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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Over past few years, considerable advances have been made in the areas of the diode-pumped, eye-safe, solid state lasers and room temperature, wide bandwidth, semiconductor detectors operating in the near-infrared region. These advances have created new possibilities for the development of low-cost, reliable, and compact coherent lidar systems for measurements of atmospheric winds and aerosol backscattering from a space-based platform.

The work performed by the UAH personnel under this Delivery Order concentrated on design and analyses of solid state pulsed coherent lidar systems capable of measuring atmospheric winds from space, and design and perform laboratory experiments and measurements in support of solid state laser radar remote sensing systems which are to be designed, deployed, and used by NASA to measure atmospheric processes and constituents. Under this delivery order, a lidar testbed system was designed and analyzed by considering the major space operational and environmental requirements, and its associated physical constraints. The lidar optical system include a wedge scanner and the compact telescope designed by the UAH personnel. The other major optical components included in the design and analyses were: polarizing beam splitter, routing mirrors, wave plates, signal beam derotator, and lag angle compensator. The testbed lidar optical train was designed and analyzed, and different design options for mounting and packaging the lidar subsystems and components and support structure were investigated. All the optical components are to be mounted in a stress-free and stable manner to allow easy integration and alignment, and for a long term stability. The lidar structure and all of its mechanical components were specified to be fabricated or procured by NASA/MSFC. The necessary optical components including the routing mirrors, polarizing beam splitter, waveplates and lenses were also specified to be procured. Once this lidar system is integrated, the NASA/MSFC existing 100 mJ laser will be used to align and test it. In the future, the MSFC 100 mJ laser may be replaced by the 500 mJ transmitter laser under development at NASA/LaRC and the frequency-agile local oscillator laser being developed at the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory. This lidar system is also intended to be used for evaluating the performance of various lidar subsystems and components that are to be integrated into a flight unit and for demonstrating the integrity of the signal processing algorithms by performing actual atmospheric measurements from a ground station.

A number of laboratory experiments and measurements were performed at the NASA/MSFC Detector Characterization Facility, previously developed by the UAH personnel. These laboratory measurements include the characterization of a 2 -micron InGaAs detectors suitable for use in coherent lidars, characterization of a Diffractive Optical Element Scanner, and measurement of compact lidar telescope.

UAH personnel actively participated in the development of performance and operational requirements for the development of the high pulse energy transmitter laser and frequency-agile local oscillator laser, that are in-progress at NASA Langley Research Center and Jet Propulsion Laboratory. During the period of performance of this delivery order, UAH personnel participated several technical coordination meetings with the other NASA centers, and attended several meetings and conferences to report on the progresses and accomplishments made under this work.

### 2.0 LIDAR OPTICAL DESIGN AND ANALYSES

The lidar optical subsystem has two major functions: first, it expands the laser beam and directs it toward the atmosphere in a conical scan. Second, it receives the backscattered radiation, compensates for the scanner and spacecraft motions, and directs it toward the lidar photodetector to be effectively mixed with the optical local oscillator. The optical subsystem consists of a largeaperture telescope, a laser beam scanner, a signal beam de-rotator, a lag angle compensator, and an automatic alignment mechanism. The signal beam de-rotator and the lag angle compensator correct for the predictable signal beam misalignment due to the scanner and spacecraft motions, respectively, and the automatic alignment mechanism corrects any residual misalignment that may occur during the launch or during the lidar operation in the orbit.

Previously, an optical design study was performed that resulted in the development of compact and robust designs for the lidar telescope, scanner, and de-rotator. The telescope design is based on a novel off-axis catadioptric configuration that uses a parabolic mirror as its primary and a combination of a hyperbolic mirror and an off-axis negative lens as its secondary. A $25-\mathrm{cm}$ version of this telescope was then fabricated at NASA MSFC and UAH facilities. The scanner is a Silicon prism with about a 9 degree wedge angle for deflecting the laser beam through an angle of 30 degrees. By rotating this "optical wedge" about a fixed axis along the input beam, a conical scan pattern can be generated. A $28-\mathrm{cm}$ Silicon prism was also specified and acquired by MSFC. The de-rotator, which compensates for the continuous scanner motion, utilizes a monolithic optical element for maintaining the received signal beam direction along a constant axis to the lidar photodetector.

Under this delivery order, the design and development of the remaining components of the optical subsystem that includes the lag angle compensator and autoalignment mechanism were addressed. One of the objectives of this task was to study the possible sources of misalignment for a space-based coherent Doppler lidar and investigate different active and passive alignment maintaining technologies suitable for the lidar operation in space. The other objective of this task was to specify the requirements for the lag angle compensation and autoalignment, and to design and analyze an advanced lag angle compensator, and to investigate various autoalignment mechanism concepts.

In a Doppler laser radar system, utilizing optical heterodyne detection, the relative alignment of the received signal with respect to the local oscillator beam is particularly critical. There are several sources of misalignment for a space-based coherent Doppler lidar that need to be quantified and, if necessary, compensated for by using passive and active alignment correction mechanisms. There are two classes of alignment correction for a space-based lidar, referred to as static (slant range to atmospheric target) and dynamic (slant depth of atmospheric target) corrections. Static correction occurs during the pulse round trip time to the top of the atmospheric sensing volume. Dynamic correction occurs during the atmospheric signal reception time. In general, the static corrections are easier to do than the dynamic corrections because of the much longer time (lower bandwidth) associated with the static corrections. For a 20 km measurement
depth, the pulse reception time is about 160 microseconds and the pulse round trip time for a 830 km orbit altitude is about 6.6 milliseconds.

The predictable sources of misalignment in space are the scanner continuous motion, the spacecraft continuous nadir tipping, and changes in spacecraft altitude, pointing and velocity. Unpredictable sources of misalignment include spacecraft vibrations, instrument variations due to launch forces, and lidar optical subsystem thermal expansions and contractions in orbit. Table 1 summarizes the specifications for two different missions that have been proposed and used as baselines for this study.

Table 1. Shuttle And NPOESS Preliminary Mission Specifications

|  | Shuttle | NPOESS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Aperture (cm) | 25 | 50 |
| Pulse Energy (mJ) | 100 | 500 |
| PRF (Hz) | 10 | 20 |
| Scan Angel (deg.) | 30 | 30 |
| Orbit Altitude (km) | $300(300-400)$ | 833 |
| Spacecraft Velocity (m/s) | 7733 | 7442 |

Table 2 and 3 categorizes the misalignments associated with the Shuttle and NPOESS platforms and specify their magnitudes. In these tables the type of alignment correction mechanism associated with each misalignment have also been provided.

For the purpose of this study, the scanner has been assumed to be a continuous conical scanner as opposed to a step-stare scanner which will not require any scanner corrections. A continuous motion scanner will require both static and dynamic corrections. In this case, as the laser energy reflects off the atmospheric aerosols and travels back to the lidar telescope, the scanner continues to move. This causes the received signal beam to follow a different path through the telescope than that of the transmitted beam. Therefore, as the scanner continues to rotate and the transmitted beam sweeps a conical pattern in the atmosphere, the received signal beam orbits about the transmitter/local oscillator (LO) optical axis that extends to the lidar photodetector.

Table 2. Predictable Misalignment

|  | Nadir Tipping | Continuous Scanner Rotation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target Range "Static" |  |  |
| Target Depth "Dynamic" |  |  |

Table 3. Unpredictable Misalignment


For the purpose of this study, the scanner has been assumed to be a continuous conical scanner as opposed to a step-stare scanner which will not require any scanner corrections. A continuous motion scanner will require both static and dynamic corrections. In this case, as the laser energy reflects off the atmospheric aerosols and travels back to the lidar telescope, the scanner continues to move. This causes the received signal beam to follow a different path through the telescope than that of the transmitted beam. Therefore, as the scanner continues to rotate and the transmitted beam sweeps a conical pattern in the atmosphere, the received signal beam orbits about the transmitter/local oscillator (LO) optical axis that extends to the lidar photodetector. Fortunately, it was shown earlier that the scanner continuous motion can be compensated, in a relatively easy manner, by using a small substrate with a shallow wedge angle. We refer to this mechanism as the signal beam "de-rotator". The de-rotator performs two functions: changing the signal beam direction to be always parallel to the transmitter/LO optical axis, and translating the signal beam to lie directly on the optical axis that coincides with the LO beam and extends to the photodetector. By synchronizing the rotation of the de-rotator about the optical axis with that of the scanner, the signal beam is maintained along the optical axis and aligned with respect to the LO beam.

The spacecraft continuous nadir tipping, due to orbiting the earth, introduces the next largest misalignment angle, which is about an order of magnitude smaller than the misalignment due to the scanner continuous motion. We refer to this misalignment as the signal beam "Lag Angle". For an 830 km orbit, the static portion of this misalignment is about 7 microradians, which has to be compensated. However, the dynamic portion of the spacecraft nadir tipping is less than 0.2 microradians which can be neglected without any degradation in the system performance. In this study, the variations in the size of the lag angle effect were also considered. These variations are caused by the natural changes in the spacecraft altitude, orbital velocity and pointing. Using a set of typical spacecraft specifications, it was shown that these spacecraft orbital variations will introduce less than 0.3 microradians change in the lag angle. This is well within 3 microradians misalignment budget for a 50 cm telescope aperture diameter.

A single two-axis steering mirror concept has been devised to perform the lag angle compensation. The two-axis concept will also allow correction of any unpredictable fixed and zero mean variable misalignments that may be introduced during the launch or during the lidar operation on orbit. The correction for the variable misalignments may not be necessary depending on the lidar opto-mechanical design, the spacecraft type, and the vibration characteristics of other instruments onboard. Therefore, further analysis is required to determine if this capability should be included in the instrument design. If necessary, operational and design requirements will be defined. We name the technology that corrects any fixed unpredictable misalignment errors the autoalignment (AA) technology. The autoalignment mechanism must be capable of detecting the transmitter, LO, or signal beam misalignment magnitude and direction, and then realigning the errant beam using an active control loop. The misalignment error may be measured using the large signal from the surface return or using onboard alignment sensors.

### 2.1 Signal Beam Derotator

Based on the revised optical layout design, the derotator were defined and optimized with SYNOPSYS optical design software. The derotator element material was chosen to be Zinc Sulfide ( ZnS ), becuase of its relatively high index of refraction and its high transmission at both 2-micron and visible wavelengths. The derotator substrate specifications are:

Wedge angle $=0.9716^{\circ}$
Thicknees $=9 \mathrm{~mm}$
Diameter $=25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
Material $=\mathrm{ZnS}$ )
Using this design, the wave front errors were analyzed for the derotator rotations of 180 and 90 degrees. The top, bottom, and right most field of view rays have been traced through the system, and the resultant beam wandering has been analyzed and verified.
The derotator optical prescription and along with other transmitter/receiver optical elements that were used in this analysis are provided in the following pages.

