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## FOREWORD

This report describes the work performed under NASA contract NAS8-38609, Delivery Order number 150, over the period of October 1, 1995 through June 30, 1997.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Over past few years, considerable advances have been made in the areas of the diode-pumped, eye-safe, solid state lasers and room temperature, wide bandwidth, semiconductor detectors operating in the near-infrared region. These advances have created new possibilities for the development of reliable and compact coherent lidar systems for a wide range of applications. This research effort is aimed at further developing solid state coherent lidar technology for remote sensing of atmospheric processes such as wind, turbulence and aerosol concentration.

The work performed by the UAH personnel under this Delivery Order concentrated on design and analyses of laboratory experiments and measurements, and development of advanced lidar optical subsystems in support of solid state laser radar remote sensing systems which are to be designed, deployed, and used to measure atmospheric processes and constituents. Under this delivery order, a lidar breadboard system was designed and analyzed by considering the major aircraft and space operational requirements. The lidar optical system was analyzed in detail using SYNOPSIS and Code V optical design packages. The lidar optical system include a wedge scanner and the compact telescope designed by the UAH personnel. The other major optical components included in the design and analyses were: polarizing beam splitter, routing mirrors, wave plates, signal beam derotator, and lag angle compensator. This lidar system is to be used for demonstrating all the critical technologies for the development of a reliable and low-cost space-based instrument capable of measuring global wind fields. A number of laboratory experiments and measurements were performed at the NASA/MSFC Detector Characterization Facility, previously developed by the UAH personnel. These laboratory measurements include the characterization of a 2 -micron InGaAs detectors suitable for use in coherent lidars and characterization of Holographic Optical Element Scanners.

UAH personnel actively participated in the development of performance and operational requirements for the development of the high pulse energy transmitter laser and frequency-agile local oscillator laser, that are in-progress at NASA Langley Research Center and Jet Propulsion Laboratory. During the period of performance of this delivery order, UAH personnel participated several technical coordination meetings with the other NASA centers, and attended several meetings and conferences to report on the progresses and accomplishments made under this work.

### 2.0 DETECTOR CHARACTERIZATION

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

A number of semiconductor detectors, operating at 2 micron wavelength region, were characterized and their heterodyne detection properties were analyzed. The detector measurements were performed using the Detector Characterization Facility (DCF) that had previously been developed by the UAH personnel at NASA/MSFC. A detail description of the DCF design and capabilities was provided in the NASA report NAS8-38609/DO77 ${ }^{1}$, and the DFC principles of measurements, calibration and data analysis procedures were reported in the NASA report NAS8-38609/DO $118^{2}$. The DCF is capable of providing all the necessary detection parameters for design, development and calibration of coherent and incoherent solid state laser radar (lidar) systems. The coherent lidars in particular require an accurate knowledge of detector heterodyne quantum efficiency ${ }^{3-5}$, nonlinearity properties ${ }^{6-8}$ and voltage-current relationship ${ }^{9-11}$ as a function of applied optical power. At present no detector manufacturer provides these quantities or adequately characterizes their detectors for heterodyne detection operation. In addition, the detector characterization facility measures the detectors DC and AC quantum efficiencies noise equivalent power and frequency response up to several GHz . The DCF is also capable of evaluating various heterodyne detection schemes such as balanced detectors and fiber optic interferometers. It should also be noted that the DCF design was further improved to allow for characterization of diffractive and holographic optical elements and other critical optical components of coherent lidar systems.

### 2.2 CHARACTERIZATION OF A 2-MICRON InGaAs DETECTOR

A number of 75 microns diameter InGaAs detector with a cutoff wavelength of 2.5 microns, acquired from Sensors Unlimited and Epitaxx were fully characterized in the NASA/MSFC Detector Characterization Facility. The following data are the results of the measurements performed on a Sensors Unlimited detector identified by model number: SU75-2.5TO and serial number: 3495S4061.

## RESPONSIVITY AND LINEARITY MEASUREMENTS

## $V_{B}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 18.9 mV and detector direct output is 0.0 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector curtent <br> $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 117 | 12.5 | 0.254 | 0.2432 |
| 120 | 13.0 | 0.261 | 0.2529 |
| 174 | 19.6 | 0.391 | 0.3813 |
| 204 | 23.1 | 0.464 | 0.4494 |
| 308 | 35.0 | 0.714 | 0.6809 |
| 410 | 45.7 | 0.960 | 0.8891 |
| 454 | 50.2 | 1.066 | 0.9767 |
| 518 | 55.9 | 1.220 | 1.0875 |
| 596 | 62.3 | 1.408 | 1.2121 |
| 718 | 70.3 | 1.702 | 1.3677 |
| 802 | 74.5 | 1.905 | 1.4494 |
| 907 | 78.7 | 2.158 | 1.5311 |
| 1015 | 82.2 | 2.418 | 1.5992 |
| 1111 | 84.6 | 2.650 | 1.6459 |
| 1238 | 87.3 | 2.956 | 1.6984 |
| 1317 | 88.8 | 3.146 | 1.7276 |
| 1394 | 89.9 | 3.332 | 1.7490 |
| 1493 | 91.3 | 3.570 | 1.7763 |
| 1539 | 91.8 | 3.681 | 1.7860 |


$V_{B}=1.00 \mathrm{~V}$
Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 19.0 mV and detector direct output is 0.0 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 118 | 13.1 | 0.256 | 0.2549 |
| 156 | 18.1 | 0.348 | 0.3521 |
| 203 | 24.0 | 0.461 | 0.4669 |
| 306 | 36.9 | 0.709 | 0.7179 |
| 354 | 42.2 | 0.825 | 0.8210 |
| 405 | 47.9 | 0.948 | 0.9319 |
| 514 | 55.0 | 1.211 | 1.0700 |
| 619 | 56.8 | 1.464 | 1.1051 |
| 716 | 57.6 | 1.698 | 1.1206 |
| 819 | 58.1 | 1.946 | 1.1304 |
| 907 | 58.4 | 2.158 | 1.1362 |
| 1010 | 58.7 | 2.406 | 1.1420 |
| 1108 | 58.9 | 2.642 | 1.1459 |
| 1253 | 59.1 | 2.992 | 1.1498 |
| 1429 | 59.4 | 3.416 | 1.1556 |
| 1656 | 59.6 | 3.963 | 1.1595 |


$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.50 \mathrm{~V}$
Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 18.8 mV and detector direct output is 0.0 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 103 | 12.0 | 0.220 | 0.2335 |
| 136 | 16.6 | 0.300 | 0.3230 |
| 190 | 23.7 | 0.430 | 0.4611 |
| 218 | 27.4 | 0.497 | 0.5331 |
| 286 | 35.6 | 0.661 | 0.6926 |
| 348 | 42.7 | 0.811 | 0.8307 |
| 411 | 49.7 | 0.963 | 0.969 |
| 557 | 63.4 | 1.314 | 1.2335 |
| 637 | 69.9 | 1.507 | 1.3599 |
| 750 | 76.6 | 1.780 | 1.4903 |
| 892 | 79.7 | 2.122 | 1.5506 |
| 1129 | 81.2 | 2.693 | 1.5798 |
| 1316 | 81.8 | 3.144 | 1.5914 |
| 1530 | 82.3 | 3.659 | 1.6012 |
| 1664 | 82.5 | 3.982 | 1.6051 |
| 1798 | 82.6 | 4.305 | 1.6070 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=2.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 19.8 mV and detector direct output is 0.0 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 117 | 14.0 | 0.254 | 0.2724 |
| 138 | 17.0 | 0.305 | 0.3307 |
| 161 | 20.0 | 0.360 | 0.3891 |
| 203 | 25.7 | 0.461 | 0.5000 |
| 300 | 37.9 | 0.695 | 0.7374 |
| 410 | 51.0 | 0.960 | 0.9922 |
| 440 | 54.1 | 1.032 | 1.0525 |
| 505 | 61.1 | 1.189 | 1.1887 |
| 636 | 73.9 | 1.505 | 1.4377 |
| 728 | 82.1 | 1.726 | 1.5973 |
| 811 | 88.5 | 1.927 | 1.7218 |
| 902 | 94.9 | 2.146 | 1.8463 |
| 1050 | 101.5 | 2.503 | 1.9747 |
| 1242 | 104.0 | 2.965 | 2.0233 |
| 1426 | 104.9 | 3.409 | 2.0409 |
| 1565 | 105.3 | 3.744 | 2.0486 |
| 1664 | 105.5 | 3.982 | 2.0525 |
| 1775 | 105.7 | 1.250 | 2.0564 |



## $V_{B}=2.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.1 mV and detector direct output is 0.06 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 110 | 13.2 | 0.237 | 0.2556 |
| 139 | 17.2 | 0.307 | 0.3335 |
| 172 | 21.8 | 0.387 | 0.4230 |
| 222 | 28.7 | 0.507 | 0.5572 |
| 325 | 41.6 | 0.755 | 0.8082 |
| 461 | 57.5 | 1.083 | 1.1175 |
| 559 | 68.5 | 1.319 | 1.3315 |
| 690 | 81.9 | 1.635 | 1.5922 |
| 757 | 88.1 | 1.796 | 1.7128 |
| 870 | 98.0 | 2.069 | 1.9054 |
| 930 | 103.2 | 2.213 | 2.0066 |
| 1179 | 119.9 | 2.813 | 2.3315 |
| 1292 | 124.3 | 3.086 | 2.4171 |
| 1520 | 127.2 | 3.635 | 2.4735 |
| 1670 | 128.0 | 3.997 | 2.4891 |
| 1815 | 128.5 | 4.346 | 2.4988 |
| 1905 | 128.7 | 4.563 | 2.5027 |


$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=3.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.4 mV and detector direct output is 0.1 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Detector } \\ \text { Output (mV) } \end{gathered}$ | Incident Power $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | 14.2 | 0.252 | 0.2743 |
| 137 | 17.3 | 0.302 | 0.3346 |
| 179 | 23.2 | 0.403 | 0.4494 |
| 203 | 26.4 | 0.461 | 0.5117 |
| 256 | 33.7 | 0.589 | 0.6537 |
| 352 | 46.1 | 0.820 | 0.8949 |
| 448 | 57.8 | 1.052 | 1.1226 |
| 545 | 68.8 | 1.285 | 1.3366 |
| 700 | 85.5 | 1.659 | 1.6615 |
| 759 | 91.5 | 1.801 | 1.7782 |
| 843 | 99 | 2.004 | 1.9241 |
| 990 | 112.8 | 2.358 | 2.1926 |
| 1128 | 124.6 | 2.690 | 2.4222 |
| 1300 | 137.2 | 3.105 | 2.6673 |
| 1453 | 144.7 | 3.474 | 2.8132 |
| 1580 | 148.7 | 3.780 | 2.8911 |
| 1744 | 150.4 | 4.175 | 2.9241 |
| 1895 | 151.2 | 4.539 | 2.9397 |
| 2015 | 151.7 | 4.828 | 2.9494 |



## $V_{B}=3.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.3 mV and detector direct output is 0.1 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 113 | 14.0 | 0.244 | 0.2704 |
| 151 | 19.6 | 0.336 | 0.3794 |
| 192 | 25.4 | 0.435 | 0.4922 |
| 237 | 31.8 | 0.543 | 0.6167 |
| 302 | 40.5 | 0.700 | 0.7860 |
| 418 | 55.4 | 0.979 | 1.0759 |
| 518 | 67.4 | 1.220 | 1.3093 |
| 621 | 79.1 | 1.469 | 1.5370 |
| 784 | 96.2 | 1.861 | 1.8696 |
| 876 | 105.6 | 2.083 | 2.0525 |
| 952 | 112.8 | 2.266 | 2.1926 |
| 1090 | 125.5 | 2.599 | 2.4397 |
| 1200 | 135.0 | 2.864 | 2.6245 |
| 1365 | 147.9 | 3.262 | 2.8755 |
| 1517 | 158.4 | 3.628 | 3.0798 |
| 1725 | 169.1 | 4.129 | 3.2879 |
| 1904 | 173.3 | 4.561 | 3.3696 |
| 2034 | 174.4 | 4.874 | 3.3911 |


