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# ZIP2DL: <br> An Elastic-Plastic, Large-Rotation Finite-Element Stress Analysis and Crack-Growth Simulation Program 

## 1. SUMMARY

ZIP2DL is a two-dimensional, elastic-plastic finite element program for stress analysis and crack growth simulations, developed for the NASA Langley Research Center. It has many of the salient features of the ZIP2D program [1, 2]. For example, ZIP2DL contains five material models (linearly elastic, elastic-perfectly plastic, power-law hardening, linear hardening, and multi-linear hardening models), and it can simulate mixed-mode crack growth for prescribed crack growth paths under plane stress, plane strain, and mixed state of stress conditions. Further, as an extension of ZIP2D, it also includes a number of new capabilities. The large-deformation kinematics in ZIP2DL will allow it to handle elastic problems with large strains and large rotations, and elastic-plastic problems with small strains and large rotations. Loading conditions in terms of surface traction, concentrated load, and nodal displacement can be applied with a default linear time dependence or they can be programmed according to a user-defined time dependence through a user subroutine. The restart capability of ZIP2DL will make it possible to stop the execution of the program at any time, analyze the results and/or modify execution options, and resume and continue the execution of the program.

This report includes three sections: a theoretical manual section, a user manual section, and an example manual section. In the theoretical manual section, the mathematics behind the various aspects of the program are concisely outlined. In the user manual section, a line-by-line explanation of the input data is given. In the example manual section, three types of examples are presented to demonstrate the accuracy and illustrate the usage of this program.

## 2. THEORETICAL MANUAL

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

ZIP2DL has the following capabilities:

- Stress analysis and mixed-mode stable crack growth simulation.
- Plane stress, plane strain, and mixed state of stress (plane stress with a plane strain core, useful in crack growth simulations).
- Five common material models (linearly elastic, elastic-perfectly plastic, power-law hardening, linear hardening or bi-linear, and multi-linear hardening models).
- Small-deformation (small strain and small rotation), linear, elastic analysis; largedeformation (large strain and/or large rotation), linear, elastic analysis; smalldeformation elastic-plastic analysis; and small-strain, large-rotation, elastic-plastic analysis.
- Reduced integration for mesh-locking prevention.
- Surface traction loading, nodal force loading, and nodal displacement loading.
- User subroutine for loading time-dependence definition.
- Restart file capability.

ZIP2DL is formulated with the principle of virtual work. Large deformation kinematics is described by a total Lagrangian formulation. $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ flow theory of plasticity is employed. Integration of the incremental stress-strain relationship is performed using a tangent predictor-radial return algorithm with adaptive subincrementation. An effective measure that prevents the occurrence of negative plastic flow and enhance the overall numerical accuracy and convergence is implemented. A reduced integration option is included for plane strain problems with dominant incompressible deformation. Three types of elements are available (only one type can be used for a particular problem): three-noded constant-strain triangular elements, and four- and eight-noded isoparametric quadrilateral elements. Crack growth is simulated with a nodal release procedure which is activated when a critical crack-tip-opening displacement (CTOD) fracture criterion is satisfied. Finite element equations are cast in forms consistent with either the "initial-stress"
approach (for small-deformation and small-strain, large-rotation elastic-plastic problems) or the "tangent-stiffness" approach (for small-deformation and small-strain, large-rotation elasticplastic problems, and for large-deformation elastic problems). In an "initial-stress" approach, as discussed later in detail, nonlinear terms in finite element equations due to plasticity are treated as a contribution to the force vector, while nonlinear terms due to large-deformation are treated as a contribution to the stiffness matrix. In a "tangent-stiffness" approach, on the other hand, all nonlinear terms are treated as contributions to the stiffness matrix. In either case, the finite element equations are solved with the Newton-Raphson method or its modified versions.

### 2.2 FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATIONS

This section derives two integral representations of the boundary-value problem in question based on the principle of virtual work. These two representations lead to two equivalent forms of the finite element equations and are the basis for the two solution approaches to be discussed in detail in Section 2.3.

Consider a body undergoing an arbitrary deformation. Suppose that at the current time $t$. the body occupies a domain $\Omega$ with boundary $\Gamma$ (the current configuration) and that at an initial time $t_{0}$ it occupies a domain $\Omega_{0}$ with boundary $\Gamma_{0}$ (the reference configuration). Furthermore, suppose that a material particle is described by its position $\boldsymbol{X}$ at $t_{0}$ and position $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)$ at $t$, and the displacement of the particle at $t$ relative to its position at $t_{0}$ is $\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)$, so that $\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)=\boldsymbol{X}+$ $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)$. Following conventions (e.g. [3, 4]), we now define our stress and strain measures. The deformation gradient tensor $\boldsymbol{F}$ is defined by
$F=x \bar{\nabla}=I+u \bar{\nabla}$, or $F_{i J}=\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial X_{J}}=\delta_{i J}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial X_{J}}$
where $\mathbf{I}$ is the second-order identity tensor. The Green-Lagrange strain tensor $\boldsymbol{E}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{T} \cdot F-I\right)=\frac{1}{2}[u \bar{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla} u+(\vec{\nabla} u) \cdot(u \bar{\nabla})] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where superscript " T " denotes transposition. Let $\sigma$ be the (symmetric) Cauchy stress tensor, then the symmetric second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor, $S$, can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=J F^{-1} \cdot \sigma \cdot\left(F^{-1}\right)^{T} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobian and is given by $J=\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{F}$, which is the determinant of $\boldsymbol{F}$.

The Principle of Virtual Work at time $t+\Delta t$ is given by
$\int_{\Omega_{0}} S(t+\Delta t): \delta E d \Omega_{0}=\delta W(t+\Delta t)$
where on the left-hand side is the internal virtual work stated in the reference configuration; $\delta W$ is the external virtual work done by external forces (e.g. boundary surface tractions) on $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$; and $\delta u$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{E}$ are, respectively, the virtual displacement vector and the associated virtual strain tensor. It is worth noting that $\delta \boldsymbol{u}$ and $\delta \boldsymbol{E}$ are not related to each other by simply replacing $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}$ in Eq. (2) with $\delta u$ and $\delta E$, respectively. Their relationship will be given later. To develop an iterative finite element algorithm we express the value of any quantity at time $t+\Delta t$ as the sum of its value at time $t$ and its increment at time $t$, so that we can write, for the stress tensor, displacement vector and strain tensor in the preceding equations,
$S(t+\Delta t)=S+\Delta S, u(t+\Delta t)=u+\Delta u, E(t+\Delta t)=E+\Delta E$
where the strain tensor increment $\Delta E$ is related to the displacement vector increment $\Delta u$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta E=\Delta \varepsilon+\Delta \varepsilon^{*}+\Delta \varepsilon^{* *} \\
& \Delta \varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}[(\Delta u) \vec{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla}(\Delta u)] \\
& \Delta \varepsilon^{*}=\frac{1}{2}[\vec{\nabla} u \cdot(\Delta u) \bar{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla}(\Delta u) \cdot u \bar{\nabla}],  \tag{6}\\
& \Delta \varepsilon^{* *}=\frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla}(\Delta u) \cdot(\Delta u) \vec{\nabla}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the virtual displacement vector and virtual strain tensor can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta u=\delta \Delta u, \delta E=\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}+\delta \varepsilon^{* *}, \\
& \delta \varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}[(\delta \Delta u) \bar{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla}(\delta \Delta u)], \\
& \delta \varepsilon^{*}=\frac{1}{2}[\vec{\nabla} u \cdot(\delta \Delta u) \bar{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla}(\delta \Delta u) \cdot u \bar{\nabla}],  \tag{7}\\
& \delta \varepsilon^{* *}=\frac{1}{2}[\vec{\nabla}(\Delta u) \cdot(\delta \Delta u) \bar{\nabla}+\vec{\nabla}(\delta \Delta u) \cdot(\Delta u) \bar{\nabla}]
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of Eqs. (5)-(7) into Eq. (4) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[\Delta S: \delta E+S: \delta \varepsilon^{* *}\right] d \Omega_{0}=\delta W-\int_{\Omega_{0}} S:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generalization of the Hooke's law to arbitrarily large deformation cases is given by $S=C: E \quad$ or $\quad \Delta S=C: \Delta E$
where $C$ is the conventional fourth-order elasticity tensor. To apply the theory of plasticity, we note that, when measured in the reference configuration $\Omega_{0}$, the tensors $E$ and $S$ are invariant under arbitrary rigid-body rotations. As such, they can be used to replace engineering strains and stresses in small-strain constitutive equations under small-strain, large-rotation conditions. For example, a generalization of an incremental theory of plasticity (e.g. the $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ flow theory of plasticity used in the current study) to small-strain, large-rotation cases can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta S=C:\left(\Delta E-\Delta E^{P}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \Delta S=C^{e l-p l}: \Delta E \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}^{P}$ is the plastic strain increment tensor and $\boldsymbol{C}^{e l-p l}$ is the fourth-order elastic-plastic tangent modulus tensor (see Section 2.4). An approach for elastic-plastic computation under small-strain and large-rotation conditions is to substitute the first equation in (10), move the plasticity induced nonlinear term to the right-hand side of the equation as an equivalent body force, and drop all higher-order nonlinear terms related to $\Delta \varepsilon^{* *}$ and $\delta \varepsilon^{* *}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\Delta \varepsilon+\Delta \varepsilon^{*}\right): C:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0}=\delta W-\int_{\Omega_{0}} S:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0}+\int_{\Omega_{0}} \Delta E^{P}: C:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) can be viewed as an extension of the "initial stress" method [5] to small-strain and large-rotation deformation problems and can be properly termed an initial-stress based total Lagrangian finite element formulation. The resulting nonlinear finite element matrix equation is as follows:
$[K]\{\Delta U\}=\{\Delta f\}$, where $[K]=\left[K^{1}\right]+\left[K^{2}\right],\{\Delta f\}=\left\{\Delta f^{1}\right\}+\left\{\Delta f^{2}\right\}$
where $\{\Delta U\}$ is the vector of unknown nodal displacement increments; $\left[K^{1}\right]$ is the constant stiffness matrix for a linearly elastic, small-deformation (small-strain and small-rotation) problem; $\left[K^{2}\right]$ is the contribution to the stiffness matrix from nonlinear terms due to largedeformation (large-strain and/or large rotation) kinematics, which depends on the current state of stress and deformation; $\{\Delta f\}$ is the total nodal force vector, in which $\left\{\Delta f^{\mathcal{D}}\right\}$ is a vector of unbalanced nodal forces due to external loads and interal stresses and is zero at equilibrium; and $\left\{\Delta f^{2}\right\}$ is a vector of nodal forces due to plastic strain increments and is zero for a converged solution. Alternatively, an approach based on the "tangent-stiffness" method [6] is to use the second equation in (10) and keep the plastic nonlinear term on the left-hand side of the equation to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left(\Delta \varepsilon+\Delta \varepsilon^{*}\right): C^{e l-p l}:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0}=\delta W-\int_{\Omega_{0}} S:\left(\delta \varepsilon+\delta \varepsilon^{*}\right) d \Omega_{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a classical tangent-stiffness based total Lagrangian finite element formulation. The resulting nonlinear finite element matrix equation is given by
$[K]\{\Delta U\}=\{\Delta f\}$, where $[K]=\left[K^{1}\right]+\left[K^{2}\right]+\left[K^{3}\right],\{\Delta f\}=\left\{\Delta f^{1}\right\}$
where $\left[K^{3}\right.$ ], the additional term in the total stiffness matrix $[K]$, is the contribution to the stiffness matrix due to plasticity and depends on the current stress state. All other quantities have the same meaning as those in Eq. (12). It is noted that the effect of plasticity is treated as a stiffness contribution in Eq. (14) instead of a force contribution as in Eq. (12).

Element level expressions for the stiffness matrices and force vectors in Eqs. (12) and (14) can be obtained from Eqs. (11) and (13), respectively, using standard finite element discretization procedures. In Approach I, the total element stiffness matrix can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[K]=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left[\left([B]^{T}+\left[B^{*}\right]^{T}\right)[D]\left([B]+\left[B^{*}\right]\right)+\left[B^{* *}\right]\right] d \Omega_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[B]$ is the conventional geometric matrix for small deformations; $[D]$ is the conventional linear elastic modulus matrix; $\left[B^{*}\right]$ is a nonlinear term due to large deformation and is dependent
on the current deformation; and $\left[B^{* *}\right]$ is an additional large-deformation contribution to $[K]$ and is dependent on the current stress state. The element force vectors are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{f^{1}\right\}=\int_{\Gamma_{0}}[N]^{T}\{t\} d \Gamma-\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left([B]^{T}+\left[B^{*}\right]^{T}\right)\{S\} d \Omega_{0} \\
& \left\{f^{2}\right\}=\int_{\Omega_{0}}\left([B]^{T}+\left[B^{*}\right]^{T}\right)[D]\left\{\Delta E^{P}\right\} d \Omega_{0} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $[N]$ is the conventional shape function matrix; $\{t\}$ is the conventional element boundary surrace traction vector; and $\{S\}$ and $\left\{\Delta E^{P}\right\}$ are the corresponding vector forms of the stress tensor, $\boldsymbol{S}$, and the plastic strain tensor, $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}^{P}$, respectively. It is noted that the surface traction term in Eqs. (16) is integrated over the element boundary $\Gamma_{0}$ of the reference configuration $\Omega_{0}$. This is correct for all small-deformation problems. It is correct for large deformation problems only if both the magnitude and direction of the loads are independent of the deformation of the element, otherwise $\Gamma_{0}$ must be replaced by $\Gamma$ of the current configuration $\Omega$. Currently Eqs. (16) is used in ZIP2DL, which will allow the application of traction loads in terms of concentrated forces. However, pressure loading is not currently permitted under large-deformation conditions.

In Approach II, the total element stiffness matrix is obtained from Eq. (15) by simply replacing $[D]$ with its counterpart, $\left[D^{e l-p l}\right]$, for elastic-plastic problems. The element force vector $\left\{f^{1}\right\}$ is the same as that in Eqs. (16), while the force vector $\left\{f^{2}\right\}$ disappears in Approach II.

Standard Gauss quadrature is used to integrate all stiffness matrices and force vectors. A reduced integration option is provided for plane strain problems where mesh locking may occur when Poisson's ration is close or equal to 0.5 or when incompressible plastic strains dominate elastic strains. This option is recommended when eight-noded quadrilateral elements are used in plane strain, in which case the reduced integration requires the use of 2 by 2 Gauss points.

### 2.3 FINITE ELEMENT SOLUTION METHODS

The resulting nonlinear finite element equations, Eq. (12) or (14), will be solved iteratively using the standard or modified Newton-Raphson method [4]. When Eq. (12) is used, it
is called Approach I in ZIP2DL, in which case the effect of plasticity is taken into account by treating it as an additional body force, leading to a modified force vector. On the other hand, when Eq. (14) is used, it is called Approach II, in which case plasticity modifies the stiffness matrix instead of the force vector. When the standard Newton-Raphson method is used, the total stiffness matrix $[K]$ in either of the two approaches is updated in every iteration, while when a modified Newton-Raphson method is used the stiffness matrix is updated less frequently. It is noted that when Approach I is used for small-strain, small-rotation problems, $\left[K^{2}\right]$ will disappear from Eq. (12), and $[K]$ will be a constant, hence $[K]$ does not need to be updated at all.

Equation (12) or (14) is solved one load step (defined in ZIP2DL as a time step) at a time. This solution procedure is started by setting the initial stress state to zero at the the beginning of the first load step and by setting the plastic strain increment to zero at the beginning of all load steps. A converged solution for each load step is obtained iteratively as follows: (a) At the start of every iteration, evaluate $[K]$ (if it needs to be updated) and $\{\Delta f\}$ based on the current loading, stress state and plastic strain increment (see Eqs. (15) and (16)); (b) Solve Eq. (12) or (14) for the displacement increment $\{\Delta U\}$ and update the displacement; (c) Calculate strain increment and update the strain; (d) Integrate the incremental stress-strain relations to obtain increments for stress and effective plastic strain; (e) Update stress, effective plastic strain and flow stress; (f) Check convergence; and (g) If convergence is reached, go to the next load step (or stop if this is the last load step), otherwise go to the next iteration by repeating the above procedure.

The plastic strain increment is used in step (a) only if Approach I is used, that is, only if the effect of plasticity is treated as an equivalent body force in the finite element equations in the form of a contribution to the force vector $\{\Delta f\}$. Because the plastic strain increment is unknown at the beginning of an iteration, it must be approximated. For example, the difference between the plastic strain at the beginning of the current iteration and the plastic strain at the beginning of the preceding iteration can be used for this purpose. However, a faster convergence is often achieved if the plastic strain increment is approximated by the plastic strain difference multiplied with a positive coefficient. This coefficient is called the acceleration multiplier, which is usually
smaller than unity. At the moment, there is no formula that will automatically give the optimal value for this multiplier. Hence, this option is recommended for advanced users only.

Two different stress integration algorithms are made available. In the "path-dependent" algorithm, the strain increment in step (c) is the increment from the preceding iteration to the current iteration. Hence stress is integrated from the stress level of the preceding iteration to the current iteration. In the "path-independent" algorithm, the strain increment in step (c) is the total accumulated strain increment for the current load step. Hence stress is integrated from the stress level at the end of the last load step to the current iteration of the current load step. In general, solutions obtained with the path-independent algorithm is much more accurate than those with the path-dependent algorithm, hence larger load steps can be used with the former. The drawback of the path-independent algorithm is that it may take much more iterations to reach convergence unless a proper acceleration multiplier is used for the plastic strain increment.

Convergence of the iterative solution procedure for each load step is monitored and controlled by two error indicators. The first indicator is the ratio between the norm of $\{\Delta f\}$ (the out-of-balance force) at the current iteration to the value of the norm at the first iteration of the load step. The second indicator is the ratio between the inner product (the energy increment) of $\{\Delta f\}$ and $\{\Delta U\}$ at the current iteration and to the value of the inner product at the first iteration of the load step. Convergence is reached when the values of both error indicators are smaller than a user defined error tolerance denoted by TOL. It is worth noting that the force vectors in Eqs. (16) will each approach zero as convergence is reached.

