\$

061128

NASA Technical Memorandum 113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03

Modeling of Turbulent Swirling Flows

Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition Cleveland, Ohio

Kuo-Huey Chen University of Toledo Toldeo, Ohio

Nan-Suey Liu Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

John L. Lumley Cornell University Ithaca, New York

August 1997



Lewis Research Conter Distribute Distrib

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

• •

Modeling of turbulent swirling flows

Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu and William Liou

Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, ICOMP, NASA Lewis Research Center, 22800 Cedar Point Rd., Brook Park, OH 44142

Kuo-Huey Chen

The University of Toledo, Ohio

Nan-Suey Liu

NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH 44135

John L. Lumley

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Abstract

Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow.

This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynolds stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy kand the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship (Shih and Lumley, 1993). Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation.

•

Contents

1	1 Introduction		
2	Cubic Reynolds stress model		
3	Modeling of turbulent swirling flows	5	
	3.1 Rotating pipe flow	5	
	3.2 Complex swirling flow with recirculation	7	
4	Conclusion and discussion	8	
References			
A	Appendix: Development of a Cubic Turbulent Model	14	
В	B Appendix: Equations in a General Coordinate System		
	B.1 Equations in tensorial form	22	
	B.2 Equations in a general coodinate system	23	
	B.3 Another form of the cubic model	26	
С	Appendix: Equations in Cylindrical Coordinates	28	
	C.1 Mean equations	28	
	C.2 Nonlinear part of turbulent stresses $ au_{ij}$	31	
	C.3 Another form of $ au_{ij}$	42	
D	Appendix: Equations for Axisymmetric Flows	46	

1 Introduction

For better fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization in a combustor, a swirl is generally associated with the flows. Therefore, accurate modeling of turbulent swirling flows is important in engine combustor design. Common turbulence models used in engineering calculations are eddy viscosity models which include zero-equation and two-equation models (e.g., mixing length models and k- ε models). However, it has long been recognized that this type of eddy viscosity model is not appropriate for predicting swirling flows. In fact, the deficiency of eddy viscosity models for swirling flows can be analytically demonstrated by modeling a fully developed rotating pipe flow (Fu, 1995). Measured swirl velocity in the pipe varies approximately as the square of the normalized radius (r^2) , however, eddy viscosity models produce an exact linear profile of the swirl velocity, which describes a solid body rotation.

To avoid this kind of deficiency of eddy viscosity models, a second order closure scheme has been suggested for modeling of swirling flows because of its ability to simulate the effects of mean rotation and curvature. However, this requires solving many complicated second moment transport equations, which involve six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances. Because of this complexity and because of the large computer resources required, second moment transport equation models have not been successfully implemented in combustor swirling flows.

Recent developments in nonlinear Reynolds stress-strain models bring a practical method for combustion flow calculations because of their potential in simulating turbulent swirling flows with only two modeled turbulence transport equations (Craft et al, 1993). Further development and evaluation of these models are of great interest to both CFD development and modern aircraft engine combustor design.

The model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship which is an explicit expression for the Reynolds stresses in terms of a tensorial polynomial of mean velocity gradients. It is derived from a generalized Cayley-Hamilton relation. This general formulation contains terms up to the sixth power of the mean velocity gradient with eleven undetermined coefficients. Obviously, for any practical application, we need to truncate this polynomial. Shih, Zhu and Lumley (1995) suggested a quadratic formulation and determined the three relevant coefficients by using the realizability constraints of Reynolds stresses and a result from rapid distortion theory analysis. This quadratic model works quite successfully for many complex flows including flows with separation. However, our recent calculations of swirling flows show that the swirl velocity is not appropriately predicted, which verifies the finding from Launder's group at UMIST. Launder (1995) pointed out that "the weaknesses of the linear eddy viscosity model can not be rectified by introducing just quadratic terms to the stress-strain relation."

In this report, we retain the cubic terms from a general Reynolds stress-stain formulation and determine the coefficients by using a similar method used in Shih et al's quadratic model and the measured data from rotating pipe flows. Modeled k- ε equations are used together with the cubic Reynolds stress-strain model for mean flow calculations. The first test flow is that of fully developed pipe flow rotating about its own axial axis with various rotation rates (Imao, Itoh and Harada, 1996). The second test flow is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation (Roback and Johnson, 1983). These two flows both have detailed experimental data on mean velocity components. The comparisons between the experimental data and computational results from models will be reported in detail.

In this report, there are four appendices. In Appendix A, the derivation of the proposed cubic model is described. Appendix B gives the equations in a general coordinate system, which

will be useful for studying flows in various curvilinear coordinate systems. For example, axisymmetric flows will be most conveniently studied in a cylindrical coordinate system. Therefore, in Appendix C and Appendix D, we write the equations for a general flow and an axisymmetric flow respectively in a cylindrical coordinate system.

2 Cubic Reynolds stress model

A cubic Reynolds stress model, used in this study for modeling of turbulent swirling flows, is developed in Appendix A. The resultant cubic model can be expressed in terms of mean velocity gradients, $U_{i,j}$, or in terms of mean strain and rotation rates, S_{ij} and Ω_{ij} . Here, we list both forms for convenience of their applications.

In terms of mean velocity gradients, the cubic model for Reynolds stresses is

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \, \delta_{ij} + \mu_{T} \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} - \frac{2}{3} U_{k,k} \, \delta_{ij} \right) \\ + A_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{2 \varepsilon^{2}} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,j} - U_{i,k} U_{j,k} \right) \\ + A_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[U_{k,i} U_{k,p} U_{p,j} + U_{k,j} U_{k,p} U_{p,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \, \delta_{ij} \\ - \frac{1}{2} I_{S} \left(U_{i,k} U_{k,j} + U_{j,k} U_{k,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{1} \delta_{ij} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} I_{S} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,j} + U_{i,k} U_{j,k} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{2} \delta_{ij} \right) \right]$$
(1)

where ",j" means a tensorial derivative with respect to j. I_s is the first principal invariant of S_{ij} , i.e., S_{kk} . The invariants Π_1 , Π_2 and Π_3 (which appear in Eq.(A.1)) are defined as follows

$$\Pi_1 = U_{i,j} U_{j,i} , \quad \Pi_2 = U_{i,j} U_{i,j} , \quad \Pi_3 = U_{i,k} U_{i,p} U_{p,k}$$
⁽²⁾

The three coefficients μ_T , A_3 and A_5 are

$$\mu_T = \rho C_{\mu} f_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} , \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_T = \rho C_{\mu} f_{\mu} \frac{k(k + \sqrt{\nu\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon}$$
(3)

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{1}{4.0 + A_S \frac{kU^*}{\epsilon}}, \quad f_{\mu} = Eq.(22), \quad \text{or} \quad Eq.(26)$$
(4)

$$A_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{9}{2}C_{\mu}^{2} \left(\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}}{0.5 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\Omega^{*} S^{*}}$$
(5)

$$A_{5} = \frac{1.6 \ \mu_{T}}{\frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \frac{7(S^{*})^{2} + (\Omega^{*})^{2}}{4}}$$
(6)

in which

$$A_{S} = \sqrt{6}\cos\phi, \quad \phi = \frac{1}{3}\arccos(\sqrt{6}W^{*}), \quad W^{*} = \frac{S_{ij}^{*}S_{jk}^{*}S_{ki}^{*}}{(S^{*})^{3}}$$
(7)

$$U^* = \sqrt{(S^*)^2 + (\Omega^*)^2} , \quad S^* = \sqrt{S^*_{ij} S^*_{ij}} , \quad \Omega^* = \sqrt{\Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij}}$$
(8)

The model coefficient C_{μ} is also constrained by the following conditions:

$$C_{\mu} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mu} \leq \left(A_{S}\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} + \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}II_{S}A_{5}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

where II_S is defined in Eq. (12).

In terms of mean strain and rotation rates, Eq. (1) can be written as

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} + \mu_T 2S_{ij}^* + A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{ik} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj} \right) - 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left(\Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^2 - S_{ik}^2 \Omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ik} S_{km} \Omega_{mj} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kl} S_{lm} \Omega_{mk} \delta_{ij} + II_S S_{ij}^* \right)$$
(10)

where

$$S_{ij}^* = S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} S_{kk} \delta_{ij} , \ S_{ij}^2 = S_{ik} S_{kj} , \ S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} \right) , \ \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(U_{i,j} - U_{j,i} \right)$$
(11)

 II_S is the second principal invariant of S_{ij} defined as

$$II_{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{kk} S_{mm} - S_{kk}^{2} \right)$$
(12)

Note that in the above equations, S_{kk} means $S_{11} + S_{22} + S_{33}$ and S_{kk}^2 means $S_{1p}S_{p1} + S_{2p}S_{p2} + S_{3p}S_{p3}$ in which each term contains a summation operator on the subscript "p".

It should also be mentioned that the eddy viscosity μ_T in Eq. (3) will become the standard form of $\mu_T = \rho C_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}$ for high turbulent Reynolds number flows $(\frac{k^2}{\nu\varepsilon} >> 1)$.

3 Modeling of turbulent swirling flows

The model proposed in the previous section will be used for modeling of swirling flows in this study. The first flow is a fully developed rotating pipe flow (Imao, Itoh and Harada, 1996). This flow was used for model development; however, a pipe flow with various axial rotating rates is still a critical test case for the model. The second flow is a more complex swirling flow with recirculation and separation (Roback and Johnson, 1983), which is often encountered in an aircraft engine combustor.

3.1 Rotating pipe flow

A fully developed rotating pipe flow provides a very clean test case for checking the turbulence model's ability to model swirling flows. As mentioned previously, commonly used eddy viscosity models fail to predict this flow. In fact, one can show that any eddy viscosity model will produce a solution of solid body rotation for a rotating pipe flow, while experimental data shows that the flow is not a solid body rotation. Experiments further demonstrate that the characteristics of a pipe flow changes significantly with the axial rotation rate. For example, for a fixed mass flux, the axial rotation will strongly reduce the pressure drop. In other words, for a fixed pressure drop, the axial rotation will increase the total mass flux. However, standard eddy viscosity models show no such changes at all.

In a fully developed turbulent pipe flow, all the axial gradients, $\partial/\partial x$, and the azimuthal derivatives, $\partial/\partial \theta$, are zero, and so is the radial velocity V = 0. The non-zero velocity components are the axial velocity U and the tangential (or swirl) velocity $W = r\Omega$, where Ω is the angular velocity. Equations for this flow are

$$\frac{\partial r \rho U}{\partial t} = -r \frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) r \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{\partial r \tau_{xr}}{\partial r}$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial r^2 \rho W}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) r \frac{\partial r W}{\partial r} \right] - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) r W \right] + \frac{\partial r \tau_{\theta r}}{\partial r}$$
(14)

$$\frac{\partial r\rho k}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) r \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \right] + r P_k - r \rho \varepsilon$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial r\rho\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) r \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r} \right] + C_{\varepsilon 1} f_1 \ r P_k \frac{\varepsilon}{k} - C_{\varepsilon 2} f_2 \ \frac{r\rho\varepsilon^2}{k} + \frac{\mu\mu_T}{\rho} r \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right)^2 \tag{16}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial r\rho\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \right) r \frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial r} \right] + C_1 f_1 \ r\rho S \ \varepsilon - C_2 f_2 \ \frac{r\rho\varepsilon^2}{k + \sqrt{\nu\varepsilon}} + \frac{\mu\mu_T}{\rho} r \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right)^2 \tag{17}$$

where $S = \sqrt{2S_{ij}S_{ij}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r}\right)^2}$. The nonlinear parts of turbulent stresses, τ_{xr} and $\tau_{\theta r}$, from the proposed cubic model, Eq. (1) or Eq. (10), are

$$\tau_{xr} = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\tau_{\theta r} = -A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[W \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^2 + W \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right) \right]$$
(19)