$V_{B}=4.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.6 mV and detector direct output is 0.2 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 117 | 14.9 | 0.254 | 0.2860 |
| 137 | 17.8 | 0.302 | 0.3424 |
| 156 | 20.7 | 0.348 | 0.3988 |
| 207 | 28.1 | 0.471 | 0.5428 |
| 278 | 38.2 | 0.642 | 0.7393 |
| 335 | 46.0 | 0.779 | 0.8911 |
| 437 | 59.4 | 1.025 | 1.1518 |
| 512 | 68.8 | 1.206 | 1.3346 |
| 617 | 81.2 | 1.459 | 1.5759 |
| 712 | 92.0 | 1.688 | 1.7860 |
| 819 | 103.2 | 1.946 | 2.0039 |
| 950 | 116.4 | 2.262 | 2.2607 |
| 1060 | 127.1 | 2.527 | 2.4689 |
| 1221 | 142.9 | 2.915 | 2.7763 |
| 1385 | 156.4 | 3.310 | 3.0389 |
| 1535 | 169.4 | 3.671 | 3.2918 |
| 1763 | 185.6 | 4.221 | 3.6070 |
| 1912 | 194.6 | 4.580 | 3.7821 |
| 2042 | 200.6 | 4.893 | 3.8988 |
| 2206 | 204.2 | 5.288 | 3.9689 |



## $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=4.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.8 mV and detector direct output is 0.2 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 119 | 15.3 | 0.259 | 0.2938 |
| 148 | 19.9 | 0.329 | 0.3833 |
| 177 | 24.2 | 0.399 | 0.4669 |
| 208 | 28.8 | 0.473 | 0.5564 |
| 307 | 43.1 | 0.712 | 0.8346 |
| 404 | 56.4 | 0.946 | 1.0934 |
| 518 | 71.2 | 1.220 | 1.3813 |
| 632 | 84.6 | 1.495 | 1.6420 |
| 704 | 93.4 | 1.669 | 1.8132 |
| 830 | 106.8 | 1.972 | 2.0739 |
| 908 | 115.2 | 2.160 | 2.2374 |
| 1041 | 128.8 | 2.481 | 2.5019 |
| 1191 | 143.1 | 2.842 | 2.7802 |
| 1441 | 164.8 | 3.445 | 3.2023 |
| 1698 | 186.1 | 4.064 | 3.6167 |
| 1930 | 202.1 | 4.623 | 3.9280 |
| 2055 | 209.8 | 4.925 | 4.0778 |
| 2191 | 214.9 | 5.252 | 4.1770 |



## $V_{B}=5.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.6 mV and detector direct output is 0.3 mV .

| InAs Power Monitor (mV) | Detector Output (mV) | Incident Power $P_{\text {in }}(m W)$ | Detector current $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124 | 16.4 | 0.271 | 0.3132 |
| 156 | 21.4 | 0.348 | 0.4105 |
| 168 | 23.2 | 0.377 | 0.4455 |
| 201 | 28.2 | 0.456 | 0.5428 |
| 309 | 44.1 | 0.717 | 0.8521 |
| 418 | 59.4 | 0.979 | 1.1498 |
| 524 | 73.3 | 1.235 | 1.4202 |
| 609 | 83.7 | 1.440 | 1.6226 |
| 722 | 97.4 | 1.712 | 1.8891 |
| 821 | 108.7 | 1.951 | 2.1089 |
| 932 | 120.4 | 2.218 | 2.3366 |
| 1086 | 136.2 | 2.589 | 2.6440 |
| 1198 | 146.6 | 2.859 | 2.8463 |
| 1340 | 159.6 | 3.201 | 3.0992 |
| 1584 | 180.4 | 3.789 | 3.5039 |
| 1700 | 189.9 | 4.069 | 3.6887 |
| 1820 | 197.7 | 4.358 | 3.8405 |
| 1919 | 206.9 | 4.597 | 4.0195 |
| 2085 | 218.4 | 4.997 | 4.2432 |
| 2203 | 225.7 | 5.281 | 4.3852 |
| 2288 | 230.7 | 5.486 | 4.4825 |
| 2367 | 236.1 | 5.676 | 4.5875 |


$V_{B}=5.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20.9 mV and detector direct output is 0.3 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 118 | 15.8 | 0.256 | 0.3016 |
| 145 | 20.0 | 0.321 | 0.3833 |
| 199 | 28.3 | 0.452 | 0.5447 |
| 298 | 43.6 | 0.690 | 0.8424 |
| 406 | 59.0 | 0.950 | 1.1420 |
| 503 | 72.7 | 1.184 | 1.4086 |
| 612 | 87.0 | 1.447 | 1.6868 |
| 707 | 98.3 | 1.676 | 1.9066 |
| 811 | 110.9 | 1.927 | 2.1518 |
| 920 | 123.2 | 2.189 | 2.3911 |
| 1052 | 137.6 | 2.507 | 2.6712 |
| 1208 | 153.4 | 2.883 | 2.9786 |
| 1419 | 172.3 | 3.392 | 3.3463 |
| 1603 | 188.7 | 3.835 | 3.6654 |
| 1840 | 208.1 | 4.406 | 4.0428 |
| 2050 | 224.9 | 4.913 | 4.3696 |
| 2216 | 237.7 | 5.313 | 4.6187 |
| 2388 | 248.0 | 5.727 | 4.8191 |



## Detector Responsivity and Linearity Summary Of Results

| $V_{B}$ <br> $M$ | Linear Responsivity |  | Non-Linear Responsivity |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\rho_{0}(\mathrm{AW})$ | $\eta_{\mathrm{DC}}$ | $\rho(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{mW})$ | $\alpha(1 / \mathrm{mW})$ |
| 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.5763 | 1.10 | 0.16 |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.6004 | 1.14 | 0.23 |
| 1.50 | 1.03 | 0.6184 | 1.16 | 0.17 |
| 2.00 | 1.05 | 0.6304 | 1.22 | 0.15 |
| 2.50 | 1.06 | 0.6364 | 1.22 | 0.12 |
| 3.00 | 1.07 | 0.6424 | 1.22 | 0.10 |
| 3.50 | 1.08 | 0.6484 | 1.21 | 0.08 |
| 4.00 | 1.09 | 0.6544 | 1.20 | 0.07 |
| 4.50 | 1.10 | 0.6604 | 1.21 | 0.06 |
| 5.00 | 1.12 | 0.6724 | 1.21 | 0.06 |
| 5.50 | 1.14 | 0.6844 | 1.24 | 0.06 |



## Optimum Local Oscillator Power

$$
\mathrm{SNR}=\left(\rho P_{s} / \mathrm{Be}\right) \frac{\left(1-2 \alpha P_{\mathrm{LO}}\right)^{2} P_{\mathrm{LO}}}{P_{\mathrm{LO}}\left(1-\alpha P_{\mathrm{LO}}\right)+\left(2 K T_{\mathrm{e}} / \rho e R_{\mathrm{e}}\right)}
$$

For $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=5.0 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=300$, the signal-to-noise ratio is shown below as a function of local oscillator power.


For a 50 ohms load, the optimum local oscillator power is 1.53 mW .

## Voltage-Current Characteristics

## Optical Power=0.0

| Bias Current <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\text {Bi }}(\mathrm{mV})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Bias Voltage } \\ \text { Monitor } \\ V_{B}(\mathrm{mV}) \end{array}$ | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current $I_{d}(\mu \mathrm{~A})$ | Detector Resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1756.0 | 353.0 | 0.280304 | 1414.315 |  |
| 1731.0 | 351.0 | 0.279496 | 1391.129 | 24.9 |
| 1686.0 | 348.0 | 0.278672 | 1348.790 | 25.9 |
| 1648.0 | 345.0 | 0.277486 | 1313.508 | 42.0 |
| 1535.0 | 335.0 | 0.272823 | 1209.677 | 44.1 |
| 1500.0 | 332.0 | 0.271481 | 1177.419 | 35.4 |
| 1448.0 | 328.0 | 0.269968 | 1129.032 | 41.7 |
| 1197.0 | 306.0 | 0.259833 | 898.185 | 45.6 |
| 1100.0 | 297.0 | 0.255393 | 809.476 | 49.7 |
| 1024.0 | 290.0 | 0.251968 | 739.919 | 56.1 |
| 967.0 | 284.0 | 0.248611 | 688.508 | 79.8 |
| 536.0 | 233.0 | 0.217300 | 305.444 | 82.2 |
| 512.0 | 230.0 | 0.215388 | 284.274 | 133.4 |
| 415.0 | 214.0 | 0.203585 | 202.621 | 209.4 |
| 267.0 | 179.0 | 0.174440 | 88.710 | 382.6 |
| 162.0 | 137.0 | 0.135705 | 25.202 | 713.5 |
| 140.9 | 124.1 | 0.123230 | 16.935 | 2014.8 |
| 109.9 | 101.8 | 0.101380 | 8.165 | 3321.4 |
| 83.7 | 79.9 | 0.079703 | 3.831 | 10288.3 |
| 20.8 | 20.5 | 0.020484 | 0.302 | 18851.7 |
| 11.5 | 11.3 | 0.011290 | 0.202 | 52855.3 |
| 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.004500 | 0.000 | 51201.9 |
| -4.3 | -4.2 | -0.004195 | -0.101 | 360044.6 |
| -31.9 | -31.8 | -0.031795 | -0.101 | 542572.6 |
| -59.1 | -58.9 | -0.058890 | -0.202 | 305179.4 |
| -72.0 | -71.8 | -0.071788 | -0.232 | 1163068.6 |
| -106.0 | -105.8 | -0.105788 | -0.242 | 3174348.6 |
| -200.1 | -199.8 | -0.199786 | -0.272 | 3172695.3 |
| -298.0 | -297.7 | -0.297684 | -0.302 | 3965964.6 |
| -400.0 | -399.7 | -0.399683 | -0.323 | 1949228.6 |
| -494.6 | -494.2 | -0.494179 | -0.403 | 1186490.8 |
| -615.5 | -615.0 | -0.614974 | -0.504 | 1557884.6 |
| -808.9 | -808.3 | -0.808269 | -0.605 | 3375228.6 |
| -1023.9 | -1023.3 | -1.023268 | -0.625 | 3883628.6 |
| -1513.8 | -1513.0 | -1.512960 | -0.786 | 3679914.3 |
| -2062.9 | -2062.0 | -2.061953 | -0.907 | 4752530.4 |
| -2568.0 | -2567 | -2.566948 | -1.008 | 875614.1 |
| -3035.0 | -3033 | -3.032896 | -2.016 | 1403444.9 |
| -4097.1 | -4095 | -4.094892 | -2.097 | 1482988.6 |
| -4531.0 | -4528 | -4.527845 | -3.024 | 1952948.6 |


| Bias Current <br> Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(m V)$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current ld $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector Resistance $R_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -5228 | -5224 | -5.223793 | -4.032 | 493964.6 |
| -6028 | -6022 | -6.021689 | -6.048 | 367187.0 |
| -7084 | -7075 | -7.074534 | -9.073 | 378148.6 |
| -7557 | -7547 | -7.546482 | -10.081 | 479084.6 |
| -8052 | -8041 | -8.040430 | -11.089 | 451308.6 |
| -8925 | -8912 | -8.911326 | -13.105 | 352902.2 |
| -9836 | -9820 | -9.819171 | -16.129 |  |




V-I Characteristic equation:

| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}=0.48 \times 10^{-6} \exp \left(28.93 \mathrm{~V}_{d}\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{d}>50 \mathrm{mV}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{d}}=0.90 \times 10^{-6}\left(\exp \left(20.91 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)-1\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}<50 \mathrm{mV}$ |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / R_{f}=d l_{d} / d V_{d} \\
& R_{f}=7.20 \times 10^{4} \exp \left(-28.93 V_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Optical Power=0.5mW