### 2.4 ELASTIC-PLASTIC COMPUTATION ALGORITHMS

It is assumed in ZIP2DL that elastic-plastic materials obey the $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ flow theory of plasticity, i.e., the von Mises yield criterion and the associated flow rule. Strain hardening is assumed to be isotropic. For brevity, discussions below use tensor notation and are for three-dimensional problems. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the stress tensor, then the von Mises yield criterion (the yield condition) can be written in terms of its deviatoric portion, $\mathbf{S}^{*}$, as
$F\left(\sigma, \varepsilon_{p}\right) \equiv \frac{3}{2} S^{*}: S^{*}-\sigma^{2}\left(\varepsilon_{p}\right)=0$
where $F$ is usually called the yield function and $F=0$ represents the current yield surface, and $\sigma$ is the current flow (yield) stress which depends on the effective plastic strain $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$. The associated flow rule is given by
$d \varepsilon^{p}=d \lambda S^{*}$
where $d \varepsilon^{\mathrm{p}}$ is the plastic strain increment tensor. The plastic multiplier, $d \lambda$, must be nonnegative. Computationally, however, $d \lambda$ may become negative if flawed algorithms are used. This numerical phenomenon is called "negative plastic flow" and may cause severe convergence problems, especially for crack growth simulations that employ refined near-crack-tip meshes. As discussed in detail later, this problem is now clearly understood and a simple algorithm has been developed by Deng and Rosakis [7] that will prevent negative plastic flow from occurring.

The flow stress in Eq. (17) equals the initial yield stress (a constant) for elastic-perfectly plastic solids, and it is a function of the current effective plastic strain, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$, for strain hardening materials, where $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{p}=\int d \varepsilon_{p}, \text { where } d \varepsilon_{p}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} d \varepsilon^{p}: d \varepsilon^{p}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (17)-(19) can be used to derive a relation between the effective plastic strain increment $d \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $d \lambda$, which is given by
$d \varepsilon^{p}=\frac{2}{3} \sigma d \lambda$
which implies that once $d \lambda$ is caculated, $d \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ can be evaluated and added to $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ to update $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$, which then can be used to update $\sigma$ through its dependence on $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$. Equation (20) also tells that when $d \lambda$ is negative, so is $d \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ (hence the name "negative plastic flow"), which makes $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ decreases and is physically wrong, because plastic deformation is irriversible and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ can not be decreased.

The dependence of the flow stress $\sigma$ on the effective plastic strain $\varepsilon_{p}$ is usually determined from uniaxial tension tests, in which case $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=\varepsilon-\sigma / E$, where $\varepsilon$ is the total normal strain
and $E$ is the Young's modulus. Five types of material stress-strain models are available in ZIP2DL to approximate test data. They are:
(a) linearly elastic model, in which no yielding will occur.
(b) elastic-perfectly plastic model, in which $\sigma=\sigma_{0}$, the initial yield stress (also used in models below), and is a constant.
(c) power-law hardening model, in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{p}=\frac{\sigma_{0}}{E}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{0}}\right)^{n}-\frac{\sigma}{E} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(n>1)$ is the power-law hardening exponent.
(d) linear hardening (bi-linear) model, in which the stress-strain curve is composed of an elastic line segment and a plastic line segment and the flow stress in the plastic line segment is $\sigma=\sigma_{0}+\frac{\alpha E \varepsilon_{p}}{1-\alpha}$
where $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ is the linear hardening parameter, and is defined as the ratio between the slope (tangent modulus, $E_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) of the plastic line and that (Young's modulus, $E$ ) of the elastic line. When $\alpha=0$ it is equivalent to the elastic-perfectly plastic model.
(e) multi-linear hardening model, in which the stress-strain curve is approximated by a piece-wise linear curve, consisting of an elastic line segment and a number of plastic line segments. For plastic flow in the $J$-th plastic line segment, suppose the stress and strain at the lower end (the first end) of the segment is ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{J}-1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{J}-1}$ ) and those at the higher end (the second end) of the segment is ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{J}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{J}}$ ), then the flow stress is given by
$\sigma=\frac{\sigma_{J-1}}{1-\alpha_{J}}+\frac{\alpha_{J} E}{1-\alpha_{J}}\left(\varepsilon_{p}-\varepsilon_{J-1}\right)$
where $\alpha_{\mathrm{J}}=E_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{J} / E$, with $E_{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{J}}$ being the tangent modulus of the $J$-th plastic line segment, given by
$E_{t J}=\frac{\sigma_{J}-\sigma_{J-1}}{\varepsilon_{J}-\varepsilon_{J-1}}$
In general, $0 \leq \alpha_{3}<1$, where the zero value is used only for the last plastic line segment and only when it has a slope of zero.

For continued plastic deformation, Eq. (17) must be satisifed at all times, which requires that its differential must also be true at all times, leading to the following consistency condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 S^{*}: d S^{*}=2 \sigma \frac{d \sigma}{d \varepsilon_{p}} d \varepsilon_{p} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The consistency condition assures the continued satisfaction of the yield condition during an continuous plastic deformation if the yield condition is satisfied initially. It is noted that the consistency condition based on Eq. (25) is exact if the increments are infinitesimal and is called here the first-order interpretation of the consistency condition. In finite element computations, however, the stress and strain increments are not infinitesimal. Consequently, the yield condition at the end of a finite load step will not, in general, be satisfied. Conventionally, a radial return algorithm [11, 12] is employed to pull the stress state back to the yield surface. Alternatively, a higher-order interpretation of the consistency condition [13] can be used, in which Eq. (25) is improved by considering the effect of finite stress and strain increments. For elastic-perfectly plastic and linear hardening materials, the yield condition will be exactly satisfied at the end of a finite load step if the improved consistency condition is used. For other hardening materials, the yield condition can also be better satisfied.

For the purpose of discussion, the tensorial form of the tangent-stiffness based incremental stress-strain relations used in Eq. (10) are listed below

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S=C^{e l-p l}: d \varepsilon, \text { where } C^{e l-p l}=\frac{\left(C: S^{*}\right) \otimes\left(C: S^{*}\right)}{\frac{2}{3} \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{d \sigma}{d \varepsilon_{p}}+2 \mu\right)} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\otimes$ is the tensor product symbol and $\mu$, the shear modulus, and $d \lambda$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda=\frac{2 \mu S^{*}: d \varepsilon}{\frac{2}{3} \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} \frac{d \sigma}{d \varepsilon_{p}}+2 \mu\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, once a strain increment $d \varepsilon$ is calculated from a load step, the stress increment tensor $d S$ and the plastic multiplier $d \lambda$ (hence the plastic strain increment tensor, from Eq. (18), and the effective plastic strain increment, from Eq. (20)) can be readily obtained.

As discussed earlier, $d \lambda$ must be nonnegative to be correct, but it can be computationally negative when evaluated from Eq. (27). The cause of this problem is that when a flawed algorithm is used to integrate stresses from strain increments (e.g. [14]), the inner product $S^{*}: d \varepsilon$ may become negative. As pointed out in [7], for methods not based on the tangent stiffness, negative plastic flow will not occur but an associated error will still exist without notice. The source of this and associated problems has been identified and a simple and effective remedy has been suggested [7] to improve existing algorithms.

A tangent-stiffness based stress integration algorithm, with the the remedy for preventing negative plastic flow, has been implemented in ZIP2DL. It combines tangent stiffness with radial return, and is called the tangent predictor-radial return method $[15,16]$. This method can be outlined as follows.

Suppose $\mathbf{S}$ is the current stress state, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the current effective plastic strain and $\sigma$ is the current flow stress, and suppose we wish to integrate a strain increment $\Delta \varepsilon$ to obtain a new stress state. First, assuming elastic behavior, a trial stress increment $\Delta \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}=C: \Delta \varepsilon$ and a trial stress state $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{S}+\Delta \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ are calculated. The trial stress state is then tested in the yield function $F\left(\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$. If $F<0$, the elastic assumption is valid and $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the new stress state. Otherwise the strain increment is partly in an elastic path and partly in a plastic path. The transition point, which represents a stress state in contact with the current yield surface, can be denoted by scalar $R \in[0,1]$ and determined from $F\left(\mathbf{S}+R \Delta \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=0$. The contact stress state is then $\mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{S}+R \Delta \mathbf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and lies exactly on the current yield surface. Compute the deviatoric stress tensor $\mathbf{S}^{*}$ from $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{C}}$ and use (1-R) $\Delta \varepsilon$ as the strain increment. Plug in these quantities into Eq. (26) to obtain the stress increment $\Delta S$ relative to $S^{C}$, and into Eq. (27) to obtain $\Delta \lambda$. Calculate $\Delta \varepsilon_{p}$ from Eq. (20) and add $\Delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ to $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ to update $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$, then update $\sigma$ using its dependence on $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$. Add $\Delta \mathbf{S}$ to $\mathbf{S}^{C}$ to form the new stress state $\mathbf{S}$ (tangent prediction). Test $\mathbf{S}$ in $F\left(\mathbf{S}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ with updated values for $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\sigma$. If $F \neq 0$ then radial return is performed to scale $\mathbf{S}$ proportionally so that $F=0$.

To improve accuracy, subincrementation strategies are generally used to divide the portion of the strain increment that is in the plastic path into smaller increments (subincrements) and perform the above stress integration procedure for each of the subincrements in series. Two subincrementation options are available in ZIP2DL. One is based on a simple estimate using stresses, and the other based on a more elaborate scheme using strains. Usually the strain based estimate works better. In each case, a small positive number, TOLM (see Data Line 3 for the general input file zip2dl.in in the user manual), is used to make this estimate.

### 2.5 CRACK-GROWTH SIMULATION TECHNIQUES

ZIP2DL can be used for mixed-mode crack growth simulations with arbitrary crack growth paths. However, it cannot be used to predict the direction of crack growth; rather it asks the user to provide the crack growth path as input. As in ZIP2D, cracks are identified by finite element node pairs along crack faces. A node pair consists of two finite element nodes which reside on the opposite sides of the crack faces. These two nodes will occupy the same spatial location if the crack is sharp (e.g. fatigue cracks) or separated by the gap between the crack faces if the crack has a finite thickness (e.g. notch cracks). To facilitate crack growth simulation, "crack paths" are introduced in ZIP2DL to represent the original cracks plus their possible paths of extension. Similarly, these crack paths are identified by node pairs along these paths. If a node pair is on the unbroken portion of a crack path, then the two nodes of the pair will have the same coordinates, and they will be tied (bonded) together by two mutually perpendicular rigid springs to prevent them from separation before the crack cuts through them and breaks the bond.

Crack growth simulation is achieved through a crack-tip nodal release procedure that "unzips" the crack-tip node pair when a specified fracture criterion is satisifed. In ZIP2DL, the fracture criterion is checked at the end of every loading step and at the end of every crack growth step. When the criterion is met, the crack will advance one step along the crack growth path, as defined by the crack path. This is achieved by reducing the stiffness of the two rigid springs (that tie the crack-tip node pair together) to zero in a number of iterations, at the end of which the crack will have grown along the growth path by an amount equal to the size of the element ahead
of the crack tip. An alternative way of breaking the crack-tip node pair is to replace the springs for the node pair with the forces in the springs and reduce the forces to zero in a number of iterations. This second approach is used in ZIP2D, but is not currently implemented in ZIP2DL.
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## 3. USER MANUAL

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

The finite element formulations discussed in the theoretical manual have been implemented in ZIP2DL under plane stress, plane strain and mixed state of stress conditions. Mixed state of stress analysis is often performed in crack growth simulations, in which planestrain conditions are imposed around the crack tip while plane-stress conditions are imposed elsewhere. Standard FORTRAN 77 computer language is used.

Conventional four- and eight-noded isoparametric quadrilateral elements and three-noded constant-strain triangular elements are provided (currently only one type of elements can be used for a particular problem). At the element level, element nodes must be numbered sequentially and in a counterclockwise manner.

Loading can be applied through surface tractions on element sides, concentrated forces or displacements at nodes, and special body forces as defined in the input. Currently the surface tractions must be independent of deformation for large-deformation problems. A reference magnitude and a time dependence is required as input for each load, so that the load at each time (load) step is given by MAGNITUDE*TIME-DEPENDENCE-FUNCTION-VALUE. Linear dependence is the default time dependence. A user subroutine, SUBROUTINE FTIME, is available to define custom time dependence functions for all loads. It is currently assumed that all surface tractions follow one time dependence, and all body forces follow another time dependence. However, concentrated forces and nodal displacements can each have their own custom time dependence.

Five types of material models are available: (1) linearly elastic, (2) elastic-perfectly plastic, (3) power-law hardening, (4) linear hardening (bi-linear), and (5) mutli-linear hardening. Model (5) is the most flexiable one, in which the effective stress-strain curve is represented by line segments. The first line segment (elastic line segment) describes the elastic behavior and all
other line segments (plastic line segments) describe elastic-plastic behavior. Hence Model (5) is often used to approximate actual effective stress-strain curves obtained from uniaxial tension tests. It is noted that model (4) is a special case of model (5).

Multiple cracks can be modeled and their growth simulated, provided that the crack growth paths are specified as input. A crack path is defined as the original crack plus its possible extension. Each crack path is identified by finite element node pairs along the crack path, and the crack tip must be located at one of the node pairs. Currently there are no crack link-up algorithms in ZIP2DL. Therefore the results are unpredictable when two cracks grow near each other and link up.

As can be seen from the line-by-line input explanation in Section 3.6, there are many job control options available in ZIP2DL. Some of the options are intended for advanced users and many can be used by all users. To assist beginners, recommended input values are given whenever appropriate. It is a good idea to try out the example problems provided in Section 4 first.

### 3.2 PROGRAM SIZE CONTROL

Program size is controlled by parameter MTOT, which is the length of an unnamed common block, COMMON A(MTOT), that allocates storage for most of the arrays in ZIP2DL. When cracks are involved, parameter MTOTCK is used to control a named common block, COMMON /CRACK1/B(MTOTCK), that allocates storage for arrays associated with cracks.

ZIP2DL will automatically check to see if the available computer storage (memory) initially set in the above two common blocks in ZIP2DL is larger than the required storage for the problem to be solved. When the available storage is smaller than the required storage, an error message will be written to the output file zip2dl.out, along with the required storage. The user must then increase the values for MTOT and/or MTOTCK and recompile ZIP2DL.

Double precision is used in all subroutines through the IMPLICIT statement. When single precision is preferred (e.g. for execution on CRAY supercomputers), this statement must be modified and parameter IPREC must be set to 1 , so that array storage can be properly allocated.

### 3.3 INPUT DATA FILES

There are four input data files: zip2dl.in, zip2dl.msh, zip2dl.rs1, zip2dl.rs2 (note that the input as well as output file names must be in lower case in a unix environment).
zip2dl.in is the general input data file. It contains everything needed to run ZIP2DL except finite element mesh information. zip2dl.msh is the mesh input file. It contains nodal coordinates and element connectivities. These two files are the only two necessary when solutions to be obtained are started from zero loads.
zip2dl.rs1 and zip2dl.rs2 are restart files that are needed only when solutions to be obtained are based on those of a previous time step. These files contain complete solution information for a previous time step. In particular, zip2dl.rs1 contains data that do not change from one time step to another (hence they are "time-independent"), while zip2dl.rs2 contains data that may change from one step to another (hence they are "time-dependent"). When these two files are used, the general input file, zip2dl.in, must still be present because job control parameters are modified in zip2dl.in.

### 3.4 OUTPUT DATA FILES

There are five output data files: zip2dl.out, zip2dl.dat, zip2dl.pls, zip2dl.msg, zip2dl.rs1, zip2dl.rs2. The last two are the restart data files discussed in the previous section. While zip2dl.rs1 is generated only once, zip2dl.rs2 is updated at the end of every converged time step and just before the crack-tip advances, or updated as instructed in the input file.
zip2dl.out is the general output data file. It contains echos to the input data, storage information, job-control warning messages, crack growth data, concise stress and strain solutions for user selected elements, and displacement solutions for user selected nodes. Echos to mesh input data can be suppressed by the user by setting the job control parameter NPRNT to 0 or -1 .
zip2dl.dat supplements zip2dl.out by listing detailed stress and strain solutions for user selected elements. zip2dl.pls supplements zip2dl.out by collecting the coordinates of all yielded Guass points, outlining the plastic zone.
zip2dl.msg is the output file that contains the run-time messages not included in zip2dl.out. Among them are loading status messages, iteration convergence status at each time step, current and total number of iterations, and the number of times that [ $K$ ] is updated. Most run-time messages can be suppressed by setting the job control parameter NPRNT to 0 .

### 3.5 LINE-BY-LINE INPUT EXPLANATION

GENERAL INPUT FILE: zip2dl.in

## Data Line 1: TITLE

Brief problem description.

TITLE $=$ A brief description of the problem being solved. TITLE can be up to 80 characters long but the first character must be blank.

Data Line 2: NSTART, NSGEN, MODE, IRI, IUPD, IAPP, NPRNT, NGELE, NGNOD, MSD, NODTOT

General job control parameters.

| NSTART | $=0$ $=1$ $=2$ | Used without restart files zip2dl.rs1 and zip2dl.rs2; <br> Used with restart files to obtain solutions for later time steps; <br> Parameters TB, TE, DT (see Data Line 3) must be set properly; <br> Used with restart files to obtain solutions for later time steps; TE, DT must be set properly (TB will be set by the program); <br> Or used with re-start files to improve a converged solution (e.g. with a smaller TOL value); TE must be set to the time when the converged solution was obtained (TB and DT will be set by the program). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NSGEN | $=0$ $>0$ | Generate restart files only at the end of the job; <br> Generate restart files at the end of the first load step, then every NSGEN steps, and the last load step. That is, generate retart files at the end of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{nd}, 3 \mathrm{rd}, \ldots$ and last load step if NSGEN= 1 ; at the end of the 1 st, 3 rd, 5 th, $\ldots$ and last load step if NSGEN $=2$; at the end of the $1 \mathrm{st}, 4$ th, 7 th, $\ldots$ and last load step if NSGEN $=3$; and so on; |
| MODE | $\begin{aligned} & =0 \\ & =1 \\ & =2 \\ & =3 \\ & =4 \end{aligned}$ | Perform data checking only; no FEM solution; <br> Perform small-deformation, linear, elastic analysis; <br> Perform large-deformation, linear, elastic analysis; <br> Perform small-deformation, elastic-plastic analysis; <br> Perform small-strain, large-rotation, elast-plastic analysis. |
| IRI | $=0$ $=1$ | No reduced integration; <br> Recommended in general; <br> Perform reduced integration; <br> Recommended for 8-noded elements in plane strain. |
| IUPD | $<0$ | $[\mathrm{K}]$ is updated at the first iteration and all those iterations of a time step whose number minus 1 is a multiple of IUPD; <br> For example, $[\mathrm{K}]$ is updated <br> at the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{nd}, 3 \mathrm{rd}, \ldots$, iterations if IUPD $=-1$; <br> at the $1 \mathrm{st}, 3 \mathrm{rd}, 5$ th, $\ldots$, iterations if IUPD $=-2$; |

at the 1st, 4th, 7th, ..., iterations if IUPD= -3 ; and so on;
$I U P D=-1$ is recommended when $[K]$ must be updated.
$=0 \quad[\mathrm{~K}]$ is not updated at all (alway use the initial $[\mathrm{K}]$ );
Recommended when $M O D E=1$ or 3 with $I A P P=1$;
$>0 \quad[\mathrm{~K}]$ is updated at the first IUPD iterations for each load step;
For example, [K] is updated
at the 1 st iteration if IUPD $=1$;
at the 1 st and 2 nd iterations if IUPD $=2$;
at the $1 \mathrm{st}, 2 \mathrm{nd}$, and 3 rd iterations if IUPD $=3$;
and so on.

| IAPP | $=1$ | Approach I, the effect of plastic strain increment is taken into account with an equivalent body force; Recommended for small-deformation problems; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $=2$ | APPROACH II, the effect of plastic strain increment is taken into account with a contribution to the stiffness matrix [K]; Recommended for large-deformation problems. |
| NPRNT | $=-1$ | Write run-time messages and final solution to output; $N P R N T=-1$ is generally recommended; |
|  | $=0$ | Write only final solution to output; |
|  | $=1$ | Write element and node information, run-time messages and final solution to output. |
| NGELE | = | Number of element groups ( $\mathrm{NGELE}<=100$ ) <br> for which stress and strain output will be written; |
|  | $=0$ | Stress and strain output will be written for all elements; |
| NGNOD | $=$ | Number of node groups ( $\mathrm{NGNOD}<=100$ ) for which displacement output will be written; |
|  | $=0$ | Displacement output will be written for all nodes. |
| MSD | $=$ | Number of cracks ( $0,1,2$, etc.) |
| NODTOT | = | Total number of node pairs of all the crack paths. |

NOTES: (1) When NSTART=1 is used to obtain solutions for later time steps, the calculation will start at the time specified by TB (see Data Line 3); When NSTART=2 is used, the calculation will start at the time when the restart files were generated.
(2) Reduced integration is recommended for plane strain problems with Poisson's ratio $=0.5$ or with dominant incompressible plasticity; Currently available only for 8 -noded quadrilateral elements.