The production rate of turbulent kinetic energy P_k is

$$P_{k} = \mu_{T} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right)^{2} \right] - A_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \frac{W}{r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right) \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right) \right]$$
(20)

where

$$\mu_T = \rho C_\mu \ f_\mu \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \tag{21}$$

$$f_{\mu} = \left[1 - exp(-a_1R_k - a_3R_k^3 - a_5R_k^5)\right]^{1/2}$$
(22)

$$f_1 = 1, \qquad f_2 = 1 - 0.22 exp\left(-Rt^2/36\right)$$
 (23)

and $a_1 = 1.7 * 10^{-3}$, $a_3 = 1 * 10^{-9}$, $a_1 = 5 * 10^{-10}$, $R_k = \rho \sqrt{ky/\mu}$. Other model constants used in this report are standard: $\sigma_k = 1$, $\sigma_e = 1.3$, $C_{e1} = 1.44$ and $C_{e2} = 1.92$. Depending on particular modeled k- ε equations, the model coefficients and damping function f_{μ} may have different formulations proposed by various researchers. For example, if Eq. (17) (Shih et al, 1995) is used together with

$$\mu_T = \rho C_{\mu} f_{\mu} \frac{k(k + \sqrt{\nu\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon}$$
(24)

then

$$C_1 = max\left\{0.43, \ \frac{\eta}{5+\eta}\right\}, \quad C_2 = 1.9, \quad \eta = \frac{S \ k}{\varepsilon}$$
(25)

and f_{μ}, f_1 are

$$f_{\mu} = 1 - exp\left\{-\left(a_1R + a_2R^2 + a_3R^3 + a_4R^4 + a_5R^5\right)\right\}$$
(26)

$$f_1 = 1 - exp\left\{-\left(a_1'R + a_2'R^2 + a_3'R^3 + a_4'R^4 + a_5'R^5\right)\right\}$$
(27)

$$f_2 = Eq.(23) \tag{28}$$

$$R = \frac{k^{1/2} \left(k + \sqrt{\nu\varepsilon}\right)^{3/2}}{\nu\varepsilon} \tag{29}$$

and

$$a_1 = 3.3 * 10^{-3}, \quad a_2 = -6 * 10^{-5}, \quad a_3 = 6.6 * 10^{-7}, \\ a_4 = -3.6 * 10^{-9}, \quad a_5 = 8.4 * 10^{-12}$$
(30)

$$a'_{1} = 2.53 * 10^{-3}, \quad a'_{2} = -5.7 * 10^{-5}, \quad a'_{3} = 6.55 * 10^{-7}, \\ a'_{4} = -3.6 * 10^{-9}, \quad a'_{5} = 8.3 * 10^{-12}$$
(31)

From Eq. (14), it is easy to show that any eddy viscosity model will produce a solution of solid body rotation, i.e., $W/W_{wall} = r/R$, where W_{wall} is the swirl velocity of the wall and R is the radius of the pipe. It can also be shown that any quadratic Reynolds stress models will have no contributions to the component $\tau_{\theta\tau}$ for a fully develed rotating pipe flow. Therefore, they will also produce a solution of solid body rotation, just like an eddy viscosity model does. Equations (13)-(17) can be easily and accurately solved by a parabolic code. Figures 1 - 3 show the results of the present cubic model with Eqs. (15) and (17) compared with the measurements by Imao, et al (1996). The results from the standard $k - \varepsilon$ eddy viscosity model are also included for comparison. In the figures, the rotation parameter N is defined as $N = W_{wall}/U_m$, where U_m is the average velocity of the pipe. The Reynolds number based on U_m and R is 20000. As shown in these figures, the standard k- ε eddy viscosity model has totally missed the effect of axial rotations on the pipe flow. In contrast, the present cubic Reynolds stress model can capture all the effects of the axial rotation on the pipe flow: it increases the centerline velocity and changes the axial velocity profile towards a parabolic shape, it maintains non-solid body swirl velocity profile, and it reduces the relative turbulent kinetic energy k/U_m^2 .

3.2 Complex swirling flow with recirculation

A confined swirling coaxial jet was experimentally studied by Roback and Johnson (1983). Figure 4 shows the general features of the flow. At the inlet, an inner jet and an annular jet are ejected into an enlarged duct. Besides an annular separation due to sudden expansion of the duct, a central recirculation bubble is created by the swirling flow. This flow feature is often observed in an aircraft engine combustor. In this figure, calculated velocity vectors in an axisymmetric plane from the cubic model is compared with the one from the standard $k-\epsilon$ eddy viscosity model. Solutions were obtained by two Navier-Stokes codes. One is CORSAIR (Liu et al, 1996) and the other is FAST-2D (Zhu, 1991). Eq. (16) and Eq. (17) are respectively used in this calculation. Numerical results from the two codes are quite close to each other. Figure 5 compares the calculations of the centerline velocity using a standard $k-\epsilon$ eddy viscosity model (SKE) and the present cubic model with the experimental data. The negative velocity indicates the central recirculation. It is seen that both models predict the strength of central recirculation quite well, but the present model predicts the rear stagnation point much better than does the SKE model. This is also reflected in Fig. 4 that the recirculation bubble from the cubic model is larger than that from the standard SKE model. Figure 6 shows the comparison of calculated and measured mean velocity profiles at x=51mm. Both models give reasonably good profiles which are within experimental scatter. However, significant differences in the tangential velocity profile between the two models have been found in the downstream region. For example, Fig. 7 shows the swirl velocity profile at x=305mm. SKE model predicts a nearly solid body rotation, whereas the cubic model shows a non-solid body rotation which is consistent with experimental observation.

4 Conclusion and discussion

This study shows that nonlinear cubic Reynolds stress-strain models with modeled k- ε equations have the potential to simulate turbulent swirling flows encountered in aircraft engine combustors. The model proposed in this report appears simple and numerically robust in CFD applications in which the aircraft engine industry is particularly interested. However, further evaluations against other flows are needed in order to determine the flow range of the model's validity and to seek possible further improvements.

The proposed cubic Reynolds stress model can be combined with existing $k-\varepsilon$ model equations, yet the best combination needs further studies and evaluations.

The proposed cubic model appears the simplest among other cubic or higher order models; however it requires about 15% more CPU time than does a linear k- ε eddy viscosity model for a general 2D axisymmetric swirling flow. We expect that if a higher order model (e.g., fourth or fifth order) is used, then the CPU time for calculating Reynolds stresses will significantly increase and the model may become very costly for the calculation of a general 3D swirling flow.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by ICOMP TASK YOM5120. The authors would like to thank Professor Theo G. Keith, Jr. for his advice and useful discussions.

References

[1] Craft T.J., Launder B.E. and Suga K., 1993, "Extending the applicability of eddy viscosity models through the use of deformation invariants and non-linear elements." 5th IAHR

Conference on Refined-Flow Modelling and Turbulence Measurement, Paris, 7-10 September 1993.

- [2] Fu S. and Wang C., 1995, "Second-Moment Closure Modelling of Turbulence in Non-Inertia Frame." Proceedings of the Mathematical Modelling of Turbulent Flows. Edited by Hisaaki Daiguji and Yutaka Miyake, Japan Society of Computational Fluid Dynamics, 95-110.
- [3] Imao, S. and Itoh, M., 1996, "Turbulent characteristics of the flow in an axially rotating pipe," Int. J. Heat and Fluid Flow 17: 444-451.
- [4] Launder, B.E., 1995, "An introduction to single-point closure methodology," Proceedings of the ERCOFTAC/IUTAM Summer School, in Stockholm, 12-20 June, 1995.
- [5] Liu, N.-S. Quealy, A., Kundu, K., Brankovic A., Ryder, R. and Van Dyke, K., 1996, "Multi-Disciplinary Combustor Design System and Emissions Modeling," NASA 1996 CAS workshop Proceedings, Ames Research Center, NASA, Aug. 13-17, 1996.
- [6] Reynolds, W. C., 1987, "Fundamentals of turbulence for turbulence modeling and simulation." Lecture Notes for Von Karman Institute, AGARD-CP-93, NATO.
- [7] Roback, R. and Johnson, B.V., 1983, "Mass and momentum turbulent transport experiments with confined swirling coaxial jets," NASA CR 168252.
- [8] Shih, T.-H. and Lumley, J. L., 1993, "Remarks on turbulent constitutive relations." Math. Comput. Modelling 18, 9-6.
- [9] Shih, T.-H., Zhu, J. and Lumley, J. L., 1995, "A new Reynolds stress algebraic equation model." Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 125 (1995) 287-302.
- [10] Shih, T.-H., Liou, W.W., Shabbir, A., Yang, Z. and Zhu, J., 1995, "A new k-ε eddy viscosity model for high Reynolds number turbulent flows," Comput. Fluids 24 (3) 227-238.
- [11] Zhu, J, 1991, "A computer program for numerical simulation of two-dimensional incompressible flows with complex boundaries," Rep. 690, Institute for Hydromechanics, University of Karlaruhe.



Fig. 1. Axial velocity profile in a rotating pipe



Fig. 2. Tangential velocity profile in a rotating pipe







Fig. 4. Velocity vectors in an axisymmetric plane. (a) from present model, (b) from SKE model.



Centerline Velocity

Fig. 5. Centerline velocity in Roback and Johson flow



Fig. 6. Mean velocity profiles at x = 51mm



Fig. 7. Tangential velocity profile at x=305mm

A Appendix: Development of a Cubic Turbulent Model

A truncated general cubic turbulent stress-strain relation from Shih and Lumley (1993) can be written as

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \, \delta_{ij} + C_{\mu} \rho \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon} \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} - \frac{2}{3} U_{k,k} \, \delta_{ij} \right) + C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(U_{i,k} U_{k,j} + U_{j,k} U_{k,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{1} \, \delta_{ij} \right) + C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(U_{i,k} U_{j,k} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{2} \, \delta_{ij} \right) + C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,j} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{2} \, \delta_{ij} \right) + C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(U_{i,k} U_{j,p} U_{p,k} + U_{i,p} U_{p,k} U_{j,k} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \, \delta_{ij} \right) + C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,p} U_{p,j} + U_{k,j} U_{k,p} U_{p,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \, \delta_{ij} \right)$$
(A.1)

The six model coefficients in Eq.(A.1) will be determined by the following procedure. First, we consider two extreme cases: a pure strain flow and a pure shear flow, and apply realizability constraints on the Reynolds stresses to ensure positive energy components and Schwarz' inequality. This was suggested by Reynolds (1987) and Shih et al (1995), which will allow us to determine the model coefficients of C_{μ} , C_1 , C_2 and C_3 . The second procedure is to determine the model coefficients C_4 and C_5 by using the experimental data of a fully developed rotating pipe flow. To analize the pure strain and pure shear flows, it is more convenient to write Eq.(A.1) in terms of mean strain and rotation rates, as in the following:

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} + C_{\mu} \frac{\rho k^{2}}{\varepsilon} 2S_{ij}^{*} + C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} 2(S_{ij}^{(2*)} + \Omega_{ij}^{(2*)}) + C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} (S_{ij}^{(2*)} - \Omega_{ij}^{(2*)} - S_{ik}^{*} \Omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{*}) + C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} (S_{ij}^{(2*)} - \Omega_{ij}^{(2*)} + S_{ik}^{*} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{*}) + 2C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(S_{ij}^{(3*)} - S_{ik}^{(2*)} \Omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{(2*)} - \Omega_{ik} S_{km} \Omega_{mj} + \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kl} S_{lm} \Omega_{mk} \delta_{ij} \right) + 2C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(S_{ij}^{(3*)} + S_{ik}^{(2*)} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{(2*)} - \Omega_{ik} S_{km} \Omega_{mj} + \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kl} S_{lm} \Omega_{mk} \delta_{ij} \right)$$
(A.2)

where

$$S_{ij}^{*} = S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} S_{kk} \delta_{ij} , \quad S_{ij}^{(2*)} = S_{ij}^{2} - \frac{1}{3} S_{kk}^{2} \delta_{ij} , \quad \Omega_{ij}^{(2*)} = \Omega_{ij}^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kk}^{2} \delta_{ij}$$

$$S_{ij}^{(3*)} = S_{ij}^{3} - \frac{1}{3} S_{kk}^{3} \delta_{ij} , \quad S_{ij}^{2} = S_{il} S_{lj} , \quad \Omega_{ij}^{2} = \Omega_{il} \Omega_{lj}$$

$$S_{ij}^{3} = S_{im}S_{ml}S_{lj} , \quad S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i}) , \quad \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(U_{i,j} - U_{j,i})$$
(A.3)