InAs Monitor=260mV

| Bias Current <br> Monitor $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(m V)$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current $I_{d}(\mu \mathrm{~A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1745.0 | 355.0 | 0.28298 | 1401.210 | 45.4 |
| 1700.0 | 351.0 | 0.28110 | 1359.879 | 27.3 |
| 1632.0 | 346.0 | 0.27937 | 1296.371 | 50.9 |
| 1525.0 | 336.0 | 0.27439 | 1198.589 | 7.0 |
| 1435.0 | 331.0 | 0.27380 | 1112.903 | 37.7 |
| 1310.0 | 320.7 | 0.26944 | 997.278 | 35.1 |
| 1214.0 | 313.0 | 0.26632 | 908.266 | 47.8 |
| 1115.0 | 304.0 | 0.26198 | 817.540 | 39.6 |
| 996.0 | 294.0 | 0.25763 | 707.661 | 34.9 |
| 971.0 | 292.0 | 0.25682 | 684.476 | 51.4 |
| 460.0 | 244.0 | 0.23281 | 217.742 | 78.7 |
| 391.0 | 236.0 | 0.22797 | 156.250 | 14.7 |
| 375.0 | 235.0 | 0.22775 | 141.129 | 84.9 |
| 226.0 | 217.0 | 0.21653 | 9.073 | 96.8 |
| 126.0 | 204.0 | 0.20804 | -78.629 | 209.0 |
| 20.2 | 182.0 | 0.19038 | -163.105 | 133.4 |
| -169.6 | 152.2 | 0.16887 | -324.395 | 604.3 |
| -345.0 | 82.4 | 0.10455 | -430.847 | 1421.9 |
| -429.0 | 32.2 | 0.05610 | -464.919 | 7087.7 |
| -479.0 | -11.7 | 0.01251 | -471.069 | 8944.1 |
| -627.0 | -145.0 | -0.12003 | -485.887 | 50143.8 |
| -885.0 | -398.0 | -0.37277 | -490.927 | 61452.6 |
| -1011 | -522 | -0.49666 | -492.944 | 407660.6 |
| -1423 | -933 | -0.90761 | -493.952 | 78316.6 |
| -1663 | -1170 | -1.14446 | -496.976 | 377900.6 |
| -2045 | -1551 | -1.52540 | -497.984 | 506860.6 |
| -2557 | -2062 | -2.03635 | -498.992 | 569356.6 |
| -3132 | -2636 | -2.61030 | -500.000 | 395756.6 |
| -3532 | -3035 | -3.00925 | -501.008 | 67900.6 |
| -4088 | -3583 | -3.55683 | -509.073 | 86252.6 |
| -4528 | -4018 | -3.99157 | -514.113 | 28016.4 |
| -5026 | -4499 | -4.47169 | -531.250 | 47706.3 |
| -6058 | -5510 | -5.48161 | -552.419 | 40350.1 |
| -6517 | -5958 | -5.92904 | -563.508 | 54839.3 |
| -7024 | -6456 | -6.42657 | -572.581 | 74348.6 |
| -7480 | -6906 | -6.87626 | -578.629 | 58697.0 |
| -8022 | -7439 | -7.40879 | -587.702 | 51753.0 |
| -8501 | -7909 | -7.87833 | -596.774 | 19739.0 |
| -8920 | -8308 | -8.27629 | -616.935 | 34952.0 |
| -9174 | -8555 | -8.52293 | -623.992 |  |



Optical Power=1.0mW
InAs Monitor=423mV

| Bias Current <br> Monitor <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ (mV) | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector Resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1758 | 358 | 0.285460 | 1411.290 | 19.5 |
| 1743 | 357 | 0.285185 | 1397.177 | 24.9 |
| 1659 | 351 | 0.283227 | 1318.548 | 29.6 |
| 1500 | 339 | 0.278843 | 1170.363 | 31.7 |
| 1306 | 324 | 0.273118 | 989.919 | 39.7 |
| 1199 | 315 | 0.269196 | 891.129 | 35.3 |
| 1000 | 299 | 0.262678 | 706.653 | 33.4 |
| 340 | 247 | 0.242181 | 93.750 | 93.5 |
| 238 | 234 | 0.233793 | 4.032 | 53.4 |
| 102 | 221 | 0.227166 | -119.960 | 68.5 |
| 0 | 210 | 0.220881 | -211.694 | 72.6 |
| -99 | 199 | 0.214441 | -300.403 | 66.9 |
| -221 | 186 | 0.207089 | -410.282 | 84.8 |
| -337 | 172 | 0.198374 | -513.105 | 116.2 |
| -510 | 147 | 0.181042 | -662.298 | 220.6 |
| -747 | 96 | 0.139680 | -849.798 | 1168.4 |
| -1048 | -70 | -0.019325 | -985.887 | 12377.8 |
| -1508 | -496 | -0.443564 | -1020.161 | 35792.9 |
| -2065 | -1038 | -0.984786 | -1035.282 | 71372.6 |
| -2503 | -1470 | -1.416476 | -1041.331 | 56161.9 |
| -3022 | -1980 | -1.926009 | -1050.403 | 25299.7 |
| -3500 | -2440 | -2.385077 | -1068.548 | 19788.6 |
| -4004 | -2920 | -2.863833 | -1092.742 | 27614.4 |
| -4524 | -3422 | -3.364900 | -1110.887 | 46211.9 |
| -5048 | -3935 | -3.877330 | -1121.976 | 22667.8 |
| -6028 | -4874 | -4.814206 | -1163.306 | 21051.1 |
| -7008 | -5810 | -5.747926 | -1207.661 | 15971.9 |
| -8020 | -6763 | -6.697869 | -1267.137 | 25660.2 |
| -9016 | -7722 | -7.654952 | -1304.435 | 38282.3 |
| -9571 | -8263 | -8.195227 | -1318.548 |  |



Optical Power=1.5mW
InAs Monitor $=625 \mathrm{mV}$

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current $l_{d}(\mu A)$ | Detector Resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1783 | 362 | 0.28837 | 1432.460 | 29.3 |
| 1650 | 352 | 0.28474 | 1308.468 | 27.7 |
| 1501 | 341 | 0.28090 | 1169.355 | 27.3 |
| 1229 | 321 | 0.27395 | 915.323 | 30.0 |
| 1018 | 305 | 0.26806 | 718.750 | 20.7 |
| 959 | 301 | 0.26691 | 663.306 | 36.3 |
| 319 | 249 | 0.24537 | 70.565 | 38.8 |
| 295 | 247 | 0.24451 | 48.387 | 53.0 |
| 253 | 243 | 0.24248 | 10.081 | 47.8 |
| 121 | 231 | 0.23670 | -110.887 | 49.6 |
| 2 | 220 | 0.23130 | -219.758 | 47.8 |
| -97 | 211 | 0.22696 | -310.484 | 54.9 |
| -252 | 196 | 0.21921 | -451.613 | 67.6 |
| -504 | 169 | 0.20387 | -678.427 | 86.4 |
| -750 | 139 | 0.18506 | -896.169 | 133.7 |
| -998 | 100 | 0.15689 | -1106.855 | 844.0 |
| -1506 | -141 | -0.07027 | -1376.008 | 12183.3 |
| -2026 | -622 | -0.54925 | -1415.323 | 24417.9 |
| -2488 | -1066 | -0.99232 | -1433.468 | 268780.6 |
| -3032 | -1608 | -1.53422 | -1435.484 | 148417.9 |
| -3484 | -2057 | -1.98306 | -1438.508 | 14415.3 |
| -4045 | -2582 | -2.50620 | -1474.798 | 12288.1 |
| -4596 | -3092 | -3.01407 | -1516.129 | 76828.6 |
| -5224 | -3712 | -3.63366 | -1524.194 | 9694.3 |
| -6025 | -4439 | -4.35682 | -1598.790 | 32684.6 |
| -7011 | -5396 | -5.31232 | -1628.024 | 15222.7 |
| -8208 | -6520 | -6.43254 | -1701.613 | 18796.6 |
| -9048 | -7318 | -7.22836 | -1743.952 |  |



Optical Power=2.0mW
InAs Monitor $=835 \mathrm{mV}$

| Bias Curtent <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage <br> Monitor <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{V})$ | Detector <br> Current <br> $I_{d}(\mu \mathrm{~A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1765 | 361 | 0.288252 | 1415.323 | 19.0 |
| 1584 | 349 | 0.285009 | 1244.960 | 25.9 |
| 1501 | 343 | 0.282999 | 1167.339 | 21.5 |
| 1428 | 338 | 0.281522 | 1098.790 | 27.5 |
| 1238 | 324 | 0.276642 | 921.371 | 25.5 |
| 974 | 305 | 0.270336 | 674.395 | 32.6 |
| 449 | 264 | 0.254414 | 186.492 | 36.8 |
| 253 | 248 | 0.247741 | 5.040 | 38.1 |
| 108 | 236 | 0.242632 | -129.032 | 41.6 |
| 3 | 227 | 0.238606 | -225.806 | 37.2 |
| -58 | 222 | 0.236508 | -282.258 | 45.6 |
| -204 | 209 | 0.230399 | -416.331 | 47.8 |
| -512 | 181 | 0.216907 | -698.589 | 63.7 |
| -762 | 155 | 0.202514 | -924.395 | 73.7 |
| -1012 | 127 | 0.186017 | -1148.185 | 120.3 |
| -1500 | 55 | 0.135572 | -1567.540 | 1086.8 |
| -2039 | -233 | -0.139423 | -1820.565 | 10761.4 |
| -2515 | -669 | -0.573350 | -1860.887 | 16025.8 |
| -3014 | -1139 | -1.041848 | -1890.121 | 21125.6 |
| -3528 | -1630 | -1.531656 | -1913.306 | 47186.7 |
| -4549 | -2630 | -2.530568 | -1934.476 | 20308.2 |
| -5001 | -3061 | -2.960480 | -195.645 | 6478.6 |
| -5964 | -3897 | -3.789899 | -2083.669 | 9363.1 |
| -7055 | -4884 | -4.771519 | -2188.508 | 11221.3 |
| -8007 | -5759 | -5.642521 | -2266.129 | 16402.1 |
| -9027 | -6721 | -6.601516 | -2324.597 |  |



## Optical Power=2.5mW

InAs Monitor $=1040 \mathrm{mV}$

| Bias Current <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{V})$ | Detector <br> Current <br> $I_{\mathrm{d}}(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1758 | 363 | 0.290719 | 1406.250 | 28.3 |
| 1516 | 345 | 0.284325 | 1180.444 | 26.1 |
| 1447 | 340 | 0.282641 | 1115.927 | 21.2 |
| 1227 | 325 | 0.278263 | 909.274 | 26.2 |
| 979 | 307 | 0.272181 | 677.419 | 29.5 |
| 369 | 261 | 0.255404 | 108.871 | 33.6 |
| 255 | 252 | 0.251845 | 3.024 | 33.6 |
| 103 | 240 | 0.247099 | -138.105 | 34.9 |
| 3 | 232 | 0.243866 | -230.847 | 32.1 |
| -100 | 224 | 0.240788 | -326.613 | 38.2 |
| -257 | 211 | 0.235249 | -471.774 | 45.2 |
| -505 | 189 | 0.224959 | -699.597 | 49.1 |
| -1005 | 143 | 0.202483 | -1157.258 | 76.9 |
| -1529 | 83 | 0.166525 | -1625.000 | 148.0 |
| -2001 | 4 | 0.107888 | -2021.169 | 1342.4 |
| -2482 | -277 | -0.162749 | -2222.782 | 7113.0 |
| -3074 | -797 | -0.679018 | -2295.363 | 13228.6 |
| -3520 | -1212 | -1.092412 | -2326.613 | 44588.6 |
| -3980 | -1662 | -1.541894 | -2336.694 | 41460.0 |
| -4537 | -2206 | -2.085220 | -2349.798 | 16641.6 |
| -5054 | -2694 | -2.571718 | -2379.032 | 8835.3 |
| -6010 | -3554 | -3.426744 | -2475.806 | 8197.9 |
| -7072 | -4502 | -4.368837 | -2590.726 | 8918.4 |
| -8026 | -5361 | -5.222914 | -2686.492 | 10059.0 |
| -9134 | -6370 | -6.226785 | -2786.290 |  |



## FAMILY OF V-I CURVES



## FREQUENCY RESPONSE MEASUREMENTS

## $\mathrm{VB}=0.0$

amplifier bias $=2.9 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#1 } \\ \text { (mV) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Het. Sig. (RMS mV) | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency | RC Model MS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 20 | 142.9 | 42.9 | 4.56 | 0.98 | 0.973556 | 0.599981 | 4.48E-05 |
| 40 | 140.2 | 41.7 | 3.74 | 0.83 | 0.909876 | 0.425172 | 0.007173 |
| 60 | 140.8 | 41.7 | 3.26 | 0.72 | 0.826852 | 0.321736 | 0.011887 |
| 80 | 144.2 | 40.9 | 2.93 | 0.64 | 0.741388 | 0.257995 | 0.00972 |
| 90 | 144.6 | 41.4 | 2.93 | 0.64 | 0.700852 | 0.253926 | 0.003987 |
| 150 | 143.2 | 41.8 | 2.64 | 0.57 | 0.508321 | 0.206346 | 0.004428 |
| 200 | 142.6 | 41.2 | 2.11 | 0.46 | 0.404911 | 0.134706 | 0.003548 |
| 250 | 142.5 | 24.9 | 1.39 | 0.40 | 0.333973 | 0.101945 | 0.004913 |
| 300 | 140.5 | 24.4 | 1.10 | 0.33 | 0.283195 | 0.06657 | 0.001877 |
| 350 | 268.6 | 22 | 1.01 | 0.23 | 0.24537 | 0.032351 | 0.000315 |
| 400 | 265.6 | 20.9 | 0.96 | 0.22 | 0.216232 | 0.031492 | 6.97E-05 |
| 500 | 258.1 | 20.4 | 0.91 | 0.22 | 0.174458 | 0.030093 | 0.002032 |
| 600 | 252 | 19 | 0.82 | 0.21 | 0.146057 | 0.026827 | 0.003748 |
| 700 | 285.9 | 16.8 | 0.62 | 0.16 | 0.125541 | 0.015994 | 0.001191 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.002891 |