The design and performance of the derotator are summerized in figures 1-11. Figures 1-3 show the derotator optical layout and position with the telescope top FOV rays, and figure 4 shows the corresponding wavefront model at the derotator exit pupil. Figures 5-8 are similar plots for the telescope bottom FOV rays, and figures $9-11$ show the telescope right FOV rays. Figure 12 shows the derotator element fabrication drawing.
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Fig. 1 Optical layout and derotator position, and the telescope top FOV rays.


Fig. 2 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 90 degrees azimuth, and the telescope top FOV rays.


Fig. 3 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 0 degree azimuth, and the telescope top FOV rays.


Fig. 4 Wavefront model for the top FOV (Standard deviation $=0.005$ waves).



Fig. 5 Optical layout and derotator position, and the telescope bottom FOV rays.


Fig. 6 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 90 degrees azimuth, and the telescope bottom FOV rays.

Fig. 7 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 0 degree azimuth, and the telescope bottom FOV rays.




Fig. 9 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 120 degrees azimuth, and the telescope right FOV rays.
Fig. 10 Optical layout and derotator position viewed from 90 degrees azimuth, and the telescope
right FOV rays.


Fig. 11 Wavefront model for the right FOV (Standard deviation $=0.006$ waves).


### 2.2 Lag Angle Compensator

A single two-axis steering mirror concept has been devised to perform the lag angle compensation. The two-axis concept will also allow correction of any unpredictable fixed and zero mean variable misalignments that may be introduced during the launch or during the lidar operation on orbit. The correction for the variable misalignments may not be necessary depending on the lidar opto-mechanical design, the spacecraft type, and the vibration characteristics of other instruments onboard. Therefore, further analysis is required to determine if this capability should be included in the instrument design. If necessary, operational and design requirements will be defined. We name the technology that corrects any fixed unpredictable misalignment errors the autoalignment (AA) technology. The autoalignment mechanism must be capable of detecting the transmitter, LO, or signal beam misalignment magnitude and direction, and then realigning the errant beam using an active control loop. The misalignment error may be measured using the large signal from the surface return or using onboard alignment sensors.

The LAC/AA two-axis mirror will be driven by a pointing controller using the laser pulse timing and the scanner encoder signals, the spacecraft inertial navigation and GPS data, and perhaps the data from a network of alignment sensors or the signal from the earth surface. At this time, both piezoelectric and magnetorestrictive actuators are being considered for controlling the mirror motion. It should be noted that the alignment tolerance of 3 microradians refers to the telescope input (space side), and is relaxed by the telescope magnification for the signal beam de-rotator and LAC/AA mirror. For example, for a 25 X telescope that is being carried in the design points, the alignment tolerance is 75 microradians on the lidar side of the telescope.

The lag angle compensator (LAC) is misnamed because it must correct for other misalignments besides lag angle. The $80 \mu \mathrm{r}$ angular misalignment due to the clocking of the primary wedge during PRT is a prime example. Perhaps it should be called something like "beam misalignment compensator". Another question is whether the various real misalignments can be taken care of by one tilt actuated mirror, especially if the compensations required are at odds with each other in some way. For example, is one misalignment telling the BMC to move one way while another misalignment is telling it to move a different way.

One would like the incident beam footprint on the BMC to be centered at the mirror pivot point (which should be at the center of the mirror). This would allow the beam to be centered on the imaging lens prior to the detector. However, the derotator will nutate the incident beam in an elliptical path on the BMC (circular path if BMC were normal to the beam). The beams emerging from the derotator are parallel and collimated but decentered with respect to each other. This means that the beam incident on the imaging lens will nutate in a circle about the lens optical axis. There will be an angle on the beam after the imaging lens, and this angle will nutate in a cone about the detector location. Obviously, this angle will have to be kept small if we are to avoid SNR loss. That means a long focal length. But then the diffraction spot size gets bigger on the detector. However, when we throw other beam misalignments in the mix (such as the 2 mr residual during PRT) which the BMC is suppose to remove, then the path traced out on the
imaging lens will no longer be circular, and the beam tilt emerging on the other side will vary in direction and magnitude. These issues will need closer examination.

Using the optical design developed earlier for a space-based coherent lidar, the receiver optical train has been modeled on the ZEMAX optical design and analysis code. This model will allow for defining the range and resolution requirements of the LAC/AA mirror motion, and specifying the receiver optical and mechanical tolerances. The following sections define the major parameters necessary required for defining the LAC requirements. As a baseline, the lidar has been assumed to be operating from a Shuttle orbit and have a 50 cm telescope.

### 2.2.1 Beam Footprint Size At Target

The probe beam leaves the 50 cm diameter aperture of the telescope collimated. The slant range to the top of the atmosphere is 381 km . The beam footprint size at this location will depend upon whether the beam footprint can be considered to be in the far field. If so, then the footprint size can be determine using the Fraunhoffer diffraction approximation. The ratio of the telescope aperture squared divided by the range should be much less than the operational wavelength:

$$
(25) 2 /(3.81 \times 107)=1.64 \times 10-5 \mathrm{~cm}=0.16 \text { microns }
$$

This is smaller than the operational wavelength by a factor of 12 . This is sufficient to allow us to use the Frauhoffer diffraction approximation, i.e. we can get the beam footprint size by taking the Fourier Transform of the telescope pupil.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}\{\mathrm{cyl}(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{d})\}=(\mathrm{d} 2 / 4) \operatorname{Somb}(\mathrm{d} \rho) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=r / \lambda z$ in the image plane.
The first zero of the diffraction pattern occurs when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \rho=1.22 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for $\rho$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=(1.22 \lambda \mathrm{z}) / \mathrm{d} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=2 \mu ; \mathrm{z}=381 \mathrm{~km} ; \mathrm{d}=50 \mathrm{~cm}$.
This yields a beam footprint diameter of $\operatorname{Dfp}=3.72$ meters at the top of the atmosphere.

### 2.2.2 Speckle Size At Entrance Pupil

This illuminated spot on top of the atmosphere acts like a coherent diffuse source when viewed by the Receiver telescope. This means that there will be a laser speckle pattern in the plane of the Receiver entrance pupil. The size of the speckle grain can be found from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dg}=2.4 \lambda \mathrm{z} / \mathrm{Dfp} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the values $\lambda, z$, and Dfp from above...

$$
\mathrm{dg}=54.24 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Thus the typical speckle grain size is roughly the same as diameter of the telescope entrance pupil.

### 2.2.3 Detector Considerations

## Beat Signal

The intensity at the detector due to two coherent light fields of slightly different frequencies is given by Eq. 5 (where r \& p refer to reference and probe beams respectively):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{B}[(\mathrm{Ir}+\mathrm{Ip})+\sqrt{\mathrm{Ir}} \mathrm{Ip} \cos \{(\omega \mathrm{r}-\omega \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{t}+(\phi \mathrm{r}-\phi \mathrm{p})\}] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in Eq. 5 is the beat signal. The mean square beat signal is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { MSBS }=B^{2} \operatorname{Ir} \operatorname{Ip} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As already mentioned, the returning probe signal is extemely weak. However, if we are detecting the MSBS, then increasing the strength of the reference increases the MSBS.

## Signal/Noise

In classical interferometry, the greater the mismatch between Ir and Ip in terms of power the lower the fringe contrast. However, if we take the ratio of the MSBS with the MSNS (mean square noise signal), we obtain the SNR:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SNR}=(\eta \mathrm{Ir} \mathrm{Ip}) /[\mathrm{h} \nu \Delta \omega(\mathrm{Ir}+\mathrm{Ip})] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the strength of the reference gets large compare to the probe, Ip in the denominator becomes insignificant and can be ignored. Then Ir cancels out leaving:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SNR}=(\mathrm{h} \eta / \mathrm{h} \nu \Delta \omega) \mathrm{Ip} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Coherence Condition At Detector

Suppose the reference and probe beams are of equal intensity and fall normally on two side-by-side square detectors. If the OPD between the two beams is zero, then each detector puts out the same in-phase beat signal. If we add the two signals, they reinforce each other Next, suppose that for one detector the two incident wavefronts are separated by an OPD of a half wave. Now the two signals from the detectors are out of phase by 180 degrees. If we add them, they cancel each other out This same signal cancellation would happen if we replaced the two detectors with one having twice the size.

## Beam Tilt and Footprint at Detector

The above behavior implies a maximum allowable tilt tolerance between the two wavefronts at the detector. The worst case is if there is an OPD of a half wave across the detector. For the 75 micron LIDAR detector, the half wave constraint imposes a limiting tilt between probe and reference wavefronts of 13.3 mr .

As an example, suppose that the lens feeding the detector has a 100 mm focal length. For a planar probe wavefront tilted 13.3 mr at the input side of the focusing lens, the beam footprint at the detector will shift laterally 1.33 mm . If the input beam diameter is 20 mm , the beam footprint (Airy Disc) size at the detector will be around 24 microns. In this case, the beam will have shifted entirely off the detector. The message...the limit set by coherence is not self-sufficient but must be considered within the context of the overall optical design.

### 2.2.4 Important Time Scales of the LIDAR

The following assumes a LIDAR altitude of 350 km , an orbital speed of $7.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, and a 30 degree conscan angle. This yields a slant range to the ground of 404.14 km . (The wedge generating the conscan rotates at 60 degrees $/ \mathrm{sec}$.) The laser probe pulse length at the resonator is 0.2 microseconds. These numbers are not cast in concrete and are subject to some revision. Their use here is simply to help indentify key time scales important for angular alignment of the return beam. (The value for the velocity of light used here is $2.99793 \times 105 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$.)

There are two critical time scales of interest. The first is the pulse round trip time (PRTT) between the LIDAR and the ground along the slant path. This is 2696 microseconds. The second is the pulse reception time (PRT) due to continuous light scattering through the 23 km atmospheric slant path. This is 154.6 microseconds. During PRTT the primary wedge will have rotated 0.162 degrees, and the satellite will have moved about 20.2 meters along its orbital path. During PRT the primary wedge will have rotated 0.009 degrees, and the satellite will have moved 1.16 meters.

### 2.2.5 Beam Alignment Issues

It is critical that the LIDAR probe beam be accurately aligned to the local reference beam (which is itself aligned to the system optical axis) during their mutual interaction with the detector. This
alignment tolerance is 75 microradians in exit pupil space. There are two broad classes of alignment correction. The first is "anticipated correction" which occurs before the probe beam re-enters the LIDAR telescope. This happens during PRTT. The second is "dynamic correction" which occurs during active illumination of the detector by the probe beam. This happens during PRT. There are several induced return beam misalignments due to wedge rotation and satellite motion.

## Beam Alignment Issue 1

The primary scanning wedge is Germanium. To generate a 30 degree beam tilt on the outgoing beam requires that the inherent wedge angle be 8.789 degrees. If there were no conscan then the return beam would be perfectly compensated and realigned with the telescope optical axis. However, with conscan the probe beam re-entering the optical system will see a different clocking of the primary wedge than when it left. This introduces entrance pupil angular cross-coupling into an orthogonal axis of 1396 microradians during PRTT, and 80 microradians during PRT.

The probe beam can be realigned by a compensation wedge (derotator) oriented 90.162 degrees with respect to the primary wedge. The compensation wedge must also rotate continuously at 60 degrees $/ \mathrm{sec}$. Only anticipated correction can be accomplished by the derotator. The 80 microradian dynamic correction must be accomplished with the LAC mirror.