Note that S_{ij}^* , $S_{ij}^{(2*)}$, $\Omega_{ij}^{(2*)}$ and $S_{ij}^{(3*)}$ are all traceless tensors. Using Cayley-Hamilton relation,

$$S_{ij}^{3} - I_{S}S_{ij}^{2} + II_{S}S_{ij} - III_{S}\delta_{ij} = 0$$
(A.4)

 $S_{ij}^{(3*)}$ can be expressed in terms of quadratic and linear terms as

$$S_{ij}^{(3*)} = I_S S_{ij}^{(2*)} - I I_S S_{ij}^*$$
(A.5)

where I_S , II_S and III_S are the three principal invariants of S_{ij} :

$$I_{S} = S_{ii} , \quad II_{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{kk} S_{mm} - S_{kk}^{2} \right) , \quad III_{S} = \frac{1}{6} \left(S_{ii} S_{jj} S_{kk} - 3S_{ii} S_{jj}^{2} + 2S_{ii}^{3} \right)$$
(A.6)

Using Eq.(A.5), we may write Eq.(A.2) as

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i}u_{j}} = -\frac{2}{3}\rho k \delta_{ij} + C_{\mu} \frac{\rho k^{2}}{\varepsilon} 2S_{ij}^{*} + 2A_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} S_{ij}^{(2*)} + 2A_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \Omega_{ij}^{(2*)} + A_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(S_{ik}^{*} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{*}\right) + 2A_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(S_{ik}^{(2*)} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{(2*)}\right) - 2A_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(\Omega_{ik} S_{km} \Omega_{mj} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kl} S_{lm} \Omega_{mk} \delta_{ij} + II_{S} S_{ij}^{*} - I_{S} S_{ij}^{(2*)}\right)$$
(A.7)

where

$$A_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(2C_{1} + C_{2} + C_{3}), \quad A_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(2C_{1} - C_{2} - C_{3})$$

$$A_{3} = C_{3} - C_{2}, \quad A_{4} = (C_{5} - C_{4}), \quad A_{5} = (C_{4} + C_{5})$$
(A.8)

A result from a rapid distortion theory analysis (Reynolds, 1987) states that isotropic turbulence should not be affected by a pure mean rotation. To satisfy this result, the simplest way is to eliminate the pure rotation term in Eq.(A.7), i.e., $A_2 = 0$, which indicates that $2C_1 = C_2 + C_3$.

To determine the model coefficients, let us first consider a pure strain flow, in which $\Omega_{ij} = 0$. Under this situation,

$$\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = \frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} - (C_\mu - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} I I_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^2}{\varepsilon} 2S_{ij}^* - (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} 2S_{ij}^{(2*)}$$
(A.9)

In principal axes of S_{ij}^* , we may write (see Shih, Zhu and Lumley, 1995)

$$S_{ij}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1+a}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1-a}{2} \end{pmatrix} S_{11}^{*}, \quad S_{ij}^{(2*)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1+b}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1-b}{2} \end{pmatrix} S_{11}^{(2*)}$$
(A.10)

where a and b can take on arbitrary values. Then, one may write

$$\rho \overline{u_1^2} = \frac{2}{3} \rho k - (C_\mu - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^2}{\varepsilon^2} 2S_{11}^* - (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} 2S_{11}^{(2*)}$$
(A.11)

If we define

$$S^* = \sqrt{S_{ij}^* S_{ij}^*} , \quad S^{(2*)} = \sqrt{S_{ij}^{(2*)} S_{ij}^{(2*)}}$$
(A.12)

from Eq.(A.10), we obtain

$$S^* = |S_{11}^*| \sqrt{\frac{3+a^2}{2}} , \quad S^{(2*)} = |S_{11}^{(2*)}| \sqrt{\frac{3+b^2}{2}}$$
(A.13)

Therefore, Eq.(A.11) may be written as

$$\rho \overline{u_1^2} = \frac{2}{3}\rho k - (C_\mu - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^2}{\varepsilon} 2S^* \sqrt{\frac{2}{3+a^2}} - (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} 2S^{(2*)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3+b^2}}$$
(A.14)

Since $\overline{u_1^2} \ge 0$, we must require the following inequality for any large S^* and $S^{(2*)}$

$$1 - (C_{\mu} - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} S^* \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+a^2}} - (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} S^{(2*)} \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+b^2}} \ge 0$$
(A.15)

If we write

$$(C_{\mu} - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) \frac{k}{\varepsilon} S^* \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+a^2}} = \alpha, \quad (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} S^{(2*)} \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+b^2}} = \beta$$
(A.16)

then we must require

$$\alpha + \beta \le 1 \tag{A.17}$$

while we write

$$(C_{\mu} - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) = \frac{\alpha}{\frac{kS^*}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+a^2}}}, \quad (A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5) = \frac{\beta}{\frac{k^2 S^{(2*)}}{\varepsilon^2} \sqrt{\frac{18}{3+b^2}}}$$
(A.18)

Following Shih, Zhu and Lumley (1995), for simplicity we set $\beta = 0$, i.e., $A_1 = -\frac{k}{\varepsilon}I_SA_5$, which indicates $C_2 + C_3 = -\frac{k}{\varepsilon}I_SA_5$. Then, α must be less than unity, i.e.,

$$(C_{\mu} - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon^2} II_S A_5) \le \frac{1}{A_S \frac{kS^*}{\varepsilon}}$$
(A.19)

where A_s equals $\sqrt{\frac{18}{3+a^2}}$ and can be calculated using the following relations [see Shih et al (1995), or Reynolds (1987)]:

$$A_{S} = \sqrt{6}\cos\phi, \quad \phi = \frac{1}{3}\arccos(\sqrt{6}W^{*}), \quad W^{*} = \frac{S_{ij}^{*}S_{jk}^{*}S_{ki}^{*}}{(S^{*})^{3}}$$
(A.20)

From Eq.(A.19), C_{μ} can be written as

$$C_{\mu} \leq \frac{1}{A_{S} \frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}} + \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} II_{S} A_{5}$$
(A.21)

Now, let us consider a pure shear flow, in which there is only one non-zero component, $U_{1,2}$, i.e.,

$$U_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, $S_{12} = \Omega_{12} = \frac{1}{2}U_{1,2}$. Under this situation, we obtain from Eq.(A.7)

$$\rho \overline{u_1^2} = \frac{2}{3}\rho k + 2A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} S_{12}\Omega_{12}$$
(A.22)

$$\rho \overline{u_2^2} = \frac{2}{3}\rho k - 2A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} S_{12} \Omega_{12}$$
(A.23)

$$\rho \overline{u_1 u_2} = -2C_\mu \frac{\rho k^2}{\varepsilon} S_{12} \tag{A.24}$$

Note that in Eqs. (A.22)-(A.24), the condition $(A_1 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon}I_SA_5) = 0$ has been used, and note also that A_3 must be positive since the shear $U_{1,2}$ will make $\overline{u_1^2}$ increase and $\overline{u_2^2}$ decrease. Applying

Schwarz' inequality, $(\overline{u_1u_2})^2 \leq \overline{u_1^2} \ \overline{u_2^2}$, to the above equations, we obtain a constraint for A_3 :

$$A_{3} \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 - 9C_{\mu}^{2} \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} S_{12} S_{12}}}{3\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} S_{12} \Omega_{12}}$$
(A.25)

Noting that $(S^*)^2 = 2S_{12}S_{12}$ and $\Omega^*S^* = 2\Omega_{12}S_{12}$ for the pure shear flow, a generalized expression for A_3 may be written as

$$A_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{9}{2}C_{\mu}^{2} \left(\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}}{\frac{C_{0}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\Omega^{*}S^{*}}, \quad C_{0} \ge 0$$
(A.26)

where

$$\Omega^* = \sqrt{\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij}} \tag{A.27}$$

To ensure a positive real value of A_3 , the coefficient C_{μ} must be also restricted by the following condition for any large values of S^* :

$$C_{\mu} \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{kS^*}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} \tag{A.28}$$

The formulations for C_{μ} and A_3 , i.e., Eqs. (A.21) and (A.26), will ensure realizability of turbulent stresses. However, A_4 and A_5 are left to be further determined, which are related to the coefficients C_4 and C_5 by Eq.(A.8).

To determine A_4 and A_5 , or C_4 and C_5 , let us study a fully developed rotating pipe flow. In this case, only two components of the non-linear part of turbulent stresses, τ_{xr} and $\tau_{\theta r}$, appear in the mean flow equations, i.e., Eqs. (13) and (14), which are

$$\tau_{zr} = -C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \frac{W}{r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}$$

$$\tau_{\theta r} = -C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} W \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right)$$

$$-C_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[W \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^2 + W \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r} \right) \right]$$
(A.29)
(A.29)
(A.29)

Now integrate the Eq. (14) for the velocity W component at a steady state to obtain

$$(\mu + \mu_T)r\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r}\right) - C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} W \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r}\right) - C_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[W \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \frac{W}{r}\right) + W \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2\right] = 0$$
(A.31)

Experimental data show that $\frac{W}{W_{wall}} \approx \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2$ for a large range of W_{wall} . Here, R is the radius of the pipe, W_{wall} is the wall swirl velocity. Insert this relation into the above equation, we obtain, for high turbulent Reynolds numbers,

$$\mu_T - C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} 2 \frac{r^2}{R^4} W_{wall}^2 - C_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2 \frac{r^2}{R^4} W_{wall}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2 \right] \approx 0$$
(A.32)

If we write

$$C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} 2 \frac{r^2}{R^4} W_{wall}^2 = \alpha' \ \mu_T, \quad C_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2 \frac{r^2}{R^4} W_{wall}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2 \right] = \beta' \ \mu_T \tag{A.33}$$

then from Eq.(A.32), we must require $\alpha' + \beta' \approx 1$. The coefficients C_4 and C_5 can be expressed as

$$C_4 = \frac{\alpha' \mu_T}{\frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} 2 \frac{r^2 W_{wall}^2}{R^4}}, \quad C_5 = \frac{\beta' \mu_T}{\frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2 \frac{r^2 W_{wall}^2}{R^4} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2 \right]}$$
(A.34)

In a fully developed, rotating, pipe flow, we find that the following relations hold,

$$2\frac{r^2 W_{wall}^2}{R^4} = \frac{1}{2} \left| S_{ij}^* S_{ij}^* - \Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij} \right|$$
(A.35)

$$\left[2\frac{r^2 W_{wall}^2}{R^4} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^2\right] = \frac{1}{4} \left(7S_{ij}^* S_{ij}^* + \Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij}\right)$$
(A.36)

Finally, we obtain expressions for C_4 and C_5 as follows

$$C_4 = \frac{\alpha' \ \mu_T}{\frac{\rho k^4}{c^3} \frac{1}{2} \left| (S^*)^2 - (\Omega^*)^2 \right|} \tag{A.37}$$

$$C_{5} = \frac{\beta' \mu_{T}}{\frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \frac{1}{4} \left(7(S^{*})^{2} + (\Omega^{*})^{2} \right)}$$
(A.38)

From the calculation of rotating pipe flows, we find that the following coefficients seem appropriate (i.e., we set $\alpha' = 0$, $\beta' = 1.6$):

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{1}{4.0 + A_s \frac{kU^*}{L}} \tag{A.39}$$

$$C_4 = 0$$
 (A.40)

$$C_5 = \frac{1.0 \ \mu_T}{\frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \frac{7(S^*)^2 + (\Omega^*)^2}{4}} \tag{A.41}$$

where

$$U^* = \sqrt{S_{ij}^* S_{ij}^* + \Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij}}, \quad S^* = \sqrt{S_{ij}^* S_{ij}^*}, \quad \Omega^* = \sqrt{\Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij}}$$
(A.42)

Equations (A.40) and (A.8) suggest that $A_4 = A_5 = C_5$.