$\mathrm{VB}=-0.5 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=10.8 \mathrm{mV}$

| Frequency (MHz) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#1 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ \text { (mV) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Het. Sig. (RMS mV) | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency | RC ModelMS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 20 | 154.8 | 37.4 | 3.84 | 1.00 | 0.991394 | 0.622034 | 4.5E-05 |
| 50 | 154.8 | 37.7 | 4.26 | 1.10 | 0.958972 | 0.7 .57007 | 0.020194 |
| 70 | 154.8 | 37.7 | 4.3 | 1.11 | 0.925549 | 0.77129 | 0.034547 |
| 145 | 155.4 | 37.7 | 3.93 | 1.01 | 0.765941 | 0.641594 | 0.061372 |
| 150 | 154.8 | 38 | 2.62 | 0.67 | 0.755133 | 0.283183 | 0.006673 |
| 180 | 154.8 | 38 | 2.18 | 0.56 | 0.69279 | 0.196055 | 0.017541 |
| 250 | 153.7 | 38 | 2.21 | 0.57 | 0.569216 | 0.203039 | 1.05E-06 |
| 300 | 154.6 | 37.8 | 2.26 | 0.58 | 0.499836 | 0.212564 | 0.006994 |
| 350 | 153.7 | 38 | 1.84 | 0.47 | 0.443435 | 0.140744 | 0.000982 |
| 400 | 154 | 37.8 | 1.72 | 0.44 | 0.397301 | 0.123636 | 0.002273 |
| 450 | 197.4 | 29.7 | 1.78 | 0.48 | 0.359174 | 0.145165 | 0.015128 |
| 500 | 194 | 29.8 | 1.56 | 0.43 | 0.327303 | 0.112971 | 0.009614 |
| 550 | 235 | 24.6 | 1.1 | 0.32 | 0.300358 | 0.063193 | 0.000316 |
| 600 | 262 | 24.8 | 0.76 | 0.21 | 0.277334 | 0.026538 | 0.005066 |
| 650 | 256 | 24.8 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.257468 | 0.006797 | 0.02345 |
| 700 | 278 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 0.240173 | 0.002122 | 0.03308 |
| 750 | 282 | 22.2 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.224995 | 0.00151 | 0.03091 |
| 800 | 311 | 19.5 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.211577 | 0.000749 | 0.031312 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.016639 |


$V B=-1.0 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=12.6 \mathrm{mV}$

| Frequency <br> (MHz) | Beam \#1 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Beam \#2 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Het. Sig. <br> (RMS mV$)$ | Frequency <br> Response | Detector <br> RC Model | Het. Qum. <br> Efficiency |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| 20 | 175.2 | 50.8 | 5.25 | 1.07 | 0.99646 | 0.717019 |
| 50 | 206 | 32.6 | 4.02 | 1.04 | 0.988747 | 0.675089 |
| 150 | 208 | 32.6 | 4.01 | 1.03 | 0.923263 | 0.66486 |
| 250 | 207 | 32.6 | 2.78 | 0.72 | 0.823765 | 0.321188 |
| 300 | 254 | 24.4 | 2.29 | 0.69 | 0.771457 | 0.297473 |
| 350 | 253 | 24.4 | 2.2 | 0.66 | 0.720852 | 0.275692 |
| 400 | 250 | 24.4 | 2.1 | 0.64 | 0.673246 | 0.254373 |
| 450 | 246 | 24.6 | 2.1 | 0.64 | 0.62924 | 0.254421 |
| 650 | 332 | 24.7 | 2.14 | 0.55 | 0.489156 | 0.191471 |
| 700 | 330 | 24.7 | 1.8 | 0.47 | 0.461912 | 0.136316 |
| 750 | 326 | 24.7 | 1.6 | 0.42 | 0.437184 | 0.109082 |
| 800 | 383 | 22.8 | 1.3 | 0.34 | 0.414693 | 0.072279 |
| 886 | 384 | 24.3 | 1.18 | 0.29 | 0.380535 | 0.051776 |
| 985 | 353 | 24.3 | 1.12 | 0.29 | 0.347112 | 0.050893 |
| 1000 | 354 | 24.3 | 1.17 | 0.30 | 0.342518 | 0.055376 |
| 1050 | 355 | 24.3 | 1.17 | 0.30 | 0.327994 | 0.055214 |
| 1100 | 359 | 24.3 | 1.33 | 0.34 | 0.314583 | 0.070524 |
| 1150 | 356 | 24.3 | 1.17 | 0.30 | 0.302169 | 0.055053 |
| 1200 | 355 | 24.3 | 1.3 | 0.33 | 0.290652 | 0.068165 |
| 1400 | 161 | 35 | 1.07 | 0.30 | 0.25192 | 0.055652 |
| 1500 | 165 | 35 | 0.96 | 0.26 | 0.236064 | 0.043622 |
| 1550 | 164 | 35 | 1.07 | 0.30 | 0.228839 | 0.054549 |
| 1600 | 165 | 35 | 0.9 | 0.25 | 0.222031 | 0.03834 |
| 1700 | 166 | 34.8 | 0.91 | 0.25 | 0.20953 | 0.039292 |
| 1750 | 52 | 69 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.203779 | 0.048893 |
| 1850 | 125 | 79.6 | 1.6 | 0.30 | 0.193154 | 0.054928 |
| 1900 | 43.8 | 80.5 | 0.71 | 0.25 | 0.188237 | 0.038449 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| RC Model |
| ---: |
| MS Error <br> 0.005646 <br> 0.002606 <br> 0.0118 <br> 0.011353 <br> 0.006598 <br> 0.003178 <br> 0.001223 <br> $8.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ <br> 0.004173 <br> $2.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ <br> 0.00369 <br> 0.005545 <br> 0.00857 <br> 0.003797 <br> 0.002 <br> 0.000938 <br> 0.000462 <br> $2.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ <br> 0.00158 <br> 0.002174 <br> 0.000798 <br> 0.004453 <br> 0.000664 <br> 0.001708 <br> 0.005784 <br> 0.0107 <br> 0.003589 <br> 0.00197 |


$V B=-3.0 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=7.9 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frequency } \\ & (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam \#1 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mv}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Het. Sig. } \\ \text { (RMS mV) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Frequency Response | Detector RC Mode | Het. Qum Efficiency | RC Model MS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 20 | 72.2 | 32.2 | 2.47 | 1.01 | 0.99868 | 0.63698 | $4.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 200 | 71 | 32.8 | 2.47 | 1.00 | 0.893299 | 0.633453 | 0.011907 |
| 250 | 71 | 32.8 | 1.98 | 0.80 | 0.846514 | 0.40541 | 0.001987 |
| 300 | 71 | 32.8 | 1.63 | 0.66 | 0.798216 | 0.27722 | 0.018246 |
| 400 | 53 | 65.7 | 1.86 | 0.59 | 0.704922 | 0.216972 | 0.013984 |
| 700 | 27.2 | 116.2 | 1.63 | 0.57 | 0.493785 | 0.208385 | 0.006587 |
| 800 | 27.2 | 116.2 | 1.29 | 0.45 | 0.444937 | 0.130283 | $9.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 900 | 15.7 | 116.2 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.403953 | 0.111546 | 0.000279 |
| 1100 | 15.5 | 116.2 | 0.72 | 0.40 | 0.339739 | 0.103319 | 0.004238 |
| 1400 | 52.2 | 50.4 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0.272941 | 0.055178 | 0.000525 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.005789 |



## $V B=-4.0 \mathrm{~V}$

amplifier bias $=8.0 \mathrm{mV}$

| Frequency <br> (MHz) | Beam \#1 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Beam \#2 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Het. Sig. <br> (RMS mV | Frequency <br> Response | Detector <br> RC Model | Het. Qum. <br> Efficiency |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 20 | 70.7 | 33.6 | 2.48 | 1.00 | 0.998867 | 0.66079 |
| 50 | 70.7 | 34.2 | 2.28 | 0.90 | 0.993274 | 0.545718 |
| 100 | 70.7 | 34.9 | 2.36 | 0.92 | 0.974039 | 0.569471 |
| 250 | 70.7 | 34 | 2.12 | 0.84 | 0.864829 | 0.475443 |
| 250 | 56 | 52.4 | 2.28 | 0.79 | 0.864829 | 0.420643 |
| 300 | 56 | 52.4 | 2.04 | 0.71 | 0.820532 | 0.336747 |
| 400 | 56 | 52.4 | 1.76 | 0.61 | 0.732705 | 0.250651 |
| 700 | 40.4 | 85.8 | 1.80 | 0.58 | 0.523952 | 0.22166 |
| 800 | 23.5 | 109.8 | 1.20 | 0.49 | 0.473933 | 0.15738 |
| 900 | 23.2 | 109.8 | 1.08 | 0.44 | 0.43154 | 0.129994 |
| 1000 | 23 | 109.1 | 1.08 | 0.45 | 0.395414 | 0.13264 |
| 1100 | 33.2 | 101.50 | 1.28 | 0.42 | 0.364413 | 0.119916 |
| 1450 | 45.9 | 40.00 | 0.84 | 0.39 | 0.284472 | 0.100331 |


| RC Model <br> MS Error |
| ---: |
| $9.52 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 0.007804 |
| 0.002462 |
| 0.000407 |
| 0.004947 |
| 0.012028 |
| 0.01426 |
| 0.002786 |
| 0.000145 |
| 0.000103 |
| 0.002573 |
| 0.003574 |
| 0.010722 |
| 0.004755 |


$V B=-5.0 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=7.6 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beam \#1 } \\ \text { (mv) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Het. Sig. } \\ \text { (RMS mV) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency | RC Model <br> MS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 69 | 37.4 |  |  | 0.997739 |  |  |
| 20 | 69.0 | 37.4 | 2.762 | 1.04 | 0.997739 |  | 0.00207 |
| 100 | 67.9 | 37.2 | 2.432 | 0.93 | 0.977435 | 0.712134 | 0.000122 |
| 200 | 68.3 | 37.7 | 2.318 | 0.87 | 0.922732 | 0.557382 | 0.002642 |
| 300 | 68.3 | 37.7 | 1.9 | 0.72 | 0.848959 | 0.374484 | 0.002536 |
| 400 | 68.3 | 37.7 | 1.634 | 0.61 | 0.770211 | 0.276968 | 0.017929 |
| 600 | 68.3 | 37.7 | 1.596 | 0.60 | 0.627704 | 0.264236 | 0.024106 |
| 700 | 29.7 | 104.2 | 1.444 | 0.50 | 0.56868 | 0.185117 | 0.000732 |
| 800 | 29.7 | 104.2 | 1.444 | 0.50 | 0.517657 | 0.185117 | 0.000222 |
| 950 | 29.5 | 104.1 | 1.33 | 0.47 | 0.454003 | 0.15864 | 0.00013 |
| 1200 | 29.5 | 104.1 | 1.444 | 0.51 | 0.374075 | 0.187001 | 0.01722 |
| 1400 | 72.8 | 36 | 1.33 | 0.50 | 0.326708 | 0.181058 | 0.029069 |
| 1500 | 72.8 | 36 | 1.064 | 0.40 | 0.307015 | 0.115877 | 0.008235 |
| 1600 | 72.8 | 36 | 0.836 | 0.31 | 0.289449 | 0.071537 | 0.000533 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.006343 |



