

## HYDROGEN MOLECULES IN SN 1987A

MICHAEL CULHANE AND RICHARD MCCRAY

Joint Institute for Laboratory Astrophysics, University of Colorado and National Institute of Standards and Technology,  
Boulder, CO 80309-0440

Received 1994 July 5; accepted 1995 June 20

## ABSTRACT

The observations of CO and SiO in the infrared spectrum of SN 1987A clearly indicate that molecules can form in the debris of a supernova explosion. Since  $\text{H}_2$  is not easily observable we compute its abundance theoretically. For conditions typical of the inner ( $v < 2500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) envelope of SN 1987A, the fraction of H that is in molecular form rises to  $\sim 1\%$  by  $t \sim 800$  days. For  $t < 500$  days the formation is dominated by the gas-phase reactions  $\text{H} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ + h\nu$ ;  $\text{H}_2^+ + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H}^+$ . Thereafter, the formation is dominated by the reactions  $\text{H} + e \rightarrow \text{H}^- + h\nu$ ;  $\text{H}^- + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + e$ . At early times the  $\text{H}^-$  may absorb  $\sim 10\%$ – $30\%$  of visible photons, contributing to the apparent paucity of  $\text{H}\alpha$  emission. For  $t > 1000$  days the abundance of  $\text{H}_2$  “freezes out” due to the slowing of all reactions. The opacity of the supernova envelope in the range  $912 < \lambda \lesssim 1400 \text{ \AA}$  (the upper limit depending on temperature) is dominated by resonance scattering in the Lyman and Werner bands of  $\text{H}_2$ . The resulting fluorescence emission bands of  $\text{H}_2$  in the range  $1150 < \lambda < 1650 \text{ \AA}$  may be observable in the UV spectra of supernovae at late times.

*Subject headings:* line: formation — molecular processes — stars: individual (SN 1987A) — supernovae remnants — ultraviolet: ISM

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Spectroscopic observations of SN 1987A have enabled us to infer in unprecedented detail the physical conditions in its debris (McCray 1993). By  $t \gtrsim 4$  months after the explosion, the optical/infrared photosphere had receded to the center of the envelope, and the spectrum was dominated by emission lines. Analysis of the spectra showed that the gas in the inner envelope was remarkably cool ( $T \lesssim 3000 \text{ K}$ ) and neutral (electron/neutral ratio  $n_e/n_H \lesssim 0.02$ ), a fact evinced by the observation of emission bands of CO and SiO molecules as early as  $t = 112$  days, well before any evidence for dust formation appeared. Evidently, the dense, cool, partially ionized gas in a supernova envelope is an environment favorable for molecule formation by gas-phase reactions (Dalgarno 1993). Thus, it is natural to ask whether  $\text{H}_2$  molecules (or related species such as  $\text{H}_3^+$ ) might also form there. Dalgarno (1993) has shown that the answer will be sensitive to the evolution of the temperature, density, and ionization in the envelope. Now that we have a better understanding of these conditions, we can revisit this question and model the formation of  $\text{H}_2$  with more confidence.

Of course, we also want to know whether we can observe  $\text{H}_2$  in the envelope of SN 1987A (or any other type II supernova). This may be difficult because the infrared decays of  $\text{H}_2$  are so highly forbidden. We might also hope to detect  $\text{H}_2$  in the UV through the strong Lyman and Werner emission bands, but that prospect is also clouded by the strong line blanketing (due primarily to iron group elements) that is seen in the UV spectra of supernovae. Nevertheless, there is evidence that this line blanketing diminishes at later times, opening windows in the UV spectrum where we might see  $\text{H}_2$  emission bands.

Even if the UV radiation does not escape from the supernova envelope, there must be a substantial UV radiation field within the envelope of SN 1987A in order to account for the strengths of the hydrogen recombination lines (Xu et al. 1992) and the lines of  $\text{Fe}^+$  (Li, McCray, & Sunyaev 1993). Today, our poor understanding of this UV radiation field is the greatest

obstacle to a better understanding of the emission-line spectra of supernovae. As we shall show,  $\text{H}_2$  molecules probably dominate the UV opacity of the inner envelope in the range  $912 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1550 \text{ \AA}$ . Thus, even if we fail to find direct evidence for  $\text{H}_2$  in supernova envelopes, we must be able to model its abundance and UV opacity in order to understand the emission-line spectra of other species.

We address these questions here. In § 2 we define the model, including the conditions in the envelope of SN 1987A and the atomic processes that form and destroy  $\text{H}_2$ . Then, in § 3 we describe the resulting evolution of the abundances of  $\text{H}_2$  and related species. In § 4 we describe a model for the production of UV radiation and its absorption and fluorescence by  $\text{H}_2$ . Finally, in § 5 we summarize our results and consider the implications for supernovae in general.