## Beam Alignment Issue 2

## a. Lag Angle

The satellite attitude control continuously orients the LIDAR telescope so that it points toward nadir. During a PRTT this means that the telescope is now pointing with a 3 microradian offset relative to the return beam (as shown in Figure 13). This is called lag angle. The component designated LAC in Figure 14 must provide anticipated correction during PRTT such that when the probe beam is incident on LAC, the reflected beam will be parallel to the system optical axis. Note: During PRT, the lag angle change is 0.17 microradians (at the entrance pupil) and requires no dynamic correction by the LAC mirror.

## b. Variation in Lag Angle

The lag angle is subject to change due to variations in altitude, orbital velocity, and spacecraft pointing. Table 4 shows the size of these changes for changes in altitude of $\pm 20 \mathrm{~km}$, velocity changes of $\pm 7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and pointing changes of $\pm 0.10$. These parameter changes are based on theoretical predictions. It can be seen from Table 1 that the induced lag angle changes are well under the 3 microradian tolerance.


Figure 13. Lag Angle due to spacecraft motion.


Figure 14. Lag angle during the pulse round trip time.

Table 4. Lang Angle Variations

| Orbit Parameter | Variation | Change in <br> Lag Angle |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Altitude | $+/-20 \mathrm{~km}$ | $+/-0.16 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Orbital Velocity | $+/-7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | $+/-0.003 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Pointing | $+/-0.1 \mathrm{deg}$ | $+/-0.003 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |

## C. Lag Variation With Wedge Orientation

What kind of lag angle variation might we expect as the probe beam is launched at different azimuth angles relative to the ground track of the satellite? As a first cut at this problem we examine the difference in lag angle for two extreme cases: A) the beam launched at 1800 ; B) the beam launched at $0^{\circ}$. We assume that the average round trip time is 2.696 ms and that the satellite has moved 20.22 m along its orbital path in that time. We also assume that the travel time from the pupil to the ground is the same for both cases, i.e. 1.348 ms .

Light returning from the ground footprint will have a little further to go because the satellite is moving away in Case A. The path will be shorter for Case B because the satellite is moving toward the ground footprint. The total round trip time will be different for each case which means the lag angle will also be different.

We find the length of the return slant path by:

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{RSP}}=\sqrt{ }(350 \mathrm{~km})^{2}+(202.072 \mathrm{~km} \pm 20.22 \mathrm{~m})^{2}
$$

The difference in transit time is $0.067 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, which leads to a lag angle change of 0.07 nr .

## Beam Alignment Issue 3

## a. Surface Angular Offset

The lag angle discussed previously was measured from the center of the Earth due to nadir tipping of the platform. There is another angular offset due to the displacement of the spacecraft along its orbital path during PRTT as measured from the surface of the Earth. This offset is $43.3 \mu \mathrm{r}$. This assumes that as soon as the light leaves the telescope pupil it no longer shares in the orbital motion of the satellite. This means that the probe beam strikes the Earth as if from a stationary platform. Justification is found in the dictum: "The speed of light is independent of the motion of the source." However, the work done by R.Gudimetlal of Oregon Graduate Institute has shown
that this $43.3 \mu \mathrm{r}$ offset angle should not actually occur because of self-compensating effects predicted by special relativity.

## b. Variation in Surface Angular Offset

If the surface angular offset is not taken care of by special relativity effects, the variation in this angle as a function of changes in altitude, orbital velocity, and look angle (pointing) is about +1 0.045 micro-radian and can be ignored.

## b. Surface Angular Offset Due to Atmospheric Thickness

Again, if special relativity doesn't enter into it, the surface angular offset change due to scatter from the top of the atmosphere vs that from the ground is negligible.

## Beam Alignment Issue 4

For centuries, astronomers have had to introduce an angular offset when observing the "stationary" stars to compensate for the orbital motion of the Earth around the Sun. This phenomenon is called "stellar aberration". The compensation is accomplished by tilting the telescope by an amount given by the ratio of the orbital velocity to the speed of light (i.e. v/c).

At first glance, there appears to be a potentially equivalent effect for the orbiting LIDAR telescope. It is moving relative to a stationary source on the ground. The ratio of orbital velocity to the speed of light introduces a 25 microradian angular offset. If this is a "real" effect, the LAC mirror must compensate for it.

The lag angle compensator (LAC) is misnamed because it must correct for other misalignments besides lag angle. The $80 \mu \mathrm{r}$ angular misalignment due to the clocking of the primary wedge during PRT is a prime example. Perhaps it should be called something like "beam misalignment compensator". Another question is whether the various real misalignments can be taken care of by one tilt actuated mirror, especially if the compensations required are at odds with each other in some way. For example, is one misalignment telling the BMC to move one way while another misalignment is telling it to move a different way.

One would like the incident beam footprint on the BMC to be centered at the mirror pivot point (which should be at the center of the mirror). This would allow the beam to be centered on the imaging lens prior to the detector. However, the derotator will nutate the incident beam in an elliptical path on the BMC (circular path if BMC were normal to the beam). The beams emerging from the derotator are parallel and collimated but decentered with respect to each other. This means that the beam incident on the imaging lens will nutate in a circle about the lens optical axis. There will be an angle on the beam after the imaging lens, and this angle will nutate in a cone about the detector location. Obviously, this angle will have to be kept small if we are to avoid SNR loss. That means a long focal length. But then the diffraction spot size gets bigger on the detector. However, when we throw other beam misalignments in the mix (such as the 2 mr
residual during PRT) which the BMC is suppose to remove, then the path traced out on the imaging lens will no longer be circular, and the beam tilt emerging on the other side will vary in direction and magnitude. These issues will need closer examination.

### 2.3 Polarization and coating analysis

The primary and secondary mirrors of the 25 cm lidar telescope, developed by the UAH personnel, has been gold plated. Therefore, it became necessar to perform a polarization and coating analysis to better define the telescope performance. As part of this effort, a polarization ray tracing analysis and a polarization mapping function modeling were performed. It was then shown that the output intensity is $97.8 \%$ of input at 2.067 mm wavelength.

The polarization mapping function is shown in figure 15 , and the polarization ray tracing and intensity data are provided in the preceding pages.


Figure 15. Polarization mapping of gold-plated telescope.
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### 2.4 Detector focusing lens design

A simple Plano convex lens with focal length of 100 mm and a beam splitter of 2 mm thick have been placed behind the derotator to focus the receiving 10 mm beam to the detector. The point spread function (diffraction intensity) pattern is shown in figure 16 . The airy disk radius is about $26 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. It should be noted that the signal beam pattern can be further improved depending on the local oscillator beam spread function at the detector surface.


Figure 16. Signal beam diffraction intensity pattern at the detector surface.

### 2.5 Telescope Testing

The telescope primary mirror was characterized using aZYGO interferometer operating at 633 nm wavelength. The ZYGO measurements provided the figure error and surface finish data. Figure 17 illustrates the results of this measurement which are also listed below.

| Figure Error | peak-to-valley | 2.2 wave at 633 nm | 0.674 wave at $2.067 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RMS | 0.23 wave at 633 nm | 0.07 wave at $2.067 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

## Surface Finish Estimated RMS 50 Angstroms

The surface finish of the secondary mirror was measured by a WYKO interferometer using the Taly-surf method. The RMS value of the surface finish for the secondary mirror was mmeasured to be 26 Angstroms. It should be noted that these measurements were performed prior to gold plating the mirrors.


Figure 17. ZYGO measurement of the telescope primary mirror.

### 2.5.1 Testing of Gold-Plated Mirrors

The coherent lidar system requirements demand that the primary and secondary mirrors of the telescope to have a very high reflectivity. This is necessary for minimizing the signal loss and introducing a very low phase shift between the polarizing states. The gold plating over post-polished Nickel plated mirrors has been selected for serving this purpose.

The gold plating on primary and secondary mirrors of the coherent lidar telescope was performed by Epner Technology Incorporated, Brooklyn, New York. The thickness of plating is about $1-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This plating has good quality of adhesion and hardness. It dose not require adhesive layer and protective layer like regular vacuum gold coating. The gold plated mirrors can be cleaned by soft cloth and tissue with alcohol or acetone, and has a reflectivity coefficient similar to that of pure gold.

A small sample of post-polished Nickel plated mirror was plated together with telescope's mirrors. And the sample was tested by the Automatic Ellipsometer of CAO. The index of refraction of the gold plated sample was measured on the ellipsometer with a HeNe source at 633 nm wavelength. The index of refraction of pure gold at the same wavelength was obtained from CRC Physics-Chemistry Handbook for comparison. The reflectivity and phase of each polarization state for both cases were calculated using Fresnel coefficients formulation and plotted in MathCad software as shown the following pages. Although the indices of refarction from measurement and CRC handbook are somewhat different, the plots are showing almost no difference on the reflectivity and phase shift. Since a source at 2 microns wavelength is not available for the ellipsometer, the index of refraction for the actual lidar operating wavelength can only be obtained from the CRC handbook. The calculated results and plots are also provided. Since, the reflectivity and phase shift properties improve for the longer wavelengths, these results presented here can readily be used to for predicting the performance of the telescope and analyzing the overall lidar performance.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=0.63 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad \text { nc }=0.202-3.389 \mathrm{i} \\
& c=3 \cdot 10^{8} \quad \omega=\frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot c}{\lambda} \quad \omega=2.992 \cdot 10^{15} \\
& \theta:=-90,-89 . .90 \\
& \beta(\theta)=\frac{\omega}{c} \cdot \sin (\theta \cdot \operatorname{deg}) \\
& \mathrm{KIX}(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]} \quad \mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{X}(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2} \cdot \mathrm{Dc}^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]} \\
& r s(\theta)=\frac{K l x(\theta)-K 2 x(\theta)}{K l x(\theta)+K 2 x(\theta)} \quad \operatorname{rp}(\theta):=\frac{K 2 x(\theta)-n c^{2} \cdot K 1 x(\theta)}{K 2 x(\theta)+n c^{2} \cdot K l x(\theta)} \\
& \operatorname{as}(\theta)=|\mathrm{rs}(\theta)| \quad \operatorname{ap}(\theta):=|\mathrm{rp}(\theta)|
\end{aligned}
$$


$\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\theta):=\arg (\mathrm{rs}(\theta)) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{Sdeg}}(\theta):=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\theta) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{P}}(\theta):=\arg \left(\mathrm{rp}_{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)\right) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{pd}}(\theta):=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)$


$\delta(\theta):=\Phi_{\text {sdeg }}(\theta)-\Phi_{\operatorname{pdeg}}(\theta)$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\delta(50)=29.362 & \delta(40)=17.719 & \delta(35)=13.286 \\
\delta(30)=9.602 & \delta(20)=4.172 & \delta(10)=1.03
\end{array}
$$