## Data Line 3: TB, TE, DT, TOL, TOLM, TOLP, TOLY, NSUB

Time step and tolerance control parameters.
$\mathrm{TB}, \mathrm{TE} \quad=\quad$ Beginning and ending time, respectively.
DT $\quad=\quad$ Time increment (it must be nonzero).
TOL $=$ Tolerance (say 1.0E-6) for testing iteration convergence; Smaller TOL values require more iterations for convergence.
TOLM $\quad=\quad$ Small positive value (say 1.0E-4) for determining strain subincrementation number during an elastic-plastic loading; Smaller TOLM values require more computer CPU time.
TOLP $=$ Tolerance (say 1.0E-8) for testing negative plastic flow.
TOLY $\quad=\quad$ Tolerance (say 1.0E-8) for testing yielding condition;
Small TOLY values may lead to "divide by zero" errors.
NSUB $\quad=\quad$ Allowable number (say 100) of iterations for each time step;
Small NSUB values may lead to premature program termination.

Data Line 4: NUMN, NUMEL, NUMAT, NUMPW, NUMBL, NUMML, NUMSG, MN, NRST, IOPT

Mesh and material/geometry set control parameters.

| NUMN | = | Total number of nodes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMEL | $=$ | Total number of elements. |
| NUMAT | $=$ | Total number of material/geometry sets. |
| NUMPW | $=$ | Total number of power-law hardening material sets. |
| NUMBL | $=$ | Total number of bi-linear (linear hardening) material sets. |
| NUMML | $=$ | Total number of multi-linear hardening material sets. |
| NUMSG | $=$ | Total number of plastic line segments of all multi-linear material sets. For example, if we have two materials, one with 3 plastic line segments and the other with 6 segments, then $\mathrm{NUMSG}=3+6=9$. |
| MN | $=3$ $=4$ $=8$ | Mesh is composed of all 3-noded triangular elements; <br> Mesh is composed of all 4-noded quadrilateral elements; <br> Mesh is composed of all 8-noded quadrilateral elements. |
| NRST | $=1$ $=2$ $=3$ | Use 1X1 Gauss quadrature (Mainly for 3-noded elements); Use 2X2 Gauss quadrature (Quadrilateral elements only); Use 3X3 Gauss quadrature (Quadrilateral elements only). |
| IOPT | $=0$ $=1$ $=-1$ $=-2$ | Plane strain calculation; <br> Plane stress calculation; <br> Plane stress with a fixed plane strain core; <br> Plane stress with a moving plane strain core (Currently not available). |

## Data Line 5: NUMLD, NUMSS, NBODY, NDISP

## Boundary condition control parameters.

NUMLD $=$ Total number of degrees of freedom (DOFs) with specified nodal loads.

NUMSS $\quad=\quad$ Total number of element sides with specified tractions.
NBODY $=0$ Body forces are absent;
$=1 \quad$ Body forces are present.
NDISP $\quad=0 \quad$ No nonzero displacement is specified at any node;
$=1$ Nonzero displacements are specified at some nodes.

Data Line 6: NNC, NMC, NPD, NRR, ACC

Control parameters for plastic-flow factor calculation.

| NNC | $=0$ | Use a first-order interpretation of the consistency condition for conventional plastic-flow factor calculation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $=1$ | Use a higher-order interpretation of the consistency condition for enhanced plastic-flow factor calculation. |
| NMC | $=0$ | Use a stress-based, simple estimate for the subincrement number in plastic strain calculation; |
|  | $=1$ | Use a strain-based, elaborate estimate for the subincrementation number in plastic strain calculation; |
| NPD | $=0$ | Use "path-dependent" stress integration algorithm; |
|  | $=1$ | Use "path-independent" stress integration algorithm; |
| NRR | $=0$ | Do not use "radial-return" stress integration algorithm; |
|  | $=1$ | Use "radial-return" stress integration algorithm. |
| ACC | = | Scalar multiplier for plastic strain increments, used to |
|  |  | accelerate numerical convergence when IAPP $=1$. |
|  |  | It is generally recommended for beginners to use |
|  |  | $N N C=0, N M C=1, N P D=0, N R R=1, A C C=1.0$. |

## Data Line 7: ESPR, NGRW, NLMT, NCRI, LCRI, NRLX, CTOD

Crack growth control parameters;
Skip this line if $M S D=0$.

| ESPR | = | Rigid spring stiffness, equals the maximum Young's modulus of all materials multiplied by, say $1.0 \mathrm{E}+8$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGRW | $=0$ | Cracks are stationary (no crack growth); |
|  | $=1$ | Cracks will grow until the maximum load is reached; |
|  | $=2$ | Cracks will grow until the maximum crack growth step number, NLMT, is reached by any of the cracks. |
| NLMT | = | Allowable number of crack growth steps for any crack. |
| NCRI | $=1$ | Critical CTOD criterion (currently only criterion available). |
| LCRI | $=$ | Integer used for selecting location of fracture criterion evaluation; |
|  | $<0$ | Fracture criterion is checked at the LCRI-th node behind the crack-tip (e.g. for criteria based on critical crack-tip-opening displacement behind the crack tip). |
|  | $=0$ | Fracture criterion is checked at the crack tip (e.g for SIF and energy release rate criteria, currently not available); |
|  | $>0$ | Fracture criterion is checked at the LCRI-th node ahead of the crack-tip (e.g. for criteria based on stresses and/or strains in front of the crack tip, currently not available). |
| NRLX | = | Number of iterations ( 3 is recommended) used to relax (release) a crack-tip node (rigid spring) when criterion for crack growth is satisfied. |
| CTOD | $=$ | Critical crack-tip-opening displacement value. |
| NOTES: | If div used, and r with | rgence occurs after a nodal release when NRLX=3 is do the following: (a) stop the program; (b) save (copy name) the output and re-start files; (c) re-start the program START=2 and a larger value for NRLX (say 5). |

## Data Line 8: $\operatorname{NELE}(1), \operatorname{NELE}(2)$, NELE (3)

Element groups for which stress and strain output will be written;

Currently limited to 100 groups (see COMMON /OUTPUT/ in the source code);
Repeat sequentially (DO I=1,NGELE);
Skip this line if $N G E L E=0$.

NELE(1) $=$ First element number for this element group.
$\operatorname{NELE}(2)=$ Last element number for this element group.
$\operatorname{NELE}(3)=$ Element number increment for this element group.

## Data Line 9: $\quad$ NNOD(1), NNOD(2), NNOD(3)

Node groups for which displacement output will be written;
Currently limited to 100 groups (see COMMON /OUTPUT/ in the source code);
Repeat sequentially ( $D O I=1, N G N O D$ );
Skip this line if $N G N O D=0$.

NNOD(1) $=$ First node number for this node group.
NNOD(2) $=$ Last node number for this node group.
$\mathrm{NNOD}(3)=$ Node number increment for this node group.

## Data Line 10: POIS, WT, THK, YM, SYI, MPAR(1)

Material/Geometry set data;
Repeat this line sequentially (DO $1=1, N U M A T$ ).

| POIS | $=\quad$ Poisson's ratio for the Ith material/geometry set. |
| :--- | :--- |
| WT | $=$ Mass density for the Ith set, used only with body forces. |
| THK | $=$ Plate thickness for the Ith set. |
| YM | $=$ Young's modulus for the Ith set. |
| SYI | $=\quad$ Initial yield stress for the Ith set. |
| $\operatorname{MPAR}(1)$ | $=-2 \quad$ Linearly elastic material model; |

=-1 Elastic-perfectly plastic material model;
$=0 \quad$ Power-law hardening material model;
$=1$ Bi-linear material model;
$\geq 2$ Multi-linear material model, where MPAR(1) is the number of plastic line segments in this model.

## Data Line 11: M, HPAR

Hardening parameters for power-law material sets;
Repeat this line ( $D O I=1, N U M P W$ ) for every set in this group;
Skip this line if $N U M P W=0$.
$\mathrm{M} \quad=\quad$ Material set number (integer 1,2 or etc.).
HPAR $\quad=\quad$ Exponent $(\mathrm{n})$ for power-law hardening material set.

## Data Line 12: $\quad$ M, HPAR

Hardening parameters for bi-linear (linear hardening) material sets;
Repeat this line (DO I=1,NUMBL) for every set in this group;
Skip this line if $N U M B L=0$.
$\mathrm{M} \quad=\quad$ Material set number (integer 1,2 or etc.).
HPAR $\quad=\quad$ Ratio of the slope (tangent modulus) of the plastic line segment to that (Young's modulus) of the elastic line segment ( $0<=\mathrm{HPAR}<1$ ).

Data Line 13: $\mathrm{M},(\operatorname{HPAR}(2 * \mathrm{~J}-1), \operatorname{HPAR}(2 * \mathrm{~J}), \mathrm{J}=1, \operatorname{MPAR}(1)-1), \operatorname{HPAR}(2 * \operatorname{MPAR}(1)-1)$

Hardening parameters for multi-linear hardening material sets;
Repeat this line (DO I=1,NUMML) for every set in this group;
Skip this line if $N U M M L=0$.
$\mathrm{M} \quad=\quad$ Material set number (integer 1, 2 or etc.).
$\operatorname{MPAR}(1)=$ Number of plastic line segments; Input in Data Line 10.
$\operatorname{HPAR}(2 * J-1)=\quad$ Stress at the end of the Jth plastic line segment.
$\operatorname{HPAR}(2 * J)=$ Strain at the end of the Jth plastic line segment.
$\operatorname{HPAR}(2 * \operatorname{MPAR}(1)-1)=$ Ratio of the slope (tangent modulus) of the "last"
plastic line segment to that (Young's modulus) of the
elastic line segment $(0<=\operatorname{HPAR}(2 * \operatorname{MPAR}(1)-1)<1)$.

Data Line 14: (GRAV(I), $\mathrm{I}=1,3)$

Body force specification;
Skip this line if $N B O D Y=0$.

| GRAV(1) | $=$ | Body force per unit mass in x or radial direction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRAV(2) | = | Body force per unit mass in y or circumferential direction. |
| GRAV(3) | $=0$ | GRAR(1) and GRAV(2) are specified in a rectangular Cartesian system in x and y directions, respectively; |
|  | $=1$ | GRAR(1) and GRAV(2) are specified in a polar system in radial and circumferential directions, respectively; |
|  | $=2$ | Body forces are proportional to the radial distance, with $\operatorname{GRAR}(1)$ and $\operatorname{GRAV}(2)$ as the multiplier in the radial and circumferential directions, respectively. |

Data Line 15: N, NE, NG, (ID1(I), I=1, 2)

Type of nodal degrees of freedom (DOF);
Input this line only for nodes with a specified (zero or nonzero)
displacement in one or both directions;
Repeat this data line as often as needed;

End this data line with a new line of 5 zeros.

| $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NE}$ | $=$ | Beginning and ending node numbers, respectively $(\mathrm{NE}>=\mathrm{N})$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NG | $=$ | Constant increment for node numbers from node N to node $\mathrm{NE} ;$ <br> $(\mathrm{NG}=0$ when $\mathrm{NE}=\mathrm{N})$. |
| $\mathrm{DPI}(\mathrm{I}) \quad=0$ | Displacement is specified for the Ith DOF of <br> all the nodes in this series. |  |
| $=1$ | No displacement is specified for this DOF; This is the <br> default value (set initially by the FEM program). |  |

NOTES: The magnitudes of nonzero displacements are input in Data Line 17.

## Data Line 16: FLD(I), NLTYPE(3*I-1), NLTYPE(3*I-2), NLTYPE(3*I)

Specified nodal loading (concentrated loads at nodal points).
Repeat this line (DO $I=1, N U M L D$ ) for all cases.
Skip this line if $N U M L D=0$.
$\operatorname{FLD}(\mathrm{I})=$ "Reference magnitude" for specified nodal load at the Ith DOF;
NLTYPE $(3 * \mathrm{I}-1)=\quad$ Node number for the specified nodal load.
$\operatorname{NLTYPE}(3 * \mathrm{I}-2)=\quad$ Direction ( 1 for x and 2 for y ) of the specified load.
$\operatorname{NLTYPE}\left(3^{*} \mathrm{I}\right)=\quad$ "Type of time dependence" of the specified load.

NOTES: $\quad$ See Section 3.1 (Introduction) and Section 3.6 (User Loading Subroutine) for definitions of "reference magnitude" and "type of time dependence."

Data Line 17: N, NE, NG, (R1(I), I=1, 2), (NR1(I), $\mathrm{I}=1,2)$

Specified nonzero nodal displacement loading;
Repeat this line as often as needed;

End this data line with 7 zeros;
Skip this line if $N D I S P=0$.

| N,NE | = | Beginning and ending node numbers, respectively ( $\mathrm{NE}>=\mathrm{N}$ ) . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NG | $=$ | Constant increment for node numbers from node N to node NE ; ( $\mathrm{NG}=0$ when $\mathrm{NE}=\mathrm{N}$ ). |
| R1(I) | $=$ | "Reference magnitude" of specified nodal displacement for the |
|  |  | Ith DOF; Use 0.0 if displacement is not specified at this DOF ; |
| NR1(1) | = | "Type of time dependence" of the specified displacement for the |
|  |  | Ith DOF; Use 0 if displacement is not specified at this DOF. |

NOTES: $\quad$ See Section 3.1 (Introduction) and Section 3.6 (User Loading Subroutine) for definitions of "reference magnitude" and "type of time dependence."

Data Line 18: $\operatorname{IELNO}(\mathrm{I}), \operatorname{ISIDE}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{TX}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{TY}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{IT}(\mathrm{I})$

Specified boundary traction loading;
Repeat this line (DO I=1,NUMSS) for all cases.
Skip this line if $N U M S S=0$.

| IELNO(I) | $=$ | Element number with specified boundary traction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ISmE(I) | = | Element side number with specified boundary traction; |
|  |  | FOR 3-NODED TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS: |
|  | $=1$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 1 and 2; |
|  | $=2$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 2 and 3 |
|  | $=3$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 3 and 1. |
|  |  | FOR 4- AND 8-NODED QUAdrilateral ELEMENTS: |
|  | $=1$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 1 and 2; |
|  | $=2$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 2 and 3 |
|  | $=3$ | if traction is on the side between local nodes 3 and 4 . |

$=4 \quad$ if traction is on the side between local nodes 4 and 1.
TX(I) = "Reference magnitude" of specified boundary traction in the x direction if $\mathrm{IT}(\mathrm{I})=0$, or in the normal direction if $\mathrm{IT}(\mathrm{I})=1$;

TY(I) $\quad=\quad$ "Reference magnitude" of specified boundary traction in th $y$ direction if $I T(I)=0$, or in the tangential direction if $\mathrm{IT}(\mathrm{I})=1$;
IT(I) $\quad=\quad 0$ or 1 as specified above.

NOTES: (1) The normal and tangential directions are defined for an observer who is walking along the sides of the element in the counterclockwise direction.
(2) See Section 3.1 (Introduction) and Section 3.6 (User Loading

Subroutine) for definitions of "reference magnitude" and "type of time dependence."
$* * * * * *$ The following lines are for crack growth problems.
$* * * * * *$ Skip these lines if MSD $=0$.

Data Line 19: $\quad(\operatorname{LEN}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{MSD})$

Number of node pairs for each crack path;
Skip this line if $M S D=0$.

LEN(I) $\quad=\quad$ Total number of node pairs on the Ith crack path.

Data Line 20: (LTIP(1, I), LTIP(2, I), I=1, MSD)

Location of crack tips in the array of node pairs for each crack path;
Identified by the pair \# of the crack-tip node pair in the array of crack-path node pairs;
Skip this line if $M S D=0$.
$\operatorname{LTIP}(1, \mathrm{I})=\quad$ Location of the first crack tip of the Ith crack path;
$=0$, If this crack tip does not exist (for an edge crack).
$\operatorname{LTIP}(2, \mathrm{I})=$ Location of the second crack tip of the Ith crack path; $=0$, If this crack tip does not exist (for an edge crack).

NOTES: Node pairs for each crack path are input sequentially in the array of node pairs from one end of the crack path to the other. Since cracks can extend from either crack tips, an end of a crack path is not necessarily a crack tip.

## Data Line 21: NODP(1),NODP(2)

Node numbers of the crack-path node pairs;
Input data sequentially (First DO $I=1, M S D$; Then DO $J=1, L E N(I)$ );
Skip this line if $M S D=0$.

NODP(1) $=\quad$ Node number for the node on side \#1 of the crack path.
NODP(2) $=$ Node number for the node on side \#2 of the crack path.