## 2. THE MODEL

## 2.1. Physical Conditions

Our present understanding of the structure and physical conditions in the envelope has been reviewed by McCray (1993). Here we summarize those facts relevant to the formation of  $\text{H}_2$  molecules. We confine our discussion to the inner part (comoving with radial velocity  $v \lesssim 2500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) of the supernova envelope that emits most of the optical/IR luminosity. Evidently, this region is an inhomogeneous mixture of “blobs” of nearly primordial composition separated from chemically distinct blobs of other element groups: He, O, Fe/CO/Ni, etc. We believe that dynamical instabilities during the first few hours or weeks after the explosion stirred up the stratified envelope of the progenitor star, resulting in an interior region with such a texture.

It is perhaps surprising that we can infer any quantitative information about the physical conditions in such a complex region. Yet fairly good fits to the evolution of the spectrum have been obtained with simple models in which each element group, with mass  $M_X$ , shares a fixed fraction,  $f_X$ , of the emitting volume and has uniform density  $\rho_X(t) = 3M_X/(4\pi f_X v_1^3 t^3)$




FIG. 1.—Models of temperature and ionization evolution

(where  $v_1 = 2500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and uniform temperature  $T_X(t)$ . For example, Xu et al. (1992) showed that this inner region must contain  $M_H \sim 3\text{--}7 M_\odot$  of hydrogen, filling  $f_H \sim 0.5$  of the emitting volume, in order to produce hydrogen recombination lines with the observed strengths and widths. (We choose  $f_H = 0.5$  because Li et al. 1993 showed that the Fe/Co/Ni blobs must expand to fill  $f_{Fe} \sim 0.5$  of the emitting volume.) Xu et al. also inferred the evolution of the hydrogen ionized fraction,  $x_H = n(H^+)/n(H)$ , from the emission measure. Figure 1 shows this function, which we use for our model calculations.

The hydrogen recombination rate coefficients are fairly insensitive to temperature, so it is difficult to infer the evolution of the hydrogen temperature,  $T_H(t)$ , from the strengths of the recombination lines. However, Li & McCray (1993) showed that the strong [Ca II]  $\lambda\lambda 7300$  and Ca II  $\lambda\lambda 8600$  lines must come from material with primordial abundance ratio of Ca/H, almost certainly the hydrogen-rich gas. Since the strengths and ratios of the Ca II lines are sensitive to temperature, one can infer  $T_H(t)$  from the results of Li & McCray (1993). This function is plotted as the solid curve in Figure 1. The Ca II lines are

not bright enough to provide a reliable estimate of  $T_H(t)$  for  $t \geq 600$  days, however. Therefore, we consider three alternatives for the late-time development of  $T_H(t)$ , shown on Figure 1. The first (*the extension of the solid curve*) is a simple exponential decay with mean life 400 days. The second alternative (*the dashed curve*) simply assumes that the temperature remains constant for  $t \geq 600$  days; and the third (*the dot-dashed curve*) assumes a linear decrease of temperature for  $t \geq 600$  days. We think that the latter two alternatives probably bracket the actual function.

## 2.2. Molecule Formation and Destruction

Hydrogen molecules are produced in supernova envelopes by gas-phase reactions involving  $H^-$  and  $H^+$  and possibly also by formation on dust grains. They are destroyed by thermal collisions, fast electrons resulting from gamma-ray energy deposition, and ultraviolet radiation. The reactions and rate coefficients responsible for producing and destroying  $H_2$  are listed in Table 1.

Since we know that dust grains formed in the envelope of SN 1987A after  $t \sim 400$  days (McCray 1993), we should examine whether dust grain catalysis (reaction 1 of Table 1) might compete with gas-phase reactions in producing  $H_2$ . The production rate per unit volume of  $H_2$  molecules by dust catalysis is given by  $kN_H^2$ , where the rate coefficient can be written

$$k = [N_{gr} \sigma_{gr}/N_H] \langle Sv \rangle f, \quad (1)$$

where  $N_{gr}$  is the grain density,  $\sigma_{gr}$  is the average grain geometrical cross section,  $\langle Sv \rangle$  is the thermal average of the sticking coefficient, and  $f$  is the fraction of the H atoms that form a molecule (presumably, at an impurity site) before evaporating from the grain. According to Hollenbach & McKee (1979), we have

$$\langle Sv \rangle \approx v_{th}/[1 + 0.04(T + T_{gr})^{0.5} + 0.002T + 8 \times 10^{-6}T^2] \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