Now, we may summarize the cubic model and its coefficients as follows:

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} + \mu_T 2S_{ij}^* + A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{ik} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj} \right) -2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left(\Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^2 - S_{ik}^2 \Omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ik} S_{km} \Omega_{mj} -\frac{1}{3} \Omega_{kl} S_{lm} \Omega_{mk} \delta_{ij} + II_S S_{ij}^* \right)$$
(A.43)

where

$$\mu_T = Eq.(3) \tag{A.44}$$

$$C_{\mu} = \frac{1}{4.0 + A_S \frac{kU^*}{\varepsilon}} \tag{A.45}$$

$$A_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{9}{2}C_{\mu}^{2} \left(\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}}}{0.5 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\Omega^{*}S^{*}}$$
(A.46)

$$A_{5} = \frac{1.6\mu_{T}}{\frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \frac{7(S^{*})^{2} + (\Omega^{*})^{2}}{4}}$$
(A.47)

In Eq. (A.43), we have used the fact that $S_{ik}^* \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^* = S_{ik} \Omega_{kj} - \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}$ and $\Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^{(2*)} - S_{ik}^{(2*)} \Omega_{kj} = \Omega_{ik} S_{kj}^2 - S_{ik}^2 \Omega_{kj}$. In addition, C_{μ} must also be constrained by the conditions from

Eqs. (A.21) and (A.28), i.e.,

$$C_{\mu} \leq \left(A_{S} \frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1} + \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} II_{S} A_{5} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mu} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(\frac{kS^{*}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}$$
(A.48)

The cubic model can be directly expressed in terms of mean velocity gradients, i.e., Eq. (A.1). The corresponding coefficients are

$$C_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{k}{\varepsilon}I_S A_5 \tag{A.49}$$

$$C_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(A_3 + \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5 \right) \tag{A.50}$$

$$C_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(A_3 - \frac{k}{\varepsilon} I_S A_5 \right) \tag{A.51}$$

$$C_4 = 0 \tag{A.52}$$

$$C_5 = A_5 \tag{A.53}$$

then the cubic model, Eq.(A.43), becomes

$$-\rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \, \delta_{ij} + \mu_{T} \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} - \frac{2}{3} U_{k,k} \, \delta_{ij} \right) \\ + \frac{A_{3}}{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,j} - U_{i,k} U_{j,k} \right) \\ + A_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[U_{k,i} U_{k,p} U_{p,j} + U_{k,j} U_{k,p} U_{p,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \, \delta_{ij} \\ - \frac{1}{2} I_{S} \left(U_{i,k} U_{k,j} + U_{j,k} U_{k,i} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{1} \delta_{ij} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} I_{S} \left(U_{k,i} U_{k,j} + U_{i,k} U_{j,k} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{2} \delta_{ij} \right) \right]$$
(A.54)

B Appendix: Equations in a General Coordinate System

In this appendix, a set of mean flow equations with a general cubic model will be written in a general coordinate system. This appendix will be found useful for studying turbulent flows in a curvilinear coordinate system. We start with the governing equations in general tensorial form. In Appendix C, we will write these equations in a cylindrical coordinate system as an example to show how to write the equations and models for a specific curvilinear coordinate system.

B.1 Equations in tensorial form

$$\rho_{,t} + \left(\rho U^j\right)_{,j} = 0 \tag{B.1}$$

$$(\rho U_{i})_{,t} + (\rho U_{i} U^{j})_{,j} = -P_{,i} + g^{jr} \left[\mu \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} - \frac{2}{3} U^{k}_{,k} g_{ij} \right) - \rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} \right]_{,r}$$
(B.2)

$$(\rho k)_{,t} + \left(\rho U^{i} k\right)_{,i} = g^{j\tau} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) k_{,j} \right]_{,\tau} + P_{k} - \rho \varepsilon$$
(B.3)

$$(\rho\varepsilon)_{,t} + \left(\rho U^{i}\varepsilon\right)_{,i} = g^{jr} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)\varepsilon_{,j} \right]_{,r} + C_{\epsilon 1}f_{1}\frac{\varepsilon}{k}P_{k} - C_{\epsilon 2}f_{2}\rho\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{k} + C_{\epsilon 3}\frac{\mu\mu_{T}}{\rho}g^{jr}S_{,j}S_{,r}$$
(B.4)

where

$$P_{k} = g^{kj} \left(-\rho \overline{u_{i} u_{j}} \right) U_{,k}^{i} , \qquad S = \sqrt{2S_{ij}^{*} S_{ij}^{*}}$$
(B.5)

The turbulent stress is written in the following form:

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k g_{ij} + \mu_T \left(U_{i,j} + U_{j,i} - \frac{2}{3} U^k_{,k} g_{ij} \right) + \tau_{ij}$$
(B.6)

where the subscript "," denotes a tensorial derivative, g^{ij} and g_{ij} are the two metric tensors of a coordinate system, which are defined in Eq.(B.16). The nonlinear part of the general cubic model, τ_{ij} , is

$$\begin{split} \tau_{ij} &= C_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(U_{i,k} U_{,j}^k + U_{j,k} U_{,i}^k - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_1 \ g_{ij} \right) \\ &+ C_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(g^{kl} U_{i,k} U_{j,l} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 \ g_{ij} \right) \\ &+ C_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(U_{k,i} U_{,j}^k - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 \ g_{ij} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(g^{kl} U_{i,k} U_{j,p} U_{,l}^{p} + g^{kl} U_{i,p} U_{,k}^{p} U_{j,l} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} g_{ij} \right) + C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(U_{,i}^{k} U_{k,p} U_{,j}^{p} + U_{,j}^{k} U_{k,p} U_{,i}^{p} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} g_{ij} \right)$$
(B.7)

$$\Pi_{1} = U_{,k}^{i} U_{,i}^{k} , \quad \Pi_{2} = g^{kl} U_{,k}^{i} U_{i,l} , \quad \Pi_{3} = g^{kl} U_{,k}^{i} U_{i,m} U_{,l}^{m}$$
(B.8)

In addition, the often used scalar parameters S^* and Ω^* defined in Eq.(A.42) and W^* in Eq.(A.20) can be written as

$$(S^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{ij} U_{k,i} U^k_{,j} + U^i_{,j} U^j_{,i} \right) - \frac{1}{3} (U^i_{,i})^2$$
(B.9)

$$(\Omega^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{ij} U_{k,i} U^k_{,j} - U^i_{,j} U^j_{,i} \right)$$
(B.10)

$$W* = g^{ij}g^{kl}g^{mn}\frac{S^*_{ik}S^*_{lm}S^*_{nj}}{(S^*)^3}$$
(B.11)

The nonlinear part of turbulent stress τ_{ij} , Eq. (B.7), can also be expressed in terms of mean strain and rotation rates S_{ij} and Ω_{ij} which will be listed in Eq. (B.33).

B.2 Equations in a general coodinate system

Let x^i represent a general curvilinear coordinate system, then the corresponding contravariant velocity is defined as $U^i = \frac{dx^i}{dt}$ and the covariant velocity is defined as $U_i = g_{ij}U^j$. To write Eqs. (B.1)-(B.10) in this general coordinate system, we need the following expressions for various tensorial derivatives:

$$A_{i,j} = \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^j} - \Gamma_{ij}^q A_q$$

$$A_{,j}^i = \frac{\partial A^i}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{qj}^i A^q$$

$$A_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^q A_{qj} - \Gamma_{jk}^q A_{iq}$$

$$A_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial A_i^j}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ik}^q A_q^j + \Gamma_{qk}^j A_i^q$$
(B.12)

where Γ_{jk}^{i} is a Cristoffel symbol defined in Eq.(B.17). With the above formulations, Eqs. (B.1)-(B.10) can be written as follows

$$\rho_{,t} + \frac{\partial \rho U^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} + \Gamma^{j}_{jn} \ \rho U^{n} = 0$$
(B.13)

$$(\rho U_{i})_{,i} + \frac{\partial \rho U_{i}U^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} - \Gamma_{ij}^{n}\rho U_{n}U^{j} + \Gamma_{jn}^{j}\rho U_{i}U^{n} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x^{i}} + g^{jr}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r}}\left[(\mu + \mu_{T})\left(\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial U_{j}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{2}{3}\Theta g_{ij}\right)\right] - g^{jr}\left\{2(\mu + \mu_{T})U_{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r}}\Gamma_{ij}^{n} + 2\Gamma_{ij}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r}}\left[(\mu + \mu_{T})U_{n}\right]\right\} - g^{jr}\Gamma_{jr}^{p}(\mu + \mu_{T})\left(\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial x^{p}} + \frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial x^{i}} - 2\Gamma_{ip}^{n}U_{n} - \frac{2}{3}\Theta g_{ip}\right) - g^{jr}\Gamma_{ir}^{p}(\mu + \mu_{T})\left(\frac{\partial U_{j}}{\partial x^{p}} + \frac{\partial U_{p}}{\partial x^{j}} - 2\Gamma_{jp}^{n}U_{n} - \frac{2}{3}\Theta g_{jp}\right) + g^{jr}\left(\frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x^{r}} - \Gamma_{ir}^{n}\tau_{nj} - \Gamma_{jr}^{n}\tau_{in}\right)$$
(B.14)

$$\Theta = U_{,k}^{k} = \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} + \Gamma_{kn}^{k} U^{n}$$
(B.15)

 and

$$g^{ij} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \mathcal{X}^k} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \mathcal{X}^k}, \qquad g_{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}^k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}^k}{\partial x^j}$$
 (B.16)

here \mathcal{X}^k denotes the Cartesian coordinate system while x^i represents a general coordinate system. The symbol Γ^i_{jk} , called the Christoffel symbol, is defined as

$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk} = \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mathcal{X}^{p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{X}^{j}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{X}^{p}}{\partial x^{k}} \right)$$
(B.17)

The equations for the turbulent kinetic energy, $k = g^{ij}\overline{u_i u_j} = \overline{u_i u^i}$, and its dissipation rate ε can be written as

$$(\rho k)_{,t} + \frac{\partial \rho U^{i} k}{\partial x^{i}} + \Gamma^{i}_{in} \rho k U^{n} = g^{jr} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r}} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x^{j}} \right] - g^{jr} \Gamma^{n}_{jr} \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x^{n}} + P_{k} - \rho \varepsilon$$
(B.18)

$$(\rho\varepsilon)_{,t} + \frac{\partial\rho U^{i}\varepsilon}{\partial x^{i}} + \Gamma^{i}_{in}\rho\varepsilon U^{n} = g^{jr}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{r}}\left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}\right)\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x^{j}}\right] - g^{jr}\Gamma^{n}_{jr}\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{T}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}\right)\frac{\partial\varepsilon}{\partial x^{n}} + C_{\varepsilon 1}f_{1}\frac{\varepsilon}{k}P_{k} - C_{\varepsilon 2}f_{2}\frac{\rho\varepsilon^{2}}{k} + C_{\varepsilon 3}\frac{\mu\mu_{T}}{\rho}g^{jr}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{r}}$$
(B.19)

$$P_{k} = -g^{kj}\rho\overline{u_{i}u_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} + \Gamma^{i}_{kn}U^{n}\right)$$
(B.20)

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k g_{ij} + \mu_T \left[\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^i} - 2\Gamma_{ij}^n U_n - \frac{2}{3} \Theta g_{ij} \right] + \tau_{ij}$$
(B.21)

If we decompose P_k into two parts, one due to the linear part of $-\rho \overline{u_i u_j}$ and the other due to the nonlinear part, then we may write

$$P_k = P_k^{(1)} + P_k^{(2)} \tag{B.22}$$

where

$$P_{k}^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{3}(\rho k + \mu_{T}\Theta)\Theta + g^{kj}\mu_{T}\left(\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial U_{j}}{\partial x^{i}} - 2\Gamma_{ij}^{n}U_{n}\right)\left(\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} + \Gamma_{mk}^{i}U^{m}\right)$$
(B.23)

$$P_k^{(2)} = g^{kj} \tau_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma^i_{mk} U^m \right) \tag{B.24}$$