NOTES: (1) Since there are two nodes at every node on a crack path, one side of the crack path can be designated as side \#1 while the other, side \#2;
(2) If a crack has a nonzero thickness (e.g. a notch) then the two nodes of a node pair on the crack surface will have different coordinates;
(3) If a crack has a zero thickness (e.g. a fatigue crack) then the two nodes of a node pair on the crack surface will have the same coordinates;
(4) If a node pair is on the unbroken portion of a crack path then its two nodes will have the same coordinates;
(5) If a crack path is on a symmetry (or anti-symmetry) path and one half of the problem domain is removed, then use -1 (or -2 ) for NODP for the nodes on the side of the crack path that belongs to the removed portion of the problem domain;
(6) If a crack path is along the interface with a rigid material,
then use -3 for NODP for the nodes on the side of the rigid material.
To summarize:
NODP $\quad=-1 \quad$ if the node is on a symmetric crack path;
$=-2$ if the node is on an anti-symmetric crack path;
$=-3$ if the node is on an interface with a rigid material; where NODP is either NODP (1) or NODP(2) depending on the side designation.
(7) Currently the orientation of symmetric or anti-symmetric crack paths can only be in the x direction.

## Data Line 22: N, NE, NG

Plane strain core element numbers;
Repeat this data line as often as needed;
End this data line with a new line of 3 zeros;
Skip this data line if IOPT $\neq-1$.
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NE} \quad=\quad$ Beginning and ending element numbers $(\mathrm{NE}>=\mathrm{N})$, respectively.
NG $\quad=$ Constant increment for element numbers from element N to element $\mathrm{NE}(\mathrm{NG}=0$ when $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NE})$.
****** This is the end of the general input file.

MESH INPUT FILE: zip2dl.msh

Data Line 1: N, NE, NG, MAT1, (LM1(I), I=1, NEN), LMG, NSP

Element numbering and element connectivity matrix;
Repeat this data line as often as needed;

## NEN is the number of nodes for an element;

End this line with a new line of 9 zeros if $N E N=3$,
or 10 zeros if $N E N=4$, or 14 zeros if $N E N=8$.


Data Line 2: $\quad \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NG}$, NBIAS, (COOR1(I), $\mathrm{I}=1,2)$

Node numbering and nodal coordinates;
Repeat this line as often as needed;
No need to input mid-node coordinates for 8 -noded elements
(They will be generated automatically by the program);
End this data line with a new line of 5 zeros.
$\mathrm{N} \quad=\quad$ Beginning node number.

NG $\quad=\quad$ Constant increment for node numbers from node N to node $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$, where $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ is the node number of the next input line;
$\mathrm{NG}=0$ if coordinates are read one node at a time.
All nodes from N to $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ must be on a straight line.
NBIAS $=-1 \quad$ Nodal spacing from $N$ to $N^{\prime}$ decreases arithmatically;
$=0 \quad$ Nodal spacing from N to $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ are uniform;
$=1$ Nodal spacing from $N$ to $N^{\prime}$ increases arithmatically.
COOR1 $(\mathrm{I})=\quad \mathrm{x}$ coordinate of node N if $\mathrm{I}=1$;
$=\quad y$ coordinate of node $N$ if $I=2$.
****** This is the end of the mesh input file.

### 3.6 USER LOADING SUBROUTINE

A user subroutine, SUBROUTINE FTIME, is provided in ZIP2DL for defining custom loading histories (time dependence), and is located at the very end of the source code. In general, a load is defined as the product of a reference magnitude and a time-dependend function, whose value is given by the parameter FVAL in the arguments of the subroutine. For example, if a load has a linear time dependence and increases monotonically from zero to a maximum of 2.0 , then one can chose the reference magnitude to be 2.0 and the time-dependent function to be the "time" T , and let T increases from 0.0 to 1.0 , so that the load is given by $2.0 * \mathrm{~T}$. Alternatively, one can chose the reference magnitude to be 1.0 and the time-dependent function still to be T , but let T increases from 0.0 , to 2.0 , so that the load is simply given by T . The user should choose the reference magnitude and the time-dependent function that best fit his or her needs.

Because different types of loads may be present in a problem, it is desirable to allow these loads to have different loading histories. As such, a type is designated for the time dependence for each load. Currently, all body forces have one type of time dependence and is designated as NTYPE $=-2$, and all boundary tractions have one type and is defined by NTYPE $=-1$. However,
all concentrated nodal forces and nonzero nodal displacements will each have their own type, as defined by the user in the subroutine. A default of FVAL=T for the type of time dependence is given in the subroutine, where T is the time parameter in ZIP2DL.

The source code for the user loading subroutine is listed below.

SUBROUTINE FTIME (NTYPE, T, FVAL)
C Defines the time dependence of various loadings.
C Load=Magnitude*FVAL, where Magnitude is defined in the
$C$ input file and $F V A L=F(T)$ is defined in this subroutine.
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON /INFO1/NUMN, NUMEQ, NTOT,NPINFO,NUMTY,NUMLD, NBODY, NDISP
COMMON /ELPAR/NUMEL,NUMAT,NUMSS, IOPT,NRST,MN
C Convention for loading types:
C NTYPE $=-2$. . All body forces (Default for $F(T)$ : $F(T)=T$ );
$C \quad=-1 \ldots$ All boundary tractions (Default for $F(T): F(T)=T)$;
C $\quad=1$ 1...As defined by the user for specified nodal loads
C (concentrated forces) and nonzero displacements
$C \quad$ (Default for $F(T): F(T)=T$ ).
C Set default time dependence.
FVAL=T
$C$ Set time dependence for all body forces.
IF (NTYPE.EQ.-2)THEN
FVAL=T ! Default
C Set time dependence for all boundary tractions.
ELSE IF (NTYPE.EQ.-1)THEN FVAL=T ! Default
$C$ Set time dependence for concentrated nodal forces and
C nonzero displacements, as defined below by the user.
ELSE IF (NTYPE.GE.1)THEN FVAL=T ! Default
ENDIF
RETURN
END

## 4. EXAMPLE MANUAL

ZIP2DL has been calibrated with a variety of test problems involving all available combinations of material models, stress states (plane stress, plane strain, and mixed-state of stress), element types, kinematics relations, loading conditions, and solution approaches. Calibration solutions are obtained either analytically or numerically with established finite element codes. In the following, some of the test problems are provided as examples to illustrate the usage of ZIP2DL.

### 4.1 ELASTIC-PLASTIC TEST PROBLEMS

Elastic-plastic test problems include uniaxial tension-compression, biaxial tensioncompression, and pure shear under plane stress and plane strain conditions, and for linear hardening, power-law hardening, multilinear hardening, and/or elastic-perfectly plastic materials.

The problem illustrated here is for the case of uniaxial tension in plane stress for a linear hardening material. Stresses are normalized by the initial yield stress and strains by the initial yield strain, so that the Young's modulus and the initial yield stress and strain can all be considered to be unity. The Poission's ratio is set to 0.3 . The ratio between the slope (tangent modulus) of the plastic line segment to that (the Young's modulus) of the elastic line segment is 0.5. One four-noded, unit-square element is used. Node 1 is located at $(1,1)$, node 2 at $(0,1)$, node 3 at $(0,0)$, and node 4 at ( 1,0 ). Traction loading is is applied in the normal (y) direction on side \#1 (between nodes 1 and 2) of the element. The applied traction is started at zero and is then monotonically increased to 2.0 in ten steps using the default time dependence in the user subroutine. To remove rigid-body motions, symmetry conditions are enforced at nodes 3 and 4, so that node 3 is fixed while node 4 can only move horizontally. The element thickness does not play a role here but is set to 1.0 .

For comparison, the analytical solution is given here. Suppose the applied normal traction is denoted by $\sigma$, then the effective stress $\sigma_{\text {eff }}$ and the vertical normal stress $\sigma_{y}$ are equal to $\sigma$, and
the horizontal normal stress $\sigma_{x}$ is zero. For $\sigma_{\text {eff }} \leq \sigma_{0}$, the normal strains are given by $\varepsilon_{y}=\sigma / \mathrm{E}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{z}}=-\mathrm{v} \sigma / \mathrm{E}$, where $\sigma_{o}$ is the initial yield stress, $v$ is the Poisson's ratio and E is the Young's modulus. For $\sigma_{\text {eff }} \geq \sigma_{\mathrm{o}}$, then $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}=\sigma / E+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$, and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{z}}=-\mathrm{v} \sigma / \mathrm{E}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}} / 2$, where $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the effective plastic strain and is given by $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=(1-\alpha)\left(\sigma-\sigma_{0}\right) /(\alpha \mathrm{E})$, where $\alpha$ is the linear hardening parameter, which is the ratio of the tangent modulus, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$, of the plastic line segment to the Young's modulus E. For the test problem illustrated here, $\mathrm{E}=1.0, \sigma_{0}=1.0$, and $\alpha=0.5$. Hence, when the loading reaches $\sigma=2.0$, the solutions for the strains are $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}}=1.0, \varepsilon_{y}=3.0$, and $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=\varepsilon_{2}=-1.1$.

The general input file zip2dl.in is listed below:

```
Uniaxial tension in plane stress with linear hardening
0,1,3,0,2,2,1,0,0,0,0
0.1,1.0,.1,1.e-6,1.e-4,1.e-8,1.e-8,100
4,1,1,0,1,0,0,4,2,1
0,1,0,0
0,1,0,1,1.0
.3,0.,1.,1.e+0,1.e+0,1
1,0.5
3,3,0,0,0
4,4,0,1,0
0,0,0,0,0
1,1,0.,2.,0
```

The mesh input file zip2dl.msh is listed below:

```
1,1,0,1,1,2,3,4,0,0
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
1,0,0,1.,1.
2,0,0,0.,1.
3,0,0,0.,0.
4,0,0,1.,0.
0,0,0,0.,0.
```

The general output file zip2dl.out is listed below:

```
Uniaxial tension in plane stress with linear hardening
NSTART= 0 NSGEN= 1 MODE = 3 IRI=0 IUPD = 2 IAPP = 2
NPRNT = 1 NGELE= 0 NGNOD= 0 MSD= 0 NODTOT=
TB = 0.100E+00 TE = 0.100E+01 DT = 0.100E+00 TOL = 0.100E-05
TOLM= 0.100E-03 TOLP=0.100E-07 TOLY=0.100E-07 NSUB=100
```

```
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll} 
NUMN \(=\) & 4 & NUMEL \(=\) & 1 & NUMAT \(=1\) & & & & \\
NUMPW \(=\) & 0 & NUMBL \(=\) & 1 & NUMML \(=0\) & NUMSG \(=0\) & MN \(=4\) & NRST \(=2\) & IOPT \(=1\) \\
NUMLD \(=\) & 0 & NUMSS \(=\) & 1 & NBODY \(=0\) & NDISP \(=0\) & \\
NNC \(=\) & 0 & NMC \(=\) & 1 & NPD \(=0\) & NRR \(=1\) & ACC \(=1.00\)
\end{tabular}
MATERIAL/GEOMETRIC SETS
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc} 
SET & POIS & WT & THK & YM & SYI & MPAR(1) & MPAR (2) \\
1 & \(0.300 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & 1
\end{tabular}
Bi-linear material group:
GLOBAL SET # LINE MODULUS RATIO
    1 0.50000
MATERIAL SET NUMBERS AND CONNECTIVITY VECTORS
    ELE SET NODE NUMBERS
        1 1
NODAL COORDINATES
    NODE X Y
        1 0.10000E+01 0.10000E+01
        2 0.00000E+00 0.10000E+01
        3 0.00000E+00 0.00000E+00
        4 0.10000E+01 0.00000E+00
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
NODAL DISPL & NODE & DOF & TYPE \\
\(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
\(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 3 & 2 & 0 \\
\(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 4 & 2 & 0
\end{tabular}
EQUATION NUMBERS
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
NODE & DOF 1 & DOF 2 \\
1 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 4 \\
3 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 5 & 0
\end{tabular}
BOUNDARY STRESS SETS
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
SET & ELE & SIDE & TX & TY \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
```

***** ELEMENT COMPUTATIONS START HERE

```
REQUIRED STORAGE FOR THE STIFFNESS MATRIX = 15
TOTAL REQUIRED STORAGE = 352 AVAILABLE STORAGE = 200000
    FOR ELEMENT 1: AREA = 0.10000E+01
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.10000E+01 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 2;
    Total number of iterations so far is 21;
```



DISPLACEMENTS:

| NODE\# | COORD X | COORD Y | DISPL X | DISPL Y | DISPL R | DISPL T |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.1099 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.1344 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.2899 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.2764 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.2764 \mathrm{E}-16$ |
| 3 | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| 4 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1099 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1099 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

## REMARKS:

(1) Under small-deformation conditions, the 2nd P-K (Piola-Kirschhoff) stresses are equal to the Cauchy (true) stresses, and the G-L (Green-Lagrange) strains are equal to the engineering strains. Refer to reference [3] in the theoretical manual manual for detailed discussions about various stress and strain measures.
(2) Stress and strain solutions are given at Gauss integration (quadrature) points, so that if four Gauss points are used for an element, then solutions will be listed for all four points.
(3) In the output for stress, strain and displacement variations, "R" is used to stand for radial variations while " T " for tangential or circumferential variations.

The detailed stress and strain output file zip2dl.dat is listed below:


```
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.20000E+01 YIELD SURFACE = 0.20000E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.10000E+01
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline POINT & \(X\) COOR & Y COOR & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY \\
\hline 2 & \(0.21132 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.78868 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & -0.14468E-16 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Principal Cauchy Stresses:} \\
\hline & PSTRESS 1 & PSTRESS 2 & ANGLE & PSHEAR & \\
\hline & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08\) & -0.90000E+02 & \(0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Green-Lagrange Strains:} \\
\hline & STRAIN X & STRAIN Y & STRAIN XY & STRS/STRN 2 & \\
\hline & -0.10993E+01 & \(0.30000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & -0.49260E-16 & -0.11007E+01 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Cauchy} & Stresses and & reen-Lagrang & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Strains in Polar Coord System:} \\
\hline & R COOR & T COOR & STRESS R & STRESS T & STRESS RT \\
\hline & \(0.81650 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.75000 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(0.18660 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.13397 E+00\) & \(0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
\hline & STRAIN R & STRAIN T & STRAIN RT & & \\
\hline & \(0.27254 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.82471 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.20497 \mathrm{E}+01\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$

| $* * *$ Cauchy | Stresses: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| POINT | X COOR | Y COOR | STRESS X | STRESS Y | STRESS XY |
| 3 | $0.21132 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.21132 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.61528 \mathrm{E}-17$ |

Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.90000 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
Green-Lagrange Strains:

| STRAIN X | STRAIN Y | STRAIN XY | STRS/STRN Z |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-0.10993 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.30000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.18224 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $-0.11007 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT $0.29886 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.45000 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ $\begin{array}{rrrrr}\text { STRAIN } & R & \text { STRAIN } & \text { T } & \text { STRAIN RT } \\ .95034 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.95034 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.40993 \mathrm{E}+01\end{array}$

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
***Cauchy Stresses:

| POINT | X COOR | Y COOR | STRESS X | STRESS Y | STRESS XY |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | $0.78868 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.21132 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20410 \mathrm{E}-17$ |

Principal Cauchy Stresses: PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.39707 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad 0.90000 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
Green-Lagrange Strains: STRAIN $X$ STRAIN $Y$ STRAIN XY STRS/STRN $Z$

```
    -0.10993E+01 0.30000E+01 0.67573E-17 -0.11007E+01
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.81650E+00 0.15000E+02 0.13397E+00 0.18660E+01 0.50000E+00
        STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    -0.82471E+00 0.27254E+01 0.20497E+01
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$

## REMARKS:

(1) "ANGLE" in the principal stress output is the angle between x -axis and the first principal stress direction.
(2) "YIELD SURFACE" in the output refers the current flow stress (yield stress). For a Gauss point that is currently yielded, the effective stress must be on the current yield surface.

The plastic zone output file zip2dl.pls is listed below:
PLASTIC ZONE GIVEN BY YIELDED GAUSS INTEGRATION POINTS:
(The effective stress is within $2 \%$ of the flow stress)
X-COOR
Y $\quad$ Y-COOR

The run-time message output file zip2dl.msg is listed below:

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.649 \mathrm{E}-33 \& 0.652 \mathrm{E}-33$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2;
Total number of iterations so far is 2;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 2 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.200000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# $X$ FORCE Y FORCE

```
3 0.27217E-17 -0.10000E+00
4-0.14707E-17 -0.10000E +00
```

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.649 \mathrm{E}-33 \& 0.652 \mathrm{E}-33$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 4;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 4 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.40000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.400000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE X FORCE Y FORCE
$3 \quad 0.27217 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4-0.14707 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.30000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.639E-33 \& 0.577E-33.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.30000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2 ; Total number of iterations so far is 6;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 6 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.60000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element I
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.600000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$3 \quad 0.26359 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.30000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4 \quad-0.16768 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.30000 \mathrm{E}+00$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.40000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.380 \mathrm{E}-33 \& 0.460 \mathrm{E}-33$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.40000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 8;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 8 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.800000 \mathrm{E}+00$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\#
X FORCE

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.380 \mathrm{E}-33 \& 0.212 \mathrm{E}-17$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 10 ;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 10 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.100000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$3 \quad 0.24148 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4-0.12472 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad-0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.60000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.685 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.195 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.263 \mathrm{E}-07 \& 0.305 \mathrm{E}-07$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.60000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 3;
Total number of iterations so far is 13;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
$[\mathrm{K}]$ is updated a total of 12 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.12000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.119997 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$3-0.32645 \mathrm{E}-05-0.59999 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4 \quad 0.32645 \mathrm{E}-05-0.59999 \mathrm{E}+00$
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: $\operatorname{MCMAX}=1751 \&$ MCMIN $=250$.
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.813 \mathrm{E}-11 \& 0.228 \mathrm{E}-11$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 15;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 14 times so far.

Max. Effective Stress $=0.14000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.140000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$3 \quad 0.22123 \mathrm{E}-06-0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4-0.22123 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad-0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=2000 \& M C M I N=1$.
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.227 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.510 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.138 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.823 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.271 \mathrm{E}-09 \& 0.556 \mathrm{E}-09$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 19;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 16 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.16000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.160000 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 1
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$3-0.95674 \mathrm{E}-06-0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$4 \quad 0.95674 \mathrm{E}-06-0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $1020 \&$ MCMIN= 2.
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.164 \mathrm{E}-15 \& 0.458 \mathrm{E}-16$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 2;
Total number of iterations so far is 21;
[K] is updated 2 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 18 times so far.

```
Max. Effective Stress = 0.20000E+01 at Gauss Pt 4 of Element 1
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.200000E+01 at Gauss Pt 4 of Element 1
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    3-0.19854E-08 -0.10000E+01
    4 0.19854E-08 -0.10000E+01
```

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $4001 \&$ MCMIN= 1 .

### 4.2 LARGE-DEFORMATION TEST PROBLEMS

Large-deformation test problems are performed under elastic conditions only. They include large-strain uniaxial tension-compression, biaxial tension-compression, and pure shear, all under both plane stress and plane strain conditions. For the case of small-strain and largerotation, a rigid-body rotation problem is tested with several meshes.