## $\mathrm{VB}=-6.0 \mathrm{~V}$

amplifier bias $=8.2 \mathrm{mV}$

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Beam \#1 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Beam \#2 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Het. Sig. <br> (RMS mV) | Frequency <br> Response | Detector <br> RC Model | Het. Qum. <br> Efficiency |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 20 | 78.8 | 21.4 | 2.05 | 1.08 | 0.997279 | 0.877408 |
| 100 | 78.6 | 21.4 | 1.86 | 0.98 | 0.981572 | 0.7245 |
| 180 | 79 | 21.2 | 1.60 | 0.85 | 0.947627 | 0.537421 |
| 300 | 79 | 21.2 | 1.52 | 0.81 | 0.874294 | 0.487457 |
| 400 | 49.3 | 66.7 | 2.09 | 0.69 | 0.804568 | 0.352793 |
| 450 | 49.3 | 66.7 | 2.09 | 0.69 | 0.769582 | 0.352793 |
| 700 | 49.2 | 66.7 | 1.98 | 0.65 | 0.613078 | 0.316126 |
| 800 | 26.5 | 119 | 1.63 | 0.58 | 0.561839 | 0.255705 |
| 1000 | 27.2 | 118.2 | 1.41 | 0.49 | 0.477475 | 0.183675 |
| 1100 | 49 | 52.4 | 1.22 | 0.46 | 0.44292 | 0.159225 |
| 1500 | 78.3 | 36.2 | 1.14 | 0.41 | 0.340531 | 0.128576 |
| 1600 | 77.8 | 36.6 | 1.10 | 0.40 | 0.321478 | 0.119306 |
| 1700 | 77.8 | 36.6 | 0.91 | 0.33 | 0.304331 | 0.081712 |


$V B=-9.0 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=11.0 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frequency } \\ & (\mathrm{MHz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam \#1 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Het. Sig. (RMS mV) | Frequency <br> Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency | RC Model MS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 10 | 78.2 | 51.4 | 3.72 | 1.15 | 0.998764 | 0.88092 | 0.022795 |
| 20 | 78 | 51.0 | 3.50 | 1.09 | 0.998477 | 0.786459 | 0.007722 |
| 50 | 77.7 | 51 | 3.19 | 0.99 | 0.996472 | 0.658579 | 5.56E-06 |
| 100 | 77.7 | 51 | 3.23 | 1.01 | 0.989411 | 0.674353 | 0.000274 |
| 250 | 78 | 50.6 | 3.04 | 0.95 | 0.943851 | 0.600682 | 3.09E-05 |
| 300 | 78.3 | 50.6 | 2.66 | 0.83 | 0.922364 | 0.457847 | 0.008739 |
| 450 | 77.8 | 50.6 | 2.36 | 0.74 | 0.84761 | 0.361865 | 0.012258 |
| 700 | 53.1 | 79.3 | 2.36 | 0.71 | 0.716975 | 0.332901 | 0.000104 |
| 750 | 53.1 | 79.3 | 2.36 | 0.71 | 0.692555 | 0.332901 | 0.000203 |
| 800 | 32.4 | 124.2 | 2.05 | 0.67 | 0.669021 | 0.299752 | 2.74E-06 |
| 1000 | 46.6 | 118.60 | 2.09 | 0.54 | 0.584423 | 0.196652 | 0.001697 |
| 1100 | 47.2 | 118.60 | 2.05 | 0.53 | 0.547777 | 0.186424 | 0.000356 |
| 1200 | 46.6 | 118.60 | 2.13 | 0.55 | 0.514598 | 0.203868 | 0.001483 |
| 1500 | 86.4 | 27.6 | 0.99 | 0.45 | 0.432738 | 0.134494 | 0.000273 |
| 1700 | 88.2 | 27.8 | 1.06 | 0.48 | 0.389914 | 0.15053 | 0.007286 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.004516 |



## Detector Capacitance

| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{pF})$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | 34.9 |
| 0.5 | 17.8 |
| 1.0 | 8.4 |
| 3.0 | 7.7 |
| 4.0 | 7.1 |
| 5.0 | 6.3 |
| 6.0 | 5.6 |
| 9.0 | 4.2 |



### 3.0 LIDAR OPTICAL SUBSYSETM PERFORMANCE MODELING AND ANALYSIS

### 3.1 SYSTEM MODELING

The lidar optical subsystem has been modeled which includes Primary mirror, secondary mirror, quarter wave plate, recollimating lens, beam splitter, beam derotator, and lag angle compensator. Figure 1 is the perspective view of the entire telescope optics. The analysis covers wavefront error, boresight, and polarization. Because of non-traditional design concept, the performance analysis has to address some special issues, such as the effect of the quarter wave plate and the off-axial related performance changes.

### 3.1.1 System Layout

Three-element Catadooptric off-axial Galilean telescope has been designed to eliminate the central obscuration, reduce the back scattering, and lower the parametric sensitivities. The telescope has no real focus to prevent air breakdown. The mirrors and lens are general conical surface operated at off-axis. One quarter wave plate is located in the front of the lens to converting circular polarized beam to linear polarized beam. A beam splitter is follows the telescope to reflect the output beam into the telescope and transmit the input beam to the monolithic beam derotator. The pupil relay has been omitted to simplify the system structure. The beam derotator remove both angular and linear displacements caused by scanner and telescope. A single electro-mechanical controlled folding mirror has been used to perform lag angle compensation.
The primary mirror, secondary mirror, and recollimating lens share the total power to lower the parametric sensitivity. The conical constants of the secondary mirror and two lens surfaces are also optimized to achieve the overall optical performance.

### 3.1.2 The effect of the quarter wave plate

The quarter wave plate is located in the convergent ray path. Any parallel plate in the noncollimated ray path will introduce aberrations. In the design, a fused silica plate has been added in to model the effect. The telescope optics has to be reoptimized to obtain acceptable performance. The surface shape of the mirrors as well as lens have changed slightly after introducing the quarter wave plate. The location of the mirrors and lens stay same.

The sensitivity analysis shows the location of the quarter wave plate is not sensitive to the performance. The thickness and wedge errors of the plate are sensitive. The tilt of the element has little effect on the performance.

### 3.2 Performance

The system specifications are as follows:

| Magnification | 25 | X | (afocal) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Entrance aperture | 250 mm | (diameter) <br> Exit pupil | 10 |
| mm | (diameter) |  |  |
| Over axial length | 200 mm |  |  |
| Off-axial value | 187.5 mm |  |  |
| Wavelength | 2.067 micron |  |  |
| Field of view | 0.0802 degree | (conical scan angle) |  |

The performance analysis as well as sensitivity analysis have not included the scanner. The conical scan field of view has been considered in the modeling and analysis.

### 3.2.1 Wavefront performance

Wavefront error has been used to quantitatively describe the beam quality of the telescope. The RMS wavefront error of less $1 / 10$ wave is required by the coherent operation. The well optimized design has achieved much lower wavefront errors. This leaves enough margin for the tolerance of the fabrication and integration.

### 3.2.1.1 Off-axis effect

Because of the off-axial operation of the telescope, the field characteristics of the performance is not symmetric. Performance can not be simply checked by on-axis and full field as those in the traditional rotation symmetrical system. However, The field character is Plano symmetrical. We check the performances at the (1) center of the field, (2) top most field, (3) low most field, and (4) right most field. Left most field is mirror symmetrical to the right most field.

### 3.2.1.1 Wave front for the emitting beam and returning beam at different field angles

Figures 2 to 5 are plots of the wave front maps at different field angles. The RMS wave front error are:

| 0.0017 | wave | (At the top most field shown in Figure 2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0020 | wave | (At the low most field shown in Figure 3) |
| 0.0043 | wave | (At the right most field shown in Figure 4) |

The peak-to-valley errors are also well below required.

### 3.2.2 Boresight

Boresight is the other most important performance of the optical system for the coherent operation. An error of 1 micro-radians is required in the object space.

### 3.2.2.1 Distortion problem

Distortion of the off-axial optics is not axial symmetrical anymore. The beam with conical scan
motion will have slight ray angle changes at different scanning clock angle caused by the nonsymmentrical distortion. It will be a nightmare to correct this kind of error. The distortion check has to be performed to ensure the ray angle uncertainty is within the boresight requirement.

### 3.2.2.2 Boresight at different beam angle

The boresight error at different scanning positions are listed:
0.365 micro-radians (At top most of the field)
0.365 micro-radians (At low most of the field)
0.271 micro-radians (At right most of the field)

Boresight error introduced by other parts, such as beam derotator and lag angle compensator is controlled by the tolerance of these parts.

### 3.2.2.3 Beam position shift on the detector

Beam shift caused by telescope distortion has been checked:
0.0166 mm (At top most of the field)
0.0173 mm (At low most of the field)
0.0119 mm (At right most of the field)

These are negligible.

### 3.2.3 Polarization

One common question to the off-axial telescope is the polarization. The high steep angle of incidence on the mirrors will introduce polarization changes. The polarization performance has been checked for the telescope.

### 3.2.3.1 Mirror coating

The effective measure to concur the polarization problem is the suitable coating of the optics. The gold coating has been selected for the primary and secondary mirrors. Because of the very high reflectivity of the gold coating in the operation wavelength. The change in two components of the polarization must be within few percent because the total reflectivity is the average of the two polarization components.

### 3.2.3.2 Polarization analysis of the telescope

Figure 5 is the polarization map onto the field for the telescope mirrors. Figure 6 is the polarization map onto the entrance pupil for the telescope mirrors. The polarization ray trace shows the polarized throughputs for the mirror pair are:

| Total | S-component | P-component |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.983 | 0.702 | 0.674 |

Table 1 is the polarization ray trace through the mirror pair.
Figure 7 is the polarization map onto the field for the complete telescope (mirror pairs, quarter wave plate and recollimating lens). Figure 8 is the polarization map onto the entrance pupil for the complete telescope. The polarized throughputs for the complete telescope are:

| Total | S-conponent | P-component |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.366 | 0.423 | 0.433 |

Table 2 is the polarization ray trace trough the complete telescope. The low efficience is caused by no coating has been considered on the quarter wave plate and recollimating lens at this time.

Table 1. Ploarization ray trace through telescope mirrors pray 2000 surf

| SURF. NO. MAGN. | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ | PHASE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| INCID. S 1 | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INCID. P 1 | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.900000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |
| REFR. S | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| REFR. P | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.900000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCID. S 2 | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.900000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |
| INCID. P 2 | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.180000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
| REFL. S 2 | $.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.884040 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |
| REFL. P 2 | $.703837 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.462439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.530599 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.181926 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| INCID. S 3 | $.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.884040 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |
| INCID. P 3 | $.703837 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.462439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.530599 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.178074 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
| REFL. S 3 | $.701889 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.701889 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.266922 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |
| REFL. P 3 | $.700333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.674395 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.188832 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |

V1: (X,Y,Z),PHASE .701889E+00 .000000E+00 .000000E+00 -. $266922 \mathrm{E}+03$
V2: (X,Y,Z),PHASE $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00-.674395 \mathrm{E}+00-.188832 \mathrm{E}+00-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ INTENSITY: APOD., POLAR., PROD. .100000E+01 . $983114 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad .983114 \mathrm{E}+00$

Table 2. Ploarization ray trace through telescope mirrors, quarter wave plate, and lens pray 2000 surf

| MAGN. | X | Y | Z | PHASE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCID. S 1 .707107E+00 | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| INCID. P $1.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $900000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| REFR. S $1.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| REFR. P $1.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.900000 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| INCID. S $2.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .707107E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 02 |
| INCID. P $2.707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $707107 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $180000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFL. S $2.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.884040 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| REFL. P 2 .703837E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.462439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.530599 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.181926 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| INCID. S $3.704396 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .704396E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000000 E | 02 |
| INCID. P 3 .703837E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $462439 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.530599 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.178074 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFL. S $3.701889 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .701889E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.266922 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFL. P $3.700333 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.674395 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.188832 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| INCID. S $4.701889 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .701889E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.930783 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| INCID. P 4 .700333E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $674395 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.188832 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFR. S $4.689244 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .689244E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.930783 E+02$ |
| REFR. P $4.690166 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.677911 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.129483 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| INCID.S $5.689244 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .689244E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .930783E+02 |
| INCID. P $5.690166 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $677911 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $129483 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFR. S $5.676828 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .676828E+00 | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.930783 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| REFR. P $5.680148 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.654957 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.183390 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| INCID. S $6.676828 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $676828 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 000000E+00 | 000000E+00 | $.930783 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| INCID. P $6.680148 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.654957 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. 183390E+00 | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| REFR. S $6.535197 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $535197 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.930783 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| REFR. P $6.542261 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.536572 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.783381E-01 | $-.176000 \mathrm{E}+03$ |
| INCID. S $7.535197 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.535197 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.869217 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| INCID. P $7.542261 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $536572 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .783381E-01 | . $400035 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| REFR. S $7.422707 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.422707 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . $000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -.869217E+02 |
| REFR. P $7.432827 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.432827 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -. $586503 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $.400035 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| V1: (X,Y,Z),PHASE -. 4227 | $707 \mathrm{E}+00.0000$ | 000E+00 . 0000 | 000E+00 -.8692 | $217 \mathrm{E}+02$ |
| V2: (X,Y,Z),PHASE . 00000 | 00E +00 . 4328 | $27 \mathrm{E}+00-.5865$ | 503E-05 . 4000 | 35E+01 |
| INTENSITY: APOD., POLAR | R., PROD. | 00000E+01 . 3 | $366021 \mathrm{E}+00.3$ | $366021 \mathrm{E}+00$ |

The coating design has not been completed for the rest of the optics.