TABLE 1  
REACTIONS FOR  $H_2$  FORMATION AND DESTRUCTION

Reaction	Rate Coefficient ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )	Reference
(1) $2H + \text{grain} \rightarrow H_2 + \text{grain}$ .....	.....	See § 2
(2) $H + H^+ \rightarrow H_2^+ + h\nu$ .....	$4.1 \times 10^{-17}$ .....	1
(3) $H_2^+ + H \rightarrow H_2 + H^+$ .....	$1.0 \times 10^{-10}$ .....	2
(4) $H_2^+ + H_2 \rightarrow H_3^+ + H$ .....	$2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ .....	2
(5) $H_2^+ + e \rightarrow 2H$ .....	$2.25 \times 10^{-6}T^{-0.4}$ .....	3
(6) $H_3^+ + e \rightarrow 3H$ .....	$1.7 \times 10^{-7}T^{-0.5}$ .....	2
(7) $H_3^+ + e \rightarrow H + H_2$ .....	$1.7 \times 10^{-7}T^{-0.5}$ .....	2
(8) $H + e \rightarrow H^- + h\nu$ .....	$1.0 \times 10^{-18}T \exp(-T/7000)$ .....	4
(9) $H^- + H \rightarrow H_2 + e$ .....	$1.3 \times 10^{-9}$ .....	2
(10) $H^- + H^+ \rightarrow 2H$ .....	$1.1 \times 10^{-6}T^{-0.4}$ .....	4
(11) $H^* + H \rightarrow H_2 + h\nu$ .....	$4 \times 10^{-14}$ .....	5
(12) $H^* + H \rightarrow H_2^+ + e$ .....	$1.2 \times 10^{-11}$ .....	6
(13) $H^* + H_2 \rightarrow H_3^+ + e$ .....	$1.6 \times 10^{-11}T^{0.5}$ .....	7
(14) $H_2 + H \rightarrow 3H$ .....	$7.0 \times 10^{-18}F(n, T)^*$ .....	8
(15) $H_2 + \text{fast } e \rightarrow H_2^+ + 2e$ .....	.....	See § 3
(16) $H_2 + \text{fast } e \rightarrow H + H^+ + 2e$ .....	.....	See § 3
(17) $H_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 2H$ .....	.....	See § 4

\*  $F(n, T)$  is a sensitive function of density and temperature [ $F(n, T) \sim 1$  at  $T = 3000 \text{ K}$ ] given in Fig. 1 of Dalgrano & Roberge (1979).

Chemistry rate sources: (1) Ramaker & Peek 1976; (2) Tielens & Hollenbach 1985; (3) de Jong 1972; (4) Shapiro & Kang 1987; (5) Latter & Black 1991; (6) Urbain et al. 1991; (7) Chupka et al. 1967; (8) Dalgrano & Roberge 1979.

and

$$f = [1 + N_i/N_i \exp(-D/kT_{gr})]^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $v_{th} = (3kT/m_H)^{1/2}$ ,  $N_i/N_i \approx 10^4$  is the number of collisions before an atom directly strikes an impurity, and the binding energy  $D/k \approx 600$  K.

Knowledge of the evolution of hydrogen atomic density, ionized fraction, and temperature is sufficient to determine the production rate of H<sub>2</sub> by the gas-phase reactions (2–13) of Table 1, except that the abundance of H<sup>-</sup> is suppressed by photodetachment (the inverse of reaction 8). This photodetachment is dominated by the radiation field in the band  $4000 < \lambda < 12000$  Å, which we infer from the observed spectrum in this range, assuming that it emanates from a sphere bounded by the  $v = 2500$  km s<sup>-1</sup> comoving surface. The rate of production,  $R_d$ , of photons capable of detaching electrons from H<sup>-</sup> is related to the emergent flux of such photons,  $F_d$  (cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>) by

$$R_d = 4\pi D^2 F_d / P_\lambda, \quad (4)$$

where  $D$  is the distance to the supernova,

$$P_\lambda = \frac{3}{4\tau_\lambda} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2\tau_\lambda^2} + \left( \frac{1}{\tau_\lambda} + \frac{1}{2\tau_\lambda^2} \right) e^{-2\tau_\lambda} \right] \quad (5)$$

is the mean escape probability (Osterbrock 1989), and  $\tau_\lambda$  is the optical depth to the center of the sphere due to H<sup>-</sup>. Therefore, the number of dissociations per second of H<sup>-</sup> is  $(1 - P_\lambda)(4\pi D^2 F_d / P_\lambda)$ .

Hydrogen molecules can be destroyed by collisions with thermal hydrogen atoms; the rate coefficient for reaction 14 (including radiative stabilization) is given by Dalgarno & Roberge (1979).

In the supernova envelope, gamma rays and positrons from the decays of <sup>56</sup>Co and <sup>57</sup>Co produce suprathermal electrons that can ionize hydrogen atoms and dissociate H<sub>2</sub> molecules. According to Xu et al. (1992), the ionization of hydrogen atoms is dominated by fast electron stopping for  $t \gtrsim 375$  days, and so we may infer the corresponding ionization rate,  $\zeta_H$ , from the strengths of the observed recombination lines. Then, the dissociation rate,  $\zeta_{H_2}$ , per hydrogen molecule by fast electrons can be written  $\zeta_{H_2} = (\langle \sigma_{H_2} v \rangle / \langle \sigma_H v \rangle) \zeta_H$ , where the ratio of the effective cross sections  $(\langle \sigma_{H_2} v \rangle / \langle \sigma_H v \rangle) = 2.0$  (Tielens & Hollenbach 1985).

Finally, we must consider the dissociation of H<sub>2</sub> resulting from resonance absorption of ultraviolet photons in the Lyman and Werner bands. A fraction  $\sim 0.10$  of these absorptions will result in decay to the vibrational continuum. In its ground vibrational state, H<sub>2</sub> can only absorb UV photons in the range  $912 < \lambda < 1108$  Å, but at the high temperature of the supernova envelope the presence of vibrationally excited H<sub>2</sub> molecules can extend the upper bound to  $\sim 1600$  Å. In order to calculate the rate of photodissociation by this process, we must consider in detail the origin and transfer of the UV radiation field. We shall address this problem in § 4.