The problem illustrated below is the arbitrary rigid-body rotation of a unit square. This square is divided into four equal square quadrilateral elements, with the four corner nodes numbered $1,2,3,4$. The coordinates of the four corner nodes are the same as those used for the elastic-plastic test problem discussed in the preceding section. The coordinates of other nodes are as follows. Node 5 is at $(0.5,1.0)$, node 6 at $(0.0,0.5)$, node 7 at $(0.5,0.0)$, node 8 at $(1.0,0.5)$, and node 9 at $(0.5,0.5)$. The sqare is made to rotate freely around node 3 by specifying the $x$ and y displacements at node 2 according to rigid-body rotation displacement formulus for that node. For the case shown here, the angle of rigid-body rotation is increased gradually from $0^{\circ}$ to $140^{\circ}$ ( 2.4435 radians) with an increment of 0.1 radians. The numerical solutions are obtained using ZIP2DL with a large-deformation option (either MODE=2 or 4). The material properties are the same as those for the elastic-plastic test problem except that the linear hardening materila is now represented by a multilinear hardening model by dividing the plastic line segment into 3 pieces.

For comparison, the exact solutions for the displacement components are given here. They are: $u_{x}=\cos (\theta+\pi / 4)-1, u_{y}=\sin (\theta+\pi / 4)-1$ at node 1 and $u_{x}=\cos (\theta)-1, u_{y}=\sin (\theta)$ at node 4, where $\theta$ is the angle of rotation in radians.

The general input file zip2dl.in is listed below.

```
Large rigid-body rotation test
0,1,4,0,-1,2,1,0,0,0,0
0.1,2.443,.1,1.e-6,1.e-4,1.e-8,1.e-8,100
9,4,1,0,0,1,3,4,2,1
0,0,0,1
0,1,0,1,1.0
.3,0.,1.,1.e+0,1.e+0,3
1,1.2,1.4,1.3,1.6,0.5
```

```
3,3,0,0,0
2,2,0,0,0
0,0,0,0,0
2,2,0,1.,1.,2,3
0,0,0,0.,0.,0,0
```

The mesh input file zip2dl.msh is listed below.

```
1,1,0,1,1,5,9,8,0,0
2,2,0,1,5,2,6,9,0,0
3,3,0,1,9,6,3,7,0,0
4,4,0,1,8,9,7,4,0,0
0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
1,1,0,1.,1.
2,1,0,0.,1.
3,1,0,0.,0.
4,0,0,1.,0.
5,1,0,.5,1.
6,1,0,0.,.5
7,1,0,.5,0.
8,1,0,1.,.5
9,1,0,.5,.5
0,0,0,0.,0.
```

The user loading subroutine is written as:

SUBROUTINE FTIME (NTYPE,T,FVAL)
$C$ Defines the time dependence of various loadings.
C Load=Magnitude*FVAL, where Magnitude is defined in the
$C$ input file and FVAL=F(T) is defined in this subroutine.
IMPLICIT REAL*8 ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Z}$ )
COMMON /INFO1/NUMN,NUMEQ,NTOT,NPINFO,NUMTY,NUMLD,NBODY,NDISP
COMMON /ELPAR/NUMEL,NUMAT,NUMSS,IOPT,NRST,MN
C Convention for loading types:
C NTYPE=-2...All body forces (Default for $F(T): F(T)=T$ );
$C \quad=-1$...All boundary tractions (Default for $F(T): F(T)=T$ );
C $\quad=>1$.. As defined by the user for specified nodal loads
C (concentrated forces) and nonzero displacements
$C \quad$ (Default for $F(T): F(T)=T$ ).
C
$C$ Set default time dependence.
FVAL=T
C Set time dependence for all body forces.
IF (NTYPE.EQ.-2)THEN
FVAL $=T$
C Set time dependence for all boundary tractions.
ELSE IF (NTYPE.EQ.-1)THEN FVAL=T
ELSE IF (NTYPE.EQ.1)THEN FVAL=T ! default time dependence

```
C Set time dependence for concentrated nodal forces and
C nonzero displacements, as defined below by the user.
C *** The following is for specified nodal displacements
C at node 2 during rigid-body rotations.
    ELSE IF (NTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
        FVAL=-SIN(T) ! x displacement component
    ELSE IF(NTYPE.EQ.3)THEN
        FVAL=COS(T)-1. ! Y displacement component
C *** End of rigid-body rotation definitions.
    ENDIF
    RETURN
    END
```

The general output file zip2dl.out is listed below.

## Large rigid-body rotation test




NODAL COORDINATES

| NODE | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 4 | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 5 | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 7 | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | $0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| NODAL DISPL | NODE | DOF | TYPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.10000 E+01$ | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $0.00000 E+00$ | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| $0.10000 E+01$ | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| $0.00000 E+00$ | 3 | 2 | 0 |


| EQUATION NUMBERS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NODE | DOF 1 | DOF 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 7 | 9 | 10 |
| 8 | 11 | 12 |
| 9 | 13 | 14 |

***** ELEMENT COMPUTATIONS START HERE *****

REQUIRED STORAGE FOR THE STIFFNESS MATRIX = 89


| 6 | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.3216 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3216 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3216 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.8829 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3216 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 8 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.2087 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.2397 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1974 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.7191 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 9 | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1204 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.5613 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1249 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.4548 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

The detailed stresss and strain output file zip2dl.dat is listed below.


```
    -0.36666E-07 0.30977E-06 -0.34270E-09
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.30218E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
***Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses: 
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    -0.26394E-07 0.21008E-06 -0.14635E-07
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
            STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
    0.10799E-07 -0.15146E-06 0.14632E-06 0.28182E-07
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
    PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.21098E-06 -0.27297E-07 -0.86472E+02 0.11914E-06
Green-Iagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.56478E-07 0.72101E-07 -0.30937E-06 -0.55105E-07
```

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR $T$ COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.85654 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.45000 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.77207 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.10648 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.11824 \mathrm{E}-06$
STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
$-0.90394 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.21897 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.15623 \mathrm{E}-07$
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.22587 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

| $* * *$ Second Piola-Kirchhoff | Stresses: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| POINT | X COOR | Y COOR | STRESS X | STRESS Y | STRESS XY |
| 4 | $0.89434 E+00$ | $0.60566 E+00$ | $0.81814 E-07$ | $0.84452 E-07$ | $-0.63402 E-07$ |

Cauchy Stresses:
STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
$0.20456 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.14581 \mathrm{E}-06-0.96527 \mathrm{E}-08$

First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
$-0.21872 \mathrm{E}-07-0.10545 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.10117 \mathrm{E}-06-0.57645 \mathrm{E}-08$

Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.14655 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.19717 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad-0.85622 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.63416 \mathrm{E}-07$
Green-Lagrange Strains:
STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
$0.56478 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.59908 \mathrm{E}-07-0.16485 \mathrm{E}-06-0.49880 \mathrm{E}-07$
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Iagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.10801 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.34107 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.50907 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.11536 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.54617 \mathrm{E}-07$

| STRAIN | R | STRAIN $T$ | STRAIN RT |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-0.18979 E-07$ | $0.13537 E-06$ | $-0.57998 \mathrm{E}-07$ |  |

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.13775 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

```
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 2 ******
***Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
POINT X COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
\(10.39434 \mathrm{E}+000.89434 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.53169 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.20124 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.15482 \mathrm{E}-07\)
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    0.41026E-06 0.32268E-06 -0.16007E-06
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
            STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
                        -0.41710E-06 -0.14414E-06 0.33010E-06 -0.14128E-06
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
PSTRESS 1 & PSTRESS 2 & ANGLE & PSHEAR \\
\(0.53242 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(0.20051 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(-0.37350 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(0.16595 \mathrm{E}-06\)
\end{tabular}
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X 
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.97742E+00 0.66206E+02 0.21875E-06 0.51418E-06 0.75629E-07
    STRAIN R R
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.46574E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline POINT & x COOR & Y COOR & StRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY \\
\hline 2 & \(0.10566 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.89434 \mathrm{E}+00\) & 0.50032E-06 & \(0.96658 \mathrm{E}-07\) & -0.10792E-06 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Cauchy Stresses:} \\
\hline & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY & & \\
\hline & \(0.22705 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(0.36993 \mathrm{E}-06\) & -0.21744E-06 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:} \\
\hline & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY & Stress yx & \\
\hline & -0.31371E-06 & -0.14343E-06 & \(0.40442 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(0.20478 \mathrm{E}-07\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
        PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.52736E-06 0.69615E-07 -0.54094E+02 0.22887E-06
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.47132E-06 -0.53438E-07 -0.28060E-06 -0.17909E-06
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
            0.90056E+00 0.83262E+02 0.31729E-06 0.27969E-06 0.22810E-06
    STRAIN R 
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.49623E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.100000E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
\begin{tabular}{lccccc}
\(* * *\) Second Piola-Kirchhoff & Stresses: \\
POINT & X COOR & Y COOR & & \\
STRESS \(X \quad\) STRESS \(Y\) Y
\end{tabular}
```

```
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    -0.59500E-07 0.19811E-06 -0.10221E-06
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
            STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
-0.20175E-07 -0.85969E-07 0.20568E-06 0.11654E-06
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
            PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.23374E-06 -0.95127E-07 -0.70784E+02 0.16443E-06
Green-Lagrange Strains:
            STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.15047E-06 -0.53438E-07 -0.37577E-06 -0.41584E-07
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
        0.61481E+00 0.80104E+02 0.15590E-06 -0.17281E-07 0.13979E-06
        STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    -0.11104E-06 0.20806E-06 0.28453E-06
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.29312 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

Cauchy Stresses:
$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { STRESS X } & \text { STRESS Y } & \text { STRESS XY } \\ 0.12370 E-06 & 0.15086 E-06 & -0.44842 \mathrm{E}-07\end{array}$
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
$-0.12357 \mathrm{E}-06-0.86684 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.13136 \mathrm{E}-06-0.45222 \mathrm{E}-07$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.18414 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.90431 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad-0.53424 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.46853 \mathrm{E}-07$
Green-Lagrange Strains:
STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
$0.15047 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.41730 \mathrm{E}-07-0.54916 \mathrm{E}-07-0.82371 \mathrm{E}-07$
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR $T$ COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.72272 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.56932 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.10177 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.17280 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.30561 \mathrm{E}-07$
STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.15948 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$


[^1]

```
    0.16117E-06 -0.56851E-07 -0.98146E-07 -0.10526E-07
```

```
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
    PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.53685E-07 -0.18992E-06 0.56740E+02 0.12180E-06
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    -0.20163E-06 0.10626E-06 -0.74107E-07 0.40871E-07
```

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR $T$ COOR STRESS $R$ STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.14943 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.45000 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.43599 \mathrm{E}-07-0.17984 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.48530 \mathrm{E}-07$
$\begin{array}{rrrrr}\text { STRAIN } & \text { R } & \text { STRAIN } & \text { T } & \text { STRAIN RT } \\ -0.84737 \mathrm{E}-07 & -0.10630 \mathrm{E}-07 & 0.30790 \mathrm{E}-06\end{array}$
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.22169 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

| $* * *$ Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| POINT | X COOR | Y COOR | STRESS X | STRESS Y | STRESS XY |
| 4 | $0.39434 E+00$ | $0.10566 E+00$ | $-0.17033 E-06$ | $0.10432 E-06$ | $0.37567 E-07$ |

Cauchy Stresses:
STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
$-0.19726 \mathrm{E}-07-0.46287 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.14175 \mathrm{E}-06$
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
STRESS $X$ STRESS $Y$ STRESS XY STRESS YX
$0.10627 \mathrm{E}-06-0.55723 \mathrm{E}-07-0.13832 \mathrm{E}-06-0.95861 \mathrm{E}-07$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:

| PSTRESS 1 | PSTRESS 2 | ANGLE | PSHEAR |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.10937 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-0.17538 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.42324 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0.14237 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

Green-Lagrange Strains:

| STRAIN X | STRAIN $Y$ | STRAIN XY | STRS/STRN Z |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $-0.20163 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.15542 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.97675 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $0.19804 \mathrm{E}-07$ |

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:

| R COOR | T COOR | STRESS R | STRESS T | STRESS RT |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.40825 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.15000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $0.49372 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $-0.11538 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.11612 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| STRAIN R | STRAIN T | STRAINRT |  |  |
| $-0.15329 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.10709 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $0.26312 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |  |

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.24880 \mathrm{E}-06$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$


## Cauchy Stresses:

STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
$0.35209 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.11427 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.43961 \mathrm{E}-07$
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
$0.13116 \mathrm{E}-08-0.11578 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 0.39829 \mathrm{E}-07-0.56308 \mathrm{E}-07$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:

```
    PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.13386E-06
    0.15619E-07
0.65981E+02
0.59121E-07
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
-0.12849E-07 0.11749E-06 -0.81490E-07 -0.44844E-07
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
R COOR & T COOR & STRESS R & STRESS T & STRESS RT \\
\(0.97742 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.23794 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(0.80535 \mathrm{E}-07\) & \(0.68944 \mathrm{E}-07\) & \(0.58836 \mathrm{E}-07\) \\
STRAIN R & STRAIN T & & & \\
-0.21717 ETRAIN RT & 07 & \(0.12635 \mathrm{E}-06\) & \(0.41266 \mathrm{E}-07\) &
\end{tabular}
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.12677E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E+01
EFFECTIVE PIASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
***Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses: 
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    0.44731E-07 0.16324E-06 0.56490E-07
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
    0.20785E-08 -0.16133E-06 0.61729E-07 -0.72025E-07
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
            PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.18585E-06 0.22118E-07 0.68184E+02 0.81867E-07
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    -0.12849E-07 0.15843E-06 -0.12638E-06 -0.62391E-07
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.72272E+00 0.33068E+02 0.13167E-06 0.76299E-07 0.77043E-07
    STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    -0.19644E-07 0.16522E-06 0.10551E-06
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.17584E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
\begin{tabular}{lcccrrr}
\(* * *\) Second Piola-Kirchhoff & Stresses: & & & \\
POINT & X COOR & Y COOR & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY \\
3 & \(0.60566 E+00\) & \(0.10566 E+00\) & \(-0.11215 E-07\) & \(0.15506 E-06\) & \(-0.32858 E-07\)
\end{tabular}
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    0.25198E-07 0.11865E-06 0.76214E-07
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
    STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
    0.29721E-07 -0.13987E-06 0.17948E-07 -0.74567E-07
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
    PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.16132E-06 -0.17473E-07 0.60756E+02 0.89398E-07
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
```

```
    -0.57735E-07 0.15843E-06 -0.85432E-07 -0.43155E-07
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
    R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.61481E+00 0.98961E+01 0.53766E-07 0.90083E-07 0.87534E-07
    STRAIN R
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.17073E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E +01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
***Second Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
POINT X COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    4 0.89434E+00 0.10566E+00 -0.24713E-07 0.11007E-06 -0.15595E-07
Cauchy Stresses:
    STRESS X 
First Piola-Kirchhoff Stresses:
            STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRESS YX
    0.28954E-07 -0.94317E-07 -0.39524E-08 -0.58850E-07
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
PSTRESS 1 & PSTRESS 2 & ANGLE & PSHEAR \\
\(0.11185 E-06\) & \(-0.26494 E-07\) & \(0.56488 \mathrm{E}+02\) & \(0.69173 \mathrm{E}-07\)
\end{tabular}
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    -0.57735E-07 0.11749E-06 -0.40547E-07 -0.25607E-07
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.90056E+00 0.67380E+01 0.31262E-07 0.54096E-07 0.68224E-07
    STRRAIN R
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.12719E-06 YIELD SURFACE = 0.10000E +01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.00000E+00
```


## REMARKS:

(1) Stresses and strains for rigid-body rotations must be exact zero. The caculculated stresses and strains are on the order of $1.0 \mathrm{E}-7$, which is negligibly small compared to the normalized yield stress of unity and can be considered zero. The calculated values can be reduced further if a smaller iteration tolerance, TOL, is used (TOL=1.0E-6 is used in this example).
(2) Because the stress and strain values are basically round-off errors, which are dicated by the tolerance TOL, the predicted "principal" direction, ANGLE, has no pratical significance.

The plastic-zone output file zip2dl.pls is empty because there is no yielding. The run-time message output file zip2dl.msg is listed below.

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 4;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 4 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $-0.67383 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.10345 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

$3 \quad 0.11690 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.13720 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 8;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
$[K]$ is updated a total of 8 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2-0.68079 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad 0.35663 \mathrm{E}-09$
$3 \quad 0.13001 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.12485 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.30000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.30000 E+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 12 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 12 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2

Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2-0.68095 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.32481 \mathrm{E}-09$
$3 \quad 0.14182 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.11124 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.40000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 \& 0.100E+01.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.40000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 16;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 16 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| React NODE\# | ns at nodes X FORCE | th specified Y FORCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -0.67431E-08 | -0.10030E-08 |
| 3 | $0.15222 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.96528E-09 |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.50000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 20 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 20 times so far.

| Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element |  |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2 |  |  |  |  |
| (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress) |  |  |  |  |
| (Elastic elements excluded) |  |  |  |  |
| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |  |  |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |  |  |
| 2 | -0.66093E-08 | -0.16712E-08 |  |  |
| 3 | 0.16110E-08 | -0.80849E-09 |  |  |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.60000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.60000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is
Total number of iterations so far is
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
$[K]$ is updated a total of 24 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2-0.64094 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.23227 \mathrm{E}-08$
$3 \quad 0.16836 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.64362 \mathrm{E}-09$

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.70000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 28;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 28 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2-0.61455 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.29509 \mathrm{E}-08$
$3 \quad 0.17395 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.47232 \mathrm{E}-09$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.80000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 32;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 32 times so far.

| Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element |  |  |  |
| (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress) |  |  |  |
| (Elastic elements excluded) |  |  |  |
| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |  |
| NODE\# | $X$ FORCE | Y FORCE |  |
| 2 | -0.58202E-08 | -0.35497E-08 |  |
| 3 | $0.17779 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -0.29631E-09 |  |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.90000 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.90000 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 36 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 36 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| NODE\# | $X$ FORCE | Y FORCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -0.54367E-08 | -0.41130E-08 |
| 3 | 0.17986E-08 | -0.11733E-09 |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 \& 0.100E+01.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \% 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 40 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 40 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $-0.49990 E-08$ | $-0.46352 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

$3 \quad 0.18014 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad 0.62820 \mathrm{E}-10$

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = $0.11000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.11000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 44;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 44 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2

```
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        2 -0.45112E-08 -0.511111E-08
        3 0.17861E-08 0.24234E-09
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.12000E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.357E-01 & 0.138E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.244E-05& 0.114E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E-13 & 0.348E-13.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.12000E+01 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
    Total number of iterations so far is 48;
    [K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 48 times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        2 -0.39784E-08 -0.55360E-08
        3 0.17530E-08 0.41944E-09
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.13000E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01& &.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.357E-01 & 0.138E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.244E-05 & 0.114E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E-13 & 0.348E-13.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.13000E+01 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
    Total number of iterations so far is 52;
    [K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of }52\mathrm{ times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    2 -0.34059E-08 -0.59055E-08
    3 0.17023E-08 0.59235E-09
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: \(T=0.14000 \mathrm{E}+01\).
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.357E-01 & 0.138E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.244E-05 & 0.114E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E-13 & 0.348E-13.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.14000E+01 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
```

```
    Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 56;
    [K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of }56\mathrm{ times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        2 -0.27993E-08 -0.62160E-08
        3 0.16347E-08 0.75935E-09
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.15000E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.357E-01 & 0.138E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.244E-05 & 0.114E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E-13& 0.348E-13.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.15000E+01 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
    Total number of iterations so far is 60;
    [K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 60 times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        -0.21647E-08 -0.64644E-08
        3 0.15507E-08 0.91875E-09
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.16000E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.357E-01 & 0.138E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.244E-05 & 0.114E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E-13 & 0.348E-13.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.16000E+01 IS CONVERGED
```

$\qquad$

```
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
    Total number of iterations so far is 64;
    [K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 64 times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        2 -0.15086E-08 
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.17000E+01.
```

Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.

SOLUTION AT $T=0.17000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 68;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 68 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2-0.83731 \mathrm{E}-09 \quad-0.67656 \mathrm{E}-08$
$3 \quad 0.13373 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad 0.12085 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.18000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.18000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 72;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 72 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\#
X FORCE $\quad$ Y FORCE

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.19000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.19000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 76 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 76 times so far.