The nonlinear part of the cubic model, Eq. (B.7), in a general coordinate system is

$$\begin{split} \tau_{ij} &= C_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^k_{qj} U^q - \Gamma^q_{ik} U_q \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^j} - \Gamma^p_{jk} \Gamma^k_{qj} U_p U^q \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^k_{qi} U^q - \Gamma^q_{jk} U_q \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma^p_{jk} \Gamma^k_{qi} U_p U^q - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_1 g_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad + C_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^q_{jl} U_q - \Gamma^p_{ik} U_p \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^l} - \Gamma^p_{ik} \Gamma^q_{jl} U_p U_q \right) - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 g_{ij} \right] \\ &\quad + C_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\frac{\partial U_k}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial U_k}{\partial x^i} \Gamma^k_{qj} U^q - \Gamma^q_{ik} U_q \frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^j} - \Gamma^p_{ik} \Gamma^q_{jl} U_p U^q - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 g_{ij} \right) \\ &\quad + C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^m} - \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^l} \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} \right] \\ &\quad + \Gamma^p_{ik} \Gamma^q_{jm} U_p U_q \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} \right] \\ &\quad - \Gamma^p_{jk} U_p \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} + \Gamma^p_{jk} \Gamma^q_{im} U_p U_q \frac{\partial U^m}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^m} \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^q_{jm} U_q \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^m} \Gamma^q_{ik} U_q \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r + \Gamma^p_{ik} \Gamma^q_{jm} U_p U_q U^r \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^m} \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^k} \Gamma^q_{im} U_q \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r - \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^m} \Gamma^q_{jk} U_q \Gamma^m_{rl} U^r \\ &\quad + \Gamma^p_{jk} \Gamma^q_{im} \Gamma^m_{rl} U_p U_q U^r \right) - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_3 g_{ij} \right] \end{split}$$

$$+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{l}} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{kl}^{p} U_{p} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{j}} + \Gamma_{qi}^{k} U^{q} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{l}} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{j}} \right)$$

$$- \Gamma_{qi}^{k} \Gamma_{kl}^{p} U_{p} U^{q} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{l}} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{j}} \Gamma_{kl}^{p} U_{p} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U^{l}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{rj}^{l} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{rj}^{l} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{rj}^{k} U_{p} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{rj}^{k} U_{p} U^{q} U^{r} - \Gamma_{qi}^{k} \Gamma_{rj}^{k} U_{p} U^{q} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U^{q} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U^{q} U^{r} - \frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U_{p} U^{q} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U^{q} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U^{q} U^{r} + \frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} \Gamma_{ri}^{l} U^{q} U^{r} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} g_{ij} \right)$$

$$(B.25)$$

$$\Pi_{1} = \left(\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} + \Gamma^{i}_{pk}U^{p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} + \Gamma^{k}_{qi}U^{q}\right)$$
(B.26)

$$\Pi_2 = g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^k} + \Gamma^i_{pk} U^p \right) \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^l} - \Gamma^q_{il} U_q \right)$$
(B.27)

$$\Pi_{3} = g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} + \Gamma^{i}_{pk} U^{p} \right) \left(\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial x^{m}} - \Gamma^{q}_{im} U_{q} \right) \left(\frac{\partial U^{m}}{\partial x^{l}} + \Gamma^{m}_{nl} U^{n} \right)$$
(B.28)

The scalar strain and rotation rates are

$$(S^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial U_k}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_{ki}^q U_q \right) \left(\frac{\partial U^k}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{lj}^k U^l \right) + \left(\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{qj}^i U^q \right) \left(\frac{\partial U^j}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma_{li}^j U^l \right) \right] - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\partial U^i}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma_{li}^i U^l \right)^2$$
(B.29)

$$(\Omega^{*})^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial U_{k}}{\partial x^{i}} - \Gamma_{ki}^{q} U_{q} \right) \left(\frac{\partial U^{k}}{\partial x^{j}} + \Gamma_{lj}^{k} U^{l} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x^{j}} + \Gamma_{qj}^{i} U^{q} \right) \left(\frac{\partial U^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} + \Gamma_{li}^{j} U^{l} \right) \right]$$
(B.30)

$$W^* = Eq.(B.39)$$
 (B.31)

B.3 Another form of the cubic model

In terms of strain and rotation rates, the cubic Reynolds stress model can be written as

$$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho \ k \ g_{ij} + C_\mu \frac{\rho k^2}{\varepsilon} 2 \left(S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \ g_{ij} \right) + \tau_{ij}$$
(B.32)

where the nonlinear part, τ_{ij} , is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{ij} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(g^{pq} S_{ip} S_{qj} - \frac{1}{3} S^{(2)} g_{ij} \right) + 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(g^{pq} \Omega_{ip} \Omega_{qj} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega^{(2)} g_{ij} \right) \\ &+ A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} g^{pq} \left(S_{ip} \Omega_{qj} - \Omega_{ip} S_{qj} \right) + 2A_4 \rho \frac{k^4}{\varepsilon^3} g^{pq} g^{rs} \left(S_{ip} S_{qr} \Omega_{sj} - \Omega_{ip} S_{qr} S_{sj} \right) \\ &- 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[g^{pq} g^{rs} \Omega_{ip} S_{qr} \Omega_{sj} - \frac{1}{3} \overline{\Omega S \Omega} g_{ij} + II_S \left(S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta g_{ij} \right) \\ &- I_S \left(g^{pq} S_{ip} S_{qj} - \frac{1}{3} S^{(2)} g_{ij} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(B.33)

where

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^i} - 2\Gamma_{ij}^k U_k \right) , \ \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x^i} \right)$$
(B.34)

$$\Theta = g^{pq} S_{pq} , \ S^{(2)} = g^{pq} g^{rs} S_{pr} S_{sq} , \ \Omega^{(2)} = g^{pq} g^{rs} \Omega_{pr} \Omega_{sq}$$
(B.35)

$$\overline{\Omega S \Omega} = g^{pq} g^{rs} g^{tn} \Omega_{pr} S_{st} \Omega_{nq} , \ I_S = \Theta , \ II_S = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Theta^2 - S^{(2)} \right)$$
(B.36)

and

$$(S^*)^2 = g^{kp} g^{lq} S_{kl} S_{pq} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta^2$$
(B.37)
(B.38)

$$(\Omega^{*})^{2} = g^{kp} g^{kq} \Omega_{kl} \Omega_{pq}$$
(B.38)
$$W^{*} = g^{ij} g^{kl} g^{mn} \frac{S^{*}_{ik} S^{*}_{lm} S^{*}_{nj}}{(S^{*})^{3}}$$
(B.39)

where,

$$S_{ij}^* = S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}g_{ij}$$

Note that Eq. (B.33) appears to be more compact than Eq. (B.25) and may bring some convenience for the CFD implementation.

C Appendix: Equations in Cylindrical Coordinates

C.1 Mean equations

Now, let us write all equations in a cylindrical coordinate system: $x^i = (x, r, \theta)$. To accomplish this, we need to calculate the metric tensors g^{ij} , g_{ij} and the Christoffel symbol Γ^i_{jk} for cylindrical coordinates. Let $\mathcal{X}^i = (x, y, z)$ be the cartesian system. The relation between the two systems is

$$x = x$$
, $y = r \cos\theta$, $z = r \sin\theta$ (C.1)

οг

$$x = x$$
, $r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2}$, $\theta = \arctan(z/y)$ (C.2)

We may easily calculate

$$\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mathcal{X}^{j}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta & \sin\theta\\ 0 & -\sin\theta/r & \cos\theta/r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}^{i}}{\partial x^{j}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta\\ 0 & \sin\theta & r\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
(C.3)

The metric tensors g^{ij} and g_{ij} can then be obtained according to Eq. (B.16):

$$g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/r^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(C.4)

and the Christoffel symbol Γ_{jk}^i can be obtained from Eq.(B.17)

$$\Gamma_{jk}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{jk}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r \end{pmatrix}, \ \Gamma_{jk}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/r \\ 0 & 1/r & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(C.5)

The contravariant velocity in the cylindrical coordinates is

$$U^{i} = (U, V, \Omega) \tag{C.6}$$

where U and V are the axial and radial velocities, Ω is the angular velocity. The corresponding covariant velocity can be obtained from

$$U_i = g_{ij}U^j = (U, V, r^2\Omega) \tag{C.7}$$

With Eqs.(C.1)-(C.7), the equations for turbulent flows in a cylindrical coordinate system become

Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\rho V}{r} = 0$$
(C.8)

Momentum equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho U}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial \rho U^2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho U V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho U \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\rho U V}{r} = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial x} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[2(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{r^2 \partial \theta} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{r} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \tau_{x\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \tau_{xr} \end{aligned}$$
(C.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho V}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial \rho U V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V^2}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho V \Omega}{\partial \theta} - r \rho \Omega^2 + \frac{\rho V^2}{r} = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial r} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[2(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{r^2 \partial \theta} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \right] - \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \Omega \right] \\ &+ \frac{2}{r} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \right) - \frac{2}{r^3} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial \theta} + rV - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \Theta \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \tau_{rx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \tau_{r\theta}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{r^3} \tau_{\theta\theta} + \frac{1}{r} \tau_{rr} \end{aligned}$$
(C.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega^2}{\partial \theta} + r \rho V \Omega = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial \theta} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{r^2 \partial \theta} \left[2(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \Theta \right) \right] + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) V \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) r^2 \Omega \right] \\ &+ \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta r}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \tau_{r\theta} \end{aligned}$$
(C.11)

where

$$\Theta = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r}$$
(C.12)

$$\overline{P} = P + \frac{2}{3}k \tag{C.13}$$

<u>k- ϵ equations in Cylindrical coordinates</u>

$$\frac{\partial \rho k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U k}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V k}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho \Omega k}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \rho k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right] \\
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{r} \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} + P_k - \rho \varepsilon \tag{C.14}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U \varepsilon}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V \varepsilon}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \rho \Omega \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r} \rho \varepsilon = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right] \\
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{r} \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r} + C_{\varepsilon 1} f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{k} P_k - C_{\varepsilon 2} f_2 \frac{\rho \varepsilon^2}{k} \\
+ C_{\varepsilon 3} \frac{\mu \mu_T}{\rho} \left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{r \partial \theta} \right)^2 \right] \tag{C.15}$$

where

$$P_k = P_k^{(1)} + P_k^{(2)} \tag{C.16}$$

$$P_{k}^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{3}(\rho k + \mu_{T}\Theta)\Theta + \mu_{T} \left[2\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\right) \right] + \mu_{T} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) + 2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\right) \right] + \frac{\mu_{T}}{r^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right) + 2\left(\frac{V}{r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + rV\right) \right]$$
(C.17)

$$P_{k}^{(2)} = \tau_{xx} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \tau_{rx} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \tau_{\theta x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \tau_{xr} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \tau_{rr} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \tau_{\theta r} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\Omega}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left[\tau_{x\theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \tau_{r\theta} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - r\Omega\right) + \tau_{\theta\theta} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r}\right) \right]$$
(C.18)

C.2 Nonlinear part of turbulent stresses τ_{ij}

After g^{ij} , g_{ij} and Γ_{ij}^k for the cylindrical coordinate system are calculated, we may use Eq. (B.25) or Eq. (B.33) to calculate all the turbulent stresses automatically through a computer program. However, in the cylindrical coordinate system, most components of g^{ij} , g_{ij} and Γ_{ij}^k are zero, therefore it is possiple to manually write down all the turbulent stresses to avoid many unnecessary null operations in the computer code. We write them here in a general form for the cubic model, so that model users can use their particular model coefficients for their applications. Note that with Eq. (A.8), the coefficients C_i can be easily obtained from A_i , or vice versa.

$$\begin{split} \tau_{xx} &= C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{1} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{2} \right] \\ &+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{2} \right] \\ &+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{xx} + T_{20}^{xx} + \dots + T_{33}^{xx} + T_{34}^{xx} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[T_{26}^{xx} + T_{37}^{xx} + \dots + T_{50}^{xx} + T_{51}^{xx} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\Omega}{r} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\Omega}{r} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{xx} + T_{20}^{xx} + \dots + T_{33}^{xx} + T_{34}^{xx} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[T_{36}^{xx} + T_{37}^{xx} + \dots + T_{50}^{xx} + T_{51}^{xx} \right] \\ &+ rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial T^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[r^{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} - r\Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} + & C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right] \\ & + & C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x\theta} + T_{20}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{39}^{x\theta} + T_{30}^{x\theta} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{36}^{x\theta} + T_{37}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{50}^{x\theta} + T_{51}^{x\theta} \right] \\ \tau_{rr} & = & C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) + 2 \frac{\Omega}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - 2r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - 2\Omega^{2} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{1} \right] \\ & + & C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} - 2 \frac{\Omega}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - \Omega^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{2} \right] \\ & + & C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \Omega^{2} - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{xr} + \ldots + T_{37}^{xr} + T_{34}^{xr} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{2}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{xr} + \ldots + T_{50}^{xr} + T_{51}^{xr} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{2}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{xr} + \ldots + T_{50}^{xr} + T_{51}^{xr} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_{3} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{2}} \left[T_{30}^{x} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial H}{r} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} + \Omega V \right] \\ & + & C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V \partial V^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \Omega V \right] \\ & + & C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{c^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V \partial V^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} \right) + \Omega V \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{39}^{x\theta} + T_{30}^{x\theta} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{30}^{x\theta} + T_{30}^{2} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{30}^{x\theta} + T_{30}^{2} \right] \\ & + & C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{c^{3}} \left[T_{19}^{x} + T_{20}^{x\theta} + \ldots + T_{30}^{x\theta} + T_{30}^{2} \right] \\ &$$

.