### 3. MOLECULE FORMATION AND ABUNDANCES

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the H<sub>2</sub> mass fraction,  $f(H_2) = 2n(H_2)/n(H)$  for five models. The solid curve represents our nominal model A, characterized by  $M_H = 5 M_\odot$  of hydrogen in the emitting region and a temperature profile given by the solid curve in Figure 1. To assess the sensitivity of the results to atomic density we consider also two models having




FIG. 2.—Fractional mass of hydrogen molecules as a function of time for various models.

the same temperature evolution but with  $M_H = 3 M_\odot$  (model B) and  $M_H = 7 M_\odot$  (model C). To assess the sensitivity to the temperature evolution for  $t > 600$  days we consider two more models, both with  $M_H = 5 M_\odot$  but temperature after  $t = 600$  days decreasing more rapidly (model D) or remaining constant (model E), as illustrated in Figure 1.

As Figure 2 shows, in every case  $f(H_2)$  rises rapidly at  $t \sim 600$  days and by  $t \sim 900$  days reaches an asymptotic value  $f(H_2) \approx 10^{-2}$ . With increasing  $M_H$ ,  $f(H_2)$  rises somewhat earlier, but the asymptotic value of  $f(H_2)$  depends only weakly on  $M_H$ . The H<sub>2</sub> production is sensitive to the behavior of temperature at late times. If the temperature drops rapidly, less H<sub>2</sub> is formed, as illustrated by curve E.

We can understand these results by looking more closely at the processes responsible for forming and destroying H<sub>2</sub>. To do this, we write the equation for evolution of the H<sub>2</sub> abundance in the form

$$\frac{dn(H_2)}{dt} = F - Dn(H_2), \quad (6)$$

where  $F$  represents all the processes responsible for forming H<sub>2</sub> (resulting from the reactions listed in Table 1), and the net destruction rate,  $Dn(H_2)$ , represents all the processes responsible for destroying H<sub>2</sub> (reactions 14–17 of Table 1).

Then, we can define expressions representing the age of the supernova divided by the characteristic timescales for formation and destruction as follows:

$$R_F = t \frac{F}{n(H_2)} \quad (7)$$

and

$$R_D = tD. \quad (8)$$

These quantities, as well as the net formation rate,  $R_F - R_D$ , are plotted in Figure 3 for model A. For  $t \lesssim 600$  days, both  $R_F$  and  $R_D$  are  $\gg 1$ , i.e., the population of H<sub>2</sub> is formed and destroyed many times during the age of the supernova. Therefore, the abundance of H<sub>2</sub> reaches a stationary equilibrium,  $f(H_2) = F/[n(H)D]$ . But for  $t \gtrsim 600$  days the destruction rate decreases more rapidly than the formation rate and the H<sub>2</sub> abundance builds up rapidly. This process continues until  $t \sim 1000$  days; thereafter the formation timescale is longer than the age of the supernova ( $R_F < 1$  in Fig. 3) and the abundance “freezes out.”




FIG. 3.—Formation rate,  $R_f$  (solid curve), destruction rate,  $R_d$  (dotted curve), and net formation rate,  $R_f - R_d$  (dashed curve) of hydrogen molecules.

Figure 4 shows, for model A, the contributions of various processes for formation and destruction of  $H_2$  as fractions of the respective total rates. We see that the reactions (2, 3, and 12) dominate the formation for  $t \lesssim 500$  days, but that the  $H^-$  reactions (8, 9) dominate for  $t \gtrsim 500$  days, when most of the  $H_2$  is formed. Formation on dust grains (reaction 1, the dominant mechanism in diffuse interstellar clouds) or by the  $H^*$  process (reaction 11) never makes a significant contribution. Figure 4 also shows that the UV photodissociation dominates the destruction of  $H_2$  except for  $t \gtrsim 1200$  days, by which time the destruction timescale is much greater than the age of the supernova. In fact, the asymptotic abundance of  $H_2$  is insensitive to the UV field, because the UV photodissociation rate is negligible compared to the formation rate when the  $H_2$  abundance freezes out.

Figure 5 shows, for model A, the mass fractions of the important trace ions,  $H^-$ ,  $H_2^+$ , and  $H_3^+$  as functions of time. The abundance of  $H^-$  is controlled largely by a balance between the radiative attachment reaction (8) and, for  $t \lesssim 600$  days by its inverse, where the photodetachment is dominated by the strong  $H\alpha$ , O I  $\lambda\lambda 6300$ , [Ca II]  $\lambda\lambda 7300$ , and Ca II  $\lambda\lambda 8600$  lines seen in the observed radiation field. For  $t \gtrsim 600$  days, the  $H^-$  is destroyed primarily by forming  $H_2$  (reaction 9). We see that  $f(H^-) = n(H^-)/n(H) \approx 10^{-10}$  until  $t \approx 1000$  days, after which it decreases. The  $H_3^+$  is formed by reaction (4) and




FIG. 4.—Processes that contribute significantly to formation or destruction of hydrogen molecules. Curves indicate rate of individual process as fractions of the total formation or destruction rate.