$\mathrm{D}(\theta):=\frac{|\mathrm{Rs}(\theta)-\mathrm{Rp}(\theta)|}{\operatorname{Rs}(\theta)+\operatorname{Rp}(\theta)}$
$D(50)=0.028 \quad D(40)=0.018 \quad D(30)=0.01$

$$
D(20)=0.004 \quad D(10)=0.001 \quad D(5)=2.67 \cdot 10^{-4}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=0.62 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad \text { nc }=0.13-3.16 \mathrm{i} \\
& c=3 \cdot 10^{8} \quad \omega=\frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot c}{2} \quad \omega=3.04 \cdot 10^{15} \\
& \theta=-90,-89 . .90 \\
& \beta(\theta)=\frac{\omega}{c} \cdot \sin (\theta \cdot \operatorname{deg}) \\
& K 1 x(\theta)=\sqrt{\left.\left[\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]} \quad K 2 x(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2} \cdot n c^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{as}(\theta):=|\mathbf{r s}(\theta)| \quad \operatorname{ap}(\theta):=|\operatorname{rp}(\theta)|
\end{aligned}
$$


$\Phi_{S}(\theta)=\arg (\mathrm{rs}(\theta)) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{Sdeg}}(\theta):=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\theta) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)=\arg (\mathrm{rp}(\theta)) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{pdeg}}(\theta)=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{P}}(\theta)$

$\operatorname{Rs}(\theta)=\operatorname{as}(\theta)^{2} \quad \operatorname{Rp}(\theta)=\operatorname{ap}(\theta)^{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Rp}(0)=0.954 & \operatorname{Rp}(50)=0.932 \\
\operatorname{Rs}(0)=0.954 & \operatorname{Rs}(50)=0.971
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\delta(\theta):=\Phi_{\operatorname{sdeg}}(\theta)-\Phi_{\mathrm{pdeg}}(\theta) & \delta(50)=31.326 & \delta(40)=18.963 & \delta(35)=14.234 \\
& \delta(30)=10.296 & \delta(20)=4.478 & \delta(10)=1.107
\end{array}
$$



$$
D(\theta)=\frac{\left|R_{s}(\theta)-\operatorname{Rp}(\theta)\right|}{\operatorname{Rs}(\theta)+\operatorname{Rp}_{p}(\theta)}
$$

$$
\mathrm{D}(50)=0.02 \quad \mathrm{D}(40)=0.013 \mathrm{D}(30)=0.007
$$

$$
D(20)=0.003 \quad D(10)=7.922 \cdot 10^{-4} \quad D(5)=1.98 \cdot 10^{-4}
$$




$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\theta):=\arg (\mathrm{rs}(\theta)) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{Sdeg}}(\theta):=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{S}}(\theta) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)=\arg (\mathrm{rp}(\theta)) \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{pdeg}}(\theta)=\frac{180}{\pi} \cdot \Phi_{\mathrm{p}}(\theta)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=2.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad n c=0.546-13.91 \\
& c=3 \cdot 10^{8} \quad \omega=\frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot c}{\lambda} \quad \omega=9.425 \cdot 10^{14} \\
& \theta=-90,-89 . .90 \\
& \beta(\theta):=\frac{\omega}{c} \cdot \sin (\theta \cdot \operatorname{deg}) \\
& K 1 x(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[\left\{\frac{\omega}{c}\right\rangle^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]} \quad K 2 x(\theta)=\sqrt{\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2} \cdot n c^{2}-\beta(\theta)^{2}\right]} \\
& r s(\theta)=\frac{K 1 x(\theta)-K 2 x(\theta)}{K 1 x(\theta)+K 2 x(\theta)} \quad \operatorname{rp}(\theta):=\frac{K 2 x(\theta)-n c^{2} \cdot K 1 x(\theta)}{K 2 x(\theta)+n c^{2} \cdot K 1 x(\theta)} \\
& \operatorname{as}(\theta):=|\mathbf{r s}(\theta)| \quad \operatorname{ap}(\theta):=|\operatorname{rp}(\theta)|
\end{aligned}
$$



### 3.0 Breadboard/Testbed Lidar System

A solid state pulsed coherent lidar system capable of measuring atmospheric winds using the existing NASA/MSFC 100 mJ , Tm:YAG, single frequency laser was designed and its performance analyzed under this delivery order. As part of this effort, a number of trade-off analyses were performed and various design options were evaluated. This system was to be designed in such a way that it would allow for the development of a rugged and reliable lidar system with sufficient flexibility for incorporating other laser systems such as those under development at Langley Research Center, Jet Propulsion Laboratory and Coherent Technologies, Inc. for NASA/MSFC. Although the lidar system is intended for wind measurements from ground-based stations, its design must consider the major aircraft and space operational requirements such as signal detection over wide bandwidth and long pulse round trip times.

The system design is illustrated in Figure 1. The transmitter laser uses a part of the output beam of a low power, continuous wave (CW) laser (seed or injection oscillator) to generate stable, single frequency pulses. The transmitter laser pulses are then expanded by an off-axis, afocal telescope before being deflected by 30 degrees by an optical element. If the desired scan pattern is continuous conical, then the scanner optical element is continuously rotated by a precision motor to provide a conical pattern in the atmosphere. The remaining part of the seed laser output beam is used for frequency locking of a programmable, frequency-agile CW local oscillator (LO) laser. The output of this laser is directed to the optical detector to be mixed with the signal beam. In order to compensate for the large Doppler shift due to the spacecraft motion and minimize the required bandwidth of the detector and receiver, the frequency of the LO laser is varied about the seed laser frequency with the azimuth angle of the conical scan. The lidar optical train includes a small rotating wedge (derotator) and a steering mirror (beam misalignment compensator or BMC ). The derotator rotation is synchronized with the scanner to compensate for the signal beam misalignment due to the scanner continuous motion during the pulse round trip time. The derotator is not required if a a step-stare scan pattern is utilized. The BMC, through an active control system, corrects for the misalignments due the scanner motion during the pulse reception time and the change in the spacecraft inertial nadir pointing angle during the pulse round trip time. After passing through the derotator and BMC, the returned photons are launched into a fiber to be combined in a fiber coupler with the local oscillator beam. The output of the fiber optic coupler is focused onto a high-quantum efficiency, wideband detector optimized for heterodyne detection.

Based on this optical analysis and the previously developed telescope design, the lidar optical layout was specified defining all the optical components and their relative positions. A detailed optical design analysis was performed that is described in chapter 2 of this report. This analysis provided the optical fabrication and alignment tolerances for each optical components, and defined their performance parameters. The fabrication drawings for the costume-made optical components were produced and the off-the-shelf compnents were specified.

Based on the lidar optical layout design and the system optical requirements, a mechanical design and analysis was performed that resulted in a complete lidar structure design and the designs of various lidar optical mounts and positioning elements.


Figure 1. Solid State Coherent Doppler Lidar System Diagram.

The mechanical design analysis effort included investigation and study of various design options for mounting and packaging different subsystems and components of the lidar breadboard and support structure. Some of the major subsystems and components include the wedge scanner, telescope, routing mirrors, polarizing beam splitter, lasers and detectors. Attempts were made to mount these components in a stress-free and stable manner. It was also intended to provide a design that is highly flexible and allow easy accessibility to all the optical components. Figure 2 shows the lidar support structure, and figures 3-5 illustrate the integrated support structure and the lidar subsystems. Figure 6 shows the lidar system with the NASA/MSFC 100 mJ laser at the bottom, the scanner motor/encoder at the top, and the optical subsystem in the middle of the structure. The optical subsystem includes the telescope, lag angle compensator, the transmitt/ receive optical train, and the signal detector/amplifier.


Figure 2. Support Structure for the breadboard lidar system.
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Figure 3. Breadboard lidar system side view.


Figure 4. Breadboard lidar system front view.


Figure 5. Breadboard lidar system top view.


Figure 6. Breadboard Lidar System.

The lidar structure includes a small optical plate for mounting all the lidar receiver optical elements including the signal and local oscillator beams routing and focusing elements, and the lidar signal detector. The structure has been designed such that the transmitter/receiver telescope, the transmitter laser, and the receiver optics will maintain a high degree of alignment with respect with each other stability over a wide range of operational environments. A large number of detailed mechanical drawings was submitted to MSFC over the performance period of this DO, describing all the details of the lidar mechanical designs. Figure 7 shows the actual telescope developed by UAH for this system. The results of the telescope measurements are summarized in chapter 2. The telescope design is based on a novel off-axis catadioptric design with a magnification of 25 and aperture size of 25 cm . Figure 8 shows the actual wedge scanner element that was specified by the UAH personnel and acquired by NASA/MSFC. The wedge material is Silicon and has a 28 cm clear aperture.


Figure 7. Compact Lidar Telescope.


Figure 8. 28 cm Silicon Wedge Scanner Element.

The lidar system optical layout and mechanical design was later modified to a much more compact and lower mass design based on the accommodation requirements of the Space Shuttle Hitchhiker canisters. The lidar modification became necessary when the NASA/MSFC scientists envisioned an opportunity for deployment of a similar system in space onboard a Space Shuttle and in two or three Hitchhiker canisters. Therefore, the UAH personnel modified the lidar breadboard design to be developed as a testbed for a Hitchhiker mission. The trade-off of this design change was less flexibilty and accessibility to the optical components. Figure 9 is a complete lidar testbed drawing showing all the lidar subsystems and major components.


Figure 9. Lidar system testbed design.

Figures 10 and 11 show the side views of the lidar system and the actual dimensions of various lidar components. Meeting the mass and volume constraints of the Hitchhiker are very challenging, and require careful mechanical and thermal design analyses. The design provide a relatively advanced design concept for the development of the actual flight instrument. For the actual Hitchhiker mission, the lidar transmitter/receiver assembly will be placed in one canister and all the electronics including the signal processor will be placed in another canister.


Figure 10. Lidar system testbed side view.

### 4.0 DETECTOR CHARACTERIZATION

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

A number of semiconductor detectors, operating at 2 micron wavelength region, were characterized and their heterodyne detection properties were analyzed. The detector measurements were performed using the Detector Characterization Facility (DCF) that had previously been developed by the UAH personnel at NASA/MSFC. A detail description of the DCF design and capabilities was provided in the NASA report NAS8-38609/DO77, and the DFC principles of measurements, calibration and data analysis procedures were reported in the NASA report NAS8-38609/DO 118. Figure 1 shows the Detector Characterization Facility at NASA/ MSFC. The DCF is capable of providing all the necessary detection parameters for design, development and calibration of coherent and incoherent solid state laser radar (lidar) systems. The coherent lidars in particular require an accurate knowledge of detector heterodyne quantum efficiency, nonlinearity properties and voltage-current relationship as a function of applied optical power. At present no detector manufacturer provides these quantities or adequately characterizes their detectors for heterodyne detection operation. In addition, the detector characterization facility measures the detectors DC and AC quantum efficiencies noise equivalent power and frequency response up to several GHz . The DCF is also capable of evaluating various heterodyne detection schemes such as balanced detectors and fiber optic interferometers. It should also be noted that the DCF design was further improved to allow for characterization of diffractive and holographic optical elements and other critical optical components of coherent lidar systems.


Figure 1. Detector Characterization Facility.