.

.

$$-2r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + V^{2} + r^{2}\Omega^{2} - \frac{1}{3}r^{2}\Pi_{2} \bigg]$$

$$+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \bigg[T_{19}^{\theta\theta} + T_{20}^{\theta\theta} + \ldots + T_{33}^{\theta\theta} + T_{34}^{\theta\theta} - \frac{2}{3}r^{2}\Pi_{3} \bigg]$$

$$+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \bigg[T_{36}^{\theta\theta} + T_{37}^{\theta\theta} + \ldots + T_{50}^{\theta\theta} + T_{51}^{\theta\theta} - \frac{2}{3}r^{2}\Pi_{3} \bigg]$$
(C.19)

The terms $T_{19}^{ij} \dots T_{51}^{ij}$ in the above equations are listed below:

The terms in τ_{xx} :

$$\begin{split} T_{19}^{xx} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{22}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{25}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{34}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{37}^{xx} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + rV \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ T_{37}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{37}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{37}^{xx} &= -2r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + rV \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\ T_{37}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_{39}^{xx} &= 0 \\ T_$$

 $\begin{array}{l} T_{43}^{xx}=0\\ T_{44}^{xx}=0\\ T_{45}^{xx}=0\\ T_{46}^{xx}=0\\ T_{47}^{xx}=0\\ T_{48}^{xx}=0\\ T_{49}^{xx}=0\\ T_{50}^{xx}=0\\ T_{51}^{xx}=0 \end{array}$

The terms in τ_{xx} :

$$\begin{split} T^{zr}_{19} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{zr}_{20} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{zr}_{22} &= 0 \\ T^{zr}_{23} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{zr}_{24} &= 0 \\ T^{zr}_{25} &= -\frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{zr}_{26} &= 0 \\ T^{zr}_{27} &= \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{V}{r^3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \\ T^{zr}_{28} &= -\Omega^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - \frac{\Omega V}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \\ T^{zr}_{30} &= 0 \\ T^{zr}_{31} &= \Omega \\ T^{zr}_{31} &= \Omega \\ T^{zr}_{31} &= \Omega \\ T^{zr}_{32} &= 0 \\ T^{zr}_{34} &= 0$$

.

•

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{37}^{xr} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \\ T_{38}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{39}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{40}^{xr} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ T_{41}^{xr} &= T_{37}^{xr} \\ T_{42}^{xr} &= \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ T_{43}^{xr} &= \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\ T_{44}^{xr} &= \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ T_{45}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{47}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{50}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{51}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{51}^{xr} &= 0 \\ T_{51}^{xr} &= 0 \\ \end{array}$$

The terms in $\tau_{x\theta}$:

$$\begin{split} T_{19}^{x\theta} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{20}^{x\theta} &= rV \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{x\theta} &= 0 \\ T_{22}^{x\theta} &= 0 \\ T_{23}^{x\theta} &= r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{24}^{x\theta} &= 0 \\ T_{25}^{x\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{V}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T^{*\delta}_{27} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{27} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T^{*\delta}_{27} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{27} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} - r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= -\Omega V \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{V^2}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{36} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{*\delta}_{37} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{*\delta}_{39} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{39} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{39} &= 0 \\ T^{*\delta}_{49} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{*\delta}_{49} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T^{*\delta}_{41} &= T^{*\delta}_{37} \\ T^{*\delta}_{42} &= \frac{V}{r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$$

.

•

.

$$\begin{split} T_{19}^{rr} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{20}^{rr} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{rr} &= -\frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{rr} &= r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{22}^{rr} &= T_{21}^{rr} \\ T_{22}^{rr} &= T_{22}^{rr} \\ T_{22}^{rr} &= T_{22}^{rr} \\ T_{22}^{rr} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ T_{22}^{rr} &= \frac{\partial V}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ T_{23}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega V}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ T_{23}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega V}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ T_{33}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega V}{r} \\ T_{34}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega V}{r^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \\ \\ \\ + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \\ \\ \\ +$$

$$\begin{split} T_{44}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{45}^{rr} &= T_{39}^{rr} \\ T_{46}^{rr} &= \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{47}^{rr} &= \frac{\Omega^2}{r} V \\ T_{48}^{rr} &= T_{44}^{rr} \\ T_{49}^{rr} &= T_{45}^{rr} \\ T_{51}^{rr} &= T_{47}^{rr} \end{split}$$

The terms in $\tau_{r\theta}$:

$$\begin{split} T_{19}^{r\theta} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{20}^{r\theta} &= rV \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{r\theta} &= -\frac{\Omega}{r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{22}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{22}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{23}^{r\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{24}^{r\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{25}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - \Omega V \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{26}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - \Omega V \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{26}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{26}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{29}^{r\theta} &= \Omega V \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{V^2 \partial V}{r^2 \partial \theta} + \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{29}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} - \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ \\ T_{30}^{r\theta} &= -r \Omega^3 - \frac{\Omega}{r} V^2 \end{array}$$

.

•

$$\begin{split} T_{31}^{r\theta} &= \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial^{-2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{32}^{r\theta} &= -\Omega^2 \frac{\partial r^2}{\partial r} - \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{33}^{r\theta} &= -\Omega V \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{V^2}{r^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{36}^{r\theta} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{36}^{r\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{37}^{r\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{39}^{r\theta} &= \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{39}^{r\theta} &= \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} - \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \frac{r}{r_{4}} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \Gamma \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= \Gamma \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{40}^{r\theta} &= r^2 \Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial$$

$$\begin{split} T_{19}^{\theta\theta} &= r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) - r\Omega \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{20}^{\theta\theta} &= rV \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) - r\Omega \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{21}^{\theta\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{V}{r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{22}^{\theta\theta} &= -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} - \Omega V \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ T_{22}^{\theta\theta} &= r^2 \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + V^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} - \Omega V \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{23}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{23}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{22}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{22}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{24}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= r^2 \Omega V \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + (V^2 - r^2 \Omega^2) \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{23}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{24}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{24}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{$$

-

$$\begin{split} T_{44}^{\theta\theta} &= \frac{V}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ &\quad -r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ T_{45}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{39}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{46}^{\theta\theta} &= -\Omega V \left(\frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right) + r^2 \Omega^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + V^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \\ T_{47}^{\theta\theta} &= \frac{V^3}{r} + 2r \Omega^2 V \\ T_{48}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{39}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{50}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{46}^{\theta\theta} \\ T_{51}^{\theta\theta} &= T_{47}^{\theta\theta} \end{split}$$

Other scalar quantities:

$$\Pi_{1} = 2\left(-r\Omega\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\Omega}{r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial \theta}\right) - 2\Omega^{2} + \frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}}$$
(C.20)
$$\Pi_{2} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} + 2\Omega^{2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{r^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2} - 2r\Omega\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + 2rV\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial \theta} + V^{2}\right]$$
(C.21)

.

$$\Pi_3 = \Pi_3^{(1)} + \Pi_3^{(2)} + \Pi_3^{(3)} \tag{C.22}$$

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{3}^{(1)} &= \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{3} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right) + rV \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} \end{split} \tag{C.23}$$

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{3}^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{\Omega}{r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta}\right) \\ &+ rV \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + 2V\Omega\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right) \\ &+ \Omega^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + \frac{V}{r}\Omega^{2} \end{aligned} \tag{C.24}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\right) - r\Omega\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^{2}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{V}{r}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta} + r^{2}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\right) + 2rV\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta}\right)^{2} - V\Omega\frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta} + 3V^{2}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\theta} + rV\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta}\right) - r\Omega\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial\theta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta}\right) - r^{2}V\Omega\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} + r^{2}\Omega^{2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{V^{3}}{r}$$
(C.25)

$$(S^{*})^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + 2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^{2} \right] \\ + r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} \\ + 2 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} + 4 \frac{V}{r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + 2 \frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}} \right] - \frac{1}{3} \Theta^{2}$$

$$(C.26)$$

$$(\Omega^{*})^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right)^{2} + 4r \Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + 4\Omega^{2} - 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\ - 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right)^{2} - 4 \frac{\Omega}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$$(C.27)$$

$$W^{*} = Eq.(C.41)$$

$$(C.28)$$

C.3 Another form of τ_{ij}

In terms of S_{ij} and Ω_{ij} , the components of τ_{ij} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{xx} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{11} S_{11} + S_{12} S_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{13} S_{31} - \frac{1}{3} S^{(2)} \right) \\ &+ 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\Omega_{12} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{13} \Omega_{31} - \frac{1}{3} \Omega^{(2)} \right) + 2A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{12} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{13} \Omega_{31} \right) \\ &+ 2A_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2S_{11} \left(S_{12} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{13} \Omega_{31} \right) + 2S_{12} \left(S_{22} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} \Omega_{31} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2}{r^2} S_{13} \left(S_{32} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} \Omega_{31} \right) \right] \\ &- 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{22} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} \Omega_{31} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{13} \left(S_{32} \Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} \Omega_{31} \right) \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{3} \overline{\Omega S \Omega} + II_S \left(S_{11} - \frac{1}{3} \Theta \right) - I_S \left(S_{11} S_{11} + S_{12} S_{21} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{13} S_{31} - \frac{1}{3} S^{(2)} \right) \right] \quad (C.29) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{z\tau} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{11}S_{12} + S_{12}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}S_{32} \right) + 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{13}\Omega_{32} \\ &+ A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{11} - S_{22} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(S_{13}\Omega_{32} - \Omega_{13}S_{32} \right) \right] \\ &+ 2A_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[S_{11} \left(S_{11}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}\Omega_{32} \right) + S_{12} \frac{1}{r^2}S_{23}\Omega_{32} \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13} \left(S_{31}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{33}\Omega_{32} \right) - \Omega_{12} \left(S_{22}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{23}S_{32} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{r^2}\Omega_{13} \left(S_{31}S_{12} + S_{32}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{33}S_{32} \right) \right] \\ &- 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{21}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{23}\Omega_{32} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2}\Omega_{13} \left(S_{31}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{33}\Omega_{32} \right) \\ &+ II_SS_{12} - I_S \left(S_{11}S_{12} + S_{12}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}S_{32} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(C.30)

.