```
Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element
2
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
```

(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 2 | $0.52351 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.67971 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| 3 | $0.10705 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $0.14501 \mathrm{E}-08$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 80 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 80 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE X FORCE Y FORCE
$2 \quad 0.11995 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.67109 \mathrm{E}-08$ $3 \quad 0.92042 \mathrm{E}-09 \quad 0.15497 \mathrm{E}-08$

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.21000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.21000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 84;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 84 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2 \quad 0.18635 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.65576 \mathrm{E}-08$
$3 \quad 0.76111 \mathrm{E}-09 \quad 0.16339 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.22000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01$ \& $0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.22000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;

Total number of iterations so far is 88 ;
$\begin{array}{ll}{[K]} & \text { is updated times in this time step; } \\ \text { [K] is updated a total of } & 88 \text { times so far. }\end{array}$

```
Max. Effective Stress = 0.23674E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.236735E-07 at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        2 0.25088E-08 -0.63388E-08
        3 0.59419E-09 0.17017E-08
```

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.23000 E+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ \& $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.357 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.138 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.244 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.114 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.335 \mathrm{E}-13 \& 0.348 \mathrm{E}-13$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.23000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 92;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 92 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.23674 \mathrm{E}-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.236735 E-07$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2 \quad 0.31291 \mathrm{E}-08 \quad-0.60567 \mathrm{E}-08$
$3 \quad 0.42133 \mathrm{E}-09 \quad 0.17525 \mathrm{E}-08$
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.24430 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 \& 0.100E+01.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.749E-01 \& 0.285E-01.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.203E-04 \& 0.975E-05.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.564 \mathrm{E}-11 \& 0.721 \mathrm{E}-11$.
SOLUTION AT $T=0.24430 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 4;
Total number of iterations so far is 96 ;
[K] is updated 4 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 96 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.49623 \mathrm{E}-06$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.496231 E-06$ at Gauss Pt 2 of Element 2
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$2 \quad 0.84424 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad-0.11003 \mathrm{E}-06$
$3-0.11649 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 0.41962 \mathrm{E}-07$

### 4.3 CRACK-GROWTH SIMULATION TEST PROBLEMS

Crack-growth simulation calibrations for ZIP2DL have been performed for a variety of cases against results produced by the established code ZIP2D. These test cases cover plane stress, plane strain, and mixed-state of stress problems involving both hypothetical and real elasticplastic materials. In addition, ZIP2DL has been used with satisfaction in stable crack-growth simulations for the Arcan fracture tests on aluminum alloy specimens conducted at NASA Langley Research Center (see references [9] and [10] in the theoretical manual).

As an example, consider the case of a single-edge crack growing under displacement loading in a sheet made of a hypothetical, linear hardening material, which is the material used in the previous examples with normalized stresses and strains. Due to symmetry, only half of the geometry is modeled, which occupies a $1.6 \times 1.6$ domain. An edge crack, with an initial length of 0.5 , lies along the left portion of the bottom line.

As shown schematically in Fig. 4.1, the problem domain is first divided uniformly into a $16 \times 16$ grid, and then each small square is further divided into to two triangles, leading to a total of 289 nodes and 512 three-noded constant-strain elements. Note that only a few triangles are actually drawn in the figure and only some of the node and element numbers are specified in the schematic. The crack path is identified by the node pairs from node 1 to node 16 , with the initial crack tip at node 6 . Because of symmetry, the corresponding node numbers for the crack-path node pairs are denoted by -1 , as defined by Data Line 21 in the user manual, leading automatically to the satisfaction of symmetry conditions at these nodes. However, since node \#17 is not included in the crack path, its symmetry conditions must be specified in zip2dl.in.

A mixed state of stress is assumed, so that all elements, except those in a strip plane strain core, are in plane stress state. The plane strain core strip is located in front of the initial crack tip, where the elements are in plane strain state. This strip region starts at one element behind the initial crack tip and has a height of 0.2 above the crack path. It includes elements 5 through 16,21 through 32,37 through 48 , and 53 through 54.


Fig. 4.1 Schematic of the mesh used in the crack growth simulation example

A vertical displacement is specified at node 273 , which is at the upper left corner of the domain. This prescribed displacement is increased monotonically from a value that causes initial yielding in the sheet to a value of 10.0 in 100 increments. Rigid-body motion is prevented by restricting the horizontal movement of node 17 at the lower right corner of the domain, along with symmetry conditions along the crack path (which are automatically satisified by setting the node numbers for crack-path nodes on one side of the line to -1 ; see definition for Data Line 21 in the user manual). To transfer loads to the crack tip effectively and to avoid localized plastic deformation at the point of loading, a strip of elements from the upper boundary of the domain to the line at a distance of 0.2 below it are made to deform only elastically.

A crack-tip opening displacement (CTOD) based fracture criterion is used for the crackgrowth simulation. The critical CTOD value is set to 2.0 (note that this is for a hypothetical material) at one node (a distance of 0.1 ) behind the crack tip. Crack growth occurs when the crack opening displacement at this node is equal to or larger than the critical value at the end of a load step.

The general input file zip2dl.in is listed below.

```
Displ. loading; crack a=0.5; Fake material; Plane strain core
0,1,3,0,0,1,-1,1,1,1,16
0.1318384,1.0, 0.008681616,1.e-6,1.e-4,1.e-8,1.e-8,100
289,512,2,0,1,0,0,3,1,-1
0,0,0,1
0,1,0,1,1.0
1.e+8,1,8,1, -1,3,2.0
1,16,1
1,17,1
.3,0.0,1.0,1.e+0,1.e+0,1
.3,1.0,1.0,1. e+0,1.e+0, -2
1,0.5
17,17,0,0,0
273,273,0,1,0
0,0,0,0,0
273,273,0,0.0,10.0,0,1
0,0,0,0,0,0,0
16
0,6
1,-1
2,-1
3,-1
4,-1
5,-1
6,-1
7,-1
8,-1
9,-1
10,-1
11,-1
12,-1
13,-1
14,-1
15,-1
16,-1
5,16,1
21,32,1
37,48,1
53,64,1
0,0,0
```

The mesh input file zip2dl.msh is listed below.

| 1, | $16,1,1$, | 2, | 18, |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 33, | $48,1,1$, | 19, | 35, |
| 65, | $80,1,1$, | 36, | 52, |
| $35,1,0$ |  |  |  |

```
    97,112,1,1, 53, 69, 52,1,0
129,144,1,1, 70, 86, 69,1,0
161,176,1,1, 87,103, 86,1,0
193,208,1,1,104,120,103,1,0
225,240,1,1,121,137,120,1,0
257,272,1,1,138,154,137,1,0
289,304,1,1,155,171,154,1,0
321,336,1,1,172,188,171,1,0
353,368,1,1,189,205,188,1,0
385,400,1,1,206,222,205,1,0
417,432,1,1,223,239,222,1,0
449,464,1,2,240,256,239,1,0
481,496,1,2,257,273,256,1,0
    17, 32,1,1, 18, 2, 19,1,0
    49, 64,1,1, 35, 19, 36,1,0
    81, 96,1,1, 52, 36, 53,1,0
113,128,1,1, 69, 53, 70,1,0
145,160,1,1, 86, 70, 87,1,0
177,192,1,1,103, 87,104,1,0
209,224,1,1,120,104,121,1,0
241,256,1,1,137,121,138,1,0
273,288,1,1,154,138,155,1,0
305,320,1,1,171,155,172,1,0
337,352,1,1,188,172,189,1,0
369,384,1,1,205,189,206,1,0
401,416,1,1,222,206,223,1,0
433,448,1,1,239,223,240,1,0
465,480,1,2,256,240,257,1,0
497,512,1,2,273,257,274,1,0
    0, 0,0,0, 0, 0, 0,0,0
    1,1,0,0.0,0.0
    17,0,0,1.6,0.0
    18,1,0,0.0,0.1
    34,0,0,1.6,0.1
    35,1,0,0.0,0.2
    51,0,0,1,6,0.2
    52,1,0,0.0,0.3
    68,0,0,1.6,0.3
    69,1,0,0.0,0.4
    85,0,0,1.6,0.4
    86,1,0,0.0,0.5
102,0,0,1.6,0.5
103,1,0,0.0,0.6
119,0,0,1.6,0.6
120,1,0,0.0,0.7
136,0,0,1.6,0.7
137,1,0,0.0,0.8
153,0,0,1.6,0.8
154,1,0,0.0,0.9
170,0,0,1.6,0.9
171,1,0,0.0,1.0
187,0,0,1.6,1,0
188,1,0,0.0,1.1
204,0,0,1.6,1.1
205,1,0,0.0,1.2
221,0,0,1.6,1.2
222,1,0,0.0,1.3
238,0,0,1.6,1.3
239,1,0,0.0,1.4
255,0,0,1.6,1.4
256,1,0,0.0,1.5
272,0,0,1.6,1.5
273,1,0,0.0,1.6
289,0,0,1.6,1.6
    0,0,0,0.0,0.0
```

The general output file zip2dl.out is listed below.

```
Displ. loading; crack a=0.5; Fake material; Plane strain core
NSTART=0 NSGEN=1 MODE = = IRI=0 IUPD = N INPP = 1
NPRNT = -1 NGELE= 1 NGNOD= 1 MSD=1 NODTOT= 16
TB = 0.132E+00 TE = 0.100E+01 DT = 0.868E-02 TOL = 0.100E-05
TOLM= 0.100E-03 TOLP=0.100E-07 TOLY= 0.100E-07 NSUB= 100
NUMN = 289 NUMEL= 512 
NUMLD= 0 NUMSS= 0 NBODY = 0 NDISP= 1
NNC = 0 NMC = 1 NPD = 0 NRR = 1 ACC = 1.00
ESPR=0.10000E+09 NGRW= 1 NLMT= 8 NCRI= 1 LCRI= -1 NRLX= 3
CTOD= 0.20000E+01
MATERIAL/GEOMETRIC SETS
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc} 
SET & POIS & WT & THK & YM & SYI & MPAR(1) & MPAR(2) \\
1 & \(0.300 E+00\) & \(0.000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & 1 & 0 \\
2 & \(0.300 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\) & -2 & 0
\end{tabular}
Bi-linear material group:
GLOBAL SET # LINE MODULUS RATIO
            1 0.50000
Number of node pairs for each crack:
    1 6
Crack tip location for each crack:
            0
            6
\begin{tabular}{crcc} 
CRACK \# & PAIR \# & Node \#1 & Node \#2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 2 & 2 & -1 \\
1 & 3 & 3 & -1 \\
1 & 4 & 4 & -1 \\
1 & 5 & 5 & -1 \\
1 & 6 & 6 & -1 \\
1 & 7 & 7 & -1 \\
1 & 8 & 8 & -1 \\
1 & 9 & 9 & -1 \\
1 & 10 & 10 & -1 \\
1 & 11 & 11 & -1 \\
1 & 12 & 12 & -1 \\
1 & 14 & 13 & -1 \\
1 & 15 & 14 & -1 \\
1 & 16 & 15 & -1 \\
1 & & 16 & -1
\end{tabular}
TOTAL REQUIRED STORAGE FOR CRACK GROWTH DATA = 108;
AVAILABLE STORAGE = 20000
REQUIRED STORAGE FOR THE STIFFNESS MATRIX = 19224
TOTAL REQUIRED STORAGE = 73241 AVAILABLE STORAGE = 200000
*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time 0.65274E+00 ***
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
```

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $0.15382 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $0.85415 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.55730 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| OPENING DISPL OF |  | CRACK 1 JUST | BEFORE GROWTH AT |  | TIME 0.65274E+00: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COD | NODE1 | DISPLIX | DISPL1Y | NODE2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| $0.532 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | $-.659 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.266 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $659 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $266 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.464 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2 | -. $662 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.232 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $662 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 232E+01 |
| $0.391 E+01$ | 3 | .676E+00 | $0.195 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $676 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $195 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.307 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4 | -. 685E+00 | $0.153 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $685 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $153 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.202 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | -. $660 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.101 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $660 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $101 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.448 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6 | -. 480E+00 | $0.224 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1 | $-.480 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 224 E |


|  | crack | orr | n at time | $0.65274 \mathrm{E}+0$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IP\# | CRACK\# | DA | X | Y | NODE1 | NOD |
| 2 | 1 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 7 |  |

*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time $0.73955 \mathrm{E}+00$ ***
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $-0.13102 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.10611 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.53785 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| OPENING DISPL OF |  | CRACK 1 JUST | BEFORE GROWTH AT |  | TIME 0.73955E+00: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COD | NODE1 | DISPLIX | DISPLIY | NODE2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| $0.741 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | -. $820 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.371 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $820 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $371 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.658 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2 | -. $823 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.329 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.823 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $329 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.572 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 | $-.835 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.286 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 835E+00 | -. $286 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.477 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4 | -. $850 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.239 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.850 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $239 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.369 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | -. $851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.184 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $184 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.202 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6 | $-.779 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.101 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $779 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 101E+01 |
| $0.515 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7 | $-.628 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.257E-08 | -1 | -. $628 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $257 \mathrm{E}-08$ |


| TIP\# | CRACK\# | DA | X | Y | NODE1 | NODE2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | $0.2000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 8 | -1 |

*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time $0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00$ ***

| NODE\# | $X$ FORCE | $Y$ FORCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | -0.63544E-17 | $0.12103 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | -0.43368E-18 | $0.45836 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| vG | F | CRACK | B | GROWTH AT | TIME | 560E+00: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COD | NODE1 | DISPL1X | DISPLIY | NODE2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| $0.892 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 875E+00 | $0.446 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.875 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $446 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.802 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2 | -. $877 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.401 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $877 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.401 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.709 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 | -. $887 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.355 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.887 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 35 |
| $0.611 E+01$ | 4 | -. $900 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.305 E+01$ | -1 | $-.900 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $305 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.502 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | -. $907 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.251 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 907E+00 | -. $251 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.346 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6 | $-.861 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.173 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $861 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $173 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7 | -. $840 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.840 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $100 \mathrm{E}+0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TIP\# | CRACK\# | $\begin{gathered} \text { rmat } \\ \mathrm{D} \end{gathered}$ | X | - $0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00$ | NODE1 | NODE2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 9 | -1 |

*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time $0.79164 \mathrm{E}+00$ ***
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $0.55769 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $0.13613 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $-0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0.37706 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| OPENING DISPL OF | CRACK 1 JUST |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COD | NODE1 | DISPL1X | DISPLIY | GROWTH AT | TIME | $0.79164 \mathrm{E}+00$ : |
| $0.104 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1 | $-.893 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.520 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.893 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.520 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.943 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2 | $-.895 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.471 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.895 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.471 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.844 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 | $-.903 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.422 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.903 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.422 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.741 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4 | $-.914 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.370 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.914 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.370 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.630 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | $-.920 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.315 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.920 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.315 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.474 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6 | $-.879 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.237 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.879 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.237 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.341 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7 | $-.887 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.170 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.887 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.170 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8 | $-.859 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.859 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.528 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 9 | $-.686 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.264 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1 | $-.686 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.264 \mathrm{E}-08$ |


| $\frac{\mathrm{PH}}{\mathrm{NE}}$ | crack | orma | at | 64E+ | NODE1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | $0.4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.9000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 10 | -1 |

*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time $0.82637 \mathrm{E}+00$ ***


OPENING DISPL OF CRACK 1 JUST BEFORE GROWTH AT TIME $0.82637 \mathrm{E}+00$ :

| COD | NODE1 | DISPL1X | DISPLIY | NODE2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.120 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1 | $-.885 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.598 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.885 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.598 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.109 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2 | $-.886 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.546 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.886 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.546 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.985 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3 | $-.893 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.493 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.893 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.493 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.876 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4 | $-.901 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.438 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.901 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.438 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.760 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | $-.906 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.380 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.906 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.380 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.602 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6 | $-.866 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.301 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.866 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.301 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.470 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7 | $-.881 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.235 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.881 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.235 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.342 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8 | $-.884 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.171 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.884 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.171 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9 | $-.848 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.101 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.848 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.101 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.526 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 10 | $-.667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.263 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1 | $-.667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.263 \mathrm{E}-08$ |


| New crack | tip information at time | $0.82637 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TIP\# | CRACK\# | DA | X | NODE1 | NODE 2 |  |
| 2 | 1 | $0.5000 E+00$ | $0.1000 E+01$ | $0.0000 E+00$ | 11 | -1 |

*** Node pair at crack tip 2 of crack 1 broke at time $0.86978 \mathrm{E}+00$ ***


| OPENING DISPL OF |  | CRACK 1 JUST | BEFORE | GROWTH AT TIME 0.86978E+00: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COD | NODE1 |  | DISPL1Y | NODE 2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| $0.136 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 1 | $-.849 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.680 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $849 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 680E+01 |
| $0.125 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2 | $-.850 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.624 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.850 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 624 E |
| $0.113 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3 | . $856 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.567 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $856 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $567 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.102 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 4 | -. $862 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.509 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $862 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 509E+01 |
| $0.895 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5 | $-.865 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.447 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.865 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 447 E |
| $0.732 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 6 | $-.825 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.366 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $825 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $366 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.598 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7 | . $842 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.299 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -.842E+00 | . $299 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.471 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8 | -. $855 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.235 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | -. $855 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 235E+01 |
| $0.342 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9 | -. $851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.171 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 171E+01 |
| $0.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 10 | . $808 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $808 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 100 E |
| . $515 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 11 | $-.623 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.258 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1 | $-.623 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |



| Reactions at nodes with specified |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.10625 E-16$ | $0.19154 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.17195 \mathrm{E}+00$ |


| OPENING DISPL OF |  | ACK 1 JUST | F BEFORE GROWTH AT |  | TIME 0.93923E+00: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COD | NODE1 |  | DISPL1Y | NODE2 | DISPL2X | DISPL2Y |
| $0.156 E+02$ | 1 | $800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.782 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.800 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $782 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.144 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 2 | $801 E+00$ | $0.720 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 801E+00 | 720E |
| $0.132 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3 | -. $806 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.658 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 806E+00 | . $658 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.119 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 4 | . $811 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.595 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | . $811 \mathrm{E}+0$ | $-.595 \mathrm{I}$ |
| $0.106 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 5 | . $813 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.529 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 813E+00 | $-.529 \mathrm{E}+0$ |
| $0.886 E+01$ | 6 | . $772 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.443 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $772 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 443 |
| $0.744 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 7 | $-.791 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.372 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. 791E+00 | 37 |
| $0.612 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8 | -. $807 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.306 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | -. $807 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.306 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| $0.481 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9 | $-.814 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.241 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.814 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $241 \mathrm{E}+0$ |
| $0.347 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 10 | -. $804 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.174 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | . $804 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $174 \mathrm{E}+0$ |
| $0.202 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 11 | $-.754 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.101 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -1 | $-.754 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 101E+ |
| $0.502 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 12 | $-.562 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.251 \mathrm{E}-08$ | -1 | $-.562 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 251 |


|  | crack | form | at time | $0.93923 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIP\# | CRACK\# | DA | X | Y | NODE1 | NODE2 |
| 2 | 1 | $0.7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.1200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 13 |  |

SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 5;
Total number of iterations so far is 648;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 21 times so far.
2ND P-K STRESSES \& G-L NORMAL STRAINS:
ELE \# COORD X COORD Y STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY STRAIN X STRAIN Y
$0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01-.114 \mathrm{E}-01-.114 \mathrm{E}-010.114 \mathrm{E}-01-.799 \mathrm{E}-02-.799 \mathrm{E}-02$
$0.133 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01-.397 \mathrm{E}-01-.422 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 0.373 \mathrm{E}-02-.384 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.770 \mathrm{E}-02$
$0.233 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01-.497 \mathrm{E}-01-.105 \mathrm{E}-03-.193 \mathrm{E}-01-.496 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.148 \mathrm{E}-01$
$0.333 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01-.974 \mathrm{E}-020.932 \mathrm{E}-02-.620 \mathrm{E}-01-.125 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.122 \mathrm{E}-01$ $0.433 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01-.101 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.863 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.927 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.408 \mathrm{E}+00-.279 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.533 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.401 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.284 \mathrm{E}+00-.339 \mathrm{E}-01-.197 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.573 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.633 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.388 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.360 \mathrm{E}+00-.653 \mathrm{E}-01-.190 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.656 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.733 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.358 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.388 \mathrm{E}+00-.111 \mathrm{E}+00-.132 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.627 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.833 \mathrm{E}+000.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.827 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.388 \mathrm{E}+00-.173 \mathrm{E}+00-.374 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.556 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.933 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.246 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.406 \mathrm{E}+00-.272 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.149 \mathrm{E}+000.456 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.103 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.704 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.528 \mathrm{E}+00-.503 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.567 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.326 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.113 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.243 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.123 \mathrm{E}+01-.155 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.188 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.259 \mathrm{E}+00$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}0.123 E+01 & 0.333 E-01 & 0.259 E+01 & 0.400 E+01 & 0.761 E+00 & 0.793 E+00 & 0.281 E+01\end{array}$ $0.133 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.171 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.147 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.784 \mathrm{E}+000.123 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.457 \mathrm{E}+00$ $0.143 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.836 \mathrm{E}+00-.245 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.654 \mathrm{E}+000.123 \mathrm{E}+01-.939 \mathrm{E}+00$
$16 \quad 0.153 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.904 \mathrm{E}-01-.167 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.571 \mathrm{E}+000.139 \mathrm{E}+01-.227 \mathrm{E}+01$
DISPLACEMENTS:

| NODE\# | COORD X | COORD Y | DISPL X | DISPL Y | DISPL R | DISPL T |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6983 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.8727 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |
| 2 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6991 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.8068 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.6991 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.8068 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 3 | $0.2000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.7405 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7030 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.7405 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 4 | $0.3000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7079 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.6730 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7079 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.6730 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 5 | $0.4000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7092 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.60344 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7092 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.6034 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 6 | $0.5000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6683 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.51440 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.6683 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5140 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 7 | $0.6000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.4399 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.6880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.4399 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 8 | $0.7000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7070 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3712 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7070 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3712 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 9 | $0.8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7203 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3042 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7203 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.3042 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 10 | $0.9000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7240 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.2374 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7240 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.2374 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 11 | $0.1000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.7091 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.1691 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-0.7091 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.1691 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 12 | $0.1100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6524 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.9567 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.6524 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.9567 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 13 | $0.1200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.4644 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.2316 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $-0.4644 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.2316 \mathrm{E}-08$ |


| 14 | $0.1300 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.3851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5322 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.3851 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.5322 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | $0.1400 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.2619 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.2788 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.2619 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.2788 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 16 | $0.1500 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.1391 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9281 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.1391 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-0.9281 \mathrm{E}-09$ |
| 17 | $0.1600 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

The detailed stress and strain output file zip2dl.dat is listed below.


Principal Cauchy Stresses:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
\text { PSTRESS 1 } & \text { PSTRESS 2 } & \text { ANGLE } & \text { PSHEAR } \\
-0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18 & -0.22841 \mathrm{E}-01 & 0.45000 \mathrm{E}+02 & 0.11420 \mathrm{E}-01 \\
\text { Green-Lagrange Strains: } & & & \\
\text { STRAIN X } & \text { STRAIN Y } & \text { STRAIN XY } & \text { STRS/STRN Z } \\
-0.79942 \mathrm{E}-02-0.79942 \mathrm{E}-02 & 0.29693 \mathrm{E}-01 & 0.68522 \mathrm{E}-02
\end{array}
$$

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:

| $R$ COOR | T COOR | STRESS R | STRESS T | STRESS RT |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.47140 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.45000 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-0.65052 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $-0.22841 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.21684 \mathrm{E}-18$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| STRAIN R | STRAIN T | STRAIN RT |  |  |
| $0.68522 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-0.22841 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.80231 \mathrm{E}-17$ |  |  |

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.22841 \mathrm{E}-01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