FIG. 5.—Abundances of  $H^-$ ,  $H_2^+$ ,  $H_3^+$ , and  $H_2$  as fractions of the total hydrogen mass.

destroyed by reactions (6) and (7); therefore its abundance rises rapidly for  $t \gtrsim 600$  days along with the abundance of  $H_2$ . The reaction rates (6) and (7) are relatively uncertain and the value chosen for these rates can dramatically change the final abundance of  $H_3^+$ . We will return to this point in § 5.2.

#### 4. ULTRAVIOLET FLUORESCENCE

##### 4.1. The Model

A few weeks after the explosion, the supernova envelope can be regarded as a freely expanding sphere of gas (McCray 1993). Accordingly, the relative velocity,  $\Delta v$ , of any two atoms or molecules in the envelope is related to their separation,  $\Delta r$ , by a Hubble law,  $\Delta v = H_0 \Delta r$ , where the Hubble constant is related to the age of the supernova by  $H_0 = t^{-1}$ . Likewise, the wavelength of radiation emitted by an atom at one point and absorbed by an atom at another point is redshifted according to the Hubble law.

As a result of illumination by gamma rays, metastable  $2^1S$  state of hydrogen and helium in the supernova envelope are populated by nonthermal electron impact excitation and recombination. The metastable hydrogen population is elevated because the Ly $\alpha$  transition is suppressed due to the very high optical depth of the line (Xu et al. 1992). Consequently, the metastable helium and the metastable hydrogen both decay by two-photon emission, and these decays are the main sources of UV continuum photons within the supernova envelope.

Observations of the spectra of supernovae, and SN 1987A in particular, show that, unlike the optical and infrared radiation, most of this UV radiation does not escape the supernova envelope. For  $\lambda < 912 \text{ \AA}$ , the UV radiation is strongly absorbed by photoionization of H I. For  $912 < \lambda \lesssim 3000 \text{ \AA}$  it is absorbed by resonance transitions of trace elements such as Fe I and Fe II (Mazzali & Lucy 1991; Xu & McCray 1991). As we shall show, the Lyman and Werner transitions of  $H_2$  probably dominate the UV opacity in the range  $912 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1400 \text{ \AA}$ , where the upper limit is sensitive to temperature. As we describe below, these transitions convert the H I and He I two-photon continuum photons emitted in this range into photons of longer wavelength by fluorescence and also dissociate the molecules.

##### 4.2. Method of Calculation

First, we must calculate the source function for two-photon continuum photons in the emitting region. For hydrogen, we

use the results of Xu et al. (1992), who calculate the population of metastable hydrogen atoms, H\*, in the inner envelope. To calculate the two-photon emissivity we assume that  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the hydrogen atoms in the  $n = 2$  state are in the  $2^2S$  state. The H\* atoms decay with a spectrum (Nussbaumer & Schmutz 1984) that peaks at 1420 Å. Li & McCray (1995a) have calculated models for the production of two-photon continuum from metastable helium in the envelope of SN 1987A. Its spectrum (Drake, Victor, & Dalgarno 1969) peaks at  $\sim 800$  Å. Note that the He I two-photon continuum is produced only in the part of the envelope composed of nearly pure helium. The primordial helium that is mixed with the hydrogen will not produce a two-photon continuum because the He\* is depopulated rapidly by Penning ionization of H I. Because the He I two-photon continuum comes from regions geometrically separated from the H I regions, there is some uncertainty as to whether the He I two-photon continuum will illuminate the H<sub>2</sub>—it may be absorbed by metals before it propagates into the hydrogen. We do not attempt to model this effect, however.

Given the two-photon continuum source function, we must model its transfer through the envelope. We are interested in photons in the spectral range  $912 < \lambda \leq 1800$  Å where resonance transitions of H<sub>2</sub> molecules dominate the opacity and fluorescence emissivity. To calculate the transfer of such photons, we use a Monte Carlo code. This simulation proceeds as follows. First, we introduce a continuum photon in this band chosen at random with weight proportional to the two-photon continuum spectrum of the metastable hydrogen or helium. Its position within the  $v = 2500$  km s<sup>-1</sup> sphere and initial propagation direction are also random.

As the photon propagates, it redshifts with respect to the comoving matter according to the Hubble law. Thus, we can define a sequence of concentric spherical “resonance shells” around the point of origin of the photon with radial velocities given by the respective redshifts from the source photon wavelength to the resonance lines,  $\lambda_{i,j}$ , in the Lyman or Werner bands to the red of the source photon wavelength,  $\lambda_0$ .