### 4.2 CHARACTERIZATION OF A 2-MICRON InGaAs DETECTOR

A number of 75 and 100 microns diameter InGaAs detector with a cutoff wavelength of 2.5 and 2.2 microns, acquired from Sensors Unlimited and Epitaxx were fully characterized in the NASA/ MSFC Detector Characterization Facility. The following data are the results of the measurements performed on a Epitaxx detector identified by model number: 100GR2.2T and serial number: 9515 E 3130 . This detector is not suitable for a space-based lidar due to its limited bandwidth, however it can be used for ground-based and some airborne applications that do not require signal detection over a wide bandwidth. As can be seen from the measrement results summerized in this report, this type of detectors is best suited for direct detection applications which require low dark current.

## RESPONSIVITY AND LINEARITY MEASUREMENTS

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}$
Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 21 mV and detector direct output is 0.0 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Output $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Incident Power <br> Pin $(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 76 | 5.1 | 0.112872 | 0.0992 |
| 99 | 7.3 | 0.157653 | 0.1420 |
| 152 | 12 | 0.260844 | 0.2335 |
| 210 | 17.3 | 0.37377 | 0.3366 |
| 300 | 25 | 0.549 | 0.4864 |
| 323 | 26.6 | 0.593781 | 0.5175 |
| 402 | 32.6 | 0.747594 | 0.6342 |
| 504 | 38.7 | 0.946188 | 0.7529 |
| 602 | 43.1 | 1.136994 | 0.8385 |
| 727 | 47.1 | 1.380369 | 0.9163 |
| 802 | 49.2 | 1.526394 | 0.9572 |
| 935 | 52.1 | 1.785345 | 1.0136 |
| 1110 | 55.3 | 2.12607 | 1.0759 |
| 1210 | 56.8 | 2.32077 | 1.1051 |
| 1340 | 58.4 | 2.57388 | 1.1362 |
| 1420 | 59.2 | 2.72964 | 1.1518 |
| 1670 | 61.7 | 3.21639 | 1.2004 |
| 1810 | 62.9 | 3.48897 | 1.2237 |
| 1940 | 63.8 | 3.74208 | 1.2412 |
| 2010 | 64.3 | 3.87837 | 1.2510 |
| 2190 | 65.2 | 4.22883 | 1.2685 |
| 2300 | 65.8 | 4.443 | 1.2802 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



## $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=2.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 21 mV and detector direct output is 0.5 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> $P_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 52 | 4 | 0.066144 | 0.0681 |
| 96 | 8.5 | 0.151812 | 0.1556 |
| 158 | 14.3 | 0.272526 | 0.2685 |
| 214 | 18.8 | 0.381558 | 0.3560 |
| 310 | 27.1 | 0.56847 | 0.5175 |
| 409 | 30.7 | 0.761223 | 0.5875 |
| 500 | 31.1 | 0.9384 | 0.5953 |
| 603 | 31.3 | 1.138941 | 0.5992 |
| 709 | 31.4 | 1.345323 | 0.6012 |
| 836 | 31.5 | 1.592592 | 0.6031 |
| 901 | 31.6 | 1.719147 | 0.6051 |
| 1110 | 31.7 | 2.12607 | 0.6070 |
| 1200 | 31.7 | 2.3013 | 0.6070 |
| 1330 | 31.8 | 2.55441 | 0.6089 |
| 1420 | 31.8 | 2.72964 | 0.6089 |



## $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}=3.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20 mV and detector direct output is 0.6 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector Output (mV) | Incident Power Pin (mW) | Detector current Id (mA) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 4.8 | 0.071985 | 0.0817 |
| 106 | 10.8 | 0.171282 | 0.1984 |
| 200 | 20.3 | 0.3543 | 0.3833 |
| 304 | 29.1 | 0.556788 | 0.5545 |
| 400 | 35.9 | 0.7437 | 0.6868 |
| 484 | 43.3 | 0.907248 | 0.8307 |
| 654 | 45.8 | 1.238238 | 0.8794 |
| 787 | 46.1 | 1.497189 | 0.8852 |
| 880 | 46.2 | 1.67826 | 0.8872 |
| 923 | 46.3 | 1.761981 | 0.8891 |
| 1130 | 46.4 | 2.16501 | 0.8911 |
| 1220 | 46.5 | 2.34024 | 0.8930 |
| 1390 | 46.6 | 2.67123 | 0.8949 |
| 1470 | 46.6 | 2.82699 | 0.8949 |



## $V_{B}=3.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20 mV and detector direct output is 0.7 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> Pin (mW) | Detector current <br> Id (mA) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 66 | 6.5 | 0.093402 | 0.1128 |
| 108 | 11.7 | 0.175176 | 0.2140 |
| 148 | 16.3 | 0.253056 | 0.3035 |
| 214 | 23.2 | 0.381558 | 0.4377 |
| 320 | 32.9 | 0.58794 | 0.6265 |
| 405 | 39.5 | 0.753435 | 0.7549 |
| 524 | 47.4 | 0.985128 | 0.9086 |
| 662 | 57.7 | 1.253814 | 1.1089 |
| 811 | 58.7 | 1.543917 | 1.1284 |
| 1010 | 59.1 | 1.93137 | 1.1362 |
| 1150 | 59.3 | 2.20395 | 1.1401 |
| 1270 | 59.4 | 2.43759 | 1.1420 |
| 1410 | 59.5 | 2.71017 | 1.1440 |
| 1600 | 59.6 | 3.0801 | 1.1459 |
| 1780 | 59.7 | 3.43056 | 1.1479 |
| 1880 | 59.7 | 3.62526 | 1.1479 |



## $V_{B}=4.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20 mV and detector direct output is 0.7 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{Pin}_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> Id (mA) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 96 | 10.7 | 0.151812 | 0.1946 |
| 200 | 23 | 0.3543 | 0.4339 |
| 305 | 34 | 0.558735 | 0.6479 |
| 402 | 42.8 | 0.747594 | 0.8191 |
| 515 | 52.3 | 0.967605 | 1.0039 |
| 614 | 58.9 | 1.160358 | 1.1323 |
| 715 | 65.3 | 1.357005 | 1.2568 |
| 838 | 75.8 | 1.596486 | 1.4611 |
| 951 | 78.8 | 1.816497 | 1.5195 |
| 1160 | 79.7 | 2.22342 | 1.5370 |
| 1205 | 79.7 | 2.311035 | 1.5370 |
| 1301 | 79.9 | 2.497947 | 1.5409 |
| 1429 | 80.1 | 2.747163 | 1.5447 |
| 1548 | 80.2 | 2.978856 | 1.5467 |



## $V_{B}=4.50 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20 mV and detector direct output is 0.7 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> $\mathrm{Pin}_{\text {in }}(\mathrm{mW})$ | Detector current <br> Id (mA) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | 3.5 | 0.04278 | 0.0545 |
| 93 | 11 | 0.145971 | 0.2004 |
| 206 | 25.8 | 0.365982 | 0.4883 |
| 304 | 37.9 | 0.556788 | 0.7237 |
| 432 | 51.4 | 0.806004 | 0.9864 |
| 533 | 61.1 | 1.002651 | 1.1751 |
| 658 | 71.9 | 1.246026 | 1.3852 |
| 793 | 82.1 | 1.508871 | 1.5837 |
| 858 | 86.7 | 1.635426 | 1.6732 |
| 1012 | 96.1 | 1.935264 | 1.8560 |
| 1090 | 100.7 | 2.08713 | 1.9455 |
| 1180 | 108.3 | 2.26236 | 2.0934 |
| 1310 | 118.7 | 2.51547 | 2.2957 |
| 1470 | 120.8 | 2.82699 | 2.3366 |
| 1690 | 121.7 | 3.25533 | 2.3541 |
| 1810 | 122 | 3.48897 | 2.3599 |
| 1890 | 122.2 | 3.64473 | 2.3638 |



## $V_{B}=5.00 \mathrm{~V}$

Notes:
Detector anode terminated by 50 ohms
With laser beam blocked, InAs laser power monitor detector reads 20 mV and detector direct output is 0.9 mV .

| InAs Power <br> Monitor (mV) | Detector <br> Output (mV) | Incident Power <br> Pin (mW) | Detector current <br> $I_{d}(\mathrm{~mA})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 55 | 5.5 | 0.0523 | 0.0895 |
| 102 | 11.5 | 0.12092 | 0.2062 |
| 150 | 17.6 | 0.191 | 0.3249 |
| 205 | 24.1 | 0.2713 | 0.4514 |
| 328 | 38.4 | 0.45088 | 0.7296 |
| 408 | 47.6 | 0.56768 | 0.9086 |
| 512 | 58.8 | 0.71952 | 1.1265 |
| 604 | 68.3 | 0.85384 | 1.3113 |
| 700 | 77.1 | 0.994 | 1.4825 |
| 810 | 88 | 1.1546 | 1.6946 |
| 1000 | 104.7 | 1.432 | 2.0195 |
| 1210 | 121.4 | 1.7386 | 2.3444 |
| 1450 | 138.6 | 2.089 | 2.6790 |
| 1700 | 155 | 2.454 | 2.9981 |
| 2000 | 172.6 | 2.892 | 3.3405 |
| 2310 | 186.9 | 3.3446 | 3.6187 |
| 2520 | 199 | 3.6512 | 3.8541 |
| 2710 | 213.7 | 3.9286 | 4.1401 |
| 3020 | 225.2 | 4.3812 | 4.3638 |
| 3270 | 227 | 4.7462 | 4.3988 |
| 3520 | 228 | 5.1112 | 4.4183 |



## Detector Responsivity and Linearity Summary of Results

| $V_{B}$ <br> $(M)$ | Linear Responsivity |  | Non-Linear Responsivity |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\rho 0(A M)$ | $\eta D C$ | $\rho(\mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{mW})$ | $\alpha(1 / \mathrm{mW})$ |
| 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.5043 | 0.87 | 0.18 |
| 2.00 | 0.93 | 0.5583 | 1.05 | 0.40 |
| 3.00 | 0.97 | 0.5823 | 1.25 | 0.34 |
| 3.50 | 1.06 | 0.6364 | 1.30 | 0.28 |
| 4.00 | 1.09 | 0.6544 | 1.33 | 0.21 |
| 4.50 | 1.17 | 0.7024 | 1.37 | 0.15 |
| 5.00 | 1.44 | 0.8645 | 1.64 | 0.10 |



