROVALS DATE IN DATE IN VED (High-no. 6 and Journe OR, TECHIN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 6/11/94 6/11/94 6/11/94	NITTALS IPP CmL Rec DTG DTG MATION 3	DEADLINE (For Glossler) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent formst: 1. Wang VB/OIS D 2. Wang PO D 3. WandPerfact NOTE: If your document weat programs, please con • IMPORTANT: A DEADLINE FOR PRINTED COPIES NO. OF COPIES FOR PROJEC MANAGER TE NAM OHAIR OR REVIEWER CHEONER ADVISOR	PACED COPY OF THI PACED COPY OF THI ministion on the disketle 0 4. MultiMate 0 8. WordStar not produced on one of these f which must order any vi PRINTING TOTAL NO. OF COPIE CT DISTRIG 0 Y CHARCAL REVIEW CO E E CONILY	E TEXT AND A you are providi C 4. D 7. A the first size work brives or is an J pussis in Graphi SS NG. I ES C UTION LIST TH ES C AnASTT SI C	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please BCII d processing BCII IIIe. CI Branch + OF COPIE8 H AUTHOR D BE FURNI D NO DIVIBION 7070
	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Palent Coursel EDITING REQUESTED EDITING REQUESTER EDITING REQUES	PROVALS DATE IN DATE IN NED (High-no. a and Journal OR TECHIN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/74 5/6/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/11/94 6/11/94 6/11/94	NITTIALS (TP) Uf- Cm (Reca 276 276 ation 72/2 MATION 73	DEADLINE (For Observer) PLEABE SUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the into the decurrent forms: 1. Wang VB/OI8 2. Wang PO 2. Wang PO 3. W	PACED COPY OF THI PACED COPY OF THI Immation on the disketle I 4. MultiMata I 8. WordBlar not produced on one of these f withor must order any vik PRINTING TOTAL NO, OF COPIE TOTAL NO, OF COPIE CT DISTRIG I D	E TEXT AND A you are providi are providi 7. A the first size work forms or to an A susta in Graphi S NCL EAC UTION LIST TH EB E E E E E E E E E E E E E	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please scil d processing NSCII file. cs Branch • OF COPIEB H AUTHOR O BE FURNI D NO DIVIBION
	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 wwek) TRC Chair or reviewer and author revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Ramy Office (Army-suthered reports) Patent Counsel EDITING REQUESTED EDITING REQUESTED EDITING WAR BRON OHIEP'S INITIALS	PROVALS DATE IN DATE IN NED (High-no. a and journ OR-TECHIN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/44 5/6/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 6/7/94 6/11/94 6/11/94 6/11/94	NITTALS IPP CmL Reco DTG DTG MATION 3	DEADLINE (For Observer) PLEABE BUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the inic the decurrent forms: 1. Wang VB/OIS D 2. Wang PO D 3. WandPortect NOTE: If your document weat programs, please corr • IMPORTANT: A DEADLINE FOR PRINTED COPIES NO. OF COPIES FOR PROJEC MANAGER TE NAM OHAIR OR REVIEWER ADVISOR ERVICES DIVISION US	PACED COPY OF THI Intration on the disketle I 4. MultiNate I 8. WordStar not produced on one of these f work it into one of these f whor must order any vi PRINTING TOTAL NO. OF COPIE CT DISTRIG I VICHNICAL REVIEW CO E E CONILY 39.3333	E TEXT AND A you are providi 0 8. D 0 7. A the first size work borne or is an J susta in Graphi SS NG. I ES C UTION LIST TI ES C Manattigs	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please BCII d processing BCII IIIe. CI Branch + OF COPIEB H AUTHOR D BE FURNI D NO DIVIBION 7070
	REVIEWS AND AP Division Chief Branch Chief (1 week) TRC Chair or reviewer and suithor revisions (3 weeks) Branch Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Division Chief (3 days) Chief and the comparison of the comparison Patient Counsel DITING REQUESTED C EDITING WAY SHOP CHIEF'S INITIALS	PROVALS DATE IN DATE IN NED (High-no. a and Journa OR TECHIN	DATE APPROVED 4/11/74 5/6/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/2/94 6/11/94 6/11/94	NITTIALS IT IT I I I I I I I I I I I I I	DEADLINE (For Observer) PLEABE BUPPLY A DOUBLE- We need to know about the into the decurrent forms:	PACED COPY OF THI PACED COPY OF THI Immailan on the disketle I 4. MultiMate I 8. WordBlar not produced on one of the NordBlar No. OF COPIE TOTAL NO. OF COPIE TOTAL NO. OF COPIE CONLYSS CONLYSS PRINTING TOTAL REVIEW CO IE CONLYSS	E TEXT AND A you are providi a . D 7. A the first size work forms or to an A susis in Graphi S NCL EAC UTION LIST TI EB EAC UTION LIST TI EB EAC UTION LIST TI EB EAC UTION LIST TI EB EAC EAC EAC EAC EAC EAC EAC EAC	E LIMIT DIBK ng us. Please scil d processing SCII d processing SCI

Ń

216 433 3954

PAGE.02