•

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{z\theta} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{11}S_{13} + S_{12}S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}S_{33} \right) + 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \Omega_{12}\Omega_{23} \\ &+ A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[S_{11}\Omega_{13} - \Omega_{12}S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Omega_{23} \left(S_{12} - S_{32} \right) \right] \\ &+ 2A_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[S_{11} \left(S_{11}\Omega_{13} + S_{12}\Omega_{23} \right) + S_{12}(S_{21}\Omega_{13} + S_{22}\Omega_{23}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}S_{32}\Omega_{23} - \Omega_{12} \left(S_{21}S_{13} + S_{22}S_{23} \frac{1}{r^2}S_{23}S_{33} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{r^2}\Omega_{13} \left(S_{32}S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{33}S_{33} \right) \right] \\ &- 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{21}\Omega_{13} + S_{22}\Omega_{23} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13} \left(S_{31}\Omega_{13} + S_{32}\Omega_{23} \right) \\ &+ II_SS_{13} - I_S \left(S_{11}S_{13} + S_{12}S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2}S_{13}S_{33} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(C.31)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{rr} &= 2A_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(S_{21}S_{12} + S_{22}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{23}S_{32} - \frac{1}{3}S^{(2)} \right) \\ &+ 2A_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(\Omega_{12}\Omega_{21} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\Omega_{23}\Omega_{32} - \frac{1}{3}\Omega^{(2)} \right) + 2A_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left(S_{21}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{23}\Omega_{32} \right) \\ &+ 2A_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[2S_{21} \left(S_{11}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{13}\Omega_{32} \right) + 2S_{22} \left(S_{21}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{23}\Omega_{32} \right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{r^{2}}S_{23} \left(S_{31}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{33}\Omega_{32} \right) \right] \\ &- 2A_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{11}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{13}\Omega_{32} \right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\Omega_{23} \left(S_{31}\Omega_{12} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{33}\Omega_{32} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{3}\overline{\Omega S\Omega} + II_{5} \left(S_{22} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta \right) - I_{5} \left(S_{21}S_{12} + S_{22}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}S_{23}S_{32} - \frac{1}{3}S^{(2)} \right) \right] \quad (C.32) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{r\theta} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{21} S_{13} + S_{22} S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} S_{33} \right) + 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \Omega_{21} \Omega_{13} \\ &+ A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{21} \Omega_{13} + S_{22} \Omega_{23} - \Omega_{21} S_{13} - \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{23} S_{33} \right) \\ &+ 2A_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[S_{21} \left(S_{11} \Omega_{13} + S_{12} \Omega_{23} \right) + S_{22} \left(S_{21} \Omega_{13} + S_{22} \Omega_{23} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} S_{31} \Omega_{13} - \Omega_{21} \left(S_{11} S_{13} + S_{12} S_{23} \frac{1}{r^2} S_{13} S_{33} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{23} \left(S_{31} S_{13} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} S_{33} \right) \right] \\ &- 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\Omega_{12} \left(S_{11} \Omega_{13} + S_{12} \Omega_{23} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} \left(S_{31} \Omega_{13} + S_{32} \Omega_{23} \right) \\ &+ II_5 S_{23} - I_5 \left(S_{21} S_{13} + S_{22} S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{23} S_{33} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{C.33}$$

.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\theta\theta} &= 2A_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{31} S_{13} + S_{32} S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} S_{33} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 S^{(2)} \right) \\ &+ 2A_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\Omega_{31} \Omega_{13} + \Omega_{32} \Omega_{23} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \Omega^{(2)} \right) + 2A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left(S_{31} \Omega_{13} + S_{32} \Omega_{23} \right) \\ &+ 2A_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2S_{31} \left(S_{11} \Omega_{13} + S_{12} \Omega_{23} \right) + 2S_{32} \left(S_{21} \Omega_{13} + S_{22} \Omega_{23} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} \left(S_{13} \Omega_{31} + S_{23} \Omega_{32} \right) \right] \\ &\left. - 2A_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[\Omega_{31} \left(S_{11} \Omega_{13} + S_{12} \Omega_{23} \right) + \Omega_{32} \left(S_{21} \Omega_{13} + S_{22} \Omega_{23} \right) - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \overline{\Omega} \overline{S} \overline{\Omega} \right. \\ &\left. + II_S \left(S_{33} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 \Theta \right) - I_S \left(S_{31} S_{13} + S_{32} S_{23} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} S_{33} - \frac{1}{3} r^2 S^{(2)} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(C.34)

The scalars that appear in the above equations are as follows

$$\Theta = S_{11} + S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33} \tag{C.35}$$

$$S^{(2)} = S_{11}S_{11} + S_{22}S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^4}S_{33}S_{33} + 2S_{12}S_{12} + \frac{2}{r^2}(S_{13}S_{13} + S_{23}S_{23})$$
(C.36)

$$\Omega^{(2)} = 2\Omega_{12}\Omega_{12} + \frac{2}{r^2}(\Omega_{13}\Omega_{31} + \Omega_{23}\Omega_{32})$$
(C.37)

$$\overline{\Omega S \Omega} = \Omega_{12} \Omega_{21} (S_{11} + S_{22}) + \Omega_{12} \Omega_{31} \frac{1}{r^2} (S_{23} + S_{32}) + \Omega_{21} \Omega_{32} \frac{1}{r^2} (S_{13} + S_{31}) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{31} \Omega_{23} (S_{12} + S_{21}) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{13} \Omega_{31} (S_{11} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33}) + \frac{1}{r^2} \Omega_{23} \Omega_{32} (S_{22} + \frac{1}{r^2} S_{33})$$
(C.38)

$$I_S = \Theta$$
, $II_S = \frac{1}{2}(\Theta^2 - S^{(2)})$ (C.39)

Two other scalars $(S^{\ast})^2$ and $(\Omega^{\ast})^2$ can be expressed as

$$(S^*)^2 = S^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2$$
, $(\Omega^*)^2 = \Omega^{(2)}$ (C.40)

$$W^{*} = \frac{1}{(S*)^{3}} \left[S_{11}^{*} \left(S_{12}^{*} S_{12}^{*} + S_{11}^{*} S_{11}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{13}^{*} S_{13}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + S_{12}^{*} \left(S_{21}^{*} S_{12}^{*} + S_{22}^{*} S_{21}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{23}^{*} S_{31}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{13}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{11}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{22}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{31}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + S_{21}^{*} \left(S_{11}^{*} S_{12}^{*} + S_{12}^{*} S_{22}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{13}^{*} S_{32}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + S_{22}^{*} \left(S_{21}^{*} S_{12}^{*} + S_{22}^{*} S_{22}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{23}^{*} S_{32}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{23}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{12}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{22}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{32}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{31}^{*} \left(S_{11}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{12}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{13}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{31}^{*} \left(S_{21}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{22}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{13}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{32}^{*} \left(S_{21}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{22}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{23}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right]$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{r^{4}} S_{33}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right]$$

$$\left. \left. + \frac{1}{r^{4}} S_{33}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right]$$

$$\left. \left. + \frac{1}{r^{4}} S_{33}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right] \right]$$

$$\left. \left. + \frac{1}{r^{4}} S_{33}^{*} \left(S_{31}^{*} S_{13}^{*} + S_{32}^{*} S_{23}^{*} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S_{33}^{*} S_{33}^{*} \right) \right]$$

where

•

$$S_{11}^{*} = S_{11} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta, \quad S_{22}^{*} = S_{22} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta, \quad S_{33}^{*} = S_{33} - \frac{1}{3}r^{2}\Theta,$$

$$S_{12}^{*} = S_{12}, \quad S_{13}^{*} = S_{13}, \quad S_{23}^{*} = S_{23}$$
(C.42)

Finally, the six components of S_{ij} and the three components of Ω_{ij} (note that $S_{ij} = S_{ji}$ and $\Omega_{ij} = -\Omega_{ji}$) are

$$S_{11} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}, \quad S_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right), \quad S_{13} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$S_{22} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}, \quad S_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} + r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right), \quad S_{33} = r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \theta} + rV$$
$$\Omega_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right), \quad \Omega_{13} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} - r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right), \quad \Omega_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right)$$
(C.43)

D Appendix: Equations for Axisymmetric Flows

Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V}{\partial r} + \frac{\rho V}{r} = 0$$
 (D.1)

-

Momentum equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U^{2}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho UV}{\partial r} + \frac{\rho UV}{r} = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho UV}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho UV}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho V}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho UV}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V^2}{\partial r} - r\rho \Omega^2 + \frac{\rho V^2}{r} = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial r} \\
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[2(\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{2}{r} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{3}\Theta \right) - \frac{2}{r^3} (\mu + \mu_T) \left(rV - \frac{1}{3}r^2\Theta \right) \\
+ \frac{\partial \tau_{rx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^3} \tau_{\theta\theta} + \frac{1}{r} \tau_{rr}$$
(D.3)

$$\frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho r^2 \Omega V}{\partial r} + r \rho V \Omega = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial x} \right]
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r} (\mu + \mu_T) \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r}
- \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[(\mu + \mu_T) r^2 \Omega \right] + \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{\theta r}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \tau_{r\theta}$$
(D.4)

where

$$\Theta = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r}$$
(D.5)
$$\overline{P} = P + \frac{2}{3}k$$
(D.6)

<u>k- ε equations</u>

$$\frac{\partial \rho k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U k}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V k}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r} \rho k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r} \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial r} + P_k - \rho \varepsilon$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho U \varepsilon}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho V \varepsilon}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r} \rho \varepsilon = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r} \right] + \frac{1}{r} \left(\mu + \frac{\mu_T}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial r} + C_{\varepsilon 1} f_1 \frac{\varepsilon}{k} P_k - C_{\varepsilon 2} f_2 \frac{\rho \varepsilon^2}{k}$$

$$+ C_{\varepsilon 3} \frac{\mu \mu_T}{\rho} \left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$(D.8)$$

.

•

$$P_k = P_k^{(1)} + P_k^{(2)} \tag{D.9}$$

$$P_{k}^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{3}(\rho k + \mu_{T}\Theta)\Theta + \mu_{T}\left[2\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right) + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] + \mu_{T}\left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) + 2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + 2\frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}}\right]$$
(D.10)

$$P_{k}^{(2)} = \tau_{xx} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \tau_{rx} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \tau_{\theta x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \tau_{xr} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \tau_{rr} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \tau_{rr} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \tau_{\theta r} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\Omega}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(-\tau_{r\theta} r \Omega + \tau_{\theta \theta} \frac{V}{r} \right)$$
(D.11)

 $\underline{\tau_{ij}}$ in axisymmetric flows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{xx} &= C_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_1 \right] \\ &+ C_2 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 \right] \\ &+ C_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \Pi_2 \right] \\ &+ C_4 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_3 \right] \\ &+ C_5 \frac{\rho k^4}{\varepsilon^3} \left[2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ 2r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + 2rV \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{3} \Pi_3 \right] \end{aligned}$$
(D.12)

$$\begin{split} \tau_{zr} &= C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \\ &- r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - 2r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - 2r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) \\ &+ 2rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - 2\Omega^{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right] \end{split}$$
(D.13)

.

$$\begin{split} \tau_{x\theta} &= C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[-r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[r^{2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} - r\Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right] \\ &+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \left(2r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ rV \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\ &+ \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - r\Omega \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{V}{r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \\ &- 2\Omega V \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2r^{2} \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + 2V^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \right) \right] \tag{D.14}$$

$$\tau_{rr} = C_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - 2r\Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - 2\Omega^2 - \frac{2}{3}\Pi_1 \right]$$

$$+C_{2}\frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2}-\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\Pi_{2}\right]$$

$$+C_{3}\frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+\frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}+\Omega^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\Pi_{2}\right]$$

$$+C_{4}\frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}}\left[2\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)+2\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)\right]$$

$$-2r\Omega\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right)+2\frac{\Omega^{2}V}{r}-\frac{2}{3}\Pi_{3}\right]$$

$$+C_{5}\frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}}\left[2\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r}\right)-4r\Omega\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}+2rV\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right)^{2}$$

$$+2\frac{\Omega V}{r}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)+4\Omega V\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}-4\Omega^{2}\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}+2\frac{\Omega^{2}}{r}V-\frac{2}{3}\Pi_{3}\right]$$
(D.15)

$$\begin{split} \tau_{r\theta} &= C_{1} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[-2r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + rV \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[r^{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} - r\Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \Omega V \right] \\ &+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \left(2r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \\ &+ rV \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\ &+ \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - r\Omega \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) \\ &- r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + r^{2} \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} - 2 \frac{\Omega}{r} V^{2} \right] \\ &+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[\frac{V}{r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - r\Omega \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + 2 \frac{\Omega}{r} V^{2} \right]$$
(D.16)

$$\tau_{\theta\theta} = C_1 \frac{\rho k^3}{\varepsilon^2} \left[-2r\Omega \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} + 2V^2 + 2r^2 \Omega^2 - \frac{2}{3}r^2 \Pi_1 \right]$$

$$+ C_{2} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[r^{4} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \right)^{2} - 2r \Omega \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} - r^{2} \Omega^{2} - V^{2} - \frac{1}{3} r^{2} \Pi_{2} \right]$$