Figure 1. Optical system layout
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Figure 2. Wavefront error at the top most field
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Figure 3. Wavefront error at the low most field
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Figure 5. Polarization map over the field for the mirror mair
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Figure 6. Polarization map over the pupil for the mirror pair

## MAPPING FUNCTION POLARIZATION



Figure 7. Polarization map over the feld for the complete telescope
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Figure 8. Polarization map over the pupil for the complete telescope

### 3.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivities for each part have been checked by varying one parameter at a time in the complete system to evaluation of its effect on the overall performance. The performance degradations are rms wave front error, boresight error, and beam shift on the detector. Wave front errors are in wave at 2.067 micron. Boresight errors are in micro-radians in object space. Beam shift errors are in mm at detector surface. Parametric errors are decenters, tilts, thickness error, and wedge error of each element. Decenter and thickness errors are in mm. Tilt and wedge errors are in milli-radians.

Table 3 lists all the sensitivities with parametric errors and corresponding performance errors. Sensitivities not listed are much looser than 1 mm in length and 1 milli-radians in angle.

### 3.3.1 Primary and secondary mirrors

Because of non-symmetrical natural of the off-axis telescope, all six parametric errors are independent for each mirror. Linear displacement errors are in order of 0.01 mm to contribute little over $1 / 10$ wave rms wave front errors. Angular errors are in order of 0.017 milli-radians for the primary and 0.17 milli-radians for the secondary to introduce little under than $1 / 10$ wave rms wave front errors. Some numbers are not symmetrical about the sign. The most sensitivities values are listed for these non-symmentric sensitivities. The most sensitive displacement is the axial spacing. 0.01 mm axial position change of the secondary will introduce 0.164 wave rms wave front error. The most sensitive tilt is the tilt around X axis. 0.017 milli-radians change of the primary will introduce 0.054 wave rms wavefront error.

Secondary mirror tilt sensitivities are lower. It is difficult to maintain the angular position for the small secondary mirror.

### 3.3.2 Quarter wave plate

Quarter wave plate will introduce error because it is located in the convergent ray path. Quarter wave plate is simply a plate with symmetrical shape. Only tilt, thickness, and wedge errors are checked. Thickness error of 0.01 mm will introduce 0.015 wave rms wave front error. 17.5 milliradians of tilt and 1.7 milli-radians of wedge will introduce 0.019 wave and 0.051 wave rms wave front error respectively. The location of the quarter wave plate shows almost no effect to the performance.

### 3.3.3 Re-collimating lens

Collimating lens is working at off-axis. All six variations have been checked. Compared to the primary and secondary mirrors, collimating lens has much lower sensitivities. The axial displacement of 0.01 mm will introduce 0.023 wave rms wavefront error. In the real practice, this axial displacement can be used to final tune the wavefront performance to compensate error caused by element fabrication error and assembly alignment error. The in plane location of the
lens has the range of 0.1 mm . Tilts are in 1.7 milli-radians. The in plane rotation can be 17.5 milli-radians. The wedge in two directions are 1.75 milli-radians.

The reason of lower sensitivities of the lens compared to mirror is the two close parallel surface. When displacement happen on both surface, effect are partly canceled.

### 3.3.4 Cubic beam splitter

Cubic beam splitter is located in a collimated beam path. The effect to the wave front performance is very low, so as the rest of the optical parts. However, changes in these parts will introduce boresight error or beam shift on the detector. The thickness of 1.00 mm change will introduce 0.011 mm beam position on the final detector.

The effect of the beam splitter position error is essentially none.

### 3.3.5 Beam derotator

Axial position of the derotator will introduce beam shift only. The 1.00 mm of the axial displacement will create 0.036 mm beam shift on the detector. The thickness of the derotator also introduce beam shift. In the real practice, the axial position of the derotator can be used to compensate the fabrication error of the derotator thickness. The tilt change of the derotator only introduces boresight error. The wedge angle also introduces boresight error. Again, the tilt angle can be used to compensate the fabrication of the derotator wedge. The synchronous error (clocking angle) of 1.0 milli-radians of the derotator will introduce 1.40 micron radians of the boresight error in the object space.

Center of the derotator should be on the center of the beam. Any error in the axis offset will cause residual beam shift in the same amount.

### 3.3.6 Lag angle compensator

Lag angle compensator is a simple flat mirror. The normal position of the mirror surface change in 0.01 mm will introduce 0.014 mm beam position on the detector. The two directional tilts will introduce boresight change of 0.57 micron radians and 0.80 micron-radians respectively for the 0.01 milli-radians changes in tilt angle.

These two ratios are the angular transfer function of the lag angle compensator required by lag angle compensator driver.

All the sensitivities are the effects of one parameter at a time. The combination of the errors need more detailed modeling. One simple estimate can be made based on satitistically reducing the values by square root of the number of the dominant variables. The dominant variables are those hard to fabricate or align.

Table 3. Parametric sensitivities
Primary mirror

| Decenter | X | 0.01 | mm | 0.108 | wave | rms wavefront |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decenter | Y | 0.01 | mm | 0.148 | wave | rms wavefront |
| Decenter | Z | 0.01 | mm | 0.143 | wave | rms wavefront |
| Tilt | X | 0.017 mr | 0.054 | wave | rms wavefront |  |
| Tilt | Y | 0.017 | mr | 0.039 | wave | rms wavefront |
| Tilt | Z | 0.017 | mr | 0.035 | wave | rms wavefront |

Secondary mirror

| Decenter | X | 0.01 | mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Decenter | Y | 0.01 | mm |
| Decenter | Z | 0.01 | mm |
| Tilt | X | 0.17 | mr |
| Tilt | Y | 0.17 | mr |
| Tilt | Z | 0.17 | mr |

0.104 wave
0.142 wave
0.164 wave
0.087 wave
0.065 wave
0.053 wave

> rms wavefront rms wavefront rms wavefront rms wavefront rms wavefront rms wavefront

Quart wave plate

Tilt
Thickness
Wedge
Collimating lens

| Thickness | 1.00 mm | 0.011 mm | shift on detector |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Beam derotator |  |  |  |  |
| Axial position | 1.00 | mm | 0.036 mm | shift on detector |
| Tilt $(\mathrm{x})$ | 1.00 | mr | 1.64 mr | boresight error |
| Synchrnous ( z$)$ | 1.00 | mr | 1.40 mr | boresight error |
| UAH/CAO |  | 53 |  |  |

17.5 mr
0.01 mm
1.75 mr
0.019 wave
0.015 wave
0.051 wave
rms wavefront ms wavefront rms wavefront

| Decenter | X |
| :--- | :--- |
| Decenter | Y |
| Decenter | Z |
| Tilt | X |
| Tilt | $Y$ |
| Tilt | Z |
| Thickness |  |
| Wedge | X |
| Wedge | $Y$ |

Cubic beam splitter
Decenter X
Decenter Y
Decenter Z
Tilt X
Tilt $Y$
Tilt Z
Thickness
Wedge $X$
Wedge Y

| Thichness | 0.10 | mm | 0.058 | mm | shift on detector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wedge | 0.01 | mr | 1.86 | mr | boresight error |
| Lag angle compensator |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal position (Dec. z) | 0.01 | mm | 0.014 | mm | shift on detector |
| Tilt X | 0.01 | mr | 0.57 | mr | boresight error |
| Tilt $\quad Y$ | 0.01 | mr | 0.80 | mr | boresight error |

### 3.4 System data

Figures 9 and 10 are the side view and top view of the telescope optics. Some dimensions are somewhat arbitrary, such as the distance between derotator and lag angle compensator, the distance between lag angle compensator and detector. Figure 11 is the recollimating lens fabrication drawing.

Table 4 lists the detailed prescription of the optical system.

## Table 4. Optical system priscription

| SYNOPSYS AI> |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SPE |  |  |  |
| LENS SPECIFICATION |  |  |  |
| ID 250 MM CLR TEL W/QW DR - CLRTQD1216 |  |  |  |
| OBJ. DIST. | INFINITE | FOCAL HEIGHT | INFINITE |
| OBJ. HEIGHT | INFINITE | BACK FOCAL DIST. | 0000 |
| MARG. RAY HEIGHT | 125.0000 | PARAXIAL FOCAL P.. | 0000 |
| CHEIF RAY HEIGHT | .0000 | OVERALL LENGTH | 101.1737 |
| MARG. RAY ANGLE | .0000 | ENTR. PUPIL POS. | .0000 |
| CHEIF RAY ANGLE | .0802 | EXIT PUPIL POS. | -189.1906 |
| DIAIM | -9.9964 | GAUSSIAN IM. HT. | .0350 |
|  |  |  | .0000 |
| X-OBJ. HEIGHT | INFINITE | X-CHIEF RAY HT. | .125 .0000 |
| X-CHIEF RAY ANGLE | .0802 | X-MARG. RAY HT. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| WAVELENGTHS | 2.07700 | 2.06700 | 2.05700 |
| UNTSS MM |  |  |  |
| STOP IS ON SURF. NO. | 1 |  |  |
| AFOCAL MAGNIFICATION | 25.0013 |  |  |
| GLOBAL OPTION IS ON |  |  |  |
| POLARIZATION AND COATINGS ARE IGNORED. |  |  |  |

SURF. NO. RADIUS THICKNESS MEDIUM

| 1 | NFINITE | 225.0000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2A | -412.13152 | -175.00232 | -AIR |  |  |  |
| CONCB | -. $412131 \mathrm{E}+13$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AXES A | .412132e+08 | CC | $-.100000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | -89.441144 | 65.88759 | AIR |  |  |  |
| CONICB | . $353935 \mathrm{E}+02$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AXES A | -.562640e+02 | CC | -.352705E+01 |  |  |  |
| 4 | INFINITE | 1.20000 | CRQZB | 1.51979 T | 1540.55 | UNUSUA |
| 5 | INFINTTE | 6.30513 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 6 | 180.15394 | 3.29231 | ZNS | 2.26422T | 6394.74 | UNUSUA |
| CONIC B | -.311206E+02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AXES A | -.748765E+02 | CC | $-.678890 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | 28.39464 | 10.00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| CONICB | . $155652 \mathrm{E}+03$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| AXES A | . $664807 \mathrm{E}+02$ | CC | $-.817576 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |  |
| 8 | INFINTE | 15.00000 | FUSLLICA | 1.43719T | 1452.40 | UNUSUA |
| 9 | INFINTE | . 00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 10A | INFINTE | 15.00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 11 A | INFINTE | 4.49094 | ZNS | 2.26422T | 6394.74 | UNUSUA |
| 12A | INFINTIE | . 00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 13 | INFINTE | . 00000 | AIIR |  |  |  |
| 14 | INFINTE | 30.00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 15A | INFINTE | . 00000 | -AIR |  |  |  |
| 16A | INFINTE | -100.00000 | -AIR |  |  |  |
| 17 | INFINTTE | . 00000 | AIR |  |  |  |
| 18 | INFINTE | . $6035 \mathrm{E}+06$ | AIR |  |  |  |

NOTE: TTEMS MARKED "P" OR "S" ARE SUBJECT TO PICKUPS OR SOLVES DEFORMATION COEFFICIENTS

TLITS AND DECENTERS
X-DEC, YDECN, ZDECN
2 TDC 39 SURFACES

|  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-.187400 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |  |
| 2 | TDC 29 | SURFACES |  | $.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
|  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ |  |
|  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.750000 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | TDC $\quad 3$ | SURFACES |  | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $.000000 \mathrm{E}+00$




Figure 9. Optical system side view
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Figure 10. Optical system top view

Figure 11. Recollimation fabrication drawing

### 3.4.1 Telescope

Telescope consists of three optical power elements:
Primary mirror

| Radius of curvature <br> Conic constant | 412.13152 | mm | (Concave) <br> (Paraboloid) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Secondary mirror |  |  |  |$\quad-1.0$| Radius of curvature | 89.44114 | mm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$Conic constant | -3.52705 |  |
| (Convex) |  |  |
| (Hyperboloid) |  |  |

The Fused silica quarter wave plate is located in the front of the recollimating lens with the thickness of 1.2 mm . The optical material of Re-collimating lens was originally chosen to be Germenium. However, the material was later changed to Zinc Sulfide because it can transmit HeNe laser beam for the purpose of the system alignment. The final wave-front error has been optimized to be about $1 / 200$. The index of refraction of ZnS is $2.26 @ 2.0$ microns wavelength.