```
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 2 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT X COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    1 0.13333E+00 0.33333E-01 -0.39704E-01 -0.42161E-02 0.37350E-02
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
            PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
        -0.38273E-02 -0.40093E-01 0.84057E+02 0.18133E-01
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
        -0.38439E-01 0.76951E-02 0.97110E-02 0.13176E-01
```

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR $T$ COOR STRESS $R$ STRESS $T$ STRESS RT
$0.13744 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.14036 \mathrm{E}+02-0.35859 \mathrm{E}-01-0.80613 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 0.11646 \mathrm{E}-01$
$\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { STRAIN } & \text { R } & \text { STRAIN } & \text { STRAIN RT } \\ -0.33441 E-01 & 0.26964 E-02 & 0.30279 \mathrm{E}-01\end{array}$
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.38323 E-01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

```
****** ELEMENT NUMBER
3 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT X COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    1 0.23333E+00 0.33333E-01 -0.49679E-01 -0.10455E-03 -0.19305E-01
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
        PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
```

```
0.65260E-02 -0.56310E-01 -0.71044E+02 0.31418E-01
```

Green-Lagrange Strains:

$$
\begin{array}{rrrrr}
\text { STRAIN } X & \text { STRAIN Y } & \text { STRAIN XY } & \text { STRS/STRN Z } \\
-0.49648 \mathrm{E}-01 & 0.14799 \mathrm{E}-01 & -0.50192 \mathrm{E}-01 & 0.14935 \mathrm{E}-01
\end{array}
$$

```
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.23570E+00 0.81301E+01 -0.54093E-01 0.43093E-02 -0.11592E-01
    STRAIN R
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.59841 \mathrm{E}-01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 4 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT $\quad X$ COOR $\quad Y$ COOR STRESS $X \quad$ STRESS Y STRESS XY
$1 \quad 0.33333 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad-0.97416 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 0.93164 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad-0.62031 \mathrm{E}-01$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.62547 \mathrm{E}-01-0.62972 \mathrm{E}-01-0.49367 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.62759 \mathrm{E}-0 \mathrm{I}$
Green-Lagrange Strains:
STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
$-0.12537 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.12239 \mathrm{E}-01-0.16128 \mathrm{E}+000003$

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR $T$ COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.33500 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.57106 \mathrm{E}+01-0.21836 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.21411 \mathrm{E}-01-0.58916 \mathrm{E}-01$
$\begin{array}{rrrr}\text { STRAIN } & R & \text { STRAIN } & \text { T } \\ -0.28260 \mathrm{E}-01 & 0.27962 \mathrm{E}-01 & -0.15318 \mathrm{E}+00\end{array}$
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.10870 \mathrm{E}+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.27595 E+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.23497 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.13497 \mathrm{E}+01$

```
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 6 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT Y COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    1 0.53333E+00 0.33333E-01 0.40147E-01 0.28389E+00 -0.33909E-01
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
    PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.28852E+00 0.35518E-01 -0.82226E+02 0.12650E+00
Green-Lagrange Strains:
            STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
        -0.19693E+00 0.57280E+00 -0.10780E+01 0.61452E+00
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
R COOR & T COOR & STRESS R & STRESS T & STRESS RT \\
\(0.53437 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.35763 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.36873 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(0.28716 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(-0.18470 \mathrm{E}-01\) \\
STRAIN & STRAIN & STRAIN RT & & \\
\(-0.26105 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.63691 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(-0.97372 \mathrm{E}+00\) &
\end{tabular}
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.50276E+00 YIELD SURFACE = 0.29417E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.19417E+01
```


EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.64223 \mathrm{E}+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.31271 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.21271 \mathrm{E}+01$
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 8 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT $\quad X$ COOR $Y$ COOR STRESS $X \quad$ STRESS $Y \quad$ STRESS XY
$1 \quad 0.73333 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.35802 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.38762 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad-0.11065 \mathrm{E}+00$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.41953 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.38971 \mathrm{E}-02-0.73915 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.20781 \mathrm{E}+00$
Green-Lagrange Strains:
STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
$-0.13239 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.62674 \mathrm{E}+00-0.78332 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.81216 \mathrm{E}+00$

Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT $0.73409 \mathrm{E}+000.26026 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.26489 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.39693 \mathrm{E}+00-0.94231 \mathrm{E}-01$

```
    STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    -0.16635E+00 0.66070E+00 -0.71122E+00
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.70007 E+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.31766 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.21766 \mathrm{E}+01$
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 9 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT $\quad X$ COOR $\quad Y$ COOR STRESS $X \quad$ STRESS Y STRESS XY
$1 \quad 0.83333 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.82692 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.38761 \mathrm{E}+00-0.17261 \mathrm{E}+00$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.46546 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.48507 \mathrm{E}-02-0.65726 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.23030 \mathrm{E}+00$
Green-Lagrange Strains:
STRAIN $X$ STRATN $Y$ STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
$-0.37426 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.55643 \mathrm{E}+00-0.86904 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.82684 \mathrm{E}+00$
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
$R$ COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
$0.83400 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.22906 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.69392 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.40091 \mathrm{E}+00-0.15988 \mathrm{E}+00$
STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
$-0.71183 E-01 \quad 0.59018 E+00-0.81883 E+00$
EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.71359 E+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.31588 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.21588 \mathrm{E}+01$

| ****** ELEMENT NUMBER 10 ****** |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ***Cauchy Stresses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| POINT | X COOR | Y COOR | STRESS X | STRESS $Y$ | STRESS XY |
| 1 | $0.93333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.24591 E+00$ | $0.40649 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.27212E+00 |
| Principal Cauchy Stresses: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PSTRESS 1 | PSTRESS 2 | ANGLE | PSHEAR |  |
|  | $0.60992 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.42488 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-0.53219 E+02$ | $0.28372 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
| Green-Lagrange Strains: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STRAIN X | STRAIN Y | STRAIN XY | STRS/STRN Z |  |
|  | $0.14918 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.45603 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.10421E+01 | $0.86018 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
| Cauch | tresses and Gre | een-Lagrange | Strains in Polar Coord System: |  |  |
|  | R COOR | T COOR | STRESS R | STRESS T | STRESS RT |
|  | $0.93393 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.20454 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.22671 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.42570 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -0.26570E+00 |
|  | STRAIN R | STRAIN T | STRAIN RT |  |  |
|  | $0.11240 E+00$ | $0.49281 E+00$ | -0.10175E+01 |  |  |

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.72568 \mathrm{E}+00$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.30915 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.20915 \mathrm{E}+01$
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 11 ******
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT $\quad \mathrm{X}$ COOR $\quad \mathrm{Y}$ COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
$1 \quad 0.10333 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.70396 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.52844 \mathrm{E}+00-0.50335 \mathrm{E}+00$
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
$0.11271 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 0.10525 \mathrm{E}+00-0.40055 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 0.51095 \mathrm{E}+00$

```
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.56707E+00 0.32630E+00 -0.15551E+01 0.10005E+01
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
    R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.10339E+01 0.18476E+01 0.67133E+00 0.56106E+00 -0.50796E+00
    STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    0.51671E+00 0.37667E+00 -0.15674E+01
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.96482E+00 YIELD SURFACE = 0.30333E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.20333E+01
****** ELEMENT NUMBER
            1 2
***Cauchy Stresses:
\begin{tabular}{crrrrrr} 
POINT & X COOR & Y COOR & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY \\
1 & \(0.11333 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(0.24315 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.12301 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(-0.15467 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
        PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2 ANGLE PSHEAR
    0.34900E+01 0.17157E+00 -0.34387E+02 0.16592E+01
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.18797E+01 0.25946E+00 -0.39512E+01 0.16856E+01
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR STRESS R STRESS T STRESS RT
    0.11338E+01 0.16847E+01 0.23395E+01 0.13220E+01 -0.15793E+01
        STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    0.17622E+01 0.37697E+00 -0.40395E+01
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.28775E+01 YIELD SURFACE = 0.28830E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.18830E+01
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 13 ******
\begin{tabular}{crrrrrr}
\(* * *\) Cauchy & Stresses: & & & & \\
POINT & X COOR & Y COOR & STRESS X & STRESS Y & STRESS XY \\
1 & \(0.12333 E+01\) & \(0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01\) & \(0.25927 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.40032 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.76096 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
                PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2
    0.43355E+01 0.22604E+01
        0.66412E+02
        PSHEAR
        0.10375E+01
Green-Lagrange Strains:
    STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.79342E+00 0.28112E+01 0.33958E+01 0.24147E+01
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr}
\(R\) COOR & T COOR & STRESSR & STRESS T & STRESS RT \\
\(0.12338 E+01\) & \(0.15482 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.26348 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.39611 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.79794 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
STRAIN \(R\) STRAIN T STRAIN RT
```

EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.20024 \mathrm{E}+01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.20084 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.10084 \mathrm{E}+01$
****** ELEMENT NUMBER 14 ******

```
***Cauchy Stresses:
POINT X COOR Y COOR STRESS X STRESS Y STRESS XY
    1 0.13333E+01 0.33333E-01 0.17070E+01 0.14706E+01 
Principal Cauchy Stresses:
            PSTRESS 1 PSTRESS 2
    0.23813E+01
            0.79640E+00
        ANGLE
        PSHEAR
        0.40712E+02 0.79242E+00
Green-Lagrange Strains:
            STRAIN X STRAIN Y STRAIN XY STRS/STRN Z
    0.12312E+01-0.45728E+00 0.26213E+01
    0.26213E+01 0.10424E+01
Cauchy Stresses and Green-Lagrange Strains in Polar Coord System:
            R COOR T COOR
            STRESS R STRESS T
                    R COOR 
    STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
    0.12962E+01 0.39227E+00
                    0.25794E+01
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.14773E+01 YIELD SURFACE = 0.14820E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.48196E+00
```


EFFECTIVE STRESS $=0.14783 \mathrm{E}+01$ YIELD SURFACE $=0.14818 \mathrm{E}+01$
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN $=0.48181 \mathrm{E}+00$


```
    STRAIN R STRAIN T STRAIN RT
0.14288E+01 -0.23068E+01 0.16448E+01
EFFECTIVE STRESS = 0.18258E+01 YIELD SURFACE = 0.18293E+01
EFFECTIVE PLASTIC STRAIN = 0.82934E+00
```

The plastic zone output file zip2dl.pls is listed below.

PLASTIC ZONE GIVEN BY YIELDED GAUSS INTEGRATION POINTS:
(The effective stress is within $2 \%$ of the flow stress)

| X-COOR | Y-COOR | EFF-STS | FLOW-STS | PT \# | ELE \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.11333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.28775 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.28830 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 12 |
| $0.12333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.20024 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20084 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 13 |
| $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.14773 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14820 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 14 |
| $0.14333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.14783 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14818 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 15 |
| $0.15333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.18258 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.18293 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 16 |
| $0.11667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.66667 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.20933 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20991 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 28 |
| $0.15667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.66667 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $0.25703 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.25785 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 32 |
| $0.11333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.18000 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.18049 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 44 |
| $0.12333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13333 E+00$ | $0.17128 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.17170 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 45 |
| $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.16528 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16567 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 46 |
| $0.14333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.16959 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16994 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 47 |
| $0.15333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.20090 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20139 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 48 |
| $0.11667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.14527 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14565 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 60 |
| $0.15667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.20849 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20872 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 64 |
| $0.12333 E+01$ | $0.23333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.19816 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16578 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 77 |
| $0.13333 E+01$ | $0.23333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.17375 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16331 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 78 |
| $0.14333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.23333 E+00$ | $0.16438 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.16511 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 79 |
| $0.15333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.23333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.19384 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.18846 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 80 |
| $0.11667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.26667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.15163 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.12957 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 92 |
| $0.13667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.26667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.14082 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13355 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 94 |
| $0.14667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.26667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.22458 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.17110 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 95 |
| $0.15667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.26667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.31768 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.22164 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 96 |
| $0.13333 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.33333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.13137 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.13264 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 110 |
| $0.11667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.36667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.10278 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.10307 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 124 |
| $0.14667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.36667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.17186 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14618 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 127 |
| $0.15667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.36667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.23217 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.18008 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 128 |
| $0.14667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.46667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.12836 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.12639 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 159 |
| $0.15667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.46667 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.16206 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.14939 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1 | 160 |

The run-time message output file zip2dl.msg is listed below. Because this file is too large, it has been abridged substantially.