$$+ C_{3} \frac{\rho k^{3}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \left[V^{2} + r^{2} \Omega^{2} - \frac{1}{3} r^{2} \Pi_{2} \right]$$

$$+ C_{4} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[2r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)$$

$$+ 2r V \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right) - 2r \Omega \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)$$

$$- 2r^{3} \Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} - 2r^{2} \Omega V \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + 2r^{2} \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{V^{3}}{r} - \frac{2}{3} r^{2} \Pi_{3} \right]$$

$$+ C_{5} \frac{\rho k^{4}}{\varepsilon^{3}} \left[-2 \Omega V \frac{\partial r^{2} \Omega}{\partial r} + 2r^{2} \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + 2 \frac{V^{3}}{r} + 4r \Omega^{2} V - \frac{2}{3} r^{2} \Pi_{3} \right]$$

$$(D.17)$$

$$\Pi_{1} = -2r\Omega \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} - 2\Omega^{2} + \frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}}$$
(D.18)

$$\Pi_{2} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + r^{2} \left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r^{2}\Omega}{\partial r} + \frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}} + 2\Omega^{2}$$
(D.19)

$$\Pi_3 = \Pi_3^{(1)} + \Pi_3^{(2)} + \Pi_3^{(3)} \tag{D.20}$$

$$\Pi_{3}^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^{3} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) + r^{2}\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right) + rV\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2}$$
(D.21)
$$\Pi_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial U}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial U} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial V}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial U} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial V}\partial V\right)$$

$$\Pi_{3}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial r^2 \Omega}{\partial r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) - r \Omega \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + r V \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} \right)^2 + 2V \Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r} + r \Omega \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \frac{\partial U}{\partial r} + \frac{V}{r} \Omega^2$$
(D.22)

$$\Pi_{3}^{(3)} = -V\Omega \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} + \Omega^{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{V^{3}}{r^{3}}$$
(D.23)

$$(S^*)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[2 \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$+r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + 2\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + 2\frac{V^{2}}{r^{2}}\right] - \frac{1}{3}\Theta^{2}$$
(D.24)
$$(\Omega^{*})^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + r^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r}\right)^{2} + 4r\Omega\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial r} + 4\Omega^{2} - 2\frac{\partial U}{\partial r}\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right]$$
(D.25)

•

-

Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	REPORT	Form Approved						
The Berger Value of a large methods a method is a large of blocks. A mark of a second is an analysis and the second is a second is a large of blocks. A mark of a second is a large of blocks. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave black) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED A TITLE AND SUBTILE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS WU-522-31-23-00 Tasan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L Lumley 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Acronautics and Space Administration E-10868 E-10868 Septementary Control (Control (Contr		OMB No. 0704-0188						
1. AGENGY USE ONLY (Learne Darks) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED August 1997 Tachnical Memorandum 4. TITLE AND SUBTILE Technical Memorandum 4. TITLE AND SUBTILE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Modeling of Turbulent Swirling Flows 5. FUNDING NUMBERS 6. AUTHON(S) WU-522-31-23-00 Taan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-10868 Lewis Research Center E-10868 Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 E-00808 5. SPONSOFINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 14. SPONSOFINGMONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-113112 IXODP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 Taan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Coperative Agreement NCC3-320; Kus-Huey Chen, University, Otaled, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code \$800, 216, 433-518. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABLITY STATEMENT 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABLITY STATEMENT 13. ABSTINGT (Mashim at 200 mords) Ait State and transing ond Ifficult tak for memodeling of these flows, a second order closu	Provic reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reputing that sources, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.							
August 1997 Technical Memorandum 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Modeling of Turbulent Swirling Flows 5. FUNDING NUMBERS 6. AUTHOR(S) WU-522-31-23-00 Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center 1. SPONBORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONBORINGMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 10. SPONBORINGANONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tran-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbuece and Transition. Clevalad, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University, of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Correll University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVALLABLITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTIONAVALLABLITY STATEMENT 13. ABSTRACT (Machima 200 excas) 11b. Bab ben suggested that, for the modeling of thasen flows, a second order closure schaft Tubes and variance(on the X-SA Center for AeroSpac Information, (30) (521-0390)	1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED							
4. TTLE AND SUBTILE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS Modeling of Turbulent Swirling Flows 5. AUTHOR(8) 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) National Aeronautics and Space Administration E-10868 1. SPONSORMAGONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 10. SPONSORMIGANONITORING AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition. Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-320); Kuo-Huey Chen, University, Idaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12. DISTRIBUTION GODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Canegory 34 12. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABETINACT (Maximum 200 words) 11. Burgene combustors swirting flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriate Machine flows, and was inspired by two word of claunder group a UMIST. Using this type of model, use only medic to skifting flows, in was mispired by the word of Launder group at UMIST. Using th		August 1997	Tec	hnical Memorandum				
Modeling of Turbulent Swirling Flows WU-522-31-23-00 6. AUTHOR(S) WU-522-31-23-00 Taan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley Performance oncontraction 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Performance oncontraction National Aeronauties and Space Administration E-10868 1. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronauties and Space Administration WAAS TM-113112 Washington, DC 20546-0001 IX. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Taan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Coperative Agreement NCC3-320); Kuco-Huey Chen, University, Ofalod, Toldeo, Ohio 4306, Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code S800, (216) 433-518. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABLITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 13b. Bip blicking is order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulene models are unable to appropriately model writing flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, t	4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5	5. FUNDING NUMBERS				
6. AUTHOR(S) WU-522-31-23-00 Tasn-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley Perromano GRANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) a. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135 - 3191 b. SPONSORINGAMONTORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration WASA TM-113112 Washington, DC 20546 - 0001 UNASA TM-113112 IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tasn-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toleo, Ohio 43006; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAMALABLITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390 13. ABSTRACT Meanum 20 words) Alternative development of a cubics generally involve turbulent swiring flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long ageneral combustors swirting flow. This report is dovoted to the deve	Modeling of Turbulent Swi	rling Flows						
Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) SPONSORINGAMONTORING AGENCY NAME(G) AND ADDRESS(ES) SUPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3 - 520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Taledo, Toldeo, Toldeo, Ohi 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Levis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Correll University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code S800, (216) 433–5818. I2a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621–0390. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 woods) Alteraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent evaluation and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require a large amount of coongleter scoures for a moler on any CPD implemen	6. AUTHOR(S)		WU-522-31-23-00					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION National Aeronautics and Space Administration Report Number Lewis Research Center E-10868 Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 E-10868 9. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tasm-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 worde) Aitcraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirting flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirting flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order clower scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations. Also, this scheme will require solution for many complicated second moment transport is devoled to the developent of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulenes visining flows. Therefore, it has been	Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, William Liou, Kuo-Huey Chen, Nan-Suey Liu, and John L. Lumley							
National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135-3191 E-10868 8. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC2-520): Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximur 2009) 14 Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirting flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirting flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of totational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model exoluption. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stre	7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N	8	B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 E-10868 SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University Oteldo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433–5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Arcraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirtling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirting flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will solut or fundy complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stress-stress reliationship. They oblication is a difficult task for any CPD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirting flow. This report is devoted to the developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model eveloped	National Aeronautics and S	pace Administration						
Cleveland, Ohio 44135–3191 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546–0001 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NALIONAL AERONAUTORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NASA TM-113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NASA TM-113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 14. SUBJECT Lamiey, Cornel University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43506; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Cornel University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433–5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVALABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTIONAVALABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Measimum 200 words) Aticraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swir	Lewis Research Center	-		E-10868				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tisan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520; Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAULABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 11 this publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390. 14. ADSTRIACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 15. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 11 this schedular didy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (is: Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of the dissipation rate c. The cubic module developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stresses strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully deve	Cleveland, Ohio 44135-31	191						
9. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 16. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001 16. SPONSORINGAMONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center, John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABLITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme wil require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations, (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustors wirling flow. This report is devoled to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder5 group at UMIST. Using this type of nucle, one on	·····							
National Aeronautics and Space Administration NAENCY REPORT NUMBER Nashington, DC 20546-0001 NASA TM113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified -Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirting flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that ddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirting flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme wil require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CPD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of the dissipation rate e. The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Raynolds stress-strain model for turbulent swi	9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	1	0. SPONSORING/MONITORING				
National Aeronautics and Space Administration NASA TM-113112 Washington, DC 20546-0001 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 4366; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA TM-113112 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Thesene suggested that, for the modeling of rotat				AGENCY REPORT NUMBER				
Washington, DC 20546-0001 INASA IM-113112 ICOMP-97-08; CMOTT-97-03 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIOWAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stress-stain scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustors wirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic en	National Aeronautics and S	pace Administration		NASA TM 112112				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621-0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CPD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows. This report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl	Washington, DC 20546-00		ICOMP_07_08. CMOTT 07_03					
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTIONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 13b. Sastract (Maximum 200 words) 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE 14. Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION coll to appropriately model sare unable to appropriately model saviling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustors writing flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate £. The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and r				1COMP-97-08, CMOT1-97-05				
Tsan-Hsing Shih, Jiang Zhu, and William Liou, Institute for Computational Mechanics in Propulsion and Center for Modeling of Turbulence and Transition, Cleveland, Ohio (work performed under NASA Cooperative Agreement NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organizatio code 5800, (216) 433-5818. 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION code 13. ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme wil require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustors swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 54 16. PRICE CODE AO4 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 14. SECURITY CLAS	11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES							
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme wil require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS Turbulent model; Swirling flows; Combustors 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 54 16. PRICE CODE A04 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	NCC3-520); Kuo-Huey Chen, University of Toledo, Toldeo, Ohio 43606; Nan-Suey Liu, NASA Lewis Research Center; John L. Lumley, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853. ICOMP Program Director, Louis A. Povinelli, organization code 5800, (216) 433-5818.							
Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34 This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621–0390 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate £. The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 54 116. PRICE CODE A04 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <td>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY</td> <td>STATEMENT</td> <td>1</td> <td>2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</td>	12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	1	2b. DISTRIBUTION CODE				
This publication is available from the NASA Center for AeroSpace Information, (301) 621–0390. 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0. REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0. REPORT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0. REPORT <td>Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Unclassified - Unlimited Subject Category 34							
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate ϵ . The cubic model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Turbulent model; Swirling flows; Combustors 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14. SUBJECT TERMS 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0. FREPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0. FULL 24.0.0.000 STERD 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	This publication is available from	m the NASA Center for AeroSpace Inf	formation, (301) 621-0390.					
Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynold stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation. 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0F REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 0F ADDAT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 0F ADDAT 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)							
14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Turbulent model; Swirling flows; Combustors 54 16. PRICE CODE A04 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 0F THIS PAGE Unclassified Unclassified NON 7540.01.030.5500 00.0000000000000000000000000000000000	Aircraft engine combustors generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization. It has long been recognized that eddy viscosity turbulence models are unable to appropriately model swirling flows. Therefore, it has been suggested that, for the modeling of these flows, a second order closure scheme should be considered because of its ability in the modeling of rotational and curvature effects. However, this scheme will require solution of many complicated second moment transport equations (six Reynolds stresses plus other scalar fluxes and variances), which is a difficult task for any CFD implementations. Also, this scheme will require a large amount of computer resources for a general combustor swirling flow. This report is devoted to the development of a cubic Reynolds stress-strain model for turbulent swirling flows, and was inspired by the work of Launder's group at UMIST. Using this type of model, one only needs to solve two turbulence equations, one for the turbulent kinetic energy k and the other for the dissipation rate ε . The cubic model developed in this report is based on a general Reynolds stress-strain relationship. Two flows have been chosen for model evaluation. One is a fully developed rotating pipe flow, and the other is a more complex flow with swirl and recirculation.							
Turbulent model; Swirling flows; Combustors 54 Turbulent model; Swirling flows; Combustors 16. PRICE CODE A04 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES				
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified 0	Turbulent model; Swirling	54 16. PRICE CODE						
Unclassified Unclassified Unclassified	17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT	A04 ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT				
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified					

1

,

.