### 3.4.2 Derotator

The material of beam derotator was originally selected to be Germanium, but like the recollimating lens, it was changed to ZnS to facilitate the system alignment and integration. The tilt angle is 45 degree (the angle between the normal of front surface and rotational axis). The wedge angle is 0.971609 degree ( the angle between front and back surface). The central thickness is 4.491 mm . The wedge angle error caused by fabrication can be compensated by adjusting the tilt angle. The thickness error can be compensated by adjusting the axial location of the derotator. However the linear beam offset caused by thickness error will be very small compared to the system requirement. The axial adjustment may not be necessary. The angular offset caused by wedge angle error must be compensated to satisfy the system boresight requirement. See Sensitivity section for the detail.

### 3.4.3 Lag angle compensator

The lag angle compensator is a simple two dimmensional electro-mechanical addressable folding mirror. The angular beam offset caused by scanning and satellite motion can be compensated by
correctly driving the mirror. The linear beam offset on the final detector by this mirror tilts is very small because the tilt angles are very small and the separation between the telescope exit pupil to the lag angle compensator is also small. The linear beam offset on the final detector is the product of lag angle and pupil distance. For the dynamic lag angle change of 80 micron-radians and pupil distance of 87 mm :

$$
\text { Linear offset }=80 \times 10-6 * 87 * 25=0.174 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Where 25 is the telescope magnification. The linear bean offset is only $1.74 \%$ of the 10 mm beam diameter.

The transfer functions of the lag angle compensator are linear factors of 0.71429 and 0.5 (ratio of mirror tilt and beam deviation) for the horizontal and vertical directions respectively. The tilt ranges for the 80 micro radians dynamic lag angle are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Horizontal range } & =0.71429 * 80 * 25=1.4286 \text { milliradians } \\
\text { Vertical range } & =0.5 * 80 * 25=1.0 \text { milliradians }
\end{array}
$$

The accuracy of the mirror positions for the 1 micro radians of system boresight requirement are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Horizontal range } & =0.71429 * 1 * 25=17.8573 \text { micro radians } \\
\text { Vertical range } & =0.5 * 1 * 25=12.5 \text { micro radians }
\end{array}
$$

The swing rates of the mirrors for the 160 microseconds pulse reception time are:
Horizontal rate $\quad=0.001429 / 0.00016=8.931$ radians $/$ second
Vertical rate $\quad=0.001 / 0.00016=6.25$ radians $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ond

### 3.5 Future work on optical subsystem

Complete coating design is needed for all the elements. Detail polarization analysis is necessary upon the completion of coating design. Complete system performance modeling and analysis can be performed. Scattering analysis is necessary to minimize possible signal to noise ratio lose.

### 4.0 LOW-MASS SCANNER

A study, that was initiated previously, continued under this delivery order to determine the feasibility of using Diffractive and Holographic Optical Elements (DOE and HOE) for scanning the lidar transmitter beam. The diffractive and holographic optical elements have the potential of substantially reducing the mass of the lidar systems and allowing for a much easier spacecraft accommodation design. The diffractive and holographic optical elements change the direction of a laser beam by diffraction, as opposed to the conventional scanning techniques that are based on refraction and reflection. The diffractive and holographic optical elements can potentially reduce the mass of the lidar scanner to less than one fourth of a wedge scanner with the same clear aperture diameter. Wedge scanners have frequently been used in the past for airborne lidar measurements and is now being considered for spaceborne measurements. A wedge scanner element was also specified and acquired by the NASA/MSFC.

As part of this effort, an experimental HOE scanner was specified by the UAH personnel. This scanner element was then designed, fabricated, and delivered to MSFC by the Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE). This HOE scanner was designed for operation at 2-micron wavelength using dichromated gelatin (DCG) holographic material. The scanning element consists two 15 cm substrates with the holographic material sealed between them. The actual useful area has a 10 cm diameter. Figure 1 shows the HOE scanner in a measurement set up at MSFC. This HOE scanner was characterized at the MSFC Detector Characterization Facility at 2-micron wavelength. The following summerizes the results of these measurements and the desired quantities for operation in a coherent lidar.

|  | Desired | Measured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beam Deflection Angle | $\sim 30^{\circ}$ | $28^{\circ}$ |
| Transmission Efficiency |  |  |
| - First Order | $95 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| - Zero Order | $5 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Wavefront Quality | 0.09 waves RMS | 0.49 waves RMS |

It is recommended that the future work on the low-mass scanner to be continued by concentrating on the Diffractive Optical Element (DOE) techniques.


Figure 1. Holographic Optical Element Scanner

### 5.0 RELATED ACTIVITIES

### 5.1 TECHNICAL AND NOAA SPACE-BASED LIDAR WORKING GROUP MEETINGS

UAH personnel attended the NOAA Working Group on Space-Based Lidar Winds Meeting on July 10-12, 1996 in Frisco, Colorado. In this meeting, the status of the NASA/MSFC and UAH 2 -micron solid state coherent lidar efforts were described in these meetings. UAH personnel also participated in a technical coordination meeting for the NASA solid state coherent lidar program at NASA Langley Research Center MSFC on October 10, 1996.

### 5.2 CONFERENCES

Two conference papers, describing some of the work performed under this deliver order, were prepared and presented at the SPIE International Symposium on Optical Science, Engineering, and Instrumentation, in Denver, Colorado, August 4-9, 1996; and the Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics, in Anaheim, California, June 2-7, 1996. The followings provide the summaries of these papers.

# Experimental Evaluation of InGaAs photodetectors for 2-micron coherent lidars 

Farzin Amzajerdian<br>The University Of Alabama in Huntsville<br>Center for Applied Optics<br>Huntsville, Alabama 35899<br>(205)890-6030


#### Abstract

The heterodyne detection characteristics of InGaAs photodetectors have been experimentally evaluated and their optimum operating parameters and interface requirements for 2 -micron coherent lidars have been determined.


## SUMMARY

In view of growing interest in eye-safe, solid state coherent lidars using Thulium and Holmium based lasers, it has become necessary to investigate the properties of wideband, 2-micron detection devices and evaluate their performance as heterodyne detectors. For this purpose, a detector measurement system has been developed that is capable of measuring all the necessary detector parameters for optimal designs of optical heterodyne receivers and predicting their sensitivities. Several commercially available InGaAs detectors with different active area sizes have been characterized and their optimum operating and electronic interface parameters have been determined as a function of the signal IF bandwidth requirement.

The detector measurement system utilizes two diode-pumped, single mode, continuous wave, Tm, Ho:YLF lasers. Both lasers can be tuned using an intra-cavity etalon over a wide range of
about 22 nm or 1500 GHz centered at 2060 nm . The frequency of one of the lasers can be further controlled by adjusting its resonator length using a piezoelectric (PZT) translation stage. This laser can be continuously tuned over a frequency range of about 1 GHz by applying the appropriate voltage to the PZT stage. Both lasers can produce about 100 mW of single frequency power.

As shown in figure 1, the measurement system can operate the detector in both direct and heterodyne detection modes. When operating in the direct detection mode, the output of the continuously tunable laser is focused by a short focal length lens ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) to a spot size considerably smaller than the detector effective area to avoid introducing any truncation error in the measurements. For the heterodyne detection measurements, the short focal lens immediately in front of the detector is removed from the optical path. The two laser beams are combined and directed toward the detector by a $50 \%$ beamsplitter. By varying the frequency of the continuously tunable laser, the detector heterodyne detection properties can then be determined as a function of heterodyne signal IF frequency. The measured properties include the detector non-linearity coefficient, junction capacitance and resistance as a function of applied bias, AC and DC quantum efficiencies, and voltage-current relationship.

Using the experimental data, the optimal designs of 2-micron optical heterodyne receivers operating in different IF signal bandwidth regimes have been defined and their performance analyzed. Figure 2 is an example of a 2 -micron optical heterodyne receiver performance that illustrates the receiver departure from the shot noise-limited operation as the signal IF bandwidth increases beyond a few hundred MHz . For this example, it has been assumed that a $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter InGaAs detector is interfaced with a wideband GaAs MESFET transimpedance amplifier. In figure 2 , the transimpedance of the amplifier has been adjusted accordingly with the required IF bandwidth for optimum low-noise operation.
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Figure 1. Detector Measurement System.


Figure 2. An example of a two-micron coherent lidar receiver performance.

# Design and fabrication of a compact lidar telescope 

Anees Ahmad, Farzin Amzajerdian, Chen Feng and Ye Li<br>Center for Applied Optics<br>The University of Alabama in Huntsville<br>Huntsville, AL 35899


#### Abstract

A prototype compact off-axis reflective lidar telescope has been designed and fabricated for remote sensing of atmospheric winds from space and airborne platforms. The 250 mm aperture telescope consists of two mirrors and a collimating lens to achieve a very compact size, without any central obscuration. It has no internal focal point to prevent air breakdown, and the pupil relay optics has also been eliminated. This paper presents the results of optical design and sensitivity analysis along with the predicted performance. The major design issues for lidar systems, particularly the one that utilizes coherent detection for higher sensitivity and Doppler frequency extraction, are the wavefront quality, polarization purity, and a minimum backscattering off the reflective surfaces. These design issues along with the other optical characteristics of this lidar telescope are presented. The effect on the wavefront quality of the tilt, decentration and axial spacing tolerances for the mirrors, collimating lens and quarter wave plate is discussed. The important optomechanical design features include high rigidity, long term stability and a low fabrication cost. The mirrors are directly bolted and pinned to the support structure to achieve the required alignment accuracies and long term stability. The mirrors and structure are made from aluminum for low cost, and to minimize the adverse effects of differential thermal expansion. The aluminum mirrors are stress relieved and electroless nickel plated prior to single-point diamond machining. The mirrors are also post-polished to achieve a very low surface roughness to minimize the backscattering.


Key words: Lidar telescope, off-axis reflective optics, tolerance analysis, metal mirrors, diamond machining

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in diode-pumped solid lasers have provided the new possibilities for the development of robust, compact and efficient coherent lidars [1]. However, space applications of coherent lidars continue to demand for more compact and robust designs with a higher degree of sensitivity [2,3]. For a space-based coherent lidar, the telescope and scanner along with their associated support structures and control units account for most of the weight and size budget of the system. Therefore, any reduction in their mass will have a major impact on the mission cost. This paper describes the design, performance and fabrication technique of a 250 mm telescope that can significantly reduce the size and weight of a space-based coherent lidar operating at 2 microns wavelength. Although this lidar telescope has been designed and fabricated based on
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requirements of a space-based instrument, it can directly benefit many airborne applications of coherent lidars.

As opposed to non-coherent lidars, coherent lidars impose stringent wavefront quality, polarization and boresight stability requirements on the telescope. Furthermore, a space-based coherent lidar system must be structurally and thermally robust enough to survive the launch and the space environment.

## 2. OPTICAL DESIGN

The overall function of the coherent lidar optical system is to expand the laser beam, and direct it towards the atmosphere in a conical scan, to receive the back scattered radiation, and compensate for the wavefront and boresight errors caused by the scanner and spacecraft/aircraft motions. The major lidar system requirements are: (1) beam expanding aperture of 250 mm ; (2) input laser beam diameter of 10 mm ; (3) conical scan rate of 10 RPM ; (4) nadir angle of 30 degrees; (5) no internal focal point to avoid air break down in ground system testing; (6) diffraction limited beam quality; (7) lag angle compensation to maintain the boresight to within 1 micro-radian.
The beam expanding telescope is an off-axis catadioptric system, which serves both as the transmitter laser beam expander and as the receiver collecting telescope. Various configurations for the telescope were evaluated against the performance requirements. An off-axis configuration has been selected to eliminate the central obscuration of an on-axis design, which degrades the beam quality due to the diffraction pattern of the obscuration. The off-axis configuration also effectively reduces the back scattering of the transmitted pulses by the front surface of the telescope secondary mirror. A Galilean type of telescope has been employed to eliminate the real focus of the Keplierian type of telescope. The beam wander problem of a Galilean telescope (because of no real exit pupil) can be solved by using additional corrective optics. A catadioptric design with a refractive exit lens has been used to provide enough back-relief space to accommodate the beamsplitter, derotator and lag angle compensator. Figure 1 is the optical layout of this compact two-mirror and one lens off-axis telescope.