## Voltage-Current Characteristics

## Optical Power=0.0

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(m V)$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{d}$ ( $\Omega$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00000 | 0.000 |  |
| 51.9 | 51.9 | -0.05190 | 0.000 | 1588491.4 |
| 128.2 | 128.1 | -0.12810 | -0.081 | 2006867.4 |
| 254.3 | 254.2 | -0.25421 | -0.101 | 6951491.4 |
| 408.5 | 408.4 | -0.40841 | -0.121 | 2927443.4 |
| 549.5 | 549.3 | -0.54931 | -0.202 | 1332638.1 |
| 650.5 | 650.2 | -0.65022 | -0.302 | 417187.4 |
| 718.1 | 717.5 | -0.71753 | -0.605 | 191705.8 |
| 747.6 | 746.8 | -0.74684 | -0.806 | 96275.4 |
| 845.5 | 843.6 | -0.84370 | -1.915 | 50965.8 |
| 956.9 | 952.1 | -0.95235 | -4.839 | 19867.8 |
| 1109.8 | 1095.3 | -1.09605 | -14.617 | 13275.5 |
| 1138.9 | 1121.4 | -1.12231 | -17.641 | 6064.9 |
| 1269.4 | 1232.3 | -1.23422 | -37.399 | 4167.5 |
| 1401.0 | 1332.6 | -1.33614 | -68.952 | 2050.3 |
| 1670.7 | 1500.5 | -1.50932 | -171.573 | 1571.3 |
| 1727.9 | 1530.4 | -1.54063 | -199.093 | 984.4 |
| 1886.1 | 1604.9 | -1.61947 | -283.468 | 855.4 |
| 2004.0 | 1654.0 | -1.67214 | -352.823 | 690.1 |
| 2157.0 | 1711.0 | -1.73411 | -449.597 | 602.5 |
| 2256.0 | 1744.0 | -1.77053 | -516.129 | 465.6 |
| 2690 | 1868 | -1.91059 | -828.629 | 377.4 |
| 3283 | 1998 | -2.06458 | -1295.363 |  |



## Optical Power=0.233 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(N)$ | Detector Current Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -138.0 | 0.13085 | -139.113 |  |
| 49.5 | -91.3 | 0.08400 | -141.935 | 63629.6 |
| 143.2 | 3.0 | -0.01026 | -141.331 | 221019.4 |
| 318.0 | 176.0 | -0.18336 | -143.145 | 170344.7 |
| 454.0 | 312.0 | -0.31936 | -143.145 | 140419.4 |
| 603.0 | 459.0 | -0.46646 | -145.161 | 66763.4 |
| 727.0 | 581.0 | -0.58856 | -147.177 | 134467.4 |
| 876.0 | 730.0 | -0.73756 | -147.177 | 92307.4 |
| 1009.0 | 860.0 | -0.86772 | -150.202 | 39359.4 |
| 1201.0 | 1047.0 | -1.05498 | -155.242 | 10363.0 |
| 1442.0 | 1255.0 | -1.26469 | -188.508 | 4311.9 |
| 1614.0 | 1382.0 | -1.39402 | -233.871 | 1996.7 |
| 1821.0 | 1506.0 | -1.52232 | -317.540 | 1237.4 |
| 2007.0 | 1596.0 | -1.61730 | -414.315 | 850.4 |
| 2220.0 | 1684.0 | -1.71177 | -540.323 | 625.4 |
| 2539.0 | 1791.0 | -1.82976 | -754.032 | 460.6 |
| 2987.0 | 1908.0 | -1.96391 | -1087.702 | 400.5 |
| 3000.0 | 1911.0 | -1.96743 | -1097.782 | 321.4 |
| 3417.0 | 2000.0 | -2.07342 | -1428.427 |  |



## Optical Power=0.443 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -213.2 | 0.20215 | -214.919 |  |
| 51.3 | -192.9 | 0.18025 | -246.169 | 1422.7 |
| 129.6 | -138.0 | 0.12413 | -269.758 | 8068.4 |
| 273.8 | 5.1 | -0.01902 | -270.867 | 87507.4 |
| 406.0 | 135.3 | -0.14933 | -272.883 | 89288.3 |
| 483.0 | 212.0 | -0.22604 | -273.185 | -57153.9 |
| 559.0 | 291.0 | -0.30489 | -270.161 | -50209.9 |
| 632.0 | 364.0 | -0.37789 | -270.161 | 31630.1 |
| 756.0 | 482.0 | -0.49620 | -276.210 | 18268.1 |
| 845.0 | 566.0 | -0.58046 | -281.250 | 40888.7 |
| 1009.0 | 729.0 | -0.74351 | -282.258 | 68697.8 |
| 1196.0 | 912.0 | -0.92672 | -286.290 | 80899.4 |
| 1339.0 | 1055.0 | -1.06972 | -286.290 | 14187.4 |
| 1501.0 | 1197.0 | -1.21275 | -306.452 | 5645.2 |
| 1578.0 | 1258.0 | -1.27458 | -322.581 | 3440.7 |
| 1713.0 | 1361.0 | -1.37924 | -354.839 | 2027.3 |
| 1946.0 | 1503.0 | -1.52595 | -446.573 | 1300.6 |
| 2140.0 | 1599.0 | -1.62703 | -545.363 | 842.6 |
| 2399.0 | 1704.0 | -1.74001 | -700.605 | 669.0 |
| 2557 | 1759 | -1.80035 | -804.435 | 490.0 |
| 2885 | 1853 | -1.90647 | -1040.323 | 432.7 |
| 3000 | 1882 | -1.93993 | -1127.016 | 342.6 |
| 3524 | 1998 | -2.07707 | -1538.306 |  |

Reverse Bias Voltage (V)

## Optical Power=0.965 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id ( $\mu \mathrm{A}$ ) | Detector Resistance $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -256.0 | 0.24274 | -258.065 |  |
| 256.0 | -218.0 | 0.19344 | -477.823 | 284.6 |
| 352.0 | -189.0 | 0.16097 | -545.363 | 1667.7 |
| 561.0 | -29.0 | -0.00157 | -594.758 | 4429.1 |
| 601.0 | 14.0 | -0.04442 | -591.734 | -38239.8 |
| 749.0 | 164.0 | -0.19431 | -589.718 | 33338.5 |
| 912.0 | 316.0 | -0.34688 | -600.806 | 23180.7 |
| 1211.0 | 607.0 | -0.63830 | -608.871 | 45683.4 |
| 1382.0 | 776.0 | -0.80740 | -610.887 | 39929.8 |
| 1623.0 | 1009.0 | -1.04081 | -618.952 | 26256.7 |
| 1711.0 | 1093.0 | -1.12502 | -622.984 | 5750.9 |
| 1994.0 | 1325.0 | -1.35966 | -674.395 | 2735.6 |
| 2278.0 | 1507.0 | -1.54695 | -777.218 | 1342.2 |
| 2567.0 | 1649.0 | -1.69657 | -925.403 | 796.9 |
| 2877.0 | 1764.0 | -1.82167 | -1121.976 | 557.7 |
| 3150.0 | 1846.0 | -1.91357 | -1314.516 | 386.8 |
| 3811.0 | 2000.0 | -2.09384 | -1825.605 |  |


| Reverse Bias Voltage (V) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3.0 | -2.0 | -1.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ddagger \quad-200 \text { § }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| \% $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $-1200 \div$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\ddagger$ | -1400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1-2000$ |  |  |  |  |

## Optical Power=1.43 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector Resistance $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -269.0 | 0.25506 | -271.169 |  |
| 325.0 | -239.0 | 0.20978 | -568.548 | 171.8 |
| 499.0 | -215.0 | 0.17800 | -719.758 | 297.6 |
| 667.0 | -171.0 | 0.12758 | -844.758 | 1291.4 |
| 877.0 | -5.0 | -0.04070 | -889.113 | 7057.4 |
| 1054.0 | 168.0 | -0.21391 | -893.145 | 78617.8 |
| 1278.0 | 391.0 | -0.43696 | -894.153 | 432563.4 |
| 1491.0 | 604.0 | -0.64996 | -894.153 | 30269.2 |
| 1687.0 | 787.0 | -0.83363 | -907.258 | 18993.9 |
| 1913.0 | 1005.0 | -1.05205 | -915.323 | 10709.0 |
| 2145.0 | 1206.0 | -1.25465 | -946.573 | 3795.1 |
| 2462.0 | 1439.0 | -1.49201 | -1031.250 | 2081.3 |
| 2672.0 | 1560.0 | -1.61762 | -1120.968 | 1024.4 |
| 2979.0 | 1695.0 | -1.76153 | -1294.355 | 700.1 |
| 3279.0 | 1800.0 | -1.87663 | -1490.927 | 460.1 |
| 3853.0 | 1950.0 | -2.04860 | -1918.347 | 371.9 |
| 4098.0 | 2000.0 | -2.10871 | -2114.919 |  |



## Optical Power=2.00 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector Voltage $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector Resistance $R_{d}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -278.0 | 0.26360 | -280.242 |  |
| 129.0 | -270.0 | 0.24933 | -402.218 | 123.0 |
| 208.0 | -264.0 | 0.23954 | -475.806 | 130.8 |
| 318.0 | -256.0 | 0.22626 | -578.629 | 132.7 |
| 406.0 | -249.0 | 0.21506 | -660.282 | 145.7 |
| 560.0 | -235.0 | 0.19381 | -801.411 | 157.6 |
| 706.0 | -220.0 | 0.17202 | -933.468 | 194.1 |
| 902.0 | -192.0 | 0.13531 | -1102.823 | 429.6 |
| 1119.0 | -106.0 | 0.04253 | -1234.879 | 1271.8 |
| 1241.0 | -5.0 | -0.05956 | -1256.048 | 15647.8 |
| 1420.0 | 177.0 | -0.24141 | -1253.024 |  |
| 1597.0 | 351.0 | -0.41556 | -1256.048 | 19546.4 |
| 1895.0 | 629.0 | -0.69460 | -1276.210 | 20589.5 |
| 2183.0 | 910.0 | -0.97596 | -1283.266 | 17163.4 |
| 2406.0 | 1112.0 | -1.17905 | -1304.435 | 7510.5 |
| 2626.0 | 1301.0 | -1.36965 | -1335.685 | 2637.9 |
| 2994.0 | 1537.0 | -1.61249 | -1468.750 | 1305.0 |
| 3330 | 1694 | -1.77877 | -1649.194 | 692.7 |
| 3781 | 1846 | -1.94626 | -1950.605 | 437.6 |
| 4422 | 2000 | -2.12549 | -2441.532 | 330.0 |
| 4935 | 2099 | -2.24595 | -2858.871 |  |