```
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.13184E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative exrors for force and energy increments: 0.280E-15 & 0.172E-15.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.13184E+00 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 2;
    Total number of iterations so far is 2;
    [K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 0 times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.18591E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.100000E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
```

```
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
        17 0.16427E-14 0.20361E-01
        273 0.27213E-16 0.13116E+00
    Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
    CRACK# TIP# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
        1 2 0.37395E+00 0.20000E+01
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.14052E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 & 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.463E-02 & 0.285E-02.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.923E-04 & 0.563E-04.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.245E-03 & 0.151E-03.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.944E-05 & 0.579E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.510E-31 & 0.204E-31.
SOLUTION AT T = 0.14052E+00 IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 8;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 0 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress = 0.19779E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.104502E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    17 -0.54210E-19 0.21669E-01
    273 0.00000E+00 0.13958E+00
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= \(328 \&\) MCMIN= 1
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Current & fracture & driving force & (CTOD): \\
CRACK\# & TIP\# & CURRENT CTOD & CRITICAL CTOD \\
1 & 2 & \(0.39796 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: T = 0.64405E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01& &.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.195E-01 & 0.233E-01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.108E-02& 0.520E-03.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.740E-03 & 0.946E-03.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.112E-04 & 0.629E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.739E-30& 0.574E-30.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.64405E+00 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
    Total number of iterations so far is 356;
    [K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 0 times so far.
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.77735E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.375216E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
```

| NODE\# | $X$ FORCE | Y FORCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | -0.67424E-17 | 0.84594E-01 |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.55134 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=298 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture | driving force | (CTOD): |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19884 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.65274 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.193 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.235 \mathrm{E}-01$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.112 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.527 \mathrm{E}-03$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.742 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.954 \mathrm{E}-03$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.115 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.680 \mathrm{E}-05$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.585 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.438 \mathrm{E}-30$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.65274 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 362;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 0 times so far.

| Max. Effective Stress $=0.78574 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element |  |  |  | 481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.379314 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress) (Elastic elements excluded) |  |  |  | 417 |
| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |  |  |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |  |  |
| 17 | $0.15382 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $0.85415 \mathrm{E}-01$ |  |  |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.55730 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=297 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture driving force | (CTOD): |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.20161 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT $T=0.65274 E+00 \&$ ISUB $=9$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.500 \mathrm{E}+02 \& 0.687 \mathrm{E}+02$.

SOLUTION DIVERGED AT $T=0.65274 \mathrm{E}+00 \&$ ISUB $=10$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.667 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.342 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.398 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.149 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.261 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.152 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.149 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.109 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.274 \mathrm{E}-07 \& 0.982 \mathrm{E}-08$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.65274 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 14;
Total number of iterations so far is 370 ;
[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 3 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.66811 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.316119 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.21711 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.94464 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 273 | $-0.86736 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0.47424 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= 4733 \& MCMIN= 1 .

| Current | fracture driving force | (CTOD): |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.16913 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.73087 E+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.114E-01 \& 0.149E-01. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.765E-03 \& 0.581E-03. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.484E-03 \& 0.637E-03. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.753E-04 \& 0.682E-04. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.710 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.365 \mathrm{E}-30$.

SOLUTION AT $T=0.73087 E+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 424;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 3 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.74972 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.359929 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.67559 E-17$ | $0.10496 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.53184 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $183 \&$ MCMIN= 1 .

| Current | fracture driving force | (CTOD): |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19871 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.73955 E+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 \& 0.100E+01.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.115 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.153 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.726 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.562 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.507E-03 \& 0.666E-03.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.690E-04 \& 0.639E-04.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.555 \mathrm{E}-30$ \& $0.250 \mathrm{E}-30$.
SOLUTION AT $T=0.73955 E+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 430;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 3 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.75823 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.364513 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.13102 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.10611 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.53785 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $183 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):

| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | $0.20233 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

SOLUTION DIVERGED AT $T=0.73955 E+00 \&$ ISUB $=9$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.661 E+02 \& 0.877 E+02$.
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT $T=0.73955 \mathrm{E}+00$ \& ISUB $=10$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2 .
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.888 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.432 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.507 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.180 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.350 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.196 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.168 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.122 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.576 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.396 \mathrm{E}-30$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.73955 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 14;
Total number of iterations so far is 438;
[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 6 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.62172 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.291343 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$17-0.22208 \mathrm{E}-16 \quad 0.11688 \mathrm{E}+00$
$273 \quad 0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.44148 \mathrm{E}+00$
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $5905 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture | driving force | (CTOD): |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19208 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.75691 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.650 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.759 \mathrm{E}-02$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.311 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.205 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.324 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.368 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.259 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.230 \mathrm{E}-04$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.223 E-08 \& 0.557 E-09$.
SOLUTION AT T = $0.75691 E+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 450;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 6 times so far.

```
    Max. Effective Stress = 0.63817E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
    Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.300125E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    17 0.81654E-18 0.11979E+00
    273 0.00000E+00 0.45309E+00
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= \(134 \& M C M I N=1\).
\begin{tabular}{rrrr} 
Current & fracture \\
CRACK\# & TIP\# & CURRENT CTOD & (CTOD): \\
1 & 2 & \(0.19754 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: \(T=0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.791E-02 \& 0.990E-02.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.519 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.260 \mathrm{E}-03\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.409 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.475 \mathrm{E}-03\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.348 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.257 \mathrm{E}-04\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.610 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.418 \mathrm{E}-30\).
SOLUTION AT T \(=0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00\) IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 456;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 6 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress \(=0.64564 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs \(=0.304119 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 417 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
\(17 \quad-0.63544 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad 0.12103 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\(273-0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18 \quad 0.45836 \mathrm{E}+00\)
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= \(131 \&\) MCMIN= 1 .
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK\# TIP\# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
\(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 0.20047 \mathrm{E}+01 & 0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\end{array}\)
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T \(=0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00\) \& ISUB \(=9\).
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1 .
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.689 \mathrm{E}+02 \& 0.886 \mathrm{E}+02\).
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T \(=0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00 \& \mathrm{ISUB}=10\).
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2 .
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.891 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.385 \mathrm{E}+01\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.503 E+00 \& 0.146 E+00\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.354 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.182 \mathrm{E}+00\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.117 \mathrm{E}-01 \& 0.700 \mathrm{E}-02\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.262 \mathrm{E}-06 \& 0.936 \mathrm{E}-07\).
SOLUTION AT T \(=0.76560 \mathrm{E}+00\) IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 14;
Total number of iterations so far is 464;
```

[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 9 times so far.

| Max. Effective Stress $=0.51167 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.293380 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress) (Elastic elements excluded) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | -0.35602E-16 | $0.13172 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.36379 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $6067 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture driving force | (CTOD): |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19263 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.77428 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.256 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.156 \mathrm{E}-02$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.131 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.742 \mathrm{E}-04$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.130 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.649 \mathrm{E}-04$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.143E-04\& 0.100E-04. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.540 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.254 \mathrm{E}-30$.

SOLUTION AT T $=0.77428 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 470;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 9 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.51849 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.297807 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 8
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$17-0.50009 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad 0.13344 \mathrm{E}+00$
$273 \quad 0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.36860 \mathrm{E}+00$
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=105 \&$ MCMIN $=1$.

| Current | fracture | driving force | (CTOD): |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19527 E+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.78296 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.673 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.759 \mathrm{E}-02$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.331 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.205 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.376 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.368 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.229E-04 \& 0.220E-04.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.674 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.261 \mathrm{E}-30$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.78296 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 476;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;


Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= 134 \& MCMIN= 1 .

| Current | fracture | driving force | (CTOD): |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19802 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.79164 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.710 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.855 \mathrm{E}-02$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.375E-03 \& 0.218E-03.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.382E-03 \& 0.414E-03.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.204E-04 \& 0.197E-04.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.877E-30 \& 0.761E-30.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.79164 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 482;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 9 times so far.

Max. Effective Stress $=0.53047 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.306836 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 8
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $0.55769 E-17$ | $0.13613 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $-0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0.37706 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $122 \&$ MCMIN= 1 .

```
    Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
    CRACK# TIP# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
        1 2 0.20085E+01 0.20000E+01
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.79164E+00 & ISUB = 9.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.697E+02 & 0.872E+02.
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.79164E+00 & ISUB = 10.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.872E+01 & 0.326E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.494E+00 & 0.122E+00
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.335E+00 & 0.156E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.726E-02 & 0.396E-02.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.429E-30 & 0.227E-30.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.79164E+00 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 14;
```

```
Total number of iterations so far is 490;
[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of }12\mathrm{ times so far.
Max. Effective Stress = 0.40399E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.292372E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    17 -0.13607E-16 0.14625E+00
    273 -0.43368E-18 0.28776E+00
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX \(=6060 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1\).
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK\# TIP\# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & 2 & \(0.19088 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: \(T=0.81769 \mathrm{E}+00\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\). Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.561 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.645 \mathrm{E}-02\). Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.281 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.172 \mathrm{E}-03\). Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.281 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.299 \mathrm{E}-03\). Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.126 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.143 \mathrm{E}-04\). Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.556 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.173 \mathrm{E}-30\).
SOLUTION AT T \(=0.81769 \mathrm{E}+00\) IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 508;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 12 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress \(=0.41843 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs \(=0.304912 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 9 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
Reactions at nodes with specified \\
NODE\# & X FORCE & Y FORCE \\
17 & \(-0.98933 E-18\) & \(0.15095 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
273 & \(0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18\) & \(0.29795 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= \(134 \& M C M I N=1\).
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK\# TIP\# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
\begin{tabular}{llll}
1 & 2 & \(0.19872 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: \(T=0.82637 E+00\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.550 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.709 \mathrm{E}-02\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.347 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.206 \mathrm{E}-03\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.281 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.323 \mathrm{E}-03\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.134 \mathrm{E}-04 \& 0.159 \mathrm{E}-04\).
Relative errors for force and energy increments: \(0.500 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.189 \mathrm{E}-30\).
SOLUTION AT T \(=0.82637 E+00\) IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 514;
```

[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 12 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.42287 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.309103 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 9 (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:

| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | $-0.12983 \mathrm{E}-16$ | $0.15245 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $-0.43368 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0.30109 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=126 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

```
    Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
    CRACK# TIP# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
        1 2 2 0.20143E+01 
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.82637E+00 & ISUB = 9.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.692E+02& 0.846E+02.
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.82637E+00 & ISUB = 10.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.834E+01 & 0.275E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.478E+00& & 0.112E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.315E+00 & 0.131E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.355E-02 & 0.188E-02.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.383E-04 & 0.997E-05.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.144E-09& 0.921E-10.
    SOLUTION AT T = 0.82637E+00 IS CONVERGED
    Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
    Number of iteration for this time step is 15;
    Total number of iterations so far is 523;
    [K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
    [K] is updated a total of 15 times so far.
```

    Max. Effective Stress \(=0.30667 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
    Max. Relative Eff Strs \(=0.286850 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element
    10
    (Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
    (Elastic elements excluded)
    Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
    NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
        \(17 \quad 0.21640 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 0.16159 \mathrm{E}+00\)
        \(2730.00000 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.21901 \mathrm{E}+00\)
    Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=5903 \&$ MCMIN= 1.

```
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK# TIP# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
```

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.86109 \mathrm{E}+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.465 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.438 \mathrm{E}-02$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.252 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.105 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.232 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.203 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.784 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.526 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.511 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.271 \mathrm{E}-30$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.86109 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
$\qquad$

```
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 547;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of }15\mathrm{ times so far.
Max. Effective Stress = 0.32082E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.302168E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 10
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
\begin{tabular}{rcc} 
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: \\
NODE\# & X FORCE & Y FORCE \\
17 & \(0.21413 \mathrm{E}-17\) & \(0.16819 \mathrm{E}+00\) \\
273 & \(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\) & \(0.22901 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX \(=129 \& M C M I N=1\).
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Current & fracture & driving force & (CTOD): \\
CRACK\# & TIP\# & CURRENT CTOD & CRITICAL CTOD \\
1 & 2 & \(0.19785 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: \(T=0.86978 \mathrm{E}+00\).
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01& 0.100E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.621E-02 & 0.538E-02.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.301E-03 & 0.128E-03.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.324E-03 & 0.255E-03.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.124E-04& 0.795E-05.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.481E-30 & 0.175E-30.
SOLUTION AT T = 0.86978E+00 IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 553;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of }15\mathrm{ times so far.
Max. Effective Stress = 0.32404E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 481
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.306357E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 10
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: \\
NODE\# & X FORCE \\
17 & \(-0.79418 \mathrm{E}-17\) \\
273 & \(0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00\)
\end{tabular}
Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX \(=129 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1\).
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Current & fracture \\
CRACK\# & TIP\# & CURRENT CTOD & (CTOD): \\
1 & 2 & \(0.20053 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01\)
\end{tabular}
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.86978E+00 & ISUB = 9.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.663E+02& 0.797E+02.
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T = 0.86978E+00 & ISUB = 10.
    Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.739E+01& 0.217E+01.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.469E+00 & 0.103E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.260E+00 & 0.101E+00.
    Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.224E-02& 0.117E-02.
```

```
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.269E-05 & 0.796E-06.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.326E-30 & 0.176E-30.
SOLUTION AT T = 0.86978E+00 IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 15;
Total number of iterations so far is 562;
[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of }18\mathrm{ times so far.
Max. Effective Stress \(=0.27770 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 1 of Element
Max. Relative Eff Strs \(=0.277698 \mathrm{E}+01\) at Gauss Pt 11 of Element
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    17 -0.30358E-17 0.17762E+00
    273 0.00000E+00 0.15857E+00
```

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $5434 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture | driving force | (CTOD): |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.18224 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.93055 E+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.704E-02\& 0.463E-02.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.216 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.770 \mathrm{E}-04$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.345 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.225 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.438 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.228 \mathrm{E}-05$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.385E-30 \& 0.202E-30.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.93055 \mathrm{E}+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 603;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 18 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.30062 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 11
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.300620 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 11
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.75894 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0.18991 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.17046 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=153 \& M C M I N=1$.

| Current | fracture driving force | (CTOD): |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL CTOD |
| 1 | 2 | $0.19899 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.93923 E+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.100E+01 \& 0.100E+01. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.665 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.448 \mathrm{E}-02$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.216 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.767 \mathrm{E}-04$. Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.323E-03 \& 0.215E-03. Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.465 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.242 \mathrm{E}-05$.

Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.347 \mathrm{E}-30 \& 0.524 \mathrm{E}-30$.

```
SOLUTION AT T = 0.93923E+00 IS CONVERGED
```

Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 6;
Total number of iterations so far is 609;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 18 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.30288 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 11
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.302880 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 11
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| React NODE\# | ns at nodes X FORCE | h specified Y FORCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | -0.10625E-16 | $0.19154 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.17195 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=145 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.

| Current | fracture |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | (CTOD): |
| 1 | 2 | $0.20168 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT T $=0.93923 \mathrm{E}+00 \&$ ISUB $=9$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 1.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.628 \mathrm{E}+02 \& 0.750 \mathrm{E}+02$.
*** SOLUTION DIVERGED AT $T=0.93923 \mathrm{E}+00 \&$ ISUB $=10$.
Total diverged iterations at this time step is 2.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.560 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.156 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.353 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.706 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.193 \mathrm{E}+00 \& 0.751 \mathrm{E}-01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.493 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.280 \mathrm{E}-03$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.247 \mathrm{E}-05 \& 0.802 \mathrm{E}-06$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.327 \mathrm{E}-07 \& 0.937 \mathrm{E}-08$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.93923 E+00$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 15 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 618;
[K] is updated 3 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of 21 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.26789 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.267891 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE\# X FORCE Y FORCE
$17-0.53126 \mathrm{E}-17 \quad 0.19703 \mathrm{E}+00$
$273-0.21684 \mathrm{E}-18 \quad 0.10927 \mathrm{E}+00$

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $6207 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK\# TIP\# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD

| 1 | 2 | $0.17630 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.98264 E+00$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.

```
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.359E-02 & 0.175E-02.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.144E-03 & 0.399E-04.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.146E-03 & 0.741E-04.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.649E-06 & 0.303E-06.
SOLUTION AT T = 0.98264E+00 IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 5;
Total number of iterations so far is 643;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
[K] is updated a total of }21\mathrm{ times so far.
Max. Effective Stress = 0.28292E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
Max. Relative Eff Strs = 0.282917E+01 at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)
Reactions at nodes with specified displacements:
NODE# X FORCE Y FORCE
    17 -0.10946E-05 0.20756E+00
    273 0.00000E+00 0.11515E+00
```

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX= $133 \&$ MCMIN $=6$.

| Current | fracture |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| CRACK\# | TIP\# | CURRENT CTOD | CRITICAL |
| 1 | 2 | $0.18667 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.20000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

THE BEGINNING OF A NEW TIME STEP: $T=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.100 \mathrm{E}+01 \& 0.100 \mathrm{E}+01$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.428 \mathrm{E}-02 \& 0.226 \mathrm{E}-02$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.130 \mathrm{E}-03 \& 0.469 \mathrm{E}-04$.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: 0.192E-03 \& 0.103E-03.
Relative errors for force and energy increments: $0.767 \mathrm{E}-06 \& 0.404 \mathrm{E}-06$.
SOLUTION AT T $=0.10000 \mathrm{E}+01$ IS CONVERGED
Re-start files now only contain data for this time step;
Number of iteration for this time step is 5 ;
Total number of iterations so far is 648;
[K] is updated 0 times in this time step;
$[K]$ is updated a total of 21 times so far.
Max. Effective Stress $=0.28775 E+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
Max. Relative Eff Strs $=0.287753 \mathrm{E}+01$ at Gauss Pt 1 of Element 12
(Maximum when divided by initial yield stress)
(Elastic elements excluded)

| Reactions at nodes with specified displacements: |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| NODE\# | X FORCE | Y FORCE |
| 17 | $-0.27409 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $0.21111 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 273 | $0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.11719 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

Max. and min. subincrement numbers: MCMAX $=279 \& \operatorname{MCMIN}=1$.
Current fracture driving force (CTOD):
CRACK\# TIP\# CURRENT CTOD CRITICAL CTOD
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