Next, we must decide which, if any, resonance shell will capture the source photon. The transmission probability for a photon to penetrate any given resonance shell is given by

$$T_{i,j}(\tau) = \exp(-\tau_{i,j}), \quad (9)$$

where the optical depth,  $\tau_{i,j}$ , of the line is given by the Sobolev approximation (Castor 1970; McCray 1993),

$$\tau_s = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{ij} \lambda_0 t n_i \left[ 1 - \frac{g_i n_j}{g_j n_i} \right] = \frac{\lambda_0^3 t g_u A_{ji} n_i}{8\pi g_i} \left[ 1 - \frac{g_i n_j}{g_j n_i} \right], \quad (10)$$

where  $n_j$ ,  $g_j$ ,  $n_i$ ,  $g_i$  are number densities and statistical weights of atoms in the upper and lower states, respectively, on the resonant surface. According to equation (10),  $\tau_{i,j}$  depends only on  $n_i$  and the oscillator strength of the transition  $i, j$  (we used the molecular data found in Abgrall & Roueff 1989 and Abgrall et al. 1992). (One can assume safely that these levels are populated with a Boltzmann distribution.) We choose a value  $\tau_1 = -\ln(T_1)$ , where  $T_1$ , the transmission probability, is a random number,  $0 < T_1 < 1$ . The wavelength,  $\lambda_1$ , of the first resonance scattering is then given by the condition

$$\sum_{\lambda_{i,j}=\lambda_0}^{\lambda_1} \tau(\lambda_{i,j}) > \tau_1, \quad (11)$$

i.e., the first resonance scattering occurs at the wavelength  $\lambda_{i,j}$  for which the accumulated Sobolev optical depth of all intervening resonance shells just exceeds  $\tau_1$ .

If, for the chosen direction of propagation, the resonance shell where the scattering occurs lies beyond the  $v = 2500$  km s<sup>-1</sup> surface, the photon is deemed to have escaped and is stored in the emergent spectrum file. Otherwise, a new photon is generated at the location of the resonance scattering, with direction and wavelength assigned at random according to the branching ratios of the radiative decays. Typically,  $\sim 10\%$  of these decays result in dissociations to the vibrational continuum. To account for these transitions, we assign the resulting continuum photon an energy less than that of the lowest energy bound-bound transition by one lower vibrational quantum. This approximation is sufficient for our purposes because the continua are peaked near threshold. Then, we define a new set of resonance shells concentric on the location where the new photon is generated and repeat the process until no further scatterings are possible.

### 4.3. Results

Figure 6 shows the emergent spectrum that results from UV fluorescence by H<sub>2</sub> molecules for model A at  $t = 500$  days. The fuzzy curve represents the input UV continuum, as generated by the Monte Carlo code. Both the H I and He I two-photon continua are evident. The solid curve is the emergent spectrum resulting from blocking by the Lyman and Werner bands of H<sub>2</sub>. Because many transitions from the  $v = 0, 1, 2$  levels of the ground electronic ( $^1\Sigma_g^+$ ) state of H<sub>2</sub> have high Sobolev optical depths, H<sub>2</sub> resonance scattering blocks almost all continuum photons with  $\lambda \lesssim 1470$  Å. The blocked UV photons are ultimately converted (sometimes after several resonance scatterings) to H<sub>2</sub> emission bands and continua in the range  $1470 \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1720$  Å.

Figure 7 shows the input and emergent spectra for model A at  $t = 1200$  days. The H I two-photon continuum has vanished because the Ly $\alpha$  optical depth has decreased enough to favor that channel for decay of H\*, and the input continuum spectrum is dominated by the He\* two-photon continuum. The envelope has now become mostly transparent for  $\lambda \gtrsim 1200$  Å, primarily because the temperature has dropped and so have the populations of the  $v > 1$  levels of the H<sub>2</sub>, and secondarily because the envelope has become thinner [for fixed fractional abundances,  $\tau(\lambda_{i,j}) \propto t^{-2}$ ].




FIG. 6.—Fluorescence spectrum of H<sub>2</sub> at 500 days. Dotted (lighter) line gives spectrum produced by He and H two-photon emission in the region of interest assuming no absorption. Solid line shows the same spectrum emitted from a uniform sphere of He and H and shining through a coincident uniform sphere of H<sub>2</sub>. Spectrum is computed using the values of emission, temperature, and H<sub>2</sub> density at 500 days of the 5 M<sub>⊙</sub> model.




FIG. 7.—Fluorescence spectrum of  $H_2$  at 1200 days. Same as Fig. 6 except conditions are those of the  $5 M_{\odot}$  model at 1200 days.

The fluorescence spectrum is created primarily through transitions involving the lower vibrational states of the Lyman and Werner bands. For example, the peak at  $1600 \text{ \AA}$  is created primarily through transitions from upper levels with  $v' = 3-6$  to lower levels with  $v = 10-13$ . The other peaks all have strong transitions from  $v' = 0$  to  $v$  ranging from 2 ( $1250 \text{ \AA}$  peak) to 7 ( $1550 \text{ \AA}$  peak). Some  $H_2$  emission features do not appear in the spectrum because the photons are absorbed by transitions at slightly longer wavelengths. Note that a transition with  $\tau \gg 1$  does not necessarily absorb more photons than a transition with  $\tau \sim 1$ . If there are many optically thick transitions within a few  $\text{\AA}$  of each other, then the absorption of the continuum (and fluorescence photons) emitted in that region will be shared by all of those transitions. On the other hand, an optically thin line that is not close to any other optically thick absorption lines will absorb  $[1 - \exp(-\tau)]$  of all photons emitted in that region.