## Optical Power=2.43 mW

| Bias Current <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\mathrm{Bi}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage <br> Monitor <br> $V_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{V})$ | Detector <br> Current <br> Id $(\mu \mathrm{A})$ | Detector <br> Resistance <br> $R_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0 | -284.0 | 0.26928 | -286.290 |  |
| 112.0 | -277.0 | 0.25684 | -392.137 | 120.0 |
| 201.0 | -271.0 | 0.24654 | -475.806 | 122.6 |
| 366.0 | -260.0 | 0.22756 | -631.048 | 125.3 |
| 504.0 | -250.0 | 0.21093 | -760.081 | 138.0 |
| 827.0 | -223.0 | 0.16859 | -1058.468 | 151.3 |
| 1007.0 | -204.0 | 0.14125 | -1220.766 | 237.1 |
| 1328.0 | -144.0 | 0.06773 | -1483.871 | 761.8 |
| 1551.0 | 23.0 | -0.10217 | -1540.323 | 6106.0 |
| 1740.0 | 210.0 | -0.28928 | -1542.339 | 22912.5 |
| 2080.0 | 530.0 | -0.61031 | -1562.500 | 14824.2 |
| 2328.0 | 761.0 | -0.84219 | -1579.637 | 14690.9 |
| 2600.0 | 1017.0 | -1.09902 | -1595.766 | 7345.5 |
| 3038.0 | 1386.0 | -1.47160 | -1665.323 | 2590.8 |
| 3433.0 | 1616.0 | -1.71015 | -1831.653 | 924.6 |
| 3980.0 | 1827.0 | -1.93856 | -2170.363 | 496.9 |
| 4701.0 | 2009.0 | -2.14848 | -2713.710 |  |


| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Reverse Bias Voltage (V) } \\ -30 & -2.0\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -3.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Optical Power=2.93 mW

| Bias Current Monitor $V_{B i}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Bias Voltage Monitor $V_{B}(\mathrm{mV})$ | Detector <br> Voltage <br> $V_{d}(V)$ | Detector Current Id ( $\mu \mathrm{A}$ ) | Detector Resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | -287.0 | 0.27213 | -289.315 |  |
| 55.0 | -285.0 | 0.26738 | -342.742 | 109.4 |
| 308.0 | -270.0 | 0.24005 | -582.661 | 115.7 |
| 400.0 | -264.0 | 0.22960 | -669.355 | 120.3 |
| 616.0 | -250.0 | 0.20513 | -872.984 | 125.1 |
| 805.0 | -236.0 | 0.18206 | -1049.395 | 140.7 |
| 1185.0 | -203.0 | 0.13108 | -1399.194 | 159.9 |
| 1383.0 | -179.0 | 0.09807 | -1574.597 | 341.6 |
| 1724.0 | -81.0 | -0.01253 | -1819.556 | 1020.9 |
| 1905.0 | 79.0 | -0.17361 | -1840.726 | 14707.4 |
| 2213.0 | 377.0 | -0.47213 | -1850.806 | 11254.2 |
| 2618.0 | 734.0 | -0.83162 | -1899.194 | 11985.9 |
| 3060.0 | 1159.0 | -1.25750 | -1916.331 | 4867.9 |
| 3549.0 | 1506.0 | -1.61186 | -2059.476 | 1273.9 |
| 4232.0 | 1806.0 | -1.93170 | -2445.565 | 702.9 |
| 4548.0 | 1902.0 | -2.03910 | -2667.339 | 418.8 |
| 4935.0 | 1996.0 | -2.14828 | -2962.702 |  |



## FAMILY OF V-I CURVES



## FREQUENCY RESPONSE MEASUREMENTS

$\mathrm{VB}=0.0 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=8.9 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{array}$ | Beam \#1 <br> (mV) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Het. Sig. (RMS mV) | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency | MS Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 6 | 76.2 | 22.8 | 1.84 | 0.97 | 0.997391 | 0.584792 | 0.000878 |
| 20 | 75.9 | 22.8 | 1.86 | 0.98 | 0.978402 | 0.60025 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| 40 | 76.3 | 22.9 | 2.06 | 1.08 | 0.922721 | 0.726678 | 0.02436 |
| 60 | 76.4 | 22.9 | 2.02 | 1.06 | 0.847889 | 0.697697 | 0.043755 |
| 100 | 76.3 | 22.9 | 1.84 | 0.96 | 0.692762 | 0.579754 | 0.073345 |
| 120 | 76.6 | 23.2 | 1.44 | 0.74 | 0.62501 | 0.346096 | 0.014279 |
| 140 | 76.5 | 23.2 | 1.26 | 0.65 | 0.565889 | 0.265371 | 0.007402 |
| 150 | 76.5 | 23.2 | 1.07 | 0.55 | 0.539428 | 0.191373 | 0.000201 |
| 160 | 76.5 | 23.2 | 0.85 | 0.44 | 0.514878 | 0.120768 | 0.005638 |
| 180 | 76.4 | 23.2 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.470976 | 0.075146 | 0.015391 |
| 200 | 76.5 | 23.1 | 0.65 | 0.34 | 0.433114 | 0.071119 | 0.009144 |
| 220 | 76.4 | 23 | 0.57 | 0.30 | 0.400318 | 0.05516 | 0.010629 |
| 250 | 76.2 | 23 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.358833 | 0.0534 | 0.004408 |
| 275 | 75.7 | 23 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.329922 | 0.050025 | 0.002197 |
| 300 | 76.2 | 23 | 0.52 | 0.27 | 0.305094 | 0.046043 | 0.001125 |
| 350 | 76.3 | 23 | 0.46 | 0.24 | 0.2648 | 0.035978 | 0.000613 |
| 400 | 76.6 | 23 | 0.3 | 0.16 | 0.233626 | 0.015235 | 0.005995 |
| 450 | 75.2 | 23 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.208865 | 0.004995 | 0.014261 |
| 500 | 74.8 | 23.1 | 0.12 | 0.06 | 0.188759 | 0.002486 | 0.015789 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0086 |


$V B=-0.25 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=8.2 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam \#1 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Het. Sig. (RMS mV) | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 10 | 75.3 | 21.8 | 1.80 | 0.96 | 0.996024 | 0.573693 | 0.001405 |
| 25 | 75.6 | 21.8 | 1.86 | 0.99 | 0.979196 | 0.60985 | 8.25E-05 |
| 40 | 76.6 | 22.1 | 1.98 | 1.03 | 0.950082 | 0.666278 | 0.006874 |
| 120 | 77 | 22.1 | 1.86 | 0.97 | 0.714314 | 0.584546 | 0.064133 |
| 140 | 76.6 | 22.1 | 1.72 | 0.90 | 0.658525 | 0.502784 | 0.057035 |
| 150 | 76.6 | 22.1 | 1.35 | 0.70 | 0.63258 | 0.309736 | 0.005145 |
| 175 | 77 | 22.1 | 0.93 | 0.48 | 0.573553 | 0.146136 | 0.008059 |
| 200 | 77 | 22.1 | 0.91 | 0.47 | 0.522429 | 0.139919 | 0.002406 |
| 225 | 77.3 | 22.1 | 0.76 | 0.39 | 0.478299 | 0.09717 | 0.007024 |
| 250 | 76.1 | 22.1 | 0.73 | 0.38 | 0.440153 | 0.091234 | 0.003353 |
| 275 | 76.1 | 22.1 | 0.7 | 0.37 | 0.407048 | 0.083889 | 0.001641 |
| 300 | 76.6 | 22.1 | 0.7 | 0.37 | 0.378169 | 0.083276 | 0.000168 |
| 350 | 76.4 | 22.1 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.330479 | 0.065521 | 4.28E-05 |
| 400 | 76.1 | 22.1 | 0.4 | 0.21 | 0.292943 | 0.027392 | 0.006971 |
| 450 | 76.1 | 22.1 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.262769 | 0.008286 | 0.021777 |
| 500 | 75.8 | 22.1 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.238055 | 0.00497 | 0.022154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.011571 |



## $\mathrm{VB}=-0.5 \mathrm{~V}$

amplifier bias $=8.2 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Beam \#1 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Beam \#2 <br> (mV) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Het. Sig. } \\ & \text { (RMS mV) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Frequency <br> Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum. Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 10 | 77.0 | 22.1 | 1.87 | 0.97 | 0.996562 | 0.590848 | 0.000566 |
| 30 | 77.0 | 22.1 | 1.95 | 1.01 | 0.975218 | 0.642483 | 0.001533 |
| 50 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 2.01 | 1.04 | 0.936347 | 0.673814 | 0.0105 |
| 130 | 77.4 | 22.1 | 1.97 | 1.02 | 0.717476 | 0.651939 | 0.092622 |
| 150 | 77.3 | 22.1 | 1.8 | 0.93 | 0.665999 | 0.545064 | 0.071992 |
| 175 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 1.2 | 0.62 | 0.607787 | 0.240165 | 0.000154 |
| 200 | 78.3 | 22.1 | 1.1 | 0.57 | 0.556481 | 0.200654 | 0.000108 |
| 250 | 78.3 | 22.1 | 0.89 | 0.46 | 0.472324 | 0.131354 | 0.000187 |
| 300 | 78.3 | 22.1 | 0.83 | 0.43 | 0.407761 | 0.11424 | 0.000399 |
| 350 | 77.9 | 22.1 | 0.62 | 0.32 | 0.357489 | 0.064111 | 0.001373 |
| 400 | 77.2 | 22.1 | 0.56 | 0.29 | 0.317597 | 0.052833 | 0.000713 |
| 430 | 77.3 | 22.1 | 0.48 | 0.25 | 0.297465 | 0.03876 | 0.002334 |
| 450 | 77.3 | 22.1 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.285343 | 0.017227 | 0.014219 |
| 500 | 76.5 | 22.1 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.258816 | 0.011506 | 0.015147 |
| 550 | 134.6 | 22.4 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.236663 | 0.013 | 0.008533 |
| 600 | 134 | 22.4 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.217913 | 0.011723 | 0.006544 |
| 650 | 160 | 22.4 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 0.201856 | 0.008163 | 0.007659 |
| 700 | 159.3 | 22.3 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.187961 | 0.005946 | 0.008168 |
| 750 | 186.7 | 22.3 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 0.175825 | 0.002568 | 0.012476 |
| 800 | 186.7 | 18.5 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.16514 | 0.001723 | 0.012682 |
| 850 | 185.5 | 18.5 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.155662 | 0.001495 | 0.01139 |
| 900 | 181.6 | 18.5 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.147201 | 0.000733 | 0.012756 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.013275 |


$V B=-0.75 \mathrm{~V}$
amplifier bias $=8.6 \mathrm{mV}$

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Frequency } \\ (\mathrm{MHz}) \end{array}$ | Beam \#1 (mV) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Beam \#2 } \\ (\mathrm{mV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Het. Sig. } \\ \text { (RMS mV) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Frequency Response | Detector RC Model | Het. Qum. Efficiency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |
| 15 | 106.0 | 17.9 | 1.80 | 0.96 | 0.994132 | 0.577961 | 0.001026 |
| 25 | 106.7 | 17.9 | 1.82 | 0.97 | 0.985089 | 0.58666 | 0.000249 |
| 50 | 107.2 | 17.9 | 2.01 | 1.07 | 0.945763 | 0.711915 | 0.014889 |
| 150 | 109.2 | 17.6 | 1.89 | 1.01 | 0.698237 | 0.637498 | 0.097467 |
| 160 | 107.6 | 16.2 | 1.39 | 0.82 | 0.674848 | 0.414932 | 0.019695 |
| 200 | 109.2 | 16.4 | 1.18 | 0.68 | 0.590521 | 0.286726 | 0.007591 |
| 250 | 109.2 | 16.4 | 0.92 | 0.53 | 0.505235 | 0.174293 | 0.000534 |
| 300 | 147.7 | 14.7 | 0.87 | 0.48 | 0.438496 | 0.144138 | 0.001761 |
| 350 | 147.7 | 14.7 | 0.7 | 0.39 | 0.385832 | 0.093311 | 5.55E-07 |
| 400 | 147.7 | 14.7 | 0.64 | 0.35 | 0.343654 | 0.078001 | $9.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 450 | 147.7 | 14.7 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.309327 | 0.02607 | 0.011024 |
| 500 | 147.7 | 14.7 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.280958 | 0.020738 | 0.009744 |
| 550 | 148.2 | 14.9 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.25718 | 0.020008 | 0.006111 |
| 600 | 148.7 | 14.9 | 0.33 | 0.18 | 0.236999 | 0.019936 | 0.0034 |
| 650 | 147.7 | 14.9 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.219677 | 0.014456 | 0.004559 |
| 700 | 145.7 | 14.9 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.204662 | 0.009896 | 0.006204 |
| 750 | 147.7 | 14.9 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.19153 | 0.005329 | 0.009831 |
| 800 | 145.6 | 14.9 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.179953 | 0.001516 | 0.017075 |
| 850 | 144.3 | 15 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.169675 | 0.001507 | 0.014532 |
| 900 | 146.1 | 15 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.160492 | 0.0009 | 0.015014 |
| 850 | 185.5 | 18.5 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.169675 | 0.001559 | 0.014329 |
| 900 | 181.6 | 18.5 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.160492 | 0.000764 | 0.015752 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.012313 |