The two mirror are a parabola and a hyperbola as in a standard Cassegrain type of telescope. An aspheric negative lens been used to recollimate the beam. Zinc Sulfide has been selected for the re-recollimating lens to facilitate the integration and alignment of telescope using visible HeNe laser. The designed telescope has: (1) a field of view of 0.17 degree to cover the lag angle of the return beam; (2) afocal magnification of 25 X to expand the 10 mm beam to a 250 mm diameter; (3) RMS wavefront error of $1 / 10$ wave at the exit pupil for 2.067 micron wavelength; (4) zero obscuration and vignetting; (5) no internal real focus; and (6) two mirrors axial spacing of only 175 mm . Figure 2 shows the wavefront error of this telescope for the transmitted and return beams, and Figure 3 shows the point spread function in the telescope exit space for both the beams.

## 3. TOLERANCE ANALYSIS

It is easy to design an optical system that can never be built because of its parametric sensitivity,
i.e. very tight fabrication and assembly tolerances. Parametric sensitivity of the telescope has been analyzed to determine its performance for real fabrication and assembly tolerances. The details of wavefront error and boresight sensitivity analysis for the two mirrors and collimator lens are given in Reference 3 with the wavefront error for the ideal telescope, zero allowance for fabrication and assembly tolerance, is $\lambda / 200 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{V}$. Table 2 lists the boresight errors induced due to all these tolerances. The most sensitive element is the primary mirror. To maintain $1 / 10 \lambda$ RMS wavefront and a one micro-radians boresight system performance, the decenters should be less then 0.01 mm , and the tilts should be less than 0.017 milli-radians.

## 4. OPTOMECHANICAL DESIGN

A simple approach was used for the optomechanical design of the prototype telescope to minimize the fabrication cost and time. The telescope consists of a box-type support structure, a primary and a secondary mirror, and a quarter-wave plate and a collimating lens as shown in Figure 3. The primary mirror is designed to be back-surface mounted and the secondary mirror front-surface mounted so that their mounting surfaces on the support structure can be machined from the same side in one setup. The mirror and support structure are made from 6061-T6 aluminum alloy to minimize the problems due to differential thermal expansion as a result of the ambient temperature variations. The primary and secondary mirrors are ideally suited for diamond machining because of their off-axis aspherical configuration, and the large size of the primary mirror. A brief description of some of the important design features of the two mirrors and the support structure is given in the following sections.

### 4.1 Design of mirrors

The primary mirror has a clear aperture diameter of 250 mm and its mechanical axis is offset 187.5 mm from the optical axis. The diameter of primary mirror is 270 mm to provide an extra 10 mm margin around the edges to account for the edge roll-off and other polishing and machining errors. The back of the mirror is designed to be orthogonal to the optical axis to simplify the design of the diamond machining fixture. This design approach results in a mirror of non-uniform thickness, the top edge being much thicker than the edge closest to the optical axis. Although this non-uniform thickness of the mirror compromises its thermal performance, it results in substantial cost savings in the fabrication of the mirror blank and the diamond machining fixture.

The mirror is mounted to the support structure by using three screws and two dowel pins located on a common bolt circle of 200 mm diameter. The three $0.25-20$ screws are equally spaced $120^{\circ}$ apart, while the two $6.35 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.25^{\prime \prime}\right)$ diameter dowel pins are located $180^{\circ}$ apart from each other as shown in Figure 4. The threaded holes for the screws and a precision hole and a slot for the two pins are machined into the back surface of the mirror. Three small raised pads are provided around the threaded holes for a semi-kinematic mounting of the mirror to the structure and the machining fixture to minimize mirror distortion. The front of the primary mirror substrate is made spherical to a best fit radius of 555 mm to facilitate its rough machining. A small flat surface is also provided at the top outer edge for alignment and machining reference purposes. The secondary mirror is relatively much smaller in size ( 60 mm diameter), with an offset of only 30 mm between its mechanical axis and the telescope optical axis. The back surface of this mirror
is also designed to be orthogonal to the optical axis to simplify the design of its diamond machining fixture. Since the secondary mirror is much thinner than the primary mirror, a flange is provided for its mounting features as depicted in Figure 5. This flange could not be made full round because of the space constraints, thereby resulting in a slightly modified spacing between the screws. In this case, four screws have been provided for adequate mounting instead of the three screws normally used in kinematic mounting designs. The precision hole and slot for the two dowel pins are located $180^{\circ}$ apart on the same bolt circle as the screws. The front surface of the secondary mirror substrate was made flat and tapered to minimize the fabrication cost.

### 4.2 Design of diamond machining fixtures

Since the back surfaces of both mirrors are normal to the optical axis, the design of their diamond machining fixtures is fairly simple and straight forward. Both fixtures basically consist of flat circular plates with appropriate mounting features for the mirrors. These fixtures are designed to support and diamond machine two mirrors each simultaneously to minimize the imbalance problems due to centrifugal forces during machining.

The diamond machining fixture for the primary mirror consists of two circular plates, 660 mm in diameter and 25 and 50 mm thick as shown in Figure 6. A two-piece fixture design is needed in this case because of the back-mounting configuration of the primary mirror and the design of the chuck of diamond machine. The 50 mm thick plate is designed to mount to the chuck directly using six $0.625-13$ screws located on 356 mm (14") diameter bolt circle. The 25 mm thick plate has two $6.35 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.25^{\prime \prime}\right)$ diameter dowel pins and three counter-bored clearance holes for 0.25-20 screws on 200 mm diameter bolt circles, whose centers are located 187.5 mm (offset distance) from the center (spin axis) of the plate. The two mirrors are bolted to this plate first, and then this 25 mm thick plate is designed to be bolted to the other 50 mm thick plate.

The diamond machining fixture for the secondary mirror is a simple 140 mm diameter $\times 19 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick circular plate as illustrated in Figure 7. It is also designed to support two mirrors simultaneously for machining. This fixture has two dowel pins and four 6-32 threaded holes on a common bolt circle for each mirror. The centers of these bolt circles are located at 30 mm (offset distance) from the center (spin axis) of the plate.

### 4.3 Design of support structure

A box-type structure has been designed to support the two mirrors and other components of the telescope as depicted in Figure 8. This design configuration was selected to minimize the weight and cost of fabrication. The support structure consists of a front and a back plate, which are connected by a top and a bottom plate, plus six gussets (three on each side). All these ten 0.25 " thick plates can be machined individually, and then bolted and pinned together to produce a very rigid and lightweight support structure. Raised areas have been provided on the front and back plates for mounting the two mirrors. Extra material is provided on the raised areas so that these mounting surfaces can be machined flat and parallel to each other from the same side in the assembled box to obtain the correct axial spacing between the two mirrors.
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The front plate has four 6-32 threaded holes and two $3 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.125^{\prime \prime}\right)$ diameter dowel pins for securely mounting the secondary mirror. The back plate has three clearance holes for the 0.25-20 screws and two $6.35 \mathrm{~mm}\left(0.25^{\prime \prime}\right)$ diameter dowel pins for mounting the primary mirror. Mounting features have also been provided in the bottom plate to install the quarter-wave plate and collimating lens linear translation stage. A number of additional threaded holes are provided in different plates of the structure to install other components of the lidar system such as the wedge scanner, derotator and beamsplitter.

### 4.4 Design of quarter-wave plate and collimating lens mounts

Since the alignment tolerances for the quarter-wave plate and collimating lens are quite loose, simple bonded type mounts have been designed for these two optical elements as depicted in Figure 10. This bonded design not only simplifies the design of the mounts resulting in low fabrication cost, it also minimizes the thermally induced stresses in the optics due to differential expansion as a result of changes in the ambient temperature. The 25 mm diameter $\times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick quartz quarter-wave plate is directly bonded into an L-shaped aluminum bracket using an appropriate RTV. As the axial spacing and decentration of this flat optical element are not critical, only two screws are used for securing its mount to the structure.

The collimating lens mount is similar in design, but it is designed to mount to a linear translation stage for varying the focal length of the telescope from 100 meters to infinity. Although only a small off-axis part of the aspherical lens is needed, a complete 37 mm diameter circular lens, which is centered on the optical axis, is used. This configuration simplifies the mounting design and fabrication of the lens. The Cleartran ( ZnS ) lens is directly bonded into its aluminum mount using RTV.

## 5. FABRICATION OF MIRRORS

As the support structure, mirrors and other optical mounts are all made from 6061-T6 aluminum alloy, these components can be machined using standard tooling and methods for precision optical applications. All these parts were rough machined and then stress-relieved prior to finish machining of the critical mounting features. A brief description of the fabrication procedures employed for the two mirrors and their diamond machining fixtures is given as follows.

### 5.1 Fabrication of diamond machining fixtures

The surface figure of the finished mirrors directly depends on the quality of the fixtures used for their diamond machining. Therefore, it is very critical to achieve best possible flatness on these fixtures to prevent distortion of the mirrors when mounted to these fixtures during diamond machining. After rough machining and stress relieving, the 50 mm thick primary mirror fixture plate was mounted on the chuck of Moore diamond turning machine. The outer diameter and front surface of this plate were then diamond machined to obtain a highly flat surface. The 25 mm thick fixture platewas then bolted to the 50 mm thick plate and its front surface was diamond machined. This plate was then removed, turned over and then rebolted to the 50 mm thick plate to diamond machine the other side of the plate. This diamond machining of all mating surfaces of

SPIE Proceedings Vol. 2832, August 4-9, 1996
the fixture plates eliminated the possibility of any warping when the plates and mirrors are rigidly bolted to each other.

### 5.2 Fabrication of mirrors

The rough machined mirrors were thermally cycled to enhance their long term stability prior to diamond machining. The mirror were placed in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes, then removed and brought to the room temperature. Next, they were held above the liquid nitrogen (LN2) surface in a container for a few minutes and then submerged in it until the LN2 stopped boiling. Next, they were again put into the boiling water for one minute. This thermal cycle was repeated three times. Then the three pads on the back side of primary mirrors were lapped flat and coplanar before installing the two mirrors on the 25 mm thick plate. This plate was then bolted to the 50 mm plate already installed on the chuck of the diamond turning machine. The mirrors were then diamond machined to the required aspherical shape using a single point tool. These mirrors were then plated with a thin layer (125-150 microns thick) of electroless nickel ( $11 \%$ phosphor by weight) before finish diamond machining them again.

A similar procedure was followed for fabricating the secondary mirrors. This included thermally cycling the mirrors, lapping the back surfaces, then diamond machining the desired aspherical surface in bare aluminum. The mirrors were then electroless nickel plated, and finally finish diamond machined.

The surface roughness obtained on these mirrors after diamond machining was of the order of 200-300 A on the primary mirrors and 50 A on the secondary mirrors. This level of surface roughness is deemed too high for lidar applications because it can produce excessive scattering and back reflection especially from the secondary mirror. Therefore, both the primary and secondary mirrors were post polished using diamond paste and aluminum oxide. A surface roughness of better than 15 A and 50 A was obtained on the secondary and primary mirrors respectively after polishing. The figure error of both mirrors was controlled to one wave peakvalley at HeNe wavelength. Then, the two mirrors were coated with gold and a protective material for high reflectivity and durability.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

The optical design and sensitivity analysis of a compact off-axis prototype telescope for spacebased lidar applications has been presented. The optomechanical design emphasizes the low cost and lightweight by using aluminum for the two mirrors and other structural parts. A very stable and rugged telescope has been designed by using simple designs and standard precision machining methods. The two mirrors are rigidly bolted to a lightweight box-type of structure, requiring no alignment at assembly. The next phase of work will involve assembly, integration and testing of the telescope. The telescope performance will be then demonstrated in a coherent lidar system along with the other optical subsystems and components including the scanner and the signal beam derotator. This work was supported by the Electro-Otics Branch of NASA Marshall Space Flight Center.
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Figure 1. Optical layout of the telescope showing configuration of major optical elements.
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Figure 2(a). Wavefront error in telescope exit space.


Figure 2(b). Point spread function in the exil space.


- Figure 3. The telescope assembly showing major optical and structural parts.


Figure 4. The mounting features and light weighting scheme for the primary mirror.


Figure 5. The mechanical design features of the secondary mirror.
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Figure 6. The primary mirror diamond machining fixture


Figure 7. The secondary mirror diamond machining fuxture.
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Figure 8. The telescope support structure showing bolted and pinned construction.


Figure 9(a). The bonded type optical mount for quarter-wave plate.
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