At 1200 days there are 0.35 fluorescence photons, 0.088 dissociations, and 0.51 absorptions for each He continuum photon in the range  $912-1850 \text{ \AA}$ . At 500 days the interaction with the radiation field is much stronger, with 2.2 absorptions, 0.2 dissociations, and 0.69 fluorescence photons in the spectrum for each He continuum photon emitted (in the same wavelength range). Note that because a vibrational continuum photon is emitted when the molecule is dissociated, one He continuum photon can dissociate more than one molecule. Moreover, an individual photon can be absorbed many times on its way through the envelope. However, when a photon is reemitted by the same transition by which it is absorbed, we have not counted this as a separate absorption (this is one reason, perhaps the main reason, that the dissociation rate per absorption changes from 0.11 at 500 days to 0.17 at 1200 days).

## 5. DISCUSSION

### 5.1. $H^-$ Opacity and the Deficit of $H\alpha$

Xu et al. (1992) find the  $H\alpha$  emission to be about half that which would be implied by the strength of the infrared recombination lines. As one possible explanation, they suggest that the  $H\alpha$  may be absorbed by  $H^-$ . According to our model,  $H^-$  is a significant absorber of  $H\alpha$ . For  $t \lesssim 300$  days after explosion, the predicted  $H^-$  can absorb up to 30% of the  $H\alpha$  emission (Fig. 8). This absorption is not enough to explain the discrepancy between the observed and expected  $H\alpha$  emission, however.




FIG. 8.—Significance of  $H^-$  opacity to the optical spectrum at early times. Curves give the fraction of  $H\alpha$  absorbed by  $H^-$ .

Alternatively, Xu et al. (1992) suggest that the deficit of  $H\alpha$  emission may result from the absorption of  $Ly\beta$  by a nearby resonant absorption line. Could the hydrogen molecules produce such a line? In fact, there are  $\sim 100$  such lines within  $1 \text{ \AA}$  of  $Ly\beta$ . These lines have a net Sobolev optical depth of about 25 at 200 days, which increases to  $\sim 190$  at 500 days. However, at  $t = 1 \text{ yr}$ , the  $Ly\beta$  optical depth,  $\tau(Ly\beta) \sim 10^{10}$  and  $\tau(H\alpha) \sim 10^4$  (Xu et al. 1992). As a result, each  $Ly\beta$  photon is absorbed by hydrogen atoms rather than by hydrogen molecules (after  $10^4$   $H\alpha$  scatterings only  $\sim 10^{-5}$  of the  $Ly\beta$  photons will be lost to hydrogen molecules). The rest will be trapped until they diffuse to the wings of  $H\alpha$  and escape. So, even after correcting for the absorption due to  $H^-$  and  $H_2$ , the  $H\alpha$  deficit remains an unsolved puzzle.

### 5.2. $H_2$ and $H_3^+$ Infrared Emission

Meikle et al. (1993) see a very weak feature at  $2.12 \mu\text{m}$  which they tentatively identify as the  $\nu(1 \rightarrow 0)S(1)$  transition of  $H_2$ . Although it is not clear that this identification is correct, the observations should provide an upper limit for the  $2.12 \mu\text{m}$  flux produced by our models. Figure 9 shows the observed upper limits and the fluxes produced by our models. The models indicate that if the hydrogen ejecta mass  $M_H \gtrsim 5 M_{\odot}$ , our assumed temperature is too high to be consistent with the




FIG. 9.— $H_2 \nu(1 \rightarrow 0)S(1)$  emission from SN 1987A. Crosses give upper limit of  $2.12 \mu\text{m}$  emission from SN 1987A. Curves give emission predicted by various models.

upper limit. We can also see that H<sub>2</sub> emission could in fact be producing much of the observed flux at 2.12 μm for  $t \geq 600$  days. Unfortunately, none of the other infrared transitions is bright enough to be seen over the continuum, so we cannot confirm whether the observed feature actually comes from H<sub>2</sub>.

Miller et al. (1992) have suggested that weak emission features observed at about 3.41 and 3.53 μm in the spectrum of SN 1987A at  $t = 192$  days are produced by H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>. They find that  $\sim 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$  of H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> is required to produce the observed line strengths. Yan, Dalgarno, & Lepp (1994) report that their model calculations yield a fractional abundance (at  $t = 192$  days)  $n(\text{H}_3^+) \sim 10^{-8}n(\text{H})$ , dramatically higher than our result  $n(\text{H}_3^+) \sim 10^{-13}n(\text{H})$ . Most of the difference can be traced to the fact that our calculated H<sub>2</sub> abundance at that time is orders of magnitude lower than that calculated by Yan et al. This is true because (1) Yan et al. did not include the rapid photodissociation of H<sub>2</sub> by UV continuum; and (2) our assumed gas temperature at that time (Fig. 1) is much greater than the value  $T = 1000$  K assumed by Yan et al., and so the thermal dissociation rate of H<sub>2</sub> (Table 1, reaction 14) is much greater in our model. In our calculations, the H<sub>3</sub> abundance reaches a maximum value  $n(\text{H}_3^+) \sim 10^{-10}n(\text{H})$  at  $t \approx 700$  days, far too low to cause a detectable infrared emission feature.