## $\underline{V B}=-1.0 \mathrm{~V}$

amplifier bias $=10.5 \mathrm{mV}$

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Beam \#1 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Beam \#2 <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ | Het. Sig. <br> $(\mathrm{RMS} \mathrm{mV})$ | Frequency <br> Response | Retector <br> RC Model | Ret. Qum. <br> Efficiency |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| 10 | 95.2 | 19.7 | 1.72 | 0.99 | 0.997157 | 0.613453 |
| 25 | 94.8 | 19.7 | 1.78 | 1.03 | 0.985978 | 0.660116 |
| 50 | 95.2 | 19.9 | 1.82 | 1.04 | 0.948924 | 0.672244 |
| 160 | 95.5 | 19.3 | 1.6 | 0.94 | 0.686864 | 0.553011 |
| 200 | 99.5 | 22.8 | 1.43 | 0.70 | 0.603168 | 0.301837 |
| 250 | 99.5 | 22.8 | 1.17 | 0.57 | 0.517668 | 0.202056 |
| 300 | 99.9 | 22.8 | 1.03 | 0.50 | 0.450239 | 0.155893 |
| 350 | 100.7 | 22.8 | 0.92 | 0.44 | 0.396744 | 0.123271 |
| 425 | 100.6 | 22.8 | 0.76 | 0.37 | 0.335337 | 0.084216 |
| 500 | 114.6 | 22.8 | 0.44 | 0.20 | 0.289588 | 0.024431 |
| 550 | 115.6 | 22.8 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.265195 | 0.022049 |
| 600 | 113.8 | 22.8 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.244468 | 0.021378 |
| 650 | 128.2 | 22.8 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.226662 | 0.018762 |
| 700 | 128.2 | 22.8 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.211214 | 0.00875 |
| 750 | 175.3 | 21.2 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.197697 | 0.004847 |
| 850 | 172.8 | 21.2 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.175186 | 0.002382 |
| 900 | 173.2 | 21.5 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.165721 | 0.001092 |
| 950 | 173.8 | 21.1 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.157214 | 0.00113 |
| 1000 | 173.8 | 21.1 | 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.149527 | 0.000933 |
| 1050 | 173.2 | 21.1 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.142548 | 0.0006 |


| $\left.\begin{array}{rl}\hline 3.55 \mathrm{E}-05 \\ \hline 0.001783 \\ \hline 0.007864 \\ \hline 0.064636 \\ \hline 0.008483 \\ \hline 0.00262 \\ \hline 0.002443 \\ \hline 0.002264 \\ \hline 0.001019 \\ \hline 0.008424 \\ \hline 0.005972 \\ \hline 0.003533 \\ \hline 0.002843 \\ \hline 0.008618 \\ \hline 0.012009 \\ \hline 0.012864 \\ \hline 0.01535 \\ \hline 0.013152 \\ \hline 0.01229 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ |
| ---: |
| 0.012445 |
| 0.009932 |



### 5.0 RELATED ACTIVITIES

### 5.1 TECHNICAL AND REVIEW MEETINGS

UAH personnel attended the NOAA Working Group on Space-Based Lidar Winds Meeting in Daytona Beach, Florida, January 21-23, 1997. In this meeting, the status of the NASA/MSFC and UAH 2 -micron solid state coherent lidar efforts were described in these meetings. UAH personnel also attended meetings at the "Office of the Mission To the Plant Earth" in the NASA Headquarters on December 17, 1996, and the DOD/DOC/NASA Integrated Program Office (IPO) in Washington, DC, on January 10, 1997. In these meetings, the status of MSFC and UAH coherent lidar activities were reported and The results of the lidar alignment study was presented.

### 5.2 CONFERENCES

A conference paper, describing some of the work performed under this deliver order, was prepared and presented at the the Coherent Laser Radar Topical Meeting in Linkoping, Sweden, June 23-26, 1997. The summary of this paper is provided in fllowing pages.
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#### Abstract

Several key coherent lidar technologies have recently been developed, creating new possibilities for the development of compact, low power, and reliable wind lidar systems suitable for deployment in space. In this paper, the current state of the solid state coherent lidar technology and the status of the space-based systems development effort at NASA/MSFC will be discussed.


## Summary

## INTRODUCTION

Over the past 4 years, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center has been working; with collaboration with NASA Langley Research Center, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, University of Alabama in Huntsville, and private industry; toward further advancing solid state coherent Doppler lidar technology for global measurement of atmospheric winds from space platforms. This effort has resulted in the development of a number of key technologies creating new possibilities for the development of compact, low power, and reliable 2 -micron coherent lidar systems suitable for deployment in space. By utilizing these technologies, three different spaceborne lidar
instruments have been designed and proposed; one as a technology demonstrator, another as an operational prototype stepping stone towards the development of a long-life-time operational instrument, and the third as a NPOESS 7-year life operational instrument. In this paper, the recent advances in the development of the key lidar components will be briefly described and the ongoing effort toward the development of the three space instruments will be described.

## LIDAR SYSTEM

The solid state coherent lidar system design is illustrated in Figure 1. The transmitter laser uses a part of the output beam of a low power, continuous wave (CW) laser (seed or injection oscillator) to generate stable, single frequency pulses. The transmitter laser pulses are then expanded by an off-axis, afocal telescope before being deflected by 30 degrees by an optical element. If the desired scan pattern is continuous conical, then the scanner optical element is continuously rotated by a precision motor to provide a conical pattern in the atmosphere. The remaining part of the seed laser output beam is used for frequency locking of a programmable, frequency-agile CW local oscillator (LO) laser. The output of this laser is directed to the optical detector to be mixed with the signal beam. In order to compensate for the large Doppler shift due to the spacecraft motion and minimize the required bandwidth of the detector and receiver, the frequency of the LO laser is varied about the seed laser frequency with the azimuth angle of the conical scan. The lidar optical train includes a small rotating wedge (derotator) and a steering mirror (beam misalignment compensator or BMC). The derotator rotation is synchronized with the scanner to compensate for the signal beam misalignment due to the scanner continuous motion during the pulse round trip time. The derotator is not required if a a step-stare scan pattern is utilized. The BMC, through an active control system, corrects for the misalignments due the scanner motion during the pulse reception time and the change in the spacecraft inertial nadir pointing angle during the pulse round trip time. After passing through the derotator and BMC, the returned photons are launched into a fiber to be combined in a fiber coupler with the local oscillator beam. The output of the fiber optic coupler is focused onto a high-quantum efficiency, wideband detector optimized for heterodyne detection.

## TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPED

The recent development of several key lidar components includes the demonstration of a 500 mJ diode-pumped transmitter laser at LaRC, a 25 mW frequency-agile local oscillator laser with tunability range of 8 GHz at JPL, and a 25 cm compact and thermally stable telescope at UAH and MSFC. The ongoing effort includes the development of a wideband, low-noise heterodyne receiver, a low-mass diffractive scanner, a compact wedge scanner, the signal beam derotator and BMC, a robust lidar structure at UAH and MSFC, and a 500 mJ transmitter laser at Coherent Technologies Inc (CTI). Many of these lidar components will be integrated into a complete lidar system in the near future, allowing the demonstration of all the developed technologies based on the space operational requirements.

Taking advantage of the progress in the development of all the key lidar technologies, MSFC has developed two different space instrument designs. One is a $100 \mathrm{~mJ}, 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ instrument designed for a Space Shuttle mission aimed at demonstrating the coherent lidar technology readiness for global wind profiling. The second instrument is a $500 \mathrm{~mJ}, 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ coherent lidar, suitable for a
relatively short duration free flyer or Shuttle mission, with the purpose of demonstrating the coherent lidar winds and aerosols measurement capabilities, and its autonomous and efficient operation in space. These instruments will establish a progressive path toward the development and deployment of a long life-time operational instrument that is very similar to the second instrument. The table below summarizes the status of the required technologies for these missions.


Figure 1. Solid State Coherent Doppler Lidar System Diagram.
In this paper, an overview of the recent progress in the development of the enabling technologies for space-based solid state coherent lidars will be provided. The designs of space-based instruments will be discussed, and the status of the first technology demonstrator instrument development will be reported.
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| Technology | Status | Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transmitter <br> Laser | $100 \mathrm{~mJ}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, fl. lamp-pumped (CTI, 93) | Build upon for Mission 1 |
|  | $500 \mathrm{~mJ}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, diode-pumped (LaRC, 97) | Ground-based demonstrator system. Build upon for Mission 1. Perform technology demonstration measurements for Missions 2 and 3. |
|  | $500 \mathrm{~mJ}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, diode-pumped (CTI, 98) | Engineering model for Mission 2 |
| Frequency-agile Local Oscillator Laser | $25 \mathrm{~mW}, 8 \mathrm{GHz}$ cont. tunability (JPL, 97) | Upgrade for mission 1 |
| Compact <br> Telescope | 25 cm off-axis (UAH/MSFC, 96) | Use for Mission 1 |
|  | 50 cm unit design (UAH, 96) | Missions 2 and 3 |
| Scanner | 28 cm Wedge Scanner (MSFC, 96) | Use for Mission 1 |
|  | 5 cm experimental Diffractive Optical Element (DOE) (UAH/MSFC 97) 30 cm DOE Scanner (UAH/MSFC 98) | Develop for Missions 2 and 3 |
| Derotator | Experimental unit (UAH/MSFC 97) | All missions if continuous conical scanning is chosen |
| Beam <br> Misalignment <br> Compensator | Experimental unit (UAH 97) | Use for all Missions |
| Receiver | Characterized and analyzed InGaAs detectors (UAH/MSFC 95) <br> Fiber optic interferometer and balanced detectors evaluation (UAH/MSFC 97) | Use for all missions |
| Data <br> Acquisition/ Processor and Controller Unit | Hardware Design (MSFC 98) <br> Algorithm Development (MSFC 98) Hardware/software integration and test (MSFC 99) | Use for all missions with appropriate modifications |
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