We conclude that if the 3.41 and 3.53 μm features in the spectrum of SN 1987A are produced by H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, two assumptions of our model must be invalid: (1) the UV continuum from two-photon decay of H I and He I illuminates the H<sub>2</sub>; and (2) the temperature of the hydrogen in the envelope of SN 1987A can be inferred from the brightness of the infrared lines of Ca II (Li & McCray 1993). If assumption (1) is invalid, there must be some other very effective source of UV opacity that protects the H<sub>2</sub>. If assumption (2) is invalid, we have no model to account for the observed brightness of the Ca II lines.

### 5.3. H<sub>2</sub> Ultraviolet Fluorescence

In § 4 we showed that resonance fluorescence by H<sub>2</sub> will substantially alter the emergent ultraviolet spectrum. Emission features characteristic of H<sub>2</sub> fluorescence (Figs. 6, 7) have not been observed in the UV spectrum of SN 1987A, however.

We suspect that the absence of such features can be attributed to the blocking of this radiation by many lines of Fe and other metals in the supernova envelope (Mazzali & Lucy 1991; Xu & McCray 1991). It is possible, however, that at some future time the UV line blocking may diminish enough to provide a spectral window through which we could see the H<sub>2</sub> fluorescence.

As we mentioned in § 1, we need to model the formation and transfer of the UV radiation field in supernova envelopes in order to understand fully the optical and infrared emission-line spectrum. Here, we have shown that H<sub>2</sub> line blocking and fluorescence play an important role in the transfer of UV radiation, but our task is incomplete. Resonance lines of ions of Fe and other metals will also trap UV radiation and convert it to longer wavelengths (Li & McCray 1995b). Since the H<sub>2</sub> molecules are far more abundant than the metals, they should dominate the opacity at those wavelengths where the H<sub>2</sub> bands are opaque (see Figs. 6 and 7). But the metals might prevent the escape of the H<sub>2</sub> fluorescence bands. To see what fraction of the H<sub>2</sub> fluorescence escapes, we need a detailed calculation of ultraviolet trapping and fluorescence, including both metals and molecules. That will be our next step.

This work was supported by the NASA Astrophysical Theory Program through grant NAGW-766 and by NASA grant NAGW-2900. We thank E. Roueff for providing us with data on the UV radiative transitions of H<sub>2</sub>.

### REFERENCES

- Abgrall, H., Le Bourlot, J., Pineau des Forets, G., Roueff, E., Flower, D. R., & Heck, L. 1992, *A&A*, 253, 525  
 Abgrall, H., & Roueff, E. 1989, *A&AS*, 79, 313  
 Castor, J. 1970, *MNRAS*, 149, 111  
 Chupka, W. A., Russel, M. E., & Refaev, K. 1967, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 48, 1518  
 Dalgarno, A. 1993, in *Dissociative Recombination: Theory Experiment and Applications* eds. B. R. Rowe, J. B. A. Mitchell, & A. Canosa (New York: Plenum), 243  
 Dalgarno, A., & Roberge, W. B. 1979, *ApJ*, 332, L25  
 de Jong, T. 1972, *A&A*, 20, 263  
 Drake, G. W. F., Victor, G. A., & Dalgarno, A. 1969, *Phys. Rev.*, 180, 25  
 Hollenbach, D., & McKee, C. F. 1979, *ApJS*, 41, 555  
 Latter, W. B., & Black, J. H. 1991, *ApJ*, 372, 161  
 Li, H., & McCray, R. 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 730  
 ———. 1995a, *ApJ*, 441, 821  
 ———. 1995b, *ApJ*, 455  
 Li, H., McCray, R., & Sunyaev, R. 1993, *ApJ*, 419, 824  
 Mazzali, P. A., & Lucy, L. B. 1991 in *Evolution in Astrophysics, IUE Toulouse Symposium*, ed. E. Rolé (ESA SP-310), 483  
 McCray, R. 1993, *ARA&A*, 31, 175  
 Meikle, W. P. S., Spyromitro, J., Allen, D. A., Varani, G.-F., & Cumming, R. J. 1993, *MNRAS*, 261, 535  
 Miller, S., Tennyson, J., Lepp, S., & Dalgarno, A. 1992, *Nature*, 355, 420  
 Nussbaumer, H., & Schmutz, W. 1984, *A&A*, 138, 495  
 Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, *Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei* (Mill Valley, Ca: University Science Books), 386  
 Ramaker, D., & Peek, J. 1976, *Phys. Rev. A*, 13, 58  
 Shapiro, P., & Kang, H. 1987, *ApJ*, 318, 32  
 Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Hollenbach, D. 1985, *ApJ*, 291, 722  
 Urbain, X., Cornet, A., & Brouillard, F. 1991, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 66, 1685  
 Xu, Y., & McCray, R. 1991, in *Supernovae*, ed. S. E. Woosley (New York: Springer), 444  
 Xu, Y., McCray, R., Oliva, E., & Randich, S. 1992, *ApJ*, 386, 181  
 Yan, M., Dalgarno, A., & Lepp, S. 1994, *BAAS*, 